

The k-tuple conjecture Yassine Lagrida

▶ To cite this version:

Yassine Lagrida. The k-tuple conjecture. 2020. hal-02620310

HAL Id: hal-02620310 https://hal.science/hal-02620310

Preprint submitted on 25 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

THE K-TUPLE CONJECTURE

A PREPRINT

LAGRIDA Yassine EMSI Marrakesh Morocco, Marrakesh lagyassine@gmail.com

25 mai 2020

ABSTRACT

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2$, $(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{k-1}$ and consider the k-tuple $\mathcal{H}_k := (0, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{k-1})$ with $0 < h_1 < \dots < h_{k-1}$. Let $q \in \mathbb{P}$ and consider the set $\mathcal{B}_q := \{b \in \mathbb{N} \mid \gcd(b, \prod_{\substack{p \leq q \\ p \text{ prime}}} p) = 1\}$. Let q(x) be the largest prime number verifiying $x \geq \left(\prod_{\substack{p \leq q(x) \\ p \text{ prime}}} p\right)$. Consider the functions $I_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x) := \#\{(b, b + h_1, b + h_2, \dots, b + h_{k-1}) \in \mathcal{B}_{q(x)}^k \mid b \leq x\}$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x) := \#\{(p, p + h_1, p + h_2, \dots, p + h_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{P}^k \mid p \leq x\}$ I proved the following theorem as $x \to +\infty : I_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x) \sim \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_k) e^{-\gamma k} \frac{x}{\log(\log(x))^k}$. Where γ is Euler–Mascheroni constant, and $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_k) := \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{1 - \frac{w(\mathcal{H}_k, p)}{p}}{(1 - \frac{1}{p})^k}$ and $w(\mathcal{H}_k, p)$ is the number of distinct residues (mod p) in \mathcal{H}_k . Finally, i will explain why i conjecture that $I_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x) \sim \pi_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x)(\pi(q(x))e^{-\gamma})^k$. If we can prove this conjecture, then we prove immediately $\pi_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x) \sim \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_k) \frac{x}{\log(x)^k}$.

Keywords k-tuple conjecture · prime numbers · admissible k-tuple

1 Introduction

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2$. Let $(h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{k-1}$. Consider the k-tuple $\mathcal{H}_k := (0, h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_{k-1})$ with $0 < h_1 < \cdots < h_{k-1}$.

Conjecture 1 : If \mathcal{H}_k is an admissible k-tuple (see the definition in the next section), then there exists infinitely many k-tuples $(p, p + h_1, \dots, p + h_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{P}^k$.

Conjecture 2 : Consider the k-tuple \mathcal{H}_k , and $\pi_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x) := \#\{(p, p + h_1, \cdots, p + h_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{P}^k | p \leq x\}$, then as $x \to +\infty$:

$$\pi_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x) \sim \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_k) \frac{x}{\log(x)^k}.$$

Where $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_k) := \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{1 - \frac{w(\mathcal{H}_k, p)}{p}}{(1 - \frac{1}{p})^k}$ and $w(\mathcal{H}_k, p)$ is the number of distinct residues (mod p) in \mathcal{H}_k .

Conjecture 2 was given first time by Hardy and Littlewood in there 1923 paper Some problems of 'Partitio numerorum'; III : On the expression of a number as a sum of primes. [1]

The **probabilistic model for prime numbers** gives also the same predicted asymptotic formula in conjecture2. Conjecture1 and conjecture2 are both not proven up to now, in this article we will prove a theorem and then predict the same result in conjecture2 using an elementary methods.

Let q(x) be the largest prime number verifying $x \ge \left(\prod_{p \le q(x)} p\right)$.

To compute prime numbers we should sieved by prime numbers less than \sqrt{x} .

Using **prime numbers theorem**, we have $q(x) = (1 + o(1)) \log(x)$, then the target of this article is not improving sieve theory results, but to divine a **non-trivial** relation between prime numbers less than x, and numbers sieved by $2, 3, \dots, q(x)$ and less than x.

2 Admissible k-tuples :

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2$. Let $(h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{k-1}$. Consider the k-tuple $\mathcal{H}_k := (0, h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_{k-1})$ with $0 < h_1 < \cdots < h_{k-1}$.

Definition : The k-tuple \mathcal{H}_k is admissible if and only if the product $p(p+h_1)\cdots(p+h_{k-1})$ haven't a fix prime divisor q for all $p \in \mathbb{P}, p \ge q$.

Example : $\mathcal{H}_k = (0, 2, 4)$ is not admissible, because p or p + 2 or p + 4 is always divisible by 3 for $p \in \mathbb{P}, p \ge 3$. Consider this constante (in the next section we will prove it convergence):

$$\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_k) := \prod_{\text{p prime}} \frac{1 - \frac{w(\mathcal{H}_k, p)}{p}}{(1 - \frac{1}{p})^k}.$$

Where $w(\mathcal{H}_k, p)$ is the number of distinct residues (mod p) in \mathcal{H}_k .

Example 1: Let $\mathcal{H}_3 = (0, 2, 4) \stackrel{\text{mod } 3}{=} (0, 2, 1)$, then $w(\mathcal{H}_3, 3) = 3$. Example 2: Let $\mathcal{H}_3 = (0, 2, 6) \stackrel{\text{mod } 3}{=} (0, 2, 0)$, then $w(\mathcal{H}_3, 3) = 2$.

It's clear that $\forall p \in \mathbb{P}, 1 \leq w(\mathcal{H}_k, p) \leq p$ and if $p > h_{k-1}$ then $w(\mathcal{H}_k, p) = k$. **Theorem 2.1.** \mathcal{H}_k is not admissible $\iff \exists q \in \mathbb{P} : w(\mathcal{H}_k, q) = q$ *Consequence* : \mathcal{H}_k is not admissible $\iff \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_k) = 0$.

Proof:

Theorem 2.2. If $\exists q \in \mathbb{P} : w(\mathcal{H}_k, q) = q$ then $q \leq k$.

Proof : The proof is simple, because we have k elements in the k-tuple \mathcal{H}_k . **Theorem 2.3.**

$$\mathcal{H}_k \text{ is admissible } \iff \forall p \in \mathbb{P}, p \le k : w(\mathcal{H}_k, p) \ne p$$
 (1)

Example1 : $\mathcal{H}_k = (0, 4, 6)$ is admissible : $(0, 4, 6) \stackrel{\text{mod } 2}{=} (0, 0, 0) \implies w(\mathcal{H}_3, 2) = 1$ $(0, 4, 6) \stackrel{\text{mod } 3}{=} (0, 1, 0) \implies w(\mathcal{H}_3, 3) = 2$

Example2 : $\mathcal{H}_5 = (0, 4, 12, 16, 18)$ is not admissible : $(0, 4, 12, 16, 18) \stackrel{\text{mod } 2}{=} (0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \implies w(\mathcal{H}_5, 2) = 1$ $(0, 4, 12, 16, 18) \stackrel{\text{mod } 3}{=} (0, 1, 0, 1, 0) \implies w(\mathcal{H}_5, 3) = 2$ $(0, 4, 12, 16, 18) \stackrel{\text{mod } 5}{=} (0, 4, 2, 1, 3) \implies w(\mathcal{H}_5, 5) = 5$

3 $\Upsilon_{b,q}(k)$ function :

Let $b, q \in \mathbb{P}, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and k < b. Consider those functions :

$$\Upsilon_{b,q}(k) := \prod_{\substack{b \le p \le q \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{k}{p}\right).$$

$$F_b(k) := \prod_{\substack{b \le p \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{k^2}{p^2} \right) , \quad C_b(k) := \prod_{\substack{b \le p \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \left(\frac{k-1}{p-1} \right)^2 \right).$$

In this section we will find the asymptotic formula of $\Upsilon_{b,q}(k)$ as $q \to +\infty$. We have :

$$\Upsilon_{b,q}(k) = \prod_{\substack{b \le p \le q \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \left(\frac{k-1}{p-1}\right)^2 \right) \prod_{\substack{b \le p \le q \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^2 \prod_{\substack{b \le p \le q \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 + \frac{k-2}{p} \right)^{-1}$$
(2)

Consider this constant $A_b := \prod_{p \leq b} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right).$

Consider Mertens' third theorem :

$$\prod_{\substack{p \le q \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \sim \frac{e^{-\gamma}}{\log(q)} \tag{3}$$

Where γ is Euler–Mascheroni constant : $\gamma = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} - \log(n)$. Using (2) and (3) we have as $q \to +\infty$:

$$\Upsilon_{b | q}(k) \sim \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \frac{e^{-\gamma}}{A_b \log(q)} & \text{if } k = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{C_b(k) e^{-2\gamma}}{A_b^2 F_b(k-2) \log^2(q)} \Upsilon_{b,q}(k-2) & \text{if } k \ge 2 \end{array} \right.$$

Then for $k \ge 2$ we have :

$$\Upsilon_{b,q}(k) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{C_b(2)C_b(4)\cdots C_b(k) e^{-k\gamma}}{F_b(0)F_b(2)\cdots F_b(k-2)A_b^k} \frac{1}{\log^k(q)} & \text{if k is even} \\ \\ \frac{C_b(3)C_b(5)\cdots C_b(k) e^{-k\gamma}}{F_b(1)F_b(3)\cdots F_b(k-2)A_b^k} \frac{1}{\log^k(q)} & \text{if k is odd} \end{cases}$$

We should simplify this formula, we have :

$$F_b(k) = \prod_{\substack{b \le p \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{(p-k)(p+k)}{p^2} , \quad C_b(k) = \prod_{\substack{b \le p \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{(p-k)(p+k-2)}{(p-1)^2}.$$

For $k\geq 2$ we have :

$$\prod_{\substack{b \le p \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{p^{k-1}(p-k)}{(p-1)^k} = \begin{cases} \frac{C_b(2)C_b(4)\cdots C_b(k)}{F_b(0)F_b(2)\cdots F_b(k-2)} & \text{if k is even} \\ \frac{C_b(3)C_b(5)\cdots C_b(k)}{F_b(1)F_b(3)\cdots F_b(k-2)} & \text{if k is odd} \end{cases}$$
(4)

And we have :

$$\prod_{\substack{b \leq p \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{p^{k-1}(p-k)}{(p-1)^k} = \prod_{\substack{b \leq p \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{1-\frac{k}{p}}{(1-\frac{1}{p})^k}$$

Then, formula (4) show that for k < b the product $\prod_{\substack{b \leq p \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{1 - \frac{k}{p}}{(1 - \frac{1}{p})^k}$ is convergente and not null.

Theorem 3.1. For a fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}, b \in \mathbb{P}$ and $q \to +\infty$ we have

$$\Upsilon_{b,q}(k) \sim \left(\prod_{\substack{b \le p \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{1 - \frac{k}{p}}{(1 - \frac{1}{p})^k}\right) \frac{e^{-k\gamma}}{A_b^k} \frac{1}{\log(q)^k}$$

4 Chinese remainder theorem :

Let $q \in \mathbb{P}, q \ge 5$. Consider the set :

$$\mathcal{B}_q := \{ b \in \mathbb{N} \mid \gcd(b, \prod_{\substack{p \leq q \\ p \text{ prime}}} p) = 1 \}$$

 \mathcal{B}_q is the set of numbers coprime to $2, 3, \cdots, q$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and Consider the function :

$$I_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x,q) := \#\{(b,b+h_1,b+h_2,\cdots,b+h_{k-1}) \in \mathcal{B}_q^k \,|\, b \le x\}.$$

 $I_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x,q)$ is the number of k-tuples coprime to $2, 3, \cdots, q$ and less than x. In this section we will compute $I_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x,q)$ for $x = \left(\prod_{\substack{p \leq q \\ p \text{ prime}}} p\right)$.

Theorem 4.1.

$$I_{\mathcal{H}_k}(\prod_{\substack{p \leq q \\ p \text{ prime}}} p, q) = \prod_{\substack{p \leq q \\ p \text{ prime}}} (p - w(\mathcal{H}_k, p))$$

Where $w(\mathcal{H}_k, p)$ is the number of distinct residues (mod p) in \mathcal{H}_k .

proof: Let p_i be a prime number verify $p_i \le q$ To compute k-tuples coprime to $\left(\prod_{\substack{p \le q \\ p \text{ prime}}} p\right)$ and less than $\left(\prod_{\substack{p \le q \\ p \text{ prime}}} p\right)$ we should compute the number of k-tuples verifying :

$$b = r_{0,i} \pmod{p_i}$$

$$b + h_1 = r_{1,i} \pmod{p_i}$$

$$\cdots$$

$$b + h_{k-1} = r_{k-1,i} \pmod{p_i}$$
(5)

With
$$b \leq \prod_{\substack{p \leq q \\ p \text{ prime}}} p \text{ and } \forall j \in \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, k-1\} : r_{j,i} \neq 0 \text{ for every } p_i \leq q$$

If $h_j = h_l \pmod{p_i}$ for some $j \neq l$ then they represent the same equations in (5) Then we have $p_i - w(\mathcal{H}_k, p_i)$ possibilities that $r_{j,i} \neq 0$ for $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ Then using **Chinese remainder theorem** and **fundamental counting principle** we have :

$$I_{\mathcal{H}_k}(\prod_{\substack{p\leq q\\ \mathsf{p}\text{ prime}}}p,q) = \prod_{\substack{p\leq q\\ \mathsf{p}\text{ prime}}}(p-w(\mathcal{H}_k,p))$$

5 Asymptotic formula :

Let $q \in \mathbb{P}, q \ge 5$, and $\mathcal{B}_q := \{b \in \mathbb{N} \mid \gcd(b, \prod_{\substack{p \le q \\ p \text{ prime}}} p) = 1\}.$ Let $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and consider the function $I(x, q) := \#\{b \in \mathcal{B}_q \mid b \le x\}.$ I(x, q) is the number of numbers coprime to $2, 3, \cdots, q$ and less than x.

Theorem 5.1. Let
$$x \ge \prod_{\substack{p \le q \\ p \text{ prime}}} p$$
, then as $q \to +\infty$:

$$\frac{I(x,q)}{x} \sim \prod_{\substack{p \le q \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \tag{6}$$

Sketch of the proof : Let $x \ge \prod_{p \le q} p$, and let q_0 be the previous prime of q, then we prove :

1)
$$q_0 \to +\infty$$
, $\frac{I(x,q_0)}{x} \sim \prod_{\substack{p \le q_0 \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$
2) For $F(x) = I(x,q_0) - I(x,q)$ we have $\lim_{\substack{q_0 \to +\infty \\ p \text{ prime}}} \prod_{\substack{p \le q_0 \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \frac{F(x)}{x} = 0$
3) conclusion : $q \to +\infty$, $\frac{I(x,q)}{x} \sim \prod_{\substack{p \le q \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$.

proof : 1) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ We have $I(n \prod_{\substack{p \leq q_0 \\ p \text{ prime}}} p, q_0) = n \prod_{\substack{p \leq q_0 \\ p \text{ prime}}} (p-1)$ The function $x \to I(x, q_0)$ is increasing Then for $n \cdot (\prod_{\substack{n \leq a_n}} p) \leq x \leq (n+1) \cdot (\prod_{\substack{p \leq q_0}} p)$, we have : $p \leq q_0$ p prime $p \leq q_0$ p prime $n\prod_{\substack{p \leq q_0 \\ p \text{ prime}}} (p-1) \leq I(x,q_0) \leq (n+1)\prod_{\substack{p \leq q_0 \\ p \text{ prime}}} (p-1)$

We have :

$$n \cdot (\prod_{\substack{p \leq q_0 \\ p \text{ prime}}} p) \leq x < (n+1) \cdot (\prod_{\substack{p \leq q_0 \\ p \text{ prime}}} p) \iff \frac{1}{(n+1) \cdot \prod_{\substack{p \leq q_0 \\ p \text{ prime}}} p} < \frac{1}{x} \leq \frac{1}{n \cdot \prod_{\substack{p \leq q_0 \\ p \text{ prime}}} p}$$

Then:

$$\frac{n}{n+1} \le \prod_{\substack{p \le q_0\\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \frac{I(x, q_0)}{x} \le \frac{n+1}{n}$$

We have $x \ge \left(\prod_{\substack{p \le q \\ p \text{ prime}}} p\right)$ then $n \ge q$ Then $q_0 \to +\infty \implies q \to +\infty \implies n \to +\infty$ Then $\lim_{q_0 \to +\infty} \frac{n}{n+1} = \lim_{q_0 \to +\infty} \frac{n+1}{n} = 1$ Conclusion :

γ

$$q_0 \to \infty, \ \frac{I(x, q_0)}{x} \sim \prod_{\substack{p \le q_0 \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$$

2) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have :

$$\begin{split} F(n \cdot \prod_{\substack{p \leq q \\ p \text{ prime}}} p) &= I(n \cdot \prod_{\substack{p \leq q \\ p \text{ prime}}} p, q_0) - I(n \cdot \prod_{\substack{p \leq q \\ p \text{ prime}}} p, q) \\ &= I(nq \cdot \prod_{\substack{p \leq q_0 \\ p \text{ prime}}} p, q_0) - I(n \cdot \prod_{\substack{p \leq q \\ p \text{ prime}}} p, q) \\ &= nq \prod_{\substack{p \leq q_0 \\ p \text{ prime}}} (p-1) - n \prod_{\substack{p \leq q \\ p \text{ prime}}} (p-1) \\ &= n \prod_{\substack{p \leq q_0 \\ p \text{ prime}}} (p-1) \cdot (q - (q-1)) \\ &= n \prod_{\substack{p \leq q_0 \\ p \text{ prime}}} (p-1) \\ &= n \prod_{\substack{p \leq q_0 \\ p \text{ prime}}} (p-1) \end{split}$$

We have $x \to F(x)$ an increasing function (F(x) is the number of numbers less than x and has q as little divisor) For $n \cdot (\prod_{\substack{p \le q \\ p \text{ prime}}} p) \le x < (n+1) \cdot (\prod_{\substack{p \le q \\ p \text{ prime}}} p)$ we have : $n\prod_{\substack{p \leq q_0 \\ p \text{ prime}}} (p-1) \leq F(x) \leq (n+1)\prod_{\substack{p \leq q_0 \\ p \text{ prime}}} (p-1)$ We have :

 $n \cdot (\prod_{\substack{p \leq q \\ p \text{ prime}}} p) \leq x < (n+1) \cdot (\prod_{\substack{p \leq q \\ p \text{ prime}}} p) \iff \frac{1}{(n+1) \cdot \prod_{p \leq q}} p < \frac{1}{x} \leq \frac{1}{n \cdot \prod_{p \leq q}} p$

Then:

$$\frac{n}{n+1}\frac{1}{q} \leq \prod_{\substack{p \leq q \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}\frac{F(x)}{x} \leq \frac{n+1}{n}\frac{1}{q}$$
We have $x \geq \left(\prod_{\substack{p \leq q \\ p \text{ prime}}} p\right)$, then :

$$\lim_{q_0 \to +\infty} \prod_{\substack{p \leq q_0 \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}\frac{F(x)}{x} = 0$$
3) We have $x \geq \left(\prod_{\substack{p \leq q \\ p \text{ prime}}} p\right)$
We proved that $\lim_{q_0 \to +\infty} \prod_{\substack{p \leq q_0 \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}\frac{I(x,q_0) - I(x,q)}{x} = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{q_0 \to +\infty} \prod_{\substack{p \leq q_0 \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}\frac{I(x,q_0)}{x} = 1$
Conclusion :

$$q \to +\infty, \ \frac{I(x,q)}{x} \sim \prod_{\substack{p \leq q \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)$$

Theorem 5.2. Let $x \ge \left(\prod_{\substack{p \le q \\ p \text{ prime}}} p\right)$, then as $q \to +\infty$:

$$I(x,q) \sim e^{-\gamma} \frac{x}{\log(q)}$$

Proof : Let $x \ge \left(\prod_{\substack{p \le q \\ p \text{ prime}}} p\right)$, from theorem 5.1 we have as $q \to +\infty$:

$$\frac{I(x,q)}{x} \sim \prod_{\substack{p \leq q \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$$

Consider Mertens' third theorem :

$$\prod_{\substack{p \le q \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \sim \frac{e^{-\gamma}}{\log(q)}$$

Then we have the resulte.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2$. Consider the k-tuple $\mathcal{H}_k = (0, h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_{k-1})$. Consider the function : $I_{2k}(x, q) := \#\{(b, k)\}$ $(1) = n^{k+1} (1)$

$$I_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x,q) := \#\{(b,b+h_1,\cdots,b+h_{k-1}) \in \mathcal{B}_q^{\kappa} \,|\, b \le x\}$$

 $I_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x,q)$ is the number of k-tuples less than x and coprime to $2, 3, \cdots, q$.

Theorem 5.3. Let
$$x \ge \prod_{\substack{p \le q \\ p \text{ prime}}} p$$
, then as $q \to +\infty$:
$$\frac{I_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x,q)}{x} \sim \prod_{\substack{p \le q \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{w(\mathcal{H}_k,p)}{p}\right)$$

Proof : We proceed like the proof of theorem 5.1 and we prove : Let $x \ge \left(\prod_{\substack{p \le q \\ p \text{ prime}}} p\right)$

Let q_0 be the previous prime of q, then we prove : 1) $q_0 \to +\infty$, $\frac{I_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x, q_0)}{x} \sim \prod_{\substack{p \leq q_0 \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{w(\mathcal{H}_k, p)}{p}\right)$ 2) For $F(x) = I_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x, q_0) - I_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x, q)$ we have $\lim_{q_0 \to +\infty} \prod_{\substack{p \leq q_0 \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{w(\mathcal{H}_k, p)}{p}\right)^{-1} \frac{F(x)}{x} = 0$ 3) Conclusion : $\frac{I_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x, q)}{x} \sim \prod_{\substack{p \leq q \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{w(\mathcal{H}_k, p)}{p}\right)$. Let $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_k) := \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{1 - \frac{w(\mathcal{H}_k, p)}{p}}{(1 - \frac{1}{p})^k}$ Theorem 5.4. Let $x \geq \left(\prod_{\substack{p \leq q \\ p \text{ prime}}} p\right)$, then as $q \to +\infty$: $I_{\mathcal{U}_k}(x, q) \sim e^{-\gamma k} \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_k) \frac{x}{1 - \frac{1}{2}}$

$$I_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x,q) \sim e^{-\gamma k} \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_k) \frac{1}{\log(q)^k}$$

Proof : Let $x \ge \left(\prod_{\substack{p \le q \\ p \text{ prime}}} p\right)$, in theorem 5.3 we proved as $q \to +\infty$:

$$\frac{I_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x,q)}{x} \sim \prod_{\substack{p \leq q \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{w(\mathcal{H}_k,p)}{p}\right)$$

If $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $p > h_{k-1}$ we have $w(\mathcal{H}_k, p) = k$ Let $b \in \mathbb{P}$ be the smallest prime number verifying $b > h_{k-1}$, then using $\Upsilon_{b,q}(k)$ function in section 3 :

$$\prod_{\substack{p \leq q \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{w(\mathcal{H}_k, p)}{p} \right) = \prod_{\substack{p < b \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{w(\mathcal{H}_k, p)}{p} \right) \prod_{\substack{b \leq p \leq q \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{k}{p} \right)$$
$$= \prod_{\substack{p < b \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{w(\mathcal{H}_k, p)}{p} \right) \Upsilon_{b,q}(k)$$

In theorem 3.1 we proved that as $q \to +\infty$:

$$\Upsilon_{b,q}(k) \sim \left(\prod_{\substack{b \le p \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{1 - \frac{k}{p}}{(1 - \frac{1}{p})^k}\right) \frac{e^{-k\gamma}}{A_b^k} \frac{1}{\log(q)^k}$$

With $A_b = \prod_{\substack{p < b \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$

Then we have the result as $q \to +\infty$:

$$\prod_{\substack{p \leq q \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{w(\mathcal{H}_k, p)}{p} \right) \sim \left(\prod_{\substack{p \text{ prime}}} \frac{1 - \frac{w(\mathcal{H}_k, p)}{p}}{(1 - \frac{1}{p})^k} \right) \frac{e^{-k\gamma}}{\log(q)^k}$$

Finally as $q \to +\infty$:

•

$$I_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x,q) \sim \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_k) e^{-\gamma k} \frac{x}{\log(q)^k}$$

Let q(x) be the largest prime number verifying $x \ge \left(\prod_{\substack{p \le q(x) \\ p \text{ prime}}} p\right)$.

Theorem 5.5. As $x \to +\infty$, we have :

$$q(x) \sim \log(x)$$

Proof : We have q(x) is the largest prime number verifying $x \ge \left(\prod_{\substack{p \le q(x) \\ p \text{ prime}}} p\right)$, then :

$$\left(\prod_{\substack{p \leq q(x) \\ p \text{ prime}}} p\right) \leq x < \left(\prod_{\substack{p \leq q_1(x) \\ p \text{ prime}}} p\right)$$

Where $q_1(x)$ is the next prime to q(x), then :

$$\frac{\log\left(\prod_{\substack{p \leq q(x) \\ p \text{ prime}}} p\right)}{q(x)} \leq \frac{\log(x)}{q(x)} < \frac{\log\left(\prod_{\substack{p \leq q_1(x) \\ p \text{ prime}}} p\right)}{q(x)}$$

Using **Prime numbers theorem** we have as $x \to +\infty$:

$$\log \left(\prod_{\substack{p \le q(x) \\ p \text{ prime}}} p\right) \sim q(x) \ , \ q(x) \sim q_1(x)$$

Then we have the result :

.

$$q(x) \sim \log(x)$$

Theorem 5.6. Let
$$I(x) = I(x, q(x))$$
, we have $x \ge \left(\prod_{\substack{p \le q(x) \\ p \text{ prime}}} p\right)$ then using theorem 5.2 as $x \to +\infty$:

$$I(x) \sim e^{-\gamma} \frac{x}{\log(\log(x))}$$
.

Theorem 5.7. Let $I_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x) = I_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x, q(x))$, we have $x \ge \left(\prod_{\substack{p \le q(x)\\p \text{ prime}}} p\right)$ then using theorem 5.4 as $x \to +\infty$:

$$I_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x) \sim \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_k) e^{-\gamma k} \frac{x}{\log(\log(x))^k}$$

Notice : we can prove theorems 5.6 and 5.7 without using prime numbers theorem, we can use Chebyshev theorems :

$$\log\left(\prod_{\substack{p\leq q(x)\\ p \text{ prime}}}p\right)\asymp q(x)$$

Then we have :

$$\log \log \left(\prod_{\substack{p \le q(x)\\ p \text{ prime}}} p\right) \sim \log q(x)$$
$$\pi(x) \succeq \frac{x}{x}$$

We have :

$$\pi(x) \asymp \frac{x}{\log(x)}$$

Then :

 $\log \pi(x) \sim \log(x) \implies \log p_n \sim \log n$

We can deduce that (independetely to **prime numbers theorem**) :

 $\log q(x) \sim \log \log(x)$

6 The link with the k-tuple conjecture :

We proved in theorem 5.6 as $x \to +\infty$:

$$I(x) \sim e^{-\gamma} \frac{x}{\log(\log(x))} \tag{7}$$

Where I(x) is the number of numbers less than x and coprime to $2, 3, \dots, q(x) = (1 + o(1)) \log(x)$, with q(x) is the largest prime number verifying $x \ge \left(\prod_{\substack{p \le q(x)\\p \text{ prime}}} p\right)$.

We can see that :

$$\frac{x}{\log(\log(x))} = \frac{x}{\log(x)} \frac{\log(x)}{\log(\log(x))}$$

Then using **prime numbers theorem** we can rewrite formula (7) as :

$$I(x) \sim \pi(x) \left(\pi(q(x)) e^{-\gamma} \right) \tag{8}$$

The number of numbers less than x and coprime to $2, 3, \dots, q(x)$ is giving asymptotically by the number of prime numbers less than x multiplied by the number of prime numbers less than q(x) multiplied by the constant $e^{-\gamma}$.

Then, formula (8) can represent a **non-trivial** relation between prime numbers less than x and numbers less than x and sieved by $2, 3, \dots, q(x)$.

It is legitimate to think that, if we denote $\mathbb{P}(x)$ the set of prime number less than x ($\pi(x) = \#\mathbb{P}(x)$) and $I_1(x)$ the set of numbers coprime to $2, 3, \dots, q(x)$ and less than x ($I(x) = \#I_1(x)$) we have :

$$(\mathbb{P}(x) \smallsetminus \mathbb{P}(q(x))) \subset I_1(x)$$

The **trivial** relation between $\mathbb{P}(x)$ and $I_1(x)$ is that every prime number p verify $q(x) is coprime to <math>2, 3, \dots, q(x)$ and then $p \in I_1(x)$.

Let
$$\pi_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x) = \#\{(p, p+h_1, \cdots, p+h_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{P}^k \mid p \le x\}.$$

Conjecture : As $x \to +\infty$:

 $I_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x) \sim \pi_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x) \left(\pi(q(x)) e^{-\gamma} \right)^k.$ (9)

This conjecture and **prime numbers theorem** give immediately as $x \to +\infty$:

$$\pi_{\mathcal{H}_k}(x) \sim \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_k) \, \frac{x}{\log(x)^k}.\tag{10}$$

The same conjecture founded by the **probabilistic model for prime numbers** and **Harddy-Littlewood circle method**.

To prove formula (9) (and then proving the k-tuple conjecture) we should to prove formula (8) without using **prime numbers theorem**.

We proved formula (7) without using **prime numbers theorem**, then if we arrive to prove also (8) without using **prime numbers theorem** we will do the same work to prove (9).

Other Examples :

For n even number, let :

$$G(n) := \#\{(p, n-p) \in \mathbb{P}^2 \mid p \leqslant n\}.$$

Let :

$$L(x) := \#\{p = n^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{P} \mid p \leq x\}$$

Let :

$$G_b(n) := \# \left\{ (b, n-b) \in \mathcal{B}^2_{q(n)} \, | \, b \leqslant \prod_{\substack{p \le q(n)\\ p \text{ prime}}} p \right\}$$

 $G_b(n)$ is the number of 2-tuples (b, n - b) coprime to $2, 3, \dots, q(n)$ and b less than $\prod_{\substack{p \leq q(n) \\ p \text{ prime}}} p$.

Let :

$$L_b(x) := \# \Big\{ b \in \mathcal{B}_{q(x)} \, | \, b = n^2 + 1, \, b \leqslant x \Big\}.$$

 $L_b(x)$ is the number of numbers coprime to $2, 3, \dots, q(x)$ and written as $n^2 + 1$ and less than x.

Using Chinese remainder theorem we prove that :

$$G_b(n) = \prod_{\substack{3 \le p \le q(n) \\ p \text{ prime, } p \mid n \\ 3 \le p \le q(n)}} (p-1) \prod_{\substack{3 \le p \le q(n) \\ p \text{ prime, } p \nmid n \\ p \ge p \le q(n)}} (p-2)$$
$$= \left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid n \\ p \text{ prime} \\ 3 \le p \le q(n)}} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \right) \left(\prod_{\substack{3 \le p \le q(n) \\ p \text{ prime}}} (p-2) \right).$$

Using Chinese remainder theorem we prove that :

$$L_b\left(\left(\prod_{\substack{p \le q(x)\\ p \text{ prime}}} p\right)^2 + 1\right) = \left(\prod_{\substack{p \le q(x)\\ p \equiv 3[4]\\ p \text{ prime}}} p\right) \prod_{\substack{p \le q(x)\\ p \equiv 1[4]\\ p \text{ prime}}} (p-2)$$

Then we prove as $n \to +\infty$:

$$\frac{G_b(n)}{n} \sim \left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid n \\ p \text{ prime} \\ 3 \le p \le q(n)}} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \right) \prod_{\substack{3 \le p \le q(n) \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right)$$

Then we prove as $x \to +\infty$:

$$\frac{L_b(x^2)}{x} \sim \left(\prod_{\substack{p \le q(x) \\ p \text{ prime}}} p\right)^{-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{p \le q(x) \\ p \ge 3[4] \\ p \text{ prime}}} p\right) \prod_{\substack{p \le q(x) \\ p \ge 1[4] \\ p \text{ prime}}} (p-2)$$
$$\sim \prod_{\substack{p \le q(x) \\ p \ge 3[4] \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \left(\prod_{\substack{p \le q(x) \\ p \ge 3[4] \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{p}{p-1}\right) \left(\prod_{\substack{p \le q(x) \\ p \ge 1[4] \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{p-2}{p-1}\right)$$
$$\sim \prod_{\substack{p \le q(x) \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{\substack{p \le q(x) \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{(-1)^{(p-1)/2}}{p-1}\right)$$

Then we have the result :

$$G_b(n) \sim 2C_2 \left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid n \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{p-1}{p-2}\right) e^{-2\gamma} \frac{n}{\log(\log(n))^2}.$$

Where
$$C_2 = \prod_{\substack{3 \le p \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2} \right).$$

We have the result :

$$L_b(x) \sim \prod_{\substack{3 \le p \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{(-1)^{(p-1)/2}}{p-1} \right) \, e^{-\gamma} \, \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log(\log(x))}.$$

Using the conjecture about the non-trivial relation between prime numbers less than x and numbers coprime to 2,3,...,q(x) and less than x :

$$G_b(n) \sim G(n) \left(\pi(q(n)) e^{-\gamma} \right)^2$$
$$L_b(x) \sim L(x) \left(\pi(q(x)) e^{-\gamma} \right)$$

Then we have the results :

$$G(n) \sim 2C_2 \bigg(\prod_{\substack{p \mid n \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{p-1}{p-2}\bigg) \frac{n}{\log(n)^2}.$$

And :

And :

$$L(x) \sim \prod_{\substack{3 \leq p \\ \text{p prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{(-1)^{(p-1)/2}}{p-1}\right) \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log(x)}.$$

Références

[1] Gordon H. Hardy and John Edensor Littlewood, Some problems of 'Partitio numerorum'; III : On the expression of a number as a sum of primes, 61. Acta Math, place (1923)