



HAL
open science

EQUILIBRIUM CONFIGURATIONS FOR NONHOMOGENEOUS LINEARLY ELASTIC MATERIALS WITH SURFACE DISCONTINUITIES

Antonin Chambolle, Vito Crismale

► **To cite this version:**

Antonin Chambolle, Vito Crismale. EQUILIBRIUM CONFIGURATIONS FOR NONHOMOGENEOUS LINEARLY ELASTIC MATERIALS WITH SURFACE DISCONTINUITIES. 2020. hal-02667936v1

HAL Id: hal-02667936

<https://hal.science/hal-02667936v1>

Preprint submitted on 31 May 2020 (v1), last revised 7 Oct 2021 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

EQUILIBRIUM CONFIGURATIONS FOR NONHOMOGENEOUS LINEARLY ELASTIC MATERIALS WITH SURFACE DISCONTINUITIES

ANTONIN CHAMBOLLE AND VITO CRISMALE

CMAP, École Polytechnique, CNRS, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France

ABSTRACT. We prove a compactness and semicontinuity result that applies to minimisation problems in nonhomogeneous linear elasticity under Dirichlet boundary conditions. This generalises a previous compactness theorem that we proved and employed to show existence of minimisers for the Dirichlet problem for the (homogeneous) Griffith energy.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study the minimisation of free discontinuity functionals describing energies for linearly elastic solids with discontinuities, under Dirichlet boundary conditions. For a solid in a given (bounded) reference configuration $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, whose *displacement field* with respect to the equilibrium is $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, the minimisation of integral functionals of the form

$$E(u) := \int_{\Omega} f(x, e(u)) \, dx + \int_{J_u} g(x, [u], \nu_u) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \quad (1.1)$$

accounts for the interaction of the internal elastic energy and the energy dissipated in the surface discontinuities.

The elastic properties of the solid are determined by the *elastic strain* $e(u) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T)$, the symmetrized gradient of u , through a function f with superlinear growth in $e(u)$ (often a quadratic form) and in general depending on the material point $x \in \Omega$. The surface term is related to dissipative phenomena such as cracks, surface tension between different elastic phases, or internal cavities, and is concentrated on the *jump set* J_u , representing the surface discontinuities of u . The jump set is such that when blowing up around any $x \in J_u$, it is approximated by a hyperplane with normal $\nu_u(x) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and the displacement field is close to two suitable distinct values $u^+(x), u^-(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ on the two sides of the body with respect to this hyperplane. The jump opening, denoted by $[u]$, is then $[u](x) = u^+(x) - u^-(x)$. In order to ensure that the volume and the surface term do not interact, it is usually assumed that g be greater than a positive constant, or some growth condition for small values of $[u]$ (besides the superlinear growth of f). Therefore, the functionals we consider are bounded from below through the Griffith-like energy ([32, 28])

$$G(u) := \int_{\Omega} |e(u)|^p \, dx + \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_u), \quad \text{with } p > 1. \quad (1.2)$$

The first main issue in the minimisation of energies of the type (1.1) when also the control from above is only through (1.2) (in particular if g is independent of $[u]$) is how to obtain suitable compactness. This is related to the lack of good a priori integrability properties for displacements with finite energy G . In fact, a pathological situation may occur in the presence of connected components, well included in Ω , whose boundary is contained (or almost

E-mail address: antonin.chambolle@cmap.polytechnique.fr, vito.crismale@polytechnique.edu.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 49Q20, 49J45, 26A45, 74R10, 74G65, 70G75.

Key words and phrases. Generalised special functions of bounded deformation, brittle fracture, compactness.

completely contained) in J_u : this allows to modify the displacement in these internal components by adding any constant, so that arbitrarily large values of u may be reached without (or slightly) modifying the energy.

Compactness results for sequences with equibounded energy (1.2) have been obtained with increasing generality. In [11] compactness with respect to strong L^1 convergence is obtained assuming a uniform L^∞ bound on the displacement field: this guarantees that the distributional symmetrized gradient Eu is a bounded Radon measure and then u belongs to the space $SBD(\Omega)$ of *special functions of bounded deformation* [8], and in particular $u \in L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$. In [21], DAL MASO introduced the space of *generalised special functions of bounded deformation* $GSBD(\Omega)$ (with the smaller $GSBD^p(\Omega)$, the right energy space for (1.2), see Section 2) and proved a compactness result under a uniform mild integrability control on sequences with bounded energy, ensuring convergence in measure.

The first compactness result for (1.2) without further constraints is obtained by FRIEDRICH [29] *in dimension two*, basing on a *piecewise Korn inequality*. This inequality permits to ensure the compactness for sequences with bounded energy, up to subtracting suitable *piecewise rigid motions*, namely functions coinciding with an *infinitesimal rigid motion* (that is an affine function with skew-symmetric gradient) on each element of a suitable Caccioppoli partition $\mathcal{P} = (P_j)_j$ of the domain (that is $\partial^* \mathcal{P} = \bigcup_j \partial^* P_j$ has finite surface measure; see [17] characterising piecewise rigid motions).

In [15] we proved in any dimension that each sequence $(u_h)_h$ with equibounded energy (1.2) converges in measure (up to subsequences) to a $GSBD^p$ function \bar{u} , outside an exceptional set with finite perimeter A where $|u_h| \rightarrow +\infty$. Outside the exceptional set, weak L^p convergence for the symmetrized gradients $(e(u_h))_h$ holds and $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_{\bar{u}} \cup \partial^* A) \leq \liminf_h \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_{u_h})$. The main ingredient for basic compactness with respect to the convergence in measure is the Korn-Poincaré inequality for function with small jump set proven in [14], while the semicontinuity properties are obtained through a slicing argument. In particular, this directly solves the Dirichlet minimisation problem for the energy (1.2), with volume term possibly convex with p -growth in $e(u)$, but still attaining its minimum value for $e(u) = 0$: starting from a minimising sequence $(u_h)_h$, a minimiser is given by any function equal to \bar{u} in $\Omega \setminus A$ and to an infinitesimal rigid motion in A . One may argue analogously if the minimum value of f is independent of x .

However, for general nonhomogeneous materials (for instance composite materials) such that the minimum value of $f(x, \cdot)$ depends on x , this strategy does not work and a better characterisation of the limit behaviour also in the exceptional set is required. A similar issue arises when employing the compactness result by AMBROSIO [2, 3, 5] in the space of *generalised functions of bounded variation* $GSBV$, and in its subspace $GSBV^p$ to the minimisation of energies

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x, \nabla(u)) \, dx + \int_{J_u} g(x, [u], \nu_u) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \quad (1.3)$$

depending on the full gradient ∇u in place of $e(u)$. For this reason a compactness result in $GSBV^p$ of different type has been derived in [30]: for any sequence with bounded energy $(u_h)_h$ (1.3) it is possible to find modifications y_h such that the energy increases at most by $\frac{1}{h}$, $\mathcal{L}^n(\{\nabla u_h \neq \nabla y_h\}) \leq \frac{1}{h}$, and $(y_h)_h$ converges in measure to some $u \in GSBV^p$. The functions y_h are indeed obtained from u_h by subtracting a piecewise constant function *up to a set of small measure*, in the same spirit of the aforementioned [29] with piecewise rigid motions replaced by piecewise constant functions.

The present work is based on a different approach: we prove that, given $(u_h)_h$ with $\sup_h G(u_h) < +\infty$, for suitable piecewise rigid motions a_h the sequence $(u_h - a_h)_h$ converges in measure to some $u \in GSBD^p$, such that $G(u) \leq \liminf_h G(u_h)$. Differently from [30], we have $e(u_h) = e(u_h - a_h)$ since we subtract piecewise rigid motions (without exceptional sets of small measure); this precludes in general $u_h - a_h$ to be a minimising sequence, but nevertheless the lower semicontinuity for the surface part is obtained directly in terms of J_{u_h} . Our compactness result is the following (we use notation (2.9) for Caccioppoli partitions).

Theorem 1.1. *Let $p \in (1, +\infty)$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open, bounded, and Lipschitz. For any sequence $(u_h)_h$ with $\sup_h G(u_h) < +\infty$ there exist a subsequence, not relabelled, a Caccioppoli*

partition $\mathcal{P} = (P_j)_j$ of Ω , a sequence of piecewise rigid motions $(a_h)_h$ with

$$a_h = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} a_h^j \chi_{P_j}, \quad (1.4)$$

and $u \in \text{GSBD}^p(\Omega)$ such that

$$|a_h^j(x) - a_h^i(x)| \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{for } \mathcal{L}^n\text{-a.e. } x \in \Omega, \text{ for all } i \neq j, \quad (1.5a)$$

and

$$u_h - a_h \rightarrow u \quad \mathcal{L}^n\text{-a.e. in } \Omega, \quad (1.5b)$$

$$e(u_h) \rightarrow e(u) \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{M}_{sym}^{n \times n}), \quad (1.5c)$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_u \cup \partial^* \mathcal{P}) \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_{u_h}). \quad (1.5d)$$

The first step of the proof consists in finding a partition \mathcal{P} , piecewise rigid motions a_h , and u measurable such that (1.4), (1.5a), and (1.5b) hold. In doing this, a fundamental tool is a Korn inequality for functions with small jump set, proven in two dimensions in [18, Theorem 1.2] and recently extended to any dimension in [13]. This permits, for every $\eta > 0$, to recover (1.4) and (1.5b) in a set $\Omega^\eta \subset \Omega$, such that $\mathcal{L}^n(\Omega \setminus \Omega^\eta) < \eta$. Then, the so obtained sequences of infinitesimal rigid motions are regrouped in equivalence classes for fixed η , saying that any $(a_h^i)_h, (a_h^j)_h$ (depending on η) are not equivalent if and only if (1.5a) holds for i, j . Finally, we pass to $\eta \rightarrow 0$ observing that this procedure is stable when η decreases: the objects found in correspondence to η coincide with those found for $\eta/2$ on $\Omega^\eta \cap \Omega^{\eta/2}$.

In the second step we prove (1.5d) through a slicing procedure. The guiding idea is that, if (1.4), (1.5a), (1.5b) hold for $n = 1$ (with Ω a real interval, a_h piecewise constant, and $(|\nabla u_h|)_h$ equibounded in L^p), then not only any jump point of u is a cluster point for $(J_{u_h})_h$ but this holds also for any point $y \in \partial^* \mathcal{P}$: in fact, by (1.5a) and (1.5b), the functions u_h assume arbitrarily far values, as $h \rightarrow \infty$, in couple of points close to y but on different sides of $\Omega \setminus \{y\}$, so u_h have to jump near y for h large.

We conclude by noticing that in view of (1.5d) the a_h are indeed piecewise rigid motions (they are in SBV), so $\sup_h G(u_h - a_h) < +\infty$ and (1.5c) follows from former compactness results.

Besides compactness, we examine the semicontinuity properties of E . The lower semicontinuity of the surface term has been recently established for a large class of densities in [31], for sequences equibounded with respect to G and converging in measure (and also for functionals defined on piecewise rigid motions), providing a counterpart for the analysis of energies (1.3) in [6, 7]. We then assume that the surface part is lower semicontinuous with respect to the convergence in measure and move in two directions: we address the semicontinuity properties both of the volume term, and of the surface term with respect to the notion of convergence from Theorem 1.1. We prove the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $p \in (1, +\infty)$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open, bounded, and Lipschitz. Assume that*

- (f₁) $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{M}_{sym}^{n \times n} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a Carathéodory function;
- (f₂) $f(x, \cdot)$ be symmetric quasi-convex for a.e. $x \in \Omega$;
- (f₃) for suitable $C > 0$ and $\phi \in L^1(\Omega)$, it holds

$$\frac{1}{C} |\xi|^p \leq f(x, \xi) \leq \phi(x) + C(1 + |\xi|^p) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and every } \xi \in \mathbb{M}_{sym}^{n \times n};$$

moreover assume that

- (g₁) $g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow [c, +\infty)$ be measurable, with $c > 0$;
- (g₂) $g(x, y, \nu) = g(x, -y, -\nu)$ for any x, y, ν ;
- (g₃) $g(\cdot, y, \nu)$ be continuous, uniformly with respect to $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$;
- (g₄) for each $x \in \Omega$ $g_x = g(x, \cdot, \cdot)$ be such that for any cube Q and any $v_h \rightarrow v$ weakly in $\text{GSBD}^p(Q)$

$$\int_{J_v} g_x([v], \nu_v) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{J_{v_h}} g_x([v_h], \nu_{v_h}) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1};$$

(g_5) there is $g_\infty: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ such that either $g_\infty \equiv +\infty$ or $g(x, \cdot)$ is a norm for every $x \in \Omega$, and

$$\lim_{|y| \rightarrow +\infty} g(x, y, \nu) = g_\infty(x, \nu) \quad \text{uniformly w.r.to } x \in \Omega \text{ and } \nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

Then, for any sequence $(u_h)_h$ such that $\sup_h E(u_h) < +\infty$ there exist a subsequence (not relabelled), a Caccioppoli partition \mathcal{P} of Ω , a sequence of piecewise infinitesimal rigid motions $(a_h)_h$, and $u \in \text{GSBD}^p(\Omega)$ such that (1.4), (1.5) hold and

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x, e(u)) \, dx + \int_{J_u \cap \mathcal{P}(1)} g(x, [u], \nu_u) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + \int_{\partial^* \mathcal{P}} g_\infty(x, \nu_{\mathcal{P}}) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} E(u_h)$$

if g_∞ is finite, while $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial^* \mathcal{P}) = 0$ and $E(u) \leq \liminf_h E(u_h)$ if $g_\infty \equiv +\infty$.

The proof relies on a blow-up argument ([27]). For the bulk part we use again the result in [13]. Blowing up around a point $x_0 \notin J_u$, since the density of jump vanishes in \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -measure, the Korn-type inequality of [13] give that the rescaled function coincides with a $W^{1,p}$ field up to a small set; we then combine this with an approximation through equi-Lipschitz functions, in the footsteps of [1, 4, 24], in order to apply Morrey's Theorem [33] in most of the blow-up ball.

As for the surface energy concentrated on $\partial^* \mathcal{P}$, we blow-up around $x_0 \in \partial^* \mathcal{P}$ to find that the rescaled function converges in measure, up to subtracting in the two halves of the blow-up cell two different infinitesimal rigid motions whose difference diverges as $h \rightarrow +\infty$, so that the jump has arbitrarily large amplitude near the middle of the cell. This allows to conclude through a slicing argument (anisotropic), together with (g_5).

Theorem 1.2 ensures existence of solutions to the class of minimisation problems

$$\min_{(u, \mathcal{P}), \partial^* \mathcal{P} \subset J_u} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} f(x, e(u)) \, dx + \int_{J_u \setminus \partial^* \mathcal{P}} g(x, [u], \nu_u) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + \int_{\partial^* \mathcal{P}} g_\infty(x, \nu) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right\}$$

under Dirichlet boundary condition. In this class of problems we minimise not only in u , but also on the possible partitions that may be created by J_u . If $g_\infty \equiv +\infty$, minimising sequences converge without modifications, see Proposition 4.2. The case $g(x, [u], \nu) = g_\infty(\nu) = \psi(\nu)$ corresponds to minimise an anisotropic version of (1.2) with general nonhomogeneous bulk energy (see Proposition 4.4; we refer to [20, Theorem 5.1] for an anisotropic version of (1.2) in the context of epitaxially strained materials [12]).

The paper is organised as follows: in Section 2 we recall basic notions and prove two lemmas on infinitesimal rigid motions. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.2 and address the Dirichlet minimisation problems.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we fix the notation and recall the main tools employed in this work.

2.1. Basic notation. For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\varrho > 0$, let $B_\varrho(x) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be the open ball with center x and radius ϱ , and let $Q_\varrho(x) = x + (-\varrho, \varrho)^n$, $Q_\varrho^\pm(x) = Q_\varrho(x) \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \pm x_1 > 0\}$. For $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| = 1\}$, we let also $Q_\varrho^\nu(x)$ the cube with ‘‘center’’ x , sidelength ϱ and with a face in a plane orthogonal to ν . We omit to write the dependence on x when $x = 0$. (For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we use the notation $x \cdot y$ for the scalar product and $|x|$ for the Euclidean norm.) By $\mathbb{M}^{n \times n}$, $\mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^{n \times n}$, and $\mathbb{M}_{\text{skew}}^{n \times n}$ we denote the set of $n \times n$ matrices, symmetric matrices, and skew-symmetric matrices, respectively. We write χ_E for the indicator function of any $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, which is 1 on E and 0 otherwise. If E is a set of finite perimeter, we denote its essential boundary by $\partial^* E$, and by E^s the set of points with density s for E , see [9, Definition 3.60]. We indicate the minimum and maximum value between $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ by $a \wedge b$ and $a \vee b$, respectively.

We denote by \mathcal{L}^n and \mathcal{H}^k the n -dimensional Lebesgue measure and the k -dimensional Hausdorff measure, respectively. The m -dimensional Lebesgue measure of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^m is indicated by γ_m for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For any locally compact subset $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, (i.e. any point in B has a neighborhood contained in a compact subset of B), the space of bounded

\mathbb{R}^m -valued Radon measures on B [respectively, the space of \mathbb{R}^m -valued Radon measures on B] is denoted by $\mathcal{M}_b(B; \mathbb{R}^m)$ [resp., by $\mathcal{M}(B; \mathbb{R}^m)$]. If $m = 1$, we write $\mathcal{M}_b(B)$ for $\mathcal{M}_b(B; \mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{M}(B)$ for $\mathcal{M}(B; \mathbb{R})$, and $\mathcal{M}_b^+(B)$ for the subspace of positive measures of $\mathcal{M}_b(B)$. For every $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_b(B; \mathbb{R}^m)$, its total variation is denoted by $|\mu|(B)$. Given $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open, we use the notation $L^0(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ for the space of \mathcal{L}^n -measurable functions $v: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, endowed with the topology of convergence in measure.

Definition 2.1. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $v \in L^0(E; \mathbb{R}^m)$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\limsup_{\varrho \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{L}^n(E \cap B_\varrho(x))}{\varrho^n} > 0.$$

A vector $a \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the *approximate limit* of v as y tends to x if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there holds

$$\lim_{\varrho \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{L}^n(E \cap B_\varrho(x) \cap \{|v - a| > \varepsilon\})}{\varrho^n} = 0,$$

and then we write

$$\operatorname{ap\,lim}_{y \rightarrow x} v(y) = a.$$

Definition 2.2. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and $v \in L^0(U; \mathbb{R}^m)$. The *approximate jump set* J_v is the set of points $x \in U$ for which there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^m$, with $a \neq b$, and $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ such that

$$\operatorname{ap\,lim}_{(y-x) \cdot \nu > 0, y \rightarrow x} v(y) = a \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{ap\,lim}_{(y-x) \cdot \nu < 0, y \rightarrow x} v(y) = b.$$

The triplet (a, b, ν) is uniquely determined up to a permutation of (a, b) and a change of sign of ν , and is denoted by $(v^+(x), v^-(x), \nu_v(x))$. The jump of v is the function defined by $[v](x) := v^+(x) - v^-(x)$ for every $x \in J_v$.

We note that J_v is a Borel set with $\mathcal{L}^n(J_v) = 0$, and that $[v]$ is a Borel function.

2.2. BV and BD functions. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open. We say that a function $v \in L^1(U)$ is a *function of bounded variation* on U , and we write $v \in BV(U)$, if $D_i v \in \mathcal{M}_b(U)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $Dv = (D_1 v, \dots, D_n v)$ is its distributional derivative. A vector-valued function $v: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is in $BV(U; \mathbb{R}^m)$ if $v_j \in BV(U)$ for every $j = 1, \dots, m$. The space $BV_{\text{loc}}(U)$ is the space of $v \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(U)$ such that $D_i v \in \mathcal{M}(U)$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$. If $n = 1$, $v \in L^1(U)$ is a function of bounded variation if and only if its pointwise variation is finite, cf. [9, Proposition 3.6, Definition 3.26, Theorem 3.27].

A function $v \in L^1(U; \mathbb{R}^n)$ belongs to the space of *functions of bounded deformation* if the distribution $Ev := \frac{1}{2}((Dv)^T + Dv)$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_b(U; \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^{n \times n})$. It is well known (see [8, 35]) that for $v \in BD(U)$, J_v is countably $(\mathcal{H}^{n-1}, n-1)$ rectifiable, and that

$$Ev = E^a v + E^c v + E^j v,$$

where $E^a v$ is absolutely continuous with respect to \mathcal{L}^n , $E^c v$ is singular with respect to \mathcal{L}^n and such that $|E^c v|(B) = 0$ if $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(B) < \infty$, while $E^j v$ is concentrated on J_v . The density of $E^a v$ with respect to \mathcal{L}^n is denoted by $e(v)$.

The space $SBD(U)$ is the subspace of all functions $v \in BD(U)$ such that $E^c v = 0$. For $p \in (1, \infty)$, we define $SBD^p(U) := \{v \in SBD(U) : e(v) \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^{n \times n}), \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_v) < \infty\}$. Analogous properties hold for BV , such as the countable rectifiability of the jump set and the decomposition of Dv . The spaces $SBV(U; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $SBV^p(U; \mathbb{R}^m)$ are defined similarly, with ∇v , the density of $D^a v$, in place of $e(v)$. For a complete treatment of BV , SBV functions and BD , SBD functions, we refer to [9] and to [35, 8, 11, 19], respectively.

2.3. GBD functions. The space GBD of *generalized functions of bounded deformation* has been introduced in [21]. We recall its definition and main properties, referring to that paper for a general treatment and more details. Since the definition of GBD is given by slicing (differently from the definition of GBV , cf. [3, 23]), we first introduce some notation. Fixed $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, we let

$$\Pi^\xi := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : y \cdot \xi = 0\}, \quad B_y^\xi := \{t \in \mathbb{R} : y + t\xi \in B\} \quad \text{for any } y \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } B \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2.1)$$

and for every function $v: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in B_y^\xi$, let

$$v_y^\xi(t) := v(y + t\xi), \quad \widehat{v}_y^\xi(t) := v_y^\xi(t) \cdot \xi. \quad (2.2)$$

Definition 2.3 ([21]). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set, and let $v \in L^0(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $v \in GBD(\Omega)$ if there exists $\lambda_v \in \mathcal{M}_b^+(\Omega)$ such that one of the following equivalent conditions holds true for every $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$:

- (a) for every $\tau \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ with $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \tau \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 \leq \tau' \leq 1$, the partial derivative $D_\xi(\tau(v \cdot \xi)) = D(\tau(v \cdot \xi)) \cdot \xi$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_b(\Omega)$, and for every Borel set $B \subset \Omega$

$$|D_\xi(\tau(v \cdot \xi))|(B) \leq \lambda_v(B);$$

- (b) $\widehat{v}_y^\xi \in BV_{\text{loc}}(\Omega_y^\xi)$ for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -a.e. $y \in \Pi^\xi$, and for every Borel set $B \subset \Omega$

$$\int_{\Pi^\xi} \left(|D\widehat{v}_y^\xi|(B_y^\xi \setminus J_{\widehat{v}_y^\xi}^1) + \mathcal{H}^0(B_y^\xi \cap J_{\widehat{v}_y^\xi}^1) \right) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \leq \lambda_v(B),$$

where $J_{\widehat{v}_y^\xi}^1 := \left\{ t \in J_{\widehat{v}_y^\xi} : |[\widehat{v}_y^\xi](t)| \geq 1 \right\}$.

The function v belongs to $GSBD(\Omega)$ if $v \in GBD(\Omega)$ and $\widehat{v}_y^\xi \in SBV_{\text{loc}}(\Omega_y^\xi)$ for every $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -a.e. $y \in \Pi^\xi$.

Every $v \in GBD(\Omega)$ has an *approximate symmetric gradient* $e(v) \in L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^{n \times n})$ such that for every $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -a.e. $y \in \Pi^\xi$ there holds

$$e(v)(y + t\xi)\xi \cdot \xi = (\widehat{v}_y^\xi)'(t) \quad \text{for } \mathcal{L}^1\text{-a.e. } t \in \Omega_y^\xi; \quad (2.3)$$

the *approximate jump set* J_v is still countably $(\mathcal{H}^{n-1}, n-1)$ -rectifiable (cf. [21, Theorem 6.2]) and may be reconstructed from its slices through the identity

$$(J_v^\xi)_y^\xi = J_{\widehat{v}_y^\xi}^\xi \quad \text{and} \quad v^\pm(y + t\xi) \cdot \xi = (\widehat{v}_y^\xi)^\pm(t) \quad \text{for } t \in (J_v)_y^\xi, \quad (2.4)$$

where $J_v^\xi := \{x \in J_v : [v] \cdot \xi \neq 0\}$ (it holds that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_v \setminus J_v^\xi) = 0$ for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -a.e. $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$). It follows that, if $v \in GSBD(\Omega)$ with $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_v) < +\infty$, for every Borel set $B \subset \Omega$

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_v \cap B) = (2\gamma_{n-1})^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \left(\int_{\Pi^\xi} \mathcal{H}^0(J_{v_y^\xi} \cap B_y^\xi) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \right) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\xi) \quad (2.5)$$

and the two conditions in the definition of $GSBD$ for v hold for $\lambda_v \in \mathcal{M}_b^+(\Omega)$ such that

$$\lambda_v(B) \leq \int_B |e(v)| dx + \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_v \cap B) \quad \text{for every Borel set } B \subset \Omega. \quad (2.6)$$

For any countably $(\mathcal{H}^{n-1}, n-1)$ -rectifiable set $M \subset \Omega$ with unit normal $\nu: M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, it holds that for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -a.e. $x \in M$ there exist the *traces* $v_M^+(x), v_M^-(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\text{ap lim}_{\substack{\pm(y-x) \cdot \nu(x) > 0, \\ y \rightarrow x}} v(y) = v_M^\pm(x) \quad (2.7)$$

and they can be reconstructed from the traces of the one-dimensional slices. This has been proven by [21, Theorem 5.2] for C^1 manifolds of dimension $n-1$, and may be extended to countably $(\mathcal{H}^{n-1}, n-1)$ -rectifiable sets arguing as in [10, Proposition 4.1, Step 2].

Finally, if Ω has Lipschitz boundary, for each $v \in GBD(\Omega)$ the traces on $\partial\Omega$ are well defined in the sense that for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -a.e. $x \in \partial\Omega$ there exists $\text{tr}(v)(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\text{ap lim}_{y \rightarrow x, y \in \Omega} v(y) = \text{tr}(v)(x).$$

For $1 < p < \infty$, the space $GSBD^p(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$GSBD^p(\Omega) := \{v \in GBD(\Omega) : e(v) \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^{n \times n}), \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_v) < \infty\}.$$

We say that a sequence $(v_k)_k \subset GSBD^p(\Omega)$ *converges weakly* to $v \in GSBD^p(\Omega)$ if

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (\|e(u_k)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_{u_k})) < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad u_k \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^0(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.8)$$

We say that $(v_k)_k$ is *bounded in* $GSBD^p(\Omega)$ if $\sup_k (\|e(u_k)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_{u_k})) < +\infty$.

We recall the following approximate Korn-type inequality for $GSBD^p$ functions with small jump set in a ball, recently proven in [13]. (We fix the case $\varepsilon = 1$ in that result.) We refer to [29] and [18] for Korn-type inequalities in $GSBD^p$ in two dimensions.

Theorem 2.4 ([13], Theorem 3.2). *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2$, and let $p \in (1, +\infty)$. Given $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ there exist $C = C(n, p)$ and $\eta = \eta(n, p, \sigma)$, such that for every $\varrho > 0$, $v \in GSBD^p(B_\varrho)$ with $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_v) \leq \eta \varrho^{n-1}$ there exist $w \in GSBD^p(B_\varrho)$ and a set of finite perimeter $\omega \subset B_\varrho$ such that $w = v$ in $B_\varrho \setminus \omega$, $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial^* \omega) < C \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_v)$, $w \in W^{1,p}(B_{\sigma \varrho}; \mathbb{R}^n)$, and*

$$\int_{B_\varrho} |e(w)|^p dx \leq 2 \int_{B_\varrho} |e(v)|^p dx, \quad \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_w) \leq \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_v).$$

Employing this result, in [13] it is proven that any function $v \in GSBD^p(\Omega)$ is approximately differentiable \mathcal{L}^n -a.e. in Ω , that is for \mathcal{L}^n -a.e. $x \in \Omega$ there exists $\nabla v(x) \in \mathbb{M}^{n \times n}$ (such that $e(v)(x) = (\nabla v(x))^{\text{sym}}$ for a.e. x) for which it holds

$$\text{aplim}_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{|v(y) - v(x) - \nabla v(x)(y - x)|}{|y - x|} = 0.$$

2.4. Caccioppoli partitions. A partition $\mathcal{P} = (P_j)_j$ of an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is said a *Caccioppoli partition* of U if $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \partial^* P_j < +\infty$. (see [9, Definition 4.16]). For Caccioppoli partitions the following structure theorem holds.

Theorem 2.5 ([9], Theorem 4.17). *Let $(P_j)_j$ be a Caccioppoli partition of U . Then*

$$\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} P_j^{(1)} \cup \bigcup_{i \neq j} (\partial^* P_i \cap \partial^* P_j)$$

contains \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -almost all of U .

For any Caccioppoli partition $\mathcal{P} = (P_j)_j$ we set

$$\partial^* \mathcal{P} := \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \partial^* P_j, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}^{(1)} := \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} P_j^{(1)}. \quad (2.9)$$

2.5. Symmetric quasi-convexity. We recall the definition of symmetric quasi-convex functions, introduced in [25].

Definition 2.6 ([25]). A function $f: \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^{n \times n} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is *symmetric quasi-convex* if

$$f(\xi) \leq \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^n(D)} \int_D f(\xi + e(\varphi)(x)) dx$$

for every bounded open set D of \mathbb{R}^n , for every $\varphi \in W_0^{1,\infty}(D; \mathbb{R}^n)$, and for every $\xi \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^{n \times n}$.

This property is related to the *quasi-convexity* in the sense of Morrey [33]; indeed f is symmetric quasi-convex if and only if $f \circ \pi$ is quasi-convex in the sense of Morrey, where π denotes the projection of $\mathbb{M}^{n \times n}$ onto $\mathbb{M}_{\text{sym}}^{n \times n}$ (see [25, Remark 2.3]).

2.6. Two lemmas on affine functions. We present below two lemmas that will be useful in the slicing procedure in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. We say that $a: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is an *infinitesimal rigid motion* if a is affine with $e(a) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla a + (\nabla a)^T) = 0$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $(a_h)_h$ be a sequence of infinitesimal rigid motions such that (1.4) and (1.5a) hold. Then, for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -a.e. $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$*

$$|(a_h^j(x) - a_h^i(x)) \cdot \xi| \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{for } \mathcal{L}^n\text{-a.e. } x \in \Omega, \text{ for all } i \neq j, \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. For fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, with $i \neq j$, (2.10) follows from [15, Lemma 2.7] applied to $v_h = a_h^j - a_h^i$. This provides an \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -negligible set of $\xi \in N_{i,j} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

Then (2.10) hold for any $i \neq j$ for every $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus N$, where $N = \bigcup_{i \neq j} N_{i,j}$ is still \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -negligible. \square

Lemma 2.8. *Let $F \subset \Omega$ be such that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(F) < +\infty$, and let $(a_h)_h$ be a sequence of infinitesimal rigid motions such that (1.4) and (1.5a) hold. Then there exist two sets $D \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ countable and dense in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , and $N \subset F$ with $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(N) = 0$, such that*

$$|(a_h^i - a_h^j)(x) \cdot \xi| \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{for every } \xi \in D, x \in F \setminus N, i \neq j. \quad (2.11)$$

Proof. For any $i \neq j$, and $h \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $(a_h^i - a_h^j)(x) = A_h^{i,j} x + b_h^{i,j}$, with $A_h^{i,j} \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{skew}}^{n \times n}$ and $b_h^{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $(a_h^i - a_h^j)(x) \cdot \xi = -A_h^{i,j} \xi \cdot x + b_h^{i,j} \cdot \xi$. Let us fix $i \neq j$ and ξ such that $|(a_h^i - a_h^j)(x) \cdot \xi| \rightarrow +\infty$ \mathcal{L}^n -a.e. in Ω . We have two alternative cases (then one of these holds for every h large enough, up to a subsequence w.r.to h):

- 1) $\xi \in \ker A_h^{i,j}$ and then $(a_h^i - a_h^j)(x) \cdot \xi \equiv b_h^{i,j} \cdot \xi \in \mathbb{R}$, with $|b_h^{i,j} \cdot \xi| \rightarrow +\infty$;
- 2) $\xi \notin \ker A_h^{i,j}$, so that $(a_h^i - a_h^j)(x) \cdot \xi = 0$ in a hyperplane $H_{\xi,h}^{i,j}$ with normal $-A_h^{i,j} \xi$, and there exist two sequences $(s_h)_h, (t_h)_h \in \mathbb{R}$ (depending on ξ, i, j) such that $s_h \rightarrow 0, t_h \rightarrow +\infty$ and if $\text{dist}(x, \{(a_h^i - a_h^j) \cdot \xi = 0\}) > s_h$ then $|(a_h^i - a_h^j)(x) \cdot \xi| > t_h$. Then

$$S_{\xi}^{i,j} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sup_h |(a_h^i - a_h^j)(x) \cdot \xi| < +\infty\} \subset \{\text{dist}(\cdot, H_{\xi,h}^{i,j}) > s_h\} \quad \text{for } h \geq \bar{h}.$$

This implies that $S_{\xi}^{i,j}$ is contained in an affine space of dimension at most $n-1$; moreover, $S_{\xi}^{i,j}$ has dimension $n-1$ if $\xi \notin \ker A_h^{i,j}$ and $\frac{A_h^{i,j} \xi}{|A_h^{i,j} \xi|} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ converge to a vector in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} of the form $A^{i,j} \xi$ for some $A^{i,j} \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{skew}}^{n \times n}$. Then $S_{\xi}^{i,j}$ coincides with the hyperplane with normal $-A^{i,j} \xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, that we denote by $H_{\xi}^{i,j}$.

We observe also that, since $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(F) < +\infty$, there exist at most countable many hyperplanes $(H_l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(F \cap H_l) > 0$. Let us define

$$E := \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i \neq j} \{\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} : H_{\xi}^{i,j} = H_l\}, \quad N_1 := E \cup \{\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} : (2.10) \text{ does not hold}\}.$$

(Notice that by writing $H_{\xi}^{i,j} = H_l$ we mean that there exists $H_{\xi}^{i,j}$ with normal $-A^{i,j} \xi$ such that $A_h^{i,j} \xi = c(h) A^{i,j} \xi$ for $c(h) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h \geq \bar{h}$). Since $A^{i,j} \neq 0$, for any i, j, l there exists a set of dimension at most $n-2$ (given by the intersection of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} with an affine space parallel to $\ker A^{i,j}$) of ξ such that $-A^{i,j} \xi = \nu_{H_l}$. Then $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(N_1) = 0$, recalling also Lemma 2.7. By the discussion above it follows that

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\{x \in \Omega : |(a_h^i - a_h^j)(x) \cdot \xi|_h \text{ is bounded}\} \cap F) = 0 \quad \text{for any } \xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus N_1 \text{ and } i \neq j.$$

Let us define D as a countable dense set in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} with $D \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus N_1$, and

$$N := \bigcup_{\xi \in D, i \neq j} (\{x \in \Omega : |(a_h^i - a_h^j)(x) \cdot \xi|_h \text{ is bounded}\} \cap F).$$

Therefore $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(N) = 0$ and (2.11) holds true. \square

3. THE COMPACTNESS RESULT

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We divide the proof in steps.

Step 1: Existence of $(a_h)_h$. Let $\mu_h := \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \llcorner J_{u_h} \in \mathcal{M}_b^+(\Omega)$. Since $(u_h)_h$ is bounded in $GSBD^p(\Omega)$, $\sup_h |\mu_h|(\Omega) = \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_{u_h}) < M$ and then, up to a (not relabelled) subsequence, $\mu_h \xrightarrow{*} \mu$ in $\mathcal{M}_b^+(\Omega)$. We denote by

$$J := \left\{ x \in \Omega : \limsup_{\varrho \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu(B_{\varrho}(x))}{\varrho^{n-1}} > 0 \right\}.$$

By [9, Theorem 2.56], the set J is σ -finite with respect to the measure \mathcal{H}^{n-1} , so in particular $\mathcal{L}^n(J) = 0$. Let us fix $\bar{\sigma} \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and consider $\bar{\eta} = \bar{\eta}(\bar{\sigma})$ and $C > 0$ such that the conclusion of Theorem 2.4 holds true in correspondence to $\bar{\sigma}$. (We assume n and p fixed once for all.)

Substep 1.1: Existence of $(a_h)_h$ up to a set of small measure. Let us fix $\eta \in (0, \bar{\eta})$. In the following we perform a construction in correspondence of $\bar{\sigma}$ and η ; to ease the notation, we do not write explicitly the dependence on these parameters in the objects introduced in the construction. Afterwards (starting from (3.6)), we shall keep track of the dependence on η .

By definition of J , for any $x \in \Omega \setminus J$ there exists $\varrho_0 = \varrho_0(x, \eta)$ such that $\mu(B_\varrho(x)) \leq \frac{\eta}{2} \varrho^{n-1}$ for every $\varrho \in (0, \varrho_0)$. Then, in view of the weak* convergence of μ_h to μ , for every $\varrho \in (0, \varrho_0)$ such that $\mu(\partial B_\varrho(x)) = 0$ (notice that this holds for all ϱ except countable many) we have that $\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \mu_h(B_\varrho(x)) = \mu(B_\varrho(x))$. This implies that there exists $h_0 = h_0(x, \eta)$ such that $\mu_h(B_\varrho(x)) < \eta \varrho^{n-1}$ for every $h \geq h_0$ and a.e. $\varrho < \varrho_0$. Notice that by continuity this holds also for every $\varrho < \varrho_0$, so that

$$\mu_h(B_\varrho(x)) < \eta \varrho^{n-1} \quad \text{for every } h \geq h_0, \varrho < \varrho_0.$$

Applying Theorem 2.4 in correspondence to $u_h \in GSBD^p(B_\varrho(x))$ we deduce that for every $x \in \Omega \setminus J$, $h \geq h_0$, and $\varrho < \varrho_0$ there exist $v_{h, \varrho, x} \in GSBD^p(B_\varrho(x))$ and a set of finite perimeter $\omega_{h, \varrho, x} \subset B_\varrho(x)$ such that $v_{h, \varrho, x} = u_h$ in $B_\varrho(x) \setminus \omega_{h, \varrho, x}$, $v_{h, \varrho, x} \in W^{1,p}(B_{\bar{\sigma}\varrho}(x); \mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\int_{B_\varrho(x)} |e(v_{h, \varrho, x})|^p dx \leq 2 \int_{B_\varrho(x)} |e(u_h)|^p dx, \quad (3.1a)$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial^* \omega_{h, \varrho, x}) \leq C \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_{u_h} \cap B_\varrho(x)), \quad (3.1b)$$

$$\mathcal{L}^n(\omega_{h, \varrho, x}) \leq C \eta^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \varrho^n. \quad (3.1c)$$

In particular, by Korn and Korn-Poincaré inequalities applied to $v_{h, \varrho, x}$ in $B_{\bar{\sigma}\varrho}(x)$, there exist infinitesimal rigid motions $a_{h, \varrho, x}$ such that

$$\int_{B_{\bar{\sigma}\varrho}(x)} \left(|v_{h, \varrho, x} - a_{h, \varrho, x}|^{p^*} + |\nabla(v_{h, \varrho, x} - a_{h, \varrho, x})|^p \right) dx \leq C \int_{B_\varrho(x)} |e(u_h)|^p dx. \quad (3.2)$$

We notice that the family

$$\mathcal{F} := \{B_{\bar{\sigma}\varrho}(x) : x \in \Omega \setminus J, \varrho < \varrho_0(x)\}$$

is a fine cover of $\Omega \setminus J$ (cf. [9, Section 2.4]). Then, by Vitali's Covering Theorem, there exists a disjoint family of balls $(B_{\bar{\sigma}\varrho(x_i)}(x_i))_i \subset \mathcal{F}$ with $\mathcal{L}^n\left((\Omega \setminus J) \setminus \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} B_{\bar{\sigma}\varrho(x_i)}(x_i)\right) = 0$. In particular, there exists N , depending on η , such that

$$\mathcal{L}^n\left((\Omega \setminus J) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N B_{\bar{\sigma}\varrho(x_i)}(x_i)\right) < \eta. \quad (3.3)$$

Let us fix $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. There exist (we set $\varrho_i \equiv \varrho(x_i)$) sequences of sets of finite perimeter $(\omega_{h, \varrho_i, x_i})_h$ contained in $B_{\varrho_i}(x_i)$, of functions $(v_{h, \varrho_i, x_i})_h \subset GSBD^p(B_{\varrho_i}(x_i)) \cap W^{1,p}(B_{\bar{\sigma}\varrho_i}(x_i); \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $v_{h, \varrho_i, x_i} = u_h$ in $B_{\varrho_i}(x_i) \setminus \omega_{h, \varrho_i, x_i}$, and of infinitesimal rigid motions $(a_{h, \varrho_i, x_i})_h$ such that (3.1) and (3.2) hold for $\varrho = \varrho_i$, $x = x_i$.

Then, by (3.1b) and (3.1c), up to a subsequence (not relabelled) the characteristic functions of the sets $\omega_{h, \varrho_i, x_i}$ converge weakly* in $BV(B_{\varrho_i}(x_i))$ as $h \rightarrow +\infty$ to a set $\omega_{\varrho_i, x_i} \subset B_{\varrho_i}(x_i)$ with

$$\mathcal{L}^n(\omega_{\varrho_i, x_i}) \leq C \eta^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \varrho_i^n. \quad (3.4)$$

Moreover, again up to a (not relabelled) subsequence,

$$v_{h, \varrho_i, x_i} - a_{h, \varrho_i, x_i} \rightharpoonup u_{\varrho_i, x_i} \quad \text{in } W^{1,p}(B_{\bar{\sigma}\varrho_i}(x_i); \mathbb{R}^n). \quad (3.5)$$

We may assume that the convergences above hold along the same subsequence, independently on $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. Let us denote

$$\omega^\eta := \bigcup_{i=1}^N (\omega_{\varrho_i, x_i} \cap B_{\bar{\sigma}\varrho_i}(x_i)), \quad a_h^\eta := \sum_{i=1}^N a_{h, \varrho_i, x_i} \chi_{B_{\bar{\sigma}\varrho_i}(x_i)}, \quad u^\eta := \sum_{i=1}^N u_{\varrho_i, x_i} \chi_{B_{\bar{\sigma}\varrho_i}(x_i)}. \quad (3.6)$$

By (3.4) we get

$$\mathcal{L}^n(\omega^\eta) \leq C(\bar{\sigma}, n) \eta^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \mathcal{L}^n(\Omega), \quad (3.7a)$$

and (3.3), (3.5) imply that

$$u_h - a_h^\eta \rightarrow u^\eta \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega \setminus E^\eta; \mathbb{R}^n), \quad \text{for } E^\eta := \omega^\eta \cup \left((\Omega \setminus J) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N B_{\bar{\sigma}_{\varrho}(x_i)}(x_i) \right). \quad (3.7b)$$

We may now find a partition $\mathcal{P}^\eta = (P_j^\eta)_j$ of $\Omega \setminus E^\eta$ and a function $\tilde{u} \in L^p(\Omega \setminus E^\eta; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that, up to extracting a further subsequence with respect to h , in correspondence to any P_j there is a sequence $(a_{h,j}^\eta)_h$ such that

$$|a_{h,j}^\eta(x) - a_{h,i}^\eta(x)| \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ whenever } i \neq j \quad (3.8a)$$

and

$$u_h - a_{h,j}^\eta \rightarrow \tilde{u}^\eta \quad \text{in } L^0(P_j^\eta; \mathbb{R}^n). \quad (3.8b)$$

In fact, this is done as follows by regrouping the sequences of infinitesimal rigid motions in each $B_{\bar{\sigma}_{\varrho}(x_i)}$ in equivalence classes, up to extracting a further subsequence.

First, we claim that any sequence of infinitesimal rigid motions $(a_k)_k$ in Ω , up to a subsequence either converges uniformly to an infinitesimal rigid motion (with values in \mathbb{R}^n) or satisfies $|a_k(x)| \rightarrow +\infty$ for every x in Ω with the exception of a set of x of dimension at most $n-1$. In fact, the first situation corresponds to the case where the coefficients of a_k are uniformly bounded on a subsequence. In the opposite case, if $a_k(x) = A_k x + b_k$ for $A_k \in \mathbb{M}_{\text{skew}}^{n \times n}$ and $b_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we may assume (up to a subsequence) that either $|A_k| \rightarrow +\infty$ or $|b_k| \rightarrow +\infty$. Then, for k large (this implies that either $A_k \neq 0$ or $b_k \neq 0$), it holds that $\{a_k = 0\} \equiv \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a_k(x) = 0\}$ is an affine space of dimension at most $n-1$; moreover, there are two sequences $s_k \rightarrow 0$, $t_k \rightarrow +\infty$ such that if $\text{dist}(x, \{a_k = 0\}) > s_k$ then $|a_k(x)| > t_k$ (if $\{a_k = 0\} = \emptyset$, for suitable t_k we have that $|a_k| > t_k$ everywhere). Then $(a_k(x))_k$ is bounded if and only if $\text{dist}(x, \{a_k = 0\}) < s_k$ for every k large enough, and this proves the claim.

Thus, denoting $a_h^i \equiv a_{h,\varrho_i,x_i}$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we may extract a subsequence (not relabelled) such that any sequence in

$$G := \{(a_h^1)_h\} \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \{(a_h^i - a_h^j)_h\}.$$

either converges uniformly to an infinitesimal rigid motion or diverges a.e. in Ω . We say that $i \neq j$ are in the same equivalence class if and only if $(a_h^i - a_h^j)_h$ converges uniformly to an infinitesimal rigid motion.

We conclude (3.8) by considering the union of the $B_{\bar{\sigma}_{\varrho}(x_i)} \setminus \omega^\eta$ for the i 's in the same equivalence class, to get a partition $\mathcal{P}^\eta = (P_j^\eta)_j$, and by fixing a sequence of infinitesimal rigid motions as representative in each P_j^η . Notice that when we fix a given representative $(a_{h,j}^\eta)_h$ in each P_j^η we are able to guarantee the pointwise convergence of $(u_h - a_{h,j}^\eta)_h$, but we loose a global L^p control on $u_h - a_{h,j}^\eta$.

Substep 1.2: Conclusion of Step 1. Let us now take the sequence $\eta_k := \eta 2^{-k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By a diagonal argument we may assume that (3.7) hold for the same subsequence $(u_h)_h$ for every η_k , for suitable ω^{η_k} , E^{η_k} , $a_h^{\eta_k}$, u^{η_k} . Moreover, we find partitions \mathcal{P}^{η_k} such that (3.8) hold for η_k in place of η .

Consider two $P_j^{\eta_{k_1}}$ and $P_i^{\eta_{k_2}}$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}^n(P_j^{\eta_{k_1}} \cap P_i^{\eta_{k_2}}) > 0.$$

We notice that the sequences of infinitesimal rigid motions $(a_{h,j}^{\eta_{k_1}})_h$ and $(a_{h,i}^{\eta_{k_2}})_h$ belong to the same equivalence class, since $a_{h,j}^{\eta_{k_1}} - a_{h,i}^{\eta_{k_2}} \rightarrow \tilde{u}^{\eta_{k_1}} - \tilde{u}^{\eta_{k_2}}$ in $L^0(P_j^{\eta_{k_1}} \cap P_i^{\eta_{k_2}}; \mathbb{R}^n)$. This means that for any k , the partition $\mathcal{P}^{\eta_{k+1}}$ coincides with the partition \mathcal{P}^{η_k} in $\Omega \setminus (E^{\eta_k} \cup E^{\eta_{k+1}})$. Then the partition $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{\eta_k}$ of $\Omega \setminus \bigcap_{j \leq k} E^{\eta_j}$ given by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{\eta_k} := \mathcal{P}^{\eta_j} \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus E^{\eta_j} \text{ for every } j \leq k$$

is well defined, and such that the analogue of (3.8a) holds, that is for $\tilde{P}_j^{\eta_k}$, $\tilde{P}_i^{\eta_k} \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{\eta_k}$

$$|a_{h,j}^{\eta_k}(x) - a_{h,i}^{\eta_k}(x)| \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in B_1(0) \text{ whenever } i \neq j. \quad (3.9)$$

Since $\mathcal{L}^n(\bigcap_{j \leq k} E^{nj}) \leq \mathcal{L}^n(E^{nk}) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ and since the subsequence (with respect to h) is independent of k , we obtain in the limit that there exists a partition $\mathcal{P} = (P_j)_j$ of Ω (up to a \mathcal{L}^n -negligible set) and piecewise infinitesimal rigid functions defined as in (1.4) such that (1.5a) holds and

$$u_h - a_h \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L^0(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n). \quad (3.10)$$

Step 2: Proof of (1.5d). In this step we follow a slicing strategy, in the spirit of [15, Theorem 1.1]. In particular, the first part of the argument above is similar to that in [15, Theorem 1.1, lower semicontinuity]. We remark that we cannot directly apply that result (see Remark 3.2).

We then fix $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ in a set of full \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -measure of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} for which (2.10) holds (cf. Lemma 2.7), and introduce

$$I_y^\xi(u_h) := \int_{\Omega_y^\xi} |(\dot{u}_h)_y^\xi|^p dt, \quad (3.11)$$

where $(\dot{u}_h)_y^\xi$ is the density of the absolutely continuous part of $D(\widehat{u}_h)_y^\xi$, the distributional derivative of $(\widehat{u}_h)_y^\xi$ ($(\widehat{u}_h)_y^\xi \in SBV_{\text{loc}}^p(\Omega_y^\xi)$ for every $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -a.e. $y \in \Pi^\xi$, since $u_h \in GSBD^p(\Omega)$); we denote here and in the following \widehat{u}_h for \widehat{u}_h). Therefore

$$\int_{\Pi_\xi} I_y^\xi(u_h) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) = \int_{\Omega} |e(u_h)(x)\xi \cdot \xi|^p \leq \int_{\Omega} |e(u_h)|^p dx \leq M, \quad (3.12)$$

by Fubini-Tonelli's theorem and since $(u_h)_h$ is bounded in $GSBD^p(\Omega)$. Let $u_k = u_{h_k}$ be a subsequence of u_h such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_{u_k}) = \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_{u_h}) < +\infty, \quad (3.13)$$

so that, by (2.5), (3.12), and Fatou's lemma, we have that for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -a.e. $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Pi_\xi} \left(\mathcal{H}^0(J_{(\widehat{u}_k)_y^\xi}) + \varepsilon I_y^\xi(u_k) \right) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) < +\infty, \quad (3.14)$$

for a fixed $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Let us fix $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ such that (2.10) and (3.14) hold. Then there is a subsequence $u_m = u_{k_m}$ of u_k , depending on ε and ξ , such that

$$(\widehat{u}_m - \widehat{a}_m)_y^\xi \rightarrow \widehat{u}_y^\xi \quad \text{in } L^0(\Omega_y^\xi) \quad \text{for } \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\text{-a.e. } y \in \Pi_\xi \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Pi_\xi} \left(\mathcal{H}^0(J_{(\widehat{u}_m)_y^\xi}) + \varepsilon I_y^\xi(u_m) \right) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \\ &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Pi_\xi} \left(\mathcal{H}^0(J_{(\widehat{u}_k)_y^\xi}) + \varepsilon I_y^\xi(u_k) \right) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y). \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

As for (3.15), we notice that it follows from Fubini-Tonelli's theorem and the convergence in measure of $u_h - a_h$ to u (see (3.10)), which corresponds to $\tanh(u_h - a_h) \rightarrow \tanh(u) \in L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ (with $\tanh(v) = (\tanh(v \cdot e_1), \dots, \tanh(v \cdot e_n))$ for every $v: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$). Therefore, by (3.16) and Fatou's lemma, we have that for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -a.e. $y \in \Pi^\xi$

$$\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mathcal{H}^0(J_{(\widehat{u}_m)_y^\xi}) + \varepsilon I_y^\xi(u_m) \right) < +\infty, \quad (3.17)$$

Moreover, we infer that if ξ satisfies (2.10), then

$$\text{for } \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\text{-a.e. } y \in \Pi^\xi \quad |(\widehat{a}_h^i - \widehat{a}_h^j)_y^\xi(t)| = |(\widehat{a}_h^i - \widehat{a}_h^j)_y^\xi(0)| \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{for every } t \in \Omega_y^\xi. \quad (3.18)$$

Indeed, since $e(a_h^i) = 0$ for every i, h , then, for fixed h , $(\widehat{a}_h^i - \widehat{a}_h^j)_y^\xi$ is constant in Ω_y^ξ . Thus

$$|(a_h^i - a_h^j) \cdot \xi| \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{in } \bigcup \{ \Omega_y^\xi : y \in \Pi^\xi \text{ s.t. } |(a_h^i - a_h^j)(y) \cdot \xi| \rightarrow +\infty \},$$

and (3.18) holds true.

Let us consider $y \in \Pi^\xi$ satisfying (3.15), (3.17), (3.18), and such that $(\widehat{u}_m)_y^\xi \in SBV_{\text{loc}}(\Omega_y^\xi)$ for every m . Then we may extract a subsequence $u_j = u_{m_j}$ from u_m , depending also on y , for which

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mathcal{H}^0(J_{(\widehat{u}_j)_y^\xi}) + \varepsilon I_y^\xi(u_j) \right) = \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mathcal{H}^0(J_{(\widehat{u}_m)_y^\xi}) + \varepsilon I_y^\xi(u_m) \right) \quad (3.19)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{u}_j - \widehat{a}_j)_y^\xi &\rightarrow \widehat{u}_y^\xi \quad \mathcal{L}^1\text{-a.e. in } \Omega_y^\xi, \\ |(\widehat{a}_j^{i_1} - \widehat{a}_j^{i_2})_y^\xi(t)| &= |(\widehat{a}_j^{i_1} - \widehat{a}_j^{i_2})_y^\xi(0)| \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{for } t \in \Omega_y^\xi \text{ and } i_1 \neq i_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

In the following, we denote (similarly to (2.9), in dimension one)

$$\partial \mathcal{P}_y^\xi := \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \partial(P_j)_y^\xi \subset \Omega_y^\xi.$$

Since, by (3.19), the number of jump points of $(\widehat{u}_j)_y^\xi$ is bounded uniformly with respect to j , up to pass to a subsequence of $((\widehat{u}_j)_y^\xi)_j$ we may assume that for every j

$$\mathcal{H}^0(J_{(\widehat{u}_j)_y^\xi}) = N_y \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore we have $M_y \leq N_y$ cluster points in the limit, denoted by

$$t_1, \dots, t_{M_y}.$$

Using the equiboundedness of $I_y^\xi(u_j)$, which follows from (3.19), we get that, for \mathcal{L}^1 -almost any choice of $\bar{t} \in (t_l, t_{l+1})$,

$$t \mapsto (\widehat{u}_j)_y^\xi(t) - (\widehat{u}_j)_y^\xi(\bar{t}) \text{ are equibounded with respect to } j \text{ in } W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(t_l, t_{l+1}), \quad (3.21)$$

by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, and then this sequence converges locally uniformly in (t_l, t_{l+1}) , as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Let us prove that

$$\partial \mathcal{P}_y^\xi \subset \{t_1, \dots, t_{M_y}\} \quad (3.22)$$

We argue by contradiction, assuming that there exists $l \in \{1, \dots, M_y\}$ and i_1 such that $\partial(P_{i_1})_y^\xi \cap (t_l, t_{l+1}) \neq \emptyset$. If this holds, there exist two sequences of infinitesimal rigid motions $(a_j^{i_1})_j, (a_j^{i_2})_j$ (the latter corresponds to some P_{i_2} with $i_1 \neq i_2$) such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{u}_j - \widehat{a}_j^{i_1})_y^\xi &\rightarrow \widehat{u}_y^\xi \quad \mathcal{L}^1\text{-a.e. in } (P_{i_1})_y^\xi \cap (t_l, t_{l+1}), \\ (\widehat{u}_j - \widehat{a}_j^{i_2})_y^\xi &\rightarrow \widehat{u}_y^\xi \quad \mathcal{L}^1\text{-a.e. in } (P_{i_2})_y^\xi \cap (t_l, t_{l+1}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

with $\mathcal{L}^1((P_{i_1})_y^\xi \cap (t_l, t_{l+1})), \mathcal{L}^1((P_{i_2})_y^\xi \cap (t_l, t_{l+1})) > 0$. But this gives, with (3.21) and since \widehat{a}_j^i are infinitesimal rigid motions and $\widehat{u}_y^\xi: \Omega_y^\xi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, that $(\widehat{a}_j^{i_1} - \widehat{a}_j^{i_2})_y^\xi$ is constant in Ω_y^ξ and uniformly bounded with respect to j . This is in contradiction with (3.20). Therefore, (3.22) is proven.

Moreover, for every l there exists a unique $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(\widehat{u}_j - \widehat{a}_j^i)_y^\xi \rightarrow \widehat{u}_y^\xi \quad \text{in } W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(t_l, t_{l+1}) \quad (3.24)$$

and in particular the above convergence is locally uniform in (t_l, t_{l+1}) . Since a_j^i are rigid motions, we also have that

$$\|\dot{u}_y^\xi\|_{L^p(K)} \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|(\dot{u}_h)_y^\xi\|_{L^p(t_l, t_{l+1})} \text{ for every compact set } K \subset (t_l, t_{l+1}),$$

so

$$\widehat{u}_y^\xi \in SBV^p(\Omega_y^\xi) \text{ and } J_{\widehat{u}_y^\xi} \subset \{t_1, \dots, t_{M_y}\}.$$

This implies, with (3.19), that

$$\mathcal{H}^0(J_{\widehat{u}_y^\xi} \cup \partial \mathcal{P}_y^\xi) = \mathcal{H}^0(J_{\widehat{u}_y^\xi} \cap (\mathcal{P}_y^\xi)^{(1)}) + \mathcal{H}^0(\partial \mathcal{P}_y^\xi) \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mathcal{H}^0(J_{(\widehat{u}_m)_y^\xi}) + \varepsilon I_y^\xi(u_m) \right). \quad (3.25)$$

Notice that we have expressed $J_{\widehat{u}_y^\xi} \cup \partial \mathcal{P}_y^\xi$ as the disjoint union $(J_{\widehat{u}_y^\xi} \cap (\mathcal{P}_y^\xi)^{(1)}) \cup \partial \mathcal{P}_y^\xi$, denoting

$$(\mathcal{P}_y^\xi)^{(1)} := \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} ((P_j)_y^\xi)^{(1)}$$

(recall (2.9) and that $E^{(1)}$ denotes the point where $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ has density 1 with respect to \mathcal{L}^d , above $d = 1$).

Integrating over $y \in \Pi^\xi$ and using Fatou's lemma with (3.16) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Pi^\xi} \left[\mathcal{H}^0(J_{\widehat{u}_y^\xi} \cap (\mathcal{P}_y^\xi)^{(1)}) + \mathcal{H}^0(\partial \mathcal{P}_y^\xi) \right] d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \\ & \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Pi^\xi} \left[\mathcal{H}^0(J_{(\widehat{u}_k)_y^\xi}) + \varepsilon(I_y^\xi(u_k) + \Pi_y^\xi(u_k)) \right] d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -a.e. $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. In particular we deduce that each P_j has finite perimeter (cf. [9, Remark 3.104]) and $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial^* P_j) < +\infty$.

Integrating further (3.26) over $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, by (2.5), (3.12), and (3.13) we get

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-1}\left(J_u \cup \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \partial^* P_j\right) \leq C M \varepsilon + \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_{u_h}), \quad (3.27)$$

for a universal constant C . By the arbitrariness of ε , (1.5d) follows.

Step 3: Proof of (1.5c). In order to prove (1.5c) it is enough to combine what we have proven so far with the compactness and lower semicontinuity result [21, Theorem 11.3] (or [15, Theorem 1.1]). In fact, the sequence $(u_h - a_h)_h$ is bounded in $GSBD^p(\Omega)$: by definition of a_h^j we have that

$$e(u_h - a_h) = e(u_h), \quad J_{u_h - a_h} \subset J_{u_h} \cup \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \partial^* P_j,$$

and we know that $(u_h)_h$ is bounded in $GSBD^p(\Omega)$ and $\sum_j \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial^* P_j) < +\infty$, by (1.5d). Since we know that $u_h - a_h \rightarrow u$, we are allowed to apply [21, Theorem 11.3] (or [15, Theorem 1.1]), knowing that the exceptional set A therein is empty). We deduce

$$e(u_h) = e(u_h - a_h) \rightarrow e(u) \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{M}_{sym}^{n \times n}),$$

so (1.5c) is proven and the general proof is concluded. \square

Remark 3.1. With the notation of Theorem 1.1, the sequence $(u_h - a_h)_h$ is bounded in $GSBD^p(\Omega)$. This is proven in Step 3.

Remark 3.2. We cannot directly apply [15, Theorem 1.1] to $u_h - a_h^j$ for every j in Step 2. Indeed, we would obtain $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}((J_u \cap P_j^{(1)}) \cup \partial^* P_j) \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_{u_h})$, but the j 's are countable many and we cannot localize on right-hand side, since the P_j 's are not open sets.

4. LOWER SEMICONTINUITY AND MINIMISATION

In this section we first prove our main lower semicontinuity result, concerning a class of free discontinuity functionals with general bulk and surface energy densities. In the second part we apply Theorem 1.2 to the minimisation of free discontinuity functionals with general bulk and surface energy densities.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Up to a subsequence, we may assume that

$$\liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} E(u_h) = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} E(u_h) < +\infty. \quad (4.1)$$

In view of the growth assumptions on f and g , we have that $(u_h)_h$ is bounded in $GSBD^p(\Omega)$. Thus we may apply Theorem 1.1 to find a subsequence (not relabelled), a Caccioppoli partition \mathcal{P} of Ω , a sequence of piecewise infinitesimal rigid motions $(a_h)_h$, and $u \in GSBD^p(\Omega)$ satisfying (1.4) and (1.5).

By (4.1) we obtain that, up to a further subsequence,

$$f(x, e(u_h)) \mathcal{L}^n \llcorner \Omega + g(x, [u_h], \nu_{u_h}) \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \llcorner J_{u_h} =: \mu_h \xrightarrow{*} \mu \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}_b^+(\Omega)$$

as $h \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, by the Besicovitch derivation theorem and the Radon-Nikodym decomposition for μ (cf. [9, Theorem 2.2]), the result will follow from the estimates

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{L}^n}(x_0) \geq f(x_0, e(u)(x_0)) \quad \text{for } \mathcal{L}^n\text{-a.e. } x_0 \in \Omega \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}(x_0) &\geq g(x_0, [u](x_0), \nu_u(x_0)) \quad \text{for } \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\text{-a.e. } x_0 \in J_u \cap \mathcal{P}^{(1)}, \\ \frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}(x_0) &\geq g_\infty(x_0, \nu_{\mathcal{P}}) \quad \text{for } \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\text{-a.e. } x_0 \in \partial^*\mathcal{P}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Step 1: Proof of (4.2). We divide this step into different substeps.

Substep 1.1: Choice of the blow up point x_0 and first properties. We pick x_0 in a subset of Ω of full \mathcal{L}^n -measure, satisfying the following four criteria. First, we notice that by the definition of Radon-Nikodym derivative and [9, Theorem 2.2] we have that for \mathcal{L}^n -a.e. $x_0 \in \Omega$

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{L}^n}(x_0) = \lim_{\varrho \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu(B_\varrho(x_0))}{\gamma_n \varrho^n}. \quad (4.4)$$

Second, in [13] it is proven that every function in $GSBD^p$ is approximately differentiable \mathcal{L}^n -a.e., namely that for \mathcal{L}^n -a.e. $x_0 \in \Omega$ there exists $\nabla u(x_0) \in \mathbb{M}^{n \times n}$ (such that $e(u)(x_0) = (\nabla u(x_0))^{\text{sym}}$ for a.e. x_0) for which it holds

$$\text{ap lim}_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{|u(x) - u(x_0) - \nabla u(x_0)(x - x_0)|}{|x - x_0|} = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Third, we take

$$x_0 \in \mathcal{P}^{(1)}, \quad (4.6)$$

which is a set of full \mathcal{L}^n -measure in Ω . The fourth and last criterion employed in the choice of x_0 is based on the properties of f . Since f is a Carathéodory function, arguing as in [24, proof of Theorem 1.2], by Scorza Dragoni Theorem (see, e.g., [26], p. 235) one deduces that there exists $F \subset \Omega$ with $\mathcal{L}^n(\Omega \setminus F) = 0$ such that for any $x_0 \in F$ there exists a compact set $K_{x_0} \subset \Omega$ (depending on x_0) such that

$$f|_{K_{x_0} \times \mathbb{M}_{sym}^{n \times n}} \text{ is continuous in } K_{x_0} \times \mathbb{M}_{sym}^{n \times n} \quad \text{and} \quad x_0 \in K_{x_0} \cap K_{x_0}^{(1)}. \quad (4.7)$$

Then the set of points x_0 satisfying (4.4), (4.5), (4.6), and (4.7) is of full \mathcal{L}^n -measure in Ω . Let us choose x_0 in this set.

Let us fix a sequence $(\varrho_k)_k$ converging to 0 such that $\mu(\partial B_{\varrho_k}(x_0)) = 0$ for every k (in fact this is true for any $\varrho > 0$ except at most countable many). Then, by (4.4) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_n \frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{L}^n}(x_0) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_h(B_{\varrho_k}(x_0))}{\varrho_k^n} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\varrho_k^n} \left\{ \int_{B_{\varrho_k}(x_0)} f(x, e(u_h)(x)) dx + \int_{J_{u_h} \cap B_{\varrho_k}(x_0)} g(x, [u_h], \nu_{u_h}) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Moreover, by (4.5), (4.6), and (1.5b) it holds that

$$u_{k,h}^{x_0}(y) := \frac{(u_h - a_h)(x_0 + \varrho_k y) - u(x_0)}{\varrho_k} \rightarrow \nabla u(x_0) y \quad \text{in } L^0(B_1; \mathbb{R}^n), \quad (4.9)$$

as $h, k \rightarrow 0$ in this order, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_k^{-(n-1)} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial^*\mathcal{P} \cap B_{\varrho_k}(x_0)) = 0$, and so

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_{u_h} \cap B_{\varrho_k}(x_0))}{\varrho_k^{n-1}} = 0. \quad (4.10)$$

Substep 1.2: Blow up argument: change of variables. We perform a blow up procedure in correspondence of a point $x_0 \in \Omega$ chosen as above, in order to prove (4.2).

Let us consider the functions $u_{k,h}^{x_0}$, defined in (4.9). We notice that (4.8) and (g_2) imply that for a suitable $\tilde{C} > 0$

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\varrho_k^n} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_{u_h} \cap B_{\varrho_k}(x_0)) \leq \tilde{C}.$$

Together with (4.10), by a change of variable this gives that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{h \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_{u_{k,h}^{x_0}}) = 0. \quad (4.11)$$

Setting

$$f_k(y, \xi) := f(x_0 + \varepsilon_k y, \xi),$$

by (4.8) we obtain that

$$\gamma_n \frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{L}^n}(x_0) \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_1} f_k(y, e(u_{k,h}^{x_0})(y)) dy. \quad (4.12)$$

Then a diagonal argument allows to define functions $v_k := u_{k,h_k}^{x_0}$ such that (4.9), (4.11), and (4.12) hold true for v_k (and considering the limit or the lim sup only in k).

Eventually we observe that, due to (4.7),

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k(y, \xi) = f(x_0, \xi) \quad \text{for a.e. } y \in B_1, \text{ locally uniformly in } \mathbb{M}_{sym}^{n \times n}. \quad (4.13)$$

In fact, the pointwise convergence follows from the fact that $x_0 \in K_{x_0} \cap K_{x_0}^{(1)}$, and the local uniform convergence in $\mathbb{M}_{sym}^{n \times n}$ by the continuity of f in $K_{x_0} \times \mathbb{M}_{sym}^{n \times n}$.

Substep 1.3: Blow up argument: lower semicontinuity. Let us fix $\bar{\sigma} \in (0, 1)$. In correspondence of $\bar{\sigma}$ we find positive constants $\eta(\bar{\sigma})$ and C such that the conclusion of Theorem 2.4 holds. Fix also $\delta \in (0, \frac{\eta(\bar{\sigma})}{C})$, where \tilde{C} is the constant in (4.11). We notice that, up to consider a subsequence (not relabelled), we may assume that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_{v_k}) < (C^{-1} \wedge 1) \delta. \quad (4.14)$$

In particular, we have that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_{v_k} \cap B_1) < \eta(\bar{\sigma})$ for every k , and we may apply [13, Theorem 2.2] to the functions $v_k \in GSBD^p(B_1)$. This provides functions $w_k \in GSBD^p(B_1) \cap W^{1,p}(B_{\bar{\sigma}}; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and sets of finite perimeter $\omega_k \subset B_1$ such that

$$w_k = v_k \text{ in } B_1 \setminus \omega_k, \quad \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial^* \omega_k) < C \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_{v_k}), \quad (4.15)$$

$\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_{w_k}) \leq \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_{v_k})$, and

$$\int_{B_1} |e(w_k)|^p dx \leq 2 \int_{B_1} |e(v_k)|^p dx. \quad (4.16)$$

By (4.14), and (4.15), and the fact that $\mathcal{L}^n(\omega_k) \leq C \varrho_k \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_{v_k}) < C \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_{v_k})$, we have that

$$\mathcal{L}^n(\omega_\delta) < \delta \quad \text{for } \omega_\delta := \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \omega_k, \quad (4.17)$$

and

$$w_k = v_k \text{ in } B_1 \setminus \omega_\delta \quad \text{for every } k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.18)$$

By (4.15) and (4.16) we deduce that w_k are equibounded in $W^{1,p}(B_{\bar{\sigma}}; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{L}^n(\{w_k \neq v_k\}) \rightarrow 0$, so that

$$w_k(y) \rightarrow \nabla u(x_0) y \quad \text{in } W^{1,p}(B_{\bar{\sigma}}; \mathbb{R}^n).$$

We now perform a further approximation, through a sequence of equi-Lipschitz functions. This is done for two reasons: first, to employ (4.13) since therein the convergence holds for ξ in compact sets; second, to pass to the limit in the integral of $f(x_0, e(w_k))$ over the set $B_{\bar{\sigma}} \setminus \omega_\delta$, which is not in general open and so the semicontinuity theorem in [1] does not apply directly. Then we recall, adapting to the present case, what proven in [24, Proposition 3.1], in the spirit of [1] and [4].

In correspondence to δ , there exist a Borel set E_δ with $\mathcal{L}^n(E_\delta) < \delta$ (this replaces the sequence $(E_k)_k$ with $\mathcal{L}^n(E_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in [24, Proposition 3.1]) and for every m and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $\widehat{w}_{k,m} \in W^{1,\infty}(B_{\bar{\sigma}}; \mathbb{R}^n)$, $E_{k,m} \subset B_{\bar{\sigma}}$ Borel sets such that

$$\|\widehat{w}_{k,m}\|_{L^\infty} + \text{Lip}(\widehat{w}_{k,m}) \leq C(n, B_1)m, \quad \widehat{w}_{k,m} = w_k \text{ in } B_{\bar{\sigma}} \setminus E_{k,m}, \quad (4.19)$$

and, up to extracting a subsequence with respect to k , $\widehat{w}_{k,m} \xrightarrow{*} \widehat{w}_m$ in $W^{1,\infty}(B_{\bar{\sigma}}; \mathbb{R}^n)$ for every m , with

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_{k,m} \setminus E_\delta} \left(1 + f_k(y, e(\widehat{w}_{k,m}))\right) dy &= 0, \\ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} m^p \mathcal{L}^n(A_m) &= 0, \quad \text{for } A_m := \{\widehat{w}_m \neq \nabla u(x_0) \cdot\} \cap (B_{\bar{\sigma}} \setminus E_\delta). \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_1} f_k(y, e(v_k)) dy &\geq \int_{B_{\bar{\sigma}} \setminus \omega_\delta} f_k(y, e(w_k)) dy \geq \int_{B_{\bar{\sigma}} \setminus (E_\delta \cup \omega_\delta \cup E_{k,m})} f_k(y, e(\widehat{w}_{k,m})) dy \\ &= \int_{B_{\bar{\sigma}} \setminus (E_\delta \cup \omega_\delta)} f_k(y, e(\widehat{w}_{k,m})) dy - \int_{E_{k,m} \setminus (E_\delta \cup \omega_\delta)} f_k(y, e(\widehat{w}_{k,m})) dy \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

recalling that $f_k \geq 0$, $w_k = v_k$ in $B_1 \setminus \omega_\delta$, and $\widehat{w}_{k,m} = w_k$ in $B_{\bar{\sigma}} \setminus E_{k,m}$. We now use the fact that $\widehat{w}_{k,m} \xrightarrow{*} \widehat{w}_m$ in $W^{1,\infty}(B_{\bar{\sigma}}; \mathbb{R}^n)$ (so that $(\widehat{w}_{k,m})_k$ is a sequence of equi-Lipschitz functions and $(f(x_0, e(\widehat{w}_{k,m})))_k$ is equi-integrable in $B_{\bar{\sigma}}$) and (4.13), to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\bar{\sigma}} \setminus (E_\delta \cup \omega_\delta)} f_k(y, e(\widehat{w}_{k,m})) dy &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\bar{\sigma}} \setminus (E_\delta \cup \omega_\delta)} f(x_0, e(\widehat{w}_{k,m})) dy, \\ &\geq \int_{B_{\bar{\sigma}} \setminus (E_\delta \cup \omega_\delta)} f(x_0, e(\widehat{w}_m)) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

We observe that in the equality above we used (4.13), and to prove the latter estimate it is enough to apply Morrey's Lower Semicontinuity Theorem in an arbitrary open set containing $B_{\bar{\sigma}} \setminus (E_\delta \cup \omega_\delta)$ and observe that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, thanks to the equi-integrability, we can find an open set $B'_\varepsilon \supset B_{\bar{\sigma}} \setminus (E_\delta \cup \omega_\delta)$ such that the integrals of $f(x_0, e(\widehat{w}_{k,m}))$ (for every k) and $f(x_0, e(\widehat{w}_m))$ over $Q'_\varepsilon \setminus (B_{\bar{\sigma}} \setminus (E_\delta \cup \omega_\delta))$ are less than ε .

The second estimate in (4.20), (4.19), and $f \geq 0$ imply that

$$\int_{B_{\bar{\sigma}} \setminus (E_\delta \cup \omega_\delta)} f(x_0, e(\widehat{w}_m)) dy \geq \mathcal{L}^n(B_{\bar{\sigma}} \setminus (E_\delta \cup \omega_\delta \cup A_m)) f(x_0, e(u)(x_0)). \quad (4.23)$$

Moreover, employing again $f_k \geq 0$,

$$\int_{E_{k,m} \setminus (\omega_\delta \cup E_\delta)} f_k(y, e(\widehat{w}_{k,m})) dy \leq \int_{E_{k,m} \setminus E_\delta} f_k(y, e(\widehat{w}_{k,m})) dy. \quad (4.24)$$

Collecting (4.21), (4.22), (4.24), (4.23), (4.20), and passing to the \liminf in k and to the limit in m , we obtain that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_1} f_k(y, e(v_k)) dy \geq \mathcal{L}^n(B_{\bar{\sigma}} \setminus (E_\delta \cup \omega_\delta)) f(x_0, e(u)(x_0)) > \gamma_n(\bar{\sigma}^n - 2\delta) f(x_0, e(u)(x_0)).$$

Passing to the limit first as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and then as $\bar{\sigma} \rightarrow 1$, by (4.12) (recall the definition $v_k = u_{k,h_k}^{x_0}$) we deduce (4.2).

Step 2: Proof of (4.10). We denote $J'_u := (J_u \cap \mathcal{P}^{(1)}) \cup \partial^* \mathcal{P}$.

Substep 2.1: Choice of the blow up point x_0 and first properties. Since J'_u is countably rectifiable and thanks to (2.7), for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -a.e. $x_0 \in J'_u$ there exist $u^+(x_0), u^-(x_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\nu_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ such that

$$\text{ap lim}_{\substack{x \in (Q_{\rho}^{\nu_0}(x_0))^\pm \\ \rho \rightarrow x_0}} u(x) = u^\pm(x_0). \quad (4.25)$$

Notice that ν_0 denotes $\nu_u(x_0)$ if $x_0 \in J_u$ and the outer normal to P_i at x_0 , if $x_0 \in \partial^* \mathcal{P}$ and $x_0 \in \partial^* P_i \cap \partial^* P_j$ for $i < j$. We remark that $u^+(x_0) \neq u^-(x_0)$ for $x_0 \in J_u$.

Moreover, since μ is a positive bounded Radon measure and J'_u is countably rectifiable, there exists the Radon-Nikodym derivative of μ with respect to $\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \llcorner J'_u$ (which is σ -finite) and it holds (see e.g. [9, Theorems 1.28 and 2.83])

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}(x_0) = \lim_{\varrho \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu(Q_{\varrho}^{\nu_0}(x_0))}{\varrho^{n-1}} \quad \text{for } \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\text{-a.e. } x_0 \in J'_u. \quad (4.26)$$

We thus fix x_0 such that both (4.25) and (4.26) hold for x_0 . Moreover, let us consider the sets $D \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $N \subset \partial^* \mathcal{P}$ given by Lemma 2.8 applied to $F = \partial^* \mathcal{P}$, and fix $x_0 \notin N$.

Recalling the pointwise convergence of $u_h - a_h$ to u , by a change of variables we obtain from (4.25) that

$$(u_h - a_h)(x_0 + \varrho_k \cdot) \rightarrow u_0 := u^+(x_0)\chi_{Q_1^{\nu_0,+}} + u^-(x_0)\chi_{Q_1^{\nu_0,-}} \quad \text{in } L^0(Q_1^{\nu_0}; \mathbb{R}^n) \quad (4.27)$$

as $h \rightarrow \infty, k \rightarrow \infty$. Analogously to (4.8), we fix a vanishing sequence $(\varrho_k)_k$ with $\mu(\partial Q_{\varrho_k}^{\nu_0}(x_0)) = 0$, and then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}(x_0) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_h(Q_{\varrho_k}^{\nu_0}(x_0))}{\varrho_k^{n-1}} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\varrho_k^{n-1}} \left\{ \int_{Q_{\varrho_k}^{\nu_0}(x_0)} f(x, e(u_h)(x)) dx + \int_{J_{u_h} \cap Q_{\varrho_k}^{\nu_0}(x_0)} g(x, [u_h], \nu_{u_h}) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

Substep 2.2: Blow up argument for $x_0 \in J_u \cap \mathcal{P}^{(1)}$. Given $x_0 \in J_u \cap \mathcal{P}^{(1)}$, there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_0 \in \mathcal{P}_j^{(1)}$. Then

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow +\infty} |a_h - a_h^j| = 0 \quad \mathcal{L}^n\text{-a.e. in } Q_1^{\nu_0}, \quad (4.29)$$

for a_h^j the infinitesimal rigid motion corresponding to \mathcal{P}_j , cf. (1.4). Up to choosing a subsequence h_k in (4.27), by (4.29) we get

$$\tilde{v}_k := (u_{h_k} - a_{h_k}^j)(x_0 + \varrho_k \cdot) \rightarrow u_0 := u^+(x_0)\chi_{Q_1^{\nu_0,+}} + u^-(x_0)\chi_{Q_1^{\nu_0,-}} \quad \text{in } L^0(Q_1^{\nu_0}; \mathbb{R}^n). \quad (4.30)$$

By (4.28) and assumptions (g_1) , (g_3) we obtain that

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}(x_0) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_{Q_1^{\nu_0}} f(x, e(\tilde{v}_k)(x)) dx + \int_{J_{\tilde{v}_k} \cap Q_1^{\nu_0}} g(x_0, [\tilde{v}_k], \nu_{\tilde{v}_k}) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right\}. \quad (4.31)$$

We remark that above we used that g does not depend separately on the two traces v^+ and v^- but only on $[v]$. This allowed us to infer that for any function v and infinitesimal rigid motion a the surface part evaluated on v is equal to the surface part evaluated on $v - a$.

By the growth assumptions on f and g it follows that $(\tilde{v}_k)_k$ converges weakly in $GSBD^p(Q_1^{\nu_0})$ to u_0 .

Therefore, (g_4) and (4.31) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} g(x_0, [u](x_0), \nu_u(x_0)) &= \int_{J_{u_0}} g_{x_0}([u_0], \nu_0) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{J_{\tilde{v}_k} \cap Q_1^{\nu_0}} g_{x_0}([\tilde{v}_k], \nu_{\tilde{v}_k}) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \leq \frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Substep 2.3: Blow up argument for $x_0 \in \partial^ \mathcal{P}$.* Assume that $x_0 \in \partial^* P_i \cap \partial^* P_j$, for $i < j$. Let us take a subsequence h_k such that the convergences in (4.27) and (4.28) hold along h_k , as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then we denote

$$v_k(y) := u_{h_k}(x_0 + \varrho_k y) \quad \text{for } y \in Q_1^{\nu_0}.$$

These functions satisfy (by a change of variables in (4.28))

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}(x_0) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_{Q_1^{\nu_0}} f(x, e(v_k)(x)) dx + \int_{J_{v_k} \cap Q_1^{\nu_0}} g(x, [v_k], \nu_{v_k}) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right\} \quad (4.32)$$

and, by (4.27),

$$(u_{h_k} - a_{h_k})(x_0 + \varrho_k \cdot) \rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{in } L^0(Q_1^{\nu_0}; \mathbb{R}^n)$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, since $x_0 \in \partial^* P_i \cap \partial^* P_j$, setting $a_k^+(y) := a_{h_k}^j(x_0 + \varrho_k y)$ and $a_k^-(y) := a_{h_k}^i(x_0 + \varrho_k y)$ for $y \in Q_1^{\nu_0}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} v_k - a_k^+ &= (u_{h_k} - a_{h_k}^j)(x_0 + \varrho_k \cdot) \rightarrow u^+(x_0) \quad \text{in } L^0(Q_1^{\nu_0,+}; \mathbb{R}^n), \\ v_k - a_k^- &= (u_{h_k} - a_{h_k}^i)(x_0 + \varrho_k \cdot) \rightarrow u^-(x_0) \quad \text{in } L^0(Q_1^{\nu_0,-}; \mathbb{R}^n). \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

Moreover, in view of the choice $x_0 \notin N$ it holds that $|(a_k^+ - a_k^-) \cdot \xi| \rightarrow +\infty$ uniformly in $Q_1^{\nu_0}$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, for any $\xi \in D$ (recall that N and D are given by Lemma 2.8). Since $e(a_k^+ - a_k^-) = 0$, we get

$$|(\widehat{a}_k^+ - \widehat{a}_k^-)_y^\xi| \equiv |(\widehat{a}_k^+ - \widehat{a}_k^-)_y^\xi(0)| \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for any } \xi \in D. \quad (4.34)$$

Case $g_\infty(x_0, \nu_0) \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that $g_\infty(x_0, \nu_0) \in \mathbb{R}$, so that g_∞ takes finite values, and fix $\eta > 0$ small. We find $\xi_0 = \xi_0(\nu_0, \eta) \in D \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ such that ξ_0 satisfies (2.10) and

$$\left| g_\infty(x_0, \nu_0) - \frac{|\nu_0 \cdot \xi_0|}{g_{x_0, \infty}^*(\xi_0)} \right| < \eta, \quad (4.35)$$

where $g_{x_0, \infty}^*$ is the dual norm of $g_\infty(x_0, \cdot)$, given by $\phi^*(\xi) := \sup_{\phi(\nu) \leq 1} |\nu \cdot \xi|$. This is done by choosing a vector $\bar{\xi}$ in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} such that $g_\infty(x_0, \nu_0) = \frac{|\nu_0 \cdot \bar{\xi}|}{g_{x_0, \infty}^*(\bar{\xi})}$ and by continuity, using that D is dense in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} .

By (g₅) there is a function $\kappa: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \kappa(t) = 0$ such that

$$g(x, y, \nu) > g_\infty(x, \nu) - \kappa(t) \quad \text{for every } x \in \Omega, |y| > t, \text{ and } \nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1},$$

and, from (g₃), $g(x, y, \nu) > g_\infty(x_0, \nu) - \kappa(t)$ in a neighbourhood of x_0 . By the definition of dual norm, (g₅), (4.35), and since $|[v_k] \cdot \xi_0| \leq |[v_k]|$, we get

$$g(x, [v_k], \nu_{v_k}) \geq (g_\infty(x_0, \nu_{v_k}) - \kappa(t)) \chi_{\{|[v_k]| > t\}} \geq \left(\frac{|\nu_{v_k} \cdot \xi_0|}{g_{x_0, \infty}^*(\xi_0)} - \kappa(t) - \eta \right) \chi_{\{|[v_k] \cdot \xi_0| > t\}} \quad (4.36)$$

\mathcal{H}^{n-1} -a.e. in $J_{v_k} \cap Q_1^{\nu_0}$. We observe that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_{v_k} \cap Q_1^{\nu_0}) =: L < +\infty, \quad (4.37)$$

since g takes values in $[c, +\infty)$. Then, using also (4.32) and (4.36) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}(x_0) &\geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_{Q_1^{\nu_0}} \frac{|e(v_k) \xi_0 \cdot \xi_0|^p}{C} dx + \int_{J_{v_k} \cap Q_1^{\nu_0}} \left(\frac{|\nu_{v_k} \cdot \xi_0|}{g_{x_0, \infty}^*(\xi_0)} \chi_{\{|[v_k] \cdot \xi_0| > t\}} + \varepsilon \right) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right\} \\ &\quad - (\kappa(t) + \eta + \varepsilon)L \\ &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Pi^{\xi_0}} F_{y,t}^{\xi_0, \varepsilon}((\widehat{v}_k)_y^{\xi_0}) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) - (\kappa(t) + \eta + \varepsilon)L \end{aligned} \quad (4.38)$$

with

$$F_{y,t}^{\xi_0, \varepsilon}(v) := \frac{1}{C} \int_{(Q_1^{\nu_0})_y^{\xi_0}} |v'(s)|^p ds + \mathcal{H}^0(\{s: |[v](s)| > t\}) \frac{1}{g_{x_0, \infty}^*(\xi_0)} + \varepsilon \mathcal{H}^0(J_v)$$

for $v: (Q_1^{\nu_0})_y^{\xi_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We observe that the second relation in (4.38) follows from the Area Formula (cf. e.g. [34, (12.4)]) and the slicing property (2.4).

Fatou's lemma and (4.38) give that $\liminf_k F_{y,t}^{\xi_0, \varepsilon}((\widehat{v}_k)_y^{\xi_0}) < +\infty$ for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -a.e. $y \in \Pi^{\xi_0}$, so we may find, for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -a.e. $y \in \Pi^{\xi_0}$, a subsequence $\widehat{v}_m = \widehat{v}_{k_m}$ (depending on y) such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F_{y,t}^{\xi_0, \varepsilon}((\widehat{v}_m)_y^{\xi_0}) = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{y,t}^{\xi_0, \varepsilon}((\widehat{v}_k)_y^{\xi_0}), \quad \mathcal{H}^0(J_{(\widehat{v}_m)_y^{\xi_0}}) = N_y \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.39)$$

Recalling (4.33), we may also choose the subsequence $(k_m)_m$ such that, denoting by $(\widehat{v}_m - \widehat{a}_m^\pm)_y^{\xi_0}$ the functions $(\widehat{v}_{k_m} - \widehat{a}_{k_m}^\pm)_y^{\xi_0}$, it holds

$$(\widehat{v}_m - \widehat{a}_m^\pm)_y^{\xi_0} \rightarrow u^\pm(x_0) \cdot \xi_0 \quad \text{in } L^0((Q_1^{\nu_0, \pm})_y^{\xi_0}). \quad (4.40)$$

We now claim that there exists $\overline{m} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left\{ s \in (Q_1^{\nu_0, \pm})_y^{\xi_0} : |[(\widehat{v}_m)_y^{\xi_0}](s)| > t \right\} \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for } m \geq \overline{m}. \quad (4.41)$$

Indeed, let us argue by contradiction assuming that (4.41) is not true. Then, by (4.39),

$$D((\widehat{v}_m)_y^{\xi_0})((Q_1^{\nu_0, \pm})_y^{\xi_0}) \leq \int_{(Q_1^{\nu_0, \pm})_y^{\xi_0}} \left| ((\widehat{v}_m)_y^{\xi_0})'(s) \right| ds + t N_y \leq \widehat{C}, \quad (4.42)$$

for a suitable $\widehat{C} > 0$ independent of m . Therefore, for any $s^+ \in (Q_1^{\nu_0, +})_y^{\xi_0}$, $s^- \in (Q_1^{\nu_0, -})_y^{\xi_0}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |(\widehat{a}_m^+ - \widehat{a}_m^-)_y^{\xi_0}| &\equiv |(\widehat{a}_m^+)_y^{\xi_0}(s^+) - (\widehat{a}_m^-)_y^{\xi_0}(s^-)| \\ &= \left| (\widehat{v}_m - \widehat{a}_m^-)_y^{\xi_0}(s^-) - (\widehat{v}_m - \widehat{a}_m^+)_y^{\xi_0}(s^+) + \left((\widehat{v}_m)_y^{\xi_0}(s^+) - (\widehat{v}_m)_y^{\xi_0}(s^-) \right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

From the identity above we obtain a contradiction for m large enough, since the left-hand side tends to $+\infty$ by (4.34) while the right-hand side is bounded by (4.40) and (4.42). This proves (4.41).

In particular, (4.41) implies that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F_{y,t}^{\xi_0, \varepsilon}((\widehat{v}_m)_y^{\xi_0}) \geq \frac{1}{g_{x_0, \infty}^*(\xi_0)}$. Recalling (4.39) and integrating in $\Pi^{\xi_0} = \Pi^{\xi_0}(Q_1^{\nu_0})$, by Fatou's lemma, (4.38), and the arbitrariness of t, η, ε , we get

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}(x_0) \geq \frac{|\nu_0 \cdot \xi_0|}{g_{x_0, \infty}^*(\xi_0)}. \quad (4.43)$$

The second estimate in (4.3) follows now by (4.35) and the arbitrariness of η .

Case $g_\infty \equiv +\infty$. We have to prove (with the usual notation $\nu_0 = \nu(x_0)$) that

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial^* \mathcal{P}) = 0. \quad (4.44)$$

Assume by contradiction that there is $\partial^* \mathcal{P} \setminus N \neq \emptyset$ (for N given by Lemma 2.8), and let $x_0 \in \partial^* \mathcal{P} \setminus N$. Then, by the assumption (g_5) , for any fixed large $M > 0$ there exists t_M such that

$$g(x, [v_k], \nu_{v_k}) \geq M \chi_{\{|[v_k]| > t_M\}} \geq M |\nu_{v_k} \cdot \xi_0| \chi_{\{|[v_k] \cdot \xi_0| > t_M\}} \quad (4.45)$$

for any $\xi_0 \in D$. Arguing as in the case $g_\infty(x_0, \nu_0) \in \mathbb{R}$, with (4.36) replaced by (4.45), we obtain that $\frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}(x_0) \geq M |\nu_0 \cdot \xi_0|$ for every $M > 0$ and $\xi_0 \in D$. Taking ξ_0 such that $|\nu_0 \cdot \xi_0| < \frac{1}{2}$ we obtain a contradiction for $M > 2 \frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}(x_0)$. Then $\partial^* \mathcal{P} \subset N$, and (4.44) is proven.

Therefore the general proof is concluded. \square

Remark 4.1. In [31] a class of functions $g: (\mathbb{R}^n)^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ satisfying for any bounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\int_{J_v} g(v^+, v^-, \nu_v) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{J_{v_h}} g(v_h^+, v_h^-, \nu_{v_h}) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \quad \text{if } v_h \rightarrow v \text{ weakly in } GSBD^p(\Omega)$$

has been provided. This is the class of *symmetric jointly convex* functions, which are characterized by (see [31, Definition 3.1 and Theorem 5.1])

$$g(i, j, \nu) = \sup_{h \in \mathbb{N}} (f_h(i) - f_h(j)) \cdot \nu \quad \text{for all } (i, j, \nu) \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^3 \text{ with } i \neq j$$

where $f_h: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a uniformly continuous, bounded, and conservative vector field (that is, there exists a potential $F_h \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which $\nabla F_h = f_h$) for every $h \in \mathbb{N}$. Any symmetric jointly convex function depending only on the difference $i - j$ provides a function satisfying (g_4) . Examples of such functions are (see [31, Section 4])

$$g_1(y, \nu) = \widetilde{g}(|y|) \quad \text{for } \widetilde{g}: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty) \text{ increasing with } \frac{\widetilde{g}(t)}{t} \text{ nonincreasing in } (0, +\infty),$$

$$g_2(y, \nu) = \sup_{\{\xi_k\}_{k=1}^n \text{ orthonormal basis}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \theta_k (y \cdot \xi_k)^2 |\nu \cdot \xi_k|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

for $\theta_k \in C(\mathbb{R}; [0, +\infty))$ even and subadditive, for $k = 1, \dots, n$ (this class has been introduced and studied in a *BD* setting in [22]), and

$$g_3(y, \nu) = \psi(\nu)$$

for ψ a norm.

From Theorem 1.2 we deduce existence for the following minimisation problems with Dirichlet conditions, in the propositions below. In the following $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded, open, connected and Lipschitz domain. Moreover, we assume that $u_0 \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and that $\partial_D \Omega \subset \partial \Omega$ be relatively open with $\partial_D \Omega = \tilde{\Omega} \cap \partial \Omega$ for a bounded, open, connected domain $\tilde{\Omega} \supset \Omega$.

We consider first the simpler cases corresponding to $g_\infty \equiv +\infty$ and g independent of the jump amplitude, in Propositions 4.4 and 4.4. Then we consider the case with general f, g as in Theorem 1.2, which formally includes the other two cases. We prefer to state three different results since the proofs of Propositions 4.4 and 4.4 are more direct.

Proposition 4.2. *Assume f, g as in Theorem 1.2, with $g_\infty \equiv +\infty$. Then the problem*

$$\min_{u=u_0 \in \tilde{\Omega} \setminus \bar{\Omega}} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} f(x, e(u)) \, dx + \int_{J_u} g(x, [u], \nu_u) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right\}$$

admits a solution in $GSBD^p(\tilde{\Omega})$. In particular, this holds for $g(y, \nu) = \tilde{g}(|y|)$ with $\tilde{g}: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ increasing, unbounded, and such that $\frac{\tilde{g}(t)}{t}$ is nonincreasing.

Proof. Let us apply Theorem 1.2 to a minimising sequence $(u_h)_h$ for (4.2) in $GSBD^p(\tilde{\Omega})$. Since $g_\infty \equiv +\infty$, we have that the partition \mathcal{P} of $\tilde{\Omega}$ is trivial, and the fact that $u_h = u_0$ in $\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \bar{\Omega}$ gives that $u_h \rightarrow u$ in $L^0(\tilde{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^n)$. In particular $u_h = u_0$ in $\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \bar{\Omega}$ and using again that $g_\infty \equiv +\infty$ we get the lower semicontinuity of the functional E to minimise. \square

Remark 4.3. In the above assumptions, if $g(y, \nu) \geq \tilde{c}|y|$ for some $\tilde{c} > 0$, the solutions to (4.2) belong to $SBD^p(\tilde{\Omega})$. In fact, this follows from the fact that $[u] \in L^1(J_u; \mathbb{R}^n)$: under such condition, every $GSBD$ function is in SBD , as shown in [16, Theorem 2.9] (take $\mathbb{A}v = Ev$ therein, cf. [16, Remark 2.5]). For other surface densities g , such as $g(y, \nu) = c + \sqrt{|y|}$, one obtains existence for the Dirichlet problem in $GSBD^p$.

Proposition 4.4. *Assume f as in Theorem 1.2 and let $g: \Omega \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow [c, +\infty)$ be continuous in the first variable and such that $g(x, \cdot)$ is a norm for every $x \in \Omega$. Then the problem*

$$\min_{u=u_0 \in \tilde{\Omega} \setminus \bar{\Omega}} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} f(x, e(u)) \, dx + \int_{J_u} g(x, \nu_u) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right\}$$

admits a solution in $GSBD^p(\tilde{\Omega})$.

Proof. Let us apply Theorem 1.2 to a minimising sequence $(u_h)_h$. By (1.5) we obtain a function $u \in GSBD^p(\tilde{\Omega})$, with $u = u_0$ in $\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \bar{\Omega}$. In fact, by (1.5a) and (1.5b) the set $\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \bar{\Omega}$ has to be contained in the same element P_1 of the Caccioppoli partition, corresponding to $a_h^1 \equiv 0$.

Moreover, recalling Theorem 2.5 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} f(x, e(u)) \, dx + \int_{J_u} g(x, \nu_u) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} &\leq \int_{\Omega} f(x, e(u)) \, dx + \int_{(J_u \cap \mathcal{P}^{(1)}) \cup \partial^* \mathcal{P}} g(x, \nu_u) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ &\leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} f(x, e(u_h)) \, dx + \int_{J_{u_h}} g(x, \nu_{u_h}) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ &= \inf_{u=u_0 \in \tilde{\Omega} \setminus \bar{\Omega}} \int_{\Omega} f(x, e(u)) \, dx + \int_{J_u} g(x, \nu_u) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore u is a solution to the problem (4.4) and $\partial^* \mathcal{P} \subset J_u$. \square

We consider now the case of general g .

Proposition 4.5. *Assume f, g as in Theorem 1.2. Then the problem*

$$\min_{\substack{u=u_0 \in \tilde{\Omega} \setminus \bar{\Omega} \\ \mathcal{P} \subset \tilde{\Omega} \text{ Caccioppoli partition, } \partial^* \mathcal{P} \subset J_u}} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} f(x, e(u)) \, dx + \int_{J_u \setminus \partial^* \mathcal{P}} g(x, [u], \nu_u) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + \int_{\partial^* \mathcal{P}} g_{\infty}(x, \nu) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right\}$$

admits a solution in $GSBD^p(\tilde{\Omega})$.

Proof. Let us denote

$$F(u, \mathcal{P}) := \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} f(x, e(u)) \, dx + \int_{J_u \setminus \partial^* \mathcal{P}} g(x, [u], \nu_u) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + \int_{\partial^* \mathcal{P}} g_{\infty}(x, \nu) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} & \text{if } \partial^* \mathcal{P} \subset J_u, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and fix a minimising sequence (u_h, \mathcal{P}_h) for F . We observe that, for $\mathcal{P}_h = (P_{h,j})_j$ we can assume that $\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \bar{\Omega} \subset P_{h,1}$ and find piecewise rigid functions \tilde{a}_h such that

$$\tilde{a}_h = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{a}_h^j \chi_{P_{h,j}}, \quad (4.46)$$

$$\tilde{a}_h^1 \equiv 0, \quad |\tilde{a}_h^j(x) - \tilde{a}_h^i(x)| \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{for } \mathcal{L}^n\text{-a.e. } x \in \Omega, \text{ for all } i \neq j, \quad (4.47)$$

and

$$E(u_h - \tilde{a}_h) < F(u_h, \mathcal{P}_h) + \frac{1}{h} \quad (4.48)$$

for every $h \in \mathbb{N}$. In fact, since $u_h \in GSBD^p(\tilde{\Omega})$ it holds that $[u_h]: J_{u_h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is measurable, and then there exists for every h a vanishing sequence $(s_k^h)_k$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(J_{u_h} \setminus \{|[u_h]| > k\}) < s_k^h. \quad (4.49)$$

Moreover, since $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial^* \mathcal{P}_h) < +\infty$, for every $h, k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $m_k^h \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{j > m_k^h} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial^* P_{h,j}) < k^{-1}. \quad (4.50)$$

Then we choose, in correspondence of $(P_{h,j})_j$, a sequence $(\tilde{a}_h^j)_j \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (that is, each \tilde{a}_h^j is a constant function) with $\tilde{a}_h^1 \equiv 0$ (in view of the Dirichlet boundary conditions), such that $|\tilde{a}_h^j - \tilde{a}_h^i| > 2k$ for $i \neq j \leq m_k^h$. By (4.49) and (4.50) we find that

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial^* \mathcal{P}_h \setminus \{|[u_h - \tilde{a}_h]| < k\}) < s_k^h + k^{-1}.$$

This implies, in view of (g_5) and since g is a measurable function taking finite values, that there is $\bar{k} \in \mathbb{N}$, depending on h , large enough so that (4.48) holds true.

Let us now apply Theorem 1.2 to the sequence $(u_h - \tilde{a}_h)_h \subset GSBD^p(\tilde{\Omega})$ (that satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 by (4.48)): this provides a function $u \in GSBD^p(\tilde{\Omega})$ and a sequence $(\hat{a}_h)_h$ of piecewise rigid functions corresponding to a partition $\hat{\mathcal{P}} = (\hat{P}_j)_j$ (in particular, $J_{\hat{a}_h} = \partial^* \hat{\mathcal{P}}$) such that

$$u_h - \tilde{a}_h - \hat{a}_h \rightarrow u \quad \mathcal{L}^n\text{-a.e.}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x, e(u)) \, dx + \int_{J_u \cap \hat{\mathcal{P}}(1)} g(x, [u], \nu_u) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + \int_{\partial^* \hat{\mathcal{P}}} g_{\infty}(x, \nu_{\mathcal{P}}) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow +\infty} E(u_h - \tilde{a}_h). \quad (4.51)$$

In particular, in view of the boundary conditions we may take $\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \bar{\Omega} \subset \hat{P}_1$, $\hat{a}_h^1 \equiv 0$ and we have that $\partial^* \hat{\mathcal{P}} \cap \tilde{\Omega} \subset \bar{\Omega}$ and $u = u_0$ in $\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \bar{\Omega}$. Collecting (4.48), (4.51), and since $(u_h, \mathcal{P}_h)_h$ is a minimising sequence for F , we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x, e(u)) \, dx + \int_{J_u \cap \hat{\mathcal{P}}(1)} g(x, [u], \nu_u) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + \int_{\partial^* \hat{\mathcal{P}}} g_{\infty}(x, \nu_{\mathcal{P}}) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \leq \inf_{v, \mathcal{P}} F(v, \mathcal{P}). \quad (4.52)$$

We notice now that we can find a piecewise rigid function \tilde{a} with $\tilde{a} = 0$ in $\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \bar{\Omega}$ and $J_{\tilde{a}} \subset \partial^* \hat{\mathcal{P}}$ for which $\partial^* \hat{\mathcal{P}} \subset J_{u-\tilde{a}}$. This follows from the fact that there are at most countable many

$s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial^* \widehat{\mathcal{P}} \cap \{[u] = s\}) > 0$. Moreover, since $\partial^* \widehat{\mathcal{P}} \subset J_{u-\tilde{a}}$ (and in view of the fact that g depends only on the jump amplitude, cf. below (4.31)), we have that for $\widehat{u} = u - \tilde{a}$

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x, e(u)) \, dx + \int_{J_u \cap \widehat{\mathcal{P}}^{(1)}} g(x, [u], \nu_u) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = \int_{\Omega} f(x, e(\widehat{u})) \, dx + \int_{J_{\widehat{u}} \cap \widehat{\mathcal{P}}^{(1)}} g(x, [\widehat{u}], \nu_{\widehat{u}}) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}. \quad (4.53)$$

Therefore, in view of the fact that $\partial^* \widehat{\mathcal{P}} \subset J_{\widehat{u}}$, by (4.52) and (4.53) we get that $(\widehat{u}, \widehat{\mathcal{P}})$ is a minimiser for F . This concludes the proof. \square

Remark 4.6. The minimisation problem in Proposition 4.5 formally reduces to those one in Proposition 4.2 and 4.4 noticing that $\mathcal{P} = \{\tilde{\Omega}\}$ when $g_{\infty} \equiv +\infty$ (cf. Theorem 1.2) and the functional in Proposition 4.5 does not depend on \mathcal{P} when g depends only on ν and coincides with g_{∞} .

Acknowledgements. VC is supported by the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Standard European Fellowship No. 793018.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. ACERBI AND N. FUSCO, *Semicontinuity problems in the calculus of variations*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 86 (1984), pp. 125–145.
- [2] L. AMBROSIO, *A compactness theorem for a new class of functions of bounded variation*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (7), 3 (1989), pp. 857–881.
- [3] ———, *Existence theory for a new class of variational problems*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 111 (1990), pp. 291–322.
- [4] L. AMBROSIO, *On the lower semicontinuity of quasiconvex integrals in SBV(Ω, \mathbf{R}^k)*, Nonlinear Anal., 23 (1994), pp. 405–425.
- [5] ———, *A new proof of the SBV compactness theorem*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 3 (1995), pp. 127–137.
- [6] L. AMBROSIO AND A. BRAIDES, *Functionals defined on partitions in sets of finite perimeter. I. Integral representation and Γ -convergence*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 69 (1990), pp. 285–305.
- [7] ———, *Functionals defined on partitions in sets of finite perimeter. II. Semicontinuity, relaxation and homogenization*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 69 (1990), pp. 307–333.
- [8] L. AMBROSIO, A. COSCIA, AND G. DAL MASO, *Fine properties of functions with bounded deformation*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 139 (1997), pp. 201–238.
- [9] L. AMBROSIO, N. FUSCO, AND D. PALLARA, *Functions of bounded variation and free discontinuity problems*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [10] J.-F. BABADJIAN, *Traces of functions of bounded deformation*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 64 (2015), pp. 1271–1290.
- [11] G. BELLETTINI, A. COSCIA, AND G. DAL MASO, *Compactness and lower semicontinuity properties in SBD(Ω)*, Math. Z., 228 (1998), pp. 337–351.
- [12] E. BONNETIER AND A. CHAMBOLLE, *Computing the equilibrium configuration of epitaxially strained crystalline films*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 62 (2002), pp. 1093–1121.
- [13] F. CAGNETTI, A. CHAMBOLLE, AND L. SCARDIA, *Korn and poincaré-korn inequalities for functions with a small jump set*, Preprint CVGMT 4636, 2020.
- [14] A. CHAMBOLLE, S. CONTI, AND G. A. FRANCFORT, *Korn-Poincaré inequalities for functions with a small jump set*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 65 (2016), pp. 1373–1399.
- [15] A. CHAMBOLLE AND V. CRISMALE, *Compactness and lower semicontinuity in GSBD*, 2018, Preprint, accepted on J. Eur. Math. Soc.
- [16] ———, *Phase-field approximation for a class of cohesive fracture energies with an activation threshold*, Adv. Calc. Var., Published online, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1515/acv-2019-0018>.
- [17] A. CHAMBOLLE, A. GIACOMINI, AND M. PONSIGLIONE, *Piecewise rigidity*, J. Funct. Anal., 244 (2007), pp. 134–153.
- [18] S. CONTI, M. FOCARDI, AND F. IURLANO, *Integral representation for functionals defined on SBD^p in dimension two*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 223 (2017), pp. 1337–1374.
- [19] ———, *Which special functions of bounded deformation have bounded variation?*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, 148 (2018), pp. 33–50.
- [20] V. CRISMALE AND M. FRIEDRICH, *Equilibrium Configurations for Epitaxially Strained Films and Material Voids in Three-Dimensional Linear Elasticity*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 237 (2020), pp. 1041–1098.
- [21] G. DAL MASO, *Generalised functions of bounded deformation*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), 15 (2013), pp. 1943–1997.
- [22] G. DAL MASO, G. ORLANDO, AND R. TOADER, *Lower semicontinuity of a class of integral functionals on the space of functions of bounded deformation*, Adv. Calc. Var., 10 (2017), pp. 183–207.

- [23] E. DE GIORGI AND L. AMBROSIO, *New functionals in the calculus of variations*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. (8), 82 (1988), pp. 199–210 (1989).
- [24] F. EBOBISSE, *A lower semicontinuity result for some integral functionals in the space SBD*, Nonlinear Anal., 62 (2005), pp. 1333–1351.
- [25] F. EBOBISSE B., *On lower semicontinuity of integral functionals in $LD(\Omega)$* , Ricerche Mat., 49 (2000), pp. 65–76.
- [26] I. EKELAND AND R. TÉMAM, *Convex analysis and variational problems*, vol. 28 of Classics in Applied Mathematics, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, english ed., 1999. Translated from the French.
- [27] I. FONSECA AND S. MÜLLER, *Quasi-convex integrands and lower semicontinuity in L^1* , SIAM J. Math. Anal., 23 (1992), pp. 1081–1098.
- [28] G. A. FRANCFORT AND J.-J. MARIGO, *Revisiting brittle fracture as an energy minimization problem*, J. Mech. Phys. Solids, 46 (1998), pp. 1319–1342.
- [29] M. FRIEDRICH, *A piecewise Korn inequality in SBD and applications to embedding and density results*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 50 (2018), pp. 3842–3918.
- [30] ———, *A compactness result in $GSBV^p$ and applications to Γ -convergence for free discontinuity problems*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 58 (2019), pp. Paper No. 86, 31.
- [31] M. FRIEDRICH, M. PERUGINI, AND F. SOLOMBRINO, *Lower semicontinuity for functionals defined on piecewise rigid functions and on $GSBD$* , Preprint ArXiv 2002.08133, 2020.
- [32] A. A. GRIFFITH, *The phenomena of rupture and flow in solids*, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A, 221 (1920), pp. 163–198.
- [33] C. B. MORREY, JR., *Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 130, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, 1966.
- [34] L. SIMON, *Lectures on geometric measure theory*, vol. 3 of Proceedings of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Australian National University, Australian National University, Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Canberra, 1983.
- [35] R. TEMAM, *Mathematical problems in plasticity*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1985. Translation of Problèmes mathématiques en plasticité. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1983.