



HAL
open science

Absorption Engineering in an Ultrasubwavelength Quantum System

Mathieu Jeannin, Thomas Bonazzi, Djamel Gacemi, Angela Vasanelli, Lianhe Li, Alexander Giles Davies, Edmund Linfield, Carlo Sirtori, Yanko Todorov

► **To cite this version:**

Mathieu Jeannin, Thomas Bonazzi, Djamel Gacemi, Angela Vasanelli, Lianhe Li, et al.. Absorption Engineering in an Ultrasubwavelength Quantum System. *Nano Letters*, 2020, 20 (6), pp.4430-4436. 10.1021/acs.nanolett.0c01217 . hal-02784734

HAL Id: hal-02784734

<https://hal.science/hal-02784734>

Submitted on 8 Mar 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Absorption Engineering in an Ultrasubwavelength Quantum System*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/161845/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Jeannin, M, Bonazzi, T, Gacemi, D et al. (6 more authors) (2020) Absorption Engineering in an Ultrasubwavelength Quantum System. *Nano Letters*, 20 (6). pp. 4430-4436. ISSN 1530-6984

<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.0c01217>

© 2020 American Chemical Society. This is an author produced version of an article published in *Nano Letters*. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Absorption engineering in an ultra-subwavelength quantum system

Mathieu Jeannin,¹ Thomas Bonazzi,¹ Djamel Gacemi,¹ Angela Vasanelli,¹ Lianhe Li,² Alexander Giles Davies,² Edmund Linfield,² Carlo Sirtori¹ and Yanko Todorov^{1}*

¹Laboratoire de Physique de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure, ENS, Paris Sciences et Lettres, CNRS, Université de Paris, 24 Rue Lhomond, 75005 Paris, France

²School of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, United Kingdom

**yanko.todorov@ens.fr*

1
2
3 ABSTRACT
4
5
6

7 Many photonic and plasmonic structures have been proposed to achieve ultra-subwavelength
8 light confinement across the electromagnetic spectrum. Notwithstanding this effort, however, the
9 efficient funneling of external radiation into nano-scale volumes remains problematic. Here we
10 demonstrate a photonic concept that fulfills the seemingly incompatible requirements for both
11 strong electromagnetic confinement and impedance matching to free space. Our architecture
12 consists of antenna-coupled meta-atom resonators that funnel up to 90% of the incident radiation
13 into an ultra-subwavelength semiconductor quantum well absorber of volume $V = \lambda^3 10^{-6}$. A
14 significant fraction of the coupled electromagnetic energy is used to excite the electronic
15 transitions in the quantum well, with photon absorption efficiency 550 times larger than the
16 intrinsic value of the electronic dipole. This system opens important perspectives for ultra-low
17 dark current quantum detectors and for the study of light-matter interaction in the extreme
18 regimes of electronic and photonic confinement.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34
35
36 KEYWORDS: Nanoscale absorbers, metamaterials, optical antennas, strong light-matter
37 coupling.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Achieving strong light absorption is essential for the operation of many optoelectronic devices such as solar cells¹, detectors², and saturable absorbers³. The recent progress in nano-fabrication techniques has seen the emergence of experiments in which the absorption process is controlled at the single photon, single absorber level^{4,5,6}. In bulk materials, light absorption can be simply optimized by increasing the interaction length between the light beam and the material. However, achieving strong absorption in a highly subwavelength structure is a far more difficult task, and is currently an active research topic in the field of nano-optics⁷. This endeavor is particularly pertinent for solid state systems such as quantum wells and dots, as well as for two-dimensional materials^{8,9,10}. In all of these systems, the typical de Broglie carrier wavelength is orders of magnitude smaller than the photon wavelength corresponding to the quantum transition of interest. The problem can be illustrated by considering an isolated nanostructure illuminated with intensity I_{in} (Figure 1a), where the efficiency of the absorption process is quantified by the absorption cross section $\sigma_{abs}=P_{abs}/I_{in}$, with P_{abs} the absorbed power. Following Tretyakov,¹¹ the resonant absorption cross section can be expressed as:

$$(1) \quad \sigma_{abs} = \frac{3\lambda^2}{8\pi\epsilon} \frac{4\gamma_p\Gamma_{rad}}{(\gamma_p + \Gamma_{rad})^2}$$

Here γ_p is the non-radiative loss, Γ_{rad} is the radiation loss (emission rate) of the quantum system, and ϵ the dielectric constant of the medium surrounding the absorber. The maximum value of σ_{abs} is achieved at the ‘‘critical coupling’’ condition $\gamma_p = \Gamma_{rad}$, but this condition is difficult to satisfy in solid-state systems where the linewidth is dominated by non-radiative decay channels $\gamma_p \gg \Gamma_{rad}$.⁴ The situation is very severe in the mid-infrared and THz frequency ranges, where the spontaneous emission rate of a single electron is orders of magnitude slower than other

1
2
3 dissipation mechanisms. As illustrated in Figure 1a, in this work we consider a $1 \times 1 \mu\text{m}^2$ absorber
4
5 that consists of a 32-nm-wide semiconductor quantum well (QW) containing $\sim 10^3$ electrons. The
6
7 first electronic transition of the QW is at $f_p = 3.3 \text{ THz}$,¹² corresponding to a wavelength $\lambda = 90 \mu\text{m}$
8
9 that is much larger than the size of the absorber. Eq.1 provides an intrinsic absorption cross
10
11 section $\sigma_{\text{abs}} = 0.047 \mu\text{m}^2$, three orders of magnitude smaller than the theoretical maximum $3\lambda^2/8$
12
13 $\varepsilon\pi = 77 \mu\text{m}^2$, with $\varepsilon = 12.4$. Indeed, the non-radiative rate $\gamma_p = 0.2 \text{ THz}$ of the QW is much larger
14
15 than the radiation loss, $\Gamma_{\text{rad}} = 3.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ THz}$ (see Supplementary Information for estimations of γ_p
16
17 and Γ_{rad}).
18
19
20
21
22

23 A solution inspired from microwave technology¹³ is to couple the nano-absorber to an
24
25 antenna that increases the overall radiation loss of the system^{14,15}. Such optical antennas can
26
27 be used to build ultra-fast thermal emitters¹⁶, novel non-linear devices^{17,18}, and ultra-low
28
29 dark current infrared detectors¹⁹. In the optical domain, we can also draw inspiration from the
30
31 Purcell effect for the emission process²⁰, which states that the spontaneous emission rate is
32
33 strongly dependent on the electromagnetic environment. Coupling an emitter to a resonant
34
35 microcavity can boost or inhibit the spontaneous emission rate^{21,22}. In the case of the absorption
36
37 process, the benefit of microcavities and meta-atom resonators is that they enable electric field
38
39 confinement into highly subwavelength volumes V_{eff} , comparable with the nano-absorber
40
41 size²³(Figure 1b). As the light-matter interaction strength scales as $1/V_{\text{eff}}^{1/2}$, the absorption
42
43 rate is increased, and eventually the regime of strong and ultra-strong light-matter coupling
44
45 can be achieved^{12,24,25}. However, a strong electromagnetic confinement suppresses the cavity
46
47 radiation loss rate, which scales as $V_{\text{eff}}^{26,27}$ and therefore the microcavity-coupled nano-
48
49 absorber becomes almost inaccessible to external electromagnetic radiation. In the visible
50
51 and the near infrared spectral region, several strategies have been proposed to achieve
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 impedance matching between free space and plasmonic nanostructures.^{10,28,29,30}
4
5

6
7 In this work we demonstrate that is possible to reconcile the antenna concept with the
8 microcavity effect, and to achieve very efficient funneling of incident radiation into a highly
9 subwavelength quantum nano-structure. We introduce a THz photonic arrangement that
10 combines antennas with metamaterial LC (inductor-capacitor)-resonators and concentrates the
11 electric field into nano-volume capacitors filled with semiconductor quantum wells, as illustrated
12 in Figure 1c. In this configuration, the mode of the resonator is matched to free space and (ultra-)
13 strongly coupled with the QW electronic transition.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23
24 These concepts, and the following experimental results, have been analyzed in the
25 framework of the temporal coupled mode theory (CMT).^{31,32} The CMT provides a set of linear
26 differential equations that describe the temporal evolution of the amplitudes P , a , A of,
27 respectively, the matter oscillator (P), the electric field of the micro resonator (a), and the
28 antenna (A), as a function of the incident wave amplitude (S_{in}). We compare three different cases:
29 matter oscillator P in free space (Figure 1a,d); P coupled with a meta-atom resonator a , which
30 interacts with free space (Figure 1b,e); and, P interacts with an antenna (A)-coupled meta-atom
31 (a) (Figure 1c,f). Each oscillator has its own non-radiative loss rate (γ_P , γ_a , γ_A). By design, the
32 transmission port is absent in our devices, and we consider only the reflection port (S_{out}). As
33 shown in the Supplementary Information, our formalism leads to eq.1 for the case of a single
34 absorber in free space (Figure 1a,d).
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 As a first step we are interested in understanding how the absorption cross section (eq. 1)
51 is modified in the presence of the resonator, Figure 1b,e and Figure 2. Our resonator is a THz LC
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 circuit, as described in ref. **12**, where the electric field is compressed into ultra-subwavelength
4 volume, $V_{\text{eff}} \sim 10^{-6} \lambda^3$, between the two double-metal capacitive parts of $1 \mu\text{m}^2$ area and thickness
5 300 nm (Figure 1a). The 300-nm-thin wires of length P_L connecting the capacitors act as
6 inductors and allow the resonant frequency to be tuned in the THz range. The absorbing region,
7 which contains five 32-nm-wide highly doped quantum wells, is placed only in the two
8 capacitive parts (more information in the supplementary material), but owing to surface
9 depletion effects, only the central well is effectively populated at $1.4 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ electrons. The
10 interaction between the QW and the LC resonator is quantified by the coupling strength Ω_R , also
11 known as vacuum Rabi frequency¹²:

$$(2) \quad \Omega_R = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{f_{12} e^2 (N_1 - N_2)}{m^* \epsilon \epsilon_0 V_{\text{eff}}}}$$

12 where f_{12} is the oscillator strength of the transition, e is the electron charge, N_1 and N_2 are the
13 total electron populations in the first and second subbands, respectively, ϵ_0 is the vacuum
14 permittivity, and m^* is the electron effective mass.

15 As shown in Figure 2, in order to increase the interaction with the incident light, the
16 antenna-coupled LCs are periodically repeated to form a metamaterial, made up of a unit cell of
17 area Σ such that the beam spot size S of the incident wave covers many unit cells.^{11,23} In the
18 metamaterial configuration the ratio between the absorbed and incident power $P_{\text{abs}}/P_{\text{in}}$ for each
19 unit cell corresponds to $\sigma_{\text{abs}}/\Sigma$. In the framework of the CMT, a general expression for the
20 absorption cross section as a function of the power dissipated by the quantum system is:

$$(3) \quad \frac{\sigma_{\text{abs}}}{\Sigma} = \frac{2\gamma_p |P|^2}{|S_{\text{in}}|^2} =_{\text{def}} \eta,$$

1
2
3 Here, $|P|^2$ is proportional to the energy stored in the electronic polarization, and can be related to
4 the density of microscopic dipoles excited by the electric field of the resonator, and $|S_{\text{in}}|^2 = \Sigma P_{\text{in}}/S$
5 is the power incident per array unit cell. Eq.3 is a direct consequence of energy conservation and
6 the definition of the absorption cross section³³. Note that the parameter η quantifies the fraction
7 of photons absorbed by the QW only. The other loss channels, such as the metal losses in
8 the electromagnetic resonators, can also be inferred from CMT, as shown in the
9 Supplementary Information.

10
11 The quantity η is not directly provided by experiments, where one instead probes the
12 reflectivity of the system, $R(\omega) = |S_{\text{out}}|^2/|S_{\text{in}}|^2$. By fitting the experimental reflectivity $R(\omega)$ we can
13 infer the CMT parameters ($\gamma_{\text{p}}, \Omega_a, \gamma_{\text{R}}, \Gamma_a$) and evaluate the absorption cross section from eq.3.
14 The reflectivity spectra $R(\omega)$ were recorded with a dry-air purged Fourier transform
15 infrared spectrometer (FTIR) (Bruker Vertex 70v). We use a proprietary experimental
16 arrangement where a pair of f/1 parabolic mirrors focus the radiation from a Global source
17 onto the sample and collect the reflected light, which is detected using a He-cooled
18 Ge bolometer (QMC instruments). Light is linearly polarized along the two capacitors
19 of the meta-atoms, and impinges at 45° onto the sample in a transverse magnetic
20 configuration. All spectra are normalized to the reflectivity of a flat Au surface. As a
21 result of the fabrication process, the meta-atoms are placed on the top of $3 \mu\text{m}$ thick Si_3N_4
22 layer, which has a flat Au mirror on the bottom that blocks the transmission port¹² (see
23 Supplementary Information for full structure details). Typical reflectivity spectra for a meta-
24 atom ($P_L = 11 \mu\text{m}$, $f_{\text{LC}} = 3.2 \text{ THz}$) resonant with the electronic transition are shown in Figure
25 2b at both room temperature and 7 K. At room temperature, the effect of the electronic
26 absorption is negligible¹², and one observes only the response of the meta-atoms (blue solid
27 line). At 7 K (red solid line), the QW absorption is activated, and the LC mode splits into
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 lower (LP) and upper (UP) polariton modes, separated by the vacuum Rabi splitting $2\Omega_R$. At low
4
5 temperature, CMT fits provide typical values $\gamma_a = 0.15$ THz, $\Gamma_a = 0.013$ THz and $\Omega_R = 0.4$ THz.
6
7 These studies were complemented with data from four other LC structures with $P_L = 9$ μm , 10
8
9 μm , 12 μm , and 14 μm (see Supplementary Information). As described in Ref.12, these
10
11 measurements allow the effective volume $V_{\text{eff}} = \lambda^3 10^{-6}$ to be obtained from eq.2, which was
12
13 found to be very close to the geometrical volume of the capacitive parts $V_0 = 0.6$ μm^3 , $V_{\text{eff}} = 1.2$
14
15 V_0 .
16
17
18
19

20
21 The CMT analysis, detailed in the Supplementary Information, shows that the absorbing
22
23 cross-section σ_{abs} is maximized at the onset of the strong coupling and is expressed as:
24
25

$$(4) \quad \frac{\sigma_{\text{abs}}}{\Sigma} = \frac{4\gamma_p\Gamma_a}{(\gamma_p + \gamma_a + \Gamma_a)^2} \frac{\Omega_R^2}{\Omega_R^2 + \Omega_{R0}^2}$$

26
27
28
29
30
31 This expression is valid for both the weak and strong coupling regime. Here $\Omega_{R0} = \gamma_p/(1+$
32
33 $\gamma_p/(\gamma_a + \Gamma_a)) = 0.09$ THz. If the system is in the strong coupling regime, such that $\Omega_R \gg \Omega_{R0}$,
34
35 then eq.4 shows that the peak absorption saturates at a fixed value
36
37 $\sigma_{\text{abs}}/\Sigma = 4\gamma_p\Gamma_a/(\gamma_p + \gamma_a + \Gamma_a)^2$. This is similar to eq.1, except that now the intrinsic radiation
38
39 loss of the absorber is replaced with that of the meta-atom resonator, Γ_a .
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 The corresponding values of $\omega(\eta)$ from eq.3 are plotted in Figure 2c, with peak values $\eta \sim 0.08$ at
47
48 the two polariton states, in agreement with eq. 4. In this case, $\Sigma = 40$ μm^2 , yielding an absorption
49
50 cross section $\sigma_{\text{abs}} = 3.2$ μm^2 . This value is already a significant improvement with respect to the
51
52 single QW absorber. However, the value $\eta \sim 0.08$ and the high reflectivity observed in
53
54 experiments (Figure 2b) indicate a strong photon rejection rate (85%–90%). Indeed, while the
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 strong coupling regime is favored because of the dependence $\Omega_R \sim 1/V_{\text{eff}}^{1/2}$, the radiative coupling
4
5 of the resonator vanishes in systems with strong electromagnetic confinement. For instance,
6
7 in double-metal resonators, the radiation loss is proportional to the thickness of the structure²³.
8
9 This is the reason why the radiation loss of the LC is very low, $\Gamma_a = 0.013$ THz.

10
11
12
13 We now demonstrate that this limitation can be lifted in a photonic arrangement based on
14
15 the strong coupling between antennas with a high radiation loss and the ultra-subwavelength
16
17 resonators (Figure 3 and Figure 1c,f). As shown in Figure 3a, the antenna element is a large
18
19 double-metal patch antenna of a total length $L_A = \lambda_A/2n_{\text{eff}}$, where λ_A is the resonant wavelength of
20
21 the antenna and n_{eff} is an effective index. The bulk of the patch antenna is filled with a $3 \mu\text{m}$
22
23 Si_3N_4 layer, which is therefore much thicker than the capacitors of the LC structures. The electric
24
25 field of the fundamental antenna resonances is maximum at the edges, and changes sign in the
26
27 middle of the antennas²⁷ (Figure 3a). The symmetry of the antenna resonance thus matches that
28
29 of the LC mode. Therefore optimal coupling is achieved in the configuration described in Figure
30
31 1c and Figure 3a, where each antenna connects the capacitors of two neighboring meta-atoms.
32
33 Nevertheless, as this system allows a large number of degrees of freedom, many other
34
35 configurations can be considered, even ones with topologically distinct unit cells. Furthermore,
36
37 the antennas can be fabricated directly on the bare LC resonator arrays, thus allowing a
38
39 straightforward comparison between uncoupled and antenna-coupled meta-atoms. In Figure 3b,
40
41 we show reflectivity data for the same LC resonator as discussed above ($P_L = 11 \mu\text{m}$) both before
42
43 (blue solid line), and after (dark green solid line), antenna fabrication. The length of the antenna
44
45 is $L_A = 5 \mu\text{m}$ and it resonates at $f_A = 4.65$ THz; this provides an effective index $n_{\text{eff}} \sim 6$. We see
46
47 that the LC resonance is split into two resonances, A_1 (at 2.67 THz) and A_2 (at 4.8 THz),
48
49 indicating strong non-perturbative coupling between the antenna and the LC . The reflectivity
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 contrast is strongly improved: 50% as compared to 15% without the antenna. In Figure 3b
4
5 we also provide electric energy maps obtained by numerical simulations, showing that A_1 is LC-
6
7 like and A_2 is antenna-like, with A_1 having the same effective volume V_{eff} as the LC alone. CMT
8
9 fits provide a coupling constant $G = -0.6$ THz as well as the antenna radiation and non-
10
11 radiation losses, $\Gamma_A = 0.2$ THz and $\gamma_A = 0.85$ THz, respectively. We also find that the radiation
12
13 loss of the antenna-coupled LC is strongly suppressed, $\Gamma' = 0.0012$ THz, so that the
14
15 interaction with the free-space is essentially mediated by the antenna. The Si_3N_4 layer also
16
17 introduces a -0.3 THz shift of the LC frequency (Supplementary Information).
18
19
20
21
22

23 Next, we examine the performance of such antenna-coupled LC structures for enhancing
24
25 the quantum well absorption. Two types of structures have been studied. The first are the LC
26
27 structures with variable P_L (9 μm , 10 μm , 11 μm , 14 μm), which have been reprocessed with
28
29 antennas. In this case the antenna resonance is fixed ($f_A = 4.65$ THz) and the LC resonance is
30
31 varied, Figure 4a. In the second type of structure, the antenna-coupled LC resonance is designed
32
33 with a fixed frequency, $f_{LC} = 3.35$ THz, nearly resonant with the QW absorption ($f_p = 3.3$ THz).
34
35 The antenna resonance f_A is then varied by changing the length L_A (5 μm –14 μm), Figure 4b. In
36
37 Figures 4a,b we show only the low temperature reflectivity spectra (solid lines) where the QW is
38
39 active; more data is provided in the Supplementary Information. The full system consists of three
40
41 coupled oscillators, as described in Figure 1c,e, and therefore the reflectivity spectra display
42
43 three reflectivity minima. All spectra are fitted with the CMT model (dotted lines), which
44
45 provides almost constant values for $G \sim -0.7$ THz, resonator loss $\gamma_a = 0.15$ THz, $\Gamma_a \sim 10^{-3}$ THz,
46
47 as well as the Rabi frequency $\Omega_R = 0.4$ THz. In Figure 4b the loss rates of the antennas evolve
48
49 monotonically in the range $\Gamma_A = 0.4$ – 0.2 THz and $\gamma_A = 0.75$ – 0.45 THz owing to the frequency-
50
51 dependent loss of the Si_3N_4 layer. All fitting parameters are provided in the Supplementary
52
53 Information. In Figure 4 the estimations of the photon collection efficiency are provided next to
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

each experimental spectrum. The peak values have now increased to $\eta \sim 0.2$ (Figure 4a) and $\eta \sim 0.35$ (Figure 4b). In Figure 4b it is important to note that as the antenna length L_A increases, the antenna becomes resonant with both the LC and the QW systems, and the area Σ of the array unit cell is increased. As a result, the antenna array with $L_A = 12 \mu\text{m}$ ($f_A = 3.3 \text{ THz}$) and $\Sigma = 69 \mu\text{m}^2$ has a maximum absorption cross-section $\sigma_{\text{abs}} = 20 \mu\text{m}^2$, which is on the same order of magnitude as the theoretical limit of a single absorber ($77 \mu\text{m}^2$). However, maximum efficiency $\eta \sim 0.35$ is obtained for an antenna with $L_A = 9 \mu\text{m}$ ($f_A = 3.9 \text{ THz}$), which displayed high radiation loss, $\Gamma_A = 0.3 \text{ THz}$, and a strong reflectivity contrast of 90%.

The analysis based on CMT further uncovers a benefit of the strongly antenna-coupled meta-atoms (Supplementary Information). It shows that the maximum absorption appears at the energy of the electronic transition, and for the case where all three resonators have the same frequency, in accordance with the data from Figure 4. Neglecting the radiation loss from the quantum wells and the meta-atom, the following expression is obtained:

$$(5) \quad \frac{\sigma_{\text{abs}}}{\Sigma} = \frac{\Gamma_A}{\Gamma_A + \gamma_A} \frac{g}{g + \gamma_a} \frac{4(\Omega_R / \Omega_{R1})^2}{[1 + (\Omega_R / \Omega_{R1})^2]^2}$$

In this formula we have defined $g = G^2 / (\Gamma_A + \gamma_A)$ and $\Omega_{R1}^2 = \gamma_p (\gamma + g)$. The absorption cross section is thus a bell-like function of the Rabi frequency squared Ω_R^2 , and optimal for $\Omega_R \Omega_{R1} = \Omega_{R1}$. Using the parameters of our structures, we have $g = 0.74 \text{ THz}$ and $\Omega_{R1} = 0.42 \text{ THz}$. Our system with $\Omega_R = 0.4 \text{ THz}$ thus operates very close to the optimal point with maximum possible absorption, $\sigma = \eta_{\text{abs}} / \Sigma = 0.3$. It is interesting to note that in the case where the antenna non-radiative loss γ_A can be neglected, and a high coupling constant G is achieved, eq.5 predicts

1
2
3 almost unity maximum absorption, regardless of the intrinsic loss γ_p of the absorber. This means
4
5 that such configuration always allows impedance matching to free space, independent of
6
7 the physical phenomena that govern γ_p .
8
9

10
11 In Figure 5, we compare the best results obtained for the photon absorption rate η in our
12 structures with respect to the QW absorber where $\sigma = \eta_{\text{abs}} / (3\lambda^2 / 8\epsilon\pi) = 6 \times 10^{-4}$. The peak value
13
14
15
16 $\eta_{\text{abs}} = 0.33$ for the antenna-coupled LC is 550 times larger than η . Furthermore all CMT fits use the

17
18
19
20
21 same value of the light-matter coupling constant, $\Omega_R = 0.4$ THz, meaning that the addition of the
22
23 antenna layer does not result in a delocalization of the electromagnetic energy and the effective
24
25 resonator volume remains the same, $V_{\text{eff}} = \lambda^3 10^{-6}$. This is confirmed by finite element
26
27 simulations, which show only a 5% reduction of the LC effective volume in the antenna-coupled
28
29 LC structures. Our system thus reconciles the seemingly incompatible requirements for both
30
31 strong electromagnetic confinement and impedance matching to free space. Such a photonic
32
33 architecture can be very beneficial for ultra-low dark current THz quantum detectors, where the
34
35 electrical area is strongly reduced with respect to the effective absorption cross section of the
36
37 device.^{19,23} In that case, the detector responsivity is proportional to the η coefficient defined in
38
39 eq. 3, and the ratio between the photocurrent and dark current is provided by the quantity σ_{abs}/s ,
40
41 where s the surface of the absorbing region.^{19,23} Such devices, which can also operate in the ultra-
42
43 strong light-matter coupling regime, can also be used to study the effect of the polariton states on
44
45 the electronic transport.^{34, 35} The process that was developed for this demonstration can also be
46
47 exploited for a large variety of planar antennas coupled with double-metal meta-atoms, and
48
49 opens many possibilities for the design of perfectly absorbing infrared metamaterials.³⁶
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5 ASSOCIATED CONTENT
6
7

8 **Supporting Information.**
9

10
11 The Supporting Information file contains information about the full layout of the structure, the
12 quantum well absorber, the model based on the coupled-mode theory as well as extended set of
13 additional data.
14
15
16
17
18

19
20 ACKNOWLEDGMENT
21

22 The authors acknowledge the help of the technical staff from the cleanroom facility of Université
23 Paris Diderot, as well help from David Darson (ENS) for the Table of content graphic. This work
24 was supported by the French National Research Agency under the contract ANR-16-CE24-0020,
25 Project Qombs (FET Flagship on Quantum Technologies grant No 820419) and the Engineering
26 and Physical Sciences Research Council (UK) grant EP/P021859/1. EHL acknowledges the
27 support of the Royal Society and Wolfson Foundation.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36
37 **Notes**
38

39 The authors declare no competing financial interest.
40
41
42

43 REFERENCES
44

- 45
46 1. Nayak, P. K.; Mahesh, S.; Snaith, H. J.; Cahen, D.; Photovoltaic Solar Cell Technologies:
47 Analyzing the State of the Art, *Nat. Rev. Mater.* **2019**, *4*, 269–285.
48
49 2. Rosencher E.; Vinter, B.; Optoelectronics **1998**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
50
51 3. Keller, U. Recent Developments in Compact Ultrafast Lasers. *Nature* **2003**, *424*, 831–838.
52
53 4. Gerhardt, I.; Wrigge, G.; Bushev, P.; Zumofen, G.; Agio, M.; Pfab, R.; Sandoghdar, V.;
54 Strong Extinction of a Laser Beam by a Single Molecule, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2007** *98*, 033601
55
56
57
58
59
60

5. Rezus, Y.L.; Walt, S.G.; Lettow, R.; Renn, A.; Zumofen, G.; Götzinger, S.; Sandoghdar, V.; Single-Photon Spectroscopy of a Single Molecule, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2012**, *108*, 093601
6. Bharadwaj, P.; Anger, P.; Novotny, L.; Nanoplasmonic Enhancement of Single-Molecule Fluorescence, *Nanotechnology* **2007** *18*, 044017.
7. Schuller, J.A.; Barnard, E.S.; Cai, W.; Jun, Y.C.; White, J.S.; L. Brongersma, M.L.; Plasmonics for Extreme Light Concentration and Manipulation, *Nature Mater.* **2010** *9*, 193–204.
8. Parzefall, M.; Szabó, Á.; Taniguchi, T.; Watanabe, K.; Luisier, M.; Novotny L.; Light from Van der Waals Quantum Tunneling Devices, *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10*, 1-9
9. Parzefall, M.; Bharadwaj, P.; Jain, A.; Taniguchi, T.; Watanabe, K.; Novotny, L. Antenna-Coupled Photon Emission from Hexagonal Boron Nitride Tunnel Junctions. *Nature Nanotech.* **2015** *10*, 1058–1063.
10. Bisht, A.; Cuadra, J.; Wersäll, M.; Canales, A.; Antosiewicz, T. J.; Shegai, T. Collective Strong Light-Matter Coupling in Hierarchical Microcavity-Plasmon-Exciton Systems. *Nano Lett.* **2019** *19* (1), 189–196.
11. Tretyakov, S.; Maximizing Absorption and Scattering by Dipole Particles *Plasmonics* **2014** *9*, 935-944.
12. Jeannin, M.; Nesurini, G.M.; Suffit, S.; Gacemi, D.; Vasanelli, A.; Li, L.; Davies, A. G.; Linfield, E.; Sirtori, C.; Todorov, Y.; Ultrastrong Light–Matter Coupling in Deeply Subwavelength THz LC Resonators, *ACS Photonics* **2019**, *6*, 1207-1215.
13. Balanis, C.A.; Antenna Theory, **2005** John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey
14. Biagioni, P.; Huang, J. S.; Hecht, B.; Nanoantennas for Visible and Infrared Radiation, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **2012**, *75*, 024402.
15. Novotny, L.; van Hulst, N. Antennas for Light. *Nature Photon.* **2011** *5*, 83–90.
16. Wojszwyk, L.; Hector Monin, H.; Jean-Jacques Greffet, J.-J.; Light Emission by a Thermalized Ensemble of Emitters Coupled to a Resonant Structure, *Adv. Opt. Mater.* **2019** *7*, 1801697, <https://doi.org/10.1002/adom.201801697>.
17. Fischer, M.P.; Riede, A.; Gallacher, K.; Frigerio, J.; Pellegrini, G.; Ortolani, M.; Paul, D.J.; Isella, G.; Leitenstorfer, A.; Biagioni, P.; Brida, D. Plasmonic Mid-Infrared Third Harmonic Generation in Germanium Nanoantennas. *Light Sci Appl* **2018** *7*, 106.
18. Gigli, C.; Marino, G.; Borne, A.; Lalanne, P.; Leo, G.; All-Dielectric Nanoresonators for $\chi(2)$ Nonlinear Optics, *Frontiers in Physics*, **2019**, *7*, 221.
19. Palaferri, D.; Todorov, Y.; Bigioli, A.; Mottaghizadeh, A.; Gacemi, D.; Calabrese, A.; Vasanelli, A.; Li, L.; Davies, A.G.; Linfield, E.H.; Kapsalidis, F.; Beck, M.; Faist, J.; Sirtori, C.; Room-Temperature Nine- μm -Wavelength Photodetectors and GHz-Frequency Heterodyne Receivers, *Nature* **2018**, *556*, 85–88.

- 1
2
3 20. Purcell, E. M.; Torrey, H. C.; Pound, R. V.; Resonance Absorption by Nuclear Magnetic
4 Moments in a Solid *Phys. Rev.* **1946** *69*, 37.
5
6 21. Gérard, J.M.; Sermage, B.; Gayral, B.; Legrand, B.; Costard, E.; Thierry-Mieg, V.; Enhanced
7 Spontaneous Emission by Quantum Boxes in a Monolithic Optical Microcavity”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*
8 **1998** *81*, 1110.
9
10 22. Yablonovitch, E.; Inhibited Spontaneous Emission in Solid-State Physics and Electronics,
11 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1987**, *58*, 2059.
12
13 23. Palaferri, D.; Todorov, Y.; Mottaghizadeh, A.; Frucci, G.; Biasiol, G.; Sirtori, C.; Ultra-
14 Subwavelength Resonators for High Temperature High Performance Quantum Detectors, *New J.*
15 *Phys.* **2016**, *18*, 113016.
16
17 24. Keller, J.; Scaliari, G.; Cibella, S.; Maissen, C.; Appugliese, F.; Giovine, E.; Leoni R.;
18 Beck, M.; Faist, J.; Few-Electron Ultrastrong Light-Matter Coupling at 300 GHz with Nanogap
19 Hybrid LC Microcavities *Nano Lett.* **2017**, *17*, 12, 7410-7415
20
21 25. Pelton, M.; Storm, S.D.; Lenga, H.; Strong coupling of emitters to single plasmonic
22 nanoparticles: exciton-induced transparency and Rabi splitting, *Nanoscale*, **2019**, *11*, 14540-
23 14552.
24
25 26. Maier, S. A.; Plasmonic Field Enhancement and SERS in the Effective Mode Volume
26 Picture, *Opt. Express* **2006** *14*, 1957.
27
28 27. Feuillet-Palma, C.; Todorov, Y.; Steed, R.; Vasanelli, A.; Biasiol, G.; Sorba, L.; Sirtori, C.;
29 Extremely Sub-wavelength THz Metal-Dielectric Wire Microcavities, *Opt. Express*, **2012** *20*,
30 29121-29130.
31
32 28. Li, K.; Stockman, M. I.; Bergman, D.J. Self-Similar Chain of Metal Nanospheres as an
33 Efficient Nanolens, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2003**, *91*(22), 227402
34
35 29. Huang, J.-S.; Thorsten Feichtner, T.; Biagioni, P.; Hecht, B.; Impedance Matching and
36 Emission Properties of Nanoantennas in an Optical Nanocircuit, *Nano Lett.* **2009** *9*(5) 1897-1902
37
38 30. Spinelli, P.; Hebbink, H.; de Waele, R.; Black, L.; Lenzmann, F.; Polman, A.; Optical
39 Impedance Matching Using Coupled Plasmonic Nanoparticle Arrays, *Nano Lett.* **2011**, *11*,
40 1760–1765.
41
42 31. Haus, H. A.; Waves and Fields in Optoelectronics **2004**, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs,
43 New Jersey (Prentice Hall Series in Solid State Physical Electronics, Holonyak, N.(Ed);).
44
45 32. Zanotto, S.; Mezzapesa, F.P.; Bianco, F.; Biasiol G.; Baldacci, L.; Vitiello, M.S.; Sorba, L.;
46 Colombelli, R.; Tredicucci, A.; Perfect Energy-Feeding into Strongly Coupled Systems and
47 Interferometric Control of Polariton Absorption, *Nat. Phys.* **2014** *10*, 830–834.
48
49 33. Seok, T. J.; Jamshidi, A.; Kim, M.; Dhuey, S.; Lakhani, A.; Choo, H.; Schuck, P. J.; Cabrini,
50 S.; Schwartzberg, A. M.; Bokor, J.; Yablonovitch, E.; Wu, M. C.; Radiation Engineering of
51 Optical Antennas for Maximum Field Enhancement, *Nano Lett.* **2011**, *11*, 2606–2610.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 34. Paravicini-Bagliani, G. L.; Appugliese, F.; Richter, E.; Valmorra, F.; Keller, J.; Beck, M.;
4 Bartolo, N.; Rössler, C.; Ihn, T.; Ensslin, K.; Ciuti, C.; Scalari G.; Faist, J.; Magneto-Transport
5 Controlled by Landau Polariton States, *Nat. Phys.*, **2018** *15*, 186-190.
6

7 35. Naudet-Baulieu, C.; Bartolo, N.; Orso G.; Ciuti C.; Dark Vertical Conductance of Cavity-
8 Embedded Semiconductor Heterostructures, *New J. Phys.* **2019** *21*, 093061.
9

10 36. Lee, Y.P., Rhee, J.Y., Yoo, Y.J., Kim, K.W. *Metamaterials for Perfect Absorption*, **2016**
11 Springer Series in Materials Science, Singapore (Springer Series in Materials Science, *236*,
12 Series Editors: Hull, R., Jagadish, C., Kawazoe, Y., Kruzic, J., Osgood, R.M., Parisi, J., Pohl,
13 U.W., Seong, T.-Y., Uchida, S.-i., Wang, Z.M.).
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 1. Absorption engineering in a quantum well particle (a) Single nano-absorber (two-level quantum well) interacting with incident infrared radiation. (b) The QW no longer interacts with free space directly, but is coupled to a resonant meta-atom with a coupling rate Ω_R . (c) The coupling to free space is mediated by an additional antenna element interacting with the meta-atom with a coupling rate G . In all cases σ_{abs} denotes the absorption cross-section of the electronic transition only. (d,e,f) Coupled-mode diagrams for the aforementioned cases P : electronic polarization strength, a : electric field amplitude of the LC resonator, A : electric field amplitude of the antenna. S_{in} and S_{out} are the incoming and reflected wave, respectively. The relevant coupling and loss rates are indicated.




Figure 2. Quantum well coupled to meta-atom resonator (a) Electron microscope image of an array of inductor-capacitor (LC) meta-atoms with unit cell Σ where the active region is embedded inside the capacitive elements of the meta-atoms (red squares). P_L denotes the internal perimeter of the inductive loop. (b) Room temperature (blue) and 7 K (red) reflectivity spectra of a structure with $P_L = 11 \mu\text{m}$ and $f_{LC} = 3.2 \text{ THz}$. Solid lines are experimental data, and dashed lines are obtained using CMT fits. The dotted line shows the electronic transition frequency f_p . LP: lower polariton, UP: upper polariton. The Rabi-splitting extracted from this data is $2\Omega_R = 0.8 \text{ THz}$. (c) Fraction of photons absorbed η from the CMT fit of panel (b).




Figure 3. Antenna-coupled meta-atom (a) Electron microscope image of the coupled *LC* resonator – antenna array, with unit cell Σ . The antenna resonator is formed between a top metal strip and a bottom metallic plane, that also illustrates the fundamental half wavelength mode. The spacing between two *LC* meta-atoms is given by the antenna length L_A . (b) Room temperature reflectivity spectra of an array of *LC* meta-atoms (blue solid line) and of the same array coupled with antennas with $L_A = 5 \mu\text{m}$ (dark green solid lines). The dotted curves are CMT fits. A_1 and A_2 denote the two coupled modes, for which we also provide the electric energy density obtained from finite element method simulations.




Figure 4. Fully coupled system (a) Low temperature (7 K) reflectivity spectra for antenna-coupled LC, for a fixed antenna resonance ($f_A = 4.65$ THz) and variable LC resonant frequencies. The latter are indicated by numerical values and triangles with respect to the frequency axis. Experimental data is shown in solid lines and CMT fits in dotted lines. Right panel: photon absorption efficiency $\sigma = \eta_{\text{abs}} / \Sigma$ from eq.3 for each spectrum. (b) Low temperature (7 K) reflectivity spectra in the case where LC and QW are nearly resonant at $f_{LC} \sim f_P = 3.3$ THz, and the antenna frequency f_A varied. The latter is indicated by numerical values and dots with respect to the frequency axis. Experimental data is shown in solid lines and CMT fits in dotted lines; the corresponding spectra of $\sigma = \eta_{\text{abs}} / \Sigma$ are indicated in the right panel.




Figure 5. Absorption engineering from experiments. Fraction of absorbed photons for the three systems considered in Figure 1. The “QW alone” is modelled as a Lorentzian shape with full width at half maximum $2\gamma_p=0.4$ THz and peak value $\eta_0 = \sigma_{\text{abs}}/(3\lambda^2/8\pi\epsilon) = 6 \times 10^{-4}$. The “QW+LC” curve corresponds to Figure 2c, and the “QW+LC+Antenna” curve corresponds to the highest values derived from the measurements in Figure 4b ($f_A = 3.9$ THz).