

# Contact Preserving Shape Transfer: retargeting motion from one shape to another

Jean Basset, Stefanie Wuhrer, Edmond Boyer, Franck Multon

# ► To cite this version:

Jean Basset, Stefanie Wuhrer, Edmond Boyer, Franck Multon. Contact Preserving Shape Transfer: retargeting motion from one shape to another. Computers and Graphics, 2020, 89, pp.11-23. 10.1016/j.cag.2020.04.002 . hal-02613783

# HAL Id: hal-02613783 https://hal.science/hal-02613783v1

Submitted on 20 May 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



# Contact preserving shape transfer: Retargeting motion from one shape to another

Jean Basset, Stefanie Wuhrer, Edmond Boyer, Franck Multon

# ▶ To cite this version:

Jean Basset, Stefanie Wuhrer, Edmond Boyer, Franck Multon. Contact preserving shape transfer: Retargeting motion from one shape to another. Computers and Graphics, Elsevier, 2020, 89, pp.11-23. 10.1016/j.cag.2020.04.002 . hal-02613783

# HAL Id: hal-02613783 https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02613783

Submitted on 20 May 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Contact Preserving Shape Transfer: retargeting motion from one shape to another

# Jean Basset<sup>a,\*</sup>, Stefanie Wuhrer<sup>a</sup>, Edmond Boyer<sup>a</sup>, Franck Multon<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Inria, CNRS, Grenoble INP (Institute of Engineering Univ. Grenoble Alpes), LJK, 38000 Grenoble, France <sup>b</sup>Univ Rennes, Inria, CNRS IRISA, M2S, France

# ARTICLE INFO

*Article history*: Received May 20, 2020

*Keywords:* Motion retargeting, rigging-free animation, mesh deformation, shape transfer, surface-based retargeting, character animation

# ABSTRACT

We present an automatic method that allows to retarget poses from a source to a target character by transferring the shape of the target character onto the desired pose of the source character. By considering shape instead of pose transfer our method allows to better preserve the contextual meaning of the source pose, typically contacts between body parts, than pose-based strategies. To this end, we propose an optimization-based method to deform the source shape in the desired pose using three main energy functions: similarity to the target shape, body part volume preservation, and collision management to preserve existing contacts and prevent penetrations. The results show that our method allows to retarget complex poses with several contacts to different morphologies, and is even able to create new contacts when morphology changes require them, such as increases in body size. To demonstrate the robustness of our approach to different types of shapes, we successfully apply it to basic and dressed human characters as well as wild animal models, without the need to adjust parameters.

# 1. Introduction

Animation studios have stored terabytes of animation files applied on various 3D characters with meticulous association between skeletal motion and 3D shape, created manually by skilled artists who designed rigged models and corresponding skeletal motions. Retargeting these existing skeletal motions to new characters automatically is a long-standing problem in computer animation [20] that remains challenging, especially when the animation contains close interactions and contacts.

Existing works to address the retargeting problem can be broadly classified into the following three categories. First, skeleton-based retargeting consists in adapting the joint angles

e-mail: jean.basset@inria.fr (Jean Basset),

of the character in order to satisfy kinematic constraints either edited manually [20, 30] or automatically built based on ge-14 ometric constraints between body parts [23]. With these ap-15 proaches, it is difficult to prevent collisions or more gener-16 ally respect distance constraints of the body shape. Second, 17 surface-based retargeting considers surface deformations, typi-18 cally mesh deformations, when transferring the pose of a source 19 character to a target one [44]. In both categories, a key as-20 pect is that the pose is encoded independently from the shape 21 of the characters, which can lead to artifacts in particular for 22 close body part interactions. A third category with data-driven 23 approaches takes advantage of a database of models to learn 24 correlations between shape and pose, and uses this for retar-25 geting motions between characters [7, 26]. While this strategy 26 yields improved results, the associated methods are yet specific 27 to given classes of shapes, typically basic minimally dressed human bodies, and can hardly generalize to other models, such as 29 dressed humans or animals. In essence, modeling the pose inde-30 pendently of the shape in a generic manner is still a challenging 31

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

stefanie.wuhrer@inria.fr (Stefanie Wuhrer),

edmond.boyer@inria.fr (Edmond Boyer), fmulton@irisa.fr (Franck Multon)



Fig. 1: Overview of the deformation transfer algorithm. Classical works [44] transfer the pose of the source to the target. We propose a novel approach, transferring the shape of the target to the deformed source character.

issue, in particular with body part contacts or close interactions. 1 In this work, we propose an alternative method to solve the 2 motion retargeting problem that is especially designed to han-3 dle contacts and interactions. The proposed approach is generic 4 and can be applied to any class of shape, e.g. human or ani-5 mal characters. In contrast to existing works, we explore trans-6 ferring the shape of a target character to the desired pose of a 7 source character, as shown in Figure 1. In particular, given as 8 input a source character in both a standard and a deformed pose 9 and a target character in a standard pose, we propose to morph 10 the shape of the source character to make it similar to the target 11 character, in the deformed pose, while maintaining a plausible 12 posture w.r.t. contacts between surfaces. This way, we assume 13 that the resulting target shape+pose better preserves the source 14 pose contextual meaning, i.e. properties of the pose that are 15 independent of the shape. As a consequence, surface contacts 16 due to shape differences in body sizes can be handled by design 17 with our approach. 18

To achieve shape transfer, we design a variational approach 19 that optimizes three energy functions: similarity to the target 20 shape, volume preservation of body parts, and collision man-21 agement to preserve existing contacts and prevent penetrations. 22 To allow for motion retargeting, continuity between subsequent 23 poses of a motion sequence is encouraged in a post-process. We 24 show experimentally that this approach can be used to retarget 25 between a wide range of characters. 26

A preliminary version of this article appears in [8]. This new 27 version includes improvements in collision detection and the 28 adaptation to continuous motion. Furthermore, we present an 29 extended experimental validation beyond basic human shapes 30 by considering motion retargeting applications between basic 31 human body shapes and humans dressed with different gar-32 ments, as well as motion retargeting between different wild an-33 imals. Our experiments indicate that our method can be applied 34 to different shapes as is, without the need to adjust parameters. 35

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 summarizes the previous works addressing the problem of motion retargeting, and positions the paper in this state of the art. Section 3 gives an overview of our method and presents our energy function. Section 4 details this energy, and Section 5 presents our minimization procedure. We explain how our method is applied on continuous animations in Section 6. Section 7 presents an extended experimental validation, and finally we conclude the paper in Section 8.

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

11

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

# 2. Related Work

Previous works mostly explore three major directions to transfer a pose from a source to a target character: using a shape independent pose representation with the joint angles of a skeleton; directly modifying the character's surface without the help of rigged skeletons; and data-driven methods that leverage a dataset of example shapes in different poses to learn the transfer.

# 2.1. Skeletal Motion Retargeting

With the popularization of marker-based motion capture systems in the early 90's, the ability to transfer an animation from an actor to a rigged character rapidly gained success. Motion retargeting was then considered as solving kinematic constraints on joint positions and ensuring continuity using displacement maps [20, 33, 13]. Another approach consists in defining a morphology-independent representation [30, 22] with efficient constraint solvers [29], or in using an intermediate skeleton [40]. As these methods mainly consist in solving static kinematic constraints, postprocessing is needed to ensure continuity, for instance with recursive filters [20].

All these methods generally use predefined kinematic con-65 straints that must be manually tuned. Automatic kinematic con-66 straint detection in the source motion has been proposed [31] to 67 automate the constraint editing problem. Most of these con-68 straints consist in spatial relationship between body segments, 69 which can be modeled as distance constraints [3] or as more 70 generalized spatial relationship between joints [6]. These meth-71 ods aim at transferring the topology of the body segments of the 72 source motion to the target character, while using generalized 73 inverse kinematics to solve all the corresponding constraints. 74 This idea of modeling the topology between body segments has 75 been extended by introducing an interaction mesh [23, 24]. A 76 more recent work introduces egocentric planes to ensure that 77 the instantaneous separating plane between each pair of body 78 parts is transferred between the source and target motion [39]. 79 This enables real-time motion transfer while preserving most

of the topology between body segments. However, all these methods require a rigged skeleton and cannot handle accurate constraints between body parts when they are not simplified body segments. Moreover, they assume that all the relative positions between body segments are preserved from source to target character, which is unlikely with shapes that differ significantly.

# 2.2. Surface Mesh Retargeting

Skeleton-based approaches have difficulties dealing with pose features that concern the surface of a character, typically 10 incidence relationships. Another strategy in that respect con-11 sists in directly acting on the character surface instead of the 12 joint angles of its skeleton, for instance by displacing the ver-13 tices of a surface mesh. 14

Deformation transfer methods follow this direction. They 15 mostly encode the pose of the source character as a deforma-16 tion of the source surface mesh and transfer this deformation to 17 the target surface mesh [44, 52]. 18

In order to better handle shape and pose constraints over the 19 surface, recent works encode the pose as spatial relationships 20 between points on the body surface. For instance Liu et al. 21 [36] introduced the context graph, an extension of the inter-22 action mesh proposed for skeleton joint centers [23] to body 23 surfaces. In a context graph, nodes are placed on the body sur-24 face and edges of the graph encode distance constraints between 25 nodes. Transferring the pose context consists then in enforcing 26 such distances on the target surface given the source context 27 graph. Using distance constraints to preserve context was also 28 explored by Jin et al. [27], who proposed the Aura mesh, a vol-29 umetric mesh enclosing the body surface with a fixed offset. 30 Spatial relationships are then modeled as the interpenetration 31 32 of this Aura mesh.

Context graphs and Aura meshes both define an arbitrary dis-33 tance threshold under which spatial relationships should be pre-34 served, assuming hence that node distances below the threshold 35 encode the contextual meaning of the pose. However, distances 36 between body parts beyond this threshold can also embed con-37 textual information. Moreover, some close interactions do not 38 necessarily relate to the contextual meaning of the pose, but can 39 result from intrinsic shape constraints, e.g. surface contacts due 40 to corpulence. Additionally, both works consider simplified ex-41 ternal meshes to model contacts and, consequently, can fail to 42 capture fine contacts between the more detailed surface mesh 43 of body segments. 44

A different strategy is the use of physics based constraints, 45 such as balance [38]. Instead of enforcing distance constraints 46 between body parts, Al Borno et al. [4] propose to apply 47 physics-based forces on the characters. As a result, the pose 48 adapts to the morphology of the target character, e.g. the extent 49 of a kick motion depends on the corpulence. We follow a sim-50 ilar philosophy and allow as well poses on the target shape to 51 adapt to the morphology. 52

#### 2.3. Hybrid Approaches Combining Skeleton and Mesh 53

In order to benefit from both worlds a body of work combines 54 skeleton and surface constraints in the pose transfer. Molla et 55

al. [39] uses a skeleton to model the pose with joint angles 56 and adds constraints, i.e. egocentric mapping to preserve the topology between body parts, that are applied on a body surface 58 approximation. Other methods use a complete surface mesh 59 together with a skeleton [32, 25] to control the surface mesh 60 deformation while preserving the coherence with the skeleton 61 topology. By satisfying both skeletal and surface constraints, 62 natural animations and poses can be generated. While defor-63 mation transfer is not the primal contribution of these works, 6/ the associated methods can be adapted to this purpose with con-65 vincing results as in [32]. Nevertheless, works in this category 66 do not yet account for surface interactions and substantial colli-67 sions can appear when transferring poses between significantly 68 different shapes. 69

## 2.4. Data Driven Approaches

With the recent availability of important datasets, data driven approaches have become more popular. They enable deformation transfer between shapes that can be very different in nature, e.g. humans and animals, by learning the semantic correspondences over a set of paired examples, for instance poses [7] or even animations [11]. In [10] Bouaziz et al. create blendshapes, i.e. base expressions of a target face, and estimate weights associated with each blendshape on the source to create the resulting target expression. Another recent work investigates deep neural networks for semantic deformation transfer [19], where training is performed on various poses of the source and target that do not need to be in correspondence. Mappings between semantically different poses of humans and animals can also be learned to interactively control animation generation [43]. All these methods neither require skeletons nor point-to-point correspondences between sources and targets. However, heavy pre-processing must be performed for every pair of source and target characters. Also these methods do not explicitly account for surface interactions.

In another vein, datasets of humans allow to create parametric models of human shapes and poses, e.g. [5, 9, 26]. Using these models, it is possible to combine the pose parameters of a source to the shape parameters of a target to effectively perform motion retargeting. However these models do not encode surface interactions and will not prevent collision artifacts, as shown for example in Figure 12.

Recent works have explored deep learning methods for motion retargeting, such as recurrent neural networks [47] or deep reinforcement learning [49]. An important number of these works focus on video based motion retargeting. They take as 100 input a 2D video of a character performing a source motion, 101 and generate a new video of a target character performing a 102 similar motion. These works are based on the recent advances 103 of generative networks such as GANs (e.g. [35, 12]) or VAE 104 (e.g. [18]). 105

Data driven methods can give very satisfying results, but re-106 quire usually important datasets to work and are intrinsically 107 limited by such datasets. In the following, we propose instead 108 a direct approach that only needs a source and a target mesh to 109 perform motion retargeting. 110

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

90

91

92

93

94

95

97

98

# 3. Method Overview

Our goal is to make a target character reproduce the motion of a source character. To this aim we consider as inputs: the 3 source character mesh in a standard pose (e.g. A-pose), the 4 same source character in a flow of *deformed poses* we wish to 5 duplicate with a target character, and the target character mesh 6 in the standard pose, as shown in Figure 1. In this work, we consider the motion as a continuous sequence of static poses. 8 Consequently, for each pose of the source character, the retar-9 geting process should compute a deformed pose adapted to the 10 target character, while preserving continuity in the resulting se-11 quence. All the source and target meshes are assumed to be in 12 correspondence through a single mesh graph. This mesh graph 13 is further assumed to be segmented into body parts (see Sec-14 tion 4.2.1). Note that our method does not require a rigged 15 skeleton. 16

We proceed by first retargeting each deformed input pose in-17 dependently, and by subsequently smoothing the resulting ani-18 mation to encourage continuity in a post-process. To retarget a 19 static frame, starting from the source character in the deformed 20 pose, our approach morphs its surface until its shape fits the 21 target character shape, while preserving the surface contacts 22 present in the source deformed pose. This way, we transfer 23 shapes at the desired poses instead of transferring poses to the 24 desired shapes, as traditional in the existing works. 25

Our method includes anyway pose deformation since body 26 shape deformations impact the body pose. We follow the com-27 mon hypothesis that pose deformations of the human body can 28 be modeled as near-isometric [14]. Hence, all near-isometric 29 deformations are attributed to pose, while non-isometric de-30 formations are attributed to shape changes. In particular, we 31 model pose deformations by applying rigid transformations to 32 the mesh's body parts. Non-isometric shape deformations are 33 applied directly to the mesh's vertices. 34

Input meshes are defined as  $\mathcal{V} = (\mathbf{V}, E)$ , where E is the set 35 of edges of the mesh and  $\mathbf{V} = (v_1, ..., v_n)$  is the set of mesh 36 vertices with  $v_i$  the 3D coordinates of vertex *i*. We define the 37 rigid body part transformations  $\Theta = \{R_P\}_{P \in BP}$ , where  $R_P$  is the 38 rotation associated with the body part  $P \in BP$  and BP is the 39 set of body parts. To perform shape transfer, we cast the prob-40 lem as an optimization over the vertex positions V and the rigid 41 transformations  $\Theta$ , and with respect to three energy terms that 42 43 account for local and more global shape properties as well as surface contacts: 44

$$\underset{\mathbf{V},\boldsymbol{\Theta}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left[ \gamma_{Shape} E_{Shape}(\mathbf{V}) + \gamma_{Vol} E_{Vol}(\mathbf{V}) + \gamma_C E_C(\boldsymbol{\Theta}) \right].$$
(1)

The terms  $E_{Shape}$  and  $E_{Vol}$  penalize the discrepancy in shape 45 and volume with the target character. As they correspond to 46 non-isometric shape deformations, they are minimized w.r.t. V. 47 The term  $E_C$  penalizes collisions of surfaces and loss of con-48 tacts present in the source pose. This energy concerns the near-49 isometric pose deformations and is therefore minimized w.r.t. 50  $\Theta$ . The weights  $\gamma_{Shape}$ ,  $\gamma_{Vol}$ , and  $\gamma_C$  modulate the influence of 51 each energy term. 52

The energy terms are detailed in Section 4. To facilitate 53 the shape transfer, before optimizing expression 1, we compute the height of the source and target shapes using their provided 55 standard poses, and pre-scale the deformed source mesh to the height of the target mesh. The method to iteratively minimize Energy 1 is presented in Section 5. Finally, the post-process used to adapt to motion sequences is explained in Section 6.

54

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

# 4. Shape and Pose Optimization

To perform the shape transfer from a source to a target character, we optimize the source shape and pose parameters through a set of energy functions to be minimized: a shape energy term transferring non-isometric deformations, a volume energy term to ensure volume preservation of the target shape, and a contact energy term to preserve relevant pose contacts and avoid penetration between the body parts. These energy terms are detailed in what follows.

### 4.1. Local Shape Fidelity

As mentioned earlier pose deformations of the human body can be assumed near-isometric [14]. Hence, two meshes that represent the same shape in different poses should be nearisometric. This property is used in previous works to define shape preserving deformations, e.g. [34]. Building on a similar principle, we make the assumption that geometric shape features that are isometry-invariant encode shape information independently of the pose. Given the source shape in the correct pose, we seek therefore for a transformation that equals isometry-invariant features on both the deformed source and the target shapes. To this aim, we consider Laplacian offsets as local geometric features independent of the pose. They have already been successfully used in [50] as a shape representation for posture invariant shape analysis.

The key idea of this representation is to encode, for each vertex, the offsets w.r.t its neighboring vertices in a local coordinate frame. First the uniform Laplacian matrix L of the template mesh is computed. Since the input meshes are in correspondence with this template, they have the same connectivity graph and the Laplacian matrix is the same for all. This matrix is used to compute for each vertex  $v_i$  the Laplacian offset  $\Delta_i$  as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \Delta_{n} \end{pmatrix} = L \begin{pmatrix} v_{1} \\ \vdots \\ v_{n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{v_{j} \in N_{1}(v_{1})} \frac{1}{deg(v_{1})} v_{j} - v_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{v_{i} \in N_{1}(v_{n})} \frac{1}{deg(v_{n})} v_{j} - v_{n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where  $N_1(v_i)$  is the first ring neighborhood of  $v_i$  on  $\mathcal{V}$ .  $\Delta_i$  is 91 further expressed in a local coordinate system, defined at vertex 92  $v_i$ , to make it invariant to pose deformations. This coordinate 93 system is composed of the normal vector of the surface at vertex 94  $v_i$  (called  $f_1(v_i)$ ), a projection of a fixed vertex neighbour of  $v_i$ 95 in the orthogonal plane of the normal (called  $f_2(v_i)$ ), and their 96 cross product (called  $f_3(v_i)$ ). The three vectors are normalized 97 to create the local coordinate system at vertex  $v_i$  (see Figure 2). 98 It is invariant to translation and rotation of the neighbourhood



Fig. 2: Local frame (red) of  $\Delta_i$  (green) at  $v_i$ .

1 of  $v_i$ . Thus, expressing  $\Delta_i$  in this coordinate system makes the 2 shape representation invariant to pose.

In a preliminary step of the algorithm, we compute the target shape representation  $\Omega^T = \{\omega_1^T(v_i^T), \omega_2^T(v_i^T), \omega_3^T(v_i^T)\}_{v_i^T \in V^T}$  at each vertex of the target character in the standard pose, where  $\omega_k^T(v_i^T)$  are the coordinates of  $\Delta_i^T$  expressed in the local coordinate system of the target shape.

We subsequently deform the source shape in the desired pose so that it presents similar local offsets, thereby changing its local forms towards the target local forms. That is, for each vertex  $v_i$  on the deformed source shape we expect the Laplacian offset  $\Delta_i$  to equal its counterpart  $\Delta_i^T$  on the target shape, yielding the following shape energy term:

$$E_{Shape}(\mathbf{V}) = \sum_{v_i \in V} (\Delta_i - \Delta_i^T)^2.$$
(3)

<sup>8</sup> A direct minimization of the above term results in a non-linear <sup>9</sup> and complex optimization. In practice, given a fixed local con-<sup>10</sup> figuration, i.e.  $(f_1(v_i), f_2(v_i), f_3(v_i), v_j \in N_1(v_i))$ , around  $v_i$ , ex-<sup>11</sup> pression 3 is minimized by moving  $v_i$  towards the optimal posi-<sup>12</sup> tion  $\hat{v}_i$ :

$$\hat{v}_{i} = \sum_{v_{j} \in N_{1}(v_{i})} \frac{v_{j}}{deg(v_{i})} - (\omega_{1}^{T}(v_{i}^{T})f_{1}(v_{i}) + \omega_{2}^{T}(v_{i}^{T})f_{2}(v_{i}) + \omega_{3}^{T}(v_{i}^{T})f_{3}(v_{i})).$$
(4)

<sup>13</sup> Hence we proceed iteratively in two steps: (i) vertices are <sup>14</sup> moved in the optimal direction  $\hat{v}_i - v_i$ ; (ii) local configurations <sup>15</sup> are re-estimated. Details on the iterative solving are given in <sup>16</sup> section 5.

# 17 4.2. Volume Preservation

By encoding the shape as described in the previous section 18 we can enforce local shape properties. However, it is known 19 that isometries do not encode the volume of the shape [34]. 20 Hence, two isometric shapes can have drastically different vol-21 umes [14]. Consequently, the Laplacian offsets as used in ex-22 pression 3 do not guarantee a global volume preservation be-23 tween the deformed source and target shapes. We explain below 24 how to remedy this issue. 25

Instead of preserving the full body volume, we propose to 26 consider the volume of each body part independently. The rea-27 son for this is that the human body volume is not equally dis-28 tributed among its body parts. Thus, preserving the volume 29 globally leads to an under-constrained problem and can result 30 in unnatural distribution of the volume such as inflated arms or 31 faces. On the other hand, preserving the volume at a very local 32 level constrains transformations to be rigid whereas body pose 33 transformations can obviously be non-rigid. In our method we 3/ therefore take an in-between strategy and encode the volume at 35 the body part level. While body part volumes do not always 36 stay constant during body deformations, e.g. as a result of mus-37 cle deformation or breathing, we assume, in a first approximation, these variations to be negligible between the standard and 39 source poses. Our method tries therefore to equal the volumes 40 of body parts between the deformed source and the target char-41 acters. We expect this way a coherent volume distribution while 42 allowing for non-rigid deformations. 43

### 4.2.1. Body Part Segmentation

The body part segmentation should ideally separate the body shape into elements that move rigidly. To this aim, we manually segment the template into 17 parts as depicted in figure 3a. Our experiments validate this segmentation however our approach could also consider other segmentations, with more or less details depending on the application. For instance fingers could be separated in the segmentation to better encode complex hand poses.



Fig. 3: Body part segmentation on the template and close up of a seam.

Given a segmentation, the volume of each body part can be computed as the sum of the signed volumes of the tetrahedrons formed by the body part's triangles and the origin O [51]. Let  $\{v_i, v_j, v_k\}$  be an oriented triangle and O the origin, the signed volume of the tetrahedron  $\{O, v_i, v_j, v_k\}$  writes: 57

$$V_{Oijk} = \frac{1}{6} (-x_k y_j z_i + x_j y_k z_i + x_k y_i z_j - x_i y_k z_j - x_i y_i z_k + x_i y_j z_k),$$
(5)

where  $(x_i, y_i, z_i)$  are the coordinates of  $v_i$ . Assuming body parts to be closed manifold meshes composed of triangles with a 59

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

consistent orientation, the volume of a body part *P* is then  $V_P = \sum_{T \in P} V_{O,T}$ , where *T* denotes triangles. This requires body parts to be closed meshes. To this purpose, body parts are closed by computing the centroid of the seam between two neighboring body parts, and by generating triangles between this centroid and the vertices on the seam as shown in Figure 3b.

### <sup>8</sup> 4.2.2. Volume Term

Given the body part segmentation, the volume energy term
 measures the discrepancy between body part volumes on the
 deformed source shape and on the target shape:

$$E_{Vol}(\mathbf{V}) = \sum_{P \in BP} (V_P - V_P^T)^2.$$
(6)

### 12 4.3. Contacts

The shape and volume terms previously presented help to ac-13 14 curately deform the source shape into the target shape. However, differences in morphology can make it difficult for the tar-15 get character to correctly reproduce the source pose. For ex-16 ample, if the source is a thin character with arms close to the 17 body, it will be challenging for a corpulent target character to 18 reproduce the exact same pose, i.e. body parts presenting the 19 exact same relative positions, since the arms would then inter-20 sect the thorax (see Figure 4a). Conversely, if a corpulent source 21 character has a hand touching the belly, a thin target character 22 reproducing the pose might see the hand floating in front of 23 the belly, therefore losing this contextually significant contact. 24 Consequently, it is important to note that changing the morphol-25 ogy of a character while keeping the same pose can result in an 26 impossible or a contextually different pose. 27

To address this issue, our method includes a contact energy 28 term. This term aims to maintain the contextual posture, i.e. 29 the contacts, of the source character by adapting its pose. In 30 particular, we aim to maintain all contacts present in the source 31 pose, while not introducing inter-penetrations. Our contact term 32 builds on the contact loss presented in [21]. It is composed of 33 a repulsion term that increases when surface inter-penetrations 34 occur, and an attraction term that increases when a contextual 35 contact is lost: 36

$$E_C(\mathbf{V}) = \gamma_r E_r(\mathbf{V}) + \gamma_a E_a(\mathbf{V}), \tag{7}$$

where  $E_r$  and  $E_a$  are the repulsion and attraction term respectively, with associated weights  $\gamma_r$  and  $\gamma_a$ .  $E_c$  helps correcting the pose of the result w.r.t. self interactions of the surface of the mesh and w.r.t interaction with the ground, as shown in Figure 4.

# 42 4.3.1. Repulsion Term

Body part segmentation enables us to follow the rigid members of the human body during the deformation (4.2.1). As such, if the source and target characters have correct poses, no inter-penetration should appear inside a same body part. We thus test only inter-penetrations between a vertex and all body parts but the one it belongs to. The repulsion term also considers collisions with the ground and is defined as



(a) Left to right: source pose, transfer result without the contribution of a repulsion term, and with such a contribution (target from Figure 5c). Notice on the right the arms that do not penetrate the torso anymore, and the wider gap between legs to avoid thigh colliding.



(b) Left to right: source pose, transfer result without the contribution of an attraction term, and such a contribution (target from Figure 6b). Notice on the right the foot that does not penetrate the leg thanks to the repulsion term, but requires anyway the attraction term to keep the contact present in the source pose.

Fig. 4: Contribution of the contact energy terms.

$$E_r(\mathbf{V}) = \gamma_r \sum_{\substack{P \in BP \\ v_i \in \mathbf{V} \setminus P \\ v_i \in Int(P)}} \sum_{\substack{v_i \in \mathbf{V} \\ v_i \in Int(P)}} d(v_i, P)^2 + \gamma_{rg} \sum_{\substack{v_i \in \mathbf{V} \\ v_i \in Int(G)}} d(v_i, G)^2, \quad (8)$$

where *BP* is the body part set, *G* is the ground, Int(X) is the interior of object *X*, and d(v, X) is the minimum distance between the vertex *v* and the object *X*;  $d(v, X) = \inf_{w \in X} ||v - w||_2$ . The effect of the repulsion term is illustrated in Figure 4a.

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

In a previous version of this work [8] raycasting with bounding box culling was used to detect collisions between vertices and body parts. This is a computationally costly method since a ray is sent from every tested vertex to every face of the body part. In this paper, we use the point-tetrahedron collision test with spatial hashing method described in [45]. Given a tetrahedral mesh, this method defines a hash-function that maps every object (vertices and tetrahedrons) to a 1D index. The function is designed such that objects mapped to the same index are the ones located in the same region in 3D space, and must be tested for collision. This allows to significantly reduce the number of collision tests to be performed, and has been applied in realtime animation pipelines [28].

Employing this collision test requires a tetrahedral mesh, which we create by adding the centroid of the body part to each of its triangles. We do the same to triangles created at the seams in Section 4.2.1 to have a closed mesh. This approximation holds for convex objects, which is the case for most of our segmented body parts. However, hands and feet are not convex, due to fingers and toes, and our approximation could consequently lead to important errors. In our experiments, we mostly use poses from SMPL that do not encode the movement of the fingers or toes, so the approximation did not generate artifacts. If more detailed finger or toe poses are required, it is possible to add each phalanx of the fingers and toes to the body part segmentation to make the approximation more robust.

Although this method has not been designed for elongated 10 tetrahedra, such as those needed to represent some long body 11 parts, we obtained significantly higher performance than the 12 raycasting approach. For example, for the mesh with important 13 self collision shown in Figure 4 (center), the new contact detec-14 tion algorithm takes 1 second to compute the repulsion energy, 15 whereas the raycasting method takes 5 seconds. More examples 16 and details about computation times are given in Section 7.2. 17

#### 4.3.2. Attraction Term 18

Our contact energy term should also preserve contacts be-19 tween surfaces present in the source's desired pose. These con-20 tacts give important semantic meaning to the pose. In a prelim-21 inary step, we encode those contacts in the source. We define 22 a contact threshold proportional to the height of the character. 23 Vertices that are under this threshold distance from a surface 24 are considered in contact with the surface. As for interpene-25 trations, we consider that no important contacts should appear 26 inside a same body part, and thus only encode contacts between 27 different body parts. For each vertex under the contact thresh-28 old distance of a surface, we encode the contact as the couple of 29 the vertex and its closest vertex on the surface. The attraction 30 term also forces the vertices at ground level to stay at ground 31 32 level in the result.

The attraction term increases when the distance between ver-33 tices in contact in the source exceeds the fixed contact threshold 34 as: 35

$$E_{a}(\mathbf{V}) = \gamma_{a} \sum_{(v_{i}, v_{j}) \in C} \max[(d(v_{i}, v_{j}) - T), 0]^{2} + \gamma_{ag} \sum_{v_{i} \in C_{G}} \max[(d(v_{i}, G) - T), 0]^{2},$$
(9)

where C is the set of pairs of vertices in contact,  $C_G$  are the ver-36 tices in contact with the ground, and T is the contact threshold. 37 The effect of the attraction term is illustrated in Figure 4b. 38

#### 4.3.3. Rigid formulation 39

The contact energy (Equation 7) aims to preserve a coher-40 ent pose of the subject, i.e. a pose of the source character that 41 preserves contacts. As such, the term should be minimized by 42 modifying the source pose parameters. 43

To do so, we use the body part segmentation described in 44 Section 4.2.1. Body parts are ordered in a tree hierarchy, with 45 the crotch as the root. We then define a rotation for each body 46 part  $\Theta = \{R_P\}_{P \in BP}$ . These rotations are applied to a body part 47 and its children, around a "joint" defined as the centroid of the 48

seam between the body part and its parent (see Figure 3b). The root body part rotates around its centroid.

By minimizing the contact energy w.r.t. these rotations, each body part deforms rigidly. The contact energy becomes:

$$E_{C}(\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{\Theta})) = \gamma_{r} E_{r}(\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{\Theta})) + \gamma_{a} E_{a}(\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{\Theta})), \qquad (10)$$

using the mesh vertex positions V as functions of the rotations Θ.

# 5. Iterative Solving

Optimizing the full sum of energies in expression 1 appears 56 difficult in practice since the shape term  $E_{Shape}(\mathbf{V})$  (see expres-57 sion 3) is non-linear. This results from the fact that the differ-58 ential coordinates  $\omega_i$  that encode the shape are expressed in a 59 local coordinate system, which depends on the position of the 60 vertices of the mesh. Hence moving a vertex also transforms its local frame. We therefore minimize expression 1 iteratively. 62 In a first step vertices V are moved with respect to the target shape information, then the pose  $\Theta$  is optimized in order to 6/ satisfy the contact constraints and finally local frames are reestimated. This is iterated until the absolute difference in the 66 sum of energies between two successive iterations is below a 67 threshold. The first two steps are detailed below. 68

The first step aims to optimize the shape fidelity term  $E_{Shape}(\mathbf{V})$  and the volume preservation term  $E_{Vol}(\mathbf{V})$ , expressions 3 and 6 respectively. To this purpose vertices are moved in a direction that accounts for both terms:

$$v'_i = v_i + \epsilon(\gamma_s d_s(v_i) + \gamma_v d_v(v_i)), \tag{11}$$

with  $v'_i$  the new position of  $v_i$ ,  $\gamma_S$  and  $\gamma_V$  the weights associated 73 to the directions  $d_s$  and  $d_v$ , respectively, and  $\epsilon$  a displacement 74 offset function. The shape direction  $d_s$  is the direction towards 75 the optimal position as defined in Equation 4. The volume di-76 rection  $d_v$  is computed based on the Stokes' Theorem and its 77 resulting divergence theorem. That is,  $d_{y}$  is the direction of the 78 normal  $n_i$  of the surface at vertex  $v_i$ , and the offset by which we move  $v_i$  is the difference in volume of the body part contain-80 ing  $v_i$  between the target and the current shape. This leads to 81  $d_v(v_i) = (V_P^T - V_P) n_i.$ 82

The second step of the iterative framework aims to minimize the contact energy defined in expression 10. Autodifferentiation is used to obtain the gradient of the contact energy  $E_C$  w.r.t. rotations of the body parts  $\Theta$ . We then apply a gradient descent iteration to the rotations. Since the deformation at each iteration is relatively small, this slight correction is enough.

# 6. Adaptation to continuous motion sequences

The approach presented in the previous sections enables to 91 transfer the shape of a target character to a source character 92 in a given static pose. When considering motion sequences, a 93 per frame strategy can be applied with however no guarantee 94 of temporal continuity in the resulting animations. While our 95 experiments demonstrate that such a strategy provides already 96

49

50

51

52

53

۰.

55

65

69

70

71

72

79

83

84

85

86

87

88

an

good results when retargeting motions between shapes that do
 not differ significantly (see for instance the running sequence
 in the accompanying video), discontinuities together with in consistent ground contacts can appear with shapes that require
 more important pose corrections. To tackle this issue we intro duce a post-processing step that enforces temporal consistency
 in the retargeted animations. Details are given below and results
 presented in section 7.6.

## 9 6.1. Temporally Consistent Ground Contact

The pose correction applied to shapes can give rise to inconsistent ground contacts. For example, when transferring motion from a skinny character to a corpulent one, the gap between the legs can be widened to avoid potential collisions between the thighs. When such a corrections occurs, the feet positions can deviate in consecutive frames, leading to the so-called "footskating" artifacts in the resulting animation.

To fix this problem, we modify the attraction term in Equa-17 tion 9. For static data, ground contacts are enforced by con-18 straining the concerned vertex heights to be at the ground level. 19 Although this avoids collision or loss of contact between the 20 foot and the ground, it does not guarantee the foot to remain at a 21 fixed position, hence yielding foot-skating artifacts. For a con-22 tinuous sequence of poses, we store the ground contact position 23 of the source pose at each frame and compare it with the pre-24 25 vious frame. When detecting a ground contact that was already a ground contact in the previous frame, we consider that the 26 associated vertex should remain at the same position. There-27 fore, the ground contact term for such a vertex  $v_i$  becomes: 28  $\max[(d(v_i^t, v_i^{t-1}) - T), 0]^2$  where t denotes to the current frame 29 time within the motion sequence, and  $v_i^t$  (respectively  $v_i^{t-1}$ ) cor-30 responds to the vertex  $v_i$  at the frame time t (respectively t - 1). 31

# 32 6.2. Animation Smoothing

The pose correction can also induce jitter in the resulting ani-33 mation. Under the continuity assumption we experimented, in a 34 previous version of this work [8], a 5-frame rolling average that 35 reduces jitter, yet retaining residual oscillations. In this work, 36 we explore and evaluate two other post-processing filtering ap-37 proaches: a global approach that treats the full sequence jointly, 38 and a local approach that operates on the motion trajectory of 39 each vertex independently. 40

# 41 6.2.1. Discrete Cosine Transform

The first approach smooths globally the retargeted animation 42 by filtering high frequencies in the temporal domain. It builds 43 on the work of Akhter et al. [1] that shows that the PCA basis 44 learned from human motion sequences converges to the basis of 45 the Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT). This property was used 46 to combine a DCT basis for temporal data with a spatial shape 47 basis computed using PCA to create a model encoding spatio-48 temporal data [2]. This model allows to smooth motion se-49 quences by removing first the high frequencies of the temporal 50 DCT basis, and second the basis vectors of the PCA shape space 51 corresponding to small eigenvalues. In our experiments, we ap-52 ply this approach to retargeted animation sequences. Since the 53 sequences we consider are relatively short, we only project over 54 the DCT basis and remove high frequencies.

# 6.2.2. De Boor Spline Approximation

The second approach smoothes locally the retargeted animation by post-processing the trajectory of each mesh vertex independently. To this end, each trajectory is approximated by a spline [15], that have shown effective in trajectory smoothing [17]. We use the original de Boor algorithm [16] to approximate vertex trajectories with a regularization term based on the curve second derivatives.

# 7. Evaluation and Discussion

In this section, we present results of our method and discuss its strengths and limitations. We introduce first the data used in our evaluations and give the implementation details. We further show the evaluations for minimally dressed humans, casually dressed humans, wild animals, and animations, and we compared our method to state-of-the-art works. Finally, we discuss the method's limitations.

Quantitatively evaluating the results of motion retargeting remains an open problem and is especially challenging when handling generic characters. For this reason, all the evaluations and comparisons provided in what follows are qualitative. For better illustrations, especially for results on motion sequence retargeting, the reader is invited to refer to the supplemental video.

# 7.1. Data

To demonstrate the generality of our approach, we evaluate our method on two different shape classes. First, human characters, both in a minimally dressed scenario and in a casually dressed one. This class is the most commonly considered in retargeting applications, and the minimally dressed scenario allows in particular comparisons to the state of the art. The second class of shapes we consider are wild animals. Wild animal shapes are interesting as they can exhibit very different morphologies while still respecting our assumption of nearisometrically deformations during motion.

As input, we require source and target character meshes in correspondence. For humans, the correspondence is established using the SMPL template (6890 vertices and 13776 faces) [37]. This template is segmented into the 17 body parts shown in Figure 3a. For animal models, the correspondence is established using the SMAL template (3889 vertices and 7774 faces) [53]. We segment this template into 24 body parts as shown in Figure 8.

For minimally dressed human characters, we use the example animations provided with SMPL, Faust [9], Dyna [41], and models from Liu et al. [36] fitted to the SMPL template. For dressed humans we use meshes from 3D Poses in the Wild [48] that are already fitted to the SMPL template. For animal models, we create different poses and shapes using the statistical model SMAL.

# 7.2. Implementation Details

We implemented our algorithm in Julia, and use a python implementation [42] of de Boor's smoothing algorithm to postprocess the trajectory of each mesh vertex.

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

# 7.2.1. Parameter Settings

Our method has a number of weight parameters that need to be adjusted. In this work, we set them empirically based on a few examples of minimally dressed human bodies. Applying these fixed parameters to all human and animal examples we have tested leads to visually pleasing results.

In practice, the parameters are set as follows. The parameters weighing the different energy terms in Equation 1 are set to  $\gamma_{Shape} = \gamma_{Vol} = \gamma_C = 1$ , the parameters weighing the influ-9 ence of the contact and repulsion terms in the contact energy 10 of Equation 7 are set to  $\gamma_r = \gamma_a = 1$ , and the weights han-11 dling ground contact in Equations 8 and 9, respectively, are set 12 to  $\gamma_{rg} = \gamma_{ag} = 0.1$ . The offset weight  $\epsilon$  in Equation 11 is set to 13 0.3, and the parameter p employed during spline smoothing to 14 0.1. 15

# 16 7.2.2. Computation Times

All experiments were run on a PC with an Intel Xeon E5-17 2623 v3s and 32GB of RAM. The computation time is highly 18 dependent on the surface interactions present in the pose trans-10 fer, i.e. contacts and possibly colliding surfaces. The new con-20 tact detection method improves the computation time. We now 21 discuss the computation time needed for a single frame in differ-22 ent scenarios. When the deformed pose is free of any body-to-23 body interactions, the method requires around 5 minutes. In the 24 example shown in Figure 5, some corrections are needed due to 25 body-to-body surface collisions, and our method takes around 26 15 minutes with the new collision detection, compared to 20 27 minutes using the previous raycasting approach. In the example 28 show in Figure 14b, contacts in the deformed pose of the source 29 need to be maintained, and our method takes around 20 minutes 30 with the new collision detection, compared to 30 minutes with 31 the previous approach. In the example shown in Figure 14c, the 32 transfer needs to both maintain contacts in the deformed pose 33 and avoid surface collisions, and our method takes around 24 34 minutes with the new collision detection, compared to 44 min-35 utes with the previous approach. All experiments applied on 36 static animals models based on SMAL take less than 5 minutes 37 for the transfer. 38

## 39 7.3. Minimally Dressed Humans

This section discusses the convergence behaviour of our method, and shows an example of transferring a pose with contact to different morphologies.

Figure 5 illustrates the iterative process of our method and 43 shows intermediate results (5d). The shape and volume evolve 44 quickly to match the target, while the contact term avoids inter-45 penetration here by widening the gap between the legs and rais-46 ing the arms. Figure 5b shows the evolution of each energy term 47 during this transfer. Note that the shape fidelity (Eq. 3) and vol-48 ume preservation (Eq. 6) terms decrease rapidly in the first iter-49 ations. The initial spike of the repulsion term (Eq. 8) is due to 50 interpenetrations that appear as the morphology changes. The 51 correction of interpenetrations causes loss of contacts around 52 the armpits, explaining the slight increase in the attraction term 53 (Eq. 9). Our iterative process efficiently minimizes the shape 54

and volume energies, while maintaining the contact energy at a reasonable level.

Figure 6 shows results of shape transfer from the source of Figure 12 (left) to characters with varying morphology. Notice the evolution of the space between the upper arms and the torso depending on the morphology of the target; while skinny characters have a large distance, this distance disappears for larger bodies.

# 7.4. Casually Dressed Humans

This section illustrates results of transferring poses from minimally dressed humans to humans wearing casual clothing. Figure 7 shows three frames obtained when retargeting poses from the sample animations provided with SMPL to clothed characters from 3DPW. In all three results, the cloth details, including wrinkles present in the standard pose of the target, are transferred to the deformed pose. Furthermore, the method can transfer hair, shown in Figures 7g and 7h, and even accessories such as the backpack and baseball cap in Figure 7i.

# 7.5. Animals

This section illustrates results that retarget poses between different wild animals. Figure 9 shows results that retarget a pose of a fox to a lion and a hippopotamus. Despite important differences in the morphology and volume distributions among body parts for the different animals, the resulting retargeted poses are plausible overall. Note that the characteristics of the heads, trunk and legs are maintained in the retargeted pose for the lion and the hippopotamus. However, some artifacts occur for body parts with smaller volume, such as the tail of the hippopotamus, which is elongated after the transfer. The reason for this is that we match the volume of the body parts to the target, but not their lengths: our method allows body parts to get elongated as long as their volume is correct.

Figure 10 shows results of transferring a pose with contact from a feline to a lion, a fox and a hippopotamus. The results show that the method is able to preserve contact constraints even for animals with significantly different morphologies.

### 7.6. Animations

This section compares the different strategies to adapt our 92 method to continuous motion sequences, as introduced in Sec-93 tion 6, and shows some qualitative results. Table 1 provides quantitative measurements over the motion sequence for the 95 static motion retargeting applied frame by frame, the DCT 96 smoothing method with different percentages of low frequen-97 cies retained, and the spline-based smoothing method. The 98 quantitative measures are (1) the displacement of a vertex lo-99 cated in the middle of the forehead between two consecutive 100 frames (mean and standard deviation), and (2) the volume of the 101 right forearm during the animation (mean and standard devia-102 tion). These measures are evaluated for the punching sequence 103 shown in Figure 11. For a correct retargeting, we expect that the 104 mean and standard deviation of the displacement of the vertex 105 on the forehead are similar to the ones in the source animation, 106 and that there is a low standard deviation of the measured vol-107 ume with its mean close to the volume measured on the target 108

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

81

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90



(d) Left to right: source pose, result after 5, 40, 150 and 400 iterations, final result (500 iterations)



character. Without post-processing, the vertex on the forehead performs large motions that lead to jittering artifacts (visible 2 in the supplemental video). When smoothing with DCT, keep-3 ing a high percentage of components does not remove the jitter 4 on the head. When keeping a low percentage of components, 5 however, artifacts such as volume shrinking on the arm appear, 6 as can be seen by the increasing standard deviation of the arm 7 volume. In contrast, the spline-based smoothing leads to a re-8 sult without apparent jitter while preserving the arm volume. 9 Figure 11 shows the corresponding motion retargeting result. 10 Animation results shown in the supplementary video are thus 11 smoothed using the splined-based method. 12

13

# 14 7.7. Comparisons

In this section, we compare results of our method with previous works. First, our results are compared to a skeleton-based approach where joint angles are directly applied to a new character. Second, we applied our method to character meshes used in previous surface mesh retargeting methods, namely context graphs [36] and AuraMesh [27], and compare our results to those obtained in these previous works.

Figure 12 compares our method to a skeleton retargeting baseline. The source pose (left) was generated by hand-tuning SMPL pose and shape parameters. Applying the same pose parameters to a character with different morphology leads the result of the baseline shown in the center. This straightforward approach leads to artifacts: the left hand enters the belly, and

	head displacement (cm)		arm volume $(dm^3)$	
	mean	std.	mean	std.
source an- imation	0.345	0.220	/	/
target character	/	/	2.315	/
no post- process	1.094	0.900	2.221	0.101
50% DCT	0.835	0.605	2.220	0.104
25% DCT	0.718	0.458	2.215	0.123
10% DCT	0.410	0.260	2.147	0.440
5% DCT	0.237	0.148	1.913	0.551
spline smoothing	0.553	0.343	2.175	0.176

Table 1: Comparisons between different smoothing approaches. Mean and standard deviation of the displacement of a vertex on the middle of the forehead between two consecutive frames, and of the volume of the right forearm, evaluated for the motion sequence in Figure 11. Preprint Submitted for review/Computers & Graphics (2020)



Fig. 6: Shape transfer results on several characters of the deformed source pose shown in Figure 12 (left).

the contact between the right hand and the hip is incorrect. The
result of our method is presented in the right of the figure. The
artifacts reported with the baseline do not occur. Moreover, notice that the space between the arms and the body shrinks during
the transfer. This demonstrates that the method was able to find
a solution without artificially spreading the arms far from the
torso to preserve the distances associated with the thin source
character.

Figure 13 depicts results obtained with our method when applied to 3D models used in [36]. Our results are compared to 10 those obtained by an artist (artist performance initially reported 11 in [36, Figure 6]). Note that even with a relatively large change 12 in morphology, our result is close to the solution proposed by 13 an artist. In particular, when viewed from above, one can see 14 that the artist created new contacts between the arms and the 15 body. These additional contacts did not change the contextual 16 meaning of the pose, but have been introduced to adapt to the 17 morphology of the target character. These additional contacts 18 have also been mostly recovered by our method, compared to 19 the context graph method, which aims to preserve distances ob-20 served with the source character. 21

Figure 14 applies our method on a shoulder rubbing pose 22 that is similar to the one used in AuraMesh [27, Figure 8]. We 23 see that our method preserves the hand/shoulder contact, even 24 with important changes of morphology. Notice that for a close 25 morphology (Figure 14b), the distance between the elbow and 26 the torso does not significantly change in the result. However, 27 for drastically larger target characters (Figures 14c and 14d) 28 this distance shrinks or even disappears to create new contacts. 29 These pose changes do not alter the contextual meaning but are 30



Fig. 7: Results of the method from sample poses of SMPL to clothed characters of 3DPW.

required to keep the morphology consistent. For the same kind of example, AuraMesh aims at preserving the initial distances observed with the source character, which is unlikely to adapt to a larger target character and contrary to our results.

# 7.8. Discussion

In this paper we explore the strategy of shape transfer, as an alternative to the widely adopted pose transfer strategy, to address retargetting problems. While our results validate this approach, it suffers anyway from some limitations. First, the method may appear slow compared to recent methods that can be close to real-time. This results from the efficient but computationally expensive optimization framework that is used and does not invalidate the shape transfer principle. Directions for improvements on this aspect include parallelized implementations as well as alternatives to optimization with, for instance, learning methods.

Another potential limitation is that our method requires correspondences between the input meshes along with a body part 48

35 36

37

38

39

41



Fig. 8: Body part segmentation of the SMAL template



Fig. 9: Shape transfer results on animal models taken from SMAL.

segmentation. In our experiments, we tackled this problem by 1 fitting a template equipped with a pre-defined segmentation to 2 the input meshes. This pre-processing is light and only needs 3 to be applied once per mesh. Moreover it could be made auto-4 matic, using some template fitting method such as [46]. This 5 is hence simpler and faster than standard rigging processes tra-6 ditionally used in computer animation. The body part segmen-7 tation only needs to be created once per template, making its 8 pre-processing complexity negligible in the long term. 9

The contextual meaning of the human posture introduced in 10 this work raises interesting questions. By contextual meaning 11 we assume additional posture elements which have priority over 12 traditional pose parameters represented by the body part rigid 13 transformations. In this work we focus on preserving contacts 14 in the source pose as well as preventing inter-penetrations, this 15 by allowing pose parameters to evolve. Our results show that 16 this strategy genuinely preserves part of the posture context. In 17 particular, it enables to introduce new contacts that are induced 18 by the target shape, especially when retargeting from a skinny 19 to a large character. However, when transferring from a large to 20 a skinny character, contacts should not necessarily be preserved 21 as they may not be natural. This raises the question of which 22 contacts should be preserved in a transfer between characters 23 and more generally on how to model the contextual meaning of 24 a human posture. Apart from user inputs on a per case basis, an 25 interesting direction is to explore here data-driven approaches 26



(a) Source pose





(b) Result for the target shown in Figure 9b



(c) Result for the target shown in Figure 9a

(d) Result for the target shown in Figure 9c

Fig. 10: Shape transfer results on several animals from a paw licking pose of a feline.



(b) Result with spline smoothing in post-processing.

Fig. 11: Result of transferring a punching animation to the target in Figure 5c, using the spline smoothing in post-processing.

aiming at learning such a contextual meaning.

Finally, the method described in this paper is considering a pose independently from the previous and next frames. The ad-29 vantage of this strategy is to avoid drift in the estimation and 30 hence to gain robustness. The drawback is the potential incon-31 sistency over time. To remedy this we additionally filter the 32 results in a post-processing step, with clear benefits as shown 33 in the accompanying video. However, such filtering is based 34 on a continuous assumption and is therefore not able to handle 35 potential dynamic movements of the surface, such as clothes 36 deformation during motion. This dynamic behavior of the sur-37 face has not been addressed in this paper. Future works could 38 explore how to simulate this dynamic property of the surface 39 accordingly to the body motion. 40



Fig. 12: Comparison to a skeleton retargeting baseline. Left: The source deformed pose generated by manually tuning SMPL shape and pose parameters. Center: The same SMPL pose parameters applied to new shape parameters. Right: The result with our method.

# 8. Conclusion And Future Work

In this paper we addressed the problem of retargetting a pose from a source to a target character with different morphology. 3 Previous works generally aimed at transferring the source character's pose onto the target character while preserving geomet-5 ric constraints. These constraints have to be designed in order to capture the contextual meaning of the pose that has to be satisfied in the target character pose also. However, pose and shape may be intrinsically linked and it remains difficult to automatically identify the relevant constraints. To tackle this problem, 10 the main contribution of this work consists in transferring the 11 target shape to the source shape+pose, assuming that most of 12 the shape+pose constraints should be automatically satisfied. 13 To achieve this goal, we maintain existing contacts identified in 14 the source pose while avoiding inter-penetrations during shape 15 transfer. Our results (e.g. figure 13) show that this method en-16 ables us to find new contacts linked to the target shape, which 17 is generally impossible to address when preserving distances 18 between joints, as usually proposed in previous works. 19

Whereas the core of the method has been designed to consider static poses [8], another contribution of this paper is to add post-processing to deal with continuous motion sequences. Future work could include temporal information directly in the core of the method instead of introducing postprocessing step.

Our method showed satisfying results when transferring iso-25 lated poses and motion from a source character to another one 26 with different morphology (e.g. from a thin to a big character or 27 from a tall man to a small woman). We also extended previous 28 experiments [8] to apply the method to casually dressed humans 29 and wild animals. For all types of shapes, the same parameter 30 settings were used without adjustment. These new experiments 31 demonstrate the robustness and generality of our shape transfer 32 approach. To handle new creatures or more accurate models 33 (including fingers for example), the user simply has to segment 34 the 3D mesh into the new required body parts. Moreover, to 35 address meshes with different topology, preprocessing is nec-36 essary to calibrate a template model into both the source and 37 target models, before applying the method. 38



(d) Figure 13c viewed from top.

Fig. 13: Comparison to an artist performance (courtesy of [36]). The results consists in retargetting a source character (a) to a target character (b). (c) front view of our result and a performance of an artist. (d) top view of the same results.

From the fundamental point of view, this work contributes to better automatically retarget motion from one source to a 40 target character, without requiring tedious rigging manual pro-41 cessing. However, there remains a series of challenges that have 42 not been addressed in this approach. Indeed, although this approach enabled us to better handle contacts between body parts, 44 future works are necessary to capture more contextual meaning information, such as preserving body segment orientation 46 or distances. Even for contact constraints, there are some open 47 questions, such as the release of contact constraints when trans-48 ferring a pose from a fat character to a thin one. The develop-49 ment of new machine learning approaches opens new directions 50 to better capture and transfer the contextual meaning informa-51 tion from one shape to another. Hence, a promising future di-52 rection would consist in using a large database of characters 53 with different morphology performing equivalent poses to train 54 a machine learning retargetting system. 55

# Acknowledgments

This project was supported by the Inria IPL AVATAR project. We also thank Ludovic Hoyet and Sergi Pujades for their help in gathering and fitting the models from [36] to SMPL.

56

57

58



Fig. 14: Comparison to the AuraMesh method [27] on a shoulder rubbing pose.

# References

17

18

19

20

27

- [1] Ijaz Akhter, Yaser Sheikh, Sohaib Khan, and Takeo Kanade. 2010. Tra-2 jectory space: A dual representation for nonrigid structure from motion. 3 PAMI 33, 7 (2010), 1442-1456. л
- Ijaz Akhter, Tomas Simon, Sohaib Khan, Iain Matthews, and Yaser 5 [2] Sheikh. 2012. Bilinear spatiotemporal basis models. ToG 31, 2 (2012), 6 1 - 12
- [3] Rami Ali Al-Asqhar, Taku Komura, and Myung Geol Choi. 2013. Re-8 lationship Descriptors for Interactive Motion Adaptation. In ACM SIG-9 GRAPH/SCA (SCA '13). 45-53. 10
- Mazen Al Borno, Ludovic Righetti, Michael J Black, Scott L Delp, Eu-11 [4] gene Fiume, and Javier Romero. 2018. Robust Physics-based Motion 12 Retargeting with Realistic Body Shapes. In Computer Graphics Forum, 13 Vol. 37. Wiley Online Library, 81-92. 14
- Dragomir Anguelov, Praveen Srinivasan, Daphne Koller, Sebastian 15 [5] Thrun, Jim Rodgers, and James Davis. 2005. SCAPE: shape completion 16 and animation of people. In ACM TOG, Vol. 24. 408-416.
  - [6] George Baciu and Bartholomew K. C. Iu. 2006. Motion retargeting in the presence of topological variations. Computer Animation and Virtual Worlds 17, 1 (2006), 41-57.
- [7] Ilya Baran, Daniel Vlasic, Eitan Grinspun, and Jovan Popović. 2009. Se-21 mantic deformation transfer. In ACM TOG, Vol. 28. 36. 22
- Jean Basset, Stefanie Wuhrer, Edmond Boyer, and Franck Multon. 2019. 23 [8] Contact Preserving Shape Transfer For Rigging-Free Motion Retargeting. 24 25 In MIG. ACM, 1-10.
- Federica Bogo, Javier Romero, Matthew Loper, and Michael J. Black. [9] 26 2014. FAUST: Dataset and evaluation for 3D mesh registration. In CVPR. IEEE 28
- Sofien Bouaziz, Yangang Wang, and Mark Pauly. 2013. Online modeling 29 [10] 30 for realtime facial animation. ToG 32, 4 (2013), 1-10.
- Adnane Boukhayma, Jean-Sébastien Franco, and Edmond Boyer. 2017. [11] 31 Surface motion capture transfer with gaussian process regression. In 32 CVPR. 9. 33
- Caroline Chan, Shiry Ginosar, Tinghui Zhou, and Alexei A Efros. 2019. 34 [12] Everybody dance now. In ICCV. IEEE, 5933-5942. 35
- [13] Kwang-Jin Choi and Hyeong-Seok Ko. 2000. Online motion retargetting, 36 The Journal Of Visualisation and Computer Animation 2000 11, 5 (2000), 37 223-235 38
- [14] Luca Cosmo, Mikhail Panine, Arianna Rampini, Maks Ovsjanikov, 39 Michael M Bronstein, and Emanuele Rodolà. 2019. Isospectralization, 40 or how to hear shape, style, and correspondence. CVPR (2019). 41
- Peter Craven and Grace Wahba. 1978. Smoothing noisy data with spline [15] 42
- 43 functions. Numerische mathematik 31, 4 (1978), 377-403.

- [16] Carl De Boor. 1978. A practical guide to splines. Vol. 27. springer-verlag New York
- [17] Magnus Egerstedt and Clyde F Martin. 2001. Optimal trajectory planning and smoothing splines. Automatica 37, 7 (2001), 1057-1064.
- [18] Patrick Esser, Ekaterina Sutter, and Björn Ommer. 2018. A variational u-net for conditional appearance and shape generation. In CVPR. IEEE, 8857-8866.
- [19] Lin Gao, Jie Yang, Yi-Ling Qiao, Yu-Kun Lai, Paul L Rosin, Weiwei Xu, and Shihong Xia. 2018. Automatic unpaired shape deformation transfer. In SIGGRAPH Asia 2018. ACM, 237.
- [20] Michael Gleicher. 1998. Retargetting Motion to New Characters. In Siggraph (SIGGRAPH '98). ACM, 33-42.
- [21] Yana Hasson, Gül Varol, Dimitrios Tzionas, Igor Kalevatykh, Michael J Black, Ivan Laptev, and Cordelia Schmid. 2019. Learning joint reconstruction of hands and manipulated objects. CVPR (2019).
- [22] Chris Hecker, Bernd Raabe, Ryan W. Enslow, John DeWeese, Jordan Maynard, and Kees van Prooijen. 2008. Real-time Motion Retargeting to Highly Varied User-created Morphologies. ACM TOG 27, 3 (Aug. 2008), 27:1-27:11.
- [23] Edmond S. L. Ho, Taku Komura, and Chiew-Lan Tai. 2010. Spatial Relationship Preserving Character Motion Adaptation. ACM TOG 29, 4 (July 2010), 33:1-33:8.
- [24] Edmond S. L. Ho, He Wang, and Taku Komura. 2014. A Multi-resolution Approach for Adapting Close Character Interaction. In ACM VRST (VRST '14). 97-106.
- [25] Chun-Hao Huang, Edmond Boyer, and Slobodan Ilic. 2013. Robust human body shape and pose tracking. In 3DV. IEEE, 287-294.
- Boyi Jiang, Juyong Zhang, Jianfei Cai, and Jianmin Zheng. 2019. Learn-[26] ing 3D Human Body Embedding. ArXiv abs/1905.05622 (2019).
- [27] Taeil Jin, Meekyoung Kim, and Sung-Hee Lee. 2018. Aura Mesh: Motion Retargeting to Preserve the Spatial Relationships between Skinned Characters. In Computer Graphics Forum, Vol. 37. Wiley Online Library, 311-320.
- [28] Martin Komaritzan and Mario Botsch. 2019. Fast Projective Skinning. In MIG. ACM. 1-10.
- [29] Richard Kulpa and Franck Multon. 2005. Fast inverse kinematics and kinetics solver for human-like figures. In IEEE-RAS Humanoids. 38-43.
- [30] Richard Kulpa, Franck Multon, and Bruno Arnaldi. 2005. Morphologyindependent representation of motions for interactive human-like animation. Computer Graphics Forum 24, 3 (2005), 343-351.
- [31] Benoît Le Callennec and Ronan Boulic. 2006. Robust Kinematic Constraint Detection for Motion Data. In ACM SIGGRAPH/SCA (SCA '06). 281 - 290
- [32] Thibaut Le Naour, Nicolas Courty, and Sylvie Gibet. 2019. Skeletal mesh animation driven by few positional constraints. Computer Animation and Virtual Worlds (2019), e1900.
- Jehee Lee and Sung Yong Shin. 1999. A hierarchical approach to interac-[33] tive motion editing for human-like figures, In Siggraph. 39-48.
- [34] Yaron Lipman, Daniel Cohen-Or, Ran Gal, and David Levin. 2007. Volume and shape preservation via moving frame manipulation. ACM TOG 26, 1 (2007), 5.
- [35] Wen Liu, Zhixin Piao, Jie Min, Wenhan Luo, Lin Ma, and Shenghua Gao. 2019. Liquid warping GAN: A unified framework for human motion imitation, appearance transfer and novel view synthesis. In ICCV. IEEE, 5904-5913.
- [36] Zhiguang Liu, Antonio Mucherino, Ludovic Hoyet, and Franck Multon. 2018. Surface Based Motion Retargeting by Preserving Spatial Relationship. In MIG (MIG '18). ACM, Article 7, 7:1-7:11 pages.
- [37] Matthew Loper, Naureen Mahmood, Javier Romero, Gerard Pons-Moll, and Michael J Black. 2015. SMPL: A skinned multi-person linear model. ACM TOG 34, 6 (2015), 248.
- [38] Etienne Lyard and Nadia Magnenat-Thalmann. 2008. Motion adaptation based on character shape. Computer Animation and Virtual Worlds 19, 3-4 (2008), 189-198.
- Eray Molla, Henrique Galvan Debarba, and Ronan Boulic, 2018. Ego-[39] centric Mapping of Body Surface Constraints. IEEE TVCG 24, 7 (2018), 2089-2102
- [40] Jean-Sébastien Monzani, Paolo Baerlocher, Ronan Boulic, and Daniel Thalmann. 2000. Using an Intermediate Skeleton and Inverse Kinematics for Motion Retargeting. Computer Graphics Forum 19, 3 (2000), 11-19.
- [41] Gerard Pons-Moll, Javier Romero, Naureen Mahmood, and Michael J. Black. 2015. Dyna: A Model of Dynamic Human Shape in Motion. ACM

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

TOG, (Proc. SIGGRAPH) 34, 4 (2015), 120:1-120:14.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

- [42] Eugene Prilepin. 2017-2020. csaps Cubic Spline Approximation. https: //csaps.readthedocs.io/en/latest/index.html.
- [43] Helge Rhodin, James Tompkin, Kwang In Kim, Edilson De Aguiar, Hanspeter Pfister, Hans-Peter Seidel, and Christian Theobalt. 2015. Generalizing wave gestures from sparse examples for real-time character control. ACM TOG 34, 6 (2015), 181.
- [44] Robert W Sumner and Jovan Popović. 2004. Deformation transfer for triangle meshes. In ACM TOG, Vol. 23. 399-405.
- Matthias Teschner, Bruno Heidelberger, Matthias Müller, Danat Pomer-10 [45] antes, and Markus H Gross. 2003. Optimized Spatial Hashing for Collision Detection of Deformable Objects.. In Vmv, Vol. 3. 47-54.
  - [46] Gul Varol, Duygu Cevlan, Bryan Russell, Jimei Yang, Ersin Yumer, Ivan Laptev, and Cordelia Schmid. 2018. Bodynet: Volumetric inference of 3d human body shapes. In Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV). 20-36.
- 17 [47] Ruben Villegas, Jimei Yang, Duygu Ceylan, and Honglak Lee. 2018. Neural kinematic networks for unsupervised motion retargetting. In Pro-18 ceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 8639-8648.
- [48] Timo von Marcard, Roberto Henschel, Michael J Black, Bodo Rosen-21 hahn, and Gerard Pons-Moll. 2018. Recovering accurate 3d human pose 22 in the wild using imus and a moving camera. In ECCV. 601-617. 23
- [49] Jungdam Won and Jehee Lee. 2019. Learning body shape variation in 24 physics-based characters. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG) 38, 6 25 (2019), 1-12. 26
- [50] Stefanie Wuhrer, Chang Shu, and Pengcheng Xi. 2012. Posture-invariant 27 statistical shape analysis using Laplace operator. Computers & Graphics 28 36, 5 (2012), 410-416. 29
- [51] Cha Zhang and Tsuhan Chen. 2001. Efficient feature extraction for 2D/3D 30 objects in mesh representation. In ICIP, Vol. 3. IEEE, 935-938. 31
- [52] Kun Zhou, Weiwei Xu, Yiying Tong, and Mathieu Desbrun. 2010. Defor-32 mation transfer to multi-component objects. In Computer Graphics Fo-33 rum, Vol. 29. Wiley Online Library, 319-325. 34
- [53] Silvia Zuffi, Angjoo Kanazawa, David Jacobs, and Michael J. Black. 35 36 2017. 3D Menagerie: Modeling the 3D Shape and Pose of Animals. In CVPR. IEEE. 37