

## Biomimetic Models to Investigate Membrane Biophysics Affecting Lipid-Protein Interaction

Joe Sarkis, V. Vié

## ▶ To cite this version:

Joe Sarkis, V. Vié. Biomimetic Models to Investigate Membrane Biophysics Affecting Lipid-Protein Interaction. Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology, 2020, 8, 10.3389/fbioe.2020.00270 . hal-02796935

## HAL Id: hal-02796935 https://hal.science/hal-02796935v1

Submitted on 5 Jun2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License





## **Biomimetic Models to Investigate Membrane Biophysics Affecting Lipid–Protein Interaction**

Joe Sarkis<sup>1,2\*†</sup> and Véronique Vié<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Cell Biology, Harvard Medical School and Program in Cellular and Molecular Medicine, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, United States, <sup>2</sup> Univ Rennes, CNRS, IPR-UMR 6251, Rennes, France

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Emanuele Cocucci, The Ohio State University, United States

#### Reviewed by:

Pasquale Stano, University of Salento, Italy Harichandra D. Tagad, National Institutes of Health (NIH), United States

#### \*Correspondence:

Joe Sarkis joe@clathrin.hms.harvard.edu Véronique Vié Veronique.vie@univ-rennes1.fr

#### <sup>†</sup>Present address:

Joe Sarkis, Moderna, Inc., Cambridge, MA, United States

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Synthetic Biology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology

Received: 20 November 2019 Accepted: 16 March 2020 Published: 15 April 2020

#### Citation:

Sarkis J and Vié V (2020) Biomimetic Models to Investigate Membrane Biophysics Affecting Lipid–Protein Interaction. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 8:270. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2020.00270

Biological membranes are highly dynamic in their ability to orchestrate vital mechanisms including cellular protection, organelle compartmentalization, cellular biomechanics, nutrient transport, molecular/enzymatic recognition, and membrane fusion. Controlling lipid composition of different membranes allows cells to regulate their membrane characteristics, thus modifying their physical properties to permit specific protein interactions and drive structural function (membrane deformation facilitates vesicle budding and fusion) and signal transduction. Yet, how lipids control protein structure and function is still poorly understood and needs systematic investigation. In this review, we explore different in vitro membrane models and summarize our current understanding of the interplay between membrane biophysical properties and lipid-protein interaction, taken as example few proteins involved in muscular activity (dystrophin), digestion and Legionella pneumophila effector protein DrrA. The monolayer model with its movable barriers aims to mimic any membrane deformation while surface pressure modulation imitates lipid packing and membrane curvature changes. It is frequently used to investigate peripheral protein binding to the lipid headgroups. Examples of how lipid lateral pressure modifies protein interaction and organization within the membrane are presented using various biophysical techniques. Interestingly, the shear elasticity and surface viscosity of the monolayer will increase upon specific protein(s) binding, supporting the importance of such mechanical link for membrane stability. The lipid bilayer models such as vesicles are not only used to investigate direct protein binding based on the lipid nature, but more importantly to assess how local membrane curvature (vesicles with different size) influence the binding properties of a protein. Also, supported lipid bilayer model has been used widely to characterize diffusion law of lipids within the bilayer and/or protein/biomolecule binding and diffusion on the membrane. These membrane models continue to elucidate important advances regarding the dynamic properties harmonizing lipid-protein interaction.

Keywords: cell membrane, lipid-protein interactions, bioengineering, *in vitro* models, membrane biophysics, binding kinetics

1

## INTRODUCTION

Biological membranes are fundamental elements for cellular organization. They provide cellular entities and are responsible for the compartmentalization of cytoplasmic space into functionally specialized organelles as well as controlled exchanges between the interior of the cell and the extracellular environment. These membranes are far from being inert envelopes. The "fluid mosaic" model was introduced by Singer and Nicolson (1972), analogous to a two-dimensional oriented solution of integral proteins (or lipoproteins) in the viscous phospholipid bilaver solvent. Biological membranes described as consisting of a double layer of phospholipids, in which the hydrophobic chains face each other, traversed by membrane proteins. The lipids are in a perpetual movement of lateral diffusion, via the Brownian motion (Lipowsky and Sackmann, 1995), and the membrane proteins also move, but more slowly than the lipids which surround them. With this enormous complexity and huge diversity of lipids; not only between species but also in different membranes in one cell; it is clear that in order to understand the key parameters in the lipid-protein interactions, we are tempted to simplify our experimental conditions, thus the appearance of basic membrane models. Using such reductionist approach, it is possible to retrieve information about the physical rules which regulate the phase behavior of the membranes and the interplay between protein and lipids. The most well-known and common biomimetic system used for such purposes are discussed here: lipid monolayer, lipid vesicles, and supported lipid bilayers (SLB) with a brief example of bicelles. While each of these systems exhibits advantages and disadvantages, it is clear that the exploitation of various model systems and different investigation techniques offers a better understanding of the complex lipid/protein interactions which might be relevant to accomplish membrane functions. Note that proteins that are used here, mainly dystrophin and DrrA, are discussed in depth only to emphasize different examples where lipid biophysical properties modulate lipid-protein interaction.

### LIPID MONOLAYER MODELS

The understanding of monolayer formation at the liquid/air interface has been forged over the last few centuries by the experiments carried out by B. Franklin in the 18th century (1774), followed by Rayleigh, Pockels, and Gibbs in the 19th century (Rayleigh, 1890), but it is I. Langmuir (Langmuir, 1917) Nobel Prize winner in 1932 who developed the technique to control the formation of monolayers and their thermodynamic studies. Together with K. Blodgett, they develop a technique to transfer monomolecular films to a solid support in order to expand analytical techniques (Blodgett, 1935).

The interest of biologists in monolayers appeared after a remarkable study by Gorter and Grendel (1925) who based the hypothesis of the structure of biological membranes as the combination of two monolayers after spreading a chromocyte lipid extract on a Langmuir trough. Thus, the spontaneous formation of lipid monomolecular film at the

interface makes it possible to mimic one of the leaflets of the biological membrane. The choice of lipids will be guided by the type of leaflet to be studied. Thus, a mixture of phosphatidylcholine (PC), sphingomyelin (SM), cholesterol (CHOL), will be representative of the outer leaflet of the biological membrane. The replacement of sphingomyelin by an anionic lipid as phosphatidylserine (PS) or phosphatidylglycerol (PG) will represent the inner leaflet (Devaux and Zachowski, 1994). To mimic the bacteria membranes, the major component of the plasmic eukaryotic membrane phosphaditylcholine is usually replaced by phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) and the use of extracted lipopolysaccharides from bacteria will represent the external membrane in antimicrobial activity studies (Lugtenberg and Peters, 1976; Epand et al., 2007; Legrand et al., 2011; Derde et al., 2015a,b). Recently, the molecular mechanism of amphotericin B antimicrobial activity was characterized using monolayer (Wang et al., 2020) and showed the importance of amphotericin B interaction with sterol by increasing monolayer fluidity. Another exciting usage of lipid monolayer models is to investigate lung surfactant monolayer and how it interacts with drugs related to lung diseases (Hu et al., 2019). When inhaling Ketoprofen, the molecule hinders the formation of liquidcondensed films and affect the property of the membrane during breathing. In a different lung model study, two air pollutants (Stachowicz-Kuśnierz et al., 2020) was shown to adsorb and accumulate in the hydrophobic part of the monolayer.

### Langmuir Trough

Langmuir trough equipped with mobile barriers has been widely used to study the thermodynamic behavior and 2D phase diagrams of purified lipids or in binary mixtures (Vié et al., 2000). Ternary mixtures have also been examined including the effect of cholesterol which is an essential element of biological membranes by modifying rheological properties (Brown and Brockman, 2007; Guyomarc'h et al., 2014).

In this part of the review, Langmuir trough will be presented in the context of protein/membrane interactions while manipulating surface pressure measured through the Wilhelmy plate by controlling barriers movements. Here, we will not discuss the interactions that lead to lipid degradation as in the context of enzymatic activity (Verger and De Haas, 1973). In these studies, the authors often use a zero order trough, which consists of two compartments connected by a channel that maintains both surface pressure and area constant during the degradation and solubilization of reaction products (Sias et al., 2004).

Due to the structure of the interface, the proteins studied are essentially part of peripheral proteins in the domain of animal or vegetal (Bottier et al., 2008; Chièze et al., 2011; Sarkis et al., 2014) or proteins with membranotropic activity such as antimicrobial activity (Legrand et al., 2011; Derde et al., 2015a,b). The Langmuir trough is associated with surface pressure measurement system but over the years this technique has been associated with many other techniques, notably based on the properties of the reflection of light (ellipsometry, Brewster angle microscopy, infrared spectroscopy) or neutron and X ray (Berge and Renault, 1993; Estrela-Lopis et al., 2001; Miller et al., 2005; Bello et al., 2016). It is through two examples, a study of the interactions between dystrophin-lipids and an investigation of mechanism of gastric milk fat globule digestion that we will describe the use of the methods developed around the Langmuir trough to highlight the different types of interaction.

## Impact of the Lateral Lipid Pressure on Lipid–Protein Interactions

The power of lipid monolayer relays on understanding the physico-chemical properties of biomembrane, where packing and compressibility of the lipid could be controlled by monitoring the surface per area per lipid molecule. Recently, Pinto and Disalvo (2019) proposed a novel monolayer model as an open system based on thermodynamics of irreversible process. Some new investigations listed here will emphasize the usage of such model to characterize molecular mechanisms and the importance of lateral lipid pressure after protein binding. The impact of ions (such as calcium) on lipid–protein interactions was crucial in stabilizing the complex formation at the membrane as shown by Krajewska et al. (2020). Moreover, Shiga toxin binding to its cellular receptor (glycolipid) will initiate narrow membrane bending due to lipid compression and long-range membrane reorganization (Watkins et al., 2019).

Adsorption experiments at lipid/liquid fluid interfaces are used to characterize protein-lipid interactions. Lipids are spread to the liquid surface using a high precision syringe. The lipids are usually diluted in chloroform/methanol. The lipids are compressed at the desired surface pressure, initial surface pressure  $(\pi_i)$ . Protein is then injected in the subphase at the chosen concentration. Biological membrane are in a fluid state and its inner leaflet carried out negative charges, therefore, 1:1 molar ratio of dioleoylphosphatidylcholine (DOPC) and dioleoylphosphatidylserine (DOPS) are chosen (Fiehn et al., 1971; Devaux and Zachowski, 1994). The presence of unsaturation on the hydrocarbon chains allows remaining in the expanded liquid state whatever the lateral pressure. The surface pressure is directly related to the lateral cohesion of molecules and initial interfacial pressure values are related to the lateral packing of the lipids before protein interactions. Low surface pressure will promote insertion of amphiphilic proteins through access to the hydrophobic parts of the lipids, so electrostatic and hydrophobic forces can be brought into play in the surface pressure range between 10 and 20 mN/m. When lateral pressure increases, the polar head-groups come close together and as a result the access of hydrophobic parts decreases, limiting the protein insertion. Electrostatic interactions can persist, and proteins will mainly interact with polar head-groups. The graph in **Figure 1A** shows the surface pressure variation  $(\Delta \pi)$  induced by protein/lipid interaction versus the initial pressure  $(\pi_i)$  of the monolayer for three purified fragments of the central dystrophin domain, DYS R4-9, DYS R11-15, DYS R16-21 (Sarkis et al., 2011; Ameziane-Le Hir et al., 2014). Indeed, the dystrophin is the most important muscular protein essential for maintaining the integrity of the muscular cell during elongation/contraction cycles (Koenig et al., 1988). We studied possible interactions between this central domain constituted by 24 spectrin-like

repeats noted R and the plasma membrane. As expected, the insertion decreases as the initial surface pressure increases. Curve analysis of  $\Delta \pi$  values *vs.*  $\pi_i$  will identify three parameters related to the binding of each fragment as described by Calvez et al. (2011) and Boisselier et al. (2012):  $\Delta \pi_0$ , synergy factor, and maximal insertion pressure (MIP).  $\Delta \pi_0$ , value determined by extrapolation for  $\pi_i$  equal to 0, is the theoretical pressure increase at the lipid-free interface ( $\pi_{initial} = 0 \text{ mN/m}$ ). The synergy factor (a) is obtained by addition of 1 to the slope of the linear regression and is related to the protein affinity for the lipid monolayer. MIP is the curve extrapolation intercept with x-axis; it identifies the lipid monolayer initial surface pressure for which the dystrophin fragment injection does not induce increase in surface pressure.

Linear regression for the three fragments ( $R^2$  comprises between 0.98 and 0.99), and the parameters deduced from these equations are presented in **Table 1**. Surface pressures measured at the lipid free interface ( $p_e$ ) are also reported for these three fragments. For all samples,  $\Delta \pi_0$  are around 15 mN/m, DYS R16-21 presents a lower value than DYS R11-15 and DYS R4-9. Values are smaller than the adsorption of these dystrophin fragments at the lipid free interface (air/liquid) at the same subphase concentration (**Table 1**) indicating the presence of lipid limits the interface accessibility of the protein, probably by steric hindrance.

Nevertheless, when values are positive, the synergy factor shows the presence of attractive interactions between lipid and protein, in addition of the protein surface activity, since its insertion into the lipid occurs even at initial surface pressure higher than  $\Delta \pi_0$  or than p<sub>e</sub>. Values close to 0.5 are the range of values reported in the literature for strong protein/phospholipid interactions with cumulative effect of the electrostatic and hydrophobic forces.

The MIP discriminates in the same way the three fragments. DYS R11-15 and DYS R4-9 have values higher than DYS R16-21. Moreover, these values are greater than the surface pressure supposed of natural membrane systems in eukaryotic cells which is around 30 mN/m (Marsh, 1996). These experiments highlight differences of protein behavior against a lipid monolayer in the dystrophin central domain. The less efficient binding of R16-21/lipids could be attributed to the hinge location between R19 and R20 reducing the anchorage of this fragment in the membrane.

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) visualization of monolayers provides complementary information about the molecular protein organization in lipid films, and how this organization is affected by the initial surface pressure. For imaging at the molecular resolution, the monolayer was transferred using the Langmuir-Blodgett method described in Figure 1B. Usually, and especially for biological samples, the mica substrate is selected because its atomic flat surface does not affect the topography. The color scale on the images is related to the height variations. Thanks to the size differences between lipids and proteins, the protrusions are credited to the existence of the proteins or lipidprotein complexes. DYS R11-15 organization in DOPC:DOPS monolayers has been described depending on the lipid initial surface pressure (Sarkis et al., 2011). As observed in the images presented in Figure 1C, at low  $\pi_i$  (16 mN/m), the proteins are dispersed as diluted in the lipid film while the high  $\pi_i$  (20 mN/m



**FIGURE 1 | (A)** Insertion of dystrophin fragments, R4-9 (?) R11-15 (•) R16-21 (x), increases surface pressure of lipid monolayer and is modulated by the lipid initial surface pressure ( $\pi_i$ ). The maximal insertion pressure (MIP) and the theoretical pressure increase at the lipid-free interface ( $\Delta \pi_0$ ) are indicated by arrows. Adapted and modified from Sarkis et al. (2011) and Ameziane-Le Hir et al. (2014). (B) Schematic representation of the Langmuir-Blodgett transfer of the interfacial protein/lipid film on solid support (such as mica). Scale of protein and lipid size in this illustration is not respected. Adapted from Vié et al. (2018). (C) AFM images of the R11-15/DOPC:DOPS monolayers transferred at the end of the protein adsorption on lipid monolayer at different initial surface pressure, 16 mN/m, 20 mN/m, and 30 mN/m. Adapted from Sarkis (2012).

**TABLE 1** | Interaction of protein with monolayer at different surface pressure: summary of the values of  $\Delta \pi_0$ , synergy factor and MPI of 3 different fragments of dystrophin.

		R4-9	R11-15	R16-21
Lipid/liquid interface	Linear regression	y = -0.51x + 15.47	y = -0.46x + 14.9	y = -0.54x + 14.29
	Theoretical $\Delta \pi_0$ (mN/m)	15.47	14.9	14.29
	Synergy factor	0.48	0.54	0.45
	MIP (mN/m)	30.0	32.3	26.3
Air/liquid interface	$\pi_e$ (mN/m)	19.1	20.4	21

and 30 mN/m) favor the protein/protein interactions to form a homogeneous network. The protein network height increases with the initial surface pressure, from 1.1 nm to 1.8 nm, showing that even though the protein insertion is lower at 30 mN/m, the proteins are stabilized beneath the interface by interactions with the lipid headgroups. To test the presence of molecules interacting essentially with the headgroup when the surface pressure is slightly affected or even decreases, a very useful tool is the ellipsometry in "null ellipsometer" configuration which gives the ellipsometric angle noted D (°) (Berge and Renault, 1993). The polarized beam probes an area of 1 mm<sup>2</sup> with 1  $\mu$ m depth. D is relative



to both the reflectivity index and the thickness of the film (Figure 2A). In association with AFM imaging, the value of D can discriminate between different interfacial mechanisms: none protein/lipid interaction, a lipid reorganization inducing a surface pressure decrease or the protein accumulation under the lipid films. A study focused on the interaction between a gastric lipase (recombinant dog gastric lipase, rDGL) and lipid monolayers mimicking the surface of milk fat globules was described in Bourlieu et al. (2016). Different lipid mixtures were used to highlight the impact of the lipid nature on the rDGL adsorption. For the same initial surface pressure, the lipid packing was modulated changing the head-group nature as phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), phosphatidylcholine (PC) and phosphatidylserine (PS), this latter lipid being anionic. In addition, the mixture of saturated (DPPC) and unsaturated (DOPC) lipids leads to phase coexistence called liquid expanded (DOPC) and liquid condensed (DPPC) at 20 mN/m. Thus, the mechanisms of rDGL adsorption had been described, first a preferential insertion into the expanded liquid phase allowing the protein anchorage; second in all lipid mixture the values of D increased from 3 to 18° and the surface pressure variation between 0 and 5.4 mN/m, indicating a strong accumulation of the protein under the film. In addition, the higher values of D and p variations were obtained in presence of anionic lipids suggesting that the electrostatic forces are involved in the adsorption process. As conclusion, the large number of lipase enzyme located just below the lipid interface is related to the physiological digestive process favoring a rapid fat hydrolysis of the milk throughout neonatal digestion. Moreover, it was shown that the lipid phase impacts the insertion of rDGL. Indeed, comparison between lipid membrane of fat milk globules of human and bovine highlights the positive effect of the presence of polyunsaturated lipid chains on the lipase stabilization and organization in the lipid interface resulting of an enhanced lateral compressibility (Bourlieu et al., 2020).

# Membrane Rheology and Lipid–Protein Interaction

The lipid monolayer is studied to understand the possible mechanism of protein anchorage in the membrane. Nevertheless, in some cases, proteins can interact simultaneously with other cytoplasmic structures forming a bridge or linker with the membrane. To demonstrate the presence of these multiple interactions, the shear elastic constant and surface viscosity can be measured using home-made interfacial rheometer (**Figure 2B**) (Vénien-Bryan et al., 1998). Different techniques to investigate the interface of lipid monolayer or protein films were described in the literature (Walder et al., 2008; Krägel and Derkatch, 2010; Le Floch-Fouéré et al., 2010).

The dystrophin fragment DYS R11-15 interacts strongly with the membrane as describe above, nevertheless, this fragment was described to bind actin filament (F-actin) (Rybakova, 1996; Amann et al., 1998). So, the two questions came to mind: is it possible for DYS R11-15 to establish interaction simultaneously with the lipid monolayer and the F-actin? And how rheological properties could be affected? For this purpose, we measured the shear elastic constant and the viscosity using the setup presented in the Figure 2B. Two Helmoltz coils are used to apply a torque on the magnet placed in a float on the lipid monolayer interface. The amplitude of rotation of the float depends of the rheological properties of the monolayer. We applied the model of Kelvin-Voight solid to calculate shear elastic constant ( $\mu$ , mN/m) and surface viscosity ( $\eta$ , N·s/m). Different conditions were tested: two lipid mixtures (DOPC:DOPS and DOPC:DOPE), three subphase DYS R11-15 concentrations, injection of G-actin versus F-actin (Sarkis et al., 2013). For the DOPC:DOPS mixture, the  $\mu$  values increased slightly with the lipid initial surface pressure between 20 mN/m and 27 mN/m(from 3 to 4 mN/m) and decreased to zero at 30 mN/m (close to the MIP) in accordance with the amount of protein inserted. DYS R11-15 doesn't interact with G actin but addition of ATP + Mg<sup>2+</sup> inducing actin polymerization triggers a significant increase of the elastic constant and surface viscosity.

These studies showing that DYS R11-15 plays the role of a linker between the membrane and the F-actin bring new insight on the essential function of the dystrophin in maintaining the integrity of muscle fiber membranes during contraction/elongation cycle.

Experiments on Langmuir trough mimicking one membrane leaflet seems to be too simplistic model relative to the complexity of a biological membrane, whereas the second leaflet is neglected, and the proteins studied are limited to the peripheral proteins. Nevertheless, by controlling the physico-chemical parameters, it is possible to understand interactions, identify and quantify them and elaborate scenarios of processes involved *in vivo*.

### LIPID BILAYER MODELS

Biomimetic systems ease the interpretation of the measurements that generally prove challenging with the complex composition of *in vivo* membranes. Though simplification is the goal, building these models still requires multiple steps. The most used membrane bilayer models are lipid vesicles such as small unilamellar vesicles (SUV also known as liposomes with a size range of 30–50 nm), large unilamellar vesicles (LUV with a size range 100–500 nm) and giant unilamellar vesicles (GUV with a size higher than 1  $\mu$ m) as well as SLB on a solid substrate.

There are also other membrane models called bicelle or nanodisc. The mixture of long-chain and short-chain lipid or amphiphiles or proteins allows self-assembled discoidal lipid bilayers called bicelle (Lin et al., 1991; Sanders and Landis, 1995; Van Dam et al., 2004; Dürr et al., 2013; Dos Santos Morais et al., 2017) or nanodics (Bayburt et al., 2002; Schuler et al., 2012; Rouck et al., 2017). The morphology and size are very sensible to the ratio long/short chain, called q, temperature and dilution as described in the literature (Raffard et al., 2000; Glover et al., 2001; Harroun et al., 2005). Widely used in NMR (solid and liquid) thanks to their ability to align in a magnetic field, they have made it possible to obtain the structure of membrane proteins in their lipid environment, such as that of cytochrome b5, or that of a protein of the HIV virus envelope (Dürr et al., 2007; Dev et al., 2016). While the membrane proteins are embedded in the bilayer (flat part of the bicelle), structural information can be obtained on peripheral proteins when it interacts either with the flat part (Loudet et al., 2005) or with the curved part (Dos Santos Morais et al., 2018). When investigating the bicelle to vesicle transition using coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations, the local lipid composition is the key factor determining the success of vesicle formation (Koshiyama et al., 2019). Nanodics were used to solve mechanism of membrane fusion mediate by membrane fusion protein (Shi et al., 2013) or of  $Ca^{2+}$  transport through membrane protein (Conrard and Tyteca, 2019). Such systems are mainly dedicated to the structural protein or peptide studies, therefore, we focused in this review on vesicles and supported bilayers.

# Lipid Vesicles and Curvature Effect on Protein Binding

Vesicle formation starts with combining a mixture of lipids in chloroform/methanol followed by a dehydration step under vacuum or other inert gas such as nitrogen or argon to remove the bulk organic solvent, avoiding oxidation and a lipid film is formed on the surface of the glass tube. Resuspension of the dried film in buffer generate a multilamellar vesicles (MLV) solution.

SUVs are obtained by sonication of an MLV suspension or by filtration through a very small filter. The strong curvature imposed on the membrane implies an external surface significantly greater than the surface of the inner monolayer and therefore a higher number of lipids in this outer monolayer. The small size of SUVs favors their use in optical spectroscopy.

LUVs are obtained by extruding an MLV solution through filters with calibrated pore sizes. LUVs, like SUVs, can be produced in a saline medium and in particular physiological. Phase reversal evaporation is another technique for preparing LUVs (Rigaud et al., 1983). This method employs forcing the emulsion by sonication between an ether phase (lipid solvent) and an aqueous phase. The ether is then removed slowly by evaporation under partial vacuum. The curvature of a large vesicle is closer to physiological reality. These are much more diffuse objects than SUVs.

GUVs have a size ranging from 1  $\mu$ m to more than 100  $\mu$ m in diameter. They are formed in a non-ionic medium by electroformation (Angelova and Dimitrov, 1986) or by gentle hydration in an ionic medium (rehydration of lipids with or without an electric field). A very good amount of unilamellar vesicles are obtained by electroformation (80%), whereas a poor yield of unilamellar vesicles is obtained by gentle hydration. Only the formation of GUVs by gentle hydration can be performed in a physiological medium (Rodriguez et al., 2005). Other techniques for the preparation of GUV exist, specifically by double emulsion: where a drop of aqueous solution passes successively through two interfaces between an oil containing the lipid and an aqueous solution (Stachowiak et al., 2008). GUVs are mostly used to decrypt some membrane mechanisms such as diffusion of membrane proteins, membrane deformations, lipid raft formation, phase segregation.

The size and monodispersity of the vesicles are verified by electron microscopy or light scattering. From a general point of view, the vesicles (MLV, SUV, and LUVs) can be produced at high concentrations of the order of 10 mg/mL and are very resistant.

When they form vesicles, the lipid bilayers limit the exchanges between the internal compartments and the outside. Pure bilayers are impervious to ions and large polar molecules, and only partially permeable to water that can intrude between lipids under the effect of osmotic pressure. This means that the physiological functions of biological membranes cannot simply rest on the bilayer but require the presence of other components such as membrane proteins to allow controlled exchanges between the intracellular and extracellular environments.

The interactions of DYS R11-15 with SUVs (anionic and zwitterionic) (Sarkis, 2012) was investigated using surface plasmon resonance (SPR). The first step was to fix liposomes on the sensor chip. Streptavidin was previously immobilized, and SUVs containing 0.1% biotinylated lipids were fixed on the surface by the strong streptavidin-biotin interaction. Then, the injection of DYS R11-15 in the microfluidic system makes it possible to characterize the behavior of the protein binding to the SUV as a function of time. When anionic SUVs (DOPC: DOPS) are attached to the sensor chip, injection of DYS R11-15 (5  $\mu$ M) increases the signal significantly (from 0 to 300 RU) showing that a protein-lipid interaction is formed (Figure 3Aa). The more DYS R11-15 is injected at a higher concentration, the greater the intensity of the response (Figure 3B). On the other hand, the passage of DYS R11-15 (5  $\mu$ M) on zwitterionic SUVs (DOPC: DOPE) does not cause any significant



**FIGURE 3** ((A) surface Plasmon Resonance traces showing the binding of DYS R11-15 to DDPC:DDPS (a) and to DDPC:DDPE (b) SUVs. (b) SPR traces of DYS R11-15 binding to DOPC:DDPS (2012). (c) Fluorescence intensity of tryptophan residues in DYS R11-15 (0.5  $\mu$ M) after 2 h of incubation with different concentrations of DOPC/DDPS. SUV diameter was 30 nm and LUV of three different sizes: 100, 200, and 400 nm. Modified from Sarkis et al. (2011). (D) Structure of DYS R12-14 (PyMol) obtained by sequence homology illustrating the protected zone from tryps in in red, due to the direct binding to anionic SUV, the unprotected zone in shown in blue, which is more sensitive to tryps in digestion when vesicles are present. Residues in yellow correspond to Tryptophan. Adapted from Sarkis et al. (2011). (E) Confocal microscopy images of different composition of GUV incubated with DrrA. GUVs contains 20% DOPC, 15% DOPS, 35% DOPE, 30% cholesterol and 1% PI4P or PI(3,4)P2. Reproduced by J. S. from Schoebel et al. (2010); Del Campo et al. (2014), and Sidm and Wang (2016).

signal modification (**Figure 3Ab**). Under the same experimental conditions, DYS R20-24 generates no signal; this protein has so far been considered as non-interacting with SUVs (Legardinier et al., 2008). This highlights the lipid binding specificity we observed with DYS R11-15.

Vesicle and tubule budding allows different cell compartments to communicate with each other (Heuser and Evans, 1980; Sciaky et al., 1997; Bright et al., 2005). Lipid molecules are able to diffuse freely in each of the bilayer leaflets thanks to the fluidity of the cellular membranes (Singer and Nicolson, 1972). The choice of the model system, and mainly the size of the vesicles plays a determining role to characterize protein-membrane interactions. By varying vesicle size, the curvature radius is modified. High curvature can be found in many small vesicles with a radius of 25 nm carrying proteins as well as in organelles with complex structure such as endoplasmic reticulum (Shibata et al., 2009). Lipid composition dictate bio-mechanical properties impacting organelle shapes and modulating their deformation (Antonny, 2011) and the actual shape of cellular membranes thought to largely depends on proteins. An interesting paper using dissipative particle dynamic simulations explored how nanoparticles can adsorb and intake in a lipid bilayer (Burgess et al., 2020). Much progress has been made to understand these membrane deforming or membrane sensing proteins (Farsad and De Camilli, 2003; McMahon and Gallop, 2005; Shibata et al., 2009). Specific membrane regions with a particular arch require a highly dynamical exchange between lipids and proteins to sense, generate and/or stabilize membrane curvature. To respect thermodynamic laws, very high membrane curvature is limited to short period of time or has to be stabilized by surrounding proteins. The elastic properties of lipid bilayers allow cellular membrane to resist to spontaneous bending and high curvature. Specific proteins domains or motifs that sense, stabilize or generate membrane curvature will deliver support and forces that levy tension on membranes while other proteins act directly on the membrane by changing lipids (McMahon and Boucrot, 2015). Membrane-bending mechanisms are based on three parameters (Johannes et al., 2014): chirality (asymmetry of lipid and protein material of the membrane), scaffolding (rigid and arched proteins such BAR-domain that induce membrane deformation after membrane binding; Frost et al., 2009; Mim and Unger, 2012) and crowding (concentration of proteins on a specific membrane domain inducing deformation; Stachowiak et al., 2010, 2012). It has been shown that vesicle budding process requires the presence of different coat proteins (such as Clathrin and COPI/II) to stabilize membrane curvature; Kirchhaussen, 2000; McMahon and Boucrot, 2011). Coat proteins do not interact directly with lipids and count on adaptor proteins (such AP2) to link them to membranes. Caveolar vesicles are formed by oligomerization of caveolin with a hairpin loop inserted within the membrane thus enabling membrane deformation and stabilization (Parton and Del Pozo, 2013). Other proteins, such as dynamin, are able to polymerize into spirals and induce membrane deformation (Ferguson and De Camilli, 2012), but such process will require an pre-existing curvature (Morlot and Roux, 2013). On the other hand, lipid nature is a key in initiating membrane deformation process. One example is

the initiation of clathrin-mediated endocytosis where AP2 and clathrin recruitment to the membrane require  $PI(4,5)P_2$  lipid to initiate the process (Cocucci et al., 2012). Another example highlighted how the presence of polyunsaturated phospholipids increase the deformation and vesiculation of synthetic membrane by dynamin and endophilin (Pinot et al., 2014). This is an important mechanism in synaptic vesicles, where phospholipids with polyunsaturated acyl chains are extremely abundant.

In vitro studies using membrane model can interrogate the curvature effect on membrane-protein interactions. An example presented here shows that lipid composition is not the only driver for DYS R11-15 to interact with membrane, but also the curvature. Increasing liposome concentration in the presence of DYS R11-15 enabled steady-state tryptophan fluorescence (Figure 3C). Increasing the concentration of anionic SUV increase tryptophan fluorescence of DYS R11-15 until it reached a plateau. On the contrary, anionic LUVs with different size decreased tryptophan fluorescence (Figure 3C) and similar observation were obtained with zwitterionic SUVs and LUVs (Sarkis et al., 2011). In the case of DOPC: DOPE SUVs, no significant response is obtained by Biacore, whereas a decrease in tryptophan fluorescence was observed when the partners are together in solution. The DYS R11-15-DOPC: DOPE SUVs interaction therefore appears weak and undetectable by Biacore. This method requires the existence of a continuous flow in the microfluidic system. This flow may be sufficient to break low interactions, which is likely the case here. On the other hand, the dissociation kinetics of DYS R 11-15 in the presence of DOPC: DOPS SUVs are slow and the signal did not reach base level. The binding of the protein on these anionic vesicles is therefore strong and not completely broken by the microfluidic flow. We observed by fluorescence measurement that the tryptophan residues of the protein are exposed to a much more hydrophobic environment in the presence of anionic than zwitterionic SUVs, and concluded that the interaction of DYS R11-15 with SUVs is considerably stronger with anionic lipids as with zwitterionic lipids (Sarkis et al., 2011). The analyzes by Biacore thus confirm this conclusion.

The accessibility of Lysine and arginine in DYS R11-15 alone or when in contact with SUVs was further investigated. LC/MS/MS of trypsinized peptides alone or in the presence of lipid vesicles allowed the identification of a protein protected zone from trypsin action when in contact with anionic DOPC/DOPS vesicles (**Figure 3D**), validating the changes observed in tryptophan fluorescence. Interestingly, similar results are not observed when the protein was in contact with zwitterionic DOPC/DOPE SUVs, validating the importance of lipid nature and charge for an efficient binding. Overall, these data are in a good agreement with previous observations, demonstrating that dystrophin fragments undergo structural rearrangement when binding to membranes (Legardinier et al., 2009).

Lipid vesicles of various size with single lipid species can undergo mechanical forces thus adopting different shapes. Some insights on how the dynamic of GUV adsorption and deformation/rupture mechanism onto silicone oil-water interfaces and modified glass surface was discussed recently

(Kataoka-Hamai and Kawakami, 2019). As GUV size allows direct visualization under fluorescent microscope, it is a popular membrane model to directly investigate protein binding or to impose mechanical force thus deforming the membrane. A microscopy setup that combines a micropipette and optical tweezers are frequently used to manipulate GUV and impose membrane deformation. GUV membrane tension is controlled using the micropipette whereas optical tweezers is used to pull out the membrane and form a tube while measuring the required force to control the tube. Using such system allowed to investigate the force required through dynamin polymerization to efficiently deform membranes, and showed that the polymer nucleation of dynamin depends on the membrane curvature (Roux et al., 2010). Moreover, such model is used to isolate the effect of membrane shape, for instance, the KvAP (voltage-gated ion channel protein) was enriched in curved membrane while AQP0 (major intrinsic protein of lens fiber) was indistinguishably present in flat and curved membrane (Aimon et al., 2014). Microaspiration (micropipette) provides a useful tool to measure not only reconstituted bilayer model but also cell membrane stiffness (Oh et al., 2012). Using this technique, we can assess global measurements of membrane deformability, unlike AFM, which gives more information on local bilayer stiffness (Okajima, 2012). Nevertheless, tethering the membrane of a cell into a nanotube using optical tweezers can be used to better understand rheological and biophysical properties generated by the interaction of a cell bilayer with the cytoskeleton. Tethering cellular membrane can also be achieved using AFM (Ou-Yang and Wei, 2010). GUV reconstitution with membrane proteins were also widely used to investigate functional transport or protein translocation (Kedrov et al., 2013).

Phosphoinositides (PI) phospholipid family was shown to be involved in many process such as membrane dynamic and signal transduction (Di Paolo and De Camilli, 2006). The binding with stereospecific protein recognition containing modular domains to distinct headgroup of PI has been widely studied, especially with Pleckstrin homology (PH) domain, FYVE domain and PX domain (Moravcevic et al., 2012). More recently, DrrA protein involved in Lung macrophage infection by *Legionella pneumophila*, has been showed to binds specifically to phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate (PI4P) lipid.

Crystal structure of DrrA with PI4P and isothermal titration calorimetry experiments revealed selectivity and unexpectedly high affinity between the protein and the lipid (Schoebel et al., 2010). Interestingly, using monolayer model, a biphasic surface pressure responses of DrrA was observed, due to the robust insertion of an hydrophobic helix of the protein into the acyl chain of PI(4)P phospholipid present in the film (Del Campo et al., 2014). We used GUV formed by gentle hydration as described by Rodriguez et al. (2005), where a Chloroform/Methanol mix of 20%DOPC, 15%DOPS, 35%DOPE, 30% Cholesterol, 0.1% DiIC18(3) with 1% PI4P or PI(3,4)P<sub>2</sub> was dried under Argon flow then rehydrated with sucrose solution. As shown in **Figure 3E**, DrrA labeled with Alexa Fluor 647 via sortase showed a strong and specific binding to GUV containing 1% PI4P but such strong binding was lost when switching the 1% PI4P to 1%  $\text{PI}(3,4)\text{P}_2.$ 

# Supported Lipid Bilayers: Lipid and Protein Diffusion

Supported lipid bilayer on a solid substrate is another biomimetic model that have found widespread use to mimic cell membrane and to study the physicochemical properties of lipids and the interaction with proteins (Sackmann, 1996; Milhiet et al., 2002). Only in recent years, structural details on SLB formation started to develop from both experimental (Keller and Kasemo, 1998; Jass et al., 2000; Reviakine and Brisson, 2000) as well as theoretical (Seifert, 1997; Zhdanov et al., 1999) investigations, but details on the forces required to drive the SLB process is still unclear (Richter et al., 2006).

Usually, the bilayer is adsorbed on a solid hydrophilic substrate by vesicle fusion or using a layer by layer deposition (Langmuir-Blodget and Langmuir-Schaefer [LB + LS]), thus allowing quantitative experiments with local techniques such as AFM (Richter and Brisson, 2005), fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (Zhang and Granick, 2005a), fluorescence recovery (Tamm and McConnell, 1985), and others (Seantier et al., 2004; Daillant et al., 2005). Such variety of techniques allowed to access at the nanometer scale, spatiotemporal information and dynamical organization of SLB formation, all emphasizing the role of electrostatic interactions in the process. The nature of the used substrate to form SLB control the membrane properties, and understanding that is important before using the membrane model for further investigation (Tanaka and Sackmann, 2005). Most substrates used to form SLB are mica and glass, and many studies identified the role of bivalent cations such as calcium as well as the vesicle size effect on rupture (Reviakine and Brisson, 2000; Reimhult et al., 2002) but other details remain vague. Another important parameter to be considered is the bilayer fluidity related to the lipid lateral mobility, and this might be influenced not only by lipid structure/nature (Wagner and Tamm, 2000), but also lipid labeling (Tamm, 1988), substrate nature and cleaning procedure (Seu et al., 2007). The interaction between the membrane proximal leaflet and the substrate is thought to affect lipid diffusion in the monolayer and could be different than the distal leaflet (Tamm, 1988; Zhang and Granick, 2005b; Xing and Faller, 2008), thus affecting the overall bilayer fluidity (Schmitt et al., 2001; Przybylo et al., 2006). Lipid bilayers are separated by a thin water gap from the substrate and the thickness of that water layer depends on the material of the substrate ( $\sim$ 1 nm when using hydrophilic glass). Many researches aimed to suspend the bilayer on polymer or other cushion to avoid such direct interaction or increase that thickness by engineering a floating bilayer membrane (Su et al., 2020). The first study investigating the lipid diffusion (Tamm and McConnell, 1985) in a single SLB was performed using a silicon substrate.

We investigated in detailed the same setup and under identical conditions, the formation of DPPC (1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine) SLB using LB + LS deposition on two different substrates, mica and



glass, and investigated lipid diffusion in these bilayer using fluorescence recovery after patterned photobleaching (FRAPP) (Davoust et al., 1982; Nkodo and Tinland, 2002; Jourdainne et al., 2008) (**Figure 4A**). Similar design was used to investigate the insertion of  $\alpha$ -hemolysin protein into SLB and measuring the pore complex diffusing freely in the membrane (Harb et al., 2012). Other studies generated suspended lipid bilayers (on microstructured Si/SiO2 chips or on polymer brush; Kusters et al., 2014; Mashaghi and van Oijen, 2014) used to investigate membrane transport or viral fusion (Kusters et al., 2014). Even more complex *in vitro* model consists of using receptor and ligand pair reconstituted in two different membrane model GUV and SLB (Carbone et al., 2017).

Regarding the FRAPP measurement, briefly, the light beam of an Ar laser was split into two equivalent fringe patterns (Davoust et al., 1982; Scomparin et al., 2009; Harb et al., 2012). Labeled bilayer was photobleached by increasing the laser to full intensity for a short period of time (less then 1 s) in the illuminated fringes. An optical fiber and a photomultiplier will measure the decrease of the intensity of the bilayer after photobleaching as well as the recovery over time (**Figure 4A**). Using the fringe patterns, small diffusion deviations can be detected thus being a perfect tool to investigate substrate effect and to decouple the dynamics of the two leaflets in the bilayer.

DPPS bilayer [containing 0.1% of 16:0-12:0 NBD PC (nitrobenzoxadiazole)] obtained by Langmuir-Blodget followed by Langmuir-Schaefer (LB + LS) transfer (Girard-Egrot and Blum, 2007) resulted in a homogeneous large domain. Increasing temperature drive a gel to fluid transition and consequently increase the diffusion coefficient (Figure 4B). DPPC Bilayer interaction with glass substrate appears to be low as FRAPP signals showed a mono-exponential fluorescence decay indicating a uniform dynamic in both leaflets of the membrane (Figure 4B, top graph). On the other hand, using mica showed a biphasic response to photobleaching (Bi-exponential signal) suggesting dynamical differences between proximal versus distal leaflet, even though transition temperature is alike (Figure 4B, bottom graph). Stronger interaction between membrane and substrate is observed with mica due to its higher zeta potential and its surface tropology (atomically flat) compared to glass substrate, hence a slower diffusion in the proximal than in the distal lipid leaflet. In comparable conditions, vesicle



Homogeneous fluorescent signal is detected due to 0.1% DilC18(3) added to the lipid mix. Fluorescence photobleaching (white arrow) was performed using a confocal microscope and the recovery of the intensity validate the lateral lipid diffusion and the quality of the SLB. Photobleaching occurs at t<sub>0</sub>. (**B**) TIRF images acquired at different timepoint when injecting DrrA on SLB. Fluorescently labeled protein (DrrA sortase labeled with Alexa Fluor 647) was added at 100 pM on the top of SLB containing 1% PI4P. The interaction of DrrA with membrane was observed using TIRF microscopy allowing higher resolution for single molecule tracking. The white circles showed the arrival of DrrA molecule after injection and its diffusion on the surface of SLB. Images are 128 × 128 pixels. (**C**) Processed image shows trajectory of individual protein diffusing on the membrane analyzed using MatLab. (**D**) Fluorescence traces over time of individual protein (raw signal on top, and background processed signal at the bottom) showing the protein residency time (Binding or ON events) and the unbinding (OFF events). All reproduced by J. S. from Schoebel et al. (2010); Del Campo et al. (2014), and Sidm and Wang (2016).

fusion was used to form SLB leading to more heterogenous behaviors with similar effect of the solid substrate when using DMPC (1,2-dimyristoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine) bilayer (Scomparin et al., 2009).

The combination of membrane models and single-molecule fluorescence microscopy became an exciting research area to investigate binding and diffusion events of individual molecules (Weiss, 1999; Michalet et al., 2003; Mashaghi and van Oijen, 2014; Cheney et al., 2017). Novel optics and cameras with high signal to noise ratio made single molecule detection possible under low molecule concentrations (Gell et al., 2006). These conditions are optimal when using total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIRF), where an evanescent field exponentially decaying near the coverslip is generated after the incident laser beam being totally reflected at the interface (glass-water). The great benefit of such system is the detection of signal from molecules present only in the evanescence field and within 100 nm from the glass surface, while the rest of the molecules in solution above cannot be spotted (Gell et al., 2006). With such high sensitivity, some experimental conditions should be respected, especially related to the concentration of the fluorescent analytes which should not pass couple of nM range. This is crucial to make sure that the identified intensity in one diffraction-limited pixel/volume is coming from one molecule only (van Oijen, 2011). This powerful technique has been used on live cells to follow the dynamic of proteins interacting with cellular membrane, as well as on membrane models such as SLB (Mashanov and Molloy, 2007; Fox et al., 2009; Cocucci et al., 2012; Rozovsky et al., 2012). Biomolecular interactions are keys to execute biological tasks (signaling, activation, inhibition...) and measuring binding has been widely studied using many different assays. However, single molecule methods allow, not only to assess binding rates with high precision, but more importantly to observe heterogeneity in binding characteristics.

Using a microfluidic chip, SUVs containing 78.9% DOPC, 20% DOPS, 1% PI4P, and 0.1% DiIC18(3) were incubated on a freshly cleaned and plasma treated glass slide in the presence of calcium. Observing the SLB formation under the confocal microscope shows the biding of the fluorescent SUV to the glass and bursting into bilayer until a homogeneous signal was obtain after 20 min. Moreover, the quality of the formed SLB was validated by FRAP and a fast recovery of the fluorescence was observed, indicating a homogeneous bilayer and the fluid state of the membrane (Figure 5A). DrrA protein labeled with Alexa Fluor 647 by Sortase injected on the surface of the SLB at very low concentration (100pM). Similarly to GUV models, only the presence of 1% of PI4P in the membrane allows DrrA protein to bind and diffuse freely in 2 dimensions on the bilayer as shown in Figure 5B. It was important to have low number of proteins (very low concentration) to avoid having multiple protein in one pixel and to decrease the collision between neighbor molecules diffusing on the membrane thus making the single molecule tracking more difficult. Each individual protein trajectory was detected and tracked throughout its diffusion on the membrane (Figure 5C) and traces showing the residency time of individual DrrA when interacting and moving on the SLB can be quantified (Figure 5D, top trace). The fluorescence intensity for each object was corrected for background fluorescence (Figure 5D, bottom trace), and fitting all 'on events' histogram allows residency time quantification of the protein on the membrane, hence its off rate.

Combining single molecule imaging and SLB is the appropriate strategy to directly visualize binding kinetics between proteins, peptides, nucleic acid, viral particles, etc. and membrane. This is getting more interest from the scientific community particularly to visualize proteins/molecules that have low binding affinity to membrane when conditions are optimized (fast camera chip and fluorophore stabilizing reagent).

### REFERENCES

- Aimon, S., Callan-Jones, A., Berthaud, A., Pinot, M., Toombes, G. E. S., and Bassereau, P. (2014). Membrane shape modulates transmembrane protein distribution. *Dev. Cell* 28, 212–218. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2013.12.012
- Amann, K. J., Renley, B. A., and Ervasti, J. M. (1998). A cluster of basic repeats in the dystrophin rod domain binds f-actin through an electrostatic interaction. *J. Biol. Chem.* 273, 28419–28423. doi: 10.1074/jbc.273.43.28419
- Ameziane-Le Hir, S., Raguénès-Nicol, C., Paboeuf, G., Nicolas, A., Le Rumeur, E., and Vié, V. (2014). Cholesterol favors the anchorage of human dystrophin repeats 16 to 21 in membrane at physiological surface pressure. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1838, 1266–1273. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamem.2014.01.010
- Angelova, M. I., and Dimitrov, D. S. (1986). Liposome electroformation. Faraday Discuss. Chem. Soc. 81, 303–311. doi: 10.1039/DC9868100303
- Antonny, B. (2011). Mechanisms of membrane curvature sensing. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 80, 101–123. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biochem-052809-155121
- Bayburt, T. H., Grinkova, Y. V., and Sligar, S. G. (2002). Self-assembly of discoidal phospholipid bilayer nanoparticles with membrane scaffold proteins. *Nano Lett.* 2, 853–856. doi: 10.1021/nl025623k

#### CONCLUSION

To conclude, it is worth noting that this review is not exhaustive and might not present the last advances on biomimetic membranes, but it certainly provides an overview on main membrane models used for lipid–protein interaction studies. In many cases, multidisciplinary approaches are required to tease apart obstacles and to understand biological process affected by physico-chemical and mechanical properties. Ultimately, progress using such models will continue to bring to the field better understanding on biomolecular interactions and membrane dynamics and help tackles biomedical problems related to membrane-pathogenicity.

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

JS and VV contributed to the final manuscript.

### FUNDING

This work was made possible in part with funding from the "Association Française contre les Myopathies," and Université de Rennes 1; JS also acknowledges NIH grant R01 GM075252, the French Minister of Research and Université Aix-Marseille; VV also acknowledge the European Union (CPER-FEDER 2007-2014, Présage n° 39126 and Présage n° 37339).

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank T. Kirchhausen, J-F. Hubert, E. Le Rumeur, S. Winder, C. Raguénès-Nicol, I. Cantat and B. Tinland for their support and scientific discussion; and G. Paboeuf and E. Gicquel for their technical support. We thank 2CBioMIF facility (ScanMAT, UMS 2001 CNRS - University of Rennes 1) who performed Interfacial analyses.

- Bello, G., Bodin, A., Lawrence, M. J., Barlow, D., Mason, A. J., Barker, R. D., et al. (2016). The influence of rough lipopolysaccharide structure on molecular interactions with mammalian antimicrobial peptides. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr.* 1858, 197–209. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamem.2015.11.007
- Berge, B., and Renault, A. (1993). Ellipsometry study of 2D crystallization of 1alcohol monolayers at the water surface. *Europhys. Lett.* 21, 773–777. doi: 10. 1209/0295-5075/21/7/010
- Blodgett, K. B. (1935). Films built by depositing successive monomolecular layers on a solid surface. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 57, 1007–1022. doi: 10.1021/ja01309a011
- Boisselier, É, Calvez, P., Demers, É, Cantin, L., and Salesse, C. (2012). Influence of the physical state of phospholipid monolayers on protein binding. *Langmuir* 28, 9680–9688. doi: 10.1021/la301135z
- Bottier, C., Géan, J., Desbat, B., Renault, A., Marion, D., and Vié, V. (2008). Structure and orientation of puroindolines into wheat galactolipid monolayers. *Langmuir ACS J. Surf. Colloids* 24, 10901–10909. doi: 10.1021/la800697s
- Bourlieu, C., Mahdoueni, W., Paboeuf, G., Gicquel, E., Ménard, O., Pezennec, S., et al. (2020). Physico-chemical behaviors of human and bovine milk membrane extracts and their influence on gastric lipase adsorption. *Biochimie* 169, 95–105. doi: 10.1016/j.biochi.2019.12.003

- Bourlieu, C., Paboeuf, G., Chever, S., Pezennec, S., Cavalier, J.-F., Guyomarc'h, F., et al. (2016). Adsorption of gastric lipase onto multicomponent model lipid monolayers with phase separation. *Colloids Surf. B. Biointerfaces* 143, 97–106. doi: 10.1016/j.colsurfb.2016.03.032
- Bright, N. A., Gratian, M. J., and Luzio, J. P. (2005). Endocytic delivery to lysosomes mediated by concurrent fusion and kissing events in living cells. *Curr. Biol.* 15, 360–365.
- Brown, R. E., and Brockman, H. L. (2007). Using monomolecular films to characterize lipid lateral interactions. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 398, 41–58.
- Burgess, S., Wang, Z., Vishnyakov, A., and Neimark, A. V. (2020). Adhesion, intake, and release of nanoparticles by lipid bilayers. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 561, 58–70. doi: 10.1016/j.jcis.2019.11.106
- Calvez, P., Demers, É, Boisselier, É, and Salesse, C. (2011). Analysis of the contribution of saturated and polyunsaturated phospholipid monolayers to the binding of proteins. *Langmuir* 27, 1373–1379. doi: 10.1021/la104097n
- Carbone, C. B., Kern, N., Fernandes, R. A., Hui, E., Su, X., Garcia, K. C., et al. (2017). In vitro reconstitution of T cell receptor-mediated segregation of the CD45 phosphatase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 114, E9338–E9345. doi: 10. 1073/pnas.1710358114
- Cheney, P. P., Weisgerber, A. W., Feuerbach, A. M., and Knowles, M. K. (2017). Single lipid molecule dynamics on supported lipid bilayers with membrane curvature. *Membranes* 7, 1–13. doi: 10.3390/membranes7010015
- Chièze, L., Bolanos-Garcia, V. M., Pinot, M., Desbat, B., Renault, A., Beaufils, S., et al. (2011). Fluid and condensed ApoA-I/phospholipid monolayers provide insights into ApoA-I membrane insertion. *J. Mol. Biol.* 410, 60–76. doi: 10.1016/ j.jmb.2011.04.006
- Cocucci, E., Aguet, F., Boulant, S., and Kirchhausen, T. (2012). The first five seconds in the life of a clathrin-coated pit. *Cell* 150, 495–507. doi: 10.1016/j. cell.2012.05.047
- Conrard, L., and Tyteca, D. (2019). Regulation of membrane calcium transport proteins by the surrounding lipid environment. *Biomolecules* 9:E513.doi: 10. 3390/biom9100513
- Daillant, J., Bellet-Amalric, E., Braslau, A., Charitat, T., Fragneto, G., Graner, F., et al. (2005). Structure and fluctuations of a single floating lipid bilayer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 102, 11639–11644. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0504588102
- Davoust, J., Devaux, P. F., and Leger, L. (1982). Fringe pattern photobleaching, a new method for the measurement of transport coefficients of biological macromolecules. *EMBO J.* 1, 1233–1238. doi: 10.1002/j.1460-2075.1982. tb00018.x
- Del Campo, C. M., Mishra, A. K., Wang, Y. H., Roy, C. R., Janmey, P. A., and Lambright, D. G. (2014). Structural basis for PI(4)P-specific membrane recruitment of the *legionella pneumophila* effector DrrA/SidM. *Structure* 22, 397–408. doi: 10.1016/j.str.2013.12.018
- Derde, M., Nau, F., Guérin-Dubiard, C., Lechevalier, V., Paboeuf, G., Jan, S., et al. (2015a). Native and dry-heated lysozyme interactions with membrane lipid monolayers: lipid packing modifications of a phospholipid mixture, model of the *Escherichia coli* cytoplasmic membrane. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr.* 1848, 1065–1073. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamem.2015.01.008
- Derde, M., Nau, F., Lechevalier, V., Guérin-Dubiard, C., Paboeuf, G., Jan, S., et al. (2015b). Native lysozyme and dry-heated lysozyme interactions with membrane lipid monolayers: lateral reorganization of LPS monolayer, model of the *Escherichia coli* outer membrane. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr.* 1848, 174–183. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamem.2014.10.026
- Dev, J., Park, D., Fu, Q., Chen, J., Ha, H. J., Ghantous, F., et al. (2016). Structural basis for membrane anchoring of HIV-1 envelope spike. *Science* 353, 172–175. doi: 10.1126/science.aaf7066
- Devaux, P. F., and Zachowski, A. (1994). Maintenance and consequences of membrane phospholipid asymmetry. *Chem. Phys. Lipids* 73, 107–120. doi: 10. 1016/0009-3084(94)90177-5
- Di Paolo, G., and De Camilli, P. (2006). Phosphoinositides in cell regulation and membrane dynamics. *Nature* 443, 651–657. doi: 10.1038/nature05185
- Dos Santos Morais, R., Delalande, O., Pérez, J., Mias-Lucquin, D., Lagarrigue, M., Martel, A., et al. (2018). Human dystrophin structural changes upon binding to anionic membrane lipids. *Biophys. J.* 115, 1231–1239. doi: 10.1016/j.bpj.2018. 07.039
- Dos Santos Morais, R., Delalande, O., Pérez, J., Mouret, L., Bondon, A., Martel, A., et al. (2017). Contrast-matched isotropic bicelles: a versatile tool to specifically

probe the solution structure of peripheral membrane proteins using SANS. *Langmuir* 33, 6572–6580. doi: 10.1021/acs.langmuir.7b01369

- Dürr, U. H. N., Soong, R., and Ramamoorthy, A. (2013). When detergent meets bilayer: birth and coming of age of lipid bicelles. *Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc.* 69, 1–22. doi: 10.1016/j.pnmrs.2013.01.001
- Dürr, U. H. N., Yamamoto, K., Im, S. C., Waskell, L., and Ramamoorthy, A. (2007). Solid-state NMR reveals structural and dynamical properties of a membraneanchored electron-carrier protein, cytochrome b5. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 129, 6670–6671. doi: 10.1021/ja069028m
- Epand, R. F., Savage, P. B., and Epand, R. M. (2007). Bacterial lipid composition and the antimicrobial efficacy of cationic steroid compounds (Ceragenins). *Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Biomembr.* 1768, 2500–2509. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamem.2007. 05.023
- Estrela-Lopis, I., Brezesinski, G., and Möhwald, H. (2001). Dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine/phospholipase D interactions investigated with polarization-modulated infrared reflection absorption spectroscopy. *Biophys. J.* 80, 749–754.
- Farsad, K., and De Camilli, P. (2003). Mechanisms of membrane deformation. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 15, 372–381. doi: 10.1016/S0955-0674(03)00073-5
- Ferguson, S. M., and De Camilli, P. (2012). Dynamin, a membrane-remodelling GTPase. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 13, 75–88. doi: 10.1038/nrm3266
- Fiehn, W., Peter, J. B., Mead, J. F., and Gan-Elepano, M. (1971). Lipids and fatty acids of sarcolemma, sarcoplasmic reticulum, and mitochondria from rat skeletal muscle. J. Biol. Chem. 246, 5617–5620.
- Fox, C. B., Wayment, J. R., Myers, G. A., Endicott, S. K., and Harris, J. M. (2009). Single-molecule fluorescence imaging of peptide binding to supported lipid bilayers. *Anal. Chem.* 81, 5130–5138. doi: 10.1021/ac9007682
- Frost, A., Unger, V. M., and De Camilli, P. (2009). The BAR domain superfamily: membrane-molding macromolecules. *Cell* 137, 191–196. doi: 10.1016/j.cell. 2009.04.010
- Gell, C., Brockwell, D., and Smith, A. (2006). *Handbook ofSingle Molecule Fluorescence Spectroscopy*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Girard-Egrot, A. P., and Blum, L. J. (2007). Langmuir-Blodgett Technique for Synthesis of Biomimetic Lipid Membranes. Boston, MA: Springer.
- Glover, K. J., Whiles, J. A., Wu, G., Yu, N. J., Deems, R., Struppe, J. O., et al. (2001). Structural evaluation of phospholipid bicelles for solution-state studies of membrane-associated biomolecules. *Biophys. J.* 81, 2163–2171. doi: 10.1016/ S0006-3495(01)75864-X
- Gorter, E., and Grendel, F. (1925). On biomolecular layers of lipoids on the chromocytes of the blood. J. Exp. Med. 41, 439-443.
- Guyomarc'h, F., Zou, S., Chen, M., Milhiet, P.-E., Godefroy, C., Vié, V., et al. (2014). Milk sphingomyelin domains in biomimetic membranes and the role of cholesterol: morphology and nanomechanical properties investigated using AFM and force spectroscopy. *Langmuir ACS J. Surf. Colloids* 30, 6516–6524. doi: 10.1021/la501640y
- Harb, F., Sarkis, J., Ferte, N., and Tinland, B. (2012). Beyond Saffman-Delbruck approximation: a new regime for 2D diffusion of  $\alpha$ -hemolysin complexes in supported lipid bilayer. *Eur. Phys. J. E* 35, 1–9. doi: 10.1140/epje/i2012-12118-6
- Harroun, T. A., Koslowsky, M., Nieh, M. P., De Lannoy, C. F., Raghunathan, V. A., and Katsaras, J. (2005). Comprehensive examination of mesophases formed by DMPC and DHPC mixtures. *Langmuir* 21, 5356–5361. doi: 10.1021/la050018t
- Heuser, J., and Evans, L. (1980). Three-dimensional visualization of coated vesicle formation in fibroblasts. J. Cell Biol. 84, 560–583. doi: 10.1083/jcb.84.3.560
- Hu, J., Liu, H., Xu, P., Shang, Y., and Liu, H. (2019). Investigation of drug for pulmonary administration-model pulmonary surfactant monolayer interactions using langmuir-blodgett monolayer and molecular dynamics simulation: a case study of ketoprofen. *Langmuir* 35, 13452–13460. doi: 10. 1021/acs.langmuir.9b02412
- Jass, J., Tjärnhage, T., and Puu, G. (2000). From liposomes to supported, planar bilayer structures on hydrophilic and hydrophobic surfaces: an atomic force microscopy study. *Biophys. J.* 79, 3153–3163. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3495(00) 76549-0
- Johannes, L., Wunder, C., and Bassereau, P. (2014). Bending "on the rocks"-A cocktail of biophysical modules to build endocytic pathways. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* 6:a016741. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a016741
- Jourdainne, L., Lecuyer, S., Arntz, Y., Picart, C., Schaaf, P., Senger, B., et al. (2008). Dynamics of poly(L-lysine) in hyaluronic acid/poly(L-lysine) multilayer films

studied by fluorescence recovery after pattern photobleaching. *Langmuir* 24, 7842–7847. doi: 10.1021/la7040168

- Kataoka-Hamai, C., and Kawakami, K. (2019). Interaction Mechanisms of giant unilamellar vesicles with hydrophobic glass surfaces and silicone oil-water interfaces: adsorption, deformation, rupture, dynamic shape changes, internal vesicle formation, and desorption. *Langmuir* 35, 16136–16145. doi: 10.1021/acs. langmuir.9b02472
- Kedrov, A., Kusters, I., and Driessen, A. J. M. (2013). Single-molecule studies of bacterial protein translocation. *Biochemistry* 52, 6740–6754. doi: 10.1021/ bi400913x
- Keller, C. A., and Kasemo, B. (1998). Surface specific kinetics of lipid vesicle adsorption measured with a quartz crystal microbalance. *Biophys. J.* 75, 1397– 1402. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3495(98)74057-3
- Kirchhaussen, T. (2000). Three ways to Make a horse. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 1, 187–198.
- Koenig, M., Monaco, A. P., and Kunkel, L. M. (1988). The complete sequence of dystrophin predicts a rod-shaped cytoskeletal protein. *Cell* 53, 219–228. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(88)90383-2
- Koshiyama, K., Taneo, M., Shigematsu, T., and Wada, S. (2019). Bicelle-to-vesicle transition of a binary phospholipid mixture guided by controlled local lipid compositions: a molecular dynamics simulation study. J. Phys. Chem. B 123, 3118–3123. doi: 10.1021/acs.jpcb.8b10682
- Krägel, J., and Derkatch, S. R. (2010). Interfacial shear rheology. Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci. 15, 246–255. doi: 10.1016/j.cocis.2010.02.001
- Krajewska, M., Dopierała, K., and Prochaska, K. (2020). Lipid-protein interactions in langmuir monolayers under dynamically varied conditions. J. Phys. Chem. B. 124, 302–311. doi: 10.1021/acs.jpcb.9b10351
- Kusters, I., Van Oijen, A. M., and Driessen, A. J. M. (2014). Membrane-on-a-chip: microstructured silicon/silicon-dioxide chips for high-throughput screening of membrane transport and viral membrane fusion. ACS Nano 8, 3380–3392. doi: 10.1021/nn405884a
- Langmuir, I. (1917). The constitution and fundemental properties of solids and liquids. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 39, 1848–1906. doi: 10.1021/ja02254a006
- Le Floch-Fouéré, C., Beaufils, S., Lechevalier, V., Nau, F., Pézolet, M., Renault, A., et al. (2010). Sequential adsorption of egg-white proteins at the airwater interface suggests a stratified organization of the interfacial film. *Food Hydrocoll.* 24, 275–284.
- Legardinier, S., Hubert, J.-F., Bihan, O., Le Tascon, C., Rocher, C., Raguénès-Nicol, C., et al. (2008). Sub-domains of the dystrophin rod domain display contrasting lipid-binding and stability properties. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta -Proteins Proteomics* 1784, 672–682. doi: 10.1016/J.BBAPAP.2007.12.014
- Legardinier, S., Raguénès-Nicol, C., Tascon, C., Rocher, C., Hardy, S., Hubert, J. F., et al. (2009). Mapping of the lipid-binding and stability properties of the central rod domain of human dystrophin. J. Mol. Biol. 389, 546–558. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2009.04.025
- Legrand, B., Laurencin, M., Sarkis, J., Duval, E., Mouret, L., Hubert, J. F., et al. (2011). Structure and mechanism of action of a de novo antimicrobial detergent-like peptide. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Biomembr.* 1808, 106–116. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamem.2010.08.020
- Lin, T. L., Liu, C. C., Roberts, M. F., and Chen, S. H. (1991). Structure of mixed short-chain lecithin/long-chain lecithin aggregates studied by smallangle neutron scattering. J. Phys. Chem. 95, 6020–6027. doi: 10.1021/j1001 68a057
- Lipowsky, R., and Sackmann, E. (1995). Preface to volume 1A from cells to vesicles: introduction and overview. *Handb. Biol. Phys.* 1, 9–10. doi: 10.1016/S1383-8121(06)80016-3
- Loudet, C., Khemtémourian, L., Aussenac, F., Gineste, S., Achard, M. F., and Dufourc, E. J. (2005). Bicelle membranes and their use for hydrophobic peptide studies by circular dichroism and solid state NMR. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Gen. Subj.* 1724, 315–323. doi: 10.1016/j.bbagen.2005.04.026
- Lugtenberg, E. J. J., and Peters, R. (1976). Distribution of lipids in cytoplasmic and outer membranes of *Escherichia coli* K12. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Lipids Lipid Metab.* 441, 38–47. doi: 10.1016/0005-2760(76)90279-4
- Marsh, D. (1996). Lateral pressure in membranes. Biochim. Biophys. Acta Rev. Biomembr. 1286, 183–223. doi: 10.1016/S0304-4157(96)00009-3
- Mashaghi, S., and van Oijen, A. M. (2014). A versatile approach to the generation of fluid supported lipid bilayers and its applications. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 111, 2076–2081. doi: 10.1002/bit.25273

- Mashanov, G. I., and Molloy, J. E. (2007). Automatic detection of single fluorophores in live cells. *Biophys. J.* 92, 2199–2211. doi: 10.1529/biophysj.106. 081117
- McMahon, H. T., and Boucrot, E. (2011). Molecular mechanism and physiological functions of clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 12, 517– 533. doi: 10.1038/nrm3151
- McMahon, H. T., and Boucrot, E. (2015). Membrane curvature at a glance. J. Cell Sci. 128, 1065–1070. doi: 10.1242/jcs.114454
- McMahon, H. T., and Gallop, J. L. (2005). membrane curvature and mechanisms of dynamic cell membrane remodelling. *Nature* 438, 590–596.
- Michalet, X., Kapanidis, A. N., Laurence, T., Pinaud, F., Doose, S., Pflughoefft, M., et al. (2003). The power and prospects of fluorescence microscopies and spectroscopies. *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct.* 32, 161–182. doi: 10.1146/ annurev.biophys.32.110601.142525
- Milhiet, P. E., Giocondi, M. C., Baghdadi, O., Ronzon, F., Roux, B., and Le Grimellec, C. (2002). Spontaneous insertion and partitioning of alkaline phosphatase into model lipid rafts. *EMBO Rep* 3, 485–490. doi: 10.1093/emboreports/kvf096
- Miller, C. E., Majewski, J., Kjaer, K., Weygand, M., Faller, R., Satija, S., et al. (2005). Neutron and X-ray scattering studies of cholera toxin interactions with lipid monolayers at the air–liquid interface. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* 40, 159–163. doi: 10.1016/j.colsurfb.2004.10.009
- Mim, C., and Unger, V. M. (2012). Membrane curvature and its generation by BAR proteins. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 37, 526–533. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2012.09.001
- Moravcevic, K., Oxley, C. L., and Lemmon, M. A. (2012). Conditional peripheral membrane proteins: facing up to limited specificity. *Structure* 20, 15–27. doi: 10.1016/j.str.2011.11.012
- Morlot, S., and Roux, A. (2013). Mechanics of dynamin-mediated membrane fission. Annu. Rev. Biophys. 42, 629–649. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biophys-050511-102247
- Nkodo, A. E., and Tinland, B. (2002). Simultaneous measurements of the electrophoretic mobility, diffusion coefficient and orientation of dsDNA during electrophoresis in polymer solutions. *Electrophoresis* 23, 2755–2765.
- Oh, M. J., Kuhr, F., Byfield, F., and Levitan, I. (2012). Micropipette aspiration of substrate-attached cells to estimate cell stiffness. J. Vis. Exp. 67, 1–7. doi: 10.3791/3886
- Okajima, T. (2012). Atomic force microscopy for the examination of single cell rheology. Curr. Phamaceutical Biotechnol. 13, 2623–2631.
- Ou-Yang, H. D., and Wei, M.-T. (2010). Complex fluids: probing mechanical properties of biological systems with optical tweezers. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 61, 421–440. doi: 10.1146/annurev.physchem.012809.103454
- Parton, R. G., and Del Pozo, M. A. (2013). Caveolae as plasma membrane sensors, protectors and organizers. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 14, 98–112. doi: 10.1038/ nrm3512
- Pinot, M., Vanni, S., Pagnotta, S., Lacas-Gervais, S., Payet, L.-A., Ferreira, T., et al. (2014). Polyunsaturated phospholipids facilitate membrane deformation and fission by endocytic proteins. *Science* 345, 693–697. doi: 10.1126/science. 1255288
- Pinto, O. A., and Disalvo, E. A. (2019). A new model for lipid monolayer and bilayers based on thermodynamics of irreversible processes. *PLoS One* 14:e0212269. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0212269
- Przybylo, M., Sıkora, J., Humpolièová, J., Benda, A., Zan, A., and Hof, M. (2006). Lipid diffusion in giant unilamellar vesicles is more than 2 times faster than in supported phospholipid bilayers under identical conditions. *Langmuir* 22, 9096–9099. doi: 10.1021/la061934p
- Raffard, G., Steinbruckner, S., Arnold, A., Davis, J. H., and Dufourc, E. J. (2000). Temperature-composition diagram of dimyristoylphosphatidylcholinedicaproylphosphatidylcholine "bicelles" self-orienting in the magnetic field. A solid state 2H and 31P NMR study. *Langmuir* 16, 7655–7662. doi: 10.1021/ la000564g
- Rayleigh. (1890). IV. Measurements of the amount of oil necessary iu order to check the motions of camphor upon water. *Proc. Roy. Soc* 47:364.
- Reimhult, E., Höök, F., and Kasemo, B. (2002). Vesicle adsorption on SiO2 and TiO2: dependence on vesicle size. J. Chem. Phys. 117, 7401–7404. doi: 10.1063/ 1.1515320
- Reviakine, I., and Brisson, A. (2000). Formation of supported phospholipid bilayers from unilamellar vesicles investigated by atomic force microscopy. *Langmuir* 16, 1806–1815. doi: 10.1021/la9903043

- Richter, R. P., Bérat, R., and Brisson, A. R. (2006). Formation of solid-supported lipid bilayers: an integrated view. *Langmuir* 22, 3497–3505. doi: 10.1021/ la052687c
- Richter, R. P., and Brisson, A. R. (2005). Following the formation of supported lipid bilayers on Mica: a study combining AFM. QCM-D, and ellipsometry. Biophys. J. 88, 3422–3433. doi: 10.1529/biophysj.104.053728
- Rigaud, J. L., Bluzat, A., and Buschlen, S. (1983). Incorporation of bacteriorhodopsin into large unilamellar liposomes by reverse phase evaporation. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 111, 373–382. doi: 10.1016/0006-291X(83)90316-9
- Rodriguez, N., Pincet, F., and Cribier, S. (2005). Giant vesicles formed by gentle hydration and electroformation: a comparison by fluorescence microscopy. *Colloids Surfaces B Biointerfaces* 42, 125–130. doi: 10.1016/J.COLSURFB.2005. 01.010
- Rouck, J. E., Krapf, J. E., Roy, J., Huff, H. C., and Das, A. (2017). Recent advances in nanodisc technology for membrane protein studies (2012–2017). FEBS Lett. 591, 2057–2088. doi: 10.1002/1873-3468.12706
- Roux, A., Koster, G., Lenz, M., Sorre, B., Manneville, J. B., Nassoy, P., et al. (2010). Membrane curvature controls dynamin polymerization. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 107, 4141–4146. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0913734107
- Rozovsky, S., Forstner, M. B., Sondermann, H., and Groves, J. T. (2012). Single molecule kinetics of ENTH binding to lipid membranes. J. Phys. Chem. B 116, 5122–5131. doi: 10.1021/jp210045r
- Rybakova, I. N. (1996). A new model for the interaction of dystrophin with F-actin. J. Cell Biol. 135, 661–672. doi: 10.1083/jcb.135.3.661
- Sackmann, E. (1996). Supported membranes: scientific and practical applications. Science 271, 43L–48L. doi: 10.1126/science.271.5245.43
- Sanders, C. R., and Landis, G. C. (1995). Reconstitution of membrane proteins into lipid-rich bilayered mixed micelles for NMR studies. *Biochemistry* 34, 4030–4040. doi: 10.1021/bi00012a022
- Sarkis, J. (2012). Molecular mechanisms involved in Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy: Analysis of interactions Dystrophin-Lipids. Available online at: https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00678400 (accessed November 2, 2019).
- Sarkis, J., Hubert, J. F., Legrand, B., Robert, E., Chéron, A., Jardin, J., et al. (2011). Spectrin-like repeats 11-15 of human dystrophin show adaptations to a lipidic environment. J. Biol. Chem. 286, 30481–30491. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111.243881
- Sarkis, J., Rocha, J., Maniti, O., Jouhet, J., Vié, V., Block, M. A., et al. (2014). The influence of lipids on MGD1 membrane binding highlights novel mechanisms for galactolipid biosynthesis regulation in chloroplasts. *FASEB J. Off. Publ. Fed. Am. Soc. Exp. Biol.* 28, 3114–3123. doi: 10.1096/fj.14-250415
- Sarkis, J., Vie, V., Winder, S. J., Renault, A., Le Rumeur, E., and Hubert, J. F. (2013). Resisting sarcolemmal rupture: dystrophin repeats increase membrane-actin stiffness. *FASEB J.* 27, 359–367. doi: 10.1096/fj.12-208967
- Schmitt, J., Danner, B., and Bayerl, T. M. (2001). Polymer cushions in supported phospholipid bilayers reduce significantly the frictional drag between bilayer and solid surface. *Langmuir* 17, 244–246. doi: 10.1021/la00 1275v
- Schoebel, S., Blankenfeldt, W., Goody, R. S., and Itzen, A. (2010). High-affinity binding of phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate by *Legionella pneumophila* DrrA. *EMBO Rep* 11, 598–604. doi: 10.1038/embor.2010.97
- Schuler, M. A., Denisov, I. G., and Silgar, S. G. (2012). Nanodiscs as a New Tool to Examine Lipid–Protein Interactions. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press, doi: 10.1007/ 978-1-62703-275-9
- Sciaky, N., Presley, J., Smith, C., Zaal, K. J. M., Cole, N., Moreira, J. E., et al. (1997). Golgi tubule traffic and the effects of brefeldin A visualized in living cells. *J. Cell Biol.* 139, 1137–1155. doi: 10.1083/jcb.139.5.1137
- Scomparin, C., Lecuyer, S., Ferreira, M., Charitat, T., and Tinland, B. (2009). Diffusion in supported lipid bilayers: influence of substrate and preparation technique on the internal dynamics. *Eur. Phys. J. E* 28, 211–220. doi: 10.1140/ epje/i2008-10407-3
- Seantier, B., Breffa, C., Félix, O., and Decher, G. (2004). In Situ investigations of the formation of mixed supported lipid bilayers close to the phase transition temperature. *Nano Lett.* 4, 5–10. doi: 10.1021/nl0345901
- Seifert, U. (1997). Configurations of fluid membranes and vesicles. *Adv. Phys.* 46, 13–137. doi: 10.1080/00018739700101488
- Seu, K. J., Pandey, A. P., Haque, F., Proctor, E. A., Ribbe, A. E., and Hovis, J. S. (2007). Effect of surface treatment on diffusion and domain formation in supported lipid bilayers. *Biophys. J.* 92, 2445–2450. doi: 10.1529/biophysj.106. 099721

- Shi, L., Howan, K., Shen, Q. T., Wang, Y. J., Rothman, J. E., and Pincet, F. (2013). Preparation and characterization of SNARE-containing nanodiscs and direct study of cargo release through fusion pores. *Nat. Protoc.* 8, 935–948. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2013.048
- Shibata, Y., Hu, J., Kozlov, M. M., and Rapoport, T. A. (2009). Mechanisms shaping the membranes of cellular organelles. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* 25, 329–354. doi: 10.1146/annurev.cellbio.042308.113324
- Sias, B., Ferrato, F., Grandval, P., Lafont, D., Boullanger, P., De Caro, A., et al. (2004). Human pancreatic lipase-related protein 2 is a galactolipase. *Biochemistry* 43, 10138–10148. doi: 10.1021/bi049818d
- Sidm, E. D., and Wang, Y. (2016). Structural Basis for PI (4) P-specific membrane recruitment of the *Legionella pneumophila* article structural basis for PI (4) P-Specific membrane recruitment of the *Legionella pneumophila* effector DrrA/SidM. *Stucture* 22, 397–408. doi: 10.1016/j.str.2013.12.018
- Singer, S. J., and Nicolson, G. L. (1972). The fluid mosaic model of the structure of cell membranes. *Science* 175, 720L–731L. doi: 10.1126/science.175. 4023.720
- Stachowiak, J. C., Hayden, C. C., and Sasaki, D. Y. (2010). Steric confinement of proteins on lipid membranes can drive curvature and tubulation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 107, 7781–7786. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0913306107
- Stachowiak, J. C., Richmond, D. L., Li, T. H., Liu, A. P., Parekh, S. H., and Fletcher, D. A. (2008). Unilamellar vesicle formation and encapsulation by microfluidic jetting. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 4697–4702. doi: 10.1073/ PNAS.0710875105
- Stachowiak, J. C., Schmid, E. M., Ryan, C. J., Ann, H. S., Sasaki, D. Y., Sherman, M. B., et al. (2012). Membrane bending by protein-protein crowding. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 14, 944–949. doi: 10.1038/ncb2561
- Stachowicz-Kuśnierz, A., Seidler, T., Rogalska, E., Korchowiec, J., and Korchowiec, B. (2020). Lung surfactant monolayer – A good natural barrier against dibenzop-dioxins. *Chemosphere* 240:124850. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.124850
- Su, Z. F., Juhaniewicz-Debinska, J., Sek, S., and Lipkowski, J. (2020). Water structure in the submembrane region of a floating lipid bilayer: the effect of an ion channel formation and the channel blocker. *Langmuir* 36, 409–418. doi: 10.1021/acs.langmuir.9b03271
- Tamm, L. K. (1988). Lateral diffusion and fluorescence microscope studies on a monoclonal antibody specifically bound to supported phospholipid bilayers. *Biochemistry* 27, 1450–1457. doi: 10.1021/bi00405a009
- Tamm, L. K., and McConnell, H. M. (1985). Supported phospholipid bilayers. Biophys. J. 47, 105–113. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3495(85)83882-0
- Tanaka, M., and Sackmann, E. (2005). Polymer-supported membranes as models of the cell surface. *Nature* 437, 656–663.
- Van Dam, L., Karlsson, G., and Edwards, K. (2004). Direct observation and characterization of DMPC/DHPC aggregates under conditions relevant for biological solution NMR. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Biomembr.* 1664, 241–256. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamem.2004.06.005
- van Oijen, A. M. (2011). Single-molecule approaches to characterizing kinetics of biomolecular interactions. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 22, 75–80. doi: 10.1016/ j.copbio.2010.10.002
- Vénien-Bryan, C., Lenne, P.-F., Zakri, C., Renault, A., Brisson, A., Legrand, J.-F., et al. (1998). Characterization of the growth of 2D protein crystals on a lipid monolayer by ellipsometry and rigidity measurements coupled to electron microscopy. *Biophys. J.* 74, 2649–2657. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3495(98)77970-6
- Verger, R., and De Haas, G. H. (1973). Enzyme reactions in a membrane model. 1. A new technique to study enzyme reactions in monolayers. *Chem. Phys. Lipids* 10, 127–136. doi: 10.1016/0009-3084(73)90009-1
- Vié, V., Hir, S. A.-L., Paboeuf, G., and Raguénès-Ni, C. (2018). Myopathie de Duchenne/Becker: apport de la microscopie a force atomique. *Rev. Française* d'Histotechnologie 30, 107–121.
- Vié, V., Van Mau, N., Chaloin, L., Lesniewska, E., Le Grimellec, C., and Heitz, F. (2000). Detection of peptide-lipid interactions in mixed monolayers, using isotherms, atomic force microscopy, and fourier transform infrared analyses. *Biophys. J.* 78, 846–856. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3495(00)76642-2
- Wagner, M. L., and Tamm, L. K. (2000). Tethered polymer-supported planar lipid bilayers for reconstitution of integral membrane proteins: silanepolyethyleneglycol-lipid as a cushion and covalent linker. *Biophys. J.* 79, 1400–1414. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3495(00)76392-2
- Walder, R., Schmidt, C. F., and Dennin, M. (2008). Combined macro- and microrheometer for use with Langmuir monolayers. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 79, 63905. doi: 10.1063/1.2937649

- Wang, J., Ma, Y., Hou, S., Miao, Z., and Ma, Q. (2020). Interaction of amphotericin B and saturated or unsaturated phospholipid monolayers containing cholesterol or ergosterol at the air-water interface. *Biophys. Chem.* 258:106317. doi: 10. 1016/j.bpc.2019.106317
- Watkins, E. B., Majewski, J., Chi, E. Y., Gao, H., Florent, J. C., and Johannes, L. (2019). Shiga toxin induces lipid compression: a mechanism for generating membrane curvature. *Nano Lett.* 19, 7365–7369. doi: 10.1021/acs.nanolett. 9b03001
- Weiss, S. (1999). Fluorescence spectroscopy of single biomolecules. *Science* 283, 1676–1683. doi: 10.1126/science.283.5408.1676
- Xing, C., and Faller, R. (2008). Interactions of lipid bilayers with supports: a coarse-grained molecular simulation study. J. Phys. Chem. B 112, 7086–7094. doi: 10.1021/jp0773051
- Zhang, L., and Granick, S. (2005a). Lipid diffusion compared in outer and inner leaflets of planar supported bilayers. J. Chem. Phys. 123, 211104. doi: 10.1063/1. 2138699

- Zhang, L., and Granick, S. (2005b). Slaved diffusion in phospholipid bilayers. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 102, 9118–9121. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0502723102
- Zhdanov, V. P., Keller, C. A., Glasmästar, K., and Kasemo, B. (1999). Simulation of adsorption kinetics of lipid vesicles. J. Chem. Phys. 112, 900–909. doi: 10.1063/ 1.480617

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Sarkis and Vié. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.