

Decoupling molybdenum disulfide from its substrate by cesium intercalation

Roberto Sant, Simone Lisi, van Dung Nguyen, Estelle Mazaleyrat, Ana Cristina Gomez Herrero, Olivier Geaymond, Valérie Guisset, Philippe David, Alain Marty, Matthieu Jamet, et al.

To cite this version:

Roberto Sant, Simone Lisi, van Dung Nguyen, Estelle Mazaleyrat, Ana Cristina Gomez Herrero, et al.. Decoupling molybdenum disulfide from its substrate by cesium intercalation. Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 2020, 124 (23), pp.12397-12408. $10.1021/\text{acs.jpec.0c00970}$. hal-02863559

HAL Id: hal-02863559 <https://hal.science/hal-02863559v1>

Submitted on 5 Apr 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Decoupling Molybdenum Disulfide from its Substrate by Cesium Intercalation

Roberto Sant,†,‡,¶ Simone Lisi,‡ Van Dung Nguyen,‡ Estelle Mazaleyrat,§ Ana Cristina Gómez Herrero,‡ Olivier Geaymond,‡ Valérie Guisset,‡ Philippe David,‡ Alain Marty, Matthieu Jamet, Relaude Chapelier, Laurence Magaud,‡ Yannick

J. Dappe, ${}^{\#}$ Marco Bianchi, ${}^{\textcircled{\tiny{\textcirc}}}$ Philip Hofmann, ${}^{\textcircled{\tiny{\textcirc}}}$ Gilles Renaud, † and Johann

Coraux[∗],‡

†Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CEA, IRIG/DEPHY/MEM, 38000 Grenoble, France

‡Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Grenoble INP, Institut NEEL, 38000 Grenoble, France ¶ESRF, The European Synchrotron, 38043 Grenoble, France

§Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CEA, IRIG/DEPHY/PHELIQS, 38000 Grenoble, France

|| Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CEA, CNRS, Grenoble INP, IRIG/DEPHY/SpinTec, 38000 Grenoble, France

⊥Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CEA, IRIG, IRIG/DEPHY/PHELIQS, 38000 Grenoble, France #SPEC, CEA, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, CEA Saclay 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

@Department of Physics and Astronomy, Interdisciplinary Nanoscience Center (iNANO), Aarhus University, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

E-mail:<johann.coraux@neel.cnrs.fr>

Abstract

Intercalation of alkali atoms within the lamellar transition metal dichalcogenides is a possible route toward a new generation of batteries. It is also a way to induce structural phase transitions authorizing the realization of optical and electrical switches in this class of materials. The process of intercalation has been mostly studied in three-dimensional dichalcogenide films. Here, we address the case of a single-layer of molybdenum disulfide $(MoS₂)$, deposited on a gold substrate, and intercalated with cesium (Cs) in ultra-clean conditions (ultrahigh vacuum). We show that intercalation decouples $MoS₂$ from its substrate. We reveal electron transfer from Cs to $MoS₂$, relative changes in the energy of the valence band maxima, and electronic disorder induced by structural disorder in the intercalated Cs layer. Besides, we find an abnormal lattice expansion of $MoS₂$, which we relate to immediate vicinity of Cs. Intercalation is thermally activated, and so is the reverse process of de-intercalation. Our work opens the route to a microscopic understanding of a process of relevance in several possible future technologies, and shows a way to manipulate the properties of two-dimensional dichalcogenides by "under-cover" functionalization.

Introduction

The interest for transition metal dichalcogenide single-layers, initially spurred by the bright light emission found in molybdenum disulfide $(MoS₂)^{1,2}$ and the achievement of electrostatic switching of electrical conduction in M_0S_2 ,³ has revived activities devoted to the synthesis of these materials. Efforts to elaborate them with a structural quality similar to the one obtained in mechanically exfoliated samples with bottom-up approaches – chemical vapour deposition, $4-7$ chalcogenation of metal surfaces, $8-10$ or molecular beam epitaxy, standard 11 or reactive under H_2S atmosphere $12-14$ – are ongoing. Both chalcogenation and reactive molecular beam epitaxy usually require a metallic substrate. As-prepared samples are hence not suited to the study of some of the key properties of the material, e.g. those related to exci-

tons which become very short-lived due to the immediate vicinity of a metallic (substrate) charge reservoir, and electrical transport properties which are shunt by the conductive substrate. Besides, in the prototypical case of $Au(111)$ as a substrate, $MoS₂$ does not retain the properties of the isolated material. Significant interaction between the electronic bands of $MoS₂$ and $Au(111)$ was indeed detected¹⁵ and the existence of a moiré pattern was found to induce a nanometer-scale modulation of this interaction. 16

One way to alter this interaction is to "lift" $MoS₂$ from its surface. Actually, such lifting occurs spontaneously, across regions spanning typically a nanometer, when $MoS₂$ overhangs on Ångström-deep vacancy islands of the substrate.¹⁷ Effective lifting may be achieved using an alternative strategy, namely by intercalating a layer of a species decoupling $MoS₂$ from its substrate. This strategy, which allowed to obtain quasi-free-standing graphene ^{18,19} (another two-dimensional material), has been explored recently with single-layer WS_2 , ²⁰ but not with single-layer $MoS₂$ so far, to our knowledge.

On the contrary, intercalation of thicker transition metal dichalcogenides has been thoroughly investigated. Much like with graphite, a rich variety of systems with modulated structure in the direction perpendicular to the basal plane can be formed this way.²¹ Using layers of alkali atoms, molecules, or transition metals as intercalants, unique properties including superconductivity and (anti)ferromagnetism have been found.²¹ The ability to store (release) alkali atoms by intercalation (de-intercalation) also makes transition metal dichalcogenides possible electrode materials, both as cathode²² and anode,^{23–25} for Li-ion batteries. Electrodonor intercalants promote a structural phase transition from a semiconducting phase to a metallic one, $26-35$ with potential applications in data storage and reconfigurable electrical circuitry.

Here, we report on the intercalation and de-intercalation of the alkali cesium (Cs) atoms. Unlike all works addressing in-solution intercalation of bulk-like transition metal dichalcogenide layers, the focus of our work is on single-layer $MoS₂$ flakes, prepared on Au(111), and (de)intercalated under ultrahigh vacuum conditions. We find that the process of intercala-

tion is thermally activated, being completed after few tens of minutes at a temperature of 550 K. Above 850 K, deintercalation is efficient and completed within a few tens of minutes. Intercalated cesium forms a a Cs monolayer with an ill-ordered structure compatible with a (√ $3 \times$ √ $\overline{3}$) $R30^{\circ}$ reconstruction with respect to Au(111). We reveal electron transfer from Cs to M_0S_2 , modifications of the relative positions of the valence band maxima in M_0S_2 , and electronic disorder induced by structural disorder in the intercalated layer. Upon intercalation, $MoS₂$ is lifted, and adopts an unusually large lattice parameter. Our analysis combines scanning tunneling microscopy (STM), reflection high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED), grazing incidence X-ray diffraction (GIXRD), reflectivity (XRR), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) all performed under ultrahigh vacuum, in some cases in operando during intercalation. Further insights are brought by density functional theory (DFT) calculations.

Methods

Three ultrahigh vacuum systems were used for our experiments. A first one is coupled to the X-ray synchrotron beam delivered at the BM32 beamline of the ESRF. It has a base pressure of 3×10^{-10} mbar and is equipped with a quartz micro-balance and a RHEED apparatus. The second one, at Institut Néel (Grenoble), with a base pressure of 2×10^{-10} mbar, is part of a larger ultrahigh vacuum system comprising a STM, a RHEED apparatus, and a quartz microbalance. The samples were prepared in each system before being investigated by RHEED, STM, GIXRD, and XRR. Temperatures were measured with a pyrometer in both systems. Note that the pyrometers and the chamber configurations are different in the two systems, which implies a plausible variability (\sim 50 K) in the measurements, and suggests caution when comparing these measurements. The third ultrahigh vacuum system is installed at the SGM-3 endstation³⁶ of the ASTRID2 synchrotron radiation source (Aarhus); it includes one chamber (base pressure 10^{-10} mbar) devoted to ARPES and XPS measurements, and two other chambers (base pressures, 4×10^{-10} mbar) comprising a STM. All three ultrahigh vacuum systems are equipped with a Cs evaporator. $M_0S_2/Au(111)$ was prepared in Grenoble and transported in atmospheric conditions to Aarhus where it was degassed in ultrahigh vacuum at 500 K. There, temperatures were measured using a K type thermocouple fixed on the rear side of the Au (111) crystal. The cleanliness of the surface was confirmed with STM and photoelectron spectroscopy.

Single-crystals bought from Surface Preparation Laboratory and Mateck were prepared under ultrahigh vacuum by repeated cycles of room temperature sputtering with 0.8-1 keV Ar⁺ ions and annealing to 900 K. Surface cleanliness was checked with STM imaging and RHEED, both revealing a well-developped herringbone reconstruction. Molybdenum was evaporated using a high-purity rod heated by electron-beam bombardment, at a rate of 0.02 monolayer/min in the ultrahigh vacuum chamber coupled to the X-ray beam and in the ultrahigh vacuum chamber coupled to the STM, respectively (one monolayer referring to the surface coverage of a single-layer $MoS₂$ on $Au(111)$). This rate was determined with both a quartz microbalance and STM. For introduction of H_2S in the ultrahigh vacuum chambers, we used an automatic injection system by VEGATEC that supplies H_2S via pneumatic valves (chamber coupled to the X-ray beam), and a leak-valve (chamber coupled to the STM). The latter system comprises large copper parts. Their surface was saturated by maintaining a pressure of 10[−]⁶ mbar of H2S for 30 min. Without this treatment, residual gas analysis revealed that H2S was prominently decomposed before even reaching the sample surface, which prevented $MoS₂$ growth.

Cesium was deposited under ultrahigh vacuum by resistive heating of a high purity Cs dispenser (SAES Getter). Assessing the deposited Cs dose is not a straightforward task. Cesium dose measurements, using a quartz microbalance for instance, are seldom reported. Exceptions include low temperature measurements; 37 in contrast, our room temperature measurements were inconclusive. Alternative calibration methods were hence needed. In the ultrahigh vacuum system where STM imaging was performed, graphene growth on $Ir(111)$ is operative. Cesium deposition in this system leads to its intercalation between graphene and Ir(111) in a sequence of well-crystallized phases readily detected with electron diffraction.³⁸ At fixed current flowing the Cs dispenser (6.5 A) we hence determined the deposition time required for the onset of a (√ $3\times$ √ $\overline{3}$) $R30°$ Cs reconstruction relative to the graphene lattice, which corresponds to a well-defined Cs density. Using this calibration, we deposited Cs in two steps, each at room temperature followed by a 500 K annealing, with a total nominal Cs quantity equivalent to 0.7 Cs atoms per Au atom on the surface. In the ultrahigh vacuum system were XPS and ARPES data were acquired, a second calibration method was used. Cesium was deposited (5.7 A current) for a given time at room temperature onto $Au(111)$, and the Cs density was assessed by comparing the area under the Cs peaks and Au surface 4f components in XPS, assuming that Cs is in the form of a flat sub-monolayer deposit. With this calibration at hand, we then deposited Cs in two steps, each at room temperature followed by 550 K annealing, corresponding nominally to 0.3 and 0.6 Cs atoms per Au atom on the surface. In the third ultrahigh vacuum system, installed at the BM32 beamline, we could not use any of these two methods. We hence decided to deposit a large excess of Cs, using a high current flowing the Cs dispenser (7.2 A) and large deposition times, each of 30 min. There also we deposited Cs sequentially, in three steps, each at room temperature followed by a 500 K annealing.

Diffraction measurements were performed at European Synchrotron Radiation Facility using a z-axis diffractometer installed at the BM32 CRG/IF beamline and optimized for grazing incidence surface X-ray diffraction. The experimental energy was set at 11.8 keV, below the Au L_{III} absorption edge. The incident angle was set to 0.24 $^{\circ}$, slightly below the critical angle for total external reflection, to enhance the signal from $MoS₂$ while minimizing the background. The diffraction signal was acquired with a Maxipix two-dimensional detector $(256\times1288 \text{ pixels}, \text{each of size } 55 \mu\text{m}).$

The XRR spectra were processed with PyRod (home-made software for surface diffraction 2D data treatment). Data were integrated in reciprocal space and the profiles were extracted

as a function of the modulus of the scattering vector perpendicular to the surface $(Q_$ _⊥). Corrections to this integrated intensity were applied for beam polarization, surface active area and gaussian beam profile. Correction for beam refraction at interfaces were used to calculate Q_{\perp} inside the sample. The ANA-ROD software³⁹ was used for modeling the surface structure of the samples and fitting the XRR data.

The STM data we show were acquired in Grenoble with an Omicron STM-1 apparatus, at room temperature under ultrahigh vacuum, in a dedicated chamber with a base pressure of 5×10[−]¹¹ mbar. At the SGM-3 endstation, an Aarhus STM was used to check the sample quality after Cs deposition, which gave consistent results with those obtained in Grenoble.

ARPES and XPS measurements were performed at the SGM-3 end station of the ASTRID2 synchrotron radiation source.³⁶ The ARPES and XPS data were collected at room temperature at photon energies of 49 eV and 130 eV respectively. The energy and angular resolutions were better than 20 meV and 0.2° respectively.

Structural relaxations as well as electronic structure determination have been performed using DFT. The DFT localized-orbital molecular-dynamics code as implemented in Fireball $^{40-42}$ has been used to optimize the $\text{MoS}_2/\text{Au}(111)$ and the $\text{MoS}_2/\text{Cs}/\text{Au}(111)$ structures or to determine their corresponding electronic properties. Standard previously used basis sets have been considered for Mo, S and Au,⁴³ and an sp basis set with cut-off radii of 6.8 (in atomic units), has been considered for Cs. A hexagonal slab of 7×7 Au atoms with five layers in the xy plane, and a topmost 6×6 MoS₂ have been used to model the MoS₂/Au(111) interface. This configuration has been optimized until the forces become less than 0.1 eV/A . The bottom two Au layers were fixed to simulate the Au bulk for the $MoS₂/Au(111)$ interface. The $MoS_2/Cs/Au(111)$ system, with a (√ $3 \times$ √ $\overline{3}$)R30° Cs reconstruction on Au(111) requires a large supercell to be treated. In this systems, the atomic positions were fixed to the average values derived from the analysis of the XRR data. We have used a set of 32 k-points in the plane for self-consistency and density of states calculations.

Results and Discussion

Single-layer $MoS₂$ flakes were grown following the procedure described by Grønborg et al.⁴⁴ In short, the clean Au(111) surface was exposed to a partial pressure of $H_2S(P_{H_2S})$ introduced in the ultrahigh vacuum systems, then molybdenum was deposited on the surface in presence of H2S, and the sample was annealed to 900 K without the Mo atomic beam but still in presence of H2S. This sequence was repeated several times to adjust the surface coverage with MoS₂. In the two ultrahigh vacuum chambers where MoS₂ was grown, we used $P_{\text{H}_2\text{S}}$ $= 10^{-5}$ and 10^{-6} mbar respectively. Figure 1a-d shows the typical diffraction patterns and morphology of the surface after growth. The MoS_2 flakes exhibit straight edges, 45 have an extension of the order of several 10 nm; in between the flakes the herringbone reconstruction of the bare Au(111) is visible. The fraction of the surface covered with MoS_2 was $70\pm15\%$, 28±5%, and 25±15% (as determined from the nominal amount of Mo deposited on the surface, or with STM whenever possible) for the samples studied with GIXRD/XRR, STM, and XPS/ARPES, respectively. Our STM observations are consistent with those in previous reports.^{13,44}

Structure of MoS_2 single-layer islands on Au(111). A pronounced pattern, with 3.17 ± 0.01 nm periodicity, is visible on the MoS₂ flakes presented in Figure 1c,d. This pattern arises from the lattice mismatch between $MoS₂$ and the substrate, and is described with an analogy to the optical moiré effect. 13 Careful analysis of atomically-resolved STM images and their Fourier transform (Figure 1b) allows to determine the size of the moiré unit cell. For the example shown in Figure 1, we find that the highest symmetry $Au(111)$ and $MoS₂$ crystallographic directions are precisely aligned, and that the unit cell corresponds to the coincidence of 10 MoS₂ unit cells onto 11 Au(111) unit cells (10×11) , consistent with a recent report. 14

This moiré unit cell is varying from one $MoS₂$ island to another. The average reciprocal space lattice vector associated to the moiré is directly inferred from RHEED (Figure 1a) and GIXRD (Figure 1e,f) data by measuring the relative positions of the moiré or $MoS₂$ peaks

Figure 1: Single layer $MoS₂$ islands prepared on Au(111). (a) RHEED diffractogram (17 keV) along the $[1\overline{1}0]$ azimuth. On either side of the most intense central specular streak, $MoS₂$ and moiré streaks are observed, the latter ones corresponding to the shortest vertical distances on the pattern. (b) Fast Fourier transform of an atomically resolved STM image (the contrast and brightness below and above the dotted line, at the vicinity of the $MoS₂$ spots, have been enhanced). The reciprocal space vectors corresponding to the $Au(111)$ and $MoS₂$ lattices are highlighted with two arrows, whose length ratio is $11/10$. (c) Three-dimensional view of a STM topograph $(2 \text{ nA}, -2 \text{ V})$ of single-layer MoS_2 islands formed on Au(111), with the herringbone reconstruction of the latter visible. Defects in the moiré appear as high bumps. (d) Three-dimensional view of an atomically-resolved STM topograph (0.81 nA, -1.93 V) of the moiré lattice between $Au(111)$ and $MoS₂$. (e) In-plane cut of the reciprocal lattice, as measured with X-rays. Top right: higher-resolution measurement of the area marked with a dotted frame. (f) Radial scan of the X-ray scattered intensity in the direction shown with a dotted arrow in e, as a function of the modulus of the in-plane scattering vector Q_{\parallel} for $Au(111)$ with and without single-layer $MoS₂$ islands on top.

with respect to the Au(111) peaks, to be 1.884 ± 0.001 nm⁻¹.

The full-width at half maximum of the $MoS₂$ peaks in a radial direction (Figure 1f)

increases from 0.32 ± 0.05 nm⁻¹ to 0.51 ± 0.05 nm⁻¹ from first to third order. This corresponds to a domain size of about 20 nm and a distribution of in-plane lattice parameter of typically 0.6%. 46 Strikingly, the domain size is here smaller than the value of several 10 nm corresponding to the flake size that we determined by visual inspection of STM images. This difference simply shows that the flakes are not single-crystal, and actually consist each of (smaller) single-crystal grains. As discussed in the Supporting Information (SI), we indeed frequently observe linear defects within the flakes, at the boundary between laterally-shifted domains within the flake (see Figure S1). Our interpretation is that at each of the several steps of the $MoS₂$ cyclic preparation, new $MoS₂$ islands nucleate, grow, and coalesce with pre-existing ones – no lattice re-organisation occurs that would eliminate the linear defect (so-called out-of-phase grain boundaries) to yield large single-crystal flakes.

To model the structure of MoS_2 and learn more about the out-of-plane structure of the material, we use DFT calculations taking van der Waals interactions into account. For that purpose, we choose an unsheared moiré unit cell with 6 MoS_2 units matching $7 \text{ Au}(111)$ surface units. This (6×7) coincidence lattice is not the (10×11) observed experimentally. Our choice is however legitimate since in our DFT calculations, the lattice structure optimization are performed at 0 K (while the measurements are performed at 300 K), a temperature at which the lattice parameters of bulk Au(111) (0.2883 nm) and MoS₂ (0.3293 nm) are in a different ratio (1.14, *i.e.* close to $7/6$) than those measured for bulk compounds at room temperature $(0.2884 \text{ nm and } 0.3167 \text{ nm}, \text{ ratio} = 1.10 = 11/10, \text{ see Ref. 47}).$ The DFT commensurate structure is a realistic approximant of the experimental unit cell and we expect to capture the physics of interaction. This structure is also computationally more demanding than the (1×1) and (√ $13 \times$ √ $\overline{13}$) $R13.9^{\circ}$ commensurate approximants used so far. 15

Figure 2a shows a top-view sof the minimum-energy structure of $MoS₂$ on $Au(111)$ that has been optimised with the DFT calculations. Periodic lattice distorsions are found in both $MoS₂$ and Au(111). The in-plane projection of the distorsions of the topmost Au(111) layer

Figure 2: Nature of the bonding for MoS_2 onto $Au(111)$. (a) Top-view of the optimized geometry found with DFT calculations. The Mo (S) atoms are sketched with gray (yellow) balls, whose shade codes the variation of height. The rhombus highlights the commensurate (6×7) moiré unit cell employed for the calculations. (b) Cross-section of the charge transferred between atoms, along an edge of the rhombus, and of the atomic structure, along the long diagonal of the rhombus (of length indicated with a double arrow), calculated with DFT. The different layers of the Au(111) substrate are coloured according to the sequence of ABC planes in a fcc stack. (c) Experimental structure factor F modulus (XRR, blue dots) as function of the modulus of the out-of-plane scattering vector Q_{\perp} , and best fit to the experimental data (red curve). Inset: Electronic density profile versus out-of-plane coordinate (z) , corresponding to the best fit.

and of the Mo layer are better visualised in Figure S2, where they have been amplified. They range from typically 1 to 8 pm (Au), and 1 to 4 pm (Mo). In another epitaxial two-

dimensional material, graphene, smaller (∼1 pm) and larger (∼20 pm) distorsions have been reported on Ir(111) (Ref. 48) and Ru(0001) (Ref. 49) substrates. In the former system no or very weak moiré peaks were observed while they were clearly observed in the latter. 50 Our observation of intense moiré diffraction peaks in $M_0S_2/Au(111)$, and the fact that among these peaks, those located closer to the $Au(111)$ diffraction peaks are those with higher intensity (Figure 1f), are consistent with significant distorsions in the Au lattice.

Regarding the out-of-plane structure (Figure 2b), according to the DFT calculations the average distance between the topmost Au plane and the closest S plane, d_{Au-S} , amounts 0.252 nm, while the average distance between the Mo and top (bottom) S planes is $d_{\text{Mo-S}}$ $= 0.153$ nm (0.156 nm). The d_{Au-S} interlayer value is smaller than the 0.312 nm interlayer distance value found in our DFT calculations for an infinite multilayer of $2H-MoS₂$, where the interplanar interactions are of van der Waals type. We note that the $d_{\text{Mo-S}}$ values, on the contrary, are very similar to the one obtained with multilayer of $2H-MoS₂$. The values of d_{Au-S} and d_{Mo-S} are modulated along the moiré pattern, by only few 10 pm and few 1 pm respectively. This suggests that the apparent height modulations of typically 100 pm observed with STM in relation with the moiré pattern, are essentially of electronic nature.

Figure 3: Electronic density of states derived from DFT calculations for free-standing $MoS₂$, MoS₂ on Au(111) in a (6×7) coincidence lattice, and MoS₂ on Au(111) with an intercalated $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})R30^{\circ}$ Cs layer.

The short d_{Au-S} values shows that strong Au-S bonds exist in the system, and this is confirmed by the DFT analysis of the charge transfer at the interface between $MoS₂$ and Au(111) (Figure 2b). Within the unit cell, a spatial modulation of the charge held by the S atoms in the bottom layer and by the Au top layers is observed. This is a signature of a spatially varying interaction between MoS_2 and $Au(111)$, which is expected when hybridization between the electronic orbitals of $MoS₂$ and $Au(111)$ occurs locally within the moiré, where the atoms belonging to each material are positioned properly. The bottom S layers has a deficit of electrons (blue shades in Figure 2b), while the Mo and top S layers rather have an excess of electrons (green to light-green shades in Figure 2b). This is consistent with the electronic density of states, also calculated with DFT, which shows that the bottom of the conduction band is down-shifted by about 160 meV compared to the case of free-standing $MoS₂$ (Figure 3, see also discussion in the SI and Figure S3). Overall the DFT analysis indicates that the MoS_2 single layer on $Au(111)$ is slight electron-doped.

We now confront the DFT analysis to the experimental analysis of the out-of-plane structure via XRR. The modulus of the Fourier transform of the total electronic density of the system along the out-of-plane direction (Figure 2c) is obtained by taking the square root of the XRR data. In between the (000) and (111) crystallographic reflections of Au(111) (modulus of the out-of-plane scattering vector Q_{\perp} , 0 nm⁻¹ and 26.68 nm⁻¹), we observe a bump in the reflectivity. Qualitatively, the local reflectivity minima correspond to destructive interferences between the X-ray waves scattered by the Au(111) lattice and by the $MoS₂$ layer, perpendicular to the surface. The distance between the minima is related to the distances between the layers (it decreases when the interlayer distance increases, and vice versa).

We performed a more quantitative analysis by calculating the structure factor of an atomic model of $\text{MoS}_2/\text{Au}(111)$, and refining the values of the free structural parameters to fit the calculation to the experimental data, using the ANA-ROD code.³⁹ In short (see Figure S4a and Table S1 for details), we assume two regions that scatter incoherently, one with the bare Au(111) surface, the other with $\text{MoS}_2/\text{Au}(111)$, consistent with the partial coverage

of the surface with $MoS₂$. We disregard the above-discussed (weak) periodic distorsion, to limit the number of free parameters and thus to ensure a reliable fit. The topmost Au (111) atomic plane is let free to move in the z direction, to account for surface relaxation. The above-defined $d_{\text{Au-S}}$ and $d_{\text{Mo-S}}$ distances are also free parameters for the fit. Finally, the roughness of the surface is modeled within the so-called β model,⁵¹ with β as another free parameter. We obtain a very good fit to the experimental data (Figure 2c) for the electronic density profile shown in the inset of Figure 2c, that yields refined values of $d_{Au-S} = 0.243$ \pm 0.025 nm and $d_{\text{Mo-S}} = 0.153 \pm 0.022$ nm. These values are in very good agreement with the outcome of the DFT calculations.

Cesium deposition and intercalation of Cs underneath $MoS₂$. STM was performed after the nominal deposition of 0.7 Cs per Au surface atom and 500 K annealing. On MoS2-free regions Cs atoms form a pattern of ∼5 nm-long nanosticks, some bunching across several 1 nm to several 10 nm, and having different orientations on the surface, also detected in the Fourier transform of the images (Figure 4a,b). The strong structural disorder evident on the STM image explains the absence of a Cs-related diffraction signature at room temperature in RHEED and GIXRD. Considering the observed local periodicity, we propose two possible atomic structures for the Cs nanosticks (Figure 4c).

We observe two kinds of $MoS₂$ -covered regions (Figure 4a,d,e). Part of the $MoS₂$ has a similar height as the Cs-covered Au(111) surface, and exhibits a moiré pattern. The majority (\sim 85%) of MoS₂ islands however has a higher apparent height and exhibits no moiré pattern. A reasonable interpretation is that the latter islands are intercalated with a Cs layer, while the former islands are not. This intercalated layer is not directly accessible to STM measurements. We observe a nanoscale pattern of lines oriented along the three highest-symmetry directions of Au(111) and MoS₂ (120 \degree orientations). This pattern may be related to that observed in another intercalated two-dimensional-material-on-metal system, graphene/ $Bi/Ir(111)$.⁵² There, the pattern was interpreted as a network of dislocations in the intercalant's lattice, the lines corresponding to the boundaries between intercalated domains

Figure 4: Structural changes in MoS_2 upon Cs intercalation. (a) STM view (0.2 nA, -2 V) revealing Cs nanosticks on Au(111) after deposition (nominally 0.7 Cs atoms per Au surface atom) at room temperature and annealing to 500 K. (b) Fast Fourier transform of a region with nanosticks. (c) Cartoons of the possible structures for the Cs nanosticks and of the $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})R30^{\circ}$ Cs phase intercalated under MoS₂. (d) Three-dimensional view of a STM topograph $(0.65 \text{ nA}, -0.5 \text{ V})$ showing MoS_2 islands with and without intercalated Cs. (e) Close-up STM view $(0.2 \text{ nA}, -2 \text{ V})$ of a MoS_2 island revealing a pattern of lines. (f) In-plane reciprocal map measured with X-rays after the deposition of three excess Cs doses and 550 K annealing. Top-right: high-resolution measurement in the area marked with a red-dashed frame. (g) Radial scan of the X-ray scattered intensity versus the in-plane momentum transfer Q_{\parallel} . The bottom four scans have been measured each after the additional deposition of an excess Cs dose and subsequent annealing at 550 K, while the top scan has been measured after the final Cs deposit/annealing followed by an annealing at 900 K. For the largest dose the two components (green areas) used to fit the $MoS₂$ peak are shown.

being shifted by a fraction of the lattice vector(s) of the intercalant's lattice (Figure 4c).

The disappearance of the moiré pattern observed in STM is corroborated by GIXRD measurements. After three cycles of deposition of a large Cs excess followed by 550 K annealing, the reciprocal space lattice of the sample shows no discernable moiré signals (compare Figures 4f,1e). In fact, the reduction of the moiré signals rather occurs after than before each 550 K annealing (Figure 4g). The process is hence thermally-activated, indicative of kinetically limitations.

Concomitantly to the vanishing of the moiré signal, the GIXRD data reveal that the diffraction signal associated to $MoS₂$ progressively shows two components, the one at lower scattering vector modulus corresponding to an expansion of the lattice (Figure 4g). The latter component may be assigned to intercalated islands, while the other corresponds to pristine, still-unintercalated MoS_2 islands on Au. This suggests that intercalation proceeds sequentially, island by island. In other words, the limiting kinetic step in the intercalation process corresponds to the opening of an intercalation channel, for instance a point defect or the unbinding of (part of) the flake edges from the substrate. Once this channel is opened, mass transport underneath the flake is presumably very efficient at the several-10 nm-scale considered here. At the end of the three deposition+annealing cycles, close to 100% of the islands are intercalated (note that the intensity scale in Figure 4g is logarithmic).

The GIXRD data show a decrease in intensity and an angular broadening of the diffraction peaks as the Cs dose increases (compare Figures 4f,1e), which both point to increased disorder in the form of in-plane strains and mosaic spread. Conversely, we observe a resurgence of the diffraction signals of the Au reconstruction (Figure 4g), suggesting that the $Au\text{-}MoS₂$ interaction has been suppressed.

Strain induced by intercalation. In the absence of a significant hybridization between $MoS₂$ and Au orbitals, the $MoS₂$ is no more strongly pinned on the substrate lattice. Consistent with this view, the in-plane lattice parameter of M_0S_2 is found to change (the M_0S_2 diffraction peak is shifted to lower momentum transfer values, Figure 4g), *i.e.* the $MoS₂$ lattice is no longer strained by its substrate. More precisely, a 0.9% lattice expansion is observed at 300 K compared to the value found in bulk MoS_2 .⁴⁷

Several effects may explain this expansion. First, $MoS₂$ is grown at 900 K, a temperature

at which the ratio of lattice parameters for $MoS₂$ and $Au(111)$ is expected to be precisely $1.10,$ ^{47,53,54} *i.e.* precisely the $11/10$ ratio determined experimentally after the sample is cooled down to room temperature. This is yet another indication that prior to intercalation $MoS₂$ is strongly bond on its substrate, with an epitaxial relationship that is unchanged between growth temperature and room temperature. In the opposite extreme case, if during cool down MoS₂ were free to compress according to its own natural thermal compression (and not that of the Au(111) substrate, which is slightly larger), the lattice parameter of $MoS₂$ would be slightly larger, by $\sim 0.2\%$.^{47,53,54} This is the maximum expansion we expect due to the suppression of the strong bonding between $MoS₂$ and Au upon intercalation. This effect hence only accounts for a small fraction of the observed 0.9% expansion.

What is the origin of the remaining $\sim 0.7\%$ expansion? A structural phase transition (1H to 1T or 1T') is expected upon electron doping, 55 and Cs, a well-known electro-donor species, might indeed donate the required amount of charges to $MoS₂$. The 1T phase is not expected to have a significantly different lattice constant (a marginally shorter lattice constant has even been predicted⁵⁶) while the 1T' phase should.⁵⁷ However our diffraction measurements do not detect a doubling of the $MoS₂$ unit cell that would be expected for this phase. There is another reason why an increasing amount of alkali atoms in the vicinity of MoS² could actually lead to an increased lattice constant. In the related system of potassium inserted in between $MoS₂$ layers, DFT calculations predicted a significant lattice expansion. There, the role of the increased charge density within the Mo-S bonds was invoked. 58 Our own DFT calculations with free-standing $MoS₂$ (this is a rough, yet reasonable description of $MoS₂$ decoupled from Au(111) by intercalation) predict that adding about 0.1 electrons per MoS² unit cell (to mimik the charge transfer associated to intercalation) yields a lattice expansion by typically several 0.1%.

We again examine the structure of the sample perpendicular to the surface. While for pristine $\text{MoS}_2/\text{Au}(111)$, the XRR spectrum exhibited essentially one intensity rebound (Figure 2c), after Cs deposition and annealing at least four rebounds ($\sim 8, 16, 22, 35 \text{ nm}^{-1}$) are

Figure 5: Nature of the structure and bonding after Cs intercalation. (a) Experimental structure factor F modulus (XRR, blue dots) as function of Q_{\perp} after three deposits of an excess $Cs + 550$ K annealing, and best simulation to the experimental data (green curve). Inset: Corresponding electronic density profile along z . (b) Top-view of the geometry optimized from the XRR analysis and used for DFT calculations. The Mo (S) atoms are sketched with gray (yellow) balls, whose shade codes the variation of height. The Cs atoms are sketched with cyan balls. The rhombus highlights the commensurate $(6\times7)(\sqrt{30}\times\sqrt{30})R30^{\circ}$ moiré unit cell. Within the area of the rhombus, we do not show the MoS₂ atoms to display the underlying $(\sqrt{30} \times \sqrt{30})R30^{\circ}$ Cs layer. (c) Cross-section, along the long diagonal of the rhombus, of the atomic structure, along the long diagonal of the rhombus, deduced from the analysis of the XRR data. The Au(111) layers are coloured according to the fcc sequence of ABC planes.

observed (Figure 5a). The distance between the extrema decreasing with increasing interplanar distances, this new observation is consistent with an increased d_{Au-S} distance, compared

to the case of $MOS_2/Au(111)$. This is precisely what is expected if a Cs layer is intercalated between MoS₂ and Au(111). To test this interpretation, we have adjusted d_{Au-S} (and the distance d_{Au-Cs} between the Cs layer and the Au topmost plane; see SI for further details). Given the large atomic radius of Cs, we expect a low-density Cs phase. Our STM analysis directly confirms that on MoS_2 -free regions (Figure 4a-c), the shortest Cs-Cs distances are indeed large, matching the second nearest neighbour Au-Au distance on the surface, i.e. 0.499 nm. Two-dimensional materials tend to alter the organisation of intercalant as shown in the case of graphene, 59 hence an even lower density in the intercalated Cs layer, as e.g. in a (2×2) phase, cannot be excluded a priori. However our simulations agree less with the XRR data in the case of such a low-density phase, suggesting that a denser phase, for instance a (√ $3\times$ √ $\overline{3}$) $R30°$ reconstruction, provides a more realistic description of the system. The best match of our model to the experimental data is obtained for $d_{Au-S} = 0.551$ nm and $d_{Au-Cs} = 0.389$ nm (see Table S2 for details). The d_{Au-S} value is increased by about 0.308 nm compared to the case without intercalated Cs. The increase is close, but 0.07 nm less than that observed in Cs-intercalated multilayer M_0S_2 .⁶⁰

Figure 5a obviously shows that this model is too simple to faithfully reproduce all the features in the experimental XRR data. In particular, between 15 nm^{-1} and 25 nm^{-1} , the model only accounts for the baseline of the experimental spectrum, and does not produce the two marked intensity rebounds. As discussed more into details in the SI (see Figure S5 and Table S3 for another simulation with a more advanced model), what is not captured by our simple model is the multilayer thickness of the Cs layer on bare Au(111) (unlike under MoS2, where it is intercalated as a single-layer). Multilayer Cs is expected there because we chose to deposit a large excess of Cs on the surface for the samples characterized with XRR (and GIXRD), due to the lack of reliable calibration of the Cs deposition rate in the corresponding ultrahigh vacuum chamber (see *Methods*). On $MoS₂$, long-ranged Cs surface diffusion and Cs desorption are instead expected already at room temperature to result in (i) low-surface-density Cs clusters, and (ii) mass transport of Cs out of the $MoS₂$ surface,

towards MoS_2 -free regions and vacuum. 550 K annealing will further promote this tendency, and strongly reduce the Cs cluster density on $MoS₂$.

Thermal de-intercalation. As already mentionned, increasing the temperature promotes intercalation of Cs underneath the MoS_2 flakes, which points to a kinetic barrier to intercalation (e.g. for passing through defects and/or for creating defects later acting as intercalation pathways). To further improve the efficiency of intercalation it is tempting to further increase temperature. Above about 800 K however, another key process is activated: the moiré signal re-appears in GIXRD , the MoS₂ peak shifts back to its initial position (Figure 4g), and the XRR spectrum strongly resembles that of as-deposited $\text{MoS}_2/\text{Au}(111)$. In fact, after 900 K annealing, the reciprocal lattice of the sample is very similar to that of the pristine sample, i.e. Cs has been de-intercalated. How is this occurring? An XPS analysis (see SI) reveals that a fraction of Cs adatoms penetrates the Au crystal already at room temperature, even more so at 550 K (Figure S6) and at 850 K. In addition to this process, at 850 K Cs atoms may have a non-negligible probability to cross the energy barrier for diffusing outside the $MoS₂$ islands, and to subsequently desorb to vacuum.

Electronic effects of Cs intercalation. We expect that intercalation of Cs has strong influence on the electronic properties of $MoS₂$. We start with experimental measurements, with ARPES, of energy-momentum cuts in the electronic band structure along KΓKM in reciprocal space, first with the case of as-grown $\text{MoS}_2/\text{Au}(111)$. The valence band of MoS_2 (whose maxima are highlighted with horizontal lines in Figure 6), with a characteristic 130 meV spin-orbit splitting at K point, is clearly seen (Figure 6a). Its maxima at Γ and K points lie 1.67 eV and 1.35 eV respectively below the Fermi level, while the conduction band minimum is above the Fermi level, consistent with a previous report.¹⁵

After the deposition of nominally 0.3 Cs atom per surface Au atom, and thermallyinduced intercalation the ARPES energy-momentum cuts (Figure 6b) and energy distribution curves (EDCs, Figure S7) show that the $MoS₂$ valence band maximum at the Γ and K points shift down, by 20 meV. No additional electronic band is observed that would corre-

Figure 6: Electronic modifications upon intercalation. Photoemission intensity along highsymmetry reciprocal space directions (MΓKM, see inset), with a 49 eV photon energy, for (a) pristine $\text{MoS}_2/\text{Au}(111)$, and (b, c) the same sample exposed to an increasing Cs dose $+0.3$ and $+0.6$ Cs atoms per Au surface atom (followed by 550 K annealing), and (d) eventually annealed to 850 K. The black lines mark the positions of the valence band extrema before/after Cs intercalation.

spond to Cs. Tripling the Cs dose yields stronger changes in the electronic band structure of MoS₂ (Figures 6c, S7): the MoS₂ valence band maximum at Γ point further shifts down, by as much as 280 meV. The photoemission signal corresponding to the $MoS₂$ valence band at the vicinity of the K point becomes weaker and broader.Inspection of the EDCs (Figure S7) is required to detect a down-shift, of about 100 meV.

The Au(111) surface state at the Γ point, close to the Fermi level, is observed before and all along the Cs deposition/annealing procedure (Figure 6). The signature of this surface state is naturally related to the occurence of the Au(111) herringbone reconstruction observed in STM in between $MoS₂$ islands (Figure 1c) and with GIXRD after Cs deposition/annealing (Figure 4g). This indicates that Cs is weakly adsorbed on Au, hence not altering the sensitive

Au(111) reconstruction/surface state. We note that as the Cs dose increases, the surface state shifts down in binding energy (Figure 6a-c). Shifts of this kind have been observed for Na adsorbed on $Cu(111),⁶¹$ and have been explained by a polarization-induced filling of the surface state.⁶²

These observations are reminiscent of a previous study that explored charge transfers induced by potassium (K) atoms in $\mathrm{MoS}_2/\mathrm{Au(111)}$. 63 In the two studies, the most prominent effects seem to be a non-rigid down-shift of the electronic band structure, with different magnitude at Γ and K points. The shifts are of the same order of magnitude with potassium and cesium, suggesting charge transfers (the Fermi level is changed) in the same range. The origin of the shifts can be qualitatively rationalised by inspecting the projection of the electronic states on the different orbitals in the system, which has been calculated by DFT for a (1×1) approximate model for MoS_{2} on $\mathrm{Au}(111)$.¹⁵ The spin-orbit-split MoS_{2} valence band close to K point is expected to be primarily of Mo $d_{x^2-y^2} + d_{xy}$ character and the fact that it is not significantly shifted upon intercalation suggests that it is not related to a possible hybridization with the substrate's electronic band (the hybridization would be strongly affected by intercalation), which seems reasonable for these in-plane $MoS₂$ orbitals. In the energy range explored in Figure 6, at Γ point the stronger contribution to the valence band stems from Mo $d_{z^2} + d_{yz} + d_{xz}$ out-of-plane orbitals, and these bands are indeed expected to be involved in the hybridization and charge transfers with the substrate or the alkali atoms, consistent with our observations (Figure 6).

The broadening of the valence band at K point after Cs intercalation points to a significant disorder in the system. This is consistent with our STM observations of a disordered nanoscaled pattern for Cs in this case (Figure 4c,e). Strikingly, annealing the sample to 850 K allows to recover a well-defined valence band at K point (compare Figure 6a,d), consistent with the deintercalation process also evident in GIXRD (Figure 4g) and XRR, which we interpret as a consequence of Cs diffusion into bulk Au or Cs desorption from the surface. This is also an indication that the source of electronic disorder was indeed extrinsic to the

 MoS_2 , *i.e.* due to the intercalant, and not intrinsic to MoS_2 , *i.e.* due to the creation of defects in the dichalcogenide single-layer.

Our ARPES observation can be rationalised in the framework of a simplified DFT calculation scheme. Minimising the structure of the MoS_2/Cs √ $3 \times$ √ $\sqrt{3}$ R30°/Au(111) system would require to consider a large commensurate calculation supercell comprising about 1,100 atoms (in a (√ $3\times$ √ $\overline{3}$) $R30^{\circ}$, and not a (1×1) , moiré unit cell), among which high-Z number ones. This is computationally prohibitive, and we prefer, instead, to analyse the electronic density of states for the values of $d_{Au-Cs} = 0.389$ nm and $d_{Au-S} = 0.551$ nm (see structural model represented in Figures 5b,c) which we derived from the analysis of the XRR data. Keeping in mind the limitations of the latter analysis, we also considered another set of $d_{\text{Au}-\text{Cs}}$ and $d_{\text{Au}-\text{S}}$ values, for which the Cs layer is further from (closer to) the MoS₂ layer (Au(111) surface), see SI for details.

Figure 3 compares the electronic density of states in the presence of the intercalated layer ($d_{\text{Au}-\text{Cs}} = 0.389 \text{ nm}$, $d_{\text{Au}-\text{S}} = 0.551 \text{ nm}$) to the case of MoS2/Au(111) and the case of isolated $MoS₂$. The bottom of the conduction band is further down-shifted, by about 320 meV, relative to the case of $\text{MoS}_2/\text{Au}(111)$, which is indicative of a strong electron doping of $MoS₂$, consistent with our ARPES observations. This tendency is robust and is also predicted for different d_{Au-Cs} and d_{Au-S} values (Figure S3). The level of electronic doping seems comparable to the one that we expect for an isolated $MoS₂$ layer, with three Cs atoms adsorbed per (10×10) MoS₂ unit cells (Figure S3).

Except for one marked peak (-330 meV) that we ascribe to an interfacial Au/Cs state, within about 600 meV below the bottom of the conduction band, the DFT calculations predict few in-gap states than for $\text{MoS}_2/\text{Au}(111)$. Below this energy range more in-gap states are predicted, at least for $d_{\text{Au}-\text{Cs}} = 0.389$ nm and $d_{\text{Au}-\text{S}} = 0.551$ nm. Their occurrence is however highly dependent on the distance between the bottom S layer and the Cs layer, as shown for a calculation performed with another set of d_{Au-Cs} and d_{Au-S} values (Figure S3).

Conclusions

We showed that starting from $MoS₂$ flakes strongly coupled to the Au(111) substrate, with a short spacing and a substantial nanorippling, Cs intercalation lifts the flakes and flatens them. The process is thermally activated. De-intercalation is also thermally activated, but at higher temperatures. We found that Cs, which is intercalated in the form of a atomic layer whose density is consistent with a (√ $3 \times$ √ $\overline{3}$) $R30°$ reconstruction on Au(111), substantially dopes MoS₂ with electrons, and that this doping is a possible origin for a ∼1% expansion of the atomic lattice of $MoS₂$ parallel to the surface. The interaction with the Cs layer is associated with relative changes in the energy of the valence band maxima and to electronic disorder presumably related to the structural disorder in Cs.

Our work opens new perspectives towards the manipulation of two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides. Similarly to in-solution strategies, 29 intercalation could be exploited on $\mathrm{MoS}_2/\mathrm{Au}(111)$ to facilitate the exfoliation of nanoscale flakes 12 or full layers. 14 Demonstrating further control on electronic or hole doping of $MoS₂$ with intercalated electro-donor or electro-acceptor species is another exciting goal. A number of species, to be intercalated with varying doses, are relevant here, among the vast catalog of alkali atoms, transition metals, and molecules. Finally, as extensively demonstrated with bulk compounds in the past, intercalation opens new doors to achieve a variety of two-dimensional phases, structural ones, magnetic ones, and even superconducting ones.

Acknowledgement

R.S. acknowledges financial support by Nanosciences Foundation. This work was supported by the 2DTransformers project under the OH-RISQUE program (ANR-14-OHRI-0004), J2D (ANR-15-CE24-0017) and ORGANI'SO (ANR-15-CE09-0017) projects of Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR), by the French state funds Equipex ANR-11-EQPX-0010, ANR-10- LABX-51-01 (Labex LANEF of "Programme d'Investissements d'Avenir"), ANR-15-IDEX-

02, and by the Région Rhône Alpes (ARC6 program) and the Labex LANEF. The was also supported by VILLUM FONDEN via the Centre of Excellence for Dirac Materials (Grant No. 11744). We thank Tao Zhou for his kind support.

Supporting Information Available

The following files are available free of charge.

Supporting information comprises additional STM data showing defects in MoS_2 , the results of DFT calculations on deformations in $MoS₂$ and its substrate, energy distribution ARPES curves, and a DFT analysis of the the influence of an intercalated AuCs alloy on the electronic properties of $MoS₂$.

References

- (1) Splendiani, A.; Sun, L.; Zhang, Y.; Li, T.; Kim, J.; Chim, C.-Y.; Galli, G.; Wang, F. Emerging Photoluminescence in Monolayer MoS₂. Nano Lett. **2010**, 10, 1271–1275.
- (2) Mak, K. F.; Lee, C.; Hone, J.; Shan, J.; Heinz, T. F. Atomically Thin MoS_2 : A New Direct-Gap Semiconductor. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2010**, 105, 136805.
- (3) Radisavljevic, B.; Radenovic, A.; Brivio, J.; Giacometti, i. V.; Kis, A. Single-Layer MoS² Transistors. Nat. Nanotech. 2011, 6, 147.
- (4) Zhan, Y.; Liu, Z.; Najmaei, S.; Ajayan, P. M.; Lou, J. Large-Area Vapor-Phase Growth and Characterization of MoS_2 Atomic Layers on a SiO_2 Substrate. *Small* 2012, 8, 966–971.
- (5) Liu, K.-K.; Zhang, W.; Lee, Y.-H.; Lin, Y.-C.; Chang, M.-T.; Su, C.-Y.; Chang, C.-S.; Li, H.; Shi, Y.; Zhang, H. et al. Growth of Large-Area and Highly Crystalline $MoS₂$ Thin Layers on Insulating substrates. Nano Lett. 2012, 12, 1538–1544.
- (6) Lee, Y.-H.; Zhang, X.-Q.; Zhang, W.; Chang, M.-T.; Lin, C.-T.; Chang, K.-D.; Yu, Y.- C.; Wang, J. T.-W.; Chang, C.-S.; Li, L.-J. et al. Synthesis of Large-Area $MoS₂$ Atomic Layers with Chemical Vapor Deposition. Adv. Mater. 2012, 24, 2320–2325.
- (7) Eichfeld, S. M.; Hossain, L.; Lin, Y.-C.; Piasecki, A. F.; Kupp, B.; Birdwell, A. G.; Burke, R. A.; Lu, N.; Peng, X.; Li, J. et al. Highly Scalable, Atomically Thin WSe_2 Grown via Metal-Organic Chemical Vapor Deposition. ACS Nano 2015, 9, 2080–2087.
- (8) Kim, D.; Sun, D.; Lu, W.; Cheng, Z.; Zhu, Y.; Le, D.; Rahman, T. S.; Bartels, L. Toward the Growth of an Aligned Single-Layer MoS₂ Film. *Langmuir* 2011, 27, 11650–11653.
- (9) Orofeo, C. M.; Suzuki, S.; Sekine, Y.; Hibino, H. Scalable Synthesis of Layer-Controlled WS_2 and MoS_2 Sheets by Sulfurization of Thin Metal Films. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2014, 105, 083112.
- (10) Wang, Y.; Li, L.; Yao, W.; Song, S.; Sun, J.; Pan, J.; Ren, X.; Li, C.; Okunishi, E.; Wang, Y.-Q. et al. Monolayer $PtSe₂$, a New Semiconducting Transition-Metal-Dichalcogenide, Epitaxially Grown by Direct Selenization of Pt. Nano Lett. **2015**, 15, 4013–4018.
- (11) Ugeda, M. M.; Bradley, A. J.; Shi, S.-F.; Felipe, H.; Zhang, Y.; Qiu, D. Y.; Ruan, W.; Mo, S.-K.; Hussain, Z.; Shen, Z.-X. et al. Giant Bandgap Renormalization and Excitonic Effects in a Monolayer Transition Metal Dichalcogenide Semiconductor. Nat. Mater. 2014, 13, 1091–1095.
- (12) Helveg, S.; Lauritsen, J. V.; Lægsgaard, E.; Stensgaard, I.; Nørskov, J. K.; Clausen, B.; Topsøe, H.; Besenbacher, F. Atomic-Scale Structure of Single-Layer $MoS₂$ Nanoclusters. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2000, 84, 951.
- (13) Sørensen, S. G.; Füchtbauer, H. G.; Tuxen, A. K.; Walton, A. S.; Lauritsen, J. V. Structure and Electronic Properties of In Situ Synthesized Single-Layer $MoS₂$ on a Gold Surface. ACS Nano 2014, 8, 6788-6796.
- (14) Bana, H.; Travaglia, E.; Bignardi, L.; Lacovig, P.; Sanders, C. E.; Dendzik, M.; Michiardi, M.; Bianchi, M.; Lizzit, D.; Presel, F. et al. Epitaxial Growth of Single-Orientation High-Quality MoS_2 Monolayers. 2D Mater. **2018**, 5, 035012.
- (15) Bruix, A.; Miwa, J. A.; Hauptmann, N.; Wegner, D.; Ulstrup, S.; Grønborg, S. S.; Sanders, C. E.; Dendzik, M.; Čabo, A. G.; Bianchi, M. et al. Single-Layer MoS₂ on Au(111): Band Gap Renormalization and Substrate Interaction. Phys. Rev. B 2016, 93, 165422.
- (16) Krane, N.; Lotze, C.; Franke, K. J. Moiré Structure of M_0S_2 on Au(111): Local Structural and Electronic Properties. Surf. Sci. 2018, 678, 136–142.
- (17) Krane, N.; Lotze, C.; Läger, J. M.; Reecht, G.; Franke, K. J. Electronic Structure and Luminescence of Quasi-Freestanding $MoS₂$ Nanopatches on Au(111). Nano Lett. 2016, 16, 5163–5168.
- (18) Varykhalov, A.; Sánchez-Barriga, J.; Shikin, A.; Biswas, C.; Vescovo, E.; Rybkin, A.; Marchenko, D.; Rader, O. Electronic and Magnetic Properties of Quasifreestanding Graphene on Ni. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2008, 101, 157601.
- (19) Riedl, C.; Coletti, C.; Iwasaki, T.; Zakharov, A.; Starke, U. Quasi-Free-Standing Epitaxial Graphene on SiC Obtained by Hydrogen Intercalation. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2009, 103, 246804.
- (20) Mahatha, S. K.; Dendzik, M.; Sanders, C. E.; Michiardi, M.; Bianchi, M.; Miwa, J. A.; Hofmann, P. Quasi-Free-Standing Single-Layer WS₂ Achieved by Intercalation. Phys. Rev. Materials 2018, 2, 124001.
- (21) Friend, R.; Yoffe, A. Electronic Properties of Intercalation Complexes of the Transition Metal Dichalcogenides. Adv. Phys. 1987, 36, 1–94.
- (22) Murugan, A. V.; Quintin, M.; Delville, M.-H.; Campet, G.; Gopinath, C. S.; Vijayamohanan, K. Exfoliation-Induced Nanoribbon Formation of Poly(3,4-Ethylene Dioxythiophene) PEDOT Between $MoS₂$ Layers as Cathode Material for Lithium Batteries. J. Power Sources 2006, 156, 615–619.
- (23) Whittingham, M. S. Electrical Energy Storage and Intercalation Chemistry. Science 1976, 192, 1126–1127.
- (24) Feng, C.; Ma, J.; Li, H.; Zeng, R.; Guo, Z.; Liu, H. Synthesis of Molybdenum Disulfide $(MoS₂)$ for Lithium Ion Battery Applications. *Mater. Res. Bull.* **2009**, 44 , 1811–1815.
- (25) Li, Z.; Jiang, K.; Khan, F.; Goswami, A.; Liu, J.; Passian, A.; Thundat, T. Anomalous Interfacial Stress Generation During Sodium Intercalation/Extraction in $MoS₂$ Thin-Film Anodes. Sci. Adv. 2019, 5, eaav2820.
- (26) Py, M.; Haering, R. Structural Destabilization Induced by Lithium Intercalation in MoS₂ and Related Compounds. *Canadian J. Phys.* **1983**, 61, 76–84.
- (27) Wypych, F.; Schöllhorn, R. 1T-MoS₂, a New Metallic Modification of Molybdenum Disulfide. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm. 1992, 1386–1388.
- (28) Heising, J.; Kanatzidis, M. G. Structure of Restacked MoS₂ and WS₂ Elucidated by Electron Crystallography. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 638–643.
- (29) Eda, G.; Yamaguchi, H.; Voiry, D.; Fujita, T.; Chen, M.; Chhowalla, M. Photoluminescence from Chemically Exfoliated MoS₂. Nano Lett. **2011**, 11, 5111-5116.
- (30) Wang, Y.; Ou, J. Z.; Balendhran, S.; Chrimes, A. F.; Mortazavi, M.; Yao, D. D.; Field, M. R.; Latham, K.; Bansal, V.; Friend, J. R. et al. Electrochemical Control of Photoluminescence in Two-Dimensional $MoS₂$ Nanoflakes. ACS Nano 2013, 7, 10083– 10093.
- (31) Wang, X.; Shen, X.; Wang, Z.; Yu, R.; Chen, L. Atomic-Scale Clarification of Structural Transition of MoS₂ Upon Sodium Intercalation. ACS Nano 2014, 8, 11394–11400.
- (32) Fan, X.; Xu, P.; Zhou, D.; Sun, Y.; Li, Y. C.; Nguyen, M. A. T.; Terrones, M.; Mallouk, T. E. Fast and Efficient Preparation of Exfoliated $2H-MoS₂$ Nanosheets by Sonication-Assisted Lithium Intercalation and Infrared Laser-Induced 1T to 2H Phase Reversion. Nano Lett. 2015, 15, 5956–5960.
- (33) Guo, Y.; Sun, D.; Ouyang, B.; Raja, A.; Song, J.; Heinz, T. F.; Brus, L. E. Probing the Dynamics of the Metallic-to-Semiconducting Structural Phase Transformation in MoS² Crystals. Nano Lett. 2015, 15, 5081–5088.
- (34) Xiong, F.; Wang, H.; Liu, X.; Sun, J.; Brongersma, M.; Pop, E.; Cui, Y. Li Intercalation in MoS2: In Situ Observation of its Dynamics and Tuning Optical and Electrical Properties. Nano Lett. 2015, 15, 6777–6784.
- (35) Ahmad, M.; Müller, E.; Habenicht, C.; Schuster, R.; Knupfer, M.; Büchner, B. Semiconductor-to-Metal Transition in the Bulk of $WSe₂$ Upon Potassium Intercalation. J Physics: Condens. Matter. 2017, 29, 165502.
- (36) Hoffmann, S.; Søndergaard, C.; Schultz, C.; Li, Z.; Hofmann, P. An Undulator-Based Spherical Grating Monochromator Beamline for Angle-Resolved Photoemission Spectroscopy. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A 2004, 523, 441–453.
- (37) Taborek, P.; Rutledge, J. Novel Wetting Behavior of ⁴He on Cesium. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1992, 68, 2184.
- (38) Petrović, M.; Rakić, I. Š.; Runte, S.; Busse, C.; Sadowski, J.; Lazić, P.; Pletikosić, I.; Pan, Z.-H.; Milun, M.; Pervan, P. et al. The Mechanism of Caesium Intercalation of Graphene. Nat. Commun. 2013, 4, 1–8.
- (39) Vlieg, E. ROD: a Program for Surface X-Ray Crystallography. J. Appl. Cryst. 2000, 33, 401–405.
- (40) Lewis, J. P.; Jelínek, P.; Ortega, J.; Demkov, A. A.; Trabada, D. G.; Haycock, B.; Wang, H.; Adams, G.; Tomfohr, J. K.; Abad, E. et al. Advances and Applications in the FIREBALL Ab Initio Tight-Binding Molecular-Dynamics Formalism. Phys. Stat. Sol. b 2011, 248, 1989–2007.
- (41) Jelínek, P.; Wang, H.; Lewis, J. P.; Sankey, O. F.; Ortega, J. Multicenter Approach to the Exchange-Correlation Interactions in Ab Initio Tight-Binding Methods. Phys. Rev. B 2005, 71, 235101.
- (42) Sankey, O. F.; Niklewski, D. J. Ab Initio Multicenter Tight-Binding Model for Molecular-Dynamics Simulations and Other Applications in Covalent Systems. Phys. Rev. B 1989, 40, 3979.
- (43) González, C.; Biel, B.; Dappe, Y. J. Theoretical Characterisation of Point Defects on a MoS² Monolayer by Scanning Tunnelling Microscopy. Nanotechnology 2016, 27, 105702.
- (44) Grønborg, S. S.; Ulstrup, S.; Bianchi, M.; Dendzik, M.; Sanders, C. E.; Lauritsen, J. V.; Hofmann, P.; Miwa, J. A. Synthesis of Epitaxial Single-Layer $MoS₂$ on Au(111). Langmuir 2015, 31, 9700–9706.
- (45) Bollinger, M.; Lauritsen, J.; Jacobsen, K. W.; Nørskov, J. K.; Helveg, S.; Besenbacher, F. One-Dimensional Metallic Edge States in MoS₂. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2001, 87, 196803.
- (46) Guinier, A. X-ray diffraction in crystals, imperfect crystals, and amorphous bodies; Dover Publications, 1994.
- (47) El-Mahalawy, S.; Evans, B. The Thermal Expansion of $2H\text{-MoS}_2$, $2H\text{-MoSe}_2$ and $2H\text{-}$ WSe² between 20 and 800◦C. J. Appl. Cryst. 1976, 9, 403–406.
- (48) Blanc, N.; Coraux, J.; Vo-Van, C.; N'Diaye, A. T.; Geaymond, O.; Renaud, G. Local Deformations and Incommensurability of High-Quality Epitaxial Graphene on a Weakly Interacting Transition Metal. Phys. Rev. B 2012, 86, 235439.
- (49) Martoccia, D.; Björck, M.; Schlepütz, C.; Brugger, T.; Pauli, S.; Patterson, B.; Greber, T.; Willmott, P. Graphene on Ru(0001): a Corrugated and Chiral Structure. New J. Phys. 2010, 12, 043028.
- (50) Martoccia, D.; Willmott, P.; Brugger, T.; Björck, M.; Günther, S.; Schlepütz, C.; Cervellino, A.; Pauli, S.; Patterson, B.; Marchini, S. et al. Graphene on Ru (0001): a 25×25 Supercell. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2008**, 101, 126102.
- (51) Robinson, I. K. Crystal Truncation Rods and Surface Roughness. Phys. Rev. B 1986, 33, 3830.
- (52) Warmuth, J.; Bruix, A.; Michiardi, M.; Hänke, T.; Bianchi, M.; Wiebe, J.; Wiesendanger, R.; Hammer, B.; Hofmann, P.; Khajetoorians, A. A. Band-Gap Engineering by Bi Intercalation of Graphene on Ir(111). Phys. Rev. B 2016, 93, 165437.
- (53) Huang, L. F.; Gong, P. L.; Zeng, Z. Correlation between Structure, Phonon Spectra, Thermal Expansion, and Thermomechanics of Single-Layer MoS₂. Phys. Rev. B 2014, 90, 045409.
- (54) Nix, F.; MacNair, D. The Thermal Expansion of Pure Metals: Copper, Gold, Aluminum, Nickel, and Iron. Phys. Rev. 1941, 60, 597.
- (55) Brumme, T.; Calandra, M.; Mauri, F. First-Principles Theory of Field-Effect Doping in Transition-Metal Dichalcogenides: Structural Properties, Electronic Structure, Hall Coefficient, and Electrical Conductivity. Phys. Rev. B 2015, 91, 155436.
- (56) Duerloo, K.-A. N.; Li, Y.; Reed, E. J. Structural Phase Transitions in Two-Dimensional Mo-and W-Dichalcogenide Monolayers. Nat. Commun. 2014, 5, 4214.
- (57) Tan, S. J. R.; Sarkar, S.; Zhao, X.; Luo, X.; Luo, Y. Z.; Poh, S. M.; Abdelwahab, I.; Zhou, W.; Venkatesan, T.; Chen, W. et al. Temperature- and Phase-Dependent Phonon Renormalization in 1T'-MoS₂. ACS Nano 2018, 12, 5051-5058.
- (58) Andersen, A.; Kathmann, S. M.; Lilga, M. A.; Albrecht, K. O.; Hallen, R. T.; Mei, D. First-Principles Characterization of Potassium Intercalation in Hexagonal $2H\text{-MoS}_2$. J. Phys. Chem. C 2012, 116, 1826–1832.
- (59) Martínez-Galera, A. J.; Schröder, U.; Huttmann, F.; Jolie, W.; Craes, F.; Busse, C.; Caciuc, V.; Atodiresei, N.; Blügel, S.; Michely, T. Oxygen Orders Differently Under Graphene: New Superstructures on Ir (111). Nanoscale 2016, 8, 1932–1943.
- (60) Somoano, R.; Hadek, V.; Rembaum, A. Alkali Metal Intercalates of Molybdenum Disulfide. J. Chem. Phys. 1973, 58, 697–701.
- (61) Lindgren, S.; Walldén, L. Photoemission of Electrons at the $Cu(111)/Na$ Interface. Solid State Commun. 1980, 34, 671–673.
- (62) Forster, F.; Bendounan, A.; Ziroff, J.; Reinert, F. Systematic Studies on Surface Modifications by ARUPS on Shockley-Type Surface States. Surf. Sci. 2006, 600, 3870–3874.
- (63) Miwa, J. A.; Ulstrup, S.; Sørensen, S. G.; Dendzik, M.; Čabo, A. G.; Bianchi, M.; Lauritsen, J. V.; Hofmann, P. Electronic Structure of Epitaxial Single-Layer MoS₂. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2015, 114, 046802.