

#### Coproduction of Hydrogen and Carbon Black from Natural Gas Cracking under Concentrated Solar Radiation

<u>S. Rodat</u>, S. Abanades, G. Flamant PROMES Laboratory











Solar methane cracking: 10 and 50 kW multi-tubular solar reactors with cavity receiver (indirect heating)



Steam Methane Reforming (SMR) and the furnace process are the commonly used  $H_2$  and carbon black production processes respectively

















4

PROMES











• Investigation of the **indirect heating** concept: solar irradiated zone separated from the reacting flow

#### Main advantages:

No carbon particle deposition issue on the window Carbon particle seeding (radiant absorber) not required in theory

• **Concentrating system:** 1 MW solar facility of Odeillo CNRS laboratory  $C_{max} = 9000$  suns (1 sun = 1 kW/m<sup>2</sup>), Gaussian solar flux density distribution







## Experimental set-upResultsCB Characterisation

#### 50 kW CNRS solar reactor



- •7 single graphite tubes (26-18 mm)
  •Graphite cavity (360x400x300 mm)
  •A perture diameter 13 cm
- •Aperture diameter 13 cm









## Experimental set-up Results CB Characterisation

#### 50 kW CNRS solar reactor



•7 single graphite tubes (26-18 mm)
•Graphite cavity (360x400x300 mm)
•Aperture diameter 13 cm











Whole installation in operation at the focal zone



Mass-flow controllers

Data acquisition for the thermocouples and the online gas analyser

Control of the pyrometer and the gas chromatography

















### Experimental set-up Results CB Characterisation

9 experimental conditions, 2 series:





First series: CH<sub>4</sub> (10.5NL/min), Ar (31.5NL/min)



If T increases, all the chemical performance criteria increase

 $X_{CH4} > Y_{H2} > Y_{C}$ 

Two-step reaction mechanism:

 $\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{CH}_{4} \rightarrow 3/2 \operatorname{H}_{2} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{C}_{2} \operatorname{H}_{2} \\ \operatorname{C}_{2} \operatorname{H}_{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{H}_{2} + 2 \operatorname{C} \end{array}$ 

12

Similar runs lead to close results









Second series: CH<sub>4</sub> (21NL/min), Ar (49NL/min) 1.00 0.90 0.80 ٠ 0.70 0.60 0.50 0.40 ×CH4 0.30 YH2 0.20 ▲ YC 0.10 0.00 1700 1650 1750 1800 1850 1900 T(K)

Ar=21 NL/min

If T increases, all the chemical performance criteria increase

Lower dilution leads to better chemical performances as a result of residence time increase









#### Thermal and thermochemical efficiencies













#### Experimental set-up

Results

#### **CB** Characterisation

#### Microscopy analysis



#### Aggregation of

- Spherical primary particles
  Nano-sized
- to Dereue
- Porous
  Structured
  secondary particles
- Agglomeration to ternary particles





Heidenreich et al.





Primary particles size (10-70 nm) Source: APTL





#### BET and influence of the temperature









# Experimental set-up Results CB Characterisation

#### Structure evaluation



Source: TIMCAL



Solar carbon black is less conductive than the commercial one, but similar trends are observed







#### Conclusion

50 kW solar reactor operated successfully at the 1 MWSF

#### **Experimental results:**

2 main parameters: temperature and residence time

For 1.26 Nm<sup>3</sup>/h CH<sub>4</sub> injected (50% molar, the rest being argon, 60 ms residence time) at 1800K, this reactor produced 2.24 Nm<sup>3</sup>/h H<sub>2</sub> (88% H<sub>2</sub> yield), 330 g/h CB (49% C yield) and 0.30 Nm<sup>3</sup>/h C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> with a thermal efficiency of 15%

<u>CB properties:</u> close to commercial conductive CB, required properties very dependant on the application

<u>Up-scale (10 MW)</u>:  $H_2$  production price of 1 \$/kg (reference for steam reforming) is reached for a carbon black selling price of 1.05 \$/kg (possible for specialty carbon blacks)









### Thank you for your attention





### **Time for questions !**







