

On numerical semigroups with at most 12 left elements Shalom Eliahou, Daniel Marín-Aragón

▶ To cite this version:

Shalom Eliahou, Daniel Marín-Aragón. On numerical semigroups with at most 12 left elements. 2020. hal-02667442

HAL Id: hal-02667442 https://hal.science/hal-02667442

Preprint submitted on 31 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On numerical semigroups with at most 12 left elements

S. Eliahou and D. Marín-Aragón

Abstract

For a numerical semigroup $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with embedding dimension e, conductor c and left part $L = S \cap [0, c-1]$, set W(S) = e|L| - c. In 1978 Wilf asked, in equivalent terms, whether $W(S) \ge 0$ always holds, a question known since as Wilf's conjecture. Using a closely related lower bound $W_0(S) \le W(S)$, we show that if $|L| \le 12$ then $W_0(S) \ge 0$, thereby settling Wilf's conjecture in this case. This is best possible, since cases are known where |L| = 13 and $W_0(S) = -1$. Wilf's conjecture remains open for $|L| \ge 13$.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ denote as usual the set of nonnegative integers. Given integers $a \leq b$, we denote by $[a, b[= [a, b-1] = \{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a \leq z < b\}$, and by $[a, \infty[= \{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a \leq z\}$, the integer intervals they span. A *numerical semigroup* is a submonoid *S* of $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ such that $|\mathbb{N} \setminus S|$ is finite. Equivalently, it is a subset *S* of \mathbb{N} of the form $S = \langle a_1, ..., a_n \rangle = \mathbb{N}a_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{N}a_n$ where $gcd(a_1, ..., a_n) = 1$. The least such *n* is called the *embedding dimension* of *S* and is often denoted *e*. The *multiplicity* of *S* is $m = \min S^*$, where $S^* = S \setminus \{0\}$. The *conductor* of *S* is $c = \max(\mathbb{Z} \setminus S) + 1$, or equivalently, the least $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such $[c, \infty[\subseteq S]$. The *genus* of *S* is $g = |\mathbb{N} \setminus S|$. The *left part* of *S* is

$$L = \{s \in S \mid s < c\} = S \cap [0, c[$$

The left elements of S are the elements of L. Finally, as in [5], we denote

$$W(S) = e|L| - c. \tag{1}$$

In 1978 Wilf asked, in equivalent terms, whether the inequality

$$W(S) \geq 0$$

holds for every numerical semigroup S [16]. This open question is now known as Wilf's conjecture. Various particular cases have been settled, including the six independent cases $e \le 3$, $|L| \le 6$, $m \le 18$, $g \le 60$, $c \le 3m$ and $e \ge m/3$. See e.g. [1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 11, 14, 15]. See also [3] for a recent extensive survey on this topic.

The authors of [4] settled Wilf's conjecture in case $|L| \le 4$. This was later extended in [5], where a certain lower bound $W_0(S) \le W(S)$ was introduced and shown to satisfy $W_0(S) \ge 0$ whenever $|L| \le 6$. Here we further extend this result by showing that $W_0(S) \ge 0$ holds whenever $|L| \le 12$. This is best possible since, as shown in [7], there are numerical semigroups *S* such that |L| = 13 and $W_0(S) < 0$. See also Section 2.2.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some notation and background, including the definition of $W_0(S)$. In Section 3 we establish $W_0(S) \ge 0$ in some special circumstances. Our main result, namely $W_0(S) \ge 0$ if $|L| \le 12$, and hence Wilf's conjecture in that case, is proved in Section 4.

For extensive information on numerical semigroups, see [13].

2 Background and notation

In this section, we recall some notation and terminology introduced in [5]. Let *S* be a numerical semigroup. We denote by $P \subset S^*$ the unique minimal generating set of *S*, so that $S = \langle P \rangle$ and |P| = e, the embedding dimension. It coincides with the set of *primitive elements* of *S*, i.e. those $x \in S^*$ which are not the sum of two smaller elements of S^* . Let m, c be the multiplicity and conductor of *S*, respectively. The *depth* of *S* is $q = \lceil c/m \rceil$ and its *offset* is $\rho = qm - c$. Thus

$$c = qm - \rho, \ \rho \in [0, m[. \tag{2})$$

The set of *decomposable elements* of S is

$$D = S^* \setminus P = S^* + S^*.$$

Note that *D* contains $[c+m,\infty[$. Indeed, if $z \ge c+m$, then z = m + (z-m), so that $z \in S^* + S^*$ since both $m \in S^*$ and $z - m \in S^*$ as $z - m \ge c$. It follows that

$$P \subseteq [m, c + m[. \tag{3})]$$

Throughout Section 2, the symbols m, c, q and ρ will denote, often tacitly so, the multiplicity, conductor, depth and offset of the numerical semigroup S under consideration, respectively.

2.1 The level function λ

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a numerical semigroup. We shall further use the following notation, as in [5].

Notation 2.1. *For all* $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ *, we denote*

$$I_j = [jm - \rho, (j+1)m - \rho[,$$

$$S_j = S \cap I_j, P_j = P \cap I_j, D_j = D \cap I_j.$$

In particular, we have

$$I_q = [qm - \rho, (q+1)m - \rho] = [c, c + m].$$

The following set addition rules are shown in [5]. The proof is straightforward and left to the reader.

Proposition 2.2. *Let S be a numerical semigroup. For all* $i, j \ge 1$ *, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 + S_j &\subseteq S_{1+j} \cup S_{2+j}, \\ S_i + S_j &\subseteq S_{i+j-1} \cup S_{i+j} \cup S_{i+j+1} \text{ if } i, j \ge 2. \end{aligned}$$

Notation 2.3. *The* level function $\lambda_S \colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ *associated to S is defined by*

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{S}}(x) = j \iff x \in I_j \iff jm - \rho \le x \le (j+1)m - \rho - 1$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, if $x \in S$ then $\lambda_S(x) = j$ if and only if $x \in S_j$.

In the sequel, for simplicity, we shall write λ for λ_S . Using this function, the above proposition translates as follows.

Corollary 2.4. Let $x, y \in S^*$. If $\lambda(x), \lambda(y) \ge 2$ then

$$\lambda(x) + \lambda(y) - 1 \le \lambda(x+y) \le \lambda(x) + \lambda(y) + 1.$$
(4)

If
$$\lambda(x) = 1$$
 or $\lambda(y) = 1$, then $\lambda(x) + \lambda(y) \le \lambda(x+y) \le \lambda(x) + \lambda(y) + 1$. \Box

Here are some more consequences.

Corollary 2.5. Let $a, x, y \in S^*$. Then $\lambda(x+y) > \max{\{\lambda(x), \lambda(y)\}}$. If $\lambda(a+x) = \lambda(a+y)$, then $|\lambda(y) - \lambda(x)| \le 1$.

Proof. The first statement directly follows from Corollary 2.4. As for the second one, let $i = \lambda(x)$, $j = \lambda(y)$. We may assume $i \le j$. Let $k = \lambda(a+x)$. Then $a + x, a + y \in S_k$. Hence $|y - x| = |(a + y) - (a + x)| \le m - 1$. It follows that $j \le i + 1$, since if $j \ge i + 2$ then min $S_j - \max S_i \ge m + 1$.

2.2 The number $W_0(S)$

Notation 2.6. For a numerical semigroup S, we denote

$$W_0(S) = |P \cap L||L| - q|D_q| + \rho.$$
(5)

Introduced in [5], this number bounds W(S) from below and is sometimes easier to evaluate. See also [2], where $W_0(S)$ is denoted E(S). The following result is Proposition 3.11 in [5]. For convenience, we recall the short proof.

Proposition 2.7. *Let S be a numerical semigroup. Then* $W(S) \ge W_0(S)$ *.*

Proof. We have $W(S) = |P||L| - c = |P||L| - qm + \rho$. We have $m = |P_q| + |D_q|$, since $m = |S_q| = |P_q \sqcup D_q|$, and $|P| = |P \cap L| + |P_q|$. It follows that

$$W(S) = W_0(S) + |P_q|(|L| - q)$$

Now $|L| \ge q$, since *L* contains the *q*-subset $\{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}m$.

Corollary 2.8. Let S be a numerical semigroup such that $W_0(S) \ge 0$. Then S satisfies Wilf's conjecture.

Proof. We have $W(S) \ge W_0(S) \ge 0$.

This corollary is the basis of our approach in this paper, whose main result is that $W_0(S) \ge 0$ whenever $|L| \le 12$. Note that in contrast to Wilf's conjecture, the number $W_0(S)$ can be negative, but such cases are extremely rare. For instance, among the more than 10^{13} numerical semigroups of genus $g \le 60$, only five of them satisfy $W_0(S) < 0$. See [2, 7, 9]. More specifically, these five exceptions all satisfy $W_0(S) = -1$, |L| = 13 and c = 4m, and they occur at genus 43,51,55,55 and 59, respectively. The first one, of genus g = 43, is $S = \langle 14, 22, 23 \rangle \cup [56, \infty]$.

The following result has been established in [5].

Theorem 2.9. Let *S* be a numerical semigroup of depth $q \le 3$. Then $W_0(S) \ge 0$. In particular, *S* satisfies Wilf's conjecture.

Consequently, in proving here that $W_0(S) \ge 0$ if $|L| \le 12$, we only need to consider the case of depth $q \ge 4$. The next three sections focus on the Apéry set of *S* with respect to *m* and provide tools to evaluate $W_0(S)$ and prove our main result.

2.3 The Apéry profile of S

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a numerical semigroup. We denote by

$$A = \operatorname{Ap}(S, m) = \{x \in S \mid x - m \notin S\}$$

the *Apéry set* of *S* with respect to *m*. Equivalently, $A = S \setminus (m+S)$. Each Apéry element $x \in A$ is the smallest element in *S* of its class mod *m*, since $x - m \notin S$. Hence |A| = m. We have

$$A \subseteq [0, c + m[.$$

Indeed, this follows from the inclusion $[c+m,\infty] = m + [c,\infty] \subseteq m + S$. We now introduce the Apéry profile of *S*.

Notation 2.10. *Let S be a numerical semigroup of depth* $q \ge 1$ *. For all* $0 \le i \le q$ *, we set* $A_i = A \cap I_i$ *and*

$$\alpha_i = |A_i|$$

We have $A_0 = \{0\}$, so $\alpha_0 = 1$. Moreover, $P_1 = \{m\} \sqcup A_1$ and $P_i \subseteq A_i$ for all $i \ge 2$.

Definition 2.11. *We call* Apéry profile *of S the* (q-1)*-tuple*

$$\alpha(S) = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{q-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{q-1}.$$

As noted above, we have

$$\alpha_1 = |P_1| - 1 \text{ and } \alpha_i \ge |P_i| \text{ for all } 2 \le i < q.$$
(6)

Moreover, since $A \subseteq [0, c + m] = I_0 \sqcup I_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup I_q$, we have

$$A = A_0 \sqcup A_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A_q. \tag{7}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{i=0}^{q} \alpha_i = |A| = m. \tag{8}$$

This justifies why α_0, α_q are not included in the profile $\alpha(S)$, as $\alpha_0 = 1$ and α_q may be recovered from $\alpha(S)$ and *m* by the above formula.

2.4 Primitive and decomposable Apéry elements

Let *S* be a numerical semigroup of multiplicity *m* and Apéry set $A = S \setminus (m+S)$. A key point in the sequel is to distinguish, in $A^* = A \setminus \{0\}$, the primitive elements from the decomposable ones. Indeed, the partition

$$A^* = (A \cap P) \sqcup (A \cap D)$$

plays an important role and motivates the following notation.

Notation 2.12. *Let S be a numerical semigroup of depth* $q \ge 1$ *. For all* $1 \le i \le q$ *, we set*

$$\alpha'_i = |A_i \cap P|, \ \alpha''_i = |A_i \cap D|.$$

Thus $\alpha_i = \alpha'_i + \alpha''_i$ for all *i*.

Since $P \subseteq [m, c + m]$ as seen above, and since $[m, c + m] \subseteq I_1 \cup \cdots \cup I_q$, we have

$$|P| = 1 + \alpha'_1 + \dots + \alpha'_q. \tag{9}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha'_q &= |P \setminus L| = \left| P \cap [c, c + m[], \right. \\ \left| D_q \right| &= |D \cap [c, c + m[] = \left| [c, c + m[\setminus P], \right. \\ m &= |P_q| + |D_q|. \end{aligned}$$

The following properties of the Apéry set A will be widely used below, often tacitly so.

Lemma 2.13. *Let* $z \in A \cap D$. *If* z = x + y *with* $x, y \in S^*$ *, then* $x, y \in A^*$ *.*

Proof. If $x \notin A$, then x = m + s for some $s \in S$, implying z = x + y = m + (s + y). Since $s + y \in S$, it follows that $z \notin A$, contrary to the hypothesis.

Proposition 2.14. For all $k \ge 2$, we have $A_k \cap D \subseteq \bigcup_{i,j} (A_i + A_j)$ where $1 \le i \le j$ and $k - 1 \le i + j \le k + 1$.

Proof. Directly follows from Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 2.13. \Box

Corollary 2.15. If $A_k \neq \emptyset$ and $A_i = \emptyset$ for all $1 \le i \le k - 1$ for some $k \ge 2$, then $A_k = P_k$.

Proof. Directly follows from the above proposition.

2.5 Compressed Apéry elements

Throughout, let *S* denote a numerical semigroup with multiplicity *m*, conductor *c* and Apéry set $A = S \setminus (m + S)$.

Definition 2.16. Let $s \in S^*$. We say that *s* is compressed if there exist $x, y \in S^*$ such that s = x + y and $\lambda(s) < \lambda(x) + \lambda(y)$.

By Corollary 2.4, we have $\lambda(x+y) \ge \lambda(x) + \lambda(y) - 1$ for all $x, y \in S^*$. Thus, the inequality $\lambda(x+y) < \lambda(x) + \lambda(y)$ is equivalent to $\lambda(x+y) = \lambda(x) + \lambda(y) - 1$. Estimating the number of compressed elements in *A* is important in the sequel. This motivates the following notation.

Notation 2.17. $C = C(S) = \{z \in A \cap D \mid z \text{ is compressed}\}.$

Recall from Lemma 2.13 that if $z \in A \cap D$ and z = x + y with $x, y \in S^*$, then in fact $x, y \in A^*$. Consequently, for all $i, j \ge 2$, we have

$$(S_i + S_j) \cap A_{i+j-1} = (A_i + A_j) \cap A_{i+j-1} \subseteq C.$$
(10)

More generally, even if the description below will not be needed here, we have

$$C = \bigcup_{k=3}^{q} A_k \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} (A_i + A_{k+1-i})).$$

The next result provides a key lower bound on ρ , where ρ is the offset as defined in (2). See also Proposition 3.20 in [6].

Proposition 2.18. *Let S be a numerical semigroup. Then* $\rho \ge |C|$ *.*

Proof. Let $z \in C$, and assume z = x + y with $x, y \in A^*$ such that $\lambda(z) = \lambda(x) + \lambda(y) - 1$. Say $\lambda(x) = i$, $\lambda(y) = j$ and $\lambda(z) = i + j - 1$. By the definition of S_i , we have

$$(S_i+S_j)\cap S_{i+j-1}\subseteq [(i+j)m-2\rho,(i+j)m-\rho[.$$

Thus $z \in [(i+j)m - 2\rho, (i+j)m - \rho]$. Now, the only classes mod *m* occurring in the latter interval are those in $[-2\rho, -\rho]$, a set of cardinality ρ . Since there is only one element in *A* per class mod *m*, and since $C \subset A$, the statement follows.

In particular, we shall invoke the following simplified version.

Corollary 2.19. For all $i, j \ge 2$, we have $\rho \ge |(A_i + A_j) \cap A_{i+j-1}|$.

Proof. Follows from (10) and Proposition 2.18.

2.6 Computing $W_0(S)$

The following formulas allow to evaluate $W_0(S)$ using the Apéry profile of *S* as defined in Definition 2.10 and the decomposition $\alpha_q = \alpha'_q + \alpha''_q$ given by Notation 2.12. Recall that both |L| and $|D_q|$ are involved in the expression of $W_0(S)$.

Proposition 2.20. *Let* $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ *be a numerical semigroup with Apéry profile* $\alpha(S) = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{q-1})$ *. Then*

$$|L| = q + (q-1)\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{q-1},$$

$$|D_q| = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{q-1} + \alpha''_q.$$

Proof. Let $s \in L$ be minimal in its class mod m. Then $s \in L \cap A$. Let $i \ge 0$ be the unique integer such that $s \in A_i$. Then $0 \le i \le q-1$ since $s \in L$. Let $z \in L$ be such that $z \equiv s \mod m$. Then z = s + jm with $0 \le j \le q-1-i$. It follows that

$$|L \cap (s+m\mathbb{N})| = q-i.$$

Letting now s run through all elements of L which are minimal in their respective classes mod m, the above discussion implies

$$|L| = q|A_0| + (q-1)|A_1| + \dots + |A_{q-1}|,$$

yielding the first formula. Since $m = |P_q| + |D_q| = \alpha'_q + |D_q|$, it follows that

$$|D_q| = m - \alpha'_q = m - \alpha_q + \alpha''_q.$$

The second formula now follows from (8), i.e. $m = \sum_{i=0}^{q} \alpha_i$.

2.7 Notation

We shall use the following notation throughout the remainder of the paper. Given a numerical semigroup S, we denote by m its multiplicity, by c its conductor, by q its depth, by ρ its offset, by L its left part, by P its set of primitive elements, by D its set of decomposable elements, by $A = S \setminus (m+S)$ its Apéry set with respect to m, and by

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}(S) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{q-1})$$

its Apéry profile, where $\alpha_i = |A_i|$ for all $0 \le i \le q$. For $i \ge 1$, we have $\alpha_i = \alpha'_i + \alpha''_i$ where $\alpha'_i = |A_i \cap P|$ and $\alpha''_i = |A_i \cap D|$. We shall constantly use the formulas below to compute the ingredients involved in

$$W_0(S) = |P \cap L||L| - q|D_q| + \rho,$$

namely

$$|P \cap L| = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \alpha'_i, \quad |L| = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (q-i)\alpha_i, \quad |D_q| = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \alpha_i + \alpha''_q.$$
(11)

Finally, as in the preceding section, we denote by C the set of compressed Apéry elements, i.e

$$C = \{z \in A \cap D \mid \exists x, y \in A^*, z = x + y, \lambda(z) < \lambda(x) + \lambda(y)\}.$$

In a few cases, the estimate $\rho \ge |C|$ provided by Proposition 2.18 will be crucially needed in order to be able to conclude $W_0(S) \ge 0$.

3 An occurrence of $W_0(S) \ge 0$

In this section, we establish $W_0(S) \ge 0$ for numerical semigroups *S* under suitable assumptions on $\alpha(S)$ but not on |L|. We use the notation of Section 2.7 throughout.

Theorem 3.1. Let *S* be a numerical semigroup of depth $q \ge 4$. Let $h = \lceil q/2 \rceil$. Assume that $\alpha_i = 0$ for all $1 \le i \le h - 1$. Then $W_0(S) \ge 0$.

Proof. Since $P = \bigcup_{1 \le i \le q}$ and $P_1 = \{m\} \sqcup A_1$, we have $|P| = 1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha'_2 + \cdots + \alpha'_q$ and

$$|P \cap L| = 1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha'_2 + \dots + \alpha'_{q-1}.$$
 (12)

• Assume q odd. Then h = (q+1)/2. Since $A_1 = \cdots = A_{h-1} = \emptyset$, we have

$$A \cap D \subseteq \bigcup_{i,j=h}^{q-1} (A_i + A_j).$$

By Proposition 2.2, we have $A_i + A_j \subseteq S_{i+j-1} \sqcup S_{i+j} \sqcup S_{i+j+1}$. Since $A_t = \emptyset$ for $t \ge q+1$, and since 2h = q-1, it follows from the above that

$$A \cap D \subseteq 2A_h.$$

Hence $\alpha_q'' \leq |2A_h|$. It also follows that $A_i = P_i$ for all $h \leq i \leq q - 1$. Hence

$$\begin{array}{lll} |P \cap L| &=& 1 + \alpha_h + \dots + \alpha_{q-1} \\ &\geq& 1 + \alpha_h, \\ |L| &=& q + (h-1)\alpha_h + (h-2)\alpha_{h+1} + \dots + \alpha_{q-1} \\ &\geq& q + (h-1)\alpha_h, \\ |D_q| &=& 1 + \alpha_h + \dots + \alpha_{q-1} + \alpha_q'' \\ &=& |P \cap L| + \alpha_q''. \end{array}$$

Thus,

$$W_{0}(S) = |P \cap L||L| - q|D_{q}| + \rho$$

= $|P \cap L||L| - q(|P \cap L| + \alpha_{q}'') + \rho$
= $|P \cap L|(|L| - q) - q\alpha_{q}'' + \rho$
= $(1 + \alpha_{h})(h - 1)\alpha_{h} - q\alpha_{q}'' + \rho.$

Since $A \cap D_q \subseteq 2A_h$, and since q = 2h - 1, it follows from Lemma 2.18 that $A_q \cap D \subseteq C$, where *C* is the subset defined in that Lemma. Consequently, we have $|A_q \cap D| \leq |C| \leq \rho$, whence

$$\alpha_q'' \leq \min(|2A_h|, \rho).$$

Therefore

$$W_0(S) \ge (1+\alpha_h)(h-1)\alpha_h - q\min(|2A_h|, \rho) + \rho.$$

The following bound will take care of the last two summands.

Claim. For all $t \ge 0$, we have

$$-q\min(t,\rho) + \rho \ge -(q-1)t.$$
(13)

Indeed, if $\rho \le t$, then $-q\min(t,\rho) + \rho = -(q-1)\rho \ge -(q-1)t$. And if $\rho > t$, then $-q\min(t,\rho) + \rho = -qt + \rho > -(q-1)t$. This proves the claim.

Moreover, as a very crude estimate, we have

$$|2A_h| \leq \alpha_h(\alpha_h + 1)/2.$$

Hence, using (13) and the above, we get

$$W_0(S) \ge (1+\alpha_h)(h-1)\alpha_h - (q-1)\alpha_h(\alpha_h+1)/2.$$

Since (q-1)/2 = h-1, it follows that $W_0(S) \ge 0$, as desired.

• Assume q even. Then h = q/2. Since $A_1 = \cdots = A_{h-1} = \emptyset$, we have

$$A \cap D \subseteq \bigcup_{i,j=h}^{q-1} (A_i + A_j).$$

By Proposition 2.2, we have $A_i + A_j \subseteq S_{i+j-1} \sqcup S_{i+j} \sqcup S_{i+j+1}$. Since $A_t = \emptyset$ for $t \ge q+1$, and since 2h = q, it follows from the above that

$$A \cap D \subseteq (2A_h) \cup (A_h + A_{h+1}).$$

Moreover, we have

$$2A_h \cap A \subseteq A_{q-1} \cup A_q, \quad (A_h + A_{h+1}) \cap A \subseteq A_q.$$

Hence

$$A \cap D \subseteq (2A_h \cap A_{q-1}) \cup (2A_h \cap A_q) \cup (A_h + A_{h+1}) \cap A_q.$$

We have

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\alpha_{q-1}'' &=& |2A_h \cap A_{q-1}|, \\
\alpha_q'' &=& |(2A_h \cap A_q) \cup (A_h + A_{h+1}) \cap A_q|.
\end{array}$$

Hence

$$\alpha_{q-1}'' + \alpha_q'' \le |2A_h| + |(A_h + A_{h+1}) \cap A_q|.$$
(14)

Moreover, since q = 2h, Corollary 2.19 yields

$$|(A_h+A_{h+1})\cap A_q|\leq \rho.$$

Moreover, we have $|(A_h + A_{h+1}) \cap A_q| \le \alpha_h \alpha_{h+1}$. Hence

$$|(A_h + A_{h+1}) \cap A_q| \le \min(\rho, \alpha_h \alpha_{h+1}).$$
(15)

Combining (14) and (15), we get

$$\alpha_{q-1}^{\prime\prime} + \alpha_q^{\prime\prime} \le |2A_h| + \min(\rho, \alpha_h \alpha_{h+1}).$$
(16)

It also follows that $A_i = P_i$ for all $h \le i \le q - 2$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |P \cap L| &= 1 + \alpha_h + \dots + \alpha_{q-2} + \alpha'_{q-1} \\ &\geq 1 + \alpha_h + \alpha_{h+1}, \\ |L| &= q + h\alpha_h + (h-1)\alpha_{h+1} + \dots + \alpha_{q-1} \\ &\geq q + h\alpha_h + (h-1)\alpha_{h+1}, \\ |D_q| &= 1 + \alpha_h + \dots + \alpha_{q-1} + \alpha''_q \\ &= |P \cap L| + \alpha''_{q-1} + \alpha''_q. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$W_{0}(S) = |P \cap L||L| - q|D_{q}| + \rho$$

= $|P \cap L||L| - q(|P \cap L| + \alpha_{q-1}'' + \alpha_{q}'') + \rho$
= $|P \cap L|(|L| - q) - q(\alpha_{q-1}'' + \alpha_{q}'') + \rho$
 $\geq (1 + \alpha_{h} + \alpha_{h+1})(h\alpha_{h} + (h-1)\alpha_{h+1} - q(\alpha_{q-1}'' + \alpha_{q}'') + \rho.$

Therefore, using (16), we get

$$W_0(S) \ge (1 + \alpha_h + \alpha_{h+1})(h\alpha_h + (h-1)\alpha_{h+1}) - q(|2A_h| + \min(\rho, \alpha_h \alpha_{h+1})) + \rho.$$

Using (13) again for the last two summands, we have

$$-q\min(
ho, lpha_h lpha_{h+1}) +
ho \geq -(q-1)lpha_h lpha_{h+1})$$

We also have the very crude estimate

$$|2A_h| \leq \alpha_h(\alpha_h+1)/2.$$

Hence

$$W_0(S) \ge (1 + \alpha_h + \alpha_{h+1})(h\alpha_h + (h-1)\alpha_{h+1}) - q\alpha_h(\alpha_h + 1)/2 - (q-1)\alpha_h\alpha_{h+1}.$$

Using q = 2h, it follows that

$$W_0(S) = (h-1)\alpha_{h+1}(\alpha_{h+1}+1)$$

Hence $W_0(S) \ge 0$, as desired.

We conclude this section with an easy particular case.

Proposition 3.2. Let *S* be a numerical semigroup of depth $q \ge 4$ such that $|P \cap L| \ge \max(\alpha_q'', q)$. Then $W_0(S) \ge \rho$.

Proof. $W_0(S) = |P \cap L||L| - q|D_q| + \rho$. Recall that $|L| = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (q-i)\alpha_i$ and that $|D_q| = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \alpha_i + \alpha''_q$. Hence

$$W_0(S) = q(|P \cap L| - \alpha''_q) + \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} ((q-i)|P \cap L| - q)\alpha_i + \rho.$$

Since $|P \cap L| \ge \alpha_q''$ and $|P \cap L| \ge q$ by hypothesis, the claimed inequality follows.

4 Main result

Let *S* be a numerical semigroup. We use the notation of Section 2.7 throughout. Wilf's conjecture has been successively settled for $|L| \le 4$ and $|L| \le 6$ in [4] and [5], respectively. Here we extend these results to the case $|L| \le 12$. Even more so, we show that if $|L| \le 12$ then $W_0(S) \ge 0$. As mentioned earlier, this is best possible, since there are numerical semigroups *S* satisfying |L| = 13 and $W_0(S) < 0$. At the time of writing, it remains an open problem to determine whether all numerical semigroups *S* with |L| = 13 satisfy Wilf's conjecture. In this section we prove the following result.

Theorem 4.1. Let *S* be a numerical semigroup such that $|L| \le 12$. Then $W_0(S) \ge 0$. In particular, *S* satisfies Wilf's conjecture.

By Theorem 2.9, the bound $W_0(S) \ge 0$ holds for all numerical semigroups of depth $q \le 3$. Consequently, in the sequel, we shall freely assume $q \ge 4$, since it suffices to prove Theorem 4.1 in that case. In fact, it also suffices to consider the case $q \le 7$, as follows from the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2. *Let S be a numerical semigroup of depth* $q \ge 8$ *such that* $|L| \le 12$. *Then* $W_0(S) \ge 0$.

Proof. Let $h = \lceil q/2 \rceil$. Then $12 \ge |L| \ge q + (q-1)\alpha_1 + \dots + (q-h+1)\alpha_{h-1}$. This implies $\alpha_i = 0$ for all $1 \le i \le h-1$. For if not, then $|L| \ge q + (q-h+1)$, and since $h \le (q+1)/2$, we would get $12 \ge |L| \ge 2q - (q+1)/2 + 1 = (3q+1)/2$ and hence $3q+1 \le 24$, contrary to the hypothesis $q \ge 8$. It now follows from Theorem 3.1 that $W_0(S) \ge 0$.

Finally, the following result strongly restricts the values of α_1 to consider.

Lemma 4.3. Let *S* be a numerical semigroup of depth $q \ge 4$ such that $|L| \le 12$. Then $\alpha_1 \le 2$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.20, we have $|L| = q + (q-1)\alpha_1 + (q-2)\alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_{q-1}$. Hence $|L| \ge q + (q-1)\alpha_1$. We have $q \ge 4$. If $\alpha_1 \ge 3$ then $|L| \ge 4 + 9 = 13$, contrary to the hypothesis on |L|.

The cases $\alpha_1 = 2$, 1 and 0 will now be treated successively. We shall occasionally use the following notation.

Notation 4.4. For all $i \ge 1$, we denote by $\mathbf{1}_i = \mathbf{1}_{A_i}$ the indicator function of A_i .

4.1 When $\alpha_1 = 2$

Proposition 4.5. Let *S* be a numerical semigroup of depth $q \ge 4$ such that $|L| \le 12$. If $\alpha_1 = 2$, then q = 4 and $W_0(S) \ge 0$.

Proof. Since $\alpha_1 = 2$, we have $12 \ge |L| \ge q + 2(q-1) = 3q - 2$. It follows that q < 5, whence q = 4 since $q \ge 4$ by hypothesis. We have

$$|P \cap L| \ge 3$$
, $|L| = 10 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3$, $|D_4| = 3 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4''$

Hence $2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \leq 2$ and so $\alpha_2 \leq 1$.

• If $\alpha_2 = 0$, then $|L| = 10 + \alpha_3$ and so $\alpha_3 \le 2$. Since $2A_1 \subset S_2 \cup S_3$, and since $A_2 = \emptyset$ and $2S_3 \cap S_4 = \emptyset$, it follows that

$$A_4 \cap D \subseteq (A_1 + A_3).$$

Hence $\alpha_4'' \leq 2\alpha_3$. Therefore

$$\begin{array}{rcl} W_0(S) & \geq & 3(10 + \alpha_3) - 4(3 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_3) + \rho \\ & = & 18 - 9\alpha_3 + \rho \\ & \geq & \rho. \end{array}$$

• If $\alpha_2 = 1$, then $|L| = 12 + \alpha_3$ and so |L| = 12 and $\alpha_3 = 0$. Since $2A_1 \subset S_2 \cup S_3$ and $A_3 = \emptyset$, it follows that

$$A_4 \cap D \subseteq (A_1 + A_2) \cup (2A_2).$$

Hence $\alpha_4'' \leq 2 + 1 = 3$. Therefore

$$W_0(S) \ge 3 \cdot 12 - 4(3 + 1 + 3) + \rho$$

= 8 + ρ . \Box

Remark 4.6. A better lower bound on $W_0(S)$ may be obtained by splitting α_i as $\alpha'_i + \alpha''_i$ for i = 2, 3 in the above proof. For instance, we have only used $|P \cap L| \ge 3$. But we could have used $|P \cap L| \ge 4$ if either α'_2 or α'_3 were assumed positive, while if $\alpha'_2 = \alpha'_3 = 0$, a sharper estimate on α''_4 can been derived.

4.2 When $\alpha_1 = 1$

Since $12 \ge |L| \ge q + (q-1) = 2q - 1$, it follows that $q \le 6$. We shall successively treat the cases q = 4, 5 and 6. Throughout Section 4.2, we set

$$A_1 = \{x\}.$$

4.2.1 Case q = 4

Then $\alpha(S) = (1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$. We have $|L| = 4 + 3 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3$, whence $2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \le 5$, implying $\alpha_2 \le 2$. We successively examine the cases $\alpha_2 = 2, 1, 0$. To start with, we have

$$A_4 \cap D \subseteq (A_1 + A_2) \cup (A_1 + A_3) \cup (A_2 + A_2) \cup (A_2 + A_3).$$
(17)

Subcase $\alpha_2 = 2$. Then $\alpha(S) = (1, 2, \alpha_3)$. We have $|L| = 11 + \alpha_3$, whence $\alpha_3 \le 1$. Denote

$$A_2 = \{y_1, y_2\}.$$

Since $A_2 \cap D \subseteq 2A_1$, and since $|2A_1| = 1$, we have $\alpha_2'' \leq 1$ whence $\alpha_2' \in \{1, 2\}$.

• Assume first $\alpha'_2 = 1$. Say $y_1 \in P, y_2 \in D$. Then $y_2 = 2x$, and $|P \cap L| = 3 + \alpha'_3$. We have $|D_4| = 4 + \alpha_3 + \alpha''_4$, and

$$(A_1 + A_2) \cup (2A_2) = \{4x, 3x, 2x + y_1, x + y_1, 2y_1\}.$$

Since $4x \notin S_3$ and since $\alpha_3 \leq 1$, there are at most four possibilities for $A_3 \cap D$, listed below together with their consequences on $A_4 \cap D$. Note that Lemma 2.13 plays a key role to deduce these consequences. For example, if $4x \in A_4$ or $2x + y_1 \in A_4$, then necessarily $3x \in A_3$ or $x + y_1 \in A_3$, respectively. Note also that the level function $\lambda = \lambda_S$ is nondecreasing. Consequently, in the last case $A_3 = \{2y_1\}$ below, it follows that $x + y_1 \notin A_4$, for $\lambda(x + y_1) \leq \lambda(2y_1) = 3$ since $x < y_1$. Here then are the possibilities for $A_3 \cap D$:

- 1. If $A_3 \cap D = \emptyset$ then $A_4 \cap D \subseteq \{3x, x + y_1, 2y_1\}$.
- 2. If $A_3 \cap D = \{3x\}$ then $A_4 \cap D \subseteq \{4x, x+y_1, 2y_1\}$.
- 3. If $A_3 \cap D = \{x + y_1\}$ then $A_4 \cap D \subseteq \{3x, 2x + y_1, 2y_1\}$.
- 4. If $A_3 \cap D = \{2y_1\}$ then $A_4 \cap D \subseteq \{3x, 2y_1\}$.

In either case, we have $\alpha_4'' \leq 3$. Recall also that $\alpha_3 \leq 1$ here. Hence

$$\begin{split} W_0(S) &= (3 + \alpha'_3)(11 + \alpha_3) - 4(4 + \alpha_3 + \alpha''_4) + \rho \\ &\geq (3 + \alpha'_3)(11 + \alpha_3) - 4(7 + \alpha_3) + \rho \\ &\geq 4 + 11\alpha'_3 + \alpha'_3\alpha_3 + \rho. \end{split}$$

• Assume now $\alpha'_2 = 2$, so that $y_1, y_2 \in P$. Then $|P \cap L| = 4 + \alpha'_3$. We have $|D_4| = 4 + \alpha_3 + \alpha''_4$, and

$$(2A_1) \cup (A_1 + A_2) \cup (2A_2) = \{2x, x + y_1, x + y_2, 2y_1, y_1 + y_2, 2y_2\}.$$

Up to permutation of y_1, y_2 , and using $\alpha_3 \le 1$ and $2x \notin S_4$, here are the possibilities for $A_3 \cap D$, together with their consequences for $A_4 \cap D$:

- 1. If $A_3 \cap D = \emptyset$ then $A_4 \cap D \subseteq \{x + y_1, x + y_2, 2y_1, y_1 + y_2, 2y_2\}.$
- 2. If $A_3 \cap D = \{2x\}$ then $A_4 \cap D \subseteq \{3x, x + y_1, x + y_2, 2y_1, y_1 + y_2, 2y_2\}$.
- 3. If $A_3 \cap D = \{x + y_1\}$ then $A_4 \cap D \subseteq \{x + y_2, 2y_1, y_1 + y_2, 2y_2\}$.
- 4. If $A_3 \cap D = \{2y_1\}$ then $A_4 \cap D \subseteq \{x + y_1, x + y_2, y_1 + y_2, 2y_2\}$.
- 5. If $A_3 \cap D = \{y_1 + y_2\}$ then $A_4 \cap D \subseteq \{x + y_1, x + y_2, 2y_1, 2y_2\}$.

In either case, we have $\alpha_4'' \leq 6$. Hence

$$W_0(S) = (4 + \alpha'_3)(11 + \alpha_3) - 4(4 + \alpha_3 + \alpha''_4) + \rho$$

$$\geq (4 + \alpha'_3)(11 + \alpha_3) - 4(10 + \alpha_3) + \rho$$

$$= 4 + 11\alpha'_3 + \alpha'_3\alpha_3 + \rho.$$

Subcase $\alpha_2 = 1$. Then $\alpha(S) = (1, 1, \alpha_3)$. We have $|L| = 9 + \alpha_3$, whence $\alpha_3 \le 3$. We also have $|P \cap L| = 2 + \alpha'_2 + \alpha'_3$ and $|D_4| = 3 + \alpha_3 + \alpha''_4$. Denote

$$A_2 = \{y\}.$$

• Assume first $y \in A_2 \cap D$. Then y = 2x, $|P \cap L| = 2 + \alpha'_3$ and $A_3 \cap D \subseteq \{3x\}$. Thus $\alpha''_3 \leq 1$, and either

$$A_4 \cap D \subseteq \{3x\} \cup (x+P_3) \text{ or } A_4 \cap D \subseteq \{4x\} \cup (2x+P_3) \cup (x+P_3).$$

We claim that $\alpha''_4 \le 1 + \alpha'_3$ in both cases. This is clear in the first one. In the second one, for all $z \in P_3$ we have

$$|A_4 \cap \{2x + z, x + z\}| \le 1.$$

Therefore $|A_4 \cap ((2x+P_3) \cup (x+P_3))| \le |P_3|$, implying $\alpha''_4 \le 1 + \alpha'_3$ here as well. Using $\alpha_3 = \alpha'_3 + \alpha''_3 \le \alpha'_3 + 1$, we have

$$\begin{split} W_0(S) &= (2+\alpha'_3)(9+\alpha_3) - 4(3+\alpha_3+\alpha''_4) + \rho \\ &\geq (2+\alpha'_3)(9+\alpha_3) - 4(4+\alpha'_3+\alpha_3) + \rho \\ &= 2+5\alpha'_3 - 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_3\alpha'_3 + \rho \\ &\geq 2+5\alpha'_3 - 2(\alpha'_3+1) + \alpha_3\alpha'_3 + \rho \\ &\geq 3\alpha'_3 + \alpha_3\alpha'_3 + \rho. \end{split}$$

• Assume now $y \in A_2 \cap P$. Hence $|P \cap L| = 3 + \alpha'_3$. Here we have

$$A_3 \cap D \subseteq \{2x, x+y, 2y\}$$

and so $\alpha_3'' \leq 3$. Let us examine in turn the possibilities for $A_3 \cap D$ and their consequences for $A_4 \cap D$:

- 1. If $A_3 \cap D = \emptyset$ then $A_4 \cap D \subseteq \{x + y, 2y\} \cup (\{x, y\} + P_3)$.
- 2. If $A_3 \cap D = \{2x\}$ then $A_4 \cap D \subseteq \{3x, x+y, 2y\} \cup (\{x, y\} + P_3)$.
- 3. If $A_3 \cap D = \{x + y\}$ then $A_4 \cap D \subseteq \{2y\} \cup (\{x, y\} + P_3)$.
- 4. If $A_3 \cap D = \{2y\}$ then $A_4 \cap D \subseteq \{x+y, 3y\} \cup (\{x, y\} + P_3)$.
- 5. If $A_3 \cap D = \{2x, x+y\}$ then $A_4 \cap D \subseteq \{3x, 2x+y, 2y\} \cup (\{x, y\} + P_3)$.
- 6. If $A_3 \cap D = \{2x, 2y\}$ then $A_4 \cap D \subseteq \{3x, x+y, 2y\} \cup (\{x, y\} + P_3)$.
- 7. If $A_3 \cap D = \{x + y, 2y\}$ then $A_4 \cap D \subseteq \{x + 2y, 3y\} \cup (\{x, y\} + P_3)$.
- 8. If $A_3 \cap D = \{2x, x+y, 2y\}$ then $A_4 \cap D \subseteq \{3x, 2x+y, x+2y, 3y\} \cup (\{x, y\} + P_3)$.

Note that $|\{x, y\} + P_3| \le 2|P_3| = 2\alpha'_3$. Consequently, distinguishing between the first seven cases and the last one, we have

$$lpha_3'' \leq 2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad lpha_4'' \leq 3 + 2lpha_3' \ lpha_3'' = 3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad lpha_4'' \leq 4 + 2lpha_3'.$$

– Assume first $\alpha_3'' \leq 2$, so that $\alpha_3 \leq \alpha_3' + 2$ and $\alpha_4'' \leq 3 + 2\alpha_3'$. We have

$$\begin{split} W_0(S) &= (3 + \alpha'_3)(9 + \alpha_3) - 4(3 + \alpha_3 + \alpha''_4) + \rho \\ &\geq (3 + \alpha'_3)(9 + \alpha_3) - 4(6 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha'_3) + \rho \\ &= 3 + \alpha'_3 - \alpha_3 + \alpha'_3 \alpha_3 + \rho \\ &\geq 1 + \alpha'_3 \alpha_3 + \rho. \end{split}$$

- Assume now $\alpha_3'' = 3$, so that $\alpha_4'' \le 4 + 2\alpha_3'$. Since $\alpha_3 \le 3$, it follows that $\alpha_3 = \alpha_3'' = 3$, whence $\alpha_3' = 0$ and $\alpha_4'' \le 4$. We have

$$W_0(S) = (3 + \alpha'_3)(9 + \alpha_3) - 4(3 + \alpha_3 + \alpha''_4) + \rho$$

$$\geq 3 \cdot 12 - 4 \cdot 10 + \rho$$

$$= -4 + \rho.$$

We now show that $\rho \ge 6$ here. Indeed, since $y \in A_2$ and $3y \in A_4$, we have

$$2m - \rho \le y, \quad 3y \le 5m - \rho - 1.$$

Therefore $3(2m - \rho) \le 3y \le 5m - \rho - 1$, implying $m \le 2\rho - 1$. Now, since $m = 1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \ge 10$ by (8), it follows that $\rho \ge 6$, whence $W_0(S) \ge 2$ and we are done.

Subcase $\alpha_2 = 0$. Then $\alpha(S) = (1, 0, \alpha_3)$ here, and incidentally $\alpha_3 \le 5$ since $|L| \le 12$. We have

$$|P \cap L| = 2 + \alpha'_3, \ |L| = 7 + \alpha_3, \ |D_4| = 2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha''_4.$$

Recalling that $A_1 = \{x\}$, we distinguish the cases where $2x \in A$ or not. • Assume first $2x \in A$. Since $\lambda(2x) \in \{2,3\}$ and since $A_2 = \emptyset$, it follows that

 $2x \in A_3$. Hence $\alpha''_3 = 1$ and so $\alpha_3 = 1 + \alpha'_3$. Thus

$$|L| = 8 + \alpha'_3, \ |D_4| = 3 + \alpha'_3 + \alpha''_4.$$

Since $A_4 \cap D \subseteq \{3x\} \cup P_3$, it follows that $\alpha_4'' \leq 1 + \alpha_3'$ and hence $|D_4| \leq 4 + 2\alpha_3'$. A straightforward computation then yields

$$W_0(S) \ge \rho$$

• Assume now $2x \notin A$. It follows that $A_3 \subseteq P$, i.e. $\alpha_3 = \alpha'_3$, and $A_4 \cap D \subseteq x + A_3$. Therefore $\alpha''_4 \leq \alpha_3$ n and so $|D_4| = 2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha''_4 \leq 2 + 2\alpha_3$. This implies here

$$W_0(S) \geq 6 + \rho.$$

This concludes the case $\alpha_1 = 1$ and q = 4.

4.2.2 Case q = 5

We now tackle the case $\alpha_1 = 1$ and q = 5, i.e. $\alpha(S) = (1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$. We have $|L| = 9 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4$, whence $3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \le 3$, implying $\alpha_2 \le 1$.

Subcase $\alpha_2 = 1$. Then $\alpha(S) = (1, 1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$. Then |L| = 12 and $\alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = 0$. Moreover, $|D_5| = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_5'' = 3 + \alpha_5''$. Set

$$A_2 = \{y\}.$$

• Assume first $y \in D$. Then y = 2x. Since $A_3 = A_4 = \emptyset$ by hypothesis, it follows that $|P \cap L| = 2$ and $A_5 \cap D \subseteq \{3x\}$. Therefore $\alpha_5'' \leq 1$. We conclude that

$$W_0(S) = 2 \cdot 12 - 5(3 + \alpha_5'') + \rho$$

 $\geq 4 + \rho.$

• Assume now $y \in P$. Then $|P \cap L| = 3$ and $A_5 \cap D \subseteq \{2y\}$ since $\lambda(2x) \le 3$ and $\lambda(x+y) \le 4$. Hence $\alpha_5'' \le 1$ and

$$W_0(S) = 3 \cdot 12 - 5(3 + \alpha_5'') + \rho$$

 $\geq 16 + \rho.$

Subcase $\alpha_2 = 0$. Then $\alpha(S) = (1, 0, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$ and $|L| = 9 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4$. Hence $\alpha_3 \le 1$. Moreover, $|D_5| = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5'' = 2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5''$. We have $A_2 = \emptyset$.

Subsubcase $\alpha_3 = 1$. Then $\alpha(S) = (1, 0, 1, \alpha_4)$. We have $|L| = 11 + \alpha_4$, hence $\alpha_4 \leq 1$. Since $A_2 = \emptyset$, we have $2x \in A$ if and only if $2x \in A_3$.

• Assume first $2x \in A$. Then $A_3 = \{2x\}$ since $A_2 = \emptyset$ and $\alpha_3 = 1$. Hence $A_4 \cap D \subseteq \{3x\}$ and $A_5 \cap D \subseteq \{3x, 4x\} \cup (x + A_4 \cap P)$. Therefore

$$\alpha_4'' = \mathbf{1}_4(3x), \ \alpha_5'' \le \mathbf{1}_5(3x) + \mathbf{1}_5(4x) + \alpha_4'.$$
(18)

Note also that if $4x \in A_5$, then $A_4 = \{3x\}$ by Lemma 2.13 and the bound $\alpha_4 \leq 1$. We have

$$|P \cap L| = 2 + \alpha'_4, \ |L| = 11 + \alpha_4, \ |D_5| = 3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha''_5 = 3 + \alpha'_4 + \mathbf{1}_4(3x) + \alpha''_5.$$

A straightforward computation, using (18), then yields

$$\begin{split} W_0(S) &= (2+\alpha'_4)(11+\alpha_4) - 5(3+\alpha'_4+\mathbf{1}_4(3x)+\alpha''_5) + \rho \\ &\geq (2+\alpha'_4)(11+\alpha_4) - 5(3+2\alpha'_4+\mathbf{1}_4(3x)+\mathbf{1}_5(3x)+\mathbf{1}_5(4x)) + \rho \\ &\geq 7-3\cdot\mathbf{1}_4(3x) - 5\cdot\mathbf{1}_5(3x) - 5\cdot\mathbf{1}_5(4x) + \rho. \end{split}$$

If $4x \notin A_5$ then $W_0(S) \ge 2 + \rho$ since $\mathbf{1}_4(3x) + \mathbf{1}_5(3x) \le 1$ and we are done. If $4x \in A_5$, then $3x \in A_4$ as noted above, whence $W_0(S) \ge -1 + \rho$. But then $4x \in C$, since $\lambda(4x) = 5$ whereas $\lambda(2x) = 3$. Thus $\rho \ge |C| \ge 1$, implying $W_0(S) \ge 0$, as desired.

• Assume now $2x \notin A$. Then $\alpha'_3 = \alpha_3 = 1$ and $|P \cap L| = 3 + \alpha'_4$. We have $A_5 \cap D \subseteq (A_1 + A_3) \cup (A_1 + A_4)$, whence $\alpha''_5 \leq 2$ since $\alpha_1 = \alpha_3 = 1$ and $\alpha_4 \leq 1$. Therefore $|D_5| = 3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha''_5 \leq 6$, so that

$$W_0(S) = (3 + \alpha'_4)(11 + \alpha_4) - 5|D_5| + \rho$$

$$\geq 3 \cdot 11 - 30 + \rho$$

$$\geq 3 + \rho.$$

Subsubcase $\alpha_3 = 0$. Then $\alpha(S) = (1, 0, 0, \alpha_4)$. We have $|L| = 9 + \alpha_4$, hence $\alpha_4 \leq 3$ and $|D_5| = 2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5''$. Since $A_2 = A_3 = \emptyset$, and since $2 \leq \lambda(2x) \leq 3$, it follows that $2x \notin A$ and $A_4 = P_4$. Thus $A_5 \cap D \subseteq x + P_4$, so that $\alpha_5'' \leq \alpha_4$ and $|P \cap L| = 2 + \alpha_4$. It follows that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} W_0(S) & \geq & (2+\alpha'_4)(9+\alpha_4) - 5(2+\alpha_4+\alpha''_5) + \rho \\ & \geq & (2+\alpha'_4)(9+\alpha_4) - 5(2+2\alpha_4) + \rho \\ & \geq & 8+\alpha_4+\alpha_4^2+\rho. \end{array}$$

This concludes the case $\alpha_1 = 1$ and q = 5.

4.2.3 Case q = 6

Still for $\alpha_1 = 1$, we now tackle the last case q = 6. Then $\alpha(S) = (1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5)$. We have $|L| = 11 + 4\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \alpha_5$, whence $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = 0$ and $\alpha_5 \le 1$. **Subcase** $\alpha_5 = 1$. Then $\alpha(S) = (1, 0, 0, 0, 1)$. We have |L| = 12 and $|D_6| = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6'' = 3 + \alpha_6''$. Recall that $A_1 = \{x\}$. Since $\lambda(2x) \le 3$ and $A_2 = A_3 = A_4 = 0$, it follows that $2x \notin A$. Whence $A_5 = P_5$, i.e. $\alpha_5' = \alpha_5 = 1$, and $A_6 \cap D \subseteq x + A_5$. Hence $lP \cap Ll = 4$ and $\alpha_6'' \le 1$. Consequently $|D_6| \le 4$, and

$$W_0(S) \ge 3 \cdot 12 - 6 \cdot 4 + \rho$$

= 12 + ρ .

Subcase $\alpha_5 = 0$. Then $\alpha(S) = (1,0,0,0,0)$. We have |L| = 11 and $|D_6| = 2 + \alpha''_6$. Since $A_1 = \{x\}$ and A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5 are all empty, it follows that $|P \cap L| = 2$ and $A_6 \cap D = \emptyset$, i.e. $\alpha''_6 = 0$. Thus

$$W_0(S) = 2 \cdot 11 - 6 \cdot 2 + \rho = 10 + \rho.$$

Summarizing, we have shown that if $|L| \le 12$, $\alpha_1 = 1$ and q = 6, then $W_0(S) \ge 10 + \rho$. This concludes the case $|L| \le 12$ and $\alpha_1 = 1$.

4.3 When $\alpha_1 = 0$

As noted at the beginning of Section 4, it suffices to consider the cases $4 \le q \le 7$.

4.3.1 Case q = 4

Then $\alpha(S) = (0, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$. Since $\lceil q/2 \rceil = 2$ here, Theorem 3.1 yields $W_0(S) \ge 0$.

4.3.2 Case q = 5

Then $\alpha(S) = (0, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$. We have $12 \ge |L| = 5 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4$. Hence $\alpha_2 \le 2$. We now examine successively the cases $\alpha_2 = 2, 1, 0$. Since $A_1 = \emptyset$, it follows that $A_2 \subset P$.

Subcase $\alpha_2 = 2$. Then $\alpha(S) = (0, 2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$. We have $|L| = 11 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4$. Hence $\alpha_3 = 0$ and $\alpha_4 \leq 1$. Since $A_2 \subset P$, we have $\alpha'_2 = \alpha_2 = 2$ here. Thus $|P \cap L| \geq 3$. Set

$$A_2 = \{x_1, x_2\}$$

Assume first $\alpha_4 = 1$. Then $\alpha(S) = (0, 2, 0, 1)$, so that |L| = 12 and $|D_5| = 4 + \alpha_5''$. Denote $A_4 = \{z\}$.

• If $z \in P$, then $|P \cap L| = 4$ and $2A_2 \cap (A_3 \cup A_4) = \emptyset$. Now

$$A_5 \cap D \subseteq 2A_2 \cup (A_2 + A_4).$$

Since $|2A_2| \leq 3$ and $|A_2 + A_4| \leq |A_2|$, it follows that $\alpha_5'' \leq 5$. Hence

$$W_0(S) = |P \cap L||L| - 5|D_5| + \rho$$

$$\geq 4 \cdot 12 - 5 \cdot 9 + \rho$$

$$= 3 + \rho$$

and we are done if $z \in P$.

• If $z \in D$, then $|P \cap L| = 3$ and $z \in A_2$ since $A_3 = \emptyset$. Hence, up to renumbering, either $z = 2x_1$ or $z = x_1 + x_2$. If $z = 2x_1$ then $A_5 \cap D \subseteq \{3x_1, x_1 + x_2, 2x_2\}$, whereas if $z = x_1 + x_2$, then $A_5 \cap D \subseteq \{2x_1, 2x_2\}$. In either case, we have $\alpha_5'' \leq 3$. Therefore $|D_5| \leq 7$. It follows that

$$W_0(S) = |P \cap L||L| - 5|D_5| + \rho$$

$$\geq 3 \cdot 12 - 5 \cdot 7 + \rho$$

$$= 1 + \rho$$

and we are done as well if $z \in D$.

Assume now $\alpha_4 = 0$. Then $\alpha(S) = (0, 2, 0, 0)$, so that |L| = 11 and $|D_5| = 3 + \alpha_5''$. In that case, we have $A_5 \subseteq 2A_2$, whence $\alpha_5'' \leq 3$ and $|D_5| \leq 6$. It follows that

$$W_0(S) \ge 3 \cdot 11 - 5 \cdot 6 + \rho \ge 3 + \rho.$$

That concludes the subcase $\alpha_2 = 2$, i.e. $\alpha(S) = (0, 2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$ here.

Subcase $\alpha_2 = 1$. Then $\alpha(S) = (0, 1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$. We have $|L| = 8 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4$. Hence $\alpha_3 \le 2$. Since $A_2 \subset P$, we have $\alpha'_2 = \alpha_2 = 1$ here. Set

$$A_2 = \{x\}$$

Subsubcase $\alpha_3 = 2$. Then $\alpha(S) = (0, 1, 2, \alpha_4)$. We have $|L| = 12 + \alpha_4$, whence |L| = 12 and $\alpha_4 = 0$. Thus $\alpha(S) = (0, 1, 2, 0)$. Hence $|D_5| = 4 + \alpha''_5$. Since $A_3 \cap D \subseteq 2A_2$, it follows that $\alpha''_3 \leq 1$ and hence $\alpha'_3 \in \{1, 2\}$. Therefore $|P \cap L| \geq 3$. Set

$$A_3 = \{y_1, y_2\}.$$

• Assume first $\alpha'_3 = 1$. Hence $\alpha''_3 = 1$, and up to renumbering, we may assume $y_1 = 2x, y_2 \in P$. Hence $|P \cap L| = 3$ and

$$A_5 \cap D \subseteq \{3x, x+y_2, 2y_2\}$$

Therefore $\alpha_5'' \leq 3$ and so $|D_5| \leq 7$. We then have

$$W_0(S) = |P \cap L||L| - 5|D_5| + \rho$$

$$\geq 3 \cdot 12 - 5 \cdot 7 + \rho$$

$$= 1 + \rho$$

and we are done here.

• Assume now $\alpha'_3 = \alpha_3 = 2$. Thus $y_1, y_2 \in P$ and so $|P \cap L| = 4$. We have

$$A_5 \cap D \subseteq \{2x\} \cup (x+A_3) \cup (2A_3 \cap A_5).$$

Thus $\alpha_5' \leq 3 + |2A_3 \cap A_5|$, and of course $|2A_3 \cap A_5| \leq |2A_3| \leq 3$ since $|A_3| = 2$. At this point we have

$$|D_5| \le 7 + |2A_3 \cap A_5|.$$

Therefore

$$W_0(S) = |P \cap L||L| - 5|D_5| + \rho$$

$$\geq 4 \cdot 12 - 5 \cdot (7 + |2A_3 \cap A_5|) + \rho$$

$$= 13 - 5|2A_3 \cap A_5| + \rho.$$

Now $\rho \ge |2A_3 \cap A_5|$, since $2A_3 \cap A_5 \subseteq C$ and $|C| \le \rho$. Hence

$$W_0(S) \ge 13 - 4|2A_3 \cap A_5|.$$

But $|2A_3 \cap A_5| \leq 3$ as seen above. It follows that $W_0(S) \geq 1$ and we are done here.

Subsubcase $\alpha_3 = 1$. Then $\alpha(S) = (0, 1, 1, \alpha_4)$. We have

$$|P \cap L| = 2 + \alpha'_3 + \alpha'_4, \quad |L| = 10 + \alpha_4, \quad |D_5| = 3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha''_5.$$
(19)

We examine four subcases, depending on which multiples of x belong to A.

Case 1. $2x \in A_3, 3x \in A_4$. Then $A_3 = \{2x\}$ since $\alpha_3 = 1$, and $A_4 \cap D = \{3x\}$. Hence $\alpha_4 = \alpha'_4 + 1$. Moreover,

$$A_5 \cap D \subseteq \{4x\} \cup (x + A_4 \cap P)$$

Whether 4*x* belongs to A_5 or not will be measured by $\mathbf{1}_5(4x) \in \{0,1\}$, where $\mathbf{1}_i = \mathbf{1}_{A_i}$ denotes the indicator function of A_i for all *i*. Thus,

$$\alpha_5'' \leq \mathbf{1}_5(4x) + \alpha_4',$$

and so

$$|P \cap L| = 2 + \alpha'_4, \ |L| = 11 + \alpha'_4, \ |D_5| = 4 + \alpha'_4 + \alpha''_5 \le 4 + 2\alpha'_4 + \mathbf{1}_5(4x).$$
 (20)

Plugging this data into (5), we get

$$W_0(S) \ge 2 + 3\alpha'_4 + {\alpha'_4}^2 - 5 \cdot \mathbf{1}_5(4x) + \rho.$$

If either $\alpha'_4 \neq 0$ or $\mathbf{1}_5(4x) = 0$, then $W_0(S) \ge \rho$ and we are done. If $\alpha'_4 = 0$ and $\mathbf{1}_5(4x) = 1$, then $W_0(S) \ge -3 + \rho$. But in this case, we claim that $\rho \ge 3$. Indeed, both 2x, 3x are *compressed* Apéry elements, since $\lambda(x) = 2, \lambda(2x) = 3, \lambda(3x) = 4$ and 2x = x + x, 3x = x + 2x. Moreover, since $\mathbf{1}_5(4x) = 1$ here, then 4x is also a compressed Apéry element, using $\lambda(4x) = 5$ and 4x = 2x + 2x. Hence $\{2x, 3x, 4x\} \subseteq C$. Since $\rho \ge |C|$, this proves $\rho \ge 3$ as claimed and yields $W_0(S) \ge 0$, as desired.

Case 2. $2x \in A_3, 3x \notin A_4$. Then $A_3 = \{2x\}$ and $A_4 \subset P$, so that $\alpha_4 = \alpha'_4$. We have

$$A_5 \cap D \subseteq \{3x\} \cup (x + A_4)$$

Hence $\alpha_5'' \leq 1 + \alpha_4$, and so

$$|P \cap L| = 2 + \alpha_4, \ |L| = 10 + \alpha_4, \ |D_5| = 3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5'' \le 4 + 2\alpha_4.$$

Hence $W_0(S) \ge 2\alpha_4 + \alpha_4^2 + \rho$ and we are done in the present case.

Case 3. $2x \in A_4$. Then $A_3 = A_3 \cap P$, so that $\alpha'_3 = \alpha_3 = 1$. We set $A_3 = \{y\}$. We have $\{2x\} \subseteq A_4 \cap D \subseteq \{2x, x+y\}$ and $A_5 \cap D \subseteq \{3x, 2y, x+y\}$. Since x+y cannot belong to both A_4, A_5 , it follows that $\alpha''_4 + \alpha''_5 \leq 4$.

Assume first $\alpha_4 = 2$. We then have

$$|P \cap L| = 3 + \alpha'_4, \ |L| = 12, \ |D_5| = 3 + \alpha'_4 + \alpha''_4 + \alpha''_5 \le 7 + \alpha'_4.$$
(21)

It follows that $W_0(S) \ge 12(3 + \alpha'_4) - 5(7 + \alpha'_4) + \rho \ge 1 + \rho$ and we are done. Assume now $\alpha_4 = 1$. Then $A_4 = \{2x\}$ and |L| = 11. If $\alpha''_5 \le 2$, then

$$|P \cap L| = 3, \ |L| = 11, \ |D_5| = 4 + \alpha_5'' \le 6.$$

It follows that $W_0(S) \ge 3 \cdot 11 - 5 \cdot 6 + \rho \ge 3 + \rho$ and we are done. But if $\alpha_5'' = 3$, i.e. if $A_5 \cap D = \{3x, 2y, x+y\}$, then we only get $W_0(S) \ge -2 + \rho$. But in this case, since $3x, 2y \in C$, it follows that $\rho \ge 2$ whence $W_0(S) \ge 0$ as desired.

Case 4. $2x \notin A$. Then as above, $A_3 = A_3 \cap P$, so that $\alpha'_3 = \alpha_3 = 1$, and we set $A_3 = \{y\}$. If $x + y \in A$, then it belongs to either A_4 or A_5 . But in any case, $x + 2y \notin A$ since $\lambda(x + 2y) \ge 6$, as easily seen. It follows that $A_4 \cap D \subseteq \{x + y\}$ and $A_5 \cap D \subseteq \{x + y, 2y\}$. Hence

$$\alpha_4 = \alpha'_4 + \mathbf{1}_4(x+y), \ \alpha''_5 \le 1 + \mathbf{1}_5(x+y).$$

It follows that

$$|P \cap L| = 3 + \alpha'_4, \ |L| = 10 + \alpha'_4 + \mathbf{1}_4(x+y), \ |D_5| \le 4 + \alpha'_4 + \mathbf{1}_4(x+y) + \mathbf{1}_5(x+y).$$

A straightforward computation, using $\mathbf{1}_4(x+y) + \mathbf{1}_5(x+y) \le 1$, then yields

$$\begin{split} W_0(S) &\geq 10 + 8\alpha'_4 + \alpha'^{2}_4 + (\alpha'_4 - 2) \cdot \mathbf{1}_4(x + y) - 5 \cdot \mathbf{1}_5(x + y) + \rho \\ &\geq 5 + \rho \end{split}$$

and we are done.

Subsubcase $\alpha_3 = 0$. Then $\alpha(S) = (0, 1, 0, \alpha_4)$. We have

$$|P \cap L| = 2 + \alpha'_4, \ |L| = 8 + \alpha_4, \ |D_5| = 2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha''_5.$$

Here $A_3 = \emptyset$, and $\alpha_4 \le 4$ since $|L| \le 12$ by assumption.

Case 1. $2x \in A$. Then $2x \in A_4 \sqcup A_5$. Thus

$$A_4 \cap D \subseteq \{2x\}, \ A_5 \cap D \subseteq \{2x, 3x\} \cup (x + A_4 \cap P).$$

Hence $\alpha_4 = \alpha'_4 + \alpha''_4 = \alpha'_4 + \mathbf{1}_4(2x)$ and $\alpha''_5 \le \mathbf{1}_5(2x) + \mathbf{1}_5(3x) + \alpha'_4$. We have

$$|P \cap L| = 2 + \alpha'_4, \ |L| = 8 + \alpha'_4 + \mathbf{1}_4(2x), \ |D_5| \le 2 + 2\alpha'_4 + \mathbf{1}_4(2x) + \mathbf{1}_5(2x) + \mathbf{1}_5(3x) + \mathbf{1}_5(3$$

A straightforward computation then yields

$$W_0(S) \ge 6 - 3 \cdot \mathbf{1}_4(2x) - 5 \cdot \mathbf{1}_5(2x) - 5 \cdot \mathbf{1}_5(3x) + \rho.$$

If $3x \notin A$, then $\mathbf{1}_5(3x) = 0$ and $W_0(S) \ge 1 + \rho$. The case $3x \in A$ is more delicate. It implies $3x \in A_5$, i.e. $\mathbf{1}_5(3x) = 1$, and then of course $2x \in A_4$, i.e. $\mathbf{1}_4(2x) = 1$ and $\mathbf{1}_5(2x) = 0$. Thus $W_0(S) \ge -2 + \rho$. It remains to show $\rho \ge 2$. Since $(\lambda(x), \lambda(2x), \lambda(3x)) = (2, 4, 5)$ here, it follows that $3x \in C$, whence $\rho \ge 1$. This is not strong enough yet. However, since $(\lambda(2x), \lambda(3x)) = (4, 5)$, we have

$$4m - \rho \le 2x, \ 3x \le 6m - \rho - 1.$$

Therefore $3(4m - \rho)/2 \le 3x \le 6m - \rho - 1$, implying $\rho \ge 2$ as desired, and hence $W_0(S) \ge 0$.

Case 2. $2x \notin A$. Then $A_3 = A_4 \cap D = \emptyset$. Hence $A_4 = A_4 \cap P$ and $A_5 \cap D \subseteq x + A_4$. That is, we have $\alpha_4 = \alpha'_4$ and $\alpha''_5 \leq \alpha_4$. Thus $|D_5| \leq 2 + 2\alpha_4$, and a straightforward computation yields

$$W_0(S) \ge 6 + \rho.$$

4.3.3 Case q = 6

Then $\alpha(S) = (0, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5)$. We have $12 \ge |L| = 6 + 4\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \alpha_5$, whence $\alpha_2 \le 1$.

Subcase $\alpha_2 = 1$. Then $|L| = 10 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \alpha_5$, implying $\alpha_3 = 0$ and $\alpha_4 \le 1$. Thus $\alpha(S) = (0, 1, 0, \alpha_4, \alpha_5)$. We set

$$A_2 = \{x\},\$$

with $x \in P$ since $A_2 \cap D = \emptyset$. We have $A_3 = \emptyset$.

Subsubcase $\alpha_4 = 1$. Then |L| = 12, whence $\alpha_5 = 0$ and so $\alpha(S) = (0, 1, 0, 1, 0)$. Set $A_4 = \{z\}$. Since $A_3 = A_5 = \emptyset$, it follows that $2x \in A$ if and only if 2x = z. That is, either $z \in A \cap P$ and $2x \notin A$, or else z = 2x. In either case, we have

$$A_6 \cap D \subseteq x + A_4,$$

whence $\alpha_6'' \leq 1$. Summarizing, we have

$$|P \cap L| = 2 + \alpha'_4, \ |L| = 12, \ |D_6| = 3 + \alpha''_6 \le 4.$$

It follows that

$$W_0(S) \ge (2 + \alpha'_4) \cdot 12 - 6 \cdot 4 + \rho \ge \rho$$

and we are done here.

Subsubcase $\alpha_4 = 0$. Then $\alpha(S) = (0, 1, 0, 0, \alpha_5)$. Since $\lambda(2x) \in [3, 5]$ and $A_3 = A_4 = \emptyset$, we have $2x \in A$ if and only if $2x \in A_5$. Therefore $A_5 \cap D \subseteq \{2x\}$, i.e. $\alpha_5'' \leq 1$. However $3x \notin A_6$. For if $3x \in A_6$, then $2x \in A_5$ by Lemma 2.13, whence

$$5m - \rho \le 2x, \ 3x \le 7m - \rho - 1.$$

Thus $3(5m-\rho)/2 \le 3x \le 7m-\rho-1$, whence $\rho \ge m+2$, in contradiction with $\rho \in [0,m[$. Therefore $A_6 \cap D \subseteq x + (A_5 \cap P)$, i.e. $\alpha_6'' \le \alpha_5'$. Summarizing, we have

$$|P \cap L| = 2 + \alpha'_5, \ |L| = 10 + \alpha_5, \ |D_6| = 2 + \alpha_5 + \alpha''_6 \le 2 + \alpha_5 + \alpha'_5.$$

A straightforward computation then yields

$$W_0(S) \ge 8 - 4\alpha_5'' + \rho,$$

whence $W_0(S) \ge 4 + \rho$ since $\alpha_5'' \le 1$.

Subcase $\alpha_2 = 0$. Then $\alpha(S) = (0, 0, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5)$ and Theorem 3.1 yields $W_0(S) \ge 0$.

4.3.4 Case q = 7

Here $\alpha(S) = (0, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6)$. Then $12 \ge |L| \ge 7 + 5\alpha_2$, whence $\alpha_2 \le 1$.

• If $\alpha_2 = 1$, then |L| = 12 and $\alpha_3 = \cdots = \alpha_6 = 0$. It follows that $A \cap D = \emptyset$, whence $\alpha_7'' = 0$. Thus $|P \cap L| = 2$ and $|D_7| = 2$. Therefore

$$W_0(S) = 2 \cdot 12 - 7 \cdot 2 + \rho = 10 + \rho$$

and we are done in this case.

• If $\alpha_2 = 0$, then $|L| = 7 + 4\alpha_3 + 3\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + \alpha_6$, whence $\alpha_3 \le 1$.

•• Assume $\alpha_3 = 1$. Then $\alpha(S) = (0, 0, 1, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6)$ and $|L| = 11 + 2\alpha_5 + \alpha_6$, whence $\alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = 0$ and $\alpha_6 \le 1$. Thus in fact, $\alpha(S) = (0, 0, 1, 0, 0, \alpha_6)$ and $|L| = 11 + \alpha_6$. We set

$$A_3 = \{x\}.$$

Of course $x \in P$ since $A_1 = A_2 = \emptyset$. Since $A_4 = A_5 = \emptyset$, it follows that $A_6 \cap D \subseteq \{2x\}$. Moreover, since $(S_3 + S_6) \cap S_7 = \emptyset$, we also have $A_7 \cap D \subseteq \{2x\}$. Thus

$$\alpha_6'' = \mathbf{1}_6(2x), \ \alpha_7'' = \mathbf{1}_7(2x).$$

Summarizing, we have

$$|P \cap L| = 2 + \alpha'_6, \ |L| = 11 + \alpha_6, \ |D_7| = 2 + \alpha_6 + \alpha''_7 = 2 + \alpha'_6 + \mathbf{1}_6(2x) + \mathbf{1}_7(2x)$$

A straightforward computation, using $\mathbf{1}_6(2x) + \mathbf{1}_7(2x) \le 1$, then yields

$$W_0(S) \ge 1 + \rho$$

and we are done.

•• Finally, assume $\alpha_3 = 0$. Then $\alpha(S) = (0, 0, 0, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6)$. In that case, since $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_{h-1} = 0$ where $h = \lceil q/2 \rceil = 4$, Theorem 3.1 implies $W_0(S) \ge 0$.

4.3.5 Case $q \ge 8$

For depth $q \ge 8$, Proposition 4.2 yields $W_0(S) \ge 0$.

4.4 Concluding remarks

Having examined above all possible Apéry profiles $\alpha(S)$ compatible with $|L| \le 12$, the proof of Theorem 4 is now complete.

As mentioned earlier, among the more than 10^{13} numerical semigroups of genus $g \le 60$, exactly five of them satisfy $W_0(S) < 0$. These five exceptions have depth q = 4 and Apéry profile $\alpha(S) = (2,0,3)$, yielding $|L| = 4 + 2 \cdot 3 + 3 = 13$. Moreover, they satisfy c = 4m, $|P \cap L| = |P_1| = 3$ and $\alpha_4'' = 4$, whence $|D_4| = 10$ and $W_0(S) = 3 \cdot 13 - 4 \cdot 10 = -1$.

Infinite families of numerical semigroups satisfying $W_0(S) < 0$ have been constructed in [2, 7]. However, they all turn out to satisfy $W(S) \ge 0$. It would be very interesting to characterize those *S* satisfying $W_0(S) < 0$, but that will likely be hard to achieve. Less ambitiously, can one determine how many such cases occur in, say, genus $g \le 100$?

References

- [1] W. BRUNS, P. GARCIA-SANCHEZ, C. O'NEILL, D. WILBURNE, *Wilf's conjecture in fixed multiplicity*, International Journal of Algebra and Computation (2020). https://doi.org/10.1142/S021819672050023X.
- [2] M. DELGADO, On a question of Eliahou and a conjecture of Wilf. Math. Z. 288 (2018) 595–627.
- [3] M. DELGADO, *Conjecture of Wilf: a survey*. arXiv:1902.03461 [math.CO] (2019).
- [4] D. DOBBS AND G. MATTHEWS, On a question of Wilf concerning numerical semigroups. Focus on Commutative Rings Research, NovaSci.Publ., NewYork, 2006, pp.193–202.
- [5] S. ELIAHOU, Wilf's conjecture and Macaulay's theorem. J. Eur. Math. Soc. 20 (2018) 2105–2129. DOI: 10.4171/JEMS/807.
- [6] S. ELIAHOU, A graph-theoretic approach to Wilf's conjecture. Elec. J. Combin. 27(2) (2020), #P2.15, 31 pp. https://doi.org/10.37236/9106.
- [7] S. ELIAHOU AND J. FROMENTIN, Near-misses in Wilf's conjecture. Semigroup Forum 98 (2019) 285–298. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00233-018-9926-5.
- [8] R. FRÖBERG, C. GOTTLIEB AND R. HÄGGKVIST, *On numerical semi*groups. Semigroup Forum 35 (1987) 63–83.
- [9] J. FROMENTIN AND F. HIVERT, *Exploring the tree of numerical semi*groups. Math. Comp. 85 (2016) 2553–2568.
- [10] N. KAPLAN, Counting numerical semigroups by genus and some cases of a question of Wilf. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 216 (2012) 1016–1032.
- [11] J.I. GARCÍA-GARCÍA, D. MARÍN-ARAGÓN AND A. VIGNERON-TENORIO, An extension of Wilf's conjecture to affine semigroups. Semigroup Forum 96 (2018) 396–408. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00233-017-9906-1.
- [12] A. MOSCARIELLO AND A. SAMMARTANO, On a conjecture by Wilf about the Frobenius number. Math. Z. 280 (2015), no. 1–2, 47–53.

- [13] J.C. ROSALES AND P.A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ, Numerical semigroups. Developments in Mathematics, 20. Springer, New York, 2009.
- [14] A. SAMMARTANO, Numerical semigroups with large embedding dimension satisfy Wilf's conjecture. Semigroup Forum 85 (2012) 439–447.
- [15] J.J. SYLVESTER, *Mathematical questions with their solutions*. Educational Times 41 (1884) 21.
- [16] H.S. WILF, A circle-of-lights algorithm for the "money-changing problem". Amer. Math. Monthly 85 (1978), no. 7, 562–565.

Authors' addresses:

- Shalom Eliahou, Univ. Littoral Côte d'Opale, UR 2597 LMPA Laboratoire de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées Joseph Liouville, F-62228 Calais, France and CNRS, FR2037, France.
 eliahou@univ-littoral.fr
- D. Marín-Aragón, Univ. Cádiz, Facultad de Ciencias Campus Universitario Río San Pedro s/n. 11510 Puerto Real, Cádiz, España daniel.marin@uca.es