

A new regularity criterion for weak solutions to the 3D micropolar fluid flows in terms of the pressure

Sadek Gala, Maria Alessandra Ragusa, Michel Thera

▶ To cite this version:

Sadek Gala, Maria Alessandra Ragusa, Michel Thera. A new regularity criterion for weak solutions to the 3D micropolar fluid flows in terms of the pressure. 2020. hal-02651131

HAL Id: hal-02651131 https://hal.science/hal-02651131

Preprint submitted on 29 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A new regularity criterion for weak solutions to the 3D micropolar fluid flows in terms of the pressure

Sadek Gala · Maria Alessandra Ragusa · Michel Théra

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract This note aims to giving a new regularity criterion for weak solutions to the three-dimensional micropolar fluid flows by imposing a critical growth condition on the field of pressure.

Keywords Micropolar fluid flows; · weak solutions; · Pressure criterion · Besov spaces..

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 35Q35 · 35B65 · 76D05

и

In this note we consider the following Cauchy problem (1) for the incompressible micropolar fluid equations in \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla) u + \nabla \pi - \nabla \times \omega = 0, \tag{1a}$$

$$\partial_t \omega - \Delta \omega - \nabla \nabla \cdot \omega + 2\omega + (u \cdot \nabla)\omega - \nabla \times u = 0, \tag{1b}$$

 $\nabla \cdot u = 0, \qquad (1c)$

$$(x,0) = u_0(x), \ \omega(x,0) = \omega_0(x),$$
 (1d)

where $u = u(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $\omega = \omega(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\pi = \pi(x,t)$ denote the unknown velocity of the fluid, the micro-rotational velocity of the fluid particles and the unknown scalar pressure of the fluid at the point $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0,T)$, respectively, while u_0, ω_0 are given initial data satisfying $\nabla \cdot u = 0$ in the sense of distributions.

This model for micropolar fluid flows proposed by Eringen [6] enables to consider some physical phenomena that cannot be treated by the classical Navier-Stokes equations for the viscous incompressible fluids, such as for example, the motion of animal blood, muddy fluids, liquid crystals and dilute aqueous polymer solutions, colloidal suspensions, etc.

When the micro-rotation effects are neglected or $\omega = 0$, (1) reduces to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, and it is well known that regularity criteria

Sadek Gala ORCID 0000-0002-4286-4689

University of Mostaganem, P. O. Box 270, Mostaganem 27000, Algeria and Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Catania

E-mail: sgala793@gmail.com

Maria Alessandra Ragusa ORCID 0000-0001-6611-6370

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Catania and RUDN University, 6 Miklukho - Maklay St, Moscow, 117198, Russia

E-mail: maragusa@dmi.unict.it

Michel Théra ORCID 0000-0001-9022-6406

Mathematics and Computer Science Department, University of Limoges and Centre for Informatics and Applied Optimization, School of Science, Engineering and Information Technology, Federation University Australia, Ballarat

E-mail: michel.thera@unilim.fr, m.thera@federation.edu.au

for weak solution to the fluid dynamical models attracts more and more attention. Velocity or vorticity or pressure blow-up criteria for Navier-Stokes equations, micropolar fluid equations and magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) equations and so on (see e.g., [1-4, 7-10, 15-17] and the references therein) attracted during the last years the attention of many researchers.

As for the pressure criterion, let us first recall some results on pressure regularity of Navier-Stokes equations. In [14], He and Gala proved regularity of weak solutions under the condition

$$\int_0^T \|\pi(\cdot,t)\|_{\dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}}^2 dt < \infty.$$
⁽²⁾

Here and thereafter, $\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}$ stands for the homogeneous Besov space, (for the definition see e.g. [14] and [13]). Later on, Guo and Gala [13] refined the condition (2) to

$$\int_{0}^{T} \frac{\|\pi(\cdot,t)\|_{\dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}}^{2}}{1 + \log\left(e + \|\pi(\cdot,t)\|_{\dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}}\right)} dt < \infty.$$
(3)

Motivated by the paper of Guo and Gala [13], the aim of this paper is to give a new regularity criterion for weak solutions to the 3D micropolar fluid flows in terms of the pressure in critical Besov spaces.

1 Main result

Let us start by stating the main result of this note.

Theorem 1.1 Let T > 0 and $(u_0, \omega_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$ in the sense of distributions. Assume that (u, ω) is a weak solution to the 3D micropolar fluid flows (1) on (0, T). If the pressure π satisfies the following condition :

$$\int_{0}^{T} \frac{\|\pi(\cdot,t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}}^{2}}{\left(e + \log\left(e + \|\pi(\cdot,t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}}\right)\right) \log\left(e + \log\left(e + \|\pi(\cdot,t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}}\right)\right)} dt < \infty, \quad (4)$$

then (u, ω) is regular on (0, T], i.e., $(u, \omega) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T])$.

Remark 1.1 This result provides a new information concerning the question of the regularity of weak solutions to the micropolar fluid equations and extends those of [14] and [13]. In particular, the double-logarithm estimate (4) is sharper than any other results [13, 14].

Before stating our result, let us recall what we mean by a weak solution.

Definition 1.1 ([16]) Let $(u_0, \omega_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and suppose that $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$. A measurable function $(u(x,t), \omega(x,t))$ is called a weak solution to the 3D micropolar flows equations (1) on (0,T) if (u, ω) satisfies three properties :

- (1) $(u, \omega) \in L^{\infty}((0, T); L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})) \cap L^{2}((0, T); H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))$ for all T > 0;
- (2) $(u(x,t), \omega(x,t))$ verifies (1) in the sense of distribution;
- (3) For all $0 \le t \le T$ it holds :

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot,t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\omega(\cdot,t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + 2\int_{0}^{t} (\|\nabla u(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\nabla \omega(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\nabla \cdot \omega(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2})d\tau \\ \leq \|u_{0}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\omega_{0}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}, \end{aligned}$$

By a strong solution we mean a weak solution (u, ω) such that

 $(u, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \in L^{\infty}\left((0, T); H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right) \cap L^{2}\left((0, T); H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right).$

It is well known that strong solutions are regular (say, classical) and unique in the class of weak solutions.

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we first establish some estimates between pressure and velocity. Taking div and ∇ div to both sides of the micropolar fluid flows for smooth solution (u, π) , separately, we get the well-known pressure-velocity relation in \mathbb{R}^3 , given by

$$\pi = (-\Delta)^{-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} (u_i u_j) \text{ and } \nabla \pi = (-\Delta)^{-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} (\nabla (u_i u_j)).$$

Then, the Calderón-Zygmund inequality implies that for any $1 < \alpha < +\infty$:

$$\|\pi\|_{L^{\alpha}} \le C \|u\|_{L^{2\alpha}}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla\pi\|_{L^{\alpha}} \le C \||u|\nabla u\|_{L^{\alpha}}.$$
(5)

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Now we are in the position to prove Theorem 1.1. Firstly, by means of the local existence result, which is similar to the one used in the theory of Navier-Stokes equations (refer to Giga [12], see also Dong et al. [5]), and the standard local solution extension technique, equation (1) with $(u_0, \omega_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$ admits a unique L^4 -strong solution (u, ω) on a maximal time interval. For the simplicity notation, we may suppose that the maximal time interval is [0, T). Thus, in order to prove Theorem 1.1, it remains to show that

$$\lim_{t \to T} \left(\|u(t)\|_4 + \|w(t)\|_4 \right) < \infty.$$

This will lead to a contradiction to the estimates to be derived below.

Proof Before going into the proof, we recall the following inequality established in [11] (see also [13]):

$$\|f\|_{L^4}^2 \le C \|f\|_{L^{-1}} \|\nabla f\|_{L^2}.$$
(6)

Testing (1a) by $u|u|^2$ and using (1c), we get

$$\frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{L^4}^4 + \||u| \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \nabla |u|^2 \right\|_{L^2}^2
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \omega) \cdot u \, |u|^2 \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u \cdot \nabla \pi) |u|^2 \, dx
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \omega [\nabla \times (u \, |u|^2)] \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \pi u \cdot \nabla |u|^2 \, dx$$
(7)

Testing (1b) by $\omega |\omega|^2$, and using (1c) infers that

$$\frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \|\omega\|_{L^4}^4 + \||\omega|\nabla \cdot \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla|\omega|^2 \Big\|_{L^2}^2$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times u) \cdot \omega |\omega|^2 dx - 2 \|\omega\|_{L^4}^4$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u [\nabla \times (\omega |\omega|^2) dx - 2 \|\omega\|_{L^4}^4, \qquad (8)$$

where we have used the following identities due to the divergence free property of the velocity field *u*:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u \cdot \nabla) u \cdot u |u|^2 dx = 0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u \cdot \nabla) \omega \cdot \omega |\omega|^2 dx.$$

Summing up (7) and (8), it follows that

$$\frac{1}{4}\frac{d}{dt}(\|u\|_{L^{4}}^{4}+\|\omega\|_{L^{4}}^{4})+\||u|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla |u|^{2}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\||\omega|\nabla \cdot \omega\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla |\omega|^{2}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}$$
$$=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\omega[\nabla \times (u|u|^{2})]dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}u[\nabla \times (\omega|\omega|^{2})dx-2\|\omega\|_{L^{4}}^{4}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(u\cdot\nabla\pi)|u|^{2}dx.$$
(9)

Using the Hölder inequality and the Young inequality and integrating by parts, we derive the estimate of the first three terms on the right-hand side of (9) as follows:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \boldsymbol{\omega} [\nabla \times (u | u |^{2})] dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u [\nabla \times (\boldsymbol{\omega} | \boldsymbol{\omega} |^{2}) dx - 2 \| \boldsymbol{\omega} \|_{L^{4}}^{4} \leq \| u \|_{L^{4}} \| \boldsymbol{\omega} \|_{L^{4}} (\| | u | | \nabla u \|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \| | \boldsymbol{\omega} | | \nabla \boldsymbol{\omega} \|_{L^{2}}^{2}) - 2 \| \boldsymbol{\omega} \|_{L^{4}}^{4} \leq \| | u | | \nabla u \|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \| | \boldsymbol{\omega} | | \nabla \boldsymbol{\omega} \|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C \| u \|_{L^{4}}^{4}.$$
(10)

To estimate the last term of the right-hand side of (9), we have after integrating by parts and employing the Hölder inequality and the Young inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \pi u \cdot \nabla |u|^{2} dx \right| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\pi| |u| |\nabla|u|^{2} | dx \\ &\leq C \|\pi\|_{L^{4}}^{2} \|u\|_{L^{4}}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \nabla |u|^{2} \right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\ &\leq C \|\pi\|_{\dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}} \|\nabla\pi\|_{L^{2}} \|u\|_{L^{4}}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \nabla |u|^{2} \right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\ &\leq C \|\pi\|_{\dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}} \|u\|_{L^{4}}^{2} \||u| \nabla u\|_{L^{2}} + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \nabla |u|^{2} \right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\ &\leq C \|\pi\|_{\dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}}^{2} \|u\|_{L^{4}}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \||u| |\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \nabla |u|^{2} \right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}, \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\|u(t)\|_{L^{4}}^{4} + \|\omega(t)\|_{L^{4}}^{4}) + \||u|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\nabla|u|^{2}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \||\omega|\nabla \cdot \omega\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \||\omega||\nabla \omega\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
\leq C(1 + \|\pi\|_{\dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}}^{2}) \|u\|_{L^{4}}^{4}.$$

Applying the Gronwall inequality, yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot,t)\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\omega(\cdot,t)\|_{L^4}^4 \\ &\leq (\|u_0\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\omega_0\|_{L^4}^4) \exp\left(C\int_0^t (1+\|\pi(\cdot,\tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}}^2)d\tau\right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the inner product of (1a) with $-\Delta u$, (1b) with $-\Delta \omega$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, adding the resulting equations together, and using the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities:

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^4} \le C \|u\|_{L^4}^{\frac{1}{5}} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4}{5}}$$
 and $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \le C \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$

we obtain,

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla u(\cdot,t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\nabla \omega(\cdot,t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}) + \|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Delta \omega\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\nabla \nabla \cdot \omega\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + 2 \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} (u \cdot \nabla) u \cdot \Delta u dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} (\nabla \times \omega) \cdot \Delta u dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} (u \cdot \nabla) \omega \cdot \Delta \omega dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} (\nabla \times u) \cdot \Delta \omega dx \\ &\leq \|u\|_{L^{4}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{4}} \|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}} + \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^{2}} \|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}} + \|u\|_{L^{4}} \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^{4}} \|\Delta \omega\|_{L^{2}} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}} \|\Delta \omega\|_{L^{2}} \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{L^{4}}^{\frac{6}{5}} \|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{9}{5}} + \|\omega\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta \omega\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}} + \|u\|_{L^{4}} \|\omega\|_{L^{4}}^{\frac{1}{5}} \|\Delta \omega\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{9}{5}} + \|u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta \omega\|_{L^{2}} \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{L^{4}}^{\frac{6}{5}} + C \|\omega\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C (\|u\|_{L^{4}}^{12} + \|\omega\|_{L^{4}}^{12}) + C \|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (\|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Delta \omega\|_{L^{2}}^{2}) \\ &\leq C (1 + \|u\|_{L^{4}}^{12} + \|\omega\|_{L^{4}}^{12}) + \frac{1}{2} (\|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Delta \omega\|_{L^{2}}^{2}). \end{split}$$

This yields,

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla u(\cdot,t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\nabla \omega(\cdot,t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}) + \|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Delta \omega\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\nabla \nabla \cdot \omega\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + 2 \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
\leq C(1 + \|u\|_{L^{4}}^{12} + \|\omega\|_{L^{4}}^{12}).$$

Integrating the above inequality over (0, t), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\nabla \omega(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} (\|\Delta u(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Delta \omega(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\nabla \nabla \cdot \omega(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\ + 2 \|\nabla \omega(\tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}) d\tau \\ \leq \|\nabla u_{0}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\nabla \omega_{0}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C \int_{0}^{t} (1 + \|u(\tau)\|_{L^{4}}^{12} + \|\omega(\tau)\|_{L^{4}}^{12}) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$
(12)

On the other hand, combining a Sobolev embedding theorem $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$, (12) and (5), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} e + \|\pi(\cdot,t)\|_{L^{3}} &\leq e + C \|u(\cdot,t)\|_{L^{6}}^{2} \leq e + C \|\nabla u(\cdot,t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\ &\leq e + C(\|\nabla u_{0}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\nabla \omega_{0}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}) + C \int_{0}^{t} (1 + \|u(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^{4}}^{12}) d\tau \\ &\leq e + C(\|\nabla u_{0}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\nabla \omega_{0}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}) + C(e+t) \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} (1 + \|u(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^{4}}^{12}) \\ &\leq C \left(e + \|\nabla u_{0}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\nabla \omega_{0}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right) (e+t) \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} (1 + \|u(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^{4}}^{12}) \\ &\leq C_{0}(e+t) \exp \left(C \int_{0}^{t} (1 + \|\pi(\cdot,\tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}}^{2}) d\tau\right), \end{aligned}$$
(13)

where the constant $C_0 = C(e, \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2}, \|\nabla \omega_0\|_{L^2}, \|u_0\|_{L^4}, \|\omega_0\|_{L^4})$. Using the fact that $L^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset \dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, it follows that

$$e + \|\pi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^3} \le C(e+t) \exp\left(C \int_0^t (1 + \|\pi(\cdot, \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}}^2) d\tau\right)$$
(14)

Now, taking the logarithm on both sides of (14), we can conclude that

$$\log(e + \|\pi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^3}) \le \log(C(e + t)) + C \int_0^t (1 + \|\pi(\cdot, \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}}^2) d\tau.$$
(15)

For simplicity, set

$$\mathscr{Z}(t) = \log(e + \|\boldsymbol{\pi}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^3}),$$
$$\mathscr{E}(t) = \log(C(e+t)) + C \int_0^t (1 + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\pi}(\cdot, \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}}^2) d\tau,$$
(16)

with $\mathscr{E}(0) = \log(Ce)$. Then, the above inequality (15) implies that

 $0 < \mathscr{Z}(t) \leq \mathscr{E}(t)$

from which we easily get

$$(e + \mathscr{Z}(t))\log(e + \mathscr{Z}(t)) \le (e + \mathscr{E}(t))\log(e + \mathscr{E}(t)).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt} \log(e + \mathscr{E}(t)) &= \frac{1}{e + \mathscr{E}(t)} \left(\frac{1}{e + t} + C(1 + \|\nabla \pi(\cdot, t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}}^2) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{e^2} + C \frac{1 + \|\nabla \pi(\cdot, t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}}^2}{e + \mathscr{E}(t)} \\ &= \frac{1}{e^2} + C \frac{1 + \|\nabla \pi(\cdot, t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}}^2}{(e + \mathscr{E}(t))\ln(e + \mathscr{E}(t))} \log(e + \mathscr{E}(t)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{e^2} + C \frac{1 + \|\nabla \pi(\cdot, t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}}^2}{(e + \mathscr{E}(t))\ln(e + \mathscr{E}(t))} \log(e + \mathscr{E}(t)) \end{split}$$

Applying the Gronwall inequality to $\log(e + \mathscr{E}(t))$, we find

$$\begin{split} \log(e + \mathscr{E}(t)) \\ &\leq \log(e + \mathscr{E}(0)) \exp\left(\frac{T}{e^2} + C \int_0^t \frac{1 + \|\nabla \pi(\cdot, \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}}^2}{(e + \mathscr{E}(\tau)) \log(e + \mathscr{E}(\tau))} d\tau\right), \end{split}$$

and equivalently

$$e + \mathscr{E}(t) \le (e + \mathscr{E}(0)) \exp\left(\frac{\frac{T}{e^2} + C \int_0^t \frac{1 + \|\nabla \pi(\cdot, \tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}}^2}{(e + \mathscr{E}(\tau))\log(e + \mathscr{E}(\tau))} d\tau\right)$$

Using the fact that $L^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset \dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, it follows from (16) that

$$\int_{0}^{t} \|\pi(\cdot,\tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}} d\tau \leq C \int_{0}^{t} \|\pi(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^{3}} d\tau$$

$$\leq (e+\mathscr{E}(0))^{\exp\left(\frac{T}{e^{2}} + \frac{1}{C} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1+\|\pi(\cdot,\tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}}^{2}}{(e+\mathscr{Z}(\tau))\log(e+\mathscr{Z}(\tau))} d\tau\right)} < \infty.$$
(17)

Hence by virtue of (12) and (17), we conclude that

$$(u, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \in L^{\infty}\left((0, T); H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\right) \cap L^2\left((0, T); H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\right),$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

There is no conflict of interest.

3 Acknowledgments

Part of the work was carried out while S. Gala was a long-term visitor at the University of Catania. The hospitality of Catania University is graciously acknowledged. The paper is partially supported by GNAMPA 2019. Research of M.A. Ragusa is partially supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (5-100 program of the Russian Ministry of Education). Research of M. Théra is supported by the Australian Research Council (ARC) grant DP160100854 and benefited from the support of the FMJH Program PGMO and from the support of EDF.

References

- J. Chen, Z.-M. Chen, and B.-Q. Dong. Uniform attractors of non-homogeneous micropolar fluid flows in non-smooth domains. *Nonlinearity*, 20(7):1619–1635, 2007.
- 2. Z.-M. Chen and W. G. Price. Decay estimates of linearized micropolar fluid flows in \mathbb{R}^3 space with applications to L_3 -strong solutions. *Internat. J. Engrg. Sci.*, 44(13-14):859–873, 2006.
- B.-Q. Dong and Z.-M. Chen. Regularity criteria of weak solutions to the three-dimensional micropolar flows. J. Math. Phys., 50(10):103525, 13, 2009.
- B.-Q. Dong and W. Zhang. On the regularity criterion for three-dimensional micropolar fluid flows in Besov spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 73(7):2334–2341, 2010.
- 5. W. Dong, B.-Q.and Zhang. On the regularity criterion for three-dimensional micropolar fluid flows in Besov spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 73(7):2334–2341, 2010.
- 6. A. C. Eringen. Theory of micropolar fluids. J. Math. Mech., 16:1-18, 1966.
- S. Gala. On regularity criteria for the three-dimensional micropolar fluid equations in the critical Morrey-Campanato space. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.*, 12(4):2142–2150, 2011.
- S. Gala. A remark on the logarithmically improved regularity criterion for the micropolar fluid equations in terms of the pressure. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 34(16):1945–1953, 2011.
- 9. S. Gala and J. Yan. Two regularity criteria via the logarithmic of the weak solutions to the micropolar fluid equations. J. Partial Differ. Equ., 25(1):32–40, 2012.
- G.P. Galdi and S. Rionero. A note on the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the micropolar fluid equations. *Internat. J. Engrg. Sci.*, 15(2):105–108, 1977.
- P. Gerard, Y. Meyer, and F. Oru. Inégalités de Sobolev précisées. In Séminaire sur les Équations aux Dérivées Partielles, 1996–1997, pages Exp. No. IV, 11. École Polytech., Palaiseau, 1997.
- Y. Giga. Solutions for semilinear parabolic equations in L^p and regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes system. J. Differential Equations, 62(2):186–212, 1986.
- Z. Guo and S. Gala. Remarks on logarithmical regularity criteria for the Navier-Stokes equations. J. Math. Phys., 52(6):063503, 9, 2011.
- 14. X. He and S. Gala. Regularity criterion for weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in terms of the pressure in the class $L^2(0,T; \dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., 12(6):3602–3607, 2011.
- Y. Jia, W. Zhang, and B.-Q. Dong. Remarks on the regularity criterion of the 3D micropolar fluid flows in terms of the pressure. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 24(2):199–203, 2011.
- G. Ł ukaszewicz. *Micropolar fluids*. Modeling and Simulation in Science, Engineering and Technology. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1999. Theory and applications.
- B. Yuan. On regularity criteria for weak solutions to the micropolar fluid equations in Lorentz space. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 138(6):2025–2036, 2010.