



HAL
open science

Aluminum incorporation into magnesium silicate hydrate (M-S-H)

E. Bernard, B. Lothenbach, C. Cau-Dit-Coumes, I. Pochard, D. Rentsch

► **To cite this version:**

E. Bernard, B. Lothenbach, C. Cau-Dit-Coumes, I. Pochard, D. Rentsch. Aluminum incorporation into magnesium silicate hydrate (M-S-H). *Cement and Concrete Research*, 2020, 128, pp.105931. 10.1016/j.cemconres.2019.105931 . hal-02733444

HAL Id: hal-02733444

<https://hal.science/hal-02733444v1>

Submitted on 5 Dec 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

This document is the accepted manuscript version of the following article:

Bernard, E., Lothenbach, B., Cau-Dit-Coumes, C., Pochard, I., & Rentsch, D. (2020). Aluminum incorporation into magnesium silicate hydrate (M-S-H). *Cement and Concrete Research*, 128, 105931 (15 pp.). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2019.105931>

This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

1 **Aluminum incorporation into magnesium silicate hydrate (M-S-H)**

2 Bernard, E.^{1,2}, Lothenbach, B.¹, Cau-Dit-Coumes C.³, Pochard, I.⁴, Rentsch, D.⁵

3 ¹) Empa, Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology, Laboratory for Concrete &
4 Construction Chemistry, 8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland

5 ²) University of Bern, Institute of Geological Sciences, RWI Group, 3012 Bern, Switzerland

6 ³) CEA, DEN, DE2D, SEAD, 30207 Bagnols-sur-Cèze cedex, France

7 ⁴) UTINAM UMR 6213 CNRS, Université Bourgogne-Franche-Comté, 25030 Besançon, France

8 ⁵) Empa, Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology, Laboratory for Functional
9 Polymers, 8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland

10

11 Corresponding author: Bernard E., email: ellina.bernard@geo.unibe.ch

12 **Abstract**

13 The incorporation of aluminum in magnesium silicate hydrate (M-S-H) phases was investigated.
14 Magnesium (alumino) silicate hydrate (M-(A-)S-H) with Mg/Si ratios equal to 1.1 or 1.7 and Al/Si ranging
15 from 0 to 0.2 were synthesized in batch experiments and equilibrated at 20, 50 and 70°C. pH values
16 between 9 and 10.5 were observed and aluminum up to **Al/Si ~ 0.15-0.18** was incorporated in M-(A-)S-
17 H. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), X-ray diffraction (XRD), X-ray pair distribution function (PDF)
18 analysis, TEM, ²⁹Si and ²⁷Al MAS NMR data showed that the M-(A-)S-H phases formed were similar to
19 M-S-H with limited coherent size and a comparable polymerization degree of the tetrahedral silicates.
20 Aluminum was incorporated in both tetrahedral and octahedral sites of M-S-H, while no aluminum was
21 present as exchangeable cation on the surface sites.


22 **1. Introduction**

23 The formation of magnesium silicates hydrate (M-S-H) has been observed at the interfacial zone of
24 cement-based materials in contact with clays [1-5] and/or as secondary products from the degradation of

25 cementitious materials by groundwater or seawater [6-8]. Magnesium silicate hydrates (M-S-H) form from
26 the reaction of magnesium with amorphous silica released by the degradation of C-S-H at the surface of
27 the hydrated cement [9]. SEM/EDS data indicate that aluminum could also be present either in the
28 magnesium silicate phases [2, 4, 8] and/or in poorly crystallized hydrotalcite [1]. It remains however
29 unclear whether aluminum is present in the magnesium silicate phases [1-8] or is found because of the
30 intermixing with hydrotalcite-like phases, as often observed for hydrotalcite and C-S-H in hydrated
31 cement pastes [10-12].

32 M-S-H phases have an ill-defined structure comparable to hydrated precursors of 2:1 and 1:1
33 phyllosilicates [4, 13-15]. The magnesium phyllosilicates and M-S-H are composed of tetrahedral sheets
34 containing mainly Si^{4+} and octahedral sheets containing mainly Mg^{2+} . One tetrahedral layer over one
35 octahedral layer corresponds to a 1:1 layer silicate structure while two tetrahedral layers sandwiching an
36 octahedral layer correspond to a 2:1 configuration as detailed in Figure 1. Natural phyllosilicates usually
37 contain aluminum in the tetrahedral and/or octahedral sheets. Saponite and montmorillonite are typical
38 trioctahedral and dioctahedral smectites and are both mainly composed of magnesium, silicate and
39 aluminum [16-19]. A negative surface charge originating from the partial substitution of Si^{4+} by Al^{3+} is
40 typical for smectites. In the case of saponite, its negative charge is mainly due to substitutions in the
41 tetrahedral sheets and its simplified composition can be expressed as $(\text{Ca}_{0.5}\text{Mg}_{0.5}\text{Na}\cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O})_x$
42 $\text{Mg}_3[(\text{Si},\text{Al})_4\text{O}_{10}] (\text{OH})_2\cdot m\text{H}_2\text{O}$. In montmorillonite, a part of the Mg^{2+} of octahedral sites can be
43 substituted by Al^{3+} : $(\text{NaCa}_{0.5}\cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O})_x(\text{Al},\text{Mg},\square)_2[\text{Si}_4\text{O}_{10}](\text{OH})_2\cdot m\text{H}_2\text{O}$, where \square is a vacant site to
44 compensate the surplus of positive charge due to the substitution of Mg^{2+} by Al^{3+} in the octahedral layers.
45 Therefore, only two thirds of the octahedral sites are filled. Vermiculite contains aluminum in both
46 octahedral and tetrahedral layers: $(\text{Ca}_{0.5}\text{Mg}_{0.5}\text{Na}\cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O})_x (\text{Mg},\text{Al},\square)_3[(\text{Al},\text{Si})_4\text{O}_{10}](\text{OH})_2\cdot m\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (where \square
47 is a vacant site). The uptake of calcium or alkalis by M-S-H to balance the negative surface charges of the

48 silicate layers has already been reported [20, 21]. Magnesium silicate hydrate phases may thus have a
 49 tendency to incorporate aluminum.



50
 51 *Figure 1: Schematic sketch of phyllosilicate structure.*

52 In this work, the incorporation of aluminum in the M-S-H phases was investigated experimentally at
 53 different temperatures (20, 50 and 70°C) to study the stability of M-A-S-H phases. M-A-S-H phases with
 54 Mg/Si ratios of ~1.1 or 1.7 and various Al/Si ratios (Al/Si = 0, 0.05, 0.10, 0.15, and 0.20) were synthesized
 55 in batch experiments by co-precipitation. The aqueous phases were analyzed by ion chromatography and
 56 pH measurements and the solid phases by thermogravimetric analysis, X-ray diffraction, X-ray pair
 57 distribution function (PDF) analysis, TEM, ^{29}Si and ^{27}Al MAS NMR spectroscopy. The surface charge
 58 properties were analyzed by acoustophoresis measurements in the aqueous phases and cation exchange
 59 capacities determinations on the solids. These experiments were supported by thermodynamic calculations
 60 to better understand the MgO-Al₂O₃-SiO₂-H₂O system.

61

2. Materials & methods

62

2.1. Reagents and synthesis of M-S-H and M-A-S-H phases

63

Magnesium oxide (Merck, pro analysis, $0.18 \pm 0.02 \text{ wt.} \% \text{ Na}_2\text{O}$, specific surface area of $24 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ [22]) and

64

silica fume (SiO_2 , Aerosil 200, $0.9 \text{ wt.} \% \text{ HCl}$, specific surface area of $200 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$) were chosen as starting

65

materials for the M-S-H synthesis as detailed in [22].

66

Metakaolin ($\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 2\text{SiO}_2$, ARGICAL-M 1200S, purity 93.8%, specific surface area of $19 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$) was added to

67

SiO_2 and MgO to synthesize M-A-S-H samples. The XRD pattern and the ^{29}Si MAS NMR spectrum of the

68

metakaolin powder are shown in Figure 2. This product is mainly amorphous, with small amounts of anatase,

69


quartz, muscovite and kaolinite. The starting mixes of the M-A-S-H samples were prepared with Mg/Si ratios

70

of 1.1 or 1.7, and Al/Si of 0.05, 0.10, 0.15 or 0.20 at liquid/solid equal to 45 with addition of milliQ water

71

according to the amounts of MgO, SiO_2 and metakaolin summarized in Table 1.



72

73

Figure 2 : a) XRD pattern and b) ^{29}Si MAS NMR spectrum of metakaolin ARGICAL-M 1200S.

74

75
76

Table 1: Amounts and compositions of starting materials used for the preparation of M-A-S-H=MgO + SiO₂ + metakaolin.

| theoretical Mg/Si Al/Si | M-A-S-H | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | 1.1 | | | | 1.7 | | | |
| | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.20 |
| MgO (g) | 2.09 | 2.04 | 1.99 | 1.95 | 2.59 | 2.54 | 2.49 | 2.45 |
| SiO ₂ (g) | 2.65 | 2.46 | 2.27 | 2.09 | 2.20 | 2.04 | 1.89 | 1.75 |
| Al ₂ O ₃ ·2SiO ₂ (g) | 0.26 | 0.50 | 0.74 | 0.96 | 0.21 | 0.42 | 0.62 | 0.81 |

77

78 The suspensions were equilibrated at different temperatures (20, 50 and 70°C) and for different times (up
79 to 1 or 2 years) for kinetics and thermodynamic investigations. For M-SH, similar investigations have
80 shown that increasing the temperature does not change the type of M-S-H precipitated, but strongly
81 increases its rate of formation [22]. Therefore, in the current paper, we focused on solid analysis of samples
82 equilibrated for 1 year at 50°C. The solid and liquid phases were separated by filtration under pressure
83 (4-5 bar N₂) using nylon filters (0.45 µm). Following the filtration, the solids were washed with 50/50
84 (volume) water-ethanol and subsequently with 94 wt% ethanol to remove dissolved ions and to prevent
85 the precipitation of salts during drying [23]. The samples were freeze-dried with liquid nitrogen (for
86 approximately 20 min at -196°C) and kept at -40°C under vacuum (pressure of 0.28 mbar) for 7 days.
87 The solid phases were analyzed after further equilibration in N₂-filled desiccators at a relative humidity
88 of ~34% (saturated CaCl₂ solution) for a period of 14 days or longer to remove free water while keeping
89 physically bound water [15]. After drying, the samples were gently ground by hand and then stored again
90 in N₂-filled desiccators at a relative humidity of ~34%.

91 Pure M-S-H 1.6 was synthesized and analyzed for comparison. Previously published data on M-S-H
92 samples [15, 24] (especially M-S-H 1.1) were also added to the manuscript.

93

94 2.2. Analytical techniques

95 The composition of the liquid phase was analysed by ion chromatography (IC) immediately after filtration.
96 The dissolved concentrations of magnesium, calcium, sodium, potassium, chloride and sulphate in
97 undiluted solutions or in solutions diluted by a factor 10, 100 or 1000 were quantified using a Dionex DP
98 series ICS-3000 ion chromatography system with a measurement error $\leq 10\%$. Silicon concentrations were
99 analyzed using sodium carbonate/bicarbonate eluent and sodium molybdate, and sodium lauryl sulfate in
100 methanesulfonic acid as a post-column reagent using an ion pack AS22 column. Al concentrations were
101 determined using a CS5A Dionex IonPac column with HCl diluted eluent with a post column reagent
102 (ammonium acetate).

103 All concentrations were determined as duplicates and the mean values are given in the following. The pH
104 values (accuracy = ± 0.1 pH unit) were measured at ambient temperature ($23 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$) in an aliquot of
105 unfiltered suspension and the results were corrected to 20, 50°C or 70°C , respectively [22]. The
106 composition of the aqueous phase composition did not change significantly during the 30 minutes
107 necessary to cool down the solutions from 50°C to ambient temperature [22].

108 **Conventional** XRD data were collected using a PANalytical X'Pert Pro MPD diffractometer equipped
109 with a rotating sample stage in a θ - 2θ configuration applying $\text{CuK}\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda=1.54 \text{ \AA}$) at 45mV
110 voltage and 40 mA intensity with step size of $0.017^\circ 2\theta$ and a step measurement time of 460 s, with a
111 fixed divergence slit size and an anti-scattering slit on the incident beam of 0.5° and 1° . The samples were
112 scanned between 5° and $75^\circ 2\theta$ with a X'Celerator detector.

113 Atomic Pair Distribution function (PDF) analysis was also performed to get information on the local
114 structure of the M-A-S-H phases. This technique uses the entire XRD signal, including the Bragg peaks
115 and diffuse scattering. The reduced PDF function, also known as $G(r)$, shows the probability of finding

116 pairs of atoms separated by a distance r within a compound, regardless of its crystalline state. PDF analysis
117 is thus well adapted to characterize minerals with short coherent lengths such as C-S-H, geopolymers or
118 aluminum hydroxide gel for instance [25-27]. $G(r)$ is obtained by taking a sine Fourier transform of the
119 measured total scattering function $S(Q)$, as shown in equation (1), where Q is the momentum transfer
120 given in equation (2) with θ as the scattering angle and λ as the wavelength of the incident radiation [28].

$$121 \quad G(r) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{Q_{min}}^{Q_{max}} Q[S(Q) - 1] \sin(Qr) dQ \quad (1)$$

$$122 \quad Q = \frac{4\pi \sin\theta}{\lambda} \quad (2)$$

123 To enhance resolution after the Fourier transformation, it is important to record diffraction data with a
124 high momentum transfer (Q). We therefore measured again the XRD patterns of selected samples using
125 an X'Celerator Panalytical diffractometer equipped with a Mo source ($\lambda_{k\alpha} = 0.70926\text{\AA}$). The powder
126 diffraction pattern was scanned over the 6.004-153.932° angular range with a step size of 0.0083°. Total
127 acquisition was the average of 2 runs recorded over 24 hours each. The PDF was calculated using the
128 PDFGetX3 software package [29]. Under our experimental conditions, the Q_{max} value was 17.3 \AA^{-1} .
129 However, the reduced structure function $F(Q) = Q[S(Q)-1]$ exhibited strong noise at high Q values. The
130 Fourier transform was thus calculated with Q_{max} set at 10.9 \AA^{-1} . Using a finite value of Q led to the addition
131 of spurious oscillations to $G(r)$ depending on the r distance, which were reduced using a Lorch function
132 [30, 31].

133 The ^{29}Si MAS NMR single pulse experiments were recorded on a Bruker Avance III NMR spectrometer
134 using a 7 mm CP/MAS probe at 79.5 MHz applying the following parameters: 4500 Hz sample rotation
135 rate, minimum of 3072 scans, 30° ^{29}Si pulse of 2.5 μs , 20 s relaxation delays, RF field strength of 33.3
136 kHz during SPINAL64 proton decoupling.

137 The ^{29}Si NMR chemical shifts were referenced to the most intense resonance at -2.3 ppm of an external
138 sample of an octamethylsilsesquioxane (CAS Number 17865-85-9) which was referenced to
139 tetramethylsilane (TMS, $\delta^{29}\text{Si} = 0.0$ ppm). The observed ^{29}Si NMR signals were analysed using the Q^n
140 classification, where a Si tetrahedron is connected to n Si tetrahedrons with n varying from 0 to 4. Severe
141 overlap of numerous broad signals precluded the quantification of the different sites of M-A-S-H. The
142 presence of residual unreacted metakaolin, characterized by a main resonance at -100 ppm (Figure 2b),
143 could not be excluded, but this phase was not quantifiable by ^{29}Si NMR. As for amorphous silica
144 (responsible for a resonance peak at 110 ppm), its presence was suspected in some of the samples only
145 (Table 3). However, the T_1 relaxation time of silica fume can be very long and the amount of silica fume
146 might be underestimated given our experimental conditions. Thus, this phase was not quantified [32].

147 The ^{27}Al NMR spectra were measured using a 2.5 mm CP/MAS probe on the same instrument. The ^{27}Al
148 MAS NMR single pulse experiments were recorded at 104.3 MHz applying the following parameters:
149 25'000 Hz sample rotation rate, between 2000 and 4000 scans depending on the content of aluminum in
150 the samples, $\pi/12$ pulses of 1.5 μs , 0.5 s relaxation delays, no ^1H decoupling. The chemical shifts of the
151 ^{27}Al MAS NMR spectra were referenced to an external sample of $\text{Al}(\text{acac})_3$. The ^{27}Al MAS NMR spectra
152 were analyzed by the line shape fitting "DMFIT" software [33]. Generally the fitting of the octahedral
153 sites was performed using i) a Lorentzian shape at 8.8 ppm (line widths of ca. 800Hz) and ii) a quadrupolar
154 broadened shape using the "Czjzek simple" [34] model starting with the parameters: chemical shift = 11.5
155 ppm, FWHM CS = 2.2 ppm (full width at half maximum of the isotropic chemical shift Gaussian
156 distribution), CQ = 4.2 MHz (peak value of the quadrupolar coupling of the Czjzek/GIM distribution) and
157 $d = 5$ (exponent of the Czjzek distribution). The signals at the tetrahedral Al sites of the M-A-S-H phases
158 were also fitted with the "Czjzek simple" model (chemical shift 68.8 ppm, FWHM CS = 7.6 ppm, CQ =
159 4.0 MHz and $d = 5$).

160 The composition of the samples is given in Table 4 and the presence of possible additional phases has
161 been investigated using the following methods: ^{29}Si MAS-NMR and ^{27}Al MAS-NMR for unreacted
162 metakaolin (< 4 wt.%), ^{29}Si MAS-NMR for amorphous silica and hydrotalcite-like phases, TGA and XRD
163 for $\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2$ and $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$.

164 TEM characterizations were carried out on a JEOL JEM 2100F microscope operating at 200 kV and fitted
165 out with a Bruker XFlash 5030 for EDS analysis. A few milligrams of powder were vigorously mixed
166 with a few milliliters of pure ethanol in a mortar with a pestle for less than one minute. A TEM carbon-
167 covered copper grid held with tweezers was then dipped just below the ethanol surface to collect
168 suspended particles on it. The grid was then inserted in the TEM chamber and the vacuum was recovered
169 after about 15 min.

170 For the zeta potential evaluations, the experiments were carried out with non-filtered samples using
171 concentrations of 20 g of solid per liter. The suspensions were stirred in a beaker at 500 rpm during 10
172 min to reach a stable value before the measurement of the electrophoretic mobility. During the
173 measurements, stirring was set to 400 rpm and each measurement was repeated 10 times. Electrophoretic
174 mobility data were recorded with a ZetaProbe from Colloidal Dynamics Inc., which is based on the
175 frequency-dependent electroacoustic effect. Shortly, an alternating voltage is applied to the suspension
176 which causes charged particles to move back and forth at a mobility that depends on their zeta potential.
177 The software calculates the zeta potential from the frequency-dependent mobility using the O'Brien
178 equation [35]. Finally, the values obtained for each sample were background corrected with a
179 measurement of the filtrated aqueous phase.

180 Cation exchange capacities (CEC) were measured on 100 mg of powder. The cations on the surface and/or
181 from the interlayer were exchanged with cobalt hexamine trichloride (sigma-aldrich, assay: 99%) during

182 30 min at room temperature [1] using a solution/solid mass ratio of 30/1. The suspensions were filtered
183 and the concentrations of Na, K, Ca, Mg, Al cations in solution were determined by ion chromatography
184 (IC) as detailed above. The sum of measured cations was compared to the total CEC which was obtained
185 from the difference in the cobalt hexamine concentration from the original solution and from the leachate.
186 These concentrations were determined by colorimetry (absorption band at 473 nm) using a UNI-CAM
187 UV visible spectrometer. The good agreement between the total CEC and the CEC calculated from the
188 determined amounts of cations showed that dissolution of M-S-H was negligible.

189 2.3. Saturation indices

190 The calculations of the saturation indices were carried out using the Gibbs free energy minimization
191 (GEMS) program [36]. GEMS is a broad-purpose geochemical modelling code which computes
192 equilibrium phase assemblage and speciation in a complex chemical system from its total bulk elemental
193 composition. The thermodynamic data for aqueous species and for brucite ($\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2$) were taken from
194 the GEMS version of the PSI/Nagra thermodynamic database [37], which was completed with data
195 relative to the M-S-H solid solution, amorphous SiO_2 [22], hydrotalcite (OH-hydrotalcite [Ht 2:1 :
196 $\text{Mg}_4\text{Al}_2(\text{OH})_{14}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3$] [38], zeolites [39], saponite, vermiculite and montmorillonite [40] (Table 2).

197 As discussed in [41], amorphous or poorly ordered $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$ easily precipitates in calcium sulfoaluminate
198 cement system and a solubility product of approximately 0 ± 0.2 is initially calculated. However, the
199 degree of ordering increases with time, and better crystalline $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$ forms, while the solubility product
200 decreases to -0.67 after 2 years, still far from the stability of the very well crystalline gibbsite. Therefore,
201 the long curing time of our experiments (years compared to days) indicates that if aluminium hydroxide
202 forms, a semi-amorphous or microcrystalline $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$ is expected rather than a fully amorphous phase.

203 Consequently, the solubility product of microcrystalline aluminum hydroxide (microcrystalline Al(OH)₃)
 204 [42] was used in our study.

205 The saturation indices (SI) of the different solids were calculated based on the measured concentrations
 206 in solution according to equation (3):

$$207 \quad SI = \log \frac{IAP}{K_{SO}} \quad (3)$$

208 where IAP is the ion activity product calculated from the measured concentrations in solution, and K_{so} is
 209 the theoretical solubility product of the solid (Table 2).

210 *Table 2: Standard thermodynamic properties and molar volumes of the phases considered in the study at 25 °C.*

| | * | LogK ₅₀ ^a | Δ _r G° (Gibbs free energy of reaction) [kJ/mol] | V° (molar volume) [cm ³ /mol] | Ref. |
|--|---|---------------------------------|---|--|------|
| <i>M-S-H (solid-solution including Ca)</i> | | | | | |
| Mg/Si = 0.78 | (MgO) _{0.78} (SiO ₂) ₁ (H ₂ O) _{1.48} | -14.59 | -1682.18 | 57 | [22] |
| Mg/Si=1.30 | (MgO) _{1.30} (SiO ₂) ₁ (H ₂ O) _{1.80} | -21.44 | -2073.47 | 71 | [22] |
| Mg/Si = 0.68 Ca/Si = 0.10 | (MgO) _{0.68} (CaO) _{0.10} (SiO ₂) ₁ (H ₂ O) _{1.48} | -14.42 | -1689.70 | 57 | [20] |
| Mg/Si = 1.20 Ca/Si = 0.10 | (MgO) _{1.20} (CaO) _{0.10} (SiO ₂) ₁ (H ₂ O) _{1.80} | -21.57 | -2082.02 | 73 | [20] |
| Brucite | Mg(OH) ₂ | -11.16 | -832.23 | 24.6 | [37] |
| OH-hydrotalcite 2:1 | Mg ₄ Al ₂ (OH) ₁₄ (H ₂ O) ₃ | -49.7 | -6358.49 | 21.9 | [38] |
| Microcrys. Al(OH) ₃ | Al(OH) ₃ | -0.67 | -1265.28 | 31.95 | [42] |
| SiO _{2,amorphous} | SiO ₂ | -2.9 | -849.96 | 29 | [22] |
| Montmorillonite(Mg) | Mg _{0.17} Mg _{0.34} Al _{1.66} Si ₄ O ₁₀ (OH) ₂ | | -5309.00 | 131.6 | [40] |
| Saponite (Mg) | Mg _{0.17} Mg ₃ Al _{0.34} Si _{3.66} O ₁₀ (OH) ₂ | | -5610.70 | 138.6 | [40] |
| Vermiculite (Mg) | Mg _{0.43} Mg _{3.00} Si _{3.14} Al _{0.86} O ₁₀ (OH) ₂ | | -5742.33 | 139.6 | [40] |

211 ^a All solubility products refer to the solubility with respect to the species Mg²⁺, Al(OH)₄⁻, Si(OH)₄⁰, OH⁻, or H₂O
 212

213 3. Results

214 The effect of aluminum was studied with Al/Si ratios from 0 to 0.20 at two different Mg/Si ratios: Mg/Si
215 = 1.1 and Mg/Si = 1.7. Analyses were carried out on samples cured at 20°C for 2 years and at 50°C for 1
216 year. The composition of all samples is shown in Table 3, based on TGA, XRD, ²⁹Si and ²⁷Al NMR data.
217 The solid analysis presented in the next section is focused on samples cured at 50°C for 1 year.

218 3.1. M-A-S-H 1.1

219 To mimic the pH range above 9 observed at the interface between cement and clays [1, 2] and to avoid
220 the presence of unreacted silica or brucite, an intermediate Mg/Si ratio of ~1.1 was first investigated with
221 variable amounts of aluminum (Al/Si 0.00 to 0.20).



222 The addition of a small amount of metakaolin (Al₂O₃·2SiO₂) to magnesium oxide (MgO) and silica fume
223 (SiO₂) led to the formation of magnesium silicate hydrates phases as the main product. Only little
224 difference was observed between the TG analyses, XRD patterns and ²⁹Si MAS NMR spectra of M-(A-
225)S-H samples (Al/Si equal to 0, 0.05, 0.10 and 0.15) compared to pure M-S-H phases after 1 year of
226 equilibration at 50°C, as shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4 for Al/Si = 0 and 0.10. The XRD pattern (Figure
227 3a) of the M-A-S-H 1.1 0.1 sample was similar to that of Al-free M-S-H: both compounds were poorly
228 crystallized with broad reflections at 19.7, 26.7, 35.0, and 59.9 °2θ [13]. In addition, small amounts of
229 unreacted quartz and anatase (TiO₂) already present in the metakaolin starting material (see Figure 2) were
230 detected in sample M-A-S-H 1.1. The TGA curves (Figure 3b and Supplementary Information, Figure S1)
231 of M-A-S-H 1.1 showed the presence of physically bound water (weight loss from 30-250°C) and
232 structurally bound water i.e. hydroxyl groups (250-800°C) [13, 43, 44], as for M-S-H. The first water loss
233 of M-A-S-H associated to physically bound water is slightly higher than in the M-S-H samples. This might
234 be due i) to different relative humidities during the drying, storage or characterization processes, ii) to a
235 true increase in the physically bound water content of M-A-S-H and/or iii) to the presence of amorphous

236 aluminum hydroxide gels [26]. This last hypothesis seems rather unlikely given the age of the samples
237 (over 1 year at 50°C and 2 years at 20°C). However, the M-A-S-H 1.1 and 1.7 (see below) samples with
238 a high alumina content possibly contained traces of semi-crystalline aluminum hydroxide possible
239 responsible for a small water loss around 250-300°C (Supplementary Information, Figure S1). The ²⁹Si
240 MAS NMR spectra of M-S-H and M-A-S-H both exhibited resonances at c.a. -85 ppm and between -92
241 and -97 ppm, assigned to Q² and Q³, respectively (Figure 4), thus indicating comparable silica layer
242 structures [13, 45]. However, the peak area ratios between the two compounds seemed to vary (for further
243 discussion, see below). Some unreacted metakaolin could be detected with a shoulder at -100 ppm.
244 However, quantification was very difficult due to strong overlapping.

245


246

247



248
249
250

Figure 3: a) XRD patterns and b) TGA data with assignments of chemical species of M-A-S-H samples with initial $Mg/Si=1.1-1-7$ and $Al/Si = 0.1$ compared to pure M-S-H. All samples were cured 1 year at 50°C.



251
 252 *Figure 4: ^{29}Si MAS NMR spectra with assignments of Q^n environments of the M-(A-)S-H samples, $\text{Mg}/\text{Si}=1.1$ and*
 253 *$\text{Al}/\text{Si}=0.05-0.20$ compared to M-S-H (all samples cured for 1 year at 50°C).*

254
 255 After 1 year, no **clear presence of** brucite, aluminum hydroxide, hydrotalcite nor unreacted silica were
 256 observed in the samples synthesized at 50°C for Al/Si ratios from 0 to 0.20, as summarized in Table 3.
 257 Similar observations were made for samples synthesized and cured over 2 years at 20°C . Some unreacted
 258 silica was pointed out by ^{29}Si MAS NMR only in the sample with the highest amount of aluminum
 259 (Al/Si=0.2) (^{29}Si MAS NMR spectra shown in **Supplementary Information, Figure S5 and** summarized in
 260 Table 3). By TGA and XRD, a small amount of brucite was also detected in this sample (Table 3).
 261 However, in samples equilibrated at 50 and 70°C (Table 3), no silica, brucite, nor hydrotalcite were
 262 identified. This shows that the samples at 20°C were not completely at equilibrium even after 2 years of
 263 storage, as previously observed for pure M-S-H [22], while the faster kinetic at 50°C and 70°C allowed
 264 the complete reaction of silica with brucite.

265

266
267
268

Table 3: Identification of hydrotalcite, brucite and unreacted silica in the solid composition of M-(A-)S-H samples, concentrations of dissolved species, pH values of equilibrated solutions (errors: calculated Mg/Si±0.1, brucite ±2 wt%, pH ±0.1, concentrations: ±10%).

| | Initial Mg/Si - Al/Si | Calculated ^a Mg/Si | Age (y) | Hydrotal. ^b | Brucite Mg(OH) ₂ | Amorphous silica | Metakaolin | Amorphous Al(OH) ₃ ^b | pH (20°C) | [Mg] mmol/l | [Si] | [Al] | | |
|------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|------------|--|-----------|-------------|-------|---------|---------|---------|
| 20°C | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1 | | | | | | 9.9 | 0.13 | 0.004 | --- | | |
| | | 1.1 | 2 | | | | | | 9.8 | 0.15 | 0.008 | --- | | |
| | 1.1 - 0.05 | 1.1 | 1 | | | | Tr | Tr | 9.9 | 0.29 | 0.01 | <0.0001 | | |
| | | 1.1 | 2 | | | | Tr | Tr | 9.8 | 0.35 | 0.01 | 0.0005 | | |
| | 1.1 - 0.10 | 1.1 | 1 | | | | Tr | Tr | 10.0 | 0.18 | 0.01 | <0.0001 | | |
| | | 1.1 | 2 | | | | Tr | Tr | 9.8 | 0.41 | 0.01 | 0.0002 | | |
| | 1.1 - 0.15 | 1.1 | 1 | | | ✓ | | Tr | 10.0 | 0.37 | 0.02 | <0.0001 | | |
| | | 1.1 | 2 | | | | | Tr | 9.9 | 0.27 | 0.01 | 0.0003 | | |
| | 1.1 - 0.20 | n.d. | 1 | | | ✓ | ✓ | Tr | Tr | 9.5 | 0.02 | 0.29 | 0.0031 | |
| | | 1.2 | 2 | | | ✓ | ✓ | Tr | Tr | 9.5 | 0.60 | 0.30 | 0.0005 | |
| | 50°C | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1 | | ✓ | | | | 10.5 | 0.12 | 0.003 | --- | |
| | | | 1.4 | 2 | | ✓ (18wt%) | | | | 10.5 | 0.12 | 0.001 | --- | |
| | | 1.7 - 0.05 | 1.4 | 1 | | | ✓ | | Tr | Tr | 10.4 | 0.09 | 0.003 | 0.0007 |
| | | | 1.4 | 2 | | | ✓ (20wt%) | | Tr | Tr | 10.5 | 0.20 | 0.002 | 0.0004 |
| 1.7 - 0.10 | | 1.4 | 1 | | | ✓ | | Tr | Tr | 10.5 | 0.07 | 0.005 | 0.0007 | |
| | | 1.4 | 2 | | | ✓ (20wt%) | | Tr | Tr | 10.5 | 0.18 | 0.002 | 0.0004 | |
| 1.7 - 0.15 | | 1.4 | 1 | | | ✓ | | Tr | Tr | 10.3 | 0.13 | 0.013 | 0.0017 | |
| | | 1.4 | 2 | | | ✓ (20wt%) | | Tr | Tr | 10.5 | 0.11 | 0.003 | 0.0005 | |
| 1.7 - 0.20 | | n.d. | 1 | | | ✓ | ✓ | Tr | Tr | 9.6 | 0.12 | 0.384 | 0.0117 | |
| | | 1.4 | 2 | | Tr. | ✓ (20wt%) | | Tr | Tr | 10.1 | 0.29 | 0.038 | 0.0005 | |
| 70°C | | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1 | | | | | | 9.3 | 0.43 | 0.04 | --- | |
| | | | 1.1 - 0.05 | 1.1 | 1 | | | | Tr | Tr | 9.3 | 0.36 | 0.01 | <0.0001 |
| | | | 1.1 - 0.10 | 1.1 | 1 | | | | Tr | Tr | 9.4 | 0.22 | 0.02 | <0.0001 |
| | | | 1.1 - 0.15 | 1.1 | 1 | | | | Tr | Tr | 9.4 | 0.20 | 0.02 | <0.0001 |
| | 1.1 - 0.20 | | 1.1 | 1 | | | | Tr | Tr | 9.4 | 0.15 | 0.04 | <0.0001 | |
| | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1 | | | ✓ (18wt%) | | | | 10.3 | 0.17 | 0.002 | --- | |
| | | 1.7 - 0.05 | 1.5 | 1 | | ✓ (20wt%) | | Tr | Tr | 10.3 | 0.17 | 0.001 | <0.0001 | |
| | | 1.7 - 0.10 | 1.4 | 1 | | ✓ (20wt%) | | Tr | Tr | 10.4 | 0.09 | 0.001 | <0.0001 | |
| | | 1.7 - 0.15 | 1.5 | 1 | Tr. | ✓ (20wt%) | | Tr | Tr | 10.4 | 0.06 | 0.001 | <0.0001 | |
| | | 1.7 - 0.20 | 1.5 | 1 | Tr. | ✓ (20wt%) | | Tr | Tr | 10.5 | 0.03 | 0.001 | <0.0001 | |
| | | 1.7 - 0.05 | 1.4 | 1 | | | ✓ (21wt%) | | Tr | Tr | 10.3 | 0.21 | 0.001 | <0.0001 |
| | | | 1.7 - 0.10 | 1.5 | 1 | | ✓ (21wt%) | | Tr | Tr | 10.4 | 0.14 | 0.001 | <0.0001 |
| | | | 1.7 - 0.15 | 1.5 | 1 | Tr | ✓ (22wt%) | | Tr | Tr | 10.4 | 0.10 | 0.001 | <0.0001 |
| | | | 1.7 - 0.20 | 1.5 | 1 | Tr | ✓ (23wt%) | | Tr | Tr | 10.5 | 0.07 | 0.001 | <0.0001 |

^aCalculated = Experimentally determined ratios by mass balance corrected for the amount of brucite quantified by TGA. When amorphous silica was detected by ²⁹Si MAS NMR, the ratio was not determined (n.d.)

^bTr. = traces possibly present or cannot be excluded based on TGA and XRD data (<3wt. %).


269
270
271
272

273 The high content of M-(A-)S-H phase gels, together with the trace concentrations of aluminum cations in
274 solution (see below) and the small amount of residual metakaolin (< 4 wt.%) suggested an uptake of
275 aluminum in M-S-H. The TEM observations of M-A-S-H sample with Mg/Si=1.1 and Al/Si=0.1 (1 year
276 – 50°C) and the corresponding EDS measurements (Figure 5) mainly showed the formation of gels and
277 only few analysis spots with well crystalline phases were found. The well-crystallized phases shown in
278 Figure 5f and g mainly contained aluminum and silicon and were attributed to the presence of non-reactive
279 phases such as kaolinite and muscovite present in the metakaolin starting material. The phases, which
280 formed the major part, contained mainly magnesium and silicon. The observed layered texture (Figure 5a-
281 c) was well consistent with the sheet-like morphology of pure M-S-H, confirming the similarities observed
282 by TGA and XRD between pure M-S-H and M-A-S-H gels from this synthesis.

283 The Mg/Si ratio was determined for most spots in the narrow range 1.0 to 1.3 and only a few EDS
284 measurements (Figure 5d) resulted in a slightly higher Mg/Si ratio. This higher ratio might be reached by
285 mixing very small amounts of magnesium hydroxide with M-(A-)S-H phases or, alternatively, with a
286 chlorite-like structure (T:O:T . O) whereby Mg(OH)₂-like layers (type O) are intercalated into the structure
287 of typical phyllosilicate as talc (type T:O:T), by increasing the Mg/Si ratio in the solid [46].

288 A closer look at the EDS measurements in a ternary plot (Figure 5d, e) pointed towards the presence of
289 two population measurements: one with Mg/Si ~ 1.0 ± 0.1 and Al/Si ~ 0.06, the second with Mg/Si ~ 1.3
290 ± 0.1 and less aluminum. These two types of elemental compositions also had a different morphology, as
291 evidenced by the TEM pictures corresponding to the EDS measurements (Figure 5a- c): the areas with
292 Mg/Si ~ 1.0 ± 0.1 and more aluminum consisted of thin foil-like gels (spots 2, 4-6, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19),
293 those with Mg/Si~1.3 ± 0.1 and less aluminum of thicker fibrillary particles (spots 3, 12, 16, 18, 20). Note
294 that the aluminum and magnesium content may also depend on the thickness of the particle investigated
295 (spots 1, 9, 10 and 14 are not shown as they do not belong to M-A-S-H phases). Given the limited number

296 of spots, the TEM/EDS analysis results showed rather high uncertainty. The lower Al/Si content could
297 result from non-reactive Si-Al residual phases or from the formation of small amounts of amorphous
298 Al(OH)_3 gel, which could however not be evidenced by our experimental techniques.



f) Residual silico-aluminate phase

g) Residual kaolinite crystal mixed with magnesium silicate phases

299

300

301

302

Figure 5: a, b, c) TEM images of M-A-S-H particles ($Mg/Si = 1.1$, $Al/Si = 0.1$, 1 year - 50°C). d) Al-Si-Mg ternary plots (in molar units) obtained from EDS chemical analyses with e) zoomed section; f) and g) TEM images of residual crystalline phases from metakaolin starting material.

303

304 The ^{29}Si MAS NMR spectra of the M-A-S-H 1.1 samples (Figure 4) exhibited small differences indicating
305 structural changes of the silicate layers. In the presence of aluminum, the resonances at -85 (Q^2) and at -
306 95 ppm (Q^3) were broadened and the relative signal intensity of the Q^2 resonance compared to the signals
307 assigned to Q^3 was reduced (Figure 4). The relative intensities of the resonances at ~ -82 and particularly
308 in the region of -91 ppm increased with the Al/Si ratio, showing an increase of silicate species with
309 aluminum neighbors. It is known that the replacement of silicon by aluminum induces high frequency
310 shifts of $\delta^{29}\text{Si}$ [47, 48]. Hence, the two resonances were assigned to $\text{Q}^2(\text{Al})$ at -91 ppm, i.e., silicate with
311 one aluminate and one silicate neighbor, and to $\text{Q}^3(\text{Al})$ tetrahedral silicate at -82 ppm, i.e., silicate with
312 one aluminate and two silicate neighbors, respectively. The comparison with the ^{29}Si MAS NMR spectrum
313 of saponite (data not shown) further confirmed the assignment of the signal at ~ -91 ppm to a $\text{Q}^3(\text{Al})$
314 tetrahedral silicate site [49]. The chemical shift of silicate is mainly influenced by changes in the adjacent
315 tetrahedral silicate layer (and only weakly by replacement in the octahedral magnesium layer [48, 50]).
316 The observed $\delta^{29}\text{Si}$ NMR data was thus consistent with the uptake of aluminum into the silicate sheets of
317 the M-A-S-H phase. The intensity increase of the signal at ~ -91 ppm occurred simultaneously with a
318 slight decrease of the signal intensity at -93 to -97 ppm (Q^3), showing qualitatively that the content of
319 silicate next to aluminum tends to increase for samples with higher aluminum contents.

320 ^{27}Al MAS NMR spectra of M-A-S-H 1.1 (1 year - 50 °C) with different Al-contents and of the raw
321 metakaolin starting material are shown in Figure 6. The spectra show that a large fraction of metakaolin
322 was consumed to form the M-A-S-H phases. The ^{27}Al MAS NMR data of M-A-S-H showed the presence
323 of large quantities of VI-fold coordinated aluminum with the signal observed at 0-20 ppm assigned to
324 octahedrally coordinated Al(VI) environment [48, 51] and possible V-fold coordinated aluminum around
325 30 ppm in low quantities due to some traces of unreacted metakaolin (< 5 wt.%) or possibly due to little
326 content V-fold coordinated aluminum in the M-A-S-H phase.


327 The line shape of the Al(VI) resonance was fitted by two Al(VI) sites: A Lorentzian signal centered
328 at ~9 ppm which apparently showed a very symmetric shape and an additional, broad and asymmetric
329 signal at ~11 ppm, which was simulated applying the “Czjzek simple” model of the “DMFIT” software
330 (for details on the fitting process see section “2. Materials & methods”) [34]. The quadrupolar broadened
331 shape of this 2nd resonance (isotropic ²⁷Al NMR chemical shift at ~11 ppm) is related to a poor ordering
332 of the layers [52, 53].

333 The Al(VI) resonance in clay mineral normally occurs at 0 - 10 ppm, depending on the structure of the
334 octahedral sheets. In dioctahedral minerals, in which only 2 out of 3 octahedral sites are occupied, Al(VI)
335 is close to 0 ppm, while in trioctahedral phyllosilicates, where all octahedral positions are filled, Al(VI)
336 occurs at 5-10 ppm [48]. In several silicate-free phases, however, the Al(VI) resonance is also found at
337 almost the same chemical shift of ~ 9-11 ppm: In hydrotalcite-like phases, a symmetrical Al(VI) signal
338 is observed [54] and for poorly ordered aluminum hydroxide an asymmetrical signal is expected [26]. ²⁷Al
339 MAS NMR spectra of reference samples (hydrotalcite and micro-crystalline aluminum hydroxide) are
340 presented in the supplementary information, Figure S2.


341 The broad Al(VI) resonance at 0-20 ppm observed in the ²⁷Al MAS-NMR spectra of the M-A-S-H phases
342 (Figure 6) could unfortunately not help to capture the possible trioctahedral or dioctahedral character of
343 the non-silicate phases nor to fully clarify the total absence of non-silicate phases. The presence of
344 hydrotalcite or aluminum hydroxide, however, seems rather unlikely as:

- 345 - these two phases could not be evidenced by TGA and XRD,
- 346 - the solutions were undersaturated with respect to both solids (as discussed below).


347 Therefore, the two fitted ²⁷Al NMR resonances in the current work at $\delta^{27}\text{Al(VI)} \sim 10\text{-}11$ ppm were
348 assigned to aluminum in the octahedral magnesium oxide sheet of M-(A-)S-H phases.



349



350



351

352

353 *Figure 6: a) ^{27}Al MAS NMR spectra of M-A-S-H phases (1 year - 50°C), Mg/Si=1.1 and Al/Si=0.05-0.20 compared to raw*
 354 *metakaolin; b) example of the deconvolution (sample with Mg/Si = 1.1 and Al/Si=0.15) of the indicated single sites*
 355 *(Table 4), c) ^{27}Al MAS NMR spectra of M-A-S-H phases, Mg/Si=1.7 and Al/Si=0.05-0.20.*

356

357 The broad resonances with signal maxima at ~ 62 ppm in the ^{27}Al NMR spectra (Figure 6) confirmed
 358 the presence of tetrahedrally coordinated aluminum, as e.g. observed in saponite reference material ([52],
 359 data not shown). The Al(IV) signal appeared with a broad and asymmetric shape for all M-A-S-H phases
 360 and could again indicate the presence of one (or more) poorly ordered aluminum sites in the tetrahedral
 361 silicate layers [52]. We were able to simulate the tetrahedral part of all ^{27}Al MAS NMR spectra of the M-
 362 A-S-H phases by applying only one signal of the “Czjzek simple” shape and subsequently evaluated the
 363 distribution of aluminum Al(VI) and Al(IV) for all phases [33]. The most important parameters for the

364 deconvolutions of ^{27}Al NMR data for samples aged 2 years at 20°C and 1 year at 50°C as well as the
 365 evaluated Al(VI)/Al(IV) ratios are summarized in Table 4.

366 The ^{27}Al MAS NMR spectra of M-A-S-H 1.1 phases showed a fairly similar distribution of the
 367 aluminum sites in all samples: approximately 60% of the aluminum at Al(VI) sites and the remaining 40%
 368 at Al(IV) sites. This approximately 60/40% distribution of aluminum in the M-A-S-H 1.1 indicates a
 369 comparable uptake of aluminum in the octahedral magnesium oxide and tetrahedral silicate layer and thus
 370 implies no or only minor changes in the total net surface charge compared to pure M-S-H. Only negligible
 371 effects of temperature on the aluminum speciation were observed.

372 Finally, neglecting the possible presence of amorphous aluminum hydroxide gels and taking into
 373 account the unreacted metakaolin at a maximum of 5 wt.% in the sample, the Al/Si max was recalculated
 374 and a maximum value of 0.18 was obtained.

375 *Table 4: Sample composition of Al (IV) and Al(VI) sites determined by line shape analysis of ^{27}Al MAS NMR data of M-*
 376 *A-S-H 1.1 phases and quadrupolar parameters and metakaolin quantification.*
 377


| | Al/Si | Al(IV) | | | Al(VI) a | | | Al(VI) b ^a | | | Al(VI)/Al(IV) |
|------------------|-------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|------------|---------------|
| | | $\bar{\delta}_{\text{iso}}$ [ppm] | [%] | CQ* [MHz] | $\bar{\delta}_{\text{iso}}$ [ppm] | Rel. amount [%] | CQ* [MHz] | $\bar{\delta}_{\text{iso}}$ [ppm] | [%] | | |
| Mg/Si = 1.1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20°C / 2years | 0.05 | 69.6 | 36 | 4.09 | 11.6 | 10 | 4.09 | 9.2 | 53 | 1.8 | |
| | 0.1 | 69.0 | 38 | 3.95 | 11.9 | 22 | 4.09 | 8.8 | 40 | 1.6 | |
| | 0.15 | 68.9 | 39 | 3.58 | 11.1 | 16 | 3.58 | 9.1 | 45 | 1.5 | |
| 50°C / 1year | 0.05 | 68.6 | 40 | 4.13 | 11.6 | 19 | 4.28 | 8.6 | 41 | 1.5 | |
| | 0.1 | 68.8 | 42 | 4.38 | 11.6 | 22 | 4.34 | 8.4 | 36 | 1.4 | |
| | 0.15 | 68.9 | 40 | 4.39 | 11.6 | 26 | 4.38 | 8.5 | 34 | 1.5 | |
| | 0.2 | 69.5 | 40 | 4.33 | 11.4 | 21 | 4.26 | 8.6 | 40 | 1.5 | |
| Average | | 69.0 | 40 ± 2 | | 11.5 | 19 ± 5 | | 8.8 | 41 ± 4 | 1.5 | |
| Mg/Si = 1.7 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20°C / 2years | 0.05 | 69.1 | 20 | 4.24 | 11.7 | 18 | 4.41 | 8.9 | 62 | 4.0 | |
| | 0.1 | 68.9 | 23 | 3.73 | 11.8 | 15 | 4.33 | 8.9 | 62 | 3.3 | |
| | 0.15 | 68.7 | 27 | 3.68 | 11.8 | 23 | 4.34 | 8.7 | 50 | 2.7 | |
| 50°C / 1year | 0.05 | 69.1 | 29 | 4.41 | 11.4 | 21 | 4.35 | 8.7 | 50 | 2.5 | |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|------|------|--------|------|------|--------|------|-----|--------|-----|
| | 0.1 | 68.7 | 26 | 4.13 | 11.5 | 18 | 4.46 | 8.8 | 56 | 2.8 |
| | 0.15 | 68.6 | 26 | 3.96 | 11.8 | 20 | 4.56 | 8.7 | 54 | 2.9 |
| | 0.2 | 68.9 | 25 | 4.01 | 11.6 | 20 | 4.39 | 8.7 | 55 | 3.0 |
| Average | | 68.9 | 25 ± 3 | | 11.7 | 19 ± 3 | | 8.8 | 56 ± 5 | 3.0 |


378 δ_{iso} is the isotropic chemical shift, d_{CSA} is the full width at half maximum of Gaussian distribution of δ_{iso} , and CQ^* is the
379 peak value of the quadrupolar coupling constant product in the "Czjzek simple" model (see 2.2)
380 d_{CSA} (Al (IV))= 7.6 ppm and d_{CSA} (Al (VI))=2.2 ppm
381 ^a=no differentiation possible between the Lorentzian signal Al(VI) centered at ~9 ppm (symmetric shape) from
382 hydrotalcite or from M-A-S-H
383
384

385 The PDF analyses of the investigated samples (Figure 7) showed similarities regardless of the Al/Si ratio.

386 The coherence length seemed to be less than 20 Å, which is consistent with the poor crystallinity of the
387 products. In a previous work [15], the peaks of M-S-H were tentatively assigned using the structures of
388 talc [55] and antigorite [56] as reference material: they mainly corresponded to Mg-Mg (r = 3.1 Å, 5.4 Å,
389 8.2 Å, 9.4 Å, 11.1 Å) and Mg-O (2.1 Å) distances within the same layer as well as Si-Si (3.2 Å) and Si-O
390 distances in tetrahedral silicate layer (r = 1.6 Å). Note that peaks below 1.5 Å caused by imperfect
391 corrections and termination errors are not meaningful. The correlation peaks at 1.6 Å (Si-O) seemed to be
392 slightly shifted towards higher r values with increasing the Al/Si ratios (Figure 7-b). In tetrahedral
393 coordination, the Al-O bond (typically ≈1.75 Å) is longer than the Si-O bond (≈1.60 Å) [57-59]. As for
394 distances reported for Al-O bonds in octahedral environment, they are usually close to 1.9-2.0 Å (1.89 –
395 1.92 Å for well-ordered Al in kaolinite, and slightly higher values (1.97 Å and 2.05 Å) in distorted
396 octahedral [60], 1.92 Å in grossular [61], 1.90 Å in gibbsite [62], ≈ 1.90 Å in ettringite [63]. The
397 observation of slightly larger r values for samples with elevated Al/Si ratios might thus result from a
398 contribution of Al-O distances (in tetrahedral and octahedral environments), which would be consistent
399 with an uptake of Al in the main layers and would agree well with ²⁷Al MAS NMR results.



400



401
402
403

Figure 7: Reduced pair distribution function of M-A-S-H samples ($\text{Al/Si} = 0.05, 0.10, 0.15, 1 \text{ year} - 50^\circ\text{C}$) compared to M-S-H sample ($\text{Al/Si} = 0$).

404 The composition of the solutions at equilibrium of the M-A-S-H samples is shown in Table 3. At 20°C a
405 pH value of 9.8 was reached and, silicon and magnesium concentrations of 0.008 mmol/L and 0.15
406 mmol/L, respectively, were determined in the absence of aluminum. When metakaolin was present, the
407 pH values ranged from 9.8 to 10.0 and the silicon concentrations from 0.01 to 0.02 mmol/L. Higher
408 concentrations (0.3 mmol/L) of silicon were detected in the sample with the highest metakaolin content
409 (Al/Si = 0.2), where the presence of unreacted silica indicated that equilibrium was not yet reached. The
410 magnesium concentrations were between 0.15 and 0.41 mmol/L. Similar results were obtained for the
411 samples equilibrated at 50 and 70°C, confirming that the addition of metakaolin had no significant effect
412 on pH or Mg or Si concentrations. The concentrations of dissolved Al for all samples were close to or
413 below the detection limit of 0.0001 mmol/L, confirming that aluminum released by the dissolution of
414 metakaolin effectively was precipitated in the solid phase.

415 Saturation indices (SI) with respect to amorphous silica, $\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2$, $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$ and hydrotalcite
416 ($\text{Mg}_4\text{Al}_2(\text{OH})_{14}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3$), M-S-H, montmorillonite, vermiculite, and saponite were calculated from the
417 measured ion concentrations and pH values in the pore solution and summarized in Table 5. A negative
418 saturation index (SI) indicates that the solution is undersaturated and the respective solid should not form
419 or will dissolve if present. In contrast, a positive saturation index means that the solution is oversaturated
420 and the formation of the respective solid is possible. The SI calculated for M-S-H 1.1 sample showed that
421 the solutions at 20°C were largely undersaturated with respect to brucite and amorphous silica in
422 agreement with the absence of these phases. For higher aluminum contents of the M-A-S-H phases, only
423 a slight undersaturation with respect to silica was observed. These solutions were also undersaturated with
424 respect to microcrystalline aluminum hydroxide and hydrotalcite, again in agreement with the absence of
425 these solid phases. All solutions were saturated with respect to M-S-H. The calculations have also shown
426 that the solutions were oversaturated with respect to crystalline magnesium aluminum silicate hydrates


427 such as saponite and vermiculite, which were used as proxies for M-A-S-H phases in the SI calculations,
428 but undersaturated with respect to montmorillonite, which has a high aluminum and silicon content but
429 contains only small amounts of magnesium. The formation of crystalline saponite or vermiculite, both
430 magnesium alumino-silicate clays, was not observed, although the positive SI may indicate the possible
431 formation of these M-A-S-H phases, which are considered as precursors of such clays.

432 The determined ion concentrations in the solution (Table 3) were also used to calculate ion activity
433 products (IAP) for three possible aluminum containing M-A-S-H phases with different Mg/Si, based on
434 the solid solution from [13] with extreme Mg/Si ratios equal to 0.75 and 1.5, and with Al/Si equal to 0.2
435 resulting in $\log \text{IAP} = -15.0 \pm 0.4$ for $\text{M}_{0.75}\text{A}_{0.20}\text{SH}_{1.50}$, $\log \text{IAP} = -18.2 \pm 0.4$ for $\text{MA}_{0.20}\text{SH}_{1.75}$ and $\log \text{IAP}$
436 $= -24.0 \pm 0.4$ for $\text{M}_{1.50}\text{A}_{0.20}\text{SH}_{1.80}$. These ion activity products are very similar to the solubility products
437 previously obtained for pure M-S-H phases [13, 22], as shown in Figure 8. For all M-S-H and M-A-S-H
438 samples, the ion activity products decreased strongly when the Mg/Si ratio increased. Moreover, the IAPs
439 of M-A-S-H samples were not significantly influenced by the Al content. The ion activity products
440 corresponding to the formula of crystalline vermiculite ($\text{Mg}_{1.09}\text{Al}_{0.27}\text{SiO}_{5.95}\text{H}_{4.91}$) and saponite
441 ($\text{Mg}_{0.87}\text{Al}_{0.09}\text{SiO}_{5.32}\text{H}_{4.64}$) were also calculated and compared with the solubility products of crystalline
442 vermiculite and saponite. The solubility products of the crystalline clay minerals (red diamonds in Figure
443 8) were somewhat lower than those of M-A-S-H of similar compositions, consistent with the observation
444 that M-A-S-H may be a poorly ordered precursor and thus slightly less stable than their crystalline
445 analogues. Note that the data of vermiculite and saponite also show the same trend as M-A-S-H: the
446 solubility decreases with increasing Mg/Si ratio [13, 22, 64] and varies only little with aluminum content.

447 *Table 5: Calculated saturation indices (SI) and ion activity products (IAP) of M-A-S-H phases (2 years, 20°C) calculated from the solution state ion*
 448 *concentrations (Table 3) and the thermodynamic data (Table 2). Solid phases observed experimentally are highlighted in bold.*

| initial Mg/Si & Al/Si | saturation indices (SI) | | | | | | | | log IAP ^b | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------|-----------------|------------|----------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Mg(OH) ₂ | Hydrotal. a | Al(OH) ₃ | SiO ₂ | MSH | Vermiculit e | Montmoril. | Saponite | Mg/Si=0.7 5 | M-A-S-H Mg/Si=1 | Mg/Si=1. 5 | Vermiculit e Mg/Si=1.1 | Saponite Mg/Si=0. 9 |
| 1.1 - 0 | -1.6 | | | -2.4 | -0.5 | | | | | | | | |
| 1.1 - 0.05 | -1.1 | -3.3 | -1.4 | -2.4 | 0.5 | 7.5 | -3.1 | 6.1 | -15.0 | -18.0 | -24.2 | -19.3 | -16.2 |
| 1.1 - 0.10 | -1.1 | -4.0 | -1.7 | -2.3 | 0.6 | 7.6 | -3.1 | 6.4 | -14.9 | -18.0 | -24.2 | -19.3 | -16.1 |
| 1.1 - 0.15 | -1.2 | -3.8 | -1.6 | -2.4 | 0.4 | 7.4 | -3.3 | 6.1 | -15.0 | -18.1 | -24.2 | -19.4 | -16.2 |
| 1.1 - 0.20 ^c | -1.6 | -5.0 | -1.3 | - | 0.7 | 2.9 | 11.3 | 3.5 | | | | | |
| 1.6 - 0 | -0.3 | | | -3.8 | -0.1 | | | | | | | | |
| 1.7 - 0.05 | -0.1 | -0.5 | -2.1 | -3.6 | 0.6 | 6.8 | -8.5 | 4.8 | -15.5 | -18.3 | -23.9 | -19.6 | -16.5 |
| 1.7 - 0.10 | -0.1 | -0.8 | -2.1 | -3.4 | 0.8 | 7.1 | -7.8 | 5.2 | -15.4 | -18.2 | -23.9 | -19.5 | -16.4 |
| 1.7 - 0.15 | -0.4 | -1.7 | -1.9 | -3.3 | 0.4 | 6.7 | -7.2 | 4.9 | -15.4 | -18.3 | -24.1 | -19.6 | -16.5 |
| 1.7 - 0.20 ^c | -0.8 | -2.4 | -1.6 | -1.9 | 1.9 | 10.1 | -1.1 | 9.0 | | | | | |
| Average IAP | | | | | | | | | -15.0 | | -24.0 | | |

449 ^a Mg₄Al₂(OH)₁₄·(H₂O)₃
 450 ^b IAP (M_{0.75}A_{0.2}SH_{1.5}) = 0.75{AlO₂⁻}^{0.2}{SiO₂⁰}{OH⁻}^{1.3}{H₂O}^{1.5};
 451 IAP (M₁A_{0.2}SH_{1.75}) = {Mg²⁺}{AlO₂⁻}^{0.2}{SiO₂⁰}{OH⁻}^{1.8}{H₂O}^{1.75};
 452 IAP (M_{1.5}A_{0.2}SH_{1.8}) = {Mg²⁺}^{1.5}{AlO₂⁻}^{0.2}{SiO₂⁰}{OH⁻}^{2.8}{H₂O}^{1.8};
 453 IAP (Vermiculite) = {Mg²⁺}^{0.87}{AlO₂⁻}^{0.09}{SiO₂⁰}{OH⁻}^{1.64}{H₂O}^{1.5};
 454 IAP (Saponite) = {Mg²⁺}^{1.09}{AlO₂⁻}^{0.27}{SiO₂⁰}{OH⁻}^{1.91}{H₂O}^{1.5}. {} indicates activity
 455 ^c Not considered for the average calculated value since samples are not in equilibrium
 456 *italic = data considered for the average calculated IAP.*




458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465

Figure 8: Calculated ion activity products of magnesium aluminosilicate hydrate (squares) at room temperature as a function of the total Mg/Si, compared to the solubility products of pure M-S-H (Nied et al. ; Bernard et al. [13, 22] (circles) and to the solubility products of vermiculite and saponite (diamonds; taken from Blanc.; (Thermodynam database) [40]). Full squares correspond to M-A-S-H with Al/Si=0.20, while the lighter squares and the diamonds correspond to different Al/Si: Mg/Si=0.9 & Al/Si=0.1 for saponite and Mg/Si=1.1 & Al/Si=0.3 for vermiculite.

466 The cation exchange capacity (CEC) measures the amount of exchangeable cations present either in the
467 interlayer of particles (as in clays) and/or on the surface (in the Stern and diffuse layers) of nano-sized
468 particles. The M-A-S-H samples had a positive CEC, meaning that their surface area was negatively
469 charged, similar to phyllosilicates. Moreover, the CEC values of M-A-S-H were similar to that of pure M-
470 S-H of around $38-40 \pm 4$ meq/100g (see black dots in Figure 9 and Table 6). A small effect of aluminum

471 in M-A-S-H compared to M-S-H on the charge is to be expected, since only a small excess of octahedrally
 472 coordinated aluminium is present (Al(VI)/Al(IV) ratios ≈ 1.5 calculated from the ^{27}Al NMR data). This
 473 slight surplus of octahedral aluminum in the octahedral MgO layer may reduce the negative charge
 474 lowering the CEC.

475 The total of the cations released by the cobalt(III) substitution (bars in Figure 9) agreed well with the CEC
 476 measured by colorimetry (dots). As for pure M-S-H [15], the content of exchangeable cations was low
 477 (equal to 0.02 - 0.03 Mg/Si) and the cations were mainly magnesium plus a small amount of alkalis
 478 (lithium, sodium, and potassium present as impurities in metakaolin). Aluminum was not detected as an
 479 exchangeable cation since it occurs mainly as $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_4^-$ at pH values above 7 [65]. The absence of
 480 aluminum at the cation exchange sites indicates that aluminum was only incorporated in the tetra- and
 481 octahedral sheets of the magnesium silicate phase, but not at exchangeable sites on the surface.



482
 483 *Figure 9: Concentrations of the cations sorbed on M-A-S-H 1.1 measured by the cobalt hexamine method as a function*
 484 *of the initial Al/Si. The cation exchange capacity (CEC) measurements by colorimetry (black dots) have been added for*
 485 *comparison. Sample with Al/Si=0.2 not at equilibrium is shown in grey. alk. = Li, Na or K impurities from metakaolin*
 486 *starting material.*

487 Table 6: CEC data for the M-A-S-H samples..


| M-A-S-H | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| Mg/Si | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Al/Si | 0 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.15 | 0.2 |
| Measured data (meq/100g) | | | | | |
| total of cations released by Co(III) (measured by IC). Measurement error ± 4 | 40.1 | 39.1 | 40.2 | 38.6 | 43.7 |
| data from the Co(III) sorption method (measured by colorimetry). Error ± 2 | 39.9 | 37.1 | 37.7 | 33.2 | 39.1 |
| Mg _{exch} /Si | 0.028 | 0.027 | 0.028 | 0.027 | - |
| Mg/Si | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Al/Si | 0 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.15 | 0.2 |
| Measured data (meq/100g) | | | | | |
| total of cations released by Co(III) (measured by IC). Measurement error $\pm 4^*$ | 47.3 | 35.5 | 36.6 | 36.2 | 36.4 |
| data from the Co(III) sorption method (measured by colorimetry). Error ± 2 | 35.9 | 31.1 | 30.9 | 31.0 | 35.3 |
| Corrected for dilution by brucite | | | | | |
| data from the Co(III) sorption method (measured by colorimetry). Error ± 2.4 | 43.8 | 38.8 | 38.6 | 38.7 | 44.2 |
| Mg _{exch} /Si | 0.035 | 0.031 | 0.031 | 0.031 | - |

488 *: not used as it might have a brucite dissolution in those samples

489

490 Zeta potential measurements on M-S-H and M-A-S-H 1.1 particles were plotted versus the initial Al/Si
 491 (Figure 10a). As for M-S-H [15], the zeta potential of M-A-S-H was negative, which corresponds to the
 492 negative surface charge observed by CEC. Note that the pH was almost constant in the samples and was
 493 not responsible for any deviation in the zeta potential. The zeta potential of the M-A-S-H samples was
 494 with -20 ± 2 mV (Figure 10) slightly less negative than that of pure M-S-H 1.1 (approx. -25 mV), with the
 495 exception of the sample with the highest aluminum addition (Al/Si = 0.2) of -25 ± 3 mV, where amorphous
 496 silica was still present. This more negative zeta potential value at Al/Si = 0.2 can be explained by the very
 497 negative zeta potential of amorphous silica ($< -40 \pm 4$ mV) at this pH [15]. The slightly less negative zeta
 498 potential of about -20 ± 2 mV for M-A-S-H is consistent with slightly lower CEC and the ^{27}Al NMR
 499 results, which showed an only slightly higher Al(VI)/Al(IV) ratio.

500 In addition, the increasing magnesium concentration from 0.15 mmol/L (pure M-S-H) to 0.41 mmol/L
 501 (M-A-S-H with Al/Si = 0.1) may also contribute to less negative zeta potentials (Figure 10b) as higher
 502 magnesium contents in the diffuse and/or Stern layer near the M-A-S-H surface decrease the measured zeta
 503 potential [15]. The zeta potential is therefore strongly related to the concentration of dissolved magnesium:
 504 the higher the magnesium concentration in solution, the less negative is the zeta potential of M-(A)-S-H,
 505 which shows the presence of dissolved magnesium in the Stern and diffuse layer, i.e. very close to the
 506 silicate surface. Similar observations have been reported for calcium silicate hydrates (C-S-H), where
 507 increased Ca^{2+} concentrations augmented the zeta potential of C-S-H [66].



508
 509 *Figure 10: Measured zeta potentials as a function of a) the Al/Si in the M-A-S-H samples (2 years, 20°C) Mg/Si=1.1, b)*
 510 *of dissolved magnesium; M-S-H 1.1 references from [15].*

511

512 **3.2. M-A-S-H 1.7**

513 The aluminum uptake was also studied at high Mg/Si ratios (1.6-1.7). Under these conditions, brucite
 514 precipitates in addition to M(-A)-S-H phases, as shown by TG analysis and XRD (Figure 3). Regardless
 515 of the quantity of metakaolin originally added, about 20 wt.% of brucite is precipitated in the samples

516 cured at 20, 50 and 70°C (Table 3), indicating a maximum Mg/Si ratio of 1.4 in the M-A-S-H solid phases
517 at all temperatures, consistent with previous observations of pure M-S-H phases [13, 22]. In the M-A-S-
518 H 1.7 sample with the highest Al/Si ratio (0.20), after 1 year of curing at 20°C, small amounts of unreacted
519 amorphous silica were still detected by ²⁹Si MAS NMR, but silica was fully depleted after 2 years (Table
520 3). Semi-amorphous aluminum hydroxide, hydrotalcite were also detected by TGA for Al/Si = 0.2 at both
521 characterization times (Supplementary Information, Figure S1 and Table 3). The presence of these phases,
522 which were not observed in the previous syntheses performed at lower Mg/Si ratio (1.1), may indicate that
523 the uptake of aluminum by M-S-H is limited at high Mg/Si ratios as observed by TEM in Figure 5.

524 The ²⁷Al MAS NMR spectra of the M-A-S-H samples with Mg/Si = 1.7 did also not vary with the amount
525 of metakaolin added (Figure 6c, Table 4). Aluminum was again observed in two different environments;
526 about 75% were six-fold coordinated (Al[VI]) and 25% four-fold coordinated (Al[IV]). Again, it can be
527 assumed that the Al(IV) and a part of the Al(VI) correspond to the incorporation of aluminum in the
528 tetrahedral and octahedral layers of the M-S-H. The higher fraction of six fold coordinated aluminum,
529 which replaces magnesium, could reduce the negative surface charge. In the sample with Al/Si = 0.2 and
530 a curing temperature of 20°C, small amounts of hydrotalcite and micro crystalline aluminum hydroxide
531 contribute also to the NMR signal. Additionally, at lower aluminum content, micro crystalline aluminum
532 hydroxide may actually be present in small quantities (below the detection limit of TGA and XRD) in the
533 all series, which would decrease the effective Al/Si in M-A-S-H. The TEM data confirm this hypothesis
534 since the Al/Si ratio in M-A-S-H 1.1 was measured at 0.06 instead of 0.10.

535 The increasing fraction of Al(VI) in M-A-S-H 1.7 samples, as compared to M-A-S-H 1.1 samples (see
536 Table 4), is consistent with the structure of these two phases: increasing the Mg/Si ratio gives more
537 possibilities for substitutions in the octahedral layer than in the tetrahedral layer of M-S-H.

538 The aqueous phase of pure M-S-H 1.6 sample equilibrated at 20°C had a pH of ~10.5, and magnesium
539 and silicon concentrations of 0.12 mmol/l and 0.001 mmol/l, respectively (Table 3). These data are in
540 good agreement with previous characterizations performed on M-S-H samples with high Mg/Si ratio,
541 where brucite was also present [22, 24]. The aqueous phase of M-A-S-H 1.7 samples had a composition
542 very close to that of M-S-H 1.6. As observed at low Mg/Si ratio, the addition of metakaolin did not
543 significantly change the concentrations of dissolved species at equilibrium.

544 The saturation indices (SI, Table 5) showed that the solutions stored at 20°C were undersaturated with
545 respect to amorphous silica. The undersaturation was smaller for the sample with the highest Al/Si ratio,
546 as previously observed for the M-A-S-H 1.1 series. The solutions were also undersaturated with respect
547 to brucite, which was however detected in the solid phase. This result can be explained by a kinetic
548 hindrance of brucite dissolution in the presence of silicon as reported in [22]. As for the M-A-S-H 1.1
549 series, the solutions were undersaturated with respect to montmorillonite, oversaturated with respect to
550 saponite and vermiculite, and close to saturation with respect to M-S-H. The saturation indices tended to
551 increase slightly in the presence of aluminum. Most of the aluminum concentrations were close to the
552 detection limit and the solutions were undersaturated with respect to microcrystalline aluminum hydroxide
553 and hydrotalcite, which is consistent with their non-detection by XRD and TG analysis (except at Al/Si =
554 0.2, where hydrotalcite was probably present, see Table 3).


555 The CEC obtained for the M-A-S-H 1.7 samples were compared to those of M-S-H 1.6 (which contained
556 85% wt of M-S-H and 15%wt of brucite) with a pH of 10.5 (Table 6). In all cases, magnesium and sodium
557 were the only exchangeable cations identified by CEC, indicating again that aluminum was present in the
558 M-S-H layers as a M-(A-)S-H phase or in other solid phases.

559 Previous studies using M-S-H [20] and the M-A-S-H 1.1 samples (see Table 6 and Figure 9) have shown
560 in general a good consistency between the two sets of CEC data determined by different analytical
561 methods. Both types of samples contained pure phases without hydroxide minerals and had pH lower than
562 10.5. However, in the case of M-S-H 1.6 sample, the CEC based on the total of cations released by the
563 cobalt(III) replacement (CEC measured by IC, see “measured” data in Table 6) was higher than the total
564 CEC measured using the complex binding of Co(III) (CEC colorimetry, cf. raw data in Table 6). This
565 deviation can be explained by the dissolution of solid brucite in the rather acidic cobalt hexamine
566 trichloride solution used for the CEC determination, resulting in an overestimation of the magnesium
567 concentration. Therefore, the results based on the measured Mg and Na concentration measured by IC
568 overestimated CEC, while the total CEC measured using the complex binding of Co(III) mirrored the
569 CEC more closely.

570 Thus the further discussion focusses on the CEC measured by Co(III) complexation (CEC colorimetry).
571 Both samples M-S-H 1.6 and M-A-S-H 1.7 contained additional amounts of brucite of ~18%wt and
572 20%wt, respectively. This means that the CECs determined by colorimetry were lower than the true CECs
573 due to dilution of M-(A-)S-H by brucite. The correction for this dilution effect resulted in CEC values of
574 ~44 meq/100g for M-S-H 1.6, which are only slightly higher than the CEC of M-S-H 1.1 (~40 meq/100g;
575 Table 6) or M-S-H 1.2 (~42 meq/100g) [15]. The values for the M-(A-)S-H samples with Al/Si = 0.05,
576 0.1 and 0.15, however, were with ~38 meq/100g slightly lower, consistent with the higher content of Al
577 in octahedral sites than tetrahedral sites (Al(VI)/Al(IV) ratios of ~ 3; Table 4), which partially compensates
578 the negative surface charge. In the sample Al/Si= 0.2 with unreacted silica and brucite no decrease of the
579 CEC was observed. Thus, the measured CEC data become in tendency lower in the presence of Al, but
580 the difference are relatively small considering the error of the data. This only very limited reduction of the
581 cation exchange capacity (from 0.035 Mg/Si to 0.031 Mg/Si) could indicate that the incorporation of

582 aluminum in the octahedral sheet is at least partially compensated by the presence of additional vacancies
583 in the octahedral magnesium oxide sheet, as in the case of di-octahedral silicates such as montmorillonite
584 [17].

585 A slightly less negative zeta potential (-18 ± 2 mV) was determined for samples containing aluminum, as
586 compared with M-S-H 1.6 (-23 mV) (Figure 12). As discussed above, the concentration of magnesium
587 (between 0.1 and 0.2 mmol/L) and the pH values all remained constant regardless of the initial content of
588 metakaolin. Thus, the less negative zeta potential measured for M-A-S-H 1.7 could be related to the
589 presence of a slightly more brucite having a positive zeta potential [67] in these samples, and possibly to
590 the less negative surface charge induced by the higher content of aluminum in the octahedral sites since
591 the corrected CEC values increased also slightly in presence of aluminum.



592
593 *Figure 11: Measured zeta potentials a) as a function of pH in the M-A-S-H samples (2 years, 20°C) Mg/Si=1.7 and b) as*
594 *a function of the measured magnesium concentrations.*

595

596 At high Mg/Si ratios, ^{27}Al MAS NMR data showed the preferred incorporation of aluminum into
597 octahedral sites, which could lead to a lower negative surface charge as determined by CEC and zeta
598 potential determinations. The CEC data showed that magnesium was the main exchangeable cation in the
599 M-A-S-H phases as for M-S-H, while aluminum was not observed among the exchangeable cations. This
600 is consistent with the very low aluminum concentration beyond the detection limit of 0.0001 mmol/L in
601 solution, and with its speciation, $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_4^-$ being the dominant species at pH 10.

602 **4. Conclusions**

603 This work aimed at investigating the aluminum incorporation into magnesium silicate hydrate. Up to
604 approx. 0.15-0.18 aluminum per silica (for an addition of $\text{Al/Si}=0.2$) were incorporated in M-A-S-H at
605 high silica content. The maximum uptake of aluminum by M-S-H still needs to be determined. ^{29}Si and
606 ^{27}Al MAS NMR data, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), and X-ray diffraction (XRD) showed that M-
607 A-S-H phases were formed with the same silicate polymerization process as pure M-S-H. In batch
608 experiments we observed the same slow formation for M-S-H and M-A-S-H phases: the initially formed
609 excess of brucite subsequently slowly reacted with amorphous silica to yield M-(A-)S-H. The PDF
610 analysis showed that the M-A-S-H samples had a limited coherence length and pointed towards an
611 incorporation in both the silica and magnesium oxide layers.

612 ^{29}Si and ^{27}Al MAS NMR data confirmed that aluminum was incorporated into both the octahedral and
613 tetrahedral sheets. At $\text{Mg/Si} = 1.1$, aluminum was distributed between octahedral (60%) and tetrahedral
614 (40%) positions, independent on the amount of Al present. At higher Mg to Si ratio ($\text{Mg/Si} = 1.7$), the
615 fraction of aluminum in the octahedral magnesium hydroxide layer in M-A-S-H increased to ca. 75%,
616 again independent of the amount of aluminum added. This increase of aluminum in the magnesium oxide
617 sites led only to a slight reduction of the negative surface charge and of the cation exchange capacity,

618 indicating that the incorporation of aluminum in the octahedral sheet could be partially compensated by
619 the presence of additional vacancies in octahedral magnesium oxide sheet, as for di-octahedral silicates
620 such as montmorillonite. The CEC measurements showed that presence of some magnesium at the cation
621 exchange sites (~ 0.03 Mg/Si), but not of aluminum.

622 Similar solution and solid compositions were observed at 50 and/or 70°C, indicating little influence of
623 temperature on the stability of M-A-S-H as observed for M-S-H [22]. Our study provides data for aqueous
624 and solid compositions that could serve as a basis to extend thermodynamic models previously developed
625 for M-S-H [13, 20, 22] to M-A-S-H. Such models will be necessary to describe the changes at the interface
626 between a cement paste and a magnesium-containing environment such as clays.

627

628 **Acknowledgements**


629 The authors would like to thank Alexandre Dauzères and the French Institute of Radiation Protection and
630 Nuclear Safety for the funding of Ellina Bernard's Ph.D.; Jorgen Skibsted is acknowledged for helpful
631 discussions, Christophe Chlique and Nicolas Courtois from CEA for their help concerning the PDF
632 analysis, and Remi Chassagnon for the TEM analyses. The NMR hardware was partially granted by the
633 Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF, grant no. 206021_150638/1).

634

635


636

637 **Supplementary data**




638
639
640

Figure S1: TGA data with assignments of chemical species of M-A-S-H samples with initial Mg/Si=1.1 and 1.7 and Al/Si = 0.2.




641
642

Figure S2: ²⁷Al MAS NMR spectra of synthesized hydroxalcite and synthesized poorly ordered Al(OH)₃.




643
644
645

Figure S3: ^{27}Al MAS NMR spectra of M-A-S-H phases Mg/Si=1.1 and 1.7 at constant Al/Si=0.10: comparison between the samples cured during 1 year at 50°C and the samples cured during 2 years at 20°C.




646
647
648

Figure S4: ^{29}Si MAS NMR spectra with assignments of Q^n environments of the M-A-S-H samples, Mg/Si=1.7 and Al/Si=0.05-0.20 (all samples cured for 1 year at 50°C).



649
650
651

Figure S5: ^{29}Si MAS NMR spectra with assignments of Q^n environments of the M-A-S-H samples, $\text{Mg}/\text{Si}=1.1$ and $\text{Al}/\text{Si}=0.05-0.20$ (all samples cured for 2 years at 20°C).



652
653
654

Figure S6: ^{29}Si MAS NMR spectra with assignments of Q^n environments of the M-A-S-H samples, $\text{Mg}/\text{Si}=1.7$ and $\text{Al}/\text{Si}=0.05-0.20$ (all samples cured for 2 years at 20°C).

655 **References:**

- 656 [1] A. Jenni, U. Mäder, C. Lerouge, S. Gaboreau, B. Schwyn, In situ interaction between different
657 concretes and Opalinus clay, *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, 70 (2014) 71-83.
- 658 [2] A. Dauzères, G. Achiedo, D. Nied, E. Bernard, S. Alahrache, B. Lothenbach, Magnesium perturbation
659 in low-pH concretes placed in clayey environment - solid characterizations and modeling, *Cement and
660 Concrete Research*, 79 (2016) 137-150.
- 661 [3] J.L. Garcia Calvo, A. Hidalgo, C. Alonso, L. Fernández Luco, Development of low-pH cementitious
662 materials for HLRW repositories: Resistance against ground waters aggression, *Cement and Concrete
663 Research*, 40 (2010) 1290-1297.
- 664 [4] C. Lerouge, S. Gaboreau, S. Grangeon, F. Claret, F. Warmont, A. Jenni, V. Cloet, U. Mäder, In situ
665 interactions between Opalinus Clay and Low Alkali Concrete, *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts
666 A/B/C*, 99 (2017) 3-21.
- 667 [5] U. Mäder, A. Jenni, C. Lerouge, S. Gaboreau, S. Miyoshi, Y. Kimura, V. Cloet, M. Fukaya, F. Claret,
668 T. Otake, M. Shibata, B. Lothenbach, 5-year chemico-physical evolution of concrete-claystone interfaces,
669 *Swiss Journal of Geosciences*, 110 (2017) 307-327.
- 670 [6] D. Bonen, M.D. Cohen, Magnesium sulfate attack on portland cement paste—II. Chemical and
671 mineralogical analyses, *Cement and Concrete Research*, 22 (1992) 707-718.
- 672 [7] M. Santhanam, M.D. Cohen, J. Olek, Mechanism of sulfate attack: a fresh look: part 1: summary of
673 experimental results, *Cement and concrete research*, 32 (2002) 915-921.
- 674 [8] K. De Weerd, H. Justnes, The effect of sea water on the phase assemblage of hydrated cement paste,
675 *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 55 (2015) 215-222.
- 676 [9] E. Bernard, A. Dauzères, B. Lothenbach, Magnesium and calcium silicate hydrates, Part II: Mg-
677 exchange at the interface “low-pH” cement and magnesium environment studied in a C-S-H and M-S-H
678 model system, *Applied Geochemistry*, 89 (2018) 210-218.
- 679 [10] M.B. Haha, B. Lothenbach, G. Le Saout, F. Winnefeld, Influence of slag chemistry on the hydration
680 of alkali-activated blast-furnace slag—Part I: Effect of MgO, *Cement and Concrete Research*, 41 (2011)
681 955-963.
- 682 [11] A. Machner, M. Zajac, M.B. Haha, K.O. Kjellsen, M.R. Geiker, K. De Weerd, Limitations of the
683 hydrotalcite formation in Portland composite cement pastes containing dolomite and metakaolin, *Cement
684 and Concrete Research*, (2018).
- 685 [12] S.A. Bernal, R. San Nicolas, R.J. Myers, R.M. de Gutiérrez, F. Puertas, J.S. van Deventer, J.L. Provis,
686 MgO content of slag controls phase evolution and structural changes induced by accelerated carbonation
687 in alkali-activated binders, *Cement and Concrete Research*, 57 (2014) 33-43.
- 688 [13] D. Nied, K. Enemark-Rasmussen, E. L'Hopital, J. Skibsted, B. Lothenbach, Properties of magnesium
689 silicate hydrates (MSH), *Cement and Concrete Research*, 79 (2016) 323-332.
- 690 [14] C. Roos, S. Grangeon, P. Blanc, V. Montouillout, B. Lothenbach, P. Henocq, E. Giffaut, P. Vieillard,
691 S. Gaboreau, Crystal structure of magnesium silicate hydrates (MSH): The relation with 2: 1 Mg-Si
692 phyllosilicates, *Cement and Concrete Research*, 73 (2015) 228-237.
- 693 [15] E. Bernard, B. Lothenbach, C. Chlique, M. Wyrzykowski, A. Dauzères, I. Pochard, C. Cau-Dit-
694 Coumes, Characterization of magnesium silicate hydrate (M-S-H), *Cement and Concrete Research*, 116
695 (2019) 309-330.
- 696 [16] F. Bergaya, G. Lagaly, General introduction: clays, clay minerals, and clay science, *Developments
697 in clay science*, 1 (2006) 1-18.
- 698 [17] M.F. Brigatti, E. Galan, B. Theng, Structure and mineralogy of clay minerals, *Developments in clay
699 science*, Elsevier2013, pp. 21-81.

700 [18] R.E. Grim, Clay mineralogy, (1968).

701 [19] I. Odom, Smectite clay minerals: properties and uses, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society
702 of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 311 (1984) 391-409.

703 [20] E. Bernard, B. Lothenbach, C. Cau-Dit-Coumes, C. Chlique, A. Dauzères, I. Pochard, Magnesium
704 and calcium silicate hydrates, Part I: Investigation of the possible magnesium incorporation in calcium
705 silicate hydrate (C-S-H) and of the calcium in magnesium silicate hydrate (M-S-H), Applied
706 Geochemistry, 89 (2018) 229-242.

707 [21] E. Bernard, B. Lothenbach, I. Pochard, C. Cau-dit-Coumes, Alkali binding by magnesium silicate
708 hydrates, Journal of the American Ceramic Society, , 00 (2019) 1–15.

709 [22] E. Bernard, B. Lothenbach, D. Rentsch, I. Pochard, A. Dauzères, Formation of magnesium silicate
710 hydrates (M-S-H), Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C, 99 (2017) 142-157.

711 [23] E. L'Hôpital, B. Lothenbach, G. Le Saout, D. Kulik, K. Scrivener, Incorporation of aluminium in
712 calcium-silicate-hydrates, Cement and Concrete Research, 75 (2015) 91-103.

713 [24] E. Bernard, B. Lothenbach, F. Le Goff, I. Pochard, A. Dauzères, Effect of magnesium on calcium
714 silicate hydrate (C-S-H), Cement and Concrete Research, 97 (2017) 61-72.

715 [25] C. Meral, C. Benmore, P.J. Monteiro, The study of disorder and nanocrystallinity in C–S–H,
716 supplementary cementitious materials and geopolymers using pair distribution function analysis, Cement
717 and Concrete Research, 41 (2011) 696-710.

718 [26] A. Cuesta, R.U. Ichikawa, D. Londono-Zuluaga, G. Angeles, I. Santacruz, X. Turrillas, M.A. Aranda,
719 Aluminum hydroxide gel characterization within a calcium aluminate cement paste by combined Pair
720 Distribution Function and Rietveld analyses, Cement and Concrete Research, 96 (2017) 1-12.

721 [27] C.E. White, Effects of temperature on the atomic structure of synthetic calcium–silicate–deuterate
722 gels: A neutron pair distribution function investigation, Cement and Concrete Research, 79 (2016) 93-
723 100.

724 [28] T. Egami, S.J.L. Billinge, Chapter 3. The method of total scattering and atomic pair distribution
725 function analysis, Pergamon Materials Series 2003.

726 [29] P. Juhás, T. Davis, C.L. Farrow, S.J. Billinge, PDFgetX3: a rapid and highly automatable program
727 for processing powder diffraction data into total scattering pair distribution functions, Journal of Applied
728 Crystallography, 46 (2013) 560-566.

729 [30] N. Cusack, The Physics of Structurally Disordered Matter Hilger, Bristol, UK, (1987) 23.

730 [31] E. Lorch, Neutron diffraction by germania, silica and radiation-damaged silica glasses, Journal of
731 Physics C: Solid State Physics, 2 (1969) 229.

732 [32] W.J. Malfait, W.E. Halter, R. Verel, ²⁹Si NMR spectroscopy of silica glass: T1 relaxation and
733 constraints on the Si–O–Si bond angle distribution, Chemical Geology, 256 (2008) 269-277.

734 [33] D. Massiot, F. Fayon, M. Capron, I. King, S. Le Calvé, B. Alonso, J.O. Durand, B. Bujoli, Z. Gan,
735 G. Hoatson, Modelling one - and two - dimensional solid - state NMR spectra, Magnetic Resonance in
736 Chemistry, 40 (2002) 70-76.

737 [34] D.R. Neuville, L. Cormier, D. Massiot, Al environment in tectosilicate and peraluminous glasses: A
738 ²⁷Al MQ-MAS NMR, Raman, and XANES investigation, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 68 (2004)
739 5071-5079.

740 [35] M. James, R.J. Hunter, R.W. O'Brien, Effect of particle size distribution and aggregation on
741 electroacoustic measurements of zeta potential, Langmuir, 8 (1992) 420-423.

742 [36] D. Kulik, T. Wagner, S.V. Dmytrieva, G. Kosakowski, F. Hingerl, K.V. Chudnenko, U. Berner,
743 GEM-Selektor geochemical modeling package: revised algorithm and GEMS3K numerical kernel for
744 coupled simulation codes, Computational Geochemistry, 17 (2013) 1-24.

745 [37] T. Thoenen, W. Hummel, U. Berner, E. Curti, The PSI/Nagra Chemical Thermodynamic Database
746 12/07, PSI report 14-04, Villigen PSI, Switzerland, (2014).

747 [38] R.J. Myers, B. Lothenbach, S.A. Bernal, J.L. Provis, Thermodynamic modelling of alkali-activated
748 slag cements, *Applied Geochemistry*, 61 (2015) 233-247.

749 [39] B. Lothenbach, E. Bernard, U. Mäder, Zeolite formation in the presence of cement hydrates and
750 albite, *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, 99 (2017) 77-94.

751 [40] P. Blanc, Thermoddem : Update for the 2017 version., Report BRGM/RP-66811-FR, (2017).

752 [41] B. Lothenbach, D.A. Kulik, T. Matschei, M. Balonis, L. Baquerizo, B. Dilnesa, G.D. Miron, R.J.
753 Myers, Cemdata18: A chemical thermodynamic database for hydrated Portland cements and alkali-
754 activated materials, *Cement and Concrete Research*, (2018).

755 [42] B. Lothenbach, L. Pelletier-Chaignat, F. Winnefeld, Stability in the system CaO–Al₂O₃–H₂O, *Cement
756 and Concrete Research*, 42 (2012) 1621-1634.

757 [43] T. Mitsuda, H. Taguchi, Formation of magnesium silicate hydrate and its crystallization to talc,
758 *Cement and Concrete Research*, 7 (1977) 223-230.

759 [44] T. Zhang, C. Cheeseman, L. Vandeperre, Development of low pH cement systems forming
760 magnesium silicate hydrate (MSH), *Cement and Concrete Research*, 41 (2011) 439-442.

761 [45] D.R.M. Brew, F.P. Glasser, Synthesis and characterisation of magnesium silicate hydrate gels,
762 *Cement and Concrete Research*, 35 (2005) 85-98.

763 [46] L. Pauling, The structure of the chlorites, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
764 United States of America*, 16 (1930) 578.

765 [47] S. Komarneni, C.A. Fyfe, G.J. Kennedy, H. Strobl, Characterization of Synthetic and Naturally
766 Occurring Clays by ²⁷Al and ²⁹Si Magic - Angle Spinning NMR Spectroscopy, *Journal of the American
767 Ceramic Society*, 69 (1986).

768 [48] J. Sanz, J. Serratos, Silicon-29 and aluminum-27 high-resolution MAS-NMR spectra of
769 phyllosilicates, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 106 (1984) 4790-4793.

770 [49] L. Li, X. Liu, Y. Ge, R. Xu, J. Rocha, J. Klinowski, Structural studies of pillared saponite, *The Journal
771 of Physical Chemistry*, 97 (1993) 10389-10393.

772 [50] M. Mägi, E. Lippmann, A. Samoson, G. Engelhardt, A.R. Grimmer, Solid-state high-resolution
773 silicon-29 chemical shifts in silicates, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry*, 88 (1984) 1518-1522.

774 [51] E. Lippmaa, A. Samoson, M. Magi, High-resolution aluminum-27 NMR of aluminosilicates, *Journal
775 of the American Chemical Society*, 108 (1986) 1730-1735.

776 [52] C. Bisio, G. Gatti, E. Boccaleri, L. Marchese, G. Superti, H. Pastore, M. Thommes, Understanding
777 physico-chemical properties of saponite synthetic clays, *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials*, 107
778 (2008) 90-101.

779 [53] D. Costenaro, G. Gatti, F. Carniato, G. Paul, C. Bisio, L. Marchese, The effect of synthesis gel dilution
780 on the physico-chemical properties of acid saponite clays, *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials*, 162
781 (2012) 159-167.

782 [54] T. Hibino, A. Tsunashima, Characterization of repeatedly reconstructed Mg– Al hydrotalcite-like
783 compounds: Gradual segregation of aluminum from the structure, *Chemistry of materials*, 10 (1998) 4055-
784 4061.

785 [55] J.W. Gruner, The crystal structures of talc and pyrophyllite, *Zeitschrift für Kristallographie-
786 Crystalline Materials*, 88 (1934) 412-419.

787 [56] I. Dódy, M. Pósfai, P.R. Buseck, Revised structure models for antigorite: An HRTEM study,
788 *American Mineralogist*, 87 (2002) 1443-1457.

789 [57] J. Jones, Al–O and Si–O tetrahedral distances in aluminosilicate framework structures, *Acta
790 Crystallographica Section B: Structural Crystallography and Crystal Chemistry*, 24 (1968) 355-358.

- 791 [58] C.E. White, J.L. Provis, T. Proffen, D.P. Riley, J.S. van Deventer, Combining density functional
792 theory (DFT) and pair distribution function (PDF) analysis to solve the structure of metastable materials:
793 the case of metakaolin, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 12 (2010) 3239-3245.
- 794 [59] S.J. Billinge, M. Kanatzidis, Beyond crystallography: the study of disorder, nanocrystallinity and
795 crystallographically challenged materials with pair distribution functions, *Chemical communications*,
796 (2004) 749-760.
- 797 [60] J.T. Kloprogge, *Spectroscopic Methods in the Study of Kaolin Minerals and Their Modifications*,
798 Springer 2019.
- 799 [61] W.A. Deer, R.A. Howie, J. Zussman, *Rock-forming minerals: orthosilicates*, Volume 1A, Geological
800 Society of London, 1982.
- 801 [62] H. Saalfeld, M. Wedde, Refinement of the crystal structure of gibbsite, $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$, *Zeitschrift für*
802 *Kristallographie-Crystalline Materials*, 139 (1974) 129-135.
- 803 [63] K. Gong, C.E. White, Nanoscale Chemical Degradation Mechanisms of Sulfate Attack in Alkali-
804 activated Slag, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 122 (2018) 5992-6004.
- 805 [64] C. Roosz, P. Vieillard, P. Blanc, S. Gaboreau, H. Gailhanou, D. Braithwaite, V. Montouillout, R.
806 Denoyel, P. Henocq, B. Madé, Thermodynamic properties of CSH, CASH and MSH phases: Results from
807 direct measurements and predictive modelling, *Applied Geochemistry*, 92 (2018) 140-156.
- 808 [65] D.A. Palmer, P. Bénézech, D.J. Wesolowski, Aqueous high-temperature solubility studies. I. The
809 solubility of boehmite as functions of ionic strength (to 5 molal, NaCl), temperature (100–290 C), and pH
810 as determined by in situ measurements, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 65 (2001) 2081-2095.
- 811 [66] J. Haas, A. Nonat, From C–S–H to C–A–S–H: Experimental study and thermodynamic modelling,
812 *Cement and Concrete Research*, 68 (2015) 124-138.
- 813 [67] J.D. Miller, J. Nalaskowski, B. Abdul, H. Du, Surface characteristics of kaolinite and other selected
814 two layer silicate minerals, *The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 85 (2007) 617-624.

815

816