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## Enterprise Risk Management in a model-free setting

Identification of worst-case scenarios

Measurement of basis risk

Definition of some risk limit for basis risk management
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Z: parametric loss index, L: true loss of the insurer

Efficient choice of the Index:

$$
Z-c \leq L \leq Z+a,
$$

Link between the Loss and the Index:

$$
\begin{gathered}
L=Z-c+X \\
\text { where } X=S Y, X \in[0, a+c]
\end{gathered}
$$

## Beta-unimodal Distributions

## Definition

An $\mathbb{R}_{+}$-valued rv $X$ has a continuous Beta-unimodal distribution if it has the product representation
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\begin{equation*}
X={ }_{d} S Y \tag{1}
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where $Y$ is a positive continuous rv , and $S \sim \mathcal{B}(\alpha, \beta)$ is a random contracting factor independent of $Y$.
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\begin{equation*}
X={ }_{d} S Y, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y$ is a positive continuous rv , and $S \sim \mathcal{B}(\alpha, \beta)$ is a random contracting factor independent of $Y$.

From $Y$ to $X$ : if $Y \sim F_{Y}$ and if $X$ is Beta-unimodal

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}_{X}(x)=\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha}\left(I_{\beta} \phi\right)(x) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

- $\left(I_{\beta} \phi\right)(x)=\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)}(t-x)^{\beta-1} \phi(t) d t$ is called the Weyl fractional-order integral operator,
$-\phi(t)=\bar{F}_{Y}(t) t^{-\alpha-\beta}$.
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## Definition

An $\mathbb{R}_{+}$-valued $\mathrm{rv} X$ has a continuous Beta-unimodal distribution if it has the product representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X={ }_{d} S Y \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y$ is a positive continuous rv , and $S \sim \mathcal{B}(\alpha, \beta)$ is a random contracting factor independent of $Y$.

From $X$ to $Y$ : if $X \sim F_{X}$ and if $X$ is Beta-unimodal

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}_{Y}(x)=(-1)^{n} x^{\alpha+\beta} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}\left(I_{\delta} D^{n} \psi\right)(x) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$-\delta \in[0,1]$ such as $\beta+\delta=n \in \mathbb{N}$,
$-\psi(t)=\bar{F}_{X}(t) t^{-\alpha}$,

- $D^{n}$ denotes the $n$-fold derivative operator.


## Model-free approach ?

## Z: parametric loss index, <br> $L$ : true loss of the insurer

Efficient choice of the Index:

$$
Z-c \leq L \leq Z+a
$$

Link between the Loss and the Index:

$$
L=Z-c+X
$$

where $X=S Y, X \in[0, a+c]$.
Identify, Measure and Limit the consequences of uncertainty

## Identification: s-convex orders

## Definition: s-convexity

A function $\phi$ defined on $\mathcal{S}$ is said to be $s$-convex if the inequality
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\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{s} ; \phi\right] \geq 0
$$

holds for any choice of distinct points $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{s}$ in $\mathcal{S}$.
$\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{s} ; \phi\right]$ denotes a divided difference of the function $\phi$ at the different points $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{s}$.
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## Definition: s-increasing convexity

 A function $\phi$ is said to be $s$-increasing convex on its domain $\mathcal{S}$ if and only if for all choices of $k+1$ distincts points $x_{0}<x_{1}<x_{k}$ in $\mathcal{S}$, we have$$
\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} ; \phi\right] \geq 0, k=2, \ldots, s .
$$

We denote by $\mathcal{U}_{s-i c x}^{\mathcal{S}}$ the class of the $s$-increasing convex functions on $\mathcal{S}$.
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Definition: s-increasing convexity

Definition: s-convex order
Let $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ be two random variables that take on values in $\mathcal{S}$.
Then $X_{1}$ is said to be smaller than $X_{2}$ in the $s$-convex order, denoted by $X_{1} \leq_{s-c x}^{\mathcal{S}} X_{2}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\phi\left(X_{1}\right)\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\phi\left(X_{2}\right)\right] \text { for all } \phi \in \mathcal{U}_{s-c x}^{\mathcal{S}}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{U}_{s-c x}^{\mathcal{S}}$ is the class of all the $s$-convex functions $\phi: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
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## Definition: moment space

We denote by $\mathcal{B}_{s}\left([a, b], \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{s-1}\right)$ the moment space of all the random variables valued in $[a, b]$ and with known $s-1$ moments $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{s-1}$.
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## Definition: moment space

We denote by $\mathcal{B}_{s}\left([a, b], \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{s-1}\right)$ the moment space of all the random variables valued in $[a, b]$ and with known $s-1$ moments $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{s-1}$.

Theorem: s-convex extrema
Let $Y \in \mathcal{B}_{s}\left([a, b], \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{s-1}\right)$.
Within $\mathcal{B}_{s}\left([a, b], \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{s-1}\right)$, there exist two unique random variables $Y_{\text {min }}^{(s)}$ and $Y_{\text {max }}^{(s)}$ such that

$$
Y_{\min }^{(s)} \leq_{s-c x} Y \leq_{s-c x} Y_{\max }^{(s)}
$$

Proof. See Denuit et al. (1999).
s-convex extrema are actually extremal distributions built from the s-1 first moments of $Y$.
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## Identification: s-convex extrema

## 3-convex extremal distributions

Let $Y \in \mathcal{B}_{3}\left([a, b], \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$.

$$
Y_{\text {min }}^{(3)}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a \text { with proba } \frac{\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}^{2}}{\left(a-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}+\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}^{2}}, \\
b \text { with proba } \frac{\left(a-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}}{\left(a-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}+\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}^{2}},
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
Y_{\max }^{(3)}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mu_{1}-\frac{\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}^{2}}{b-\mu_{1}} \text { with proba } \frac{\left(b-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}}{\left(b-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}+\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}^{2}}, \\
b \text { with proba } \frac{\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}^{2}}{\left(b-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}+\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}^{2}} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Generalization to Beta-unimodal distributions

## Proposition (Beta-unimodal s-convex extrema)

 Let $Y \in \mathcal{B}_{s}\left(\mathcal{S}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{s-1}\right)$, and let $\phi \in \mathcal{U}_{s-c x}^{\mathcal{S}}$. If $X$ is Beta-unimodal, then $X \in \mathcal{B}_{s}\left(\mathcal{S}, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{s-1}\right)$ and the s-convex extrema in this set are$$
X_{\min }^{(s)}=S Y_{\min }^{(s)}, \quad \text { and } \quad X_{\max }^{(s)}=S Y_{\max }^{(s)}
$$

Besides, if $\phi \in \mathcal{U}_{s-i c x}^{\mathcal{S}}$, then

$$
\mathbb{E}[\phi(X)] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\phi\left(S Y_{\max }^{(s)}\right)\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\phi\left(S Y_{\max }^{(s-1)}\right)\right] \leq \ldots \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\phi\left(S Y_{\max }^{(2)}\right)\right]
$$

which can be written as,
$\forall k \in \llbracket 2, s \rrbracket, X \leq_{k-c x} X_{\max }^{(s)} \leq_{k-c x} X_{\max }^{(s-1)} \leq_{k-c x} \ldots \leq_{k-c x} X_{\max }^{(2)}$.
When $\phi \in \mathcal{U}_{s-i c x}^{\mathcal{S}}$, the more moments, the sharper the bounds !

## Measure

## Penalty functions

A penalty function $g$ allows us to represent the consequences of a positive or negative difference between the Loss and the Index.
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## Measure

Two examples

$$
g(L-Z)=\eta(c-X)_{x}+\gamma(X-c)_{+}+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\psi_{n}(x), \\
-\gamma(x-(c+d))_{+}+\varphi_{\kappa}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\psi_{n}(x)=\gamma(x-(c+d))_{+}^{n}
$$

and

$$
\varphi_{\kappa}(x)=\gamma \kappa\left(\exp \left(\frac{(x-(c+d))_{+}}{\kappa}\right)-1\right)
$$

Note: $\psi_{n}$ is $n$ increasing convex, $\varphi_{\kappa}$ is $\infty$ increasing convex.

## From basis risk budget to risk limits

## Framework

First, we consider a symmetric range of possible values for uncertainty: $a=c$, i.e. $L=Z-a+X$, with $X \in[0,2 a]$.

Let $B R^{\text {Sym }}$ be the two-sided uncertainty budget. The way we limit the consequences of a difference is finding the window of uncertainty (the value of a) such that the budget is not overpassed.

We look for $\hat{a}_{f}^{(s)}$ defined as,

$$
\hat{a}_{f}^{(s)}=\operatorname{argmin}\left|B R^{S y m}-\mathbb{E}\left[g\left(X_{\max }^{(s)}-c\right)\right]\right| \text {, with } f=\psi_{n}, \varphi_{\kappa} .
$$

## Numerical illustrations

Parameters

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
a=c=5, & d=2.5, & \eta=\gamma=1 \\
Y \sim \mathcal{U}[0,10], & \alpha=4, \beta=2, & B R^{S y m}=3.5417
\end{array}
$$
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| Convex order used | $\hat{a}_{\psi_{2}}^{(s)}$ | $\hat{a}_{\psi_{3}}^{(s)}$ | $\hat{a}_{\psi_{4}}^{(s)}$ | $\hat{a}_{\psi_{6}}^{(s)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 4.5268 | 4.4686 | 4.4015 | 4.2746 |
| 3 | - | 4.6292 | 4.5521 | 4.4002 |
| 4 | - | - | 4.6335 | 4.4730 |

Table: Values of $\hat{a}_{\psi_{n}}^{(s)}$ for $s=2,3$ and 4 , for $n=2,3,4$ and 6
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| Convex order used | $\hat{a}_{\varphi_{\kappa_{2}}}^{(s)}$ | $\hat{a}_{\varphi_{\kappa_{3}}}^{(s)}$ | $\hat{a}_{\varphi_{\kappa_{4}}}^{(s)}$ | $\hat{a}_{\varphi_{\kappa_{6}}}^{(s)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 4.6324 | 4.4783 | 4.3351 | 4.1020 |
| 3 | 5.0058 | 4.8033 | 4.6172 | 4.3206 |
| 4 | 5.2321 | 5.0000 | 4.7870 | 4.4510 |

Table: Values of $\hat{a}_{\varphi_{\kappa}}^{(s)}$ for $s=2,3$ and 4 , for $\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}, \kappa_{4}$ and $\kappa_{6}$
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## Conclusion

## Promise kept ?

Identification of s-convex extrema for Beta-Unimodal random variables,

Measurement of basis risk in "model-free" context, and show the impact of information (in terms of moments) on basis risk assessment,

Propose a way to set up risk limit for basis risk, relative to extremal s-convex bounds.

Is basis risk always bounded ?
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