

Critical exponents of normal subgroups in higher rank

Olivier Glorieux, Samuel Tapie

▶ To cite this version:

Olivier Glorieux, Samuel Tapie. Critical exponents of normal subgroups in higher rank. 2020. hal-02862820

HAL Id: hal-02862820

https://hal.science/hal-02862820

Preprint submitted on 9 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Critical exponents of normal subgroups in higher rank

Olivier Glorieux^{*}, Samuel Tapie[†]

June 9, 2020

Abstract

We study the critical exponents of discrete subgroups of a higher rank semi-simple real linear Lie group G. Let us fix a Cartan subspace $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ of the Lie algebra of G. We show that if $\Gamma < G$ is a discrete group, and $\Gamma' \lhd \Gamma$ is a Zariski dense normal subgroup, then the limit cones of Γ and Γ' in a coincide. Moreover, for all linear form $\varphi : \mathfrak{a} \to \mathbb{R}$ positive on this limit cone, the critical exponents in the direction of φ satisfy $\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma') \geq \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma)$. Eventually, we show that if $\Gamma' \backslash \Gamma$ is amenable, these critical exponents coincide.

1 Introduction

Let G be a real linear semi-simple Lie group, K < G a maximal compact subgroup and X = G/K the associated Riemmannian symmetric space, whose distance is denoted by d. We write $o \in X$ the fixed point of K. The sectional curvatures on X are non-positive. When G has rank one, we can scale the metric such that the sectionnal curvatures on X are at most -1.

Let $\Gamma < G$ be a discrete subgroup. To study the growth of Γ , a central quantity is its *critical exponent*, defined by

$$\delta(\Gamma) = \limsup_{R \to +\infty} \frac{1}{R} \log \{ \gamma \in \Gamma \; ; \; d(o, \gamma o) \leq R \}.$$

Since the sectional curvatures of X are bounded from below, it follows from the Bishop-Gromov comparison theorem that this critical exponent is finite, bounded from above by the *volume entropy* of G which is defined by

$$h(G) = \limsup_{R \to +\infty} \frac{1}{R} \log \operatorname{Vol}(B(o, R)).$$

The critical exponent has been widely studied since the 70's for Riemannian manifolds with curvature at most -1 (and CAT(-1) metric spaces). In this case, it coincides with the topological entropy of the geodesic flow of $\Gamma \setminus X$. In this paper, we will be interested in the following elementary question.

If
$$\Gamma' \triangleleft \Gamma$$
 is a normal subgroup, how are related $\delta(\Gamma')$ and $\delta(\Gamma)$?

^{*}This project received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (ERC starting grant DiGGeS, grant agreement No 715982).

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ S. Tapie acknowledges support from A.N.R. grant CCEM (ANR-17-CE40-0034) and from the Centre Henri Lebesgue ANR-11-LABX- 0020-01

1.1 Normal subgroups, limit cones and lower bound on critical exponents

By construction, $\delta(\Gamma') \leq \delta(\Gamma)$ for all subgroups $\Gamma' < \Gamma$. When Γ' is a *normal* subgroup of Γ , the following results have been shown in [Rob05].

Theorem 1.1 ([Rob05], Theorem 2.2.1). Let X be a CAT(-1) metric space. Let $\Gamma \subset Isom(X)$ be a discrete group and $\Gamma' \lhd \Gamma$ be a non elementary normal subgroup of Γ_0 . Then their critical exponents satisfy $\delta(\Gamma') \geq \frac{1}{2}\delta(\Gamma)$.

This result may be surprising due to the following example. In the setting of Theorem 1.1, let $g,h\in\Gamma$ be hyperbolic elements whose fixed points on the visual boundary ∂X are all distinct. For all $n\in\mathbb{N}$, let us consider the subgroup $\Gamma_n=\langle g^n,h^n\rangle<\Gamma$ generated by g^n and h^n . For n large enough, the group Γ_n has a structure of *Schottky group*. It is a free group generated by g^n and h^n , and it can easily be shown that $\lim_{n\to+\infty}\delta(\Gamma_n)=0$. Nevertheless, writing Γ'_n for the *normal closure* of Γ_n in Γ , it follows from Theorem 1.1 that $\delta(\Gamma'_n)$ cannot be smaller than $\frac{1}{2}\delta(\Gamma)$. We will show that a similar phenomenon holds in higher rank symmetric spaces.

On non-positively curved manifolds, the study of the critical exponent has been of more recent interest. For discrete groups acting on higher rank symmetric spaces, it has been known since the PhD thesis of J.F. Quint (cf [Qui02]) that it is interesting to consider *directional critical exponents* which we define now. We refer to Section 2 for the geometric background.

Let us fix a Cartan subspace $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ of the Lie algebra of G and a Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{a}^+ \subset \mathfrak{a}$. Let $C(\Gamma) \subset \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}$ be the limit cone of Γ . This cone has been introduced by Y. Benoist in [Ben97], and describes the directions in the Weyl chamber in which the group grows. By definition, if $\Gamma' < \Gamma$, the limit cones satisfy $C(\Gamma') \subset C(\Gamma)$.

For any linear form $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathbb{R})$, which we suppose to be positive on $C(\Gamma)$, let us write

$$\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma) := \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{R} \log \operatorname{Card} \{ \gamma \in \Gamma \, | \, \varphi(\kappa(\gamma)) \leq R \},$$

where $\kappa(\gamma)$ denotes the Cartan projection of γ , see Section 2.1.2.

Our first result is the following.

Theorem 1.2. Let G be a real linear, semisimple, connected, Lie group with finite center. Let Γ be a discrete subgroup of G and $\Gamma' \triangleleft \Gamma$ be a normal subgroup. If Γ' is Zariski dense in G, then the two limit cones coincide: $C(\Gamma) = C(\Gamma')$.

Moreover, for all linear forms $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathbb{R})$ which are positive on $C(\Gamma)$, we have $\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma') \geq \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma)$.

We have separated the statements to emphasize on the equality of limit cones, which seems unknown. Since a linear form which vanishes on some open subset of $C(\Gamma)$ has infinite critical exponent, this equality of limit cones follows from the inequality $\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma') \geq \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma)$ for all φ which are positive on $C(\Gamma')$.

The Zariski dense assumption on Γ' is necessary to avoid trivial counter-examples as $\Gamma' = \Gamma_{\ell} \times \{ \mathrm{Id} \} \subset \Gamma_{\ell} \times \Gamma_r = \Gamma$ for discrete groups in a product, for which Theorem 1.2 is trivially false. Our approach is based on the existence of φ -conformal Patterson-Sullivan densities for Γ' , which are not defined for discrete groups such as $\Gamma_{\ell} \times \{ \mathrm{Id} \} \subset \Gamma_{\ell} \times \Gamma_r$.

Let us say that the group $\Gamma \subset G$ is normally irreducible if it intersects trivially all normal subgroups $N \triangleleft G$ when $N \neq G$. We will show in Proposition 3.7 that a Zariski dense subgroup $\Gamma < G$ is normally irreducible if and only if all its non-trivial normal subgroups are Zariski dense

Corollary 1.3. Let G be a real linear, semisimple, connected, Lie group with finite center. Let Γ be a normally irreducible Zariski dense discrete subgroup of G. Then for all non trivial normal subgroup $\Gamma' \triangleleft \Gamma$, the limit cones $C(\Gamma)$ and $C(\Gamma')$ coincide. Moreover, for all linear forms $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathbb{R})$ which are positive on $C(\Gamma)$, we have $\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma') \geq \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma)$.

1.2 Equality of critical exponents for co-amenable normal subgroups

Once the equality of the limit cones has been proven, it is natural to look for conditions which ensure the equality between the critical exponents for Γ and Γ' . When both groups are lattices, the question is settled by a famous theorem of Leuzinger.

Theorem 1.4 (Leuzinger, [Leu03]). Let Γ be a (possibly non-uniform) lattice in G, and let h(G) be the volume entropy of G. Then $\delta(\Gamma) = h(G)$ if and only if Γ is a lattice.

We are hence interested in the situation when Γ' is not a lattice. In negative curvature, the following has been shown by Roblin.

Theorem 1.5 ([Rob05], Theorem 2.2.2). Let X be a CAT(-1) metric space. Let $\Gamma \subset Isom(X)$ be a non-elementary discrete group. Let $\Gamma' \triangleleft \Gamma$ be a normal subgroup of Γ such that $\Gamma' \backslash \Gamma$ is amenable. Then $\delta(\Gamma') = \delta(\Gamma)$.

We will define *amenable groups* in Section 4. Our second main result extends this theorem to discrete groups of higher rank symmetric spaces.

Theorem 1.6. Let G be a real linear, semisimple, connected, Lie group with finite center. Let Γ be a discrete subgroup of G. Let Γ' be a normal, Zariski dense subgroup of Γ . If $\Gamma' \setminus \Gamma$ is amenable then for all linear form $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathbb{R})$ which are positive on $C(\Gamma)$, the associated critical exponents satisfy $\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma') = \delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma)$.

Let us point that, in our proof as in Roblin's, the *normal* assumption is crucial. Removing this assumption is possible in negative curvature, but requires an additional hypothesis on Γ . This hypothesis also allows to have a full characterization of the equality of critical exponents by amenability, which has been shown by Coulon, Dougall, Schapira and Tapie.

Theorem 1.7 ([CDST], Theorem 1.1). Let Γ Let X be a proper Gromov-hyperbolic geodesic space. Let Γ be a discrete group acting properly by isometries on X, and Γ' a subgroup of Γ . Assume that the action of Γ is strongly positively recurrent. The following are equivalent.

- $\delta(\Gamma) = \delta(\Gamma')$
- The subgroup Γ' is co-amenable in Γ .

Being strongly positively recurrent means that for some suitable notion of critical exponent at infinity $\delta^{\infty}(\Gamma)$, we have $\delta(\Gamma) > \delta^{\infty}(\Gamma)$. This property is also called a critical gap. In negative curvature, this has strong implications on the dynamics of the group Γ acting on X, and on the geodesic flow of the quotient X/Γ . We refer the reader to [ST19], [CDST]. Studying the notion of strongly positively recurrent discrete groups in higher rank symmetric spaces will be done elsewhere.

1.3 Organisation of the paper

In the next section, we recall the necessary background on dynamics of discrete groups in symmetric spaces.

In Section 3 we present the proof of Theorem 1.2. It mostly relies on adapting the ideas from [Rob05] to higher rank setting. The main idea is to prove that the orbits of the group Γ satisfies a so-called *shadow principle* with respect to the conformal densities associated to Γ' , see Section 3.1.

In the last section, we introduce amenable groups and prove Theorem 1.6. It also relies on the shadow principle, combined with the use of amenability of $\Gamma' \setminus \Gamma$ to construct an average of the Γ -equivariant conformal density, see Section 4.2, from which we will control the critical exponents.

2 Background on higher rank symmetric spaces

In this section we first recall some classical facts on the geometry of higher rank symmetric spaces. We then describe some basic facts about discrete isometry groups of such spaces, and we introduce φ -conformal densities on the Furstenberg boundary which will be the main tools in the proof of our results. Our main references for this section are [Qui02, Thi07, DK19]. Similar results under a slightly different point of view are presented in [Lin04].

2.1 Symmetric spaces

We fix once for all a semisimple real linear Lie group G. In this section we present some geometric properties of the symmetric space associated to G which we will use.

2.1.1 Structure of semisimple Lie groups

Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of G. We denote by X = G/K the associated symmetric riemannian space.

The Lie algebra of G (respectively K) is denoted by \mathfrak{g} (respectively \mathfrak{k}). Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ be a Cartan decomposition of \mathfrak{g} .

The maximal abelian Lie algebra of \mathfrak{p} is called a Cartan subspace and is denoted by \mathfrak{a} . Geometrically, \mathfrak{a} corresponds via the exponential map to an isometric copy of a Euclidean space in X of maximal dimension. Any isometric, totally geodesic copy of \mathbb{R}^n is called a flat of X of dimension n. The dimension of \mathfrak{a} is called the rank of G. By definition, when this rank is at least 2, there exists flats of dimension greater than 2 along which the sectional curvatures vanishes.

The space \mathfrak{g} then decomposes into a direct sum $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$, where the sum is taken over a set of linear forms on \mathfrak{a} , called roots, for which

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} := \{ u \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [a, u] = \alpha(a)u, \forall a \in \mathfrak{a} \},$$

is non trivial.

The kernels of non zero roots cut the vector space \mathfrak{a} into connected components, called Weyl chambers. We choose one, which we call the positive Weyl chamber and denote by \mathfrak{a}^+ .

2.1.2 Cartan projection

The main difference between the hyperbolic setting and higher rank symmetric spaces is the existence of such flats, for which the sectional curvature is zero. Directions in flats provide invariants

for the dynamics of the group G and hence for the geodesic flow on X. We now present how we can take this into account.

The Cartan projection, which generalizes the polar decomposition for any symmetric space, is defined as follows.

Theorem 2.1. For every $g \in G$ there exists $k_1, k_2 \in K$ and a unique $\kappa(g) \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}$ such that

$$g = k_1 \exp(\kappa(g)) k_2$$
.

The element $\kappa(g)$ is called the Cartan projection of g.

We refer the reader to [Hel78], Chap. VI. for a proof. The Killing form on G, which induces the metric on X = G/K and in particular gives a scalar product on \mathfrak{p} which finally restrict to \mathfrak{a} . The norm of $\kappa(g)$ for this scalar product is by construction the distance d(g[K], [K]) in X. For all $x, y \in X$ let $g_x, g_y \in G$ be such that $x = g_x K$ and $y = g_y K$. Then the distance d(x, y) in X is given by $\|\kappa(g_y^{-1}g_x)\|$.

In order to take into account the direction inside \mathfrak{a}^+ , we introduce a \mathfrak{a}^+ -valued "distance" defined by:

$$a(x,y) := \kappa(g_y^{-1}g_x).$$

The following lemma will be used in the sequel as one commonly uses the triangle inequality in negative curvature:

Lemma 2.2. [Ben97, Lemme 4.6] For all compact subset L of G, there exists a positive real number M > 0 such that for all $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in L$, and for all $x, y \in X$:

$$||a(\ell_1 x, \ell_2 y) - a(x, y)|| \le M.$$

2.1.3 Furstenberg boundary

When the rank of X is at least 2, the isometry group of X does not act transitively on the unitary tangent bundle. This difference with strictly negative curvature spaces makes the use of the geometric boundary (ie. equivalence classes of asymptotic rays) less relevant. One will instead consider classes of asymptotic Weyl chambers, which we introduce now.

A geometric Weyl chamber is by definition a map $w: \mathfrak{a}^+ \to X$ of the form

$$w(a) = g \cdot \exp(a),$$

where g is an element of G. Two geometric Weyl chambers w_1, w_2 are said asymptotic if

$$\sup_{a \in \mathfrak{a}} d(w_1(a), w_2(a)) < +\infty.$$

We denote by \mathcal{F} the space of classes of asymptotic geometric Weyl chambers. By definition, the group G acts transitively on \mathcal{F} . The stabilizer of the classes corresponding to $g = \operatorname{Id}$ is denoted by P. One has therefore the identification $\mathcal{F} \simeq G/P$. The space \mathcal{F} is called the Furstenberg boundary of X.

In higher rank symmetric spaces, geometric Weyl chambers play a role analogous to geodesic rays in rank one. For a geometric Weyl chamber w, call w(0) its origin. For any class of asymptotic geometric Weyl chambers, we can find a representative with origin $o = [K] \in X$. Writing M for the

stabilizer in K of an element of \mathcal{F} , it follows from the Iwasawa decomposition (cf [Hel78], Chap. VI.) that

$$\mathcal{F} = G/P \simeq K/M$$
.

In particular, the Furstenberg boundary \mathcal{F} is compact.

Let w be a geometric Weyl chamber and denote by $w(+\infty)$ its equivalence class. We extend as follows the notion of shadow to this setting:

Definition 2.3. For all $x, y \in X$ and all r > 0, the shadow of B(y, r) seen from x is defined by

$$S(x,y,r) = \{ \eta \in \mathcal{F} \mid \exists w : \mathfrak{a}^+ \to X, w(+\infty) = \eta, \ w(0) = x, \ w(\mathfrak{a}^+) \cap B(y,r) \neq \emptyset \}.$$

The definition is similar as the strictly negatively curved case, where one just replaces geodesic rays by geometric Weyl chambers.

Let $\xi \in \mathcal{F}$ and G_{ξ} the stabilizer of ξ in G. There is a unique open dense orbit of G_{ξ} in \mathcal{F} . It is denoted by $V(\xi)$. These are the points which are visible from ξ . It is equal to the set of points $\eta \in \mathcal{F}$ such that there exists a flat $f: \mathfrak{a} \to X$, with $f(u) = g.\exp(u).K$ for some $g \in G$, that satisfies: $[f_{|\mathfrak{a}^+}] = \eta$ and $[f_{|-\mathfrak{a}^+}] = \xi$. The complementary set of $V(\xi)$ in \mathcal{F} is denoted by $L(\xi)$. It follows from Section 5 of $[Qui02]^1$ that $L(\xi)$ is a Zariski closed subset of \mathcal{F} .

We will need the following result, showing that $\tau \mapsto L(\tau)$ is continuous in the Hausdorff topology. Recall that \mathcal{F} identified with K/M and we endow \mathcal{F} with a K-invariant Riemannian metric.

Lemma 2.4. [DK19, Lemma 6.6] For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for $\tau \in \mathcal{F}$ and for all $\tau' \in B(\tau, \delta)$:

$$B(L(\tau'), \varepsilon) \subset B(L(\tau), 2\varepsilon).$$

Where we denoted by $B(X,\varepsilon)$ the ε neighborhood of a subset $X \in \mathcal{F}$.

Busemann functions 2.1.4

The notion of Busemann function extends accordingly to the \mathfrak{a}^+ -valued distance function $a(\cdot,\cdot)$ defined in section 2.1.2. Let $x, y \in X$, and $\eta \in \mathcal{F}$ and take $\xi : \mathbb{R} \to X$ a geodesic ray at bounded distance of η . We define the \mathfrak{a} -valued Busemann function by:

$$\beta_{\eta}(x,y) := \lim_{t \to +\infty} a(x,\xi(t)) - a(y,\xi(t)).$$

The fact that this limit exists and is independent of the choice of ξ can be founded in Section 6 of [Qui02], see also [BQ16, 5.34].

We recall two geometric inequalities concerning the Busemann functions. We refer to [Thi07] which shows precisely the statements which we use in the sequel. Annalogous statement are shown for instance in Section 6 of [Qui02].

Lemma 2.5. [Thi07, Proposition 8.66] There exists a constant c > 0 such that for all $x, y \in X$ and all $\xi \in S(x, y, r)$:

$$\|\beta_{\varepsilon}(x,y) - a(x,y)\| < cr.$$

Lemma 2.6. [Thi07, Proposition 8.69] There exists a constant c>0 such that for all $x,y \in X$ and all $\xi \in \mathcal{F}$: $\frac{\|\beta_\xi(x,y)\| \leq c \|a(x,y)\|.}{\text{1In this reference, $L(\xi)$ is denoted by \mathcal{Q}_θ^-}}$

$$\|\beta_{\xi}(x,y)\| \le c\|a(x,y)\|.$$

2.2 Dynamic of discrete groups

We now present general properties of the action of a discrete subgroup $\Gamma < G$ on X. The first paragraph presents the notions of limit cone of Γ in the Weyl chamber $\overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}$ and limit set of Γ in the Furstenberg boundary \mathcal{F} . We detail in the second paragraph different notions of growths and critical exponents. We conclude this section by presenting conformal densities in higher rank, with a perspective towards the so-called shadow lemma.

We fix for the whole section a semisimple, real linear, connected Lie group G with finite center, a choice of Cartan subspace \mathfrak{a} and Weyl chamber \mathfrak{a}^+ , and a discrete Zariski dense subgroup $\Gamma \subset G$.

2.2.1 Limit set and limit cone

The study of how the orbits of the discrete group Γ "accumulate at infinity" can be splitted in two parts: its accumulation directions the Cartan subspace of \mathfrak{p} , which form its *limit cone*, and the accumulation points of the geometric Weyl chamber on the Furstenberg boundary which form its *limits set*.

Definition 2.7. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{F}$ be fixed. We call limit set of Γ the set Λ_{Γ} of accumulation points of $\Gamma \cdot \xi \in \mathcal{F}$.

The following result is a crucial characterization of the limit set.

Theorem 2.8. [Ben97] If Γ is Zariski dense, the limit set Λ_{Γ} is a Zariski dense subset of $\mathcal{F} = G/P$. It is the unique minimal subset of \mathcal{F} for the action of Γ . In particular is is independent of the orbit base point.

For $u \in \mathfrak{a}$, we denote by $\mathbb{R}^+ u \subset \mathfrak{a}$ the half-line generated by u. The following set describes the asymptotic directions in \mathfrak{a}^+ where Γ grows.

Definition 2.9. The limit cone is defined by:

$$C(\Gamma) := \overline{\bigcap_{n \geq 0} \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma, \, \|\kappa(\gamma)\| \geq n} \mathbb{R}^+ \kappa(\gamma)} \subset \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}.$$

This limit cone was introduced by Benoist in [Ben97], where the following striking fact was shown.

Theorem 2.10 (Benoist, [Ben97]). If Γ is Zariski dense, the limit cone $C(\Gamma)$ is a closed convex subset of \mathfrak{a} with non-empty interior.

2.2.2 Critical exponents

We are interested in understanding the growth of the orbits $\Gamma \cdot o$ inside X. We saw in Section 2.1.2 that in higher rank it is interesting to look at an \mathfrak{a}^+ -valued "distance" function taking the direction in the flats into account. In order to study the growth of $\Gamma \cdot o$ inside X in different flat directions, we use linear forms on \mathfrak{a} which are positive on the limit cone.

Recall that we write $C(\Gamma) \subset \mathfrak{a}$ for the limit cone of Γ . Let $\mathfrak{a}^* = \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathbb{R})$ be the set of linear forms on \mathfrak{a} and denote by $C(\Gamma)_+^* \subset \mathfrak{a}^*$ be the set of linear forms which are positive on the limit cone:

$$C(\Gamma)_+^* := \{ \varphi : \mathfrak{a} \to \mathbb{R} \mid \forall x \in C(\Gamma) \setminus \{0\}, \ \varphi(x) > 0 \}.$$

Definition 2.11. For all $\varphi \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ we define the critical exponent according to φ by :

$$\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma) := \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{R} \log \operatorname{Card} \{ \gamma \in \Gamma \, | \, \varphi(a(\gamma \cdot o, o)) \le R \}.$$

For every $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\Gamma)_+^*$, the critical exponent $\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma)$ is independent of the chosen origin (cf Lemma 2.2) and is finite. Indeed, since φ is positive on $C(\Gamma)$ and linear, there exists c > 0 such that for all $x \in C(\Gamma)$:

$$\varphi(x) > c||x||.$$

Therefore,

$$\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma) \leq \limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{R} \log \operatorname{Card} \{ \gamma \in \Gamma \, | \, d(go, o) \leq R/c \},$$

which is finite since Γ is discrete.

Conversely, if the kernel of $\varphi \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ intersects the interior of $C(\Gamma)$, the critical exponent $\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma)$ is infinite. We will not study in this paper the case of linear form $\varphi \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ which are non-negative on $C(\Gamma)$ but vanishes on $\partial C(\Gamma)$.

2.2.3 φ -Conformal densities

Definition 2.12. For all $\delta > 0$, a φ -conformal density of dimension δ is a family $\mu = (\mu_x)_{x \in X}$ of mutually absolutely continuous locally finite, borelian measures on \mathcal{F} , such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\frac{d\mu_x}{d\mu_y}(\eta) = e^{-\delta\varphi(\beta_\eta(x,y))}.$$

Such conformal density μ is Γ -equivariant if, for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and all $x \in X$,

$$\gamma_* \mu_x = \mu_{\gamma x}$$
.

We denote by $M_{\varphi}(\delta, \Gamma)$ the space of Γ -equivariant, φ -conformal measure of dimension δ .

Note that $M_{\varphi}(\delta, \Gamma)$ is a cone: for all $\mu \in M_{\varphi}(\delta, \Gamma)$ and all $\lambda > 0$, we obviously have $\lambda \mu \in M_{\varphi}(\delta, \Gamma)$. The following result is the starting point of our approach.

Theorem 2.13 ([Qui02], Section 8). Let $\Gamma < G$ be a Zariski dense subgroup. Then for all $\varphi \in C(\Gamma)_+^*$ there exists a Γ equivariant, φ -conformal density of dimension $\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma)$, which is supported on the limit set $\Lambda_{\Gamma} \subset \mathcal{F}$.

These densities have been constructed in [Qui02] via an adaptation of the usual Patterson-Sullivan construction to higher rank symmetric space. A similar construction also appears in [Lin04]. The work of Dey-Kapovich [DK19] proves their uniqueness (up to scaling) in the case of Anosov subgroup.

In our study of limit cones with respect to taking normal subgroup we will use the following observation, whose analogue in negative curvature is due to Roblin in [Rob05].

Lemma 2.14. Let $\delta > 0$ and $\mu \in M_{\varphi}(\delta, \Gamma)$. Let $g \in G$ be an isometry which normalizes Γ . Then the family $\mu^g = (\mu_x^g)_{x \in X}$ defined for all $x \in X$ by $\mu_x^g := \frac{1}{||\mu_{go}||} g_*^{-1} \mu_{gx}$ is also in $M_{\varphi}(\delta, \Gamma)$ and satisfies $||\mu_g^g|| = 1$.

Proof. By construction, $||\mu_{\rho}^g|| = 1$. For all $z, z' \in X$, $\eta \in \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$\frac{d\mu_z^g}{d\mu_{z'}^g}(\eta) = \frac{d\mu_{gz}}{d\mu_{gz'}}(g\eta) = e^{-\delta\varphi(\beta_{g\eta}(gz,gz'))} = e^{-\delta\varphi(\beta_{\eta}(z,z'))}.$$

Therefore the family ν^g is a φ -conformal density of dimension δ .

Moreover, for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\gamma_* \mu_z^g = \frac{1}{\|\mu_{qo}\|} \gamma_* g_*^{-1} \mu_{gz} = \frac{1}{\|\mu_{qo}\|} g_*^{-1} \left(g \gamma g^{-1} \right)_* \mu_{gz} = g_*^{-1} \mu_{(g \gamma g^{-1})gz} = \mu_{\gamma z}^g.$$

Therefore, $\mu^g \in M_{\varphi}(\delta, \Gamma)$.

The crucial feature linking the measurable properties of the φ -conformal densities with the dynamical action of Γ is the so-called *Shadow lemma*, which is originally due to Sullivan in [Sul79] for hyperbolic manifolds. The same proof extends to CAT(-1) metric spaces, see Lemma 1.3 of [Rob03]. In the higher rank setting, several variant of the Shadow Lemma are known, depending on the boundary and the densities which are considered. We will use the following version, which first appeared as Lemme 8.2 of [Qui02] and Theorem 4.7 of [Lin04].

Theorem 2.15 (Shadow Lemma, [Qui02, DK19]). Let μ be a Γ -equivariant, φ -conformal density of dimension $\delta > 0$. For all $x \in X$ there exists $R_0 > 0$ and C > 0 such that for all $r > R_0$, and for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

$$\frac{1}{C}e^{-\delta_{\varphi}\varphi(a(x,\gamma x))} \le \mu_x(S(x,\gamma x,r)) \le Ce^{-\delta_{\varphi}\varphi(a(x,\gamma x))}.$$

We will now briefly present a proof of this Shadow Lemma, since we will need most of its ingredients in the sequel. We follow the same strategy as in Lemma 8.2 of [Qui02] eventhough the notations have evolved in the past 20 years. We rely on two key lemmas which we quote from [DK19]. Equivalent statements are shown in Section 5 of [Qui02].

We endow $\mathcal{F} \simeq K/M$ with a K-invariant Riemmanian metric. We have seen in 2.3 the definitions of shadows S(x,y,r), visible sets $V(\xi)$ and its complement $L(\xi)$. Our terminology and notations are close to [DK19], where we can find the two following lemmas. Recall that we have fixed a K-invariant Riemannian metric on \mathcal{F} and that Γ is supposed to be Zariski dense in G. The point $o = [K] \in X = G/K$ provides us a fixed origin.

Lemma 2.16. [DK19, Lemma 6.7] For all $x \in X$, there exists $q < \mu_x(\Lambda_{\Gamma})$ and ε such that for all $\tau \in \Lambda_{\Gamma}$, and all Borel subsets B of \mathcal{F} contained in the ε neighborhood of $L(\tau)$, one has : $\mu_x(B) \leq q$.

Lemma 2.17. [DK19, Lemma 6.8] For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $r_1 > 0$ such that for all $r \ge r_1$ and all $x \in X$, the complement of S(x, o, r) in \mathcal{F} is contained in a ε -neighborhood of $L(\tau)$ for some τ in S(o, x, 0).

Proof of Theorem 2.15. We fix $x \in X$. Let us remark first that since μ is δ -conformal, for all $y \in X$ and all r > 0 we have

$$\mu_x(S(x,y,r)) = \int_{S(x,y,r)} e^{-\delta\varphi(\beta_{\xi}(x,y))} d\mu_y(\xi)$$
(1)

By Lemma 2.5, there exists c > 0 such that for all $\xi \in S(x, y, r)$, one has $|\varphi(\beta_{\xi}(x, y)) - \varphi(a(x, y))| \le cr$. This implies that

$$\mu_x(S(x,y,r)) \le e^{\delta cr} \mu_y(S(x,y,r)) e^{-\delta \varphi(a(x,y))} \le C||\mu_y||e^{-\delta \varphi(a(x,y))}.$$
(2)

Moreover since μ is Γ -invariant, we have for all $\gamma \in \Gamma ||\mu_{\gamma x}|| = ||\mu_x||$. This implies the upper bound given by the Shadow Lemma.

Let us show the lower bound.

$$\mu_x(S(x,\gamma x,r)) = \mu_{\gamma^{-1}x}(S(\gamma^{-1}x,x,r))$$

$$= \int_{S(\gamma^{-1}x,x,r)} e^{-\delta\varphi(\beta_{\xi}(\gamma^{-1}x,x))} d\mu_x$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{e^{cr}} \mu_x(S(\gamma^{-1}x,x,r)) e^{-\delta\varphi(a(x,\gamma x))},$$

the last inequality coming from Lemma 2.5. Now using Lemmas 2.16 and 2.17, we get the lower bound $\mu_x(S(\gamma^{-1}x, x, r)) \geq \frac{1}{C}$, which finishes the proof.

Note that the Shadow Lemma implies in particular that if $\mu \in M_{\varphi}(\delta, \Gamma)$, then there exists C > 0 such that for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

 $\|\mu_{\gamma o}\| \ge \frac{1}{C} e^{-\delta \varphi(a(o, \gamma o))}.$ (3)

This standard version of the Shadow Lemma is only valid on orbits of the discrete group Γ . The proofs of our main result rely on an extension of this Shadow Lemma, called *Shadow principle*, which holds on much larger sets: the orbits of the full normalizer of Γ in G. This was first proven in negative curvature by Roblin in [Rob05, Théorème 1.1.1]. We will extend it to higher rank in the next section.

3 Normal subgroup and asymptotic invariants

In this section we prove the first main theorems of the paper. In Section 3.1, we prove our main tool, given in Theorem 3.2, which is the extension of the Shadow Lemma to the orbits of the normalizer of any Zariski dense discrete group. As a corollary we get that the limit cone of a Zariski dense group is the same as the limit cone of any of its Zariski dense normal subgroups. We eventually prove in Section 3.2 the inequality between critical exponents announced in Theorem 1.2.

3.1 Shadow principle and limit cones

The following extension of the Shadow Lemma was called shadow principle by Roblin in [Rob05].

Definition 3.1. Let $\Gamma < G$ be a Zariski dense discrete subgroup, $\varphi \in C(\Gamma)_+^*$ and $\delta > 0$. We say that a set $Y \subset X$ satisfies the shadow principle for $M_{\varphi}(\delta, \Gamma)$. if there exists R, C > 0 such that for all $\mu \in M_{\varphi}(\delta, \Gamma)$, all $r \geq R$ and all $x, y \in Y$,

$$\frac{1}{C}\|\mu_y\|e^{-\delta\varphi(a(x,y))} \le \mu_x(S(x,y,r)) \le C\|\mu_y\|e^{-\delta(\Gamma)\varphi(a(x,y))}.$$

It follows from (2) that the upper bound in the shadow principle is a mere consequence of the φ -conformality of dimension δ , valid for all $Y \subset X$. All the interest of this property relies hence in the lower bound. Note also that the shadow principle is invariant by scaling of the conformal density. It is hence enough to check it on conformal densities such that $||\mu_o|| = 1$, where $o \in X$ is a fixed origin. The end of this paragraph is devoted to the proof of the following result, which extends Theorem 1.1.1 of [Rob05] to higher rank symmetric spaces.

Theorem 3.2. Let G be a real linear, semisimple, connected, Lie group with finite center and Γ be a Zariski dense subgroup of G. Let $N(\Gamma)$ be the normalizer of Γ in G.

For all $\varphi \in C(\Gamma)_+^*$, all $\delta > 0$ and all $x \in X$, the orbit $Y = N(\Gamma) \cdot x$ satisfies the shadow principle for $M_{\varphi}(\delta, \Gamma)$.²

Let us first reduce the proof to a simpler statement, where one of the base points is fixed.

Lemma 3.3. Let G be a real linear, semisimple, connected, Lie group with finite center and Γ be a Zariski dense subgroup of G, with normalizer $N(\Gamma)$. Let us fix $\varphi \in C(\Gamma)_+^*$, $\delta > 0$ and $o \in X$.

The orbit $Y = N(\Gamma) \cdot o$ satisfies the shadow principle for $M_{\varphi}(\delta, \Gamma)$ if and only if there exists R, C > 0 such that for all $y \in Y$ and all $\mu \in M_{\varphi}(\delta, \Gamma)$,

$$\mu_y(S(o, y, R)) \ge \frac{1}{C} ||\mu_y||.$$
 (4)

Proof. We fix $o \in X$ and denote by $Y = N(\Gamma) \cdot o$ the orbit of o under the normalizer of Γ in G. As already mentionned, we only have to deal with the lower bound of the shadow principle, since the upper bound is satisfied for all $Y \subset X$. Note also that, by Equation (1) and Lemma 2.5, if Y satisfies the shadow principle then (4) is satisfied for all $y \in Y$. Therefore, we are only left with showing that, if for all $\mu \in M_{\varphi}(\delta, \Gamma)$ and all $y \in Y$, the inequality (4) is satisfied, then Y satisfies the shadow principle.

Assume therefore that (4) is satisfied for all $\nu \in M_{\varphi}(\delta, \Gamma)$ and all $y \in Y$. Let $\mu \in M_{\varphi}(\delta, \Gamma)$ be fixed. Let $x, y \in Y$, and $g \in N$ such that go = x. We have seen in Lemma 2.14 that the family μ^g defined for all $z \in X$ by $\mu_z^g := \frac{1}{\|\mu_{go}\|} g_*^{-1} \mu_{gz}$ is also in $M_{\varphi}(\delta, \Gamma)$. Moreover, setting $z := g^{-1}y$, we have:

$$\mu_z^g(S(o, z, R)) = \frac{1}{\|\mu_{go}\|} \mu_{gz}(gS(o, z, R))$$
$$= \frac{1}{\|\mu_x\|} \mu_y(S(x, y, R)).$$

Therefore, since by (4) we have

$$\mu_z^g(S(o, z, R)) \ge \frac{1}{C} \|\mu_z^g\| = \frac{1}{C} \frac{\|\mu_y\|}{\|\mu_x\|},$$

we get

$$\mu_y(S(x,y,R)) \ge \frac{1}{C} \|\mu_y\|,$$

which is equivalent to the lower bound in the shadow principle by Equation (1) and Lemma 2.5.

²The result is true for all $\delta > 0$. However, it follows from [Qui02] that when $\delta < \delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma)$ then $M_{\varphi}(\delta, \Gamma) = \emptyset$.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. By Lemma 3.3, it is enough to show that there exists C, R > 0 such that for all $\mu \in M_{\varphi}(\delta, \Gamma)$ with $||\mu_o|| = 1$ and all $y \in Y = N(\Gamma) \cdot o$, the lower bound (4) is satisfied. We follow the strategy developed in [Rob05].

Suppose by contradiction that it is not the case. There exists a sequence $(R_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $R_i\to\infty$, a sequence $(g_i)\in N(\Gamma)^{\mathbb{N}}$ and a sequence $(\mu^i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\in M_{\varphi}(\delta,\Gamma)^{\mathbb{N}}$ with $||\mu^i_o||=1$ such that

$$\lim_{i \to +\infty} \frac{1}{\|\mu_{g_io}^i\|} \mu_{g_io}^i(S(o, g_io, R_i)) = 0.$$
 (5)

Denote by $\nu^i = (\nu_x^i)_{x \in X}$ the family of measures defined for all $x \in X$ by $\nu_x^i := \frac{1}{\|\mu_{g_io}^i\|} g_{i_*}^{-1} \mu_{g_ix}^i$. By Lemma 2.14, it is a φ -conformal density of dimension δ and satisfies $\|\nu_o^i\| = 1$.

Since \mathcal{F} is compact and $(\nu_o^i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of probabilities on \mathcal{F} , we can assume up to taking a subsequence that it converges weakly to some probability measure ν_o^{∞} . Then since ν^i is φ -conformal of dimension δ , for all $x\in X$ the sequence of finite measures $(\nu_x^i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ also converges in the weak topology to some finite measure ν_x^{∞} and the family $\nu^{\infty}=(\nu_x^{\infty})_{x\in X}$ is in $M_{\varphi}(\delta,\Gamma)$.

Lemma 3.4. Up to extracting a subsequence, there exists $\tau \in \mathcal{F}$ such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $i_0 > 0$ such that for all $i \geq i_0$:

$$\mathcal{F} \setminus S(g_i^{-1}o, o, R_i) \subset B(L(\tau), \varepsilon).$$

Proof. We first apply Lemma 2.17: for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists r > 0 such that for all R > r for all $y \in X$:

$$\mathcal{F} \setminus S(y, o, R) \subset B(L(\tau_y), \varepsilon),$$

for some $\tau_y \in S(o, \{y\})$. Taking $y = g_i^{-1}o$, gives a sequence $\tau_i \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathcal{F} \setminus S(g_i^{-1}o, o, R) \subset B(L(\tau_i), \varepsilon)$. By compactness, we can suppose that τ_i converges to $\tau \in \mathcal{F}$.

We now apply Lemma 2.4: for $i \gg 1$ sufficiently large,

$$B(L(\tau_i), \varepsilon) \subset B(L(\tau), 2\varepsilon).$$

Therefore, for $i \gg 1$ sufficiently large, we get $R_i > r$ and

$$\mathcal{F} \setminus S(o, g_i^{-1}o, R_i) \subset B(L(\tau), 2\varepsilon),$$

which concludes the proof of Lemma 3.4.

Let V be an open subset of \mathcal{F} such that $\overline{V} \cap L(\tau) = \emptyset$. By Lemma 3.4, there exists i_0 such that for all $i \geq i_0$ we have

$$\nu_o^{\infty}(V) \leq \liminf_{i \to \infty} \nu_o^i(S(g_i^{-1}o, o, R_i))
\leq \liminf_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{\|\mu_o^i\|} \mu_{g_io}^i(S(o, g_io, R_i))$$

Using equation (5), we get $\nu_o^{\infty}(V) = 0$. Hence ν_o^{∞} is supported on $L(\tau)$. In particular, by Γ -invariance of the family ν^{∞} , the set $L(\tau)$ contains a closed set which is Γ invariant. Therefore $\Lambda_{\Gamma} \subset L(\tau)$ which is absurd by Theorem 2.8 since Γ is Zariski dense and $L(\tau)$ is Zariski closed.

We now prove the equality of the limit cone for Zariski dense normal subgroups.

Corollary 3.5. Let Γ be a discrete subgroup of G and $\Gamma' \triangleleft \Gamma$ be a normal subgroup of Γ . Suppose Γ' is Zariski dense, then the limit cone of Γ' coincides with the limit cone of Γ .

Proof. If it were not the case, since both limit cones are closed, it means that there exists an open cone $U \subset C(\Gamma) \setminus C(\Gamma')$. This implies that there is a linear form φ in $C(\Gamma')^*_+$ whose kernel intersects U

We have seen in Section 2.2.3 that since Γ' is Zariski dense, there exists $\mu = (\mu_x)_{x \in X} \in M_{\varphi}(\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma'), \Gamma')$. Since we supposed $\ker(\varphi)$ intersects U which is contained in the interior of $C(\Gamma)$, there exists a sequence of elements γ_n of Γ such that $\varphi(a(x, \gamma_n x)) \to -\infty$. Nevertheless, by Theorem 3.2, the orbit $\Gamma \cdot x$ satisfies the shadow principle for $M_{\varphi}(\delta, \Gamma')$. Therefore we also have

$$\mu_x(\Lambda_{\Gamma'}) \ge \mu_x(S(x, \gamma_n x, r)) \ge \frac{1}{C} e^{-\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma')\varphi(a(x, \gamma_n x))}.$$

Since the right hand side goes to $+\infty$ with n, we get a contradiction.

Note that, in the proof, we use the fact that Γ' is Zariski dense to ensure the existence of φ -conformal densities. This prevent from "counter-examples" such as $\Gamma' = \Gamma_{\ell} \times \{\text{Id}\} \subset \Gamma_{\ell} \times \Gamma_{r} = \Gamma$ for which, as mentionned in the introduction, Corollary 3.5 is trivially false.

We now prove a necessary and sufficient condition on Γ for its normal subgroups to be Zariski dense.

Definition 3.6. Let G be a semisimple Lie group. A subgroup $\Gamma \subset G$ is said normally irreducible if for all normal subgroups $N \subset G$, if $N \neq G$ then:

$$N \cap \Gamma = \{ \mathrm{Id} \}.$$

The proof of the following result has been suggested to us by Y. Benoist.

Proposition 3.7. Let G be a real linear semisimple Lie group. Let $\Gamma \subset G$ be a Zariski dense subgroup.

All non trivial normal subgroups $\Gamma' \triangleleft \Gamma$ are Zariski dense if and only if Γ is normally irreducible.

Proof. Let $H:=\overline{\Gamma'}^Z$ be the Zariski closure of Γ' . It is an algebraic set, therefore the condition $ghg^{-1}\in H$ is algebraic. This condition is satisfied for all $(h,g)\in \Gamma\times\Gamma'$, hence for all $(h,g)\in H\times G$. In other words, H is normal in G.

Suppose that $H \neq G$, then by irreducibility $H \cap \Gamma = \{ \mathrm{Id} \}$ which its absurd since $\Gamma' \subset (H \cap \Gamma)$.

Suppose now that Γ is not normally irreducible. Decompose G into the Cartesian product of its simple factors $G = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} G_i$ remark that $\ell > 1$. Moreover by simplicity of the G_i , if $N \neq G$, N is also a Cartesian product and in particular is not Zariski dense. By assumption, there exists $N \neq G$ a normal subgroup of G, such that: $N \cap \Gamma \neq \{\text{Id}\} \triangleleft \Gamma$. This is a normal subgroup of Γ which is not Zariski dense.

3.2 Normal subgroup and critical exponent

Let Γ be a discrete subgroup of G and $\Gamma' \triangleleft \Gamma$ be a Zariski dense normal subgroup of Γ . Let $\varphi \in C(\Gamma')_+^*$ be fixed. We now finish the proof of Theorem 1.6 by showing the lower bound inequality for all the critical exponents $\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma)$ and $\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma')$.

Definition 3.8. A set $Y \subset X$ is said to have bounded geometry if for all R > 0 there exists $A_R > 0$ such that for all $y \in Y$,

$$Card(Y \cap B(y,R)) \leq A_R$$
.

In particular any orbit of a discrete group of isometry of X has bounded geometry.

The following proposition appears in Roblin [Rob05, Lemma 1.2.4] for conformal densities in CAT(-1) space. We extend it to higher symmetric spaces.

Proposition 3.9. Let $\delta > 0$ and $Y \subset X$ be a set with bounded geometry which satisfies the shadow principle for $M_{\omega}(\delta, \Gamma)$.

Then for all $\mu = (\mu_x)_{x \in X} \in M_{\varphi}(\delta, \Gamma)$, the critical exponent of the series

$$s \mapsto \sum_{y \in Y} \|\mu_y\| e^{-s\varphi(a(o,y))}$$

is at most δ .

Proof of Proposition 3.9. Let r > 1 be the real rank of G and $(\varepsilon_i)_{i=1,...,r}$ be a basis of \mathfrak{a}^* , such that $\varphi = \sum_i b_i \varepsilon_i$ with $b_i > 0$ for all $i \in \{1, ..., r\}$.

For all $(n_1,...,n_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$, we define

$$R_{n_1,...,n_r} = \bigcap_{i=1}^r \{ y \in X \mid \varepsilon_i(\alpha(o,y)) \in [n_i, n_i + 1) \}.$$

Our proof rely on the following uniform multiplicity bound for the covering of Y by the shadows $\{S(o, y, r_0) ; y \in R_{n_1, \dots, n_r}\}$.

Lemma 3.10. Let Y be a set with bounded geometry. For all $R_0 > 0$, there exists $B_{R_0} > 0$ such that for all $(n_1, ..., n_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$, the covering $\bigcup_{y \in Y \cap R_{n_1, ..., n_r}} S(o, y, R_0)$ has multiplicity bounded by B_{R_0} .

Proof. Let us fix r > 0, $(n_1, ..., n_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$. For all $y_1 \in Y$, we write

$$cov(y_1) = \#\{y_2 \in R_{n_1,\dots,n_r} ; S(o, y_1, R_0) \cap S(o, y_2, R_0) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

We have to show that $cov(y_1)$ is bounded by a constant depending only on R_0 . Let us fix $y_1 \in Y$, and let $y_2 \in Y$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{F}$ be such that

$$\eta \in S(o, y_1, R_0) \cap S(o, y_1, R_0).$$

We represent η by a geometric Weyl chamber $w: \mathfrak{a}_+ \to X$. By definition of shadows, there exists $u_1, u_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_+$ such that

$$\begin{cases} w(u_1) \in B(y_1, R_0) \\ w(u_2) \in B(y_2, R_0) \end{cases}$$
 (6)

Therefore, using Lemma 2.2, there exists $C_1 > 0$ depending only on G such that:

$$||a(w(u_1), w(u_2)) - a(y_1, y_2)|| \le C_1 R_0.$$
(7)

Equation (6) also implies that there exists $C_2 > 0$ depending on G such that:

$$\begin{cases}
 \|a(w(u_1), o) - a(y_1, o)\| \le C_2 R_0 \\
 \|a(w(u_2), o) - a(y_2, o)\| \le C_2 R_0
\end{cases}$$
(8)

Since $u_1, u_2 \in \mathfrak{a}$ the vectors $a(w(u_1), o) - a(w(u_2), o)$ and $a(w(u_1), w(u_2))$ coincide up to the action of an element of the Weyl group. To simplify notations, we will suppose that $a(w(u_1), o) - a(w(u_2), o) = a(w(u_1), w(u_2))$, adaptation to the general case is immediate using Lemma 2.2. We have hence

$$||a(w(u_1), w(u_2)) - a(y_1, o) + a(y_2, o)|| \le 2C_2R_0.$$

Moreover, since $y_1, y_2 \in R_{n_1, \dots n_2}$, we get for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$:

$$\varepsilon_i (a(w(u_1), w(u_2))) \le 2C_2R_0 + 2.$$

Since $(\varepsilon_i)_{i\in\{1,\ldots,r\}}$ forms a basis, there exists hence $C_3>0$ depending only on R_0 and G, such that:

$$||a(w(u_1), w(u_2))|| \le C_3.$$

Therefore, using Equation (7) we get

$$||a(y_1, y_2)|| \le ||a(w(u_1), w(u_2))|| + C_1 R_0 \le C_4$$

for a constant $C_4 > 0$ depending only on r_0 and G. Since Y has bounded geometry, this implies that

$$cov(y_1) \leq A_{C_4}$$

where A_{C_4} is the maximum number of elements of Y contained in a ball of radius C_4 and only depends on R_0 .

We now resume the proof of Proposition 3.9. Let us fix $\mu \in M_{\varphi}(\delta, \Gamma)$. Since Y satisfies the shadow principle for $M_{\varphi}(\delta, \Gamma)$, there exists C, R_0 such that for all $y \in Y$, we have $\mu_y(S(o, y, R_0)) \ge C||\mu_y||$. Moreover, it follows from Lemma 3.10 that there exists $B_{R_0} > 0$ such that the covering $\bigcup_{y \in R_{n_1,...,n_r}} S(o, y, r_0)$ has multiplicity bounded by B_{R_0} .

This implies that for all $(n_1,...,n_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$, there exists K > 0 such that

$$\|\mu_o\| \geq \frac{1}{B_{R_0}} \sum_{y \in R_{n_1, \dots, n_r}} \mu_o(S(o, y, r_0))$$
$$\geq K' e^{-\delta \sum_i (b_i n_i)} \sum_{y \in R_{n_1, \dots, n_r}} \|\mu_y\|.$$

We get therefore

$$\sum_{y \in Y} \|\mu_y\| e^{-s\varphi(a(o,y))} = \sum_{n_1, \dots n_r} \sum_{y \in R_{n_1, \dots n_r}} \|\mu_y\| e^{-s\varphi(a(o,y))}$$

$$\leq K'^{-1} \|\mu_o\| \sum_{n_1, \dots n_r} e^{-s\sum_i (b_i n_i)} e^{\delta \sum_i (b_i n_i)}.$$

Eventually, the last series converges for all $s > \delta$.

A direct corollary of Proposition 3.9 is the following result, originally due to Roblin [Rob05, Theorem 2.2.1] for groups acting on CAT(-1) spaces.

Corollary 3.11. Let Γ be a discrete subgroup of G and Γ' a Zariski dense normal subgroup of Γ . For all $\varphi \in C(\Gamma')_+^*$ the critical exponents according to φ satisfy:

$$\frac{1}{2}\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma) \le \delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma').$$

Proof. Since Γ' is Zariski dense, by Theorem 2.13 there exists $\mu \in M_{\varphi}(\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma'), \Gamma')$. By Theorem 3.2, we know that $\Gamma \cdot o$ satisfies the shadow principle for $M_{\varphi}(\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma'), \Gamma')$. Therefore, for all $s > \delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma')$ Proposition 3.9 implies

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \|\mu_{\gamma o}\| e^{-s\varphi(a(o,\gamma o))} < +\infty.$$

Moreover by (3), there exists C > 0 such that for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have $\|\mu_{\gamma o}\| \ge \frac{\|\mu_o\|}{C} e^{-\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma')\varphi(a(o,\gamma o))}$. We get hence that for all $s > \delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma')$,

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-(s+\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma'))\varphi(a(o,\gamma o))} \leq \frac{C}{||\mu_o||} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \|\mu_{\gamma o}\| e^{-s\varphi(a(o,\gamma o))} < +\infty.$$

Therefore $2\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma') \geq \delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma)$.

4 Amenability and critical exponent

4.1 Amenable discrete groups

Given a discrete set Y, we write $\ell^{\infty}(Y)$ for the set of bounded maps from Y to \mathbb{R} .

Definition 4.1. A discrete group \mathcal{G} is amenable if there exists a positive linear map $\Theta : \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}) \to \mathbb{R}$, such that $\Theta(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{G}}) = 1$ and which is right invariant, i.e. for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$ and all $f \in \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{G})$, we have

$$\Theta(a \mapsto f(aq)) = \Theta(f).$$

Such map Θ is called a right-invariant mean on \mathcal{G} .

If Γ is a discrete group, a normal subgroup $\Gamma' \triangleleft \Gamma$ is co-amenable in Γ if the quotient group $\Gamma' \backslash \Gamma$ is amenable.

We refer for instance the reader [Jus15] for various characterizations and examples of amenable discrete groups. Let us present an important characterization of amenability, which illustrates how this property is related to the growth of the group.

Let \mathcal{G} be a discrete group. For all *finite* set $S \subset \mathcal{G}$, and all subset $A \subset \mathcal{G}$, we define the S-boundary of A to be

$$\partial_S A = \{ g \in \mathcal{G} \setminus A : \exists (g', s) \in A \times S, g = sg' \}.$$

The S-isoperimetric constant of \mathcal{G} is then given by

$$\mathfrak{h}_S(\mathcal{G}) = \inf_{A \subset G \text{ finite}} \frac{\# \partial_S A}{\# A}.$$

The following characterization of amenability has been shown by Fölner in [Fol55].

Theorem 4.2 (Fölner [Fol55]). A discrete group G is amenable if and only if for all finite $S \subset G$, the isoperimetric constant $\mathfrak{h}_S(G)$ is 0.

This easily implies that all finitely generated groups with sub-exponential growth (e.g. infranilpotent discrete groups) are amenable, whereas any free group with at least 2 generators is nonamenable.

4.2 Averaging conformal density

Let us fix a discrete Zariski dense subgroup Γ of G, and a Zariski dense normal subgroup $\Gamma' \triangleleft \Gamma$. By the result of last section, we know that the limit cones of Γ and Γ' coincide. Let $\varphi \in C(\Gamma)_+^*$ and $\delta > 0$ be fixed. We write

$$\|\varphi\| = \sup_{v \in \mathfrak{a} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|\varphi(v)|}{\|v\|} < +\infty.$$

We assume from now on that Γ' is co-amenable in Γ . Let Θ be a right-invariant mean on $\Gamma' \setminus \Gamma$. Let $\mu \in M_{\varphi}(\delta, \Gamma')$ with $||\mu_o|| = 1$ be fixed. Recall that for all $u \in \Gamma$, we write μ^u for the conformal density defined for all $x \in X$ by

$$\mu_x^u = \frac{1}{\|\mu_{uo}\|} (u^{-1})_* \mu_{ux}.$$

By Lemma 2.14, we still have $\mu^u \in M_{\varphi}(\delta, \Gamma')$ and $\|\mu_o^u\| = 1$. Therefore for all $x \in X$ and all continuous maps $f : \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{R}$, the map

$$\mathcal{L}_{x,f}: \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma & \to & \mathbb{R} \\ u & \mapsto & \int_{\mathcal{F}} f(\xi) d\mu_x^u(\xi) \end{array} \right.$$

is Γ' -invariant. By Lemma 2.5, there exists C > 0 such that $\mathcal{L}_{x,f}$ is bounded from above by $Ce^{\delta\|\varphi\|d(o,x)}\sup|f|$. Therefore, $\mathcal{L}_{x,f}$ induces a bounded map on $\Gamma'\setminus\Gamma$ and we can define the following application from $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{F},\mathbb{R})$ to \mathbb{R} by

$$\mu_x^{\Theta}: f \mapsto \Theta\left(u \mapsto \mathcal{L}_{x,f}(u) = \frac{1}{||\mu_{uo}||} \int_{\mathcal{F}} f(u^{-1}\xi) d\mu_{ux}(\xi)\right). \tag{9}$$

We get the following.

Lemma 4.3. The family $\mu^{\Theta} = (\mu_x^{\Theta})_{x \in X}$ induces a Γ' -invariant φ -conformal density of dimension δ , still written μ^{Θ} , which we call the Θ -average of μ . Moreover, it satisfies for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$||\mu_{\gamma o}^{\Theta}|| \cdot ||\mu_{\gamma^{-1}o}^{\Theta}|| \ge 1. \tag{10}$$

Proof. It follows from (9) that for all $x \in X$, μ_x^{Θ} is a positive linear map from $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$ to \mathbb{R} , with $\nu_x(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{F}}) \leq e^{\delta d(o,x)}$. Therefore it induces a unique finite Borel measure on \mathcal{F} , which we still denote

by μ_x^{Θ} . Moreover, it immediately follows from Lemma 2.14 that the family $\mu^{\Theta} = (\mu_x^{\Theta})_{x \in X}$ belongs to $M_{\omega}(\delta, \Gamma)$.

Eventually, let us fix $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Since Θ is invariant under right multiplication by elements of Γ , we have :

$$\|\mu_{\gamma_o}^{\Theta}\| = \Theta\left(u \mapsto \frac{\|\mu_{u\gamma_o}\|}{\|\mu_{uo}\|}\right)$$

$$= \Theta\left(u \mapsto \frac{\|\mu_{uo}\|}{\|\mu_{u\gamma^{-1}o}\|}\right)$$

$$\geq \left(\Theta\left(u \mapsto \frac{\|\mu_{u\gamma^{-1}o}\|}{\|\mu_{uo}\|}\right)\right)^{-1} = \|\mu_{\gamma_o}^{\Theta}\|^{-1}$$

where the last inequality follows from the Jensen inequality applied to $t \mapsto t^{-1}$.

4.3 Proof of Theorems 1.6

Let us fix a discrete Zariski dense subgroup Γ of G, and a Zariski dense normal subgroup $\Gamma' \triangleleft \Gamma$. Assume moreover that Γ' is co-amenable in Γ , and let Θ be a right-invariant mean on $\Gamma' \backslash \Gamma$. Let $\varphi \in C(\Gamma')^*_+$ be fixed.

We have seen in Section 2.2.3 that there exists $\mu \in M_{\varphi}(\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma'), \Gamma')$. Let μ^{Θ} be the Θ -average of μ given by Lemma 4.3. We define

$$\Gamma_{+} = \left\{ \gamma \in \Gamma ; ||\mu_{\gamma o}^{\Theta}|| \geq 1 \right\}.$$

It follows from (10) that for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have $\gamma \in \Gamma_+$ or $\gamma^{-1} \in \Gamma_+$. Therefore, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s\varphi(a(o,\gamma o))} \leq 2 \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_+} e^{-s\varphi(a(o,\gamma o))} \leq \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_+} ||\mu^\Theta_{\gamma o}|| e^{-s\varphi(a(o,\gamma o))}.$$

Moreover, by Proposition 3.9, the critical exponent of $s \mapsto \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_+} ||\mu_{\gamma o}^{\Theta}|| e^{-s\varphi(a(o,\gamma o))}$ is at most

 $\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma')$. Therefore we have

$$\delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma) \leq \delta_{\varphi}(\Gamma'),$$

which concludes the proof of Theorem 1.6.

References

- [Alb99] Paul Albuquerque. Patterson-Sullivan theory in higher rank symmetric spaces. Geometric and functional analysis, 9(1):1–28, 1999.
- [Ben97] Yves Benoist. Propriétés asymptotiques des groupes linéaires. Geometric and functional analysis, 7(1):1–47, 1997.
- [BQ16] Y. Benoist, J.-F. Quint. Random walks on reductive groups A series of modern surveys in mathematics, 62, 2016.

- [CDST] R. Coulon, R. Dougall, B. Schapira, S. Tapie Twisted Patterson-Sullivan measures and applications to amenability and coverings *Arxiv*: 1809.10881, 2018.
- [DK19] S. Dey, M. Kapovich Patterson-Sullivan theory for Anosov subgroups Arxiv: 1904.10196v3, 2019.
- [Fol55] E. Fölner On groups with Full Banach Mean Value Math. Scand. 3 (1955), 243–254.
- [Hel78] S. Helgason Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces. Corrected reprint of the 1978 original. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 34, American Math. Soc.
- [Jus15] K. Juschenko. Amenability of discrete groups by examples (2015). Current version available at http://www.math.northwestern.edu/~juschenk/book.html.
- [Leu03] Enrico Leuzinger. Kazhdan's property (T), L^2 -spectrum and isoperimetric in- equalities for locally symmetric spaces $Commentarii\ Mathematici\ Helvetici\ 78:116-133,\ 2003.$
- [Lin04] Gabriele Link. Hausdorff dimension of limit sets of discrete subgroups of higher rank Lie groups Geometric and Functional Analysis, 14: 200–432, 2004
- [Qui02] J-F Quint. Mesures de Patterson—Sullivan en rang supérieur. Geometric and functional analysis, 12(4):776–809, 2002.
- [Rob03] T. Roblin. Ergodicité et équiditribution en courbure négative. Mémoires de la SMF, 95, 2003.
- [Rob05] T. Roblin. Un théorème de fatou pour les densités conformes avec applications aux revêtements galoisiens en courbure négative. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 147:333–357, 2005.
- [ST19] B. Schapira, S. Tapie. Regularity of entropy, geodesic currents and entropy at infinity. to appear in *Annales Scientifiques de l'E.N.S.*, https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01708495v1.
- [Sul79] Dennis Sullivan, The density at infinity of a discrete group of hyperbolic motions *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* (1979), no. 50, 171–202.
- [Thi07] X. Thirion Sous-groupes discrets de $SL_d(\mathbb{R})$ et equidistribution dans les espaces symétriques. Thèse de doctorat, 2007.