

On fluctuations of global and mesoscopic linear statistics of generalized Wigner matrices

Yiting Li, Yuanyuan Xu

► To cite this version:

Yiting Li, Yuanyuan Xu. On fluctuations of global and mesoscopic linear statistics of generalized Wigner matrices. 2020. hal-02861388

HAL Id: hal-02861388 https://hal.science/hal-02861388v1

Preprint submitted on 8 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Submitted to Bernoulli arXiv: arXiv:2001.08725

On fluctuations of global and mesoscopic linear statistics of generalized Wigner matrices

YITING LI^{1,*} YUANYUAN XU^{2,**}

¹Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS. E-mail: ^{*}yiting.liQuniv-amu.fr

²KTH Royal Institute of Technology. E-mail: ***yuax@kth.se

We consider an N by N real or complex generalized Wigner matrix H_N , whose entries are independent centered random variables with uniformly bounded moments. We assume that the variance profile, $s_{ij} := \mathbb{E}|H_{ij}|^2$, satisfies $\sum_{i=1}^N s_{ij} = 1$, for all $1 \leq j \leq N$ and $c^{-1} \leq N s_{ij} \leq c$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ with some constant $c \geq 1$. We establish Gaussian fluctuations for the linear eigenvalue statistics of H_N on global scales, as well as on all mesoscopic scales up to the spectral edges, with the expectation and variance formulated in terms of the variance profile. We subsequently obtain the universal mesoscopic central limit theorems for the linear eigenvalue statistics inside the bulk and at the edges respectively.

Keywords: central limit theorem, linear eigenvalue statistics, generalized Wigner matrix.

1. Introduction

1.1. Linear eigenvalue statistics of Wigner matrices

A Wigner matrix H_N is an $N \times N$ matrix whose entries are independent real or complex valued random variables up to the symmetry constraint $H_N = H_N^*$. Wigner matrices with real or complex Gaussian entries are known as the *Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble* (GOE) and the *Gaussian Unitary Ensemble* (GUE), respectively. The celebrated Wigner semicircle law [68] states that the empirical eigenvalue distribution of H_N converges to the semicircle distribution with density $\rho_{sc}(x) := \frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{4-x^2}\mathbb{1}_{[-2,2]}$. More precisely, denoting by $(\lambda_i)_{i=1}^N$ the eigenvalues of H_N , for any sufficiently regular test function f, the linear statistics $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N f(\lambda_i) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\rho_{sc}(x)dx$ converges in probability to zero as $N \to \infty$, which can be understood as a Law of Large Numbers.

It is then natural to derive the corresponding Central Limit Theorem (CLT), i.e., the Gaussian fluctuations of the *linear eigenvalue statistics*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} f(\lambda_i) - \mathbb{E}\Big[\sum_{i=1}^{N} f(\lambda_i)\Big].$$
(1.1)

The linear statistics (1.1) need not be normalized by $N^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ as in the classical CLT, which can be explained by the strong correlations among eigenvalues. Khorunzhy, Khoruzhenko

imsart-bj ver. 2014/10/16 file: manuscript_generalized_Xu.tex date: June 1, 2020

and Pastur [50] proved a CLT for the trace of the resolvent of Wigner matrices. Johansson [49] derived Gaussian fluctuations for the linear eigenvalue statistics of invariant ensembles, including the GUE and GOE. Bai and Yao [9] used a martingale method to extend the CLTs to arbitrary Wigner matrices and analytic test functions. The regularity conditions on the test functions were weakened by Lytova and Pastur [60], Shcherbina [62] via the characteristic function of (1.1), and more recently by Sosoe and Wong [67] who obtained the CLT for $H^{1+\epsilon}$ test functions.

The fluctuations of the linear eigenvalue statistics on mesoscopic scales, i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} f\left(\frac{\lambda_i - E_0}{\eta_0}\right) - \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} f\left(\frac{\lambda_i - E_0}{\eta_0}\right)\right],\tag{1.2}$$

with fixed energy $E_0 \in (-2, 2)$ and scale parameter $N^{-1} \ll \eta_0 \ll 1$, were first studied by Boutet de Monvel and Khorunzhy [18] for the GOE given the test function $f(x) = (x - i)^{-1}$. They subsequently extended their results to real Wigner matrices [19] with $N^{-\frac{1}{8}} \ll \eta_0 \ll 1$. A Mesoscopic CLT for the GUE was obtained by Fyodorov, Khoruzhenko and Simm [39], and was extended by Lodhia and Simm [59] to complex Wigner matrices on scales $N^{-1/3} \ll \eta_0 \ll 1$. He and Knowles [42] improved these CLTs on optimal mesoscopic scales $N^{-1} \ll \eta_0 \ll 1$ for all Wigner matrices. They also studied the two point correlation function of Wigner matrices on mesoscopic scales in [43]. More recently, Landon and Sosoe [53] obtained similar CLTs by studying the characteristic function of (1.2).

Mesoscopic linear eigenvalue statistics can also be studied at the spectral edges, where the mesoscopic scale ranges over $N^{-\frac{2}{3}} \ll \eta_0 \ll 1$. Basor and Widom [11] used asymptotics of the Airy kernel to derive Gaussian fluctuations of the linear eigenvalue statistics of the GUE at the edges. Min and Chen [61] subsequently extended this result to the GOE. Adhikari and Huang [1] proved the mesoscopic CLT for the Dyson Brownian motion at the edges down to the optimal scale $\eta_0 \gg N^{-\frac{2}{3}}$ in a short time. Recently, Schnelli and the authors [58] obtained mesoscopic CLT for deformed Wigner matrices at regular edges, where the spectral density has square-root behaviors.

Besides Wigner matrices, mesoscopic CLTs were also obtained in many other random matrices ensembles, e.g., random band matrices [27, 28], sparse Wigner matrices [41], Dyson Brownian motion [26, 47, 54], invariant β -ensembles [12, 15, 51], orthogonal polynomial ensembles [20], classical compact groups [66], circular β ensembles [52], and free sum of matrices [10].

1.2. Generalized Wigner matrices

In this paper, we are interested in the linear eigenvalue statistics for generalized Wigner matrices, which were introduced in [36]. Let $H_N = (H_{ij})_{i,j=1}^N$ be an N by N matrix with independent but not identically distributed centered random variables up to the symmetry constraint $H_N = H_N^*$. Denote by $S \equiv S_N$ the matrix of variances, i.e. S :=

 $(s_{ij})_{i,j=1}^N$, with $s_{ij} = \mathbb{E}|H_{ij}|^2$. We assume that S is symmetric and doubly stochastic, i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} s_{ij} = 1, \text{ for all } 1 \le j \le N.$$
(1.3)

We say H_N is a generalized Wigner matrix if the size of s_{ij} is comparable with N^{-1} , that is, there exists $c \ge 1$ independent of N such that

$$c^{-1} \le N s_{ij} \le c, \quad \text{for all } 1 \le i, j \le N.$$

$$(1.4)$$

Standard Wigner matrices are a special case of generalized Wigner matrices, with $s_{ij} = N^{-1}$ for all $1 \le i, j \le N$. The first condition in (1.3) guarantees that the limiting spectral measure of H_N is given by the semicircle law; see [7, 40, 64]. Without the condition (1.3), the limiting eigenvalue distribution is characterized by the Dyson equation and were classified in [3]. Local laws of such general Wigner-type matrices were obtained in [4, 5] and bulk universality was then established in [4], while the edge and cusp universality were derived in [5, 6, 33].

The second assumption (1.4) is a sufficient condition for generalized Wigner matrices to demonstrate the same local eigenvalue statistics as standard Wigner matrices. Universality for the local eigenvalue statistics of generalized Wigner matrices was obtained in [15, 37, 38] for the bulk and in [14, 36, 56] for the edges. For random band matrices, the condition (1.4) is not satisfied. We refer to [16, 17, 30] for results on local laws and bulk universality, and to [65] for edge universality.

Consider now a special variance matrix S with $s_{ij} = \frac{1}{N} f(\frac{i}{N}, \frac{j}{N})$, where $f \in C([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$ is a non-negative, symmetric function such that $\int_0^1 f(x, y) dy \equiv 1$. A CLT for the linear eigenvalue statistics of such matrices was obtained in [7] by studying its generating function via combinatorial enumeration, with the variance formulated as an infinite series. Global CLTs for random band matrices were obtained in [57, 48, 63], while the mesoscopic linear statistics were studied in [27, 28]. Fluctuations of the linear eigenvalue statistics on global scales for many familiar classes of random matrices were also studied in [21], where a unified technique was formulated for deriving such CLTs using second order Poincaré inequalities, without an explicit formula for the variance. Under this framework, CLTs for linear eigenvalue statistics of Wigner matrices with general variance profiles were obtained in [2]. Global fluctuations of block Gaussian matrices with variance profiles were groved within the framework of second-order free probability theory, see [25] and references therein. In addition, CLTs on global scales for large sample covariance matrices given a general variance profile were discussed in [45].

In the present paper, we consider generalized Wigner matrices with matrix of variances S satisfying (1.3) and (1.4). We derive Gaussian fluctuations for the linear eigenvalue statistics (1.2), with explicit integral formulas for the variance and expectation in terms of the matrix of variances S, at fixed energy $E_0 \in [-2, 2]$ on scales η_0 such that $N^{-1} \ll \eta_0 \sqrt{\eta_0 + \kappa_0} \leq 1$, where $\kappa_0 = \kappa_0(E_0)$ denotes the distance from E_0 to the closest edge of the semicircle law; see Theorem 2.2. This range of η_0 covers the global scales as well as all mesoscopic scales up to the spectral edges. Furthermore, we obtain the universal

CLTs on all mesoscopic scales, for energies E_0 in the bulk and at the edges respectively, by computing the variances and expectations explicitly considering mesoscopic-scaled test functions; see Theorem 2.4. The limiting law is universal, only depending on the symmetry class, and is independent of the scaling η_0 and the energy E_0 .

The proof of our main technical result Proposition 4.1 is provided in Section 4. We follow the idea of [60, 53] to study the characteristic function of the linear eigenvalue statistics (1.2). Via the Helffer-Sjöstrand functional calculus, we write the derivative of the characteristic function in terms of the resolvent of H_N , and then cut off the ultra-mesoscopic scales of the spectral domain, see (4.4), since the very local scales do not contribute to the mesoscopic linear statistics. The benefit is that on the restricted spectral domain, the resolvent of H_N is controlled effectively by the local laws [31, 36]. We subsequently apply the cumulant expansions (see Lemma 4.2) to solve the right side of (4.4). This technique was first used in random matrix theory by [50] and in recent papers, e.g., [32, 42, 55, 60]. The key tools to estimate the error in Proposition 4.1 are the (isotropic) local laws for the resolvent [13, 6, 32, 44] and the fluctuation averaging estimates [29, 30, 46, 69]. One of the main technical achivements is to find a weak local law for the two point function $T_{ab}(z, z') := \sum_{j=1, j \neq b}^{N} s_{aj} G_{jb}(z) G_{jb}(z')$, with different spectral parameters z, z'; see Lemma 4.3 with proof in Section 5. Compared with the standard Wigner matrices [42, 53], the two point function $T_{ab}(z, z')$ cannot be written as a matrix product and hence the resolvent identity (5.15) or cyclicity of trace no longer help. Similar two point functions of the resolvents appeared in [34, 22, 24, 10] to derive Gaussian fluctuations of the linear eigenvalue statistics for different random matrix ensembles. The proof of Lemma 4.3 is inspired by the fluctuation averaging mechanism [29], combined with recursive moment estimates based on cumulant expansions. A special case $z = \overline{z}$ was studied previously in [29, 46, 69], and our statements are for arbitrary parameters $z, z' \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. In addition, we end Section 4 by estimating the expectation of the linear eigenvalue statistics and then complete the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Notation: We will use the following definition on high-probability estimates from [29].

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathcal{X} \equiv \mathcal{X}^{(N)}$ and $\mathcal{Y} \equiv \mathcal{Y}^{(N)}$ be two sequences of nonnegative random variables. We say \mathcal{Y} stochastically dominates \mathcal{X} if, for all (small) $\epsilon > 0$ and (large) D > 0,

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{X}^{(N)} > N^{\epsilon} \mathcal{Y}^{(N)}) \le N^{-D}, \tag{1.5}$$

for sufficiently large $N \geq N_0(\epsilon, D)$, and we write $\mathcal{X} \prec \mathcal{Y}$ or $\mathcal{X} = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{Y})$.

For any vector $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{C}^N$, let $\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{\sup} := \max_{i=1}^N |v_i|$ be the sup norm. For any matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$, the matrix norm induced by the sup vector norm are given by $\|A\|_{\infty} := \max_{1 \le i \le N} \sum_{j=1}^N |A_{ij}|$. We also write $\|A\|_{\sup} := \max_{i,j} |A_{ij}|$. Throughout the paper, we use c and C to denote strictly positive constants that are

Throughout the paper, we use c and C to denote strictly positive constants that are independent of N. Their values may change from line to line. We use standard Big-O and little-o notations. Moreover, we write $X \sim Y$ if there exist constants c, C > 0 such that $c|Y| \leq |X| \leq C|Y|$. Finally, we denote the upper half-plane by $\mathbb{C}^+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } z > 0\}$.

2. Main results

Let $H \equiv H_N$ be an $N \times N$ real or complex generalized Wigner matrix satisfying the following assumption.

Assumption 2.1. For real $(\beta = 1)$ generalized Wigner matrix, we assume that

- 1. $\{H_{ij}|i \leq j\}$ are independent real-valued centered random variables with $H_{ij} = H_{ji}$. 2. Let $S \equiv S_N$ denote the matrix of variances, i.e., $S := (s_{ij})_{i,j=1}^N$ with $s_{ij} = \mathbb{E}|H_{ij}|^2$. There exist constants $0 < C_{inf} \leq C_{sup} < \infty$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} s_{ij} \equiv 1; \qquad C_{\inf} \leq \inf_{N,i,j} N s_{ij} \leq \sup_{N,i,j} N s_{ij} \leq C_{\sup}.$$
(2.1)

3. All moments of the entries of $\sqrt{N}H_N$ are uniformly bounded, i.e., for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists C_k independent of N such that for all $1 \leq i, j \leq N$,

$$\mathbb{E}|\sqrt{NH_{ij}}|^k \le C_k. \tag{2.2}$$

For complex ($\beta = 2$) generalized Wigner matrix, we assume that

- (a) {Re H_{ij} , Im $H_{ij} | i \leq j$ } are independent real-valued centered random variables with $H_{ij} = H_{ji}.$
- (b) The same moment conditions 2 and 3 hold and $\mathbb{E}[H_{ij}^2] = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

For a probability measure ν on \mathbb{R} , denote by m_{ν} its Stieltjes transform, i.e.,

$$m_{\nu}(z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu(x)}{x-z}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}^+.$$
(2.3)

Note that $m_{\nu} : \mathbb{C}^+ \to \mathbb{C}^+$ is analytic and can be analytically continued to the real line outside the support of ν . Moreover, m_{ν} satisfies $\lim_{\eta \nearrow \infty} i\eta m_{\nu}(i\eta) = -1$. The Stieltjes transform of the semicircle law $\mu_{sc} := \rho_{sc}(x) dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{4 - x^2} \mathbb{1}_{[-2,2]} dx$, denoted by m_{sc} , is defined as the unique analytic solution $\mathbb{C}^+ \to \mathbb{C}^+$ satisfying

$$m_{sc}^2(z) + zm_{sc}(z) + 1 = 0. (2.4)$$

Fix the energy $E_0 \in [-2, 2]$ and set $N^{-1} \ll \eta_0 \leq 1$. Consider a scaled test function

$$f \equiv f_N(x) := g\left(\frac{x - E_0}{\eta_0}\right), \qquad g \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}).$$
(2.5)

Define the distance between the support of f and the nearest edge of the semicircle law,

$$\kappa_0 := \operatorname{dist}(\operatorname{supp}(f), \{-2, 2\}).$$
(2.6)

Then we have the following CLT for the linear eigenvalue statistics of H_N .

Theorem 2.2. Let H_N be a generalized Wigner matrix satisfying Assumption 2.1 and assume that $\eta_0 \sqrt{\kappa_0 + \eta_0} \ge N^{-1+c_0}$ for some constant $c_0 > 0$. Then there exists a small constant $0 < \tau < \frac{c_0}{16}$ such that the following statements hold. For f as in (2.5), define

$$V(f) := -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_{\Gamma_2} \tilde{f}(z) \tilde{f}(z') \left\{ \frac{2}{\beta} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\frac{m'_{sc}(z)m'_{sc}(z')S}{(1 - m_{sc}(z)m_{sc}(z')S)^2} \right) + 2k_4 m_{sc}(z)m'_{sc}(z)m'_{sc}(z')m'_{sc}(z') + \operatorname{Tr} S \left(1 - \frac{2}{\beta} \right) m'_{sc}(z)m'_{sc}(z') \right\} \mathrm{d}z \mathrm{d}z', \quad (2.7)$$

where

- k₄ is the summation of the forth cumulants (see (4.6) and (4.21)) of both real and imaginary parts of all entries {H_{ij}};
- \tilde{f} is an almost-analytic extension of f, i.e.

$$\tilde{f}(x+\mathrm{i}y) := (f(x)+\mathrm{i}yf'(x))\chi(y), \qquad (2.8)$$

where $\chi : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ is a smooth cutoff function with support in [-2,2] and with $\chi(y) = 1$, for $|y| \leq 1$;

• the contours Γ_k (k = 1, 2) are given by $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\text{Im } z| = \frac{1}{k}N^{-\tau}\eta_0\}$ with counterclockwise orientation.

If there exist constants c, C > 0 such that c < V(f) < C, then

$$\frac{\mathrm{Tr}f(H_N) - \mathbb{E}\mathrm{Tr}f(H_N)}{\sqrt{V(f)}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0,1).$$

Moreover, the so-called bias is given by

ъr

$$\mathbb{E}\mathrm{Tr}f(H_N) - N \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\rho_{sc}(x)\mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}} \int_{\Gamma_1} \tilde{f}(z) \Big\{ \Big(\frac{2}{\beta} - 1\Big) \mathrm{Tr}\Big(\frac{m'_{sc}(z)m^3_{sc}(z)S^2}{1 - m^2_{sc}(z)S}\Big) \\ + k_4 m'_{sc}(z)m^3_{sc}(z) \Big\} \mathrm{d}z + O_{\prec}\Big(\frac{N^{2\tau}}{(N\eta_0\sqrt{\kappa_0 + \eta_0})^{1/4}}\Big) + O_{\prec}(N^{-\tau}).$$
(2.9)

Remark 2.3. We remark that Theorem 2.2 applies to the global scales as well as optimal mesoscopic scales up to the spectral edges. The formulas for the variance (2.7) and the bias (2.9) coincide with the corresponding results for standard Wigner matrices [53, 58] where $s_{ij} = N^{-1}$ for all $1 \le i, j \le N$.

Finally, we obtain the following mesoscopic CLTs for the linear eigenvalue statistics in the bulk and at the edges respectively.

Theorem 2.4 (Universal mesoscopic CLTs). Let H_N be a generalized Wigner matrix satisfying Assumption 2.1. Fix any $E_0 \in (-2, 2)$ and $c_1 \in (0, 1)$, and set $\eta_0 = N^{-c_1}$. For any function $g \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R})$, the mesoscopic linear statistics in the bulk

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} g\left(\frac{\lambda_{i} - E_{0}}{\eta_{0}}\right) - N \int_{\mathbb{R}} g\left(\frac{x - E_{0}}{\eta_{0}}\right) \rho_{sc}(x) \mathrm{d}x \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{1}{\beta\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi| |\hat{g}(\xi)|^{2} \mathrm{d}\xi\right),$$

where $\hat{g}(\xi) := (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) e^{-i\xi x} dx.$

In addition, set $E_0 = \pm 2$ and $\eta_0 = N^{-c_2}$ with any fixed $c_2 \in (0, \frac{2}{3})$. Then the mesoscopic linear statistics at the edges

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} g\left(\frac{\lambda_i - E_0}{\eta_0}\right) - N \int_{\mathbb{R}} g\left(\frac{x - E_0}{\eta_0}\right) \rho_{sc}(x) \mathrm{d}x \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\left(\left(\frac{2}{\beta} - 1\right)\frac{g(0)}{4}, \frac{1}{2\beta\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi| |\hat{h}(\xi)|^2 \mathrm{d}\xi\right),$$

where $h(x) := g(\mp x^2)$, and $\hat{h}(\xi) := (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x) e^{-i\xi x} dx$.

Remark 2.5. The means and variances of the limiting laws in Theorem 2.4 agree with the corresponding results for the Gaussian ensembles. See [18, 39] for the bulk and [11, 61] for the edges. Such edge formulas were also obtained in other ensembles, e.g., Dyson Brownian motion [1], deformed Wigner matrices and sample covariance matrices [58].

3. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some preliminary results that will be used in the proof.

3.1. Properties of the Stieltjes transform of the semicircle law

In this subsection, we recall some properties of m_{sc} . Let $\kappa = \kappa(E)$ be the distance from E to the closest spectral edge of the semicircle law, i.e.,

$$\kappa := \min\{|E+2|, |E-2|\}. \tag{3.1}$$

Define the spectral domain

$$D := \{ z = E + i\eta : |E| \le 5, 0 < \eta \le 10 \}.$$
(3.2)

Lemma 3.1 (Lemma 4.2 in [38], Lemma 6.2 in [35]). We have the following estimates.

1. For any $z \in D$, there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$c \le |m_{sc}(z)| \le 1 - c\eta. \tag{3.3}$$

2. For all $z \in D$, we have

$$|\operatorname{Im} m_{sc}(z)| \sim \begin{cases} \sqrt{\kappa + \eta}, & \text{if } |E| \le 2, \\ \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\kappa + \eta}}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(3.4)

3. For all $z \in D$, there exist some constants c, C > 0 such that

$$c\sqrt{\kappa+\eta} \le |1-m_{sc}^2(z)| \le C\sqrt{\kappa+\eta}.$$
(3.5)

4. For all $z \in D$, we have

$$|m_{sc}(z)| \sim 1; \quad |m'_{sc}(z)| \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa + \eta}}; \quad |m''_{sc}(z)| = O\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\kappa + \eta)^3}}\Big).$$
 (3.6)

3.2. Properties of the variance matrix S

In this subsection, we state some properties of the matrix of variances S, which is crucial in studying the local laws of the generalized Wigner matrices. Recall that $S = (s_{ij})_{i,j=1}^N$ is the matrix of variances satisfying (2.1), and S is deterministic, symmetric and doubly stochastic with strictly positive entries. Hence 1 is the largest eigenvalue, with eigenvector $e := N^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$. By the Perron-Frobenius Theorem, the largest eigenvalue 1 is simple and all other eigenvalues are strictly less than 1 in absolute value. Define δ_{\pm} to be the spectral gaps satisfying

$$\operatorname{Spec}(S) \subset [-1 + \delta_{-}, 1 - \delta_{+}] \cap \{1\}.$$

It is not hard to show that

$$\delta_{\pm} \ge C_{\inf} > 0,$$

provided S satisfies (2.1). Combining with (3.3), $1 - m_{sc}(z)m_{sc}(z')S$ is invertible. Thus, we have the following estimates.

Lemma 3.2. Define $\Pi := ee^T$ with $e = N^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$. For any $z, z' \in D$, there exists C > 0 such that

$$\left\|\frac{1}{1 - m_{sc}(z)m_{sc}(z')S}\right\|_{\infty} \le \frac{C}{|1 - m_{sc}(z)m_{sc}(z')|}, \quad \left\|\frac{1 - \Pi}{1 - m_{sc}(z)m_{sc}(z')S}\right\|_{\infty} \le C,$$

where the constant C depends on C_{inf} and C_{sup} in (2.1).

Similar statements can be found in Lemma 6.3 [35] for z = z', and the proof also applies to two parameters z, z'. In particular, we have from (3.5) that

$$\rho := \left\| \frac{1}{1 - m_{sc}^2(z)S} \right\|_{\infty} \le C \left| \frac{1}{1 - m_{sc}^2(z)} \right| \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa + \eta}}.$$
(3.7)

We also have a trivial lower bound, $\rho \geq \frac{1}{|1-m_{sc}^2(z)|} \geq \frac{1}{2}$, since e is an eigenvector of S and $|m_{sc}(z)| \leq 1$.

3.3. Local Law for the resolvent of H_N

Denote by $(\lambda_i)_{i=1}^N$ the eigenvalues of H_N . We define the empirical spectral measure of H_N by $\mu_N(x) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{\lambda_i}$. The Stieltjes transform of μ_N is then given by

$$m_N(z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu_N(\lambda)}{\lambda - z} = N^{-1} \operatorname{Tr} G(z), \quad \text{with } G(z) := (H_N - zI)^{-1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+.$$
(3.8)

The function G(z) is referred to as the resolvent or Green function of H_N . The semicircle law states that for any fixed z away from the real line, $m_N(z)$ converges in probability to

 $m_{sc}(z)$ as N tends to infinity. It can be extended down to the local scales $\text{Im} z \gg N^{-1}$. We introduce the spectral domain,

$$D' := \{ z = E + i\eta : |E| \le 5, N^{-1+\tau} \le \eta \le 10 \},$$
(3.9)

for any constant $\tau > 0$, and define two deterministic control parameters

$$\Psi(z) := \sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{Im} m_{sc}(z)}{N\eta} + \frac{1}{N\eta}}, \qquad \Theta(z) := \frac{1}{N\eta}.$$
(3.10)

With estimates of $m_{sc}(z)$ in Lemma 3.1, it is easy to check

$$CN^{-\frac{1}{2}} \le \Psi(z) \ll 1$$
, $z \in D'$. (3.11)

We have the following (isotropic) local laws for the resolvent of H_N , which is an essential tool in our proof.

Theorem 3.3 (Theorem 2.3 in [31], Theorem 2.12 in [13], (3.8) in [29]). Let H_N be a generalized Wigner matrix satisfying Assumption 2.1. The following estimates hold uniformly in $z \in D'$:

$$\max_{i,j} |G_{ij}(z) - \delta_{ij} m_{sc}(z)| \prec \Psi(z); \qquad |m_N(z) - m_{sc}(z)| \prec \Theta(z).$$
(3.12)

Furthermore, we also have for all $z \in D'$,

$$\max_{i} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{N} s_{ij} G_{jj}(z) - m_{sc}(z) \right| \prec \rho \Psi^{2}(z),$$
(3.13)

with ρ in (3.7). For any deterministic unit vectors $\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and all $z \in D'$, we have

$$|\langle \boldsymbol{v}, G(z)\boldsymbol{w}\rangle - m_{sc}(z)\langle \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w}\rangle| \prec \Psi(z).$$
 (3.14)

Finally, we end this section with properties of stochastic domination defined in (1.5).

- **Lemma 3.4** (Proposition 6.5 in [35]). 1. $X \prec Y$ and $Y \prec Z$ imply $X \prec Z$;
 - 2. If $X_1 \prec Y_1$ and $X_2 \prec Y_2$, then $X_1 + X_2 \prec Y_1 + Y_2$ and $X_1X_2 \prec Y_1Y_2$;
 - 3. If $X \prec Y$, $\mathbb{E}Y \ge N^{-c}$ and $|X| \le N^c$ almost surely with some fixed exponent c, then we have $\mathbb{E}X \prec \mathbb{E}Y$.

4. Proof of Theorem 2.2 and 2.4

We define the characteristic function of the linear eigenvalue statistics

$$\phi(\lambda) := \mathbb{E}[e(\lambda)], \quad \text{where} \quad e(\lambda) := \exp\left\{i\lambda(\operatorname{Tr} f(H_N) - \mathbb{E}\operatorname{Tr} f(H_N))\right\}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(4.1)

Then the characteristic function ϕ satisfies the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. Under the same conditions as in Theorem 2.2, if $\eta_0 \sqrt{\kappa_0 + \eta_0} \ge N^{-1+c_0}$ for some $c_0 > 0$, then there exists a constant $0 < \tau < \frac{c_0}{16}$ such that ϕ satisfies

$$\phi'(\lambda) = -\lambda\phi(\lambda)V(f) + O_{\prec}(|\lambda|\log NN^{-\tau}) + O_{\prec}\Big(\frac{(1+|\lambda|^4)N^{4\tau}}{(N\eta_0\sqrt{\kappa_0+\eta_0})^{\frac{1}{4}}}\Big).$$

Admitting Proposition 4.1, integrating $\phi'(\lambda)$ and applying the Arzelá-Ascoli theorem and Lévy's continuity theorem, we prove the Gaussian fluctuations for the linear statistics, as stated in Theorem 2.2. Given the scaled test function (2.5), we compute the variances (2.7) and biases (2.9) on mesoscopic scales in the bulk and at the edges respectively, and then conclude Theorem 2.4. Similar arguments for deformed Wigner matrices can be found in Section 6 [58] and we omit them in the present paper.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Via the Helffer-Sjöstrand functional calculus (see (4.10) of [53] for a reference), we translate the linear eigenvalue statistics of $f(H_N)$ to the Green function of H_N . More precisely, for any f in (2.5),

$$\operatorname{Tr} f(H_N) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} \tilde{f}(z) \operatorname{Tr}(G(z)) \mathrm{d}^2 z, \qquad (4.2)$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)$, $\tilde{f}(z)$ is an almost-analytic extension of f given in (2.8) and $d^2 z$ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C} . As observed in [53], the ultra-local scales do not contribute to the mesoscopic linear statistics. So we restrict the domain of the spectral parameter to

$$\Omega_0 := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Im} z| \ge N^{-\tau} \eta_0 \right\}, \qquad (4.3)$$

for a small constant $\tau > 0$. Notice that G(z) is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. Taking derivative of the characteristic function $\phi(\lambda)$ in (4.1) and applying Stokes' formula, we have

$$\phi'(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_1} \tilde{f}(z) \mathbb{E}\Big[e_0(\lambda) (\operatorname{Tr}(G(z) - \mathbb{E}\operatorname{Tr}G(z))\Big] \mathrm{d}z + O_{\prec}\big(|\lambda|\log NN^{-\tau}\big), \qquad (4.4)$$

where

$$e_0(\lambda) := \exp\left\{\frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_2} \tilde{f}(z')(\operatorname{Tr}(G(z')) - \mathbb{E}\operatorname{Tr}G(z'))\mathrm{d}z'\right\},\tag{4.5}$$

with $\Gamma_{1,2}$ given in Theorem 2.2. More details can be found in [53, 58]. Here we choose slightly different contours to avoid singularities. Thus, in order to study $\phi'(\lambda)$, it suffices to estimate $\mathbb{E}[(e_0(\lambda)(\operatorname{Tr}(G(z)) - \mathbb{E}\operatorname{Tr}G(z))].$

To simplify the proof, we will only consider the real symmetric case ($\beta = 1$). The proof for the complex case ($\beta = 2$) is similar. Before we proceed the proof, we introduce the following cumulant expansion formula, see [42] for a reference.

Lemma 4.2 (Cumulant expansion formula). Let h be a real-valued random variable with finite moments, and f is a complex-valued smooth function on \mathbb{R} with bounded derivatives. Let $c^{(k)}(h)$ be the k-th cumulant of h given by

$$c^{(k)}(h) := (-\mathbf{i})^k \frac{\mathrm{d}^k}{\mathrm{d}t^k} \Big(\log \mathbb{E}[e^{\mathbf{i}th}]\Big)\Big|_{t=0}.$$
(4.6)

Then for any fixed $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[hf(h)] = \sum_{k=0}^{l} \frac{1}{k!} c^{(k+1)}(h) \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}^{k}}{\mathrm{d}h^{k}}f(h)\right] + R_{l+1},$$
(4.7)

where the error R_{l+1} satisfies

$$|R_{l+1}| \le C_l \mathbb{E}\Big[|h|^{l+2}\Big] \sup_{|x|\le M} |f^{(l+1)}(x)| + C_l \mathbb{E}\Big[|h|^{l+2} \mathbf{1}_{|h>M|}\Big] \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |f^{(l+1)}(x)|, \qquad (4.8)$$

and M > 0 is an arbitrary fixed cutoff.

By the definition of the resolvent and applying the cumulant expansion (4.6) for l = 3, we have (c.f. (5.6) in [58])

$$z\mathbb{E}[e_0(\lambda)(\mathrm{Tr}G - \mathbb{E}\mathrm{Tr}G)] = \mathbb{E}[e_0(\lambda)(\mathrm{Tr}(HG) - \mathbb{E}\mathrm{Tr}(HG))] = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + R_4, \quad (4.9)$$

where $I_k(k = 1, 2, 3)$ denote the expansion terms associated with the (k + 1)-cumulant, and R_4 is the error given in (4.8). In the following, we estimate each term on the RHS of (4.9) using the identity

$$\frac{\partial G_{ij}}{\partial H_{ab}} = -\frac{G_{ia}G_{bj} + G_{ib}G_{aj}}{1 + \delta_{ab}}, \qquad 1 \le a, b \le N.$$
(4.10)

Similar arguments for deformed Wigner matrices can also be found in Section 5 [58], so we omit most computation details. First, it is not hard to check that $R_4 = O_{\prec}(N^{-1/2}(1+|\lambda|^4))$, by using the local law (3.12), the moment condition (2.2) and Lemma 3.4.

Next, we look at the first term I_1 . Using the local law (3.13), I_1 can be written as (c.f. Section 5.1 and Lemma 5.1 [58])

$$\begin{split} I_{1} &= -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}[e_{0}(\lambda)(1-\mathbb{E})\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{N} s_{ij}G_{jj}(z)G_{ii}(z)\Big)] - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}\Big[e_{0}(\lambda)(1-\mathbb{E})\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{(i)} s_{ij}G_{ji}(z)G_{ji}(z)\Big)\Big] \\ &- \frac{\lambda}{\pi}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}\Big[e_{0}(\lambda)\int_{\Gamma_{2}}\tilde{f}(z')\frac{\partial}{\partial z'}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{1}{1+\delta_{ij}}s_{ij}G(z')_{ji}G_{ji}(z)\Big)dz'\Big] \\ &= -2m_{sc}(z)\mathbb{E}[e_{0}(\lambda)(1-\mathbb{E})\mathrm{Tr}G] - \mathbb{E}[e_{0}(\lambda)(1-\mathbb{E})\mathrm{Tr}T(z,z)] \\ &- \frac{\lambda}{\pi}\mathbb{E}[e_{0}(\lambda)\int_{\Gamma_{2}}\tilde{f}(z')\frac{\partial}{\partial z'}\mathrm{Tr}T(z,z')dz'] - \frac{\lambda\mathrm{Tr}S}{2\pi}\mathbb{E}[e_{0}(\lambda)]\int_{\Gamma_{2}}\tilde{f}(z')m_{sc}(z)m'_{sc}(z')dz' \\ &+ O_{\prec}\big(N\rho\Psi^{3}(z)\big) + O_{\prec}\Big(\frac{|\lambda|}{\sqrt{N\eta_{0}}}\Big) + O_{\prec}(|\lambda|\Psi(z)), \end{split}$$
(4.11)

where we define the following two point function for short,

$$T_{ab}(z,z') := \sum_{j=1}^{(b)} s_{aj} G_{jb}(z) G_{jb}(z'), \quad 1 \le a, b \le N, \quad z, z' \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R},$$
(4.12)

with $\sum_{j=1}^{(b)} := \sum_{j=1, j \neq b}^{N}$. The following local laws for T(z, z') are proved in Section 5.

Y. Li and Y. Xu

Lemma 4.3. For all $1 \le a, b \le N$ and $z, z' \in D'$ in (3.9), we have

$$T_{ab}(z,z') = \left(\frac{m_{sc}^2(z)m_{sc}^2(z')S^2}{1 - m_{sc}(z)m_{sc}(z')S}\right)_{ab} + O_{\prec} \left(\rho_2 \Psi^{\frac{3}{2}}(z)\Psi(z') + \rho_2 \Psi(z)\Psi^{\frac{3}{2}}(z')\right), \quad (4.13)$$

where $\rho_2 \equiv \rho_2(z, z') := (1 - m_{sc}(z)m_{sc}(z'))^{-1}$. Moreover, we have the following estimate of the trace of T(z, z'):

$$\operatorname{Tr}T(z,z') = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{m_{sc}^2(z)m_{sc}^2(z')S^2}{1-m_{sc}(z)m_{sc}(z')S}\right) + \mathcal{E}_T(z,z'),$$
(4.14)

where the error $\mathcal{E}_T(z, z')$ is analytic in $z, z' \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ and for all $z, z' \in D'$, it satisfies

$$|\mathcal{E}_T(z,z')| \prec N\Psi^{\frac{3}{2}}(z)\Psi(z') + N\Psi(z)\Psi^{\frac{3}{2}}(z') + N\Theta^2(z) + N\Theta(z)\Theta(z').$$
(4.15)

Remark 4.4. We remark that the above local law is not optimal. If we further expand (5.9) in the proof below, the error in (4.13) can be improved to $O_{\prec}(\rho_2 \Psi^2(z)\Psi(z') + \rho_2 \Psi(z)\Psi^2(z'))$. But Lemma 4.3 is sufficient to establish the CLTs for the linear statistics, so we do not aim at the optimal local law in the present paper.

Notice that T(z, z') is analytic in $z, z' \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. Taking the partial derivative of $\operatorname{Tr} T(z, z')$ in (4.14) and applying the Cauchy integral formula, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z'} \operatorname{Tr} T(z, z') = \operatorname{Tr} \left(\frac{m_{sc}(z)m'_{sc}(z')S}{(1 - m_{sc}(z)m_{sc}(z')S)^2} \right) - m_{sc}(z)m'_{sc}(z')\operatorname{Tr} S \qquad (4.16)
+ O_{\prec} \left(\frac{N\Psi^{\frac{3}{2}}(z)\Psi(z') + N\Psi(z)\Psi^{\frac{3}{2}}(z') + N\Theta^{2}(z) + N\Theta(z)\Theta(z')}{\operatorname{Im} z'} \right).$$

Plugging (4.14) (for z = z') and (4.16) into (4.11), we hence obtain that

$$I_{1} = -2m_{sc}(z)\mathbb{E}[e_{0}(\lambda)(1-\mathbb{E})\mathrm{Tr}G] + \frac{\lambda\mathrm{Tr}S}{2\pi}\mathbb{E}[e_{0}(\lambda)]\int_{\Gamma_{2}}\tilde{f}(z')m_{sc}(z)m'_{sc}(z')\mathrm{d}z' - \frac{\lambda}{\pi}\mathbb{E}[e_{0}(\lambda)]\int_{\Gamma_{2}}\tilde{f}(z')\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\frac{m_{sc}(z)m'_{sc}(z')S}{(1-m_{sc}(z)m_{sc}(z')S)^{2}}\Big)\mathrm{d}z' + \mathcal{E}_{1}(z),$$
(4.17)

where the error $\mathcal{E}_1(z)$ is collected from (4.11), (4.15) (for z = z') and (4.16). Since g is compactly supported, we have $\kappa_0 \leq \kappa \leq C(\kappa_0 + \eta_0)$ with κ and κ_0 given in (3.1) and (2.6). Using (2.5), (3.4), (3.7) and (3.10), the error $\mathcal{E}_1(z)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{E}_{1}(z)| \prec (1+|\lambda|) N^{2\tau} \bigg(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N\eta_{0}}} + \Psi(z) + N\rho\Psi^{3}(z) + N\Theta^{2}(z) + \Theta(z)\eta_{0}^{-1} + N\Psi^{5/2}(z) \\ + N\Psi^{\frac{3}{2}}(z) \bigg(\frac{(\kappa_{0}+\eta_{0})^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{N\eta_{0}}} + \frac{1}{N\eta_{0}} \bigg) + N\Psi(z) \bigg(\frac{(\kappa_{0}+\eta_{0})^{\frac{3}{8}}}{(N\eta_{0})^{\frac{3}{4}}} + \frac{1}{(N\eta_{0})^{\frac{3}{2}}} \bigg). \end{aligned}$$
(4.18)

By direct computations and the isotropic local law (3.14), one shows that the second term I_2 corresponding to third cumulants is negligible (c.f. Section 5.2 [58]),

$$I_{2} = O_{\prec} \Big(\frac{(1+|\lambda|^{2})N^{2\tau}\Psi(z)}{\sqrt{\eta_{0}}} \Big) + O_{\prec} \big(\sqrt{N}\Psi^{2}(z)\big).$$
(4.19)

It is also straightforward to check that (c.f. Section 5.3 and Lemma 5.1 [58])

$$I_{3} = -\frac{k_{4}\lambda}{2\pi} \mathbb{E}\Big[e_{0}(\lambda) \int_{\Gamma_{2}} \tilde{f}(z') \frac{\partial}{\partial z'} (m_{sc}^{2}(z)m_{sc}^{2}(z')) \mathrm{d}z'\Big] + O_{\prec}\Big(\frac{(1+|\lambda|^{3})N^{2\tau}}{\sqrt{N\eta_{0}}}\Big), \quad (4.20)$$

where k_4 is the summation of all the fourth cumulants, i.e.,

$$k_4 := \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} c_{ij}^{(4)}(H_{ij}) = \mathbb{E}[H_{ij}^4] - 3(\mathbb{E}[H_{ij}^2])^2.$$
(4.21)

Plugging (4.17), (4.19) and (4.20) into (4.9) and rearranging, we obtain that

$$(z+2m_{sc}(z))\mathbb{E}[e_0(\lambda)(1-\mathbb{E})\mathrm{Tr}G] = -\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\mathbb{E}[e_0(\lambda)]\int_{\Gamma_2}\tilde{f}(z')\tilde{K}(z,z')\mathrm{d}z' + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(z), \quad (4.22)$$

where the kernel $\widetilde{K}(z, z')$ is given by

$$\widetilde{K}(z,z') = 2 \operatorname{Tr} \left(\frac{m_{sc}(z) m'_{sc}(z') S}{(1 - m_{sc}(z) m_{sc}(z') S)^2} \right) - m_{sc}(z) m'_{sc}(z') \operatorname{Tr} S + 2k_4 m^2_{sc}(z) m_{sc}(z') m'_{sc}(z'),$$

and $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(z)$ is the error collected from (4.18)-(4.20). Dividing both sides of (4.22) by $z + 2m_{sc}(z) = -\frac{m_{sc}(z)}{m'_{sc}(z)} \sim \sqrt{\kappa + \eta}$ from (2.4) and (3.6), and plugging it into (4.4), we hence obtain that the characteristic function satisfies

$$\phi'(\lambda) = -\lambda \mathbb{E}[e_0(\lambda)] V(f) + O_{\prec} \Big(\frac{(1+|\lambda|^4) N^{4\tau}}{(N\eta_0 \sqrt{\kappa_0 + \eta_0})^{\frac{1}{4}}} \Big) + O_{\prec} \Big(|\lambda| N^{-\tau} \Big),$$

where V(f) is given in (2.7) and we use (2.5), (3.4) and (3.10) to estimate the error. Note that $|e(\lambda) - e_0(\lambda)| \prec |\lambda| N^{-\tau}$. If $V(f) \prec O(1)$, then we replace $e_0(\lambda)$ by $e(\lambda)$ at the cost of $O_{\prec}(|\lambda| N^{-\tau})$. This completes the proof of Proposition 4.1.

We end up this section with the estimate of $\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Tr} G(z)] - Nm_{sc}(z)$ in a similar way and obtain the bias formula for the general linear statistics.

Proof of Equation (2.9). Using the definition of resolvent and the cumulant expansion (4.7) on $z\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Tr} G(z) - Nm_{sc}(z)]$, in combination with the local laws in Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 4.3, we have the analogue of (4.22), i.e.,

$$(z + 2m_{sc}(z))\mathbb{E}(\operatorname{Tr}G(z) - Nm_{sc}(z)) = -\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{m_{sc}^4(z)S^2}{1 - m_{sc}^2(z)S}\right) - k_4 m_{sc}^4(z)$$
(4.23)
+ $O_{\prec}(N\rho\Psi^3) + O_{\prec}(N\Psi^{5/2}) + O_{\prec}(N\Theta^2),$

where ρ is given by (3.7), and k_4 is defined in (4.21). Dividing both sides by $z + 2m_{sc}(z)$ and transforming $\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Tr} G(z)] - Nm_{sc}(z)$ to the bias of the linear statistics via the Heffler-Strösjand formula (4.2) and Stokes' formula, we obtain (2.9) and conclude the last statement of Theorem 2.2.

Remark 4.5. The results in Section 4 extend directly from real symmetric ($\beta = 1$) to complex Hermitian ($\beta = 2$) matrices. The only difference is to apply the complex analogue of cumulant expansion formula and $\frac{\partial G_{ij}}{\partial H_{ab}} = -G_{ia}G_{bj}$ instead of (4.10). Similar arguments can be found in Appendix A [58], and we omit them here.

5. Proof of Lemma 4.3

In this section, we prove a local law for the two point function T(z, z') defined in (4.12). For notational simplicity, we write $T \equiv T(z, z')$, $m_1 := m_{sc}(z)$, $m_2 := m_{sc}(z')$ and define the control parameter $\Xi_2 := \Psi^{\frac{3}{2}}(z)\Psi(z') + \Psi(z)\Psi^{\frac{3}{2}}(z')$. We aim to prove that

$$P_{ab} := -\frac{1}{m_1} T_{ab} + m_2 (ST)_{ab} + m_1 m_2^2 (S^2)_{ab} = O_{\prec}(\Xi_2).$$
(5.1)

Due to (2.4) and the relation zG = HG - I, we write

$$P_{ab} = \sum_{j=1}^{(b)} s_{aj} (HG)_{jb}(z) G_{jb}(z') + m_1 T_{ab} + m_2 (ST)_{ab} + m_1 m_2^2 (S^2)_{ab}.$$
 (5.2)

Set $M_{p,q} := (P_{ab})^p (P_{ab}^*)^q$ for any $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ for short. For any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, applying the cumulant expansion (4.7), we have

$$\mathbb{E}|P_{ab}|^{2d} = \mathbb{E}\Big[\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{(b)}\sum_{k=1}^{N}s_{aj}s_{jk}\frac{\partial G_{kb}(z)G_{jb}(z')}{\partial H_{jk}}\Big)M_{d-1,d}\Big] \\ + \mathbb{E}\Big[\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{(b)}\sum_{k=1}^{N}s_{aj}s_{jk}G_{kb}(z)G_{jb}(z')\Big)(d-1)\frac{\partial P_{ab}}{\partial H_{jk}}M_{d-2,d}\Big]$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}\Big[\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{(b)}\sum_{k=1}^{N}s_{aj}s_{jk}G_{kb}(z)G_{jb}(z')\Big)d\frac{\partial P_{ab}^{*}}{\partial H_{jk}}M_{d-1,d-1}\Big] + R_{2} \\ + \mathbb{E}\Big[\Big(m_{1}T_{ab} + m_{2}(ST)_{ab} + m_{1}m_{2}^{2}(S^{2})_{ab}\Big)M_{d-1,d}\Big] := J_{1} + J_{2} + J_{3} + R_{2} + J_{4},$$

where R_2 is the error of cumulant expansion, see (4.8) for l = 1. We first show that R_2 is negligible. We write $G^{(1)} := G(z), G^{(2)} := G(z'), \Psi_1 := \Psi(z)$ and $\Psi_2 := \Psi(z')$ for short. Using identity (4.10) and the local law (3.12), for general $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\left|\frac{\partial^{\alpha} G_{kb}^{(1)} G_{jb}^{(2)}}{\partial H_{jk}^{\alpha}}\right| \prec \Psi_1 \Psi_2 + \delta_{jb} + \delta_{kb}.$$
(5.4)

We obtain from (4.10) and (5.1) that

$$\frac{\partial P_{ab}}{\partial H_{jk}} = -\frac{1}{m_1} \frac{\partial T_{ab}}{\partial H_{jk}} + m_2 \sum_{i=1}^N s_{ai} \frac{\partial T_{ib}}{\partial H_{jk}} = -\sum_{l=1}^{(b)} \left(\frac{1}{m_1} s_{al} - m_2 (S^2)_{al} \right) \times \\ \left(G_{lj}^{(1)} G_{kb}^{(1)} G_{lb}^{(2)} + G_{lk}^{(1)} G_{jb}^{(2)} G_{lb}^{(2)} + G_{lb}^{(1)} G_{lj}^{(2)} G_{kb}^{(2)} + G_{lb}^{(1)} G_{lk}^{(2)} G_{jb}^{(2)} \right).$$
(5.5)

In general, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, the local law (3.12) implies that,

$$\left|\frac{\partial^{\alpha} P_{ab}}{\partial H_{jk}^{\alpha}}\right| \prec \Psi_1^2 \Psi_2 + \Psi_1 \Psi_2^2 + \delta_{jb} \Psi_1 \Psi_2 + \delta_{kb} \Psi_1 \Psi_2.$$
(5.6)

Similarly, the estimate (5.6) still holds true for $\frac{\partial^{\alpha} P_{ab}^*}{\partial H_{jk}^{\alpha}}$ for general $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$. Using the moment condition (2.2), (5.4), (5.6) and (3.11), we hence obtain that

$$R_{2} = \mathbb{E}[O_{\prec}(\Xi_{1})M_{d-1,d}] + \mathbb{E}[O_{\prec}(\Xi_{1}^{2})M_{d-2,d}] + \mathbb{E}[O_{\prec}(\Xi_{1}^{2})M_{d-1,d-1}] + \mathbb{E}[O_{\prec}(\Xi_{1}^{3})M_{d-3,d}] + \mathbb{E}[O_{\prec}(\Xi_{1}^{3})M_{d-2,d-1}] + \mathbb{E}[O_{\prec}(\Xi_{1}^{3})M_{d-1,d-2}], \quad (5.7)$$

where we define a new control parameter $\Xi_1 := \Psi_1^2 \Psi_2 + \Psi_1 \Psi_2^2 \ll \Xi_2$.

Next, we look at the first term J_1 . Using (4.10) and the local law (3.12), we write

$$J_{1} = -\mathbb{E}\Big[\sum_{j=1}^{(b)}\sum_{k=1}^{N}s_{aj}s_{jk}\Big(m_{1}G_{jb}^{(1)}G_{jb}^{(2)} + m_{2}G_{kb}^{(1)}G_{kb}^{(2)}\Big)M_{d-1,d}\Big] + \mathbb{E}[O_{\prec}(\Xi_{1})M_{d-1,d}]$$
$$= -\mathbb{E}\Big[\Big(m_{1}T_{ab} + m_{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N}s_{aj}T_{jb} + m_{1}m_{2}^{2}(S)_{ab}^{2}\Big)M_{d-1,d}\Big] + \mathbb{E}[O_{\prec}(\Xi_{1})M_{d-1,d}].$$
(5.8)

Note that the leading term of J_1 will cancel J_4 . As for the second term J_2 , using (5.5) and simple power counting by the local law (3.12), we have

$$J_{2} = (d-1)\mathbb{E}\Big[\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{(b)}\sum_{k=1}^{N}s_{aj}s_{jk}G_{kb}(z)G_{jb}(z')\Big)\frac{\partial P_{ab}}{\partial H_{jk}}M_{d-2,d}\Big] = \mathbb{E}[O_{\prec}(\Xi_{2}^{2})M_{d-2,d}].$$
(5.9)

We treat J_3 similarly and get $J_3 = \mathbb{E}[O_{\prec}(\Xi_2^2)M_{d-1,d-1}]$. Therefore, we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E}|P_{ab}|^{2d} = \mathbb{E}[O_{\prec}(\Xi_1)M(d-1,d)] + \mathbb{E}[O_{\prec}(\Xi_2^2)M_{d-1,d-1}] + \mathbb{E}[O_{\prec}(\Xi_2^2)M_{d-2,d}] \\ + \mathbb{E}[O_{\prec}(\Xi_1^3)M_{d-3,d}] + \mathbb{E}[O_{\prec}(\Xi_1^3)M_{d-2,d-1}] + \mathbb{E}[O_{\prec}(\Xi_1^3)M_{d-1,d-2}].$$
(5.10)

Applying the Young's inequality to the RHS of (5.10) and using $\Xi_1 \ll \Xi_2$, we get $\mathbb{E}|P_{ab}|^{2d} \prec \Xi_2^{2d}$ for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and thus $|P_{ab}| \prec \Xi_2$. Using $|m_{sc}(z)| \sim 1$, the matrix $(T)_{ab}$ defined in (5.1) hence satisfies

$$(1 - m_1 m_2 S)T = m_1^2 m_2^2 S^2 + \mathcal{R}(z, z'), \qquad (5.11)$$

Y. Li and Y. Xu

where the error matrix $\mathcal{R} \equiv \mathcal{R}(z, z')$ has the following estimate:

$$\|\mathcal{R}(z,z')\|_{\sup} = O_{\prec}(\Psi^{\frac{3}{2}}(z)\Psi(z')) + O_{\prec}(\Psi(z)\Psi^{\frac{3}{2}}(z')).$$
(5.12)

Combining with the first estimate from Lemma 3.2, we hence prove (4.13).

Next, we continue to estimate the trace of the two point function T(z, z'). Recall the projection matrix $\Pi = ee^*$, where $e = N^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1, 1, \dots, 1)^*$. Note that $\Pi S = S\Pi = \Pi$. Multiplying both sides of (5.11) by $(1 - \Pi)(1 - m_1m_2S)^{-1}$, we have

$$(1 - \Pi)T = m_1^2 m_2^2 \frac{S^2 - \Pi}{1 - m_1 m_2 S} + \frac{1 - \Pi}{1 - m_1 m_2 S} \mathcal{R}.$$

Using the second estimates in Lemma 3.2 and (5.12), we obtain that

$$\operatorname{Tr} T = \operatorname{Tr}(\Pi T) + \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{m_1^2 m_2^2 (S^2 - \Pi)}{1 - m_1 m_2 S}\right) + O_{\prec} \left(N \Psi^{\frac{3}{2}}(z) \Psi(z')\right) + O_{\prec} \left(N \Psi(z) \Psi^{\frac{3}{2}}(z')\right).$$
(5.13)

For the first term on the RHS of (5.13), we write it as

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\Pi T) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{b=1}^{N} \sum_{j}^{(b)} G_{jb}(z) G_{jb}(z') = \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr}(G(z)G(z')) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{b=1}^{N} G_{bb}(z) G_{bb}(z').$$
(5.14)

To estimate (5.14), we separate our argument into two cases:

1. For $z \neq z'$, using the resolvent identity

$$G(z)G(z') = \frac{1}{z - z'}(G(z) - G(z')), \qquad (5.15)$$

and the local law (3.12), we have

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\Pi T) = \frac{m_N(z) - m_N(z')}{z - z'} - m_{sc}(z)m_{sc}(z') + O_{\prec}(\Theta(z)) + O_{\prec}(\Theta(z')).$$

If z, z' are in different half planes, then $|z - z'| \ge |\text{Im } z|$ and thus from (3.12),

$$\frac{m_N(z) - m_N(z')}{z - z'} = \frac{m_{sc}(z) - m_{sc}(z')}{z - z'} + O_{\prec} \Big(\frac{\Theta(z)}{|\mathrm{Im}\,z|}\Big) + O_{\prec} \Big(\frac{\Theta(z')}{|\mathrm{Im}\,z|}\Big).$$

If z and z' are in the same half-plane, without loss of generality, we assume $z, z' \in \mathbb{C}^+$. If $|\operatorname{Im} z - \operatorname{Im} z'| \geq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} z$, the previous argument still applies. Otherwise, we have $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} z \leq \operatorname{Im} z' \leq \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{Im} z$. Since $d(z) := m_N(z) - m_{sc}(z)$ is analytic in $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$, applying the Cauchy integral formula, we obtain that

$$\left|\frac{d(z) - d(z')}{z - z'}\right| \le \sup_{\omega \in L(z, z')} \left|d'(\omega)\right| \prec \frac{\Theta(\omega)}{|\mathrm{Im}\,\omega|} = O_{\prec}\left(\frac{\Theta(z)}{|\mathrm{Im}\,z|}\right)$$

where L(z, z') denotes the segment connecting z and z'. Therefore, we have

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\Pi T) = \frac{m_{sc}(z) - m_{sc}(z')}{z - z'} - m_{sc}(z)m_{sc}(z') + O_{\prec}\Big(\frac{\Theta(z) + \Theta(z')}{|\operatorname{Im} z|}\Big).$$
(5.16)

2. For z = z', using the identity $G^2(z) = \frac{d}{dz}G(z)$, the local law (3.12) and the Cauchy integral formula, we have

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\Pi T) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z} m_N(z) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{b=1}^N (G_{bb}(z))^2 = m'_{sc}(z) - m^2_{sc}(z) + O_{\prec} \left(\frac{\Theta(z)}{\operatorname{Im} z}\right).$$
(5.17)

In addition, we use the Taylor expansion on $(1 - m_1 m_2 S)^{-1}$ and the relation $\Pi S = S\Pi = \Pi$ to get

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{m_1^2 m_2^2 \Pi}{1 - m_1 m_2 S}\right) = \frac{m_1^2 m_2^2}{1 - m_1 m_2}.$$
(5.18)

Plugging (5.16) (or (5.17) for z = z') and (5.18) into (5.13), we conluct from (2.4) that (4.14) and (4.15) hold. This complete the proof of Lemma 4.3.

Acknowledgements

Y. Li is supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union Horizon 2020 research and innovation program, grant 647133 (ICHAOS). Y. Xu is supported by Göran Gustafsson Foundation and the Swedish Research Council Grant VR-2017-05195.

References

- [1] A. Adhikari, J. Huang. Dyson Brownian Motion for General β and Potential at the Edge, preprint, arXiv:1810.08308v1, (2018).
- [2] K. Adhikari, I. Jana, and K. Saha. Linear eigenvalue statistics of random matrices with a variance profile, Preprint, arXiv:1901.09404, (2019).
- [3] O. H. Ajanki, L. Erdős and T. Kruger. Singularities of solutions to quadratic vector equations on the complex upper half-plane, *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics* **70**(9), 1672-1705 (2017).
- [4] O. H. Ajanki, L. Erdős and T. Kruger. Universality for general Wigner-type matrices, Probab. Theory Related Fields 169, 667-727 (2017).
- [5] O. H. Ajanki, L. Erdős and T. Kruger. Stability of the matrix Dyson equation and random matrices with correlations, *Probab. Theory Related Fields* 173, 293-373 (2019).
- [6] J. Alt, L. Erdős, T. Kruger and D. Schroder, Correlated Random Matrices: Band Rigidity and Edge Universality, Preprint, arXiv:1804.07744, (2018).
- [7] G. Anderson, O. Zeitouni. A CLT for a band matrix model, Probab. Theory Related Fields 134, 283–338 (2006).
- [8] Z. D. Bai, J. W. Silverstein. Spectral Analysis of Large Dimensional Random Matrices. Mathematics Monograph Series 2, Beijing: Science Press (2006).
- [9] Z. D. Bai, J. F. Yao. On the convergence of the spectral empirical process of Wigner matrices, *Bernoulli* 11(6), 1059-1092 (2005).

- [10] Z. Bao , K. Schnelli and Y. Xu. Central limit theorem for mesoscopic eigenvalue statistics of free sum of matrices, preprint, .
- [11] E. L. Basor, H. Widom. Determinants of Airy Operators and Applications to Random Matrices, J. Stat. Phys. 96, 1-20 (1999).
- [12] F. Bekerman, A. Lodhia. Mesoscopic central limit theorem for general β-ensembles, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Probab. Statist. 54, 1917-1938 (2018).
- [13] A. Bloemendal, L. Erdős, A. Knowles, H.T. Yau and J. Yin. Isotropic local laws for sample covariance and generalized Wigner matrices, *Electron. J. Probab.* 19(33), 53pp (2014).
- [14] P. Bourgade, L. Erdős and H.-T. Yau. Edge universality of beta ensembles, Commun. Math. Phys. 332, 261–353 (2014).
- [15] P. Bourgade, L. Erdős, H.-T. Yau and J. Yin. Fixed energy universality for generalized Wigner matrices, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 69, (2015).
- [16] P. Bourgade, H.-T. Yau, and J. Yin. Random band matrices in the delocalized phase, I: Quantum unique ergodicity and universality, preprint arXiv:1807.01559, (2018).
- [17] P. Bourgade, H.-T. Yau, and J. Yin. Random band matrices in the delocalized phase, II: Generalized resolvent estimates, preprint arXiv:1807.01562, (2018).
- [18] A. Boutet de Monvel, A. Khorunzhy. Asymptotic distribution of smoothed eigenvalue density. I. Gaussian random matrices, *Random Oper. and Stoch. Equ.* 7(1), pp 1-22 (1999).
- [19] A. Boutet de Monvel, A. Khorunzhy. Asymptotic distribution of smoothed eigenvalue density. II. Wigner random matrices, *Random Oper. and Stoch. Equ.* 7(2), pp 149-168 (1999).
- [20] J. Breuer, M. Duits. Universality of mesoscopic fluctuations for orthogonal polynomial ensembles, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **342** (2), 491-531 (2016).
- [21] S. Chatterjee. Fluctuations of eigenvalues and second order Poincaré inequalities, Probability Theory and Related Fields 143(1-2), 1-40 (2009).
- [22] G. Cipolloni, L. Erdős. Fluctuations for linear eigenvalue statistics of sample covariance random matrices. Preprint arXiv:1806.08751 (2018).
- [23] G. Cipolloni, L. Erdős, T. Krüger and D. Schröder. Cusp universality for random matrices II: the real symmetric case, *Pure and App. Analy.* 1(4), 615-707 (2019).
- [24] G. Cipolloni, L. Erdős, and D. Schröder. Central limit theorem for linear eigenvalue statistics of non-Hermitian random matrices, preprint arXiv:1912.04100 (2019).
- [25] M. Diaz, J.A. Mingo and S.T. Belinschi. On the global fluctuations of block Gaussian matrices, *Probability Theory and Related Fields* (2019).
- [26] M. Duits, K. Johansson. On mesoscopic equilibrium for linear statistics in Dyson's Brownian Motion, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 255 (1222), (2018).
- [27] L. Erdős, A. Knowles. The Altshuler-Shklovskii formulas for random band matrices I: the unimodular case, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 333, 1365-1416 (2015).
- [28] L. Erdős, A. Knowles. The Altshuler-Shklovskii formulas for random band matrices II: the general case, Ann. H. Poincaré 16, 709-799 (2015).
- [29] L. Erdős, A. Knowles, and H. T. Yau. Averaging Fluctuations in Resolvents of Random Band Matrices, Annales Henri Poincaré 14(8), 1837-1926 (2013).
- [30] L. Erdős, A. Knowles, H.T. Yau and J. Yin, Delocalization and Diffusion Profile for

Random Band Matrices, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **323**(1), 367-416 (2013).

- [31] L. Erdős, A. Knowles, H.T. Yau and J. Yin. The local semicircle law for a general class of random matrices, *Electron. J. Probab* 18(59), 1-58 (2013).
- [32] L. Erdős, T. Krüger and D. Schröder. Random Matrices with Slow Correlation Decay, Forum of Mathematics, Sigma 7(8), (2019).
- [33] L. Erdős, T. Krüger and D. Schröder. Cusp universality for random matrices I: Local law and the complex Hermitian case. Preprint arXiv:1809.03971 (2018).
- [34] L. Erdős, D. Schröder. Fluctuations of Rectangular Young Diagrams of Interlacing Wigner Eigenvalues. *International Mathematics Research Notices* 10, 3255-3298 (2018).
- [35] L. Erdős, H. T. Yau. A Dynamical Approach to Random Matrix Theory, Courant Lecture Notes 28, 266pp (2017)
- [36] L. Erdős, H.-T. Yau and J. Yin. Rigidity of eigenvalues of generalized Wigner matrices, Adv. Math. 229, 1435–1515 (2012).
- [37] L. Erdős, H.-T. Yau and J. Yin. Bulk universality for generalized Wigner matrices, Probab. Theory Related Fields 154, 341–407 (2012).
- [38] L. Erdős, H.-T. Yau and J. Yin. Universality for generalized Wigner matrices with Bernoulli distribution, J. Comb. 2, 15–81 (2011).
- [39] Y. V. Fyodorov, B. A. Khoruzhenko, and N. J. Simm. Fractional Brownian motion with Hurst index H = 0 and the Gaussian unitary ensemble, *The Annals of Probability* **44**(4): 2980-3031 (2016).
- [40] A. Guionnet. Large deviation upper bounds and central limit theorems for band matrices, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. 38, 341–384 (2002).
- [41] Y. He. Bulk eigenvalue fluctuations of sparse random matrices, Preprint, arXiv:1904.07140 (2019).
- [42] Y. He, A. Knowles. Mesoscopic eigenvalue statistics of Wigner matrices, Ann. Appl. Probab. 27(3), 1510-1550 (2017).
- [43] Y. He, A. Knowles. Mesoscopic Eigenvalue Density Correlations of Wigner Matrices. Preprint arXiv: 1808.09436.
- [44] Y. He, A. Knowles, and R. Rosenthal. Isotropic self-consistent equations for meanfield random matrices, *Probability Theory and Related Fields* 171(3), 203-249 (2018).
- [45] W. Hachem, P. Loubaton and J. Najim. A CLT for Information-theoretic statistics of Gram random matrices with a given variance profile, *Annals of Applied Probability* 18(6), 2071-2130 (2008).
- [46] Y. He, M. Marcozzi. Diffusion Profile for Random Band Matrices: a Short Proof, Journal of Statistical Physics 177, 666-716(2019).
- [47] J. Huang, B. Landon. Rigidity and a mesoscopic central limit theorem for Dyson Brownian motion for general beta and potentials, *Prob. Theory and Related Fields* 175(1-2), 209-253 (2019).
- [48] I. Jana, K. Saha, and A. Soshnikov. Fluctuations of linear eigenvalue statistics of random band matrices, *Theory Probab. Appl.* 60(3), 407-443 (2016).
- [49] K. Johansson. On fluctuations of eigenvalues of random Hermitian matrices, Duke Math. J. 91, 151–204 (1998).

- [50] A. M. Khorunzhy, B. A. Khoruzhenko and L.A. Pastur. Asymptotic properties of large random matrices with independent entries. J. Math. Phys. 37, no. 10, 5033-5060 (1996).
- [51] G. Lambert. Mesoscopic fluctuations for unitary invariant ensembles. *Electron. J. Probab.* 23, no. 7, 33 pp (2018).
- [52] G. Lambert. Mesoscopic central limit theorem for the circular beta-ensembles and applications, Preprint, arXiv:1902.06611.
- [53] B. Landon, P. Sosoe. Applications of mesoscopic CLTs in random matrix theory, Preprint, arXiv:1811.05915.
- [54] B. Landon, P. Sosoe and H.T Yau. Fixed energy universality of Dyson Brownian motion, Advances in Math, 346, pp. 1137-1332, 2019.
- [55] J. Oon Lee, K. Schnelli. Edge universality for deformed Wigner matrices, *Rev. Math. Phys* 27(8), 1550018 (2015).
- [56] J. O. Lee, J. Yin. A necessary and sufficient condition for edge universality of Wigner matrices, *Duke Math. J.* 163(1), 117-173 (2014).
- [57] L. Li, A. Soshnikov. Central limit theorem for linear statistics of eigenvalues of band random matrices, *Random Matrices: Theory and Applications* 2(4) (2013).
- [58] Y. Li , K. Schnelli and Y. Xu. Central limit theorem for mesoscopic eigenvalue statistics of deformed Wigner matrices and sample covariance matrices, preprint, arXiv:1909.12821.
- [59] A. Lodhia, N. J. Simm. Mesoscopic linear statistics of Wigner matrices, Preprint, arXiv:1503.03533.
- [60] A. Lytova, L. Pastur. Central limit theorem for linear eigenvalue statistics of random matrices with independent entries, Ann. Prob. 37(5), 1778-1840 (2009).
- [61] C. Min, Y. Chen. Linear Statistics of Random Matrix Ensembles at the Spectrum Edge Associated with the Airy Kernel, *Nuclear Physics B* 950, (2020).
- [62] M. Shcherbina. Central limit theorem for linear eigenvalue statistics of the Wigner and sample covariance random matrices, *Zh. Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom.* 7(2), 176-192 (2011).
- [63] M. Shcherbina. On fluctuations of eigenvalues of random band matrices, J. Stat. Phys. 161(1), 73-90 (2015).
- [64] D. Shlyakhtenko. Random Gaussian band matrices and freeness with amalgamation, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 20, 1013-1025 (1996).
- [65] S. Sodin. The spectral edge of some random band matrices, Annals of Mathematics 172, 2223-2251 (2010).
- [66] A. Soshnikov. Central Limit Theorem for Linear Statistics in Classical Compact Groups and Related Combinatorial Identities, Annals of Probability 28, 1353-1370 (2000).
- [67] P. Sosoe, P. Wong. Regularity conditions in the CLT for linear eigenvalue statistics of Wigner matrices. Advances in Mathematics 249, 37-87 (2013)
- [68] E. P. Wigner. Characteristic vectors of bordered matrices with infinite dimensions, Ann. of Math. 62(2), 548-564 (1952).
- [69] F. Yang, Y. Jun. Random band matrices in the delocalized phase, III: Averaging fluctuations, preprint, arXiv:1807.02447.