

Theoretical modeling of spatial accessibility in the management of stroke in the Rhône department (France) and comparison with measured data

Julie Freyssenge, Florent Renard, Laurent Derex, Julien Fouques, Jean-Gabriel Damizet, Carlos El Khoury, Karim Tazarourte

▶ To cite this version:

Julie Freyssenge, Florent Renard, Laurent Derex, Julien Fouques, Jean-Gabriel Damizet, et al.. Theoretical modeling of spatial accessibility in the management of stroke in the Rhône department (France) and comparison with measured data. Journal of Transport and Health, 2019, 15, pp.100610. 10.1016/j.jth.2019.100610. hal-02698427

HAL Id: hal-02698427 https://hal.science/hal-02698427

Submitted on 20 Jul2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Theoretical modelling of spatial accessibility in the management of stroke in Rhône county (France) and comparison with measured data

Julie Freyssenge^{1,2,3}, Florent Renard³, Laurent Derex^{1,4}, Julien Fouques⁵, Jean-Gabriel Damizet⁵, Carlos El Khoury^{1,2}, Karim Tazarourte^{1,6}

¹ Univ. Lyon, University Claude Bernard Lyon 1, HESPER EA 7425, F-69008 Lyon, France

² Emergency Department and RESCUe Network, Lucien Hussel Hospital

³ University Jean Moulin Lyon 3 – UMR 5600 Environnement Ville Société CNRS - 18, rue Chevreul – 69007 Lyon

⁴ Stroke Center, Department of Neurology, Hospices Civils de Lyon– 69500 Bron, France

⁵ Service Départemental Métropolitain d'Incendie et de Secours – 69003 Lyon, France

⁶ Hospices Civils de Lyon, Emergency Department – Lyon, F-69003, France

Corresponding author: Julie Freyssenge j.freyssenge@resuval.fr Montée du Dr Chapuis – CH de Vienne BP 127 38209 VIENNE

1 Theoretical modeling of spatial accessibility in the management of 2 stroke in the Rhône department (France) and comparison with

3 measured data

- 4
- 5 Abstract
- 6

Introduction. Stroke is a leading cause of death and a major cause of irreversible sequelae. Stroke patients must be transported as fast as possible and their management should be as effective as possible. Firefighters transport a great proportion of these patients. Using a Geographical Information System can be a great tool to measure and predict travel times during stroke patient management.

Methods. This study modeled travel times from the nearest fire station to stroke patient using theorical data sets in order to compare them. The results were then compared with the on the job data measured by the Departmental Metropolitan Fire and Rescue Service (SDMIS) from 2015 to 2016 for suspected stroke. This comparison assessed the feasibility of using a theoretical model to predict travel times based on real-life data.

Results. A strong correlation was observed between the different theorical models 18 for measuring accessibility to the nearest fire station, with a Root Mean Square Error 19 (RMSE) about 1.5 minutes and Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) around 20 30%. However, when theorical data sets were compared to measured data, the 21 correlation was lower, the RMSE was six minutes and MAPE from 60% (minimal 22 times) to 25% (median times). This is satisfactory results. Although the coefficients of 23 correlation remain low, due to the high heterogeneity of the measured times. These 24 differences of few minutes represented a very small portion of the stroke patient's 25 care pathway. 26

Conclusion. Theoretical models were highly correlated and the correlation with the measured data was mostly correct. The few minutes difference between theoretical models and measured data could be explained by traffic hazards and organizational vagaries that always tend to disrupt modeling results. Using measured data was found to be very useful to perform theorical model and to develop a robust model of stroke transportation.

33

34 Keywords : access time, stroke prehospital care, modeled, comparison, GIS

35 Funding sources

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

38

39 **1. Introduction**

Stroke affects 150,000 new patients each year and can affect all major neurological functions: motor skills, sensitivity, language, vision, memory and executive functions (planning, anticipation, activity management (de Peretti et al., 2012). Stroke is a major public health issue in developed countries, particularly because of their ageing population. France is not immune to the burden of this disease : stroke was the leading cause of death among women (18,343 deaths) and
the third leading cause of death among men (13,003 deaths) in 2013 (Lecoffre et al.,
2017).

The care pathway of stroke patients consists of several sequences: the pre-48 hospital phase, with an urgent transfer to a neurovascular unit (PSC), then acute 49 hospitalization, with emergency therapeutic management and, finally, return home 50 directly or after a stay in a generalist or specialized follow-up care and rehabilitation 51 (RRC) service. Time management is of the essence during the pre-hospital phase, 52 as the patient needs to arrive as soon as possible to receive treatment, thrombolysis 53 (within 4.5 hours after the onset of symptoms) or thrombectomy (within 6-24 hours) 54 (Powers et al., 2018). In the acute phase of stroke, the patient loses two million 55 neurons every minute and thus increases his chances of sustaining irreversible 56 sequelae (Saver, 2006). 57

58

Good access to health care services for everyone, regardless of geography, 59 remains a key objective of governments and societies worldwide (McGrail, 2012). 60 Access is essential in the case of stroke: the patient must be treated as soon as 61 possible and it is therefore important to know and optimize their trajectory during the 62 pre-hospital phase. Timely access to health services is a major determinant of 63 sequelae. As per Humphreys definition (Humphreys, 1998), health service planners 64 need accurate, reliable and rigorous measures to determine the spatial variation of 65 accessibility models. McGrail and Humphreys (McGrail and Humphreys, 2014) 66 explain that distance and geographic isolation are the first barriers to accessing 67 68 health care. Measuring accessibility can be a tool to identify areas to be monitored, especially when the density of care services is low and could lead to inequalities in 69 70 access to treatment (Páez et al., 2013). Therefore, a geographical approach to accessibility in the case of stroke is essential. 71

The measurement of accessibility is one of the key concepts of health geography. A large number of studies investigated this concept, covering a wide range of topics, both from a geographical and contextual perspectives (Page et al., 2018). Since the 1980s, a large number of articles have been published on the subject in the field of access to health care, and this number has sharply increased since the 2000s (Apparicio et al., 2017).

Penchansky and Thomas (Penchansky and Thomas, 1981), defined the 78 79 concept of health accessibility along five dimensions: availability, geographic accessibility, convenience (i.e. how the structure of care is organized), financial 80 capacity and acceptability. Thus, spatial or geographical accessibility is an important 81 component of the broader concept of access (Penchansky and Thomas, 1981). This 82 approach takes into account the multidimensional aspect of access to care (Wang 83 and Luo, 2005). Due to the complexity of the concept of access and its different 84 components, there are a number of approaches to measure access. Talen (Talen, 85 2003) classified accessibility measures into five types of approaches: container, 86 coverage, minimum distance, travel costs, and gravity-based measures. Similarly, 87 Guagliardo (Guagliardo, 2004) summarized the measurement of spatial accessibility 88 in four categories: provider-to-population ratios, distance to the nearest provider, 89 average distance to a set of providers, gravitational models of provider influence. 90

Many of these approaches simultaneously take into account the notion of 91 geographical accessibility and availability of the care service. This is the case, for 92 example, for gravitational models, the Two Step Floating Catchment Area (2SFCA) 93 method (McGrail, 2012) or Kernel density models (Guagliardo, 2004). These 94 calculation methods are often used for their high level of satisfaction in measuring 95 accessibility (Page et al., 2018). However, it remains essential to measure the 96 geographical accessibility of the nearest health care service, not associated with 97 availability. Since spatial accessibility is affected by factors such as the location of 98 the patient, the location of health care services and the road network used to travel 99 (Gharani et al., 2015), accessibility to the nearest service is an appropriate method. 100 Thus, "key spatial variables include distance and travel time between the residential 101 locations of potential patients in a region and the locations of health centers in their 102 region" (Joseph and Bantock, 1982). 103

Despite its many uses, measuring travel time to the nearest service has some 104 limitations because it only takes into account the proximity of a population to a 105 resource, regardless of the availability of that resource (depending on the number of 106 beds available for example and the size of the population pool concerned) (McGrail 107 and Humphreys, 2009). Since the issue of availability in our analyses of emergency 108 stroke treatment is only a secondary one, the measurement of access to each 109 potential community where a patient is cared for from the nearest fire station has 110 been selected. 111

As defined by Joseph and Phillips (Joseph and Phillips, 1984), measured accessibility "refers to prospective levels of accessibility based on the analysis of spatial patterns of physical access to services (rather than on patterns of service use; accessibility "achieved")", thus informing "policy makers of potential disparities [...] identifying areas where levels of accessibility are poor and targeted interventions needed".

118

119 This study modeled travel times from the nearest fire station to the stroke patient using data sets from different sources in order to compare them. The results 120 of these models are then compared with the on the job data measured by the 121 Departmental Metropolitan Fire and Rescue Service (Service Départemental 122 *Métropolitain d'Incendie et de Secours* (SDMIS)) in 2015 and 2016. This comparison 123 was used to assess the feasibility of using a theoretical model to predict travel times 124 based on real-life data. Based on the results of this study, we hope in the long term 125 to provide the best possible picture of the current state of care with reliable travel 126 time data, in order to identify areas at risk of delayed care and access to treatment 127 and provide recommendations for the organization of the prehospital care at all 128 points of the network. 129

However, modeling travel time to the nearest fire station raises the question of 130 the representativeness of the road network. Indeed, road networks are more or less 131 accurately mapped and detailed according to the service providers. In stroke care, 132 where every minute counts, it is essential to have the most efficient network analysis 133 model possible. Two main road networks were available (IGN BDCarto and Multinet 134 TomTom, described in the methods section), each with its own advantages and 135 disadvantages. The second section presents the methods, describing the selected 136 road networks, the procedures for modeling and creating isochrones, the SDMIS jobs 137

database of measured travels and the procedures for comparing modeling and
 measurement results. The third section focuses on the results and their analysis,
 while making recommendations for a better organization of stroke management.

141 142 **2**.

2. Material and methods

143

2.1. Study area

144

The analytical framework for this study was the Rhône department (one of the 145 96 administrative divisions of metropolitan France) (fig. 1), which corresponds to the 146 SDMIS service area. However, accessibility from each fire station took into account 147 the side effects linked to neighboring departments. The fire stations located in border 148 departments were taken into account for the calculation of isochrones. According to 149 the latest available census, the Rhône department had 1,798,511 inhabitants in 2014 150 (source: Institut national de la statistique et des études) for an area of 3,249 km². The 151 Rhône county is mainly composed by metropolitan Lyon, with 1,300,000 inhabitants, 152 organized into 59 municipalities (fig. 1), representing the most densely populated 153 territory in the department. It is the second largest urban area in France and a major 154 economic center in Southeastern France. 155

156



157
158 Figure 1: The Rhône department and its location in France – source: IGN
150

159

160 2.2. Stroke care by the SDMIS

161 In France, fire brigades are organized into SDIS (Service Départemental 162 *d'Incendie et de Secours*) at the department level. According to Article L1424-2 of the 163 General Code of Local Authorities, the SDIS provides emergency assistance to 164 victims of accidents and, natural disasters, as well as their evacuation. In addition, 165 according to article L1424-42, the SDIS can intervene at the request of the dispatch 166 centre of the Emergency Medical Service (EMS) (Service d'Aide Médicale Urgente 167 SAMU) when private health transporters are not available. In the Rhône department, 168 SDIS is called SDMIS because it covers the Rhône department and metropolitan 169 Lyon. The SDIS are classified into three categories, according to population size: 170 category A for a population \geq 900,000 inhabitants; category B for a population \geq 171 400,000 inhabitants and < 900,000 inhabitants; category C for a population < 172 400,000 inhabitants. The SDMIS belongs to category A. The SDMIS has 110 fire 173 stations (fig. 1), divided into seven territorial groupings. Each territorial grouping is in 174 charge of one operational speciality, such as wildfire or rescue-clearing. 175 176

In the specific case of stroke management, 27% of patients were transported to 177 178 hospital by the fire brigade, compared to 36% by private ambulance or taxi, 20% by their own means, 2% by EMS, and 15% undetermined (DREES, 2015). Dispatch is 179 typically decided by the EMS. Patients were transported by the closest provider 180 181 available. Firefighters transport many though not most patients. Patient transport is a challenge for firefighters because of the increasing numbers of patients they manage, 182 as fire is no longer their main activity. We did not investigate private ambulances and 183 taxis because the relevant databases are not searchable. 184

- 185
- 186 187
- 2.3. Databases: modeling and jobs measures

The aim of our study was to compare different theoretical accessibility models with measured jobs data to determine their validity, and, if applicable, which one is the most relevant to measure access for a stroke patient.

191

194

192 2.3.1. Theoretical databases for spatial accessibility modeling: *BDCarto* 193 (IGN) and *Multinet TomTom* (ESRI)

The measurement of travel time over the network was complex and required "the use of geometric network files containing traffic directions, speed limits, turnaround restrictions and delays for each road segment" (Apparicio et al., 2008). Two different types of road network databases were used in this framework. The databases differed in their financial accessibility and exploitation.

200 201

202

2.3.1.1. BDCarto

BDCarto is an open access dataset for non-commercial use, which was developed by the National Institute for Geographical and Forestry Information (*Institut National de l'information Géographique et forestière* (IGN)). *BDCarto* maps all French roads, with more than one million kilometers of network represented: highways, national and departmental roads, residential and urban sections. However, this

dataset does not specify the speed limit allowed on each section. As speed limit 208 could not be estimated from road type (Mao and Nekorchuk, 2013), a two-step 209 process was carried out. Firstly, the OpenStreetMap collaborative database was 210 used to extract the speed limit of 87% of roads in the Rhône department as listed in 211 the *BDCarto* database. An estimation based on land use was made to complete 212 missing data (13% of roads). We used the European land use database CORINE 213 Land Cover: we attributed a speed limit of 50 kph (31 mph) to urban roads and 90 214 kph (56 mph) to rural roads (Author et al., 2018). 215

In addition, it is physically impossible to maintain constant speed on the road, 216 even with an SDMIS vehicle which is allowed to disregard the highway code. Thus, 217 we modeled four scenarios corresponding to different traffic conditions, management 218 mode and weather conditions (Table 1). Scenario 1, corresponds to compliance to 219 national speed limits. This was the basic scenario. For scenario 2, average 220 ambulance speed was based on measured travel times compiled from the Rhône 221 department's EMS registry, i.e. an average speed of 20 km/h above speed limit 222 223 (Table 1).

Scenario	Modeling	Speed	Justification of speed adaptations			
Scenario 1	Initial database	Compliance to national speed limits	Private car complying to French Highway Code			
Scenario 2	Emergency transport	20 kph (12 mph) above speed limit throughout the road network	Analysis based on the Rhône department's SAMU stroke jobs between 2012 and 2016, and Petzäll et al (2011) (Petzäll et al., 2011) study			
Scenario 3	Difficult weather conditions (rain, fog, snow)	20 kph (12 mph) below speed limit throughout the	Compliance to Article R413-2 of the French Highway Code in the			

		road network	case of severe weather
			conditions
		20 kph (12 mph)	Petzäll et al (2011)
		below speed limit	(Petzäll et al., 2011)
	Emergency	in metropolitan	study and SAMU jobs
	transport with	Lyon network (59	analysis for 20 kph above
Scenario 4	traffic congestion	municipalities),	speed limit and SAMU
	in metropolitan	20 kph (12 mph)	jobs analysis during
	Lyon	higher than	traffic congestion for 20
		speed limit	kph below speed limit
		elsewhere	

Table 1: Scenarios built from the open access database for non-commercial use 225 226 BDCarto

- 227
- 228

2.3.1.2. Multinet Tomtom

229

The second source for theoretical road network data used was the Multinet 230 Tomtom database (MultiNet® EUR 2015.06, Version - V1.0) (Esri - GIS Mapping), a 231 commercial data set developed by ESRI. This dataset covers the entire road network 232 in France. In addition, it contains a traffic history (weekday, weekend, precise 233 schedules) as well as speed limits depending on different modes of transport (private 234 car, taxi, bus, bicycle, walking). As with the BDCarto database, scenarios were 235 calculated based on traffic conditions (Table 2). 236

Scenario	Modeling	Speed			
	Weekday (Monday to	Lower than the usual			
	Friday) with heavy traffic	limit due to traffic			
Scenario A	(06:00 – 09:00 et 16:30 –	congestion. Speed			
	18:30)	varies depending on the			

		traffic history reported in			
		Multinet. E. g. a section			
		of road with a speed limit			
		of 90 kph (56 mph) can			
		have a reported speed of			
		50 kph (31 mph),			
		especially in urban			
		areas.			
		Speed varies depending			
	Weekday (Monday to	on the traffic history			
Scenario B	Friday) with normal traffic	reported in Multinet for			
	(09:00 – 16:30)	Monday to Friday outside			
		of rush hours.			
		Speed varies depending			
	Wookond	on the traffic history			
Scenario C	WEEKEIN	reported in Multinet for			
		Saturday to Sunday.			

238 239 Table 2: Scenarios built from *Multinet Tomtom* commercial database

The models from the two databases were then compared with the data measured in the field during SDMIS in the field.

- 242
- 243 244

2.3.2. Measured data of travel times in SDMIS jobs

The models of travel times were compared with the SDMIS in the field measures. These measurements were performed as part of SDMIS jobs for suspected stroke between January 1, 2015 and December 31, 2016 inclusive. A total of 2,886 measures were identified. For each job compiled in the register, the departure fire stations and the municipality of arrival were known as well as the travel time. Each trip was made with a Victim Assistance and Rescue Vehicle (*Véhicule de Secours et d'Assistance aux Victimes* (VSAV)). This type of vehicle can transport a patient with medical equipment and is not subject to traffic regulations (speed limits,
 traffic lights, right of way, etc.).

254

255 2.4. Comparing modeled data with measured data: spatial and statistical 256 analysis

257

2.4.1. Spatial analysis with GIS

This part aimed to model access times at any point in the territory from the 110 258 fire stations of the Rhône department's SDMIS. Initially, the fire stations were 259 geolocated according to their exact postal address using a Geographical Information 260 System (GIS). The GIS software used for this project was Environmental Systems 261 Research Institute (ESRI) ArcMap, version 10.5.1 ("Esri - GIS Mapping Software, 262 Solutions, Services, Map Apps, and Data," n.d.). All accessibility measures were 263 calculated using the network analyst extension available in ArcGIS[™]. Then, the 264 modeling was carried out using isochrones, i.e. lines representing areas of equal 265 travel time from the fire stations of the study area (fig. 2), using the existing road 266 network. For each model, we drew a 1-minute travel time isochrone for each fire 267 station in the department (§ 2.3.1.1.1 and 2.3.1.2). 268

269



Figure 2: The Rhone department's accessibility measure from fire stations to patients with acute stroke (*BD Carto* maps: **1.** Compliance to speed limit, **2.** emergency transport, **3.** difficult weather conditions, **4.** emergency transport with traffic congestion; and *Multinet Tomtom* maps: **A.** weekday heavy traffic, **B.** weekday freeflowing traffic, **C.** weekend regular traffic)

Then, the modeled isochrones were compared with the measured travel times. 277 From 1 January 2015 to 31 December 2016, several jobs were compiled for the 278 same municipality. As a result, there were several measured travel times for each 279 municipality. We used the minimum travel time and the median travel time. Finally, 280 we compared the modeled travel times for each of the municipalities where 281 measured time information was available (i.e. 238 out of the 295 municipalities in the 282 department; fig. 3). For the comparison, we used the isochron located on the centroid 283 of the municipality. 284

285



Minimal travel times per Rhône cities
 Figure 3: Minimum and median travel time measured by municipality on the basis of
 2886 SDMIS jobs.

- 289
- 290 291

2.4.2. Statistical comparison of models to measures

292 To compare accessibility models to measured, we used a correlation coefficient (Apparicio et al., 2008). Normality was checked using the Shapiro-Wilk 293 test (Shapiro and Wilk, 1965). "The correlation coefficient gives an indication of the 294 295 level of good fit" between the different measurement models (Apparicio et al., 2003). As our sample was not normally distributed, we used the Spearman coefficient, a 296 non-parametric test. The Spearman coefficient uses the observations' rows and thus 297 makes it possible to measure the level of linear relationship between rows. The 298 correlation coefficient varied from -1 to 1, a positive value indicating a positive 299 correlation, while a negative value indicates a negative correlation. A value close to 300 zero indicates an absence of linear correlation. A p-value < 0.05 was considered 301 statistically significant. 302

In addition to the correlation coefficient, performance of the different models was assessed using the following three measures (Willmott, 1981) :

305 Mean squared error (MSE) =
$$\frac{1}{W-p^*}\sum_{i=1}^n w_i(y-\hat{y}_i)^2$$

306 Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) = $\sqrt{\frac{1}{W-p_*}\sum_{i=1}^n w_i(y-\hat{y}_i)^2}$

307 Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) =
$$\frac{100}{W} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \left| \frac{y_i - \hat{y}_i}{y_i} \right|$$

Where y = model predicted value (real time), $y_i = observed$ value (measured theoretical time), n = number of observations.

Models performance was evaluated against the measured data. In a complementary way, the modeled results from the two databases were compared with each other (fig. 4). Statistical analyses were carried out using XLSTAT software, version 2019.1.2 (Addinsoft (2019). XLSTAT statistical and data analysis solution. Long Island, NY, USA. https://www.xlstat.com).

316

308



317 318

Figure 4: Diagram of database analysis

As traffic conditions outside Lyon are not similar to those in dense urban areas, statistical analyses were carried out on a global scale throughout the Rhône

322 323

324 **3. Results**

325 326 3.1. Comparison of the results from the two theoretical models

department, as well as on a more local scale (metropolitan Lyon).

Our study aimed to compare different theoretical accessibility models with 327 measured SDMIS jobs data. Before meeting this objective, a first comparison was 328 made between the models in order to evaluate the performance of the model 329 founded on the open access dataset for non-commercial use BDCarto compared to 330 the *Multinet TomTom* commercial model. For this purpose, the performance 331 indicators described above were used (Table 3). *Multinet TomTom*'s three scenarios 332 333 were compared to the four scenarios from BDCarto, on the scale of the Rhône department and Greater Lyon. 334

A strong correlation was observed between the models measuring theoretical accessibility at the scale of the department (Table 3). The maximum RMSE between the scenarios of the two models was 2.2 minutes for scenario 4 of the IGN when compared to scenarios A to C of Multinet. Similarly, the lowest Spearman coefficient was found for the latter, with values between 0.62 and 0.63. The other scenarios were very strongly correlated with RMSEs about 1.5 minutes (e.g. scenarios 1, 2 and 3 and scenario A) and Spearman's rho of about 0.85 (Table 3). On the scale of
Greater Lyon, the observation was similar with very small differences between the
models (RMSE of about 1.5 minutes) and high Spearman's rho around 0.7 (table 3).
From 25 to 30 %, MAPE confirmed the results of RMSEs and Spearman's rho.

- 345 For Greater Lyon models and for scenario four (both scales), correlations were lower.
- 346 This was due to the heterogeneity of dense traffic. MAPEs were also worse for
- 347 scenario 4, explained by the traffic.

				Rhône de	partment		Greater Lyon						
			BD Carto modeling										
			scenario 1 (compliance)	scenario 2 (+12 mph)	scenario 3 (-12 mph)	scenario 4 (traffic congestion)	scenario 1 (compliance)	scenario 2 (+12 mph)	scenario 3 (-12 mph)	scenario 4 (traffic congestion)			
	c)	MSE	2.1	2	2.4	4.8	2.3	2.4	2.2	2.8			
	io / day affi	RMSE	1.4	1.4	1.5	2.2	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.7			
	Scenar (week heavy tr	MAPE	24.4	27.1	26.5	46.1	28.2	31.6	25.2	35			
deling		Spearman coefficient	0.86	0.85	0.84	0.63	0.73	0.74	0.73	0.67			
bm	Scenario B (weekday normal traffic)	MSE	2	1.9	2.3	4.7	2.1	2.2	2,0	2.5			
ш		RMSE	1.4	1.4	1.5	2.2	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.6			
nTc		MAPE	24	26.1	26.2	46.2	27.6	30.8	25.3	33			
et Tor		Spearman coefficient	0.87	0.86	0.85	0.63	0.73	0.73	0.71	0.67			
ltin	ω	MSE	2	2	2.3	4.8	2.4	2.6	2.1	2.8			
Mu	io (end	RMSE	1.4	1.4	1.5	2.2	1.5	1.6	1.5	1.7			
	nar eke	MAPE	24.3	26	26.5	47.3	27.4	30.8	24.9	32.5			
	Sce (we	Spearman coefficient	0.87	0.86	0.85	0.62	0.75	0.74	0.74	0.68			

Table 3: Spearman correlation and performance metrics of open access database model v. commercial database model

351 3.2. Comparison of the results from the two theoretical models to measured data

352

From the outset, Spearman's coefficients were low between the models and 353 the measured times, both at the scale of Rhône department or that of Greater Lyon. 354 However, the RMSE study for the Rhône department indicated that all the differences 355 between the modeled and measured minimum and median times ranged between 356 5.6 and 5.8 minutes (Table 4). The MAPEs at the scale of Rhône department were 357 around 57% for the measured minimum times, but for the median measured times 358 they were around 26%. While the RMSEs were similar between minimum and 359 median measures, the MAPE suggested that the percentage error between 360 theoretical models and median travel times was lower. The RMSEs for Greater Lyon 361 were even lower, with Spearman's rho slightly higher. The RMSEs for modeled vs. 362 minimum measured travel time were 2.9 or three minutes. The RMSEs for median 363 times ranged between three and 3.1 minutes. For MAPEs, the observation is 364 identical that at the scale of Rhône department. Regardless of scale, the differences 365 in estimates between the models were minimal. 366

			Rhône department							Greater Lyon						
			BD Carto modeling				Multinet TomTom modeling			BD Carto modeling				Multinet TomTom modeling		
			scenario 1 (complianc e)	scenari o 2 (+12 mph)	scenari o 3 (- 12 mph)	scenario 4 (traffic congestio n)	scenario A (weekda y heavy traffic)	scenario B (weekda y normal traffic)	scenario C (weeken d)	scenario 1 (complianc e)	scenari o 2 (+12 mph)	scenari o 3 (- 12 mph)	scenario 4 (traffic congestio n)	scenario A (weekda y heavy traffic)	scenario B (weekda y normal traffic)	scenario C (weekend)
	_	MSE	31.4	31.4	31.5	31.5	31.5	31.5	31.5	8.7	8.7	9	8.9	9	8.9	9
	mal	RMSE	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	3	2.9	3	3	3	3	3
	me	MAPE	56.6	56.3	57.4	57.8	57.5	57.4	57.4	63	62.6	62.8	62	63.2	63.4	62.9
	SDMIS (m travelti	Spearma n coefficien t	0	0.04	-0.04	-0.07	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.1	0.2	-0.01	-0.1	-0.01	0.01	0
		MSE	34	34	34	34	33.9	33.9	33.9	9.2	9.1	9.7	9.9	9.9	9.8	9.9
	ian ()	RMSE	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	3	3	3,1	3,1	3,1	3,1	3,1
SDMIS (medi	me	MAPE	26.9	26.9	26.8	26.7	26.6	26.6	26.6	27	26	26.5	25.7	26.3	26.4	26
	SDMIS (n travelti	Spearma n coefficien t	0.04	0,07	0	-0.02	0.03	0,03	0.03	0.2	0.23	0.07	-0.03	0.02	0.04	0.02

368 Table 4: Spearman correlation and performance metrics of database models compare to SDMIS travel times (minimal and median)

369 4. Discussion

370

Measuring accessibility is a complex concept. The study compared travel time for 371 stroke management in models using two databases vs as measured on the job, with 372 the aim of generalizing a theoretical model to a territory that does not have reliable 373 field surveys. We investigated travel times from the nearest fire station to stroke 374 patient, complementing our previous study (Author and al, 2018) on time of care, 375 time to dispatch and time spent on scene. The present study compared theoretical 376 models with actual data to determine the feasibility of using theoretical databases to 377 estimate travel time. So, only one travel time out of the total duration of the care was 378 sufficient, since the purpose of this study was to estimate the travel time of a vehicle. 379 The first step of this study was to measure theoretical accessibility. We compared 380 theoretical models to assess the performance and validity of using a model based on 381 open access data for non-commercial use. In the second step, we measured the 382 performance of the theoretical accessibility models against the SDMIS data. 383

384

The theoretical model built from BDCarto was strongly correlated to the 385 commercial model. The most correlated scenario was that of a 12 mph increase in 386 speed compared to the commercial scenario of normal traffic on a weekday, with a 387 RMSE of 1.4 minutes in the Rhône department. However, all the scenarios were very 388 similar. This may seem surprising but the small size of the study area combined with 389 relatively small differences in scenarios explains this homogeneity. The theoretical 390 model developed from BDCarto was found to be a reliable tool for modelling 391 theoretical spatial accessibility and a good alternative to a paid model. 392

393

The comparison of the theoretical models' performance with real SDMIS job 394 data led to several interesting conclusions. First, the RMSEs between the models 395 and the minimum measured times were low: about 5.6 minutes at the scale of the 396 397 Rhône department and three minutes at the scale of Greater Lyon. The same was true for median times, with very slightly higher RMSEs. This can be explained by the 398 higher variability of median travel times compared to minimum times. Indeed, to get 399 to a given place, travel time can vary by up to a factor of two, which explains the 400 401 better performance of the models with regard to minimum times, which are the optimal times for jobs. MAPEs confirmed that minimum times are optimal times for 402 jobs, because the percentage error is higher (60%) for minimal times than median 403 times (26%). However, the differences between modeled and measured travel times 404 remain acceptable from the point of view of patient management. Indeed, a 405 maximum approximation of six minutes when managing a patient as part of his care 406 pathway (transport, imaging and treatment) remains minimal. 407

The coefficients of correlation were low because, for many municipalities, the modeling was different from the measured value. In some municipalities, there was a wide dispersion of time in relation to a given interval of access time. However, compared to the RMSEs and MAPE, this low correlation should be put into perspective, as dispersion of travel times was found in RMSEs of around six minutes. The correlations might have been better if we had sampled the measured data in weekdays (48 %, n= 2035), weekend (20 %, n= 827) and days of difficult weather (32 %, n= 1350) and compared the corresponding theoretical models. However,
modeling reality remains challenging.

417 Secondly, it appears that the differences between the different scenarios of the 418 theoretical models compared to the measured data were low. This can be explained 419 similarly with the small size of the study area and small differences between traffic 420 speeds.

421

In order to optimize modeling results, a number of additional factors should be taken into account. These are mainly related to traffic conditions and vehicle type. The random aspect of traffic conditions is part of the measured data of travel time on the field of the SDMIS, which is an inherent limitation of the theoretical models. Considering the difficulty of predicting traffic incidents, one possible improvement of the theoretical model from *BDCarto* could be to develop a scenario based on an estimate of the average traveling speed of an SDMIS vehicle.

429

However, there are limitations regarding representativeness and exhaustiveness of the travel times measured by SDMIS. Indeed, there is a wide dispersion of travel times to access the same municipality (see above). This variation can be explained by the large fluctuation in traffic conditions over time, and it cannot be easily anticipated.

435

Furthermore, the quality of the measures also raises concerns. Some of the data in the SDMIS jobs register seems to be improper. Delays seem unusually high. For example, the municipality of Oullins was reached in 23 minutes, while the median time was nine minutes and the minimum time was four minutes. For Rillieux-la-Pape, the minimum time was three minutes, the median seven minutes and the maximum time 25 minutes. However, these differences could be explained by very difficult traffic conditions at certain times, such as traffic incidents.

443

In addition, the method used to measure travel time is debatable. Travel time was measured from the moment the vehicle was started. A delay between the start of the measurement, i.e. when the vehicle is started, and the movement of the vehicle could exist. This time is thus added to the real travel time on network between fire station and patient location. So, in some case, the time analyzed in our study was not exact.

450

Another explanation for this variability may lie in the very organization of the SDMIS in the Rhône department. Outside of urban areas, firefighters are volunteers and most of them are not on call at the fire station. The high extreme values of the sample could be explained by the time it may take for some firefighters to reach the response vehicle at the fire station.

456

Finally, the size of the sample and its representativeness must be taken into consideration. Indeed, the SDMIS jobs data analyzed are those of two calendar years. While 2886 measures may seem a relatively representative sample, 57 communes did not have associated measured data and 48 communes had only one measure. All these communes are located outside Greater Lyon, with lower residential densities, which reduces the probability of an SDMIS jobs and thus
 decreases the representativeness of the measures.

464

465 **5. Conclusion**

466

The primary objective of this study was to model travel times from the nearest fire station to a stroke patient using different data sets. The results of the models were compared with the data measured in the field during jobs by SDMIS. The purpose of this comparison was to evaluate the performance of the models in relation to the reality of the field when managing stroke patients.

472

The results of the two theoretical models were very strongly correlated with 473 each other, with modeling based on open access data playing equal parts with the 474 commercial model, with travel time differences of around one minute. Comparing of 475 modeled isochrones to measured times provided satisfactory results with differences 476 of only a few minutes, which represents a very small portion of time during the stroke 477 patient's care pathway. However, Spearman's correlations were low because the 478 modeled travels were different from the measured values in some municipalities. This 479 is explained by the dispersion of these times. But this dispersion is not very important 480 since the RMSEs are about six minutes. A discretization of the real data according to 481 the different scenarios (weekend, weekday, weather conditions) modeled could 482 improve the correlation. Modeling reality remains a very complex exercise as traffic 483 hazards and organizational imponderables disrupt modeling results. Collecting and 484 485 relying on real travel time data such as that of the SDMIS is essential to developing 486 and validating a relevant predictive model of stroke management travel times.

487

488 Acknowledgements

The authors are very gratefully to the SDMIS for the data and their availability, and RESCUe-RESUVal team for their support. We also want to gratefully thank Marine Riou (Université Lyon 2) for her proofreading and help on English editing. The authors also thank the two anonymous reviewers and editor for their comments on an earlier draft of this paper.

494

495 **References**

- Apparicio, P., Abdelmajid, M., Riva, M., Shearmur, R., 2008. Comparing alternative approaches to
 measuring the geographical accessibility of urban health services: Distance types and
 aggregation-error issues. Int. J. Health Geogr. 7, 7. https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-072X-7-7
- Apparicio, P., Gelb, J., Dubé, A.-S., Kingham, S., Gauvin, L., Robitaille, É., 2017. The approaches to
 measuring the potential spatial access to urban health services revisited: distance types and
 aggregation-error issues. Int. J. Health Geogr. 16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12942-017-01059
- Apparicio, P., Shearmur, R., Brochu, M., Dussault, G., 2003. The measure of distance in a social
 science policy context: Advantages and costs of using network distances in eight Canadian
 metropolitan areas. J. Geogr. Inf. Decis. Anal. 7, 105–131.
- de Peretti, C., Grimaud, O., Tuppin, P., Chin, F., Woimant, F., 2012. Prévalence des accidents
 vasculaires cérébraux et de leurs séquelles et impact sur les activités de la vie quotidienne:

508 apports des enquêtes déclaratives Handicap-santé-ménages et Handicap-santé-institution. 509 Prévalence 10. 510 DREES, 2015. Résultats de l'enquête nationale auprès des structures des urgences hospitalières -511 Actes du colloque du 18 novembre 2014 (No. 63), Dossiers solidarité et santé. DREES. 512 Esri - GIS Mapping Software, Solutions, Services, Map Apps, and Data [WWW Document], n.d. URL 513 http://www.esri.com/ (accessed 12.13.16). 514 Author et al, 2018 [details removed for peer review] Gharani, P., Stewart, K., Ryan, G.L., 2015. An enhanced approach for modeling spatial accessibility for 515 516 in vitro fertilization services in the rural Midwestern United States. Appl. Geogr. 64, 12–23. 517 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2015.08.005 518 Guagliardo, M.F., 2004. Spatial accessibility of primary care: concepts, methods and challenges. Int. J. 519 Health Geogr. 3, 3. 520 Humphreys, J.S., 1998. Delimiting 'Rural': Implications of an Agreed 'Rurality' Index for Healthcare 521 Planning and Resource Allocation. Aust. J. Rural Health 6, 212–216. 522 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1584.1998.tb00315.x 523 Joseph, A.E., Bantock, P.R., 1982. Measuring potential physical accessibility to general practitioners in 524 rural areas: a method and case study. Soc. Sci. Med. 1982 16, 85–90. 525 Joseph, A.E., Phillips, D.R., 1984. Accessibility and Utilization: Geographical Perspectives on Health 526 Care Delivery. SAGE. Lecoffre, C., de Peretti, C., Gabet, A., Grimaud, O., Woimant, F., Giroud, M., Béjot, Y., Olié, V., 2017. 527 528 Mortalité par accident vasculaire cérébral en France en 2013 et évolutions 2008-2013. Bull. 529 Épidémiologique Hebd. 5, 95–100. 530 Mao, L., Nekorchuk, D., 2013. Measuring spatial accessibility to healthcare for populations with 531 multiple transportation modes. Health Place 24, 115–122. 532 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2013.08.008 533 McGrail, M.R., 2012. Spatial accessibility of primary health care utilising the two step floating 534 catchment area method: an assessment of recent improvements. Int. J. Health Geogr. 11, 50. 535 https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-072X-11-50 536 McGrail, M.R., Humphreys, J.S., 2014. Measuring spatial accessibility to primary health care services: 537 Utilising dynamic catchment sizes. Appl. Geogr. 54, 182–188. 538 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2014.08.005 539 McGrail, M.R., Humphreys, J.S., 2009. Measuring spatial accessibility to primary care in rural areas: 540 Improving the effectiveness of the two-step floating catchment area method. Appl. Geogr. 541 29, 533–541. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2008.12.003 542 Páez, A., Esita, J., Newbold, K.B., Heddle, N.M., Blake, J.T., 2013. Exploring resource allocation and 543 alternate clinic accessibility landscapes for improved blood donor turnout. Appl. Geogr. 45, 544 89–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2013.08.008 545 Page, N., Langford, M., Higgs, G., 2018. An evaluation of alternative measures of accessibility for investigating potential 'deprivation amplification' in service provision. Appl. Geogr. 95, 19-546 547 33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2018.04.003 548 Penchansky, R., Thomas, J.W., 1981. The Concept of Access: Definition and Relationship to Consumer 549 Satisfaction. Med. Care 19, 127. 550 Petzäll, K., Petzäll, J., Jansson, J., Nordström, G., 2011. Time saved with high speed driving of 551 ambulances. Accid. Anal. Prev. 43, 818–822. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2010.10.032 552 Powers, W.J., Rabinstein, A.A., Ackerson, T., Adeoye, O.M., Bambakidis, N.C., Becker, K., Biller, J., 553 Brown, M., Demaerschalk, B.M., Hoh, B., Jauch, E.C., Kidwell, C.S., Leslie-Mazwi, T.M., 554 Ovbiagele, B., Scott, P.A., Sheth, K.N., Southerland, A.M., Summers, D.V., Tirschwell, D.L., 2018. 2018 Guidelines for the Early Management of Patients With Acute Ischemic Stroke: A 555 Guideline for Healthcare Professionals From the American Heart Association/American 556 Stroke Association. Stroke 49. https://doi.org/10.1161/STR.00000000000158 557 558 Saver, J.L., 2006. Time Is Brain—Quantified. Stroke 37, 263–266. 559 https://doi.org/10.1161/01.STR.0000196957.55928.ab

- Shapiro, S.S., Wilk, M.B., 1965. An analysis of variance test for normality (complete samples). Biometrika 52, 591–611. Talen, E., 2003. Neighborhoods as Service Providers: A Methodology for Evaluating Pedestrian Access. Environ. Plan. B Plan. Des. 30, 181–200. https://doi.org/10.1068/b12977 Wang, F., Luo, W., 2005. Assessing spatial and nonspatial factors for healthcare access: towards an integrated approach to defining health professional shortage areas. Health Place 11, 131-146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2004.02.003 Willmott, C.J., 1981. On the Validation of Models. Phys. Geogr. 2, 184–194. https://doi.org/10.1080/02723646.1981.10642213