



**HAL**  
open science

## **Intertwined detection and recognition roles of tetrazine in synergistic anion- $\pi$ and H-bond based anion receptor**

Romain Plais, Guy Gouarin, Anne Gaucher, Violette Haldys, Arnaud Brosseau, Gilles Clavier, Jean-Yves Salpin, Damien Prim

### ► To cite this version:

Romain Plais, Guy Gouarin, Anne Gaucher, Violette Haldys, Arnaud Brosseau, et al.. Intertwined detection and recognition roles of tetrazine in synergistic anion- $\pi$  and H-bond based anion receptor. ChemPhysChem, 2020, 21 (12), pp.1249-1257. 10.1002/cphc.202000289 . hal-02613704

**HAL Id: hal-02613704**

**<https://hal.science/hal-02613704>**

Submitted on 20 May 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Intertwined detection and recognition roles of tetrazine in synergistic anion- $\pi$ and H-bond based anion receptor

Romain Plais,<sup>[a]</sup> Guy Gouarin,<sup>[a]</sup> Anne Gaucher,<sup>[a]</sup> Violette Haldys,<sup>[b], [c]</sup> Arnaud Brosseau,<sup>[d]</sup> Gilles Clavier,<sup>[d]</sup> Jean-Yves Salpin,<sup>[b], [c]</sup> and Damien Prim<sup>\*[a]</sup>

Dedication ((optional))

- [a] Mr. R. Plais, Mr. G. Gouarin, Dr. A. Gaucher, Prof. Dr. D. Prim  
ILV  
Université Paris-Saclay, UVSQ, CNRS  
78035 Versailles (France)  
E-mail: damien.prim@uvsq.fr
- [b] Mme. V. Haldys, Dr. J.-Y. Salpin  
LAMBE  
Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, Univ. Evry  
91025 Evry (France)
- [c] Mme. V. Haldys, Dr. J.-Y. Salpin  
LAMBE  
Université Paris-Seine, CNRS, U-Cergy  
91025 Evry (France)
- [d] Mr. A. Brosseau, Dr. G. Clavier  
PPSM  
Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, ENS Paris-Saclay,  
94235 Cachan (France)


Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

**Abstract:** The intrinsic properties of tetrazine as a  $\pi$ -anion receptor and as an on/off recognition probe merged with H-bond ability of an urea motif into a single architecture designs a new generation of well-defined anion receptors. Complexation properties directly benefit from the dual and synergistic contribution of tetrazine and urea. In this study, we report on the synthesis and assessment of binding properties to anions of diverse geometries. Association constants have been predicted by theoretical calculations and evaluated by multiple and complementary experimental techniques including electrospray-mass tandem spectroscopy, NMR, UV-Visible, steady state fluorescence spectroscopies and time resolved fluorescence. These results provide the basis for a better understanding of both the complexation and the anion-dependent quenching mechanism.

## Introduction

Anions play crucial roles in many biological processes,<sup>[1,2]</sup> environmental detection<sup>[3–5]</sup> and catalysis.<sup>[6–8]</sup> Their wide potential applications but also the deep understanding of biological events and the exploration of noncovalent interactions motivated an increasing interest of the scientific community which rivalled in creativity for selective anion recognition and/or sensing. Historically and predominantly, the design of anionic receptors has incorporated one or more hydrogen bonding donors (HBs).<sup>[9]</sup> More recently, several distinct weak interactions such as anion- $\pi$ <sup>[10]</sup>, electrostatic interactions, hydrophobic effects or halogen bonds<sup>[11]</sup> emerged to complement the panel of noncovalent interactions, thus devising new families of receptors. If such noncovalent interactions have been widely but independently

used in current receptors, the cooperation between distinct noncovalent interactions embedded into a unique receptor frame is an emerging area of research,<sup>[12–14]</sup> almost restricted to theoretical<sup>[13]</sup> or crystallographic<sup>[12]</sup> studies. The development of such receptors is in its infancy but is likely to bring new avenues in anion recognition provided that this new generation of receptors (i) exhibits an adaptive behaviour to various anion geometries, (ii) features a user-friendly and straightforward synthesis and (iii) allows accurate detection/characterization of binding properties. Alongside highly pre-organized rigid receptors widely spread in supramolecular chemistry,<sup>[15]</sup> we focused our attention on single molecules comprising a hydrogen bonding donor linked to a  $\pi$ -deficient heterocycle able to generate anion- $\pi$  interactions. Based on our recent publications<sup>[16–21]</sup> and publications from Melguizo and Bianchi,<sup>[22,23]</sup> we envisioned that the combination of urea and tetrazine moieties would be beneficial to anion binding and allow cross-characterisation of binding properties. On one hand, the urea motif would enable gaining information from <sup>1</sup>H NMR techniques and on the second we take benefits from the dual role of the tetrazine fragment acting as anion- $\pi$  acceptor and as fluorescent probe (*Scheme 1*).



**Scheme 1.** Structure of ligand 1

## RESEARCH ARTICLE













Herein, we report the synthesis and exploration of anion binding properties of an unprecedented urea-tetrazine receptor. Intertwined characterization of the receptor-anion architectures and determination of association constants as well as synergistic contribution of distinct non-covalent interactions, rely on theoretical methods and a broad scope of experimental methods such as electrospray-tandem mass spectrometry, NMR, UV-Visible and steady state fluorescence spectroscopies as well as time resolved fluorescence.

## Results and Discussion

## Theoretical calculations and structural parameters

To evaluate the potential of this new ligand in anion binding, we have performed DFT calculations using Gaussian 09 software<sup>[24]</sup> of **1** in combination with a selection of five anions.

**Table 1.** Optimized geometries, ESP and NCIplot of receptor **1** and complexes with anions at APFD/6-31+G(d,p) level

Entry	Optimized Geometry	ESP	NCIplot	$\Delta G_{\text{int,ACN}}^{[a]}$
1	<b>1</b>			0
2	<b>1-Cl</b>			-29.7
3	<b>1-Br</b>			-29.9
4	<b>1-I</b>			-17.0
5	<b>1-SCN</b>			-11.5
6	<b>1-PF<sub>6</sub></b>			+6.7


[a] Interaction energy in kJ/mol, with Polarizable Continuum Model for Acetonitrile, calculated from Gibbs Free Energies

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

Optimization and frequency calculations were performed using the APFD/6-31G+(d,p) level without any solvent correction. APFD functional was chosen due to its accuracy in computing long-range interactions and modelling of hydrogen bond complexes.<sup>[25]</sup> The effect of solvent was evaluated by optimizing the geometries using an IEFPCM model for acetonitrile (ESI §2.1). No significant changes in geometries were observed on applying solvent correction. For complexes including bromide and iodide ions, two basis set were used: APFD/LANL2DZ for bromide and iodide, respectively and APFD/6-31G+(d,p) and others atoms. Optimized geometries are detailed in *Supporting Information* (p.7-20). Electrostatic Potentials Surfaces (ESP) were calculated using Gaussview software from optimized structures using a fine grid for Total Density and a medium grid of ESP. To visualize non-covalent interactions in real space, we have used a tool called Non-Covalent Interactions plot (NCIplot). These 3D plots were calculated using the script developed by Rzepa available on the website application of the Imperial College London.<sup>[26,27]</sup> Interaction energies with and without solvent effect were calculated by generating frequencies calculations from optimized geometries using triple zeta basis set APFD/aug-ccpvtz level of calculation.<sup>[28]</sup> All the results of these calculations are showed in *Table 1* and in *Supporting Information* (ESI §2.2).

As shown in *Table 1*, the receptor **1** adopts a conformation in which the tetrazine core is almost perpendicular to the hydrogen bond donor, thus minimizing repulsive interactions between the tetrazine and the urea fragments (table 1, entry 1, NCIplot). As evidenced by the NCIplot, this conformation and the overall 3D shape of receptor **1** are set by several weak interactions involving (i) ortho protons of the aromatic fragment and the urea carbonyl motif, (ii) a combination of two hydrogen bonds between protons of the aliphatic spacer and the urea carbonyl on one hand and the tetrazine nitrogen atoms on the other hand. Interactions between receptor **1** and anions of different geometries were then characterized. Optimized geometries show that the presence of an anion close to the receptor deeply impact the overall geometry enabling the folding around the anion thus maximizing attractive interactions between X<sup>-</sup> and both the urea and the tetrazine motifs. Noteworthy, the folding depends on the size and the geometry of the guest anion. All NCIplots evidence defined dish-shaped surfaces allowing visualization of anion- $\pi$  interactions. Large NCIplot surfaces can be observed for **1-Cl** and **1-Br** complexes suggesting strong interactions, whereas **1-I**, **1-SCN** and **1-PF<sub>6</sub>** display less pronounced surfaces and interactions. As anticipated by NCIplot surface intensities, calculated interaction energies in acetonitrile follow similar trend: high interaction energies of a close order of magnitude for **1-Cl** (-29.7 kJ/mol) and **1-Br** complexes (-29.9 kJ/mol) and decreasing interaction energies obtained for **1-I** (-17.0 kJ/mol) and **1-SCN** (-11.5 kJ/mol). A positive value was obtained for **1-PF<sub>6</sub>**, indicative of a non-spontaneous complexation in acetonitrile. As illustrated in *Table 1*, both the anion size and geometry impact the topology of complexes **1-X**. Even in a same anion geometry series, variations of the relative orientation of the urea, the anion and the tetrazine fragments clearly modify the overall topology of the corresponding complexes. For all spherical anions, the general trend of the 3D topology (*Figure 1*) is set by two main elements: (i) the strong interaction between urea protons H<sub>a</sub> and H<sub>b</sub> and the anion contributes to the formation of straight plane composed by C, N and H atoms of the urea motif and the anion. (ii) due to the anion- $\pi$  interaction, the latter plane almost parallels the planar tetrazine

ring. The strength of both H-bonds and anion- $\pi$  interactions is clearly depending on the size and properties of the anion which might thus impact the relative spatial orientation of the triptych urea/anion/tetrazine within the complex series. Key parameters allowing complete characterization of their structures are compiled in *Table 2* and compared within the spherical anion series. Indeed, N-H<sub>a</sub>/H<sub>b</sub> bond lengths and through space H<sub>a</sub>/H<sub>b</sub>-X<sup>-</sup> distances are privileged features of the complexation to the urea motif. In addition, distances between X<sup>-</sup> and the centroid of the tetrazine but also to all tetrazine nitrogen atoms N<sub>a</sub>/N<sub>b</sub>/N<sub>c</sub>/N<sub>d</sub> are indicative of the precise location of the anion and the directionality of the anion- $\pi$  interaction (*Table 2*).



**Figure 1.** a) Labelling system and selected views of **1-Cl** (CF<sub>3</sub> groups are omitted for clarity). b) and c) front and side views of cofacial arrangement between the urea/Cl<sup>-</sup> and the tetrazine planes. d) position of Cl<sup>-</sup> with respect to median axis of the urea. e) distance between Cl<sup>-</sup> and the tetrazine centroid.

If receptor **1** displays identical N<sub>a</sub>-H<sub>a</sub> and N<sub>b</sub>-H<sub>b</sub> bond distances, the latter increased consistently in **1-Cl**, **1-Br** and **1-I** with the formation of H-bond interaction. Interestingly, the H-bond interaction allowed discriminating N<sub>a</sub>-H<sub>a</sub> and N<sub>b</sub>-H<sub>b</sub> bond distances. Indeed, in all cases the electron withdrawing effect of the aromatic fragment proximal to H<sub>a</sub> strengthens the H-bond inducing the increase of the N<sub>a</sub>-H<sub>a</sub> bond distances in a larger extent by comparison with the corresponding N<sub>b</sub>-H<sub>b</sub>. In addition, depending on the nature of the anion the through space distance between the anion and H<sub>a</sub> and H<sub>b</sub> are also impacted. Strong interaction led to shortest distances as evidenced by the H<sub>a</sub>- or H<sub>b</sub>-X<sup>-</sup> which increase from Cl<sup>-</sup> to I<sup>-</sup> (compare entries 3 and 4 for each anion). Again H<sub>a</sub>-X<sup>-</sup> or H<sub>b</sub>-X<sup>-</sup> distances are different, H<sub>a</sub>-X<sup>-</sup> being the shortest reflecting a strongest interaction. The anion- $\pi$  interaction with the tetrazine fragment also induces modifications on the overall shape depending on the anion. As expected, the X<sup>-</sup>



## RESEARCH ARTICLE

centroid distances are close for Cl<sup>-</sup> (3.12Å) and Br<sup>-</sup> (3.15Å) but markedly increased for I<sup>-</sup> (3.49Å). The fact that N<sub>a</sub>-H<sub>a</sub> and N<sub>b</sub>-H<sub>b</sub> but also H<sub>a</sub>-X or H<sub>b</sub>-X distances are discriminated in complexes **1**-Cl, **1**-Br and **1**-I also impacted the relative orientation of the tetrazine planar ring. Indeed, in **1**-Cl, N<sub>c</sub>-X and N<sub>d</sub>-X on one hand and N<sub>e</sub>-X and N<sub>f</sub>-X on the other hand display very close through space distances indicating an almost cofacial arrangement between the tetrazine and the urea/Cl plane. In contrast, in both **1**-Br and **1**-I, N<sub>c</sub>-X and N<sub>d</sub>-X as well as N<sub>e</sub>-X and N<sub>f</sub>-X differ markedly from each other, inducing a twist of the tetrazine ring with respect to the **1**-Cl case. This deformation might originate from the joint increase of anion size and concomitant decrease of H-bonding order and increase of anion-π interaction.

**Table 2.** Key structural parameters of **1**-X topologies calculated on the APFD/6-31+G(d,p) level

Entry	Bond <sup>[a]</sup>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b> -Cl	<b>1</b> -Br	<b>1</b> -I
1	N <sub>a</sub> -H <sub>a</sub>	1.011	1.037	1.034	1.029
2	N <sub>b</sub> -H <sub>b</sub>	1.011	1.030	1.027	1.023
3	N <sub>a</sub> -X	--	2.099	2.217	2.545
4	N <sub>b</sub> -X	--	2.207	2.399	2.751
5	X- centroid	--	3.12	3.15	3.49
6	N <sub>c</sub> -X	--	3.491	3.515	3.774
7	N <sub>d</sub> -X	--	3.500	3.579	3.722
8	N <sub>e</sub> -X	--	3.411	3.393	3.874
9	N <sub>f</sub> -X	--	3.403	3.436	3.822


[a] distance in Å

The linear thioisocyanate anion adopts a conformation almost orthogonal to the urea moiety. As a result, the overall topology of **1**-SCN appears more flared shaped than the corresponding spherical anion geometry. The polarisable sulphur atom generates a strong interaction with the urea as shown in *Table 1*. Interestingly, carbon and nitrogen atoms are interacting with the tetrazine as evidenced by the dish-shaped surface characteristic of an anion-π interaction. The large hexahedral PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> behaves similarly to other anions interacting with the urea fragment. As expected, distances between PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> and both urea and tetrazine motifs increases leading to a loose anion-π interaction by comparison with previous geometries.

## Synthesis

Synthesis of receptor **1** was achieved using a two steps short sequence (*Scheme 2*) involving the condensation of ethanolamine on the commercially available 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl isocyanate to form the urea moiety **S1** in the first step in 89% yield.<sup>[29]</sup> In a second step, a nucleophilic aromatic substitution of dichlorotetrazine enables the formation of receptor **1** in 58% yields.<sup>[30]</sup> In order to evaluate the impact of the presence of the tetrazine unit in the receptor on the complexing properties, receptor **2** was synthesized by a reaction sequence similar to **S1** using 2-methoxyethylamine in 66% yield.

All the compounds were fully characterized by spectroscopic methods (*Supporting Information p. 22-31*).



**Scheme 2.** Synthesis of receptors **1** and **2**


## Tandem mass spectrometry

In order to get some insights about the nature of the complex(es) generated by the association of the receptor **1** with the different anions, we first performed a series of tandem mass spectrometry experiments, by using a 3D ion trap instrument (Bruker Amazon Speed ETD). This allows to access to the intrinsic reactivity of **1** towards the series of anions. Complexes were generated in the gas phase by electrospraying equimolar mixtures of **1**/TBAX. Starting from 10<sup>-1</sup> M stock solutions of **1** and TBAX prepared in acetonitrile (ACN) and purified water, respectively, 10<sup>-4</sup> M mixtures of **1**/TBAX (90/10 ACN/H<sub>2</sub>O) were introduced in the electrospray source by a syringe pump (3 μL/min). Detailed experimental conditions can be found in the *Supporting Information*. For the sake of simplicity, the *m/z* values given in this section correspond to the monoisotopic peak. A Typical ESI spectrum for such mixtures is given in *Figure 2a* for the **1**/TBACl system.

Whatever the anion studied, electrospray spectra of these mixtures result in the formation of two very intense complexes of general formula [(**1**)<sub>n</sub>-X]<sup>-</sup> (n=1,2). Depending on the experimental conditions, [(**1**)<sub>3</sub>-X]<sup>-</sup> can be observed but generally in very weak abundance (presently *m/z* 1325.0). We do not observe complexes with remaining solvent molecules. The chemical composition of the complexes can be confirmed by examining the experimental isotopic distributions (see insert of *Figure 2a* for the [(**1**)-Cl]<sup>-</sup> complex). Consequently, formation of these singly charged ions turned to be a very facile process. On the other hand, we did not detect any doubly charged anion that would correspond to the interaction of **1** with two X<sup>-</sup> anions.

We then tried to obtain structural information about these complexes by recording their MS/MS spectra. Whatever the anion considered, MS/MS spectra of the dimeric species [(**1**)<sub>2</sub>-X]<sup>-</sup> systematically correspond to the elimination of an intact molecule of receptor **1**, leading to [(**1**)-X]<sup>-</sup>. On the other hand, different behaviors are observed upon collision for the 1:1 complex. Elimination of **1** and formation of X<sup>-</sup> from [**1**-X]<sup>-</sup> is not the only process, except for PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> (see *Figure S19*), which indicates that for this anion the interaction is particularly weak in the gas phase. This finding is in agreement with the very small computed interaction energy (*Table 1 and ESI §2.2*). This is also consistent with data obtained in solution by NMR, UV of fluorescence experiments, which indicate that PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> does not interact with the receptor in solution (*Table 1 and vide infra*).


## RESEARCH ARTICLE



**Figure 2.** a) Electrospray mass spectrum of an equimolar ( $10^{-4}$  M) mixture of **1**/TBACl (90/10 acetonitrile/water) – b) MS/MS spectrum of the  $[1-\text{Cl}]^-$  ion ( $m/z$  465) – c) MS/MS spectrum of the  $[[1]_2-\text{Cl}]^-$  ion ( $m/z$  895)

For the other  $[(1)-X]^-$  anions, dissociation channels involving the cleavage of covalent bonds are observed, therefore suggesting a strong interaction of the anion with the receptor. Note that in some cases (SCN, Cl), the formation of the  $X^-$  ions corresponds to  $m/z$  values which were well below the low-mass cutoff of our ion trap. We tried to lower as much as possible the low mass cut-off, in order to try to detect  $X^-$ , but it can result in the complete loss of the signal. This was the case for  $X=\text{Cl}$  or  $\text{SCN}$ , and therefore we could not be able to detect  $\text{SCN}^-$  or  $\text{Cl}^-$ , although their formation cannot be rigorously discarded. Br could be detected in weak amounts. Note however that formation of  $X^-$  should be associated to a small interaction energy, and therefore the abundance of  $X^-$  should decrease as the interaction energy increases. Consistently,  $\text{I}^-$  and  $\text{PF}_6^-$  are observed in significant abundances (Figures S17 and S19).

Our series of MS/MS experiments allowed to demonstrate that the various adducts share several fragmentation processes. This dissociation pattern is summarized in Scheme 3, and the MS/MS spectrum obtained for the  $[(1)-\text{Cl}]^-$  ion is reported in Figure 2b. Elimination of HX associated to deprotonation of **1** ( $m/z$  429), is observed for three anions, namely  $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{SCN}^-$  and  $\text{Br}^-$ . This process is not observed with iodide or  $\text{PF}_6^-$ . The extent of this fragmentation is correlated to the gas-phase acidity of  $\text{HX}$ .<sup>[31]</sup> The  $m/z$  429 ion is indeed intense for  $\text{Cl}^-$  and  $\text{SCN}^-$  ( $\Delta H^\circ_{\text{acid}}(\text{HCl})=1395$  kJ/mol);  $\Delta H^\circ_{\text{acid}}(\text{HSCN})=1361$  kJ/mol, weaker for  $\text{Br}^-$  ( $\Delta H^\circ_{\text{acid}}(\text{HBr})=1353$  kJ/mol) and is absent for  $\text{I}^-$  ( $\Delta H^\circ_{\text{acid}}(\text{HI})=1315$  kJ/mol).



**Scheme 3.** General dissociation scheme for the  $[(1)-X]^-$  complex under CID conditions


Formation of  $m/z$  429 probably implies removal of one of the urea proton (See section 4.5 of the Supporting Information). Reasonably, removal of  $\text{H}_a$  should be more favorable since the resulting anion would be stabilized by a mesomeric effect involving the benzenic ring. This process therefore suggests interaction of the anion with the urea moiety, in agreement with the calculations.

Another characteristic fragment ion is the  $[\text{C}_2\text{N}_4\text{OCl}]^-$  ion ( $m/z$  131). This fragmentation, together with the elimination of the 132 u  $[\text{C}_2\text{HN}_4\text{OCl}]$  neutral undoubtedly involves the tetrazine moiety. These processes may correspond in a first step a  $\text{S}_{\text{N}}2$ -like mechanism leading to the cleavage of the  $\text{C}(\text{H}_2)\text{-O}$  bond and formation of an intermediate ion/neutral complex, evolving subsequently either directly towards the  $m/z$  131 ion, or leading, after internal proton transfer, to the elimination of 132 u. The initial interaction of  $X^-$  with the urea moiety through hydrogen bonding may result to the favorable positioning of  $X^-$  for the  $\text{S}_{\text{N}}2$  process. The last characteristic fragment observed for all anions but  $\text{PF}_6^-$  (Figure 2 and Figures S16-S19) is the formation of a  $[\text{C}_2\text{HN}_4\text{OCIX}]^-$  anion. This fragment ion can be formed through the direct attack of the tetrazine moiety by  $X^-$  MS/MS spectra (see section 4.5 of the SI). To summarize, the various fragmentation processes seem to suggest that the anion interacts with both the urea and the tetrazine moieties, as already underlined by the calculations.

### NMR Titrations: fundamental state binding studies


The affinity of **1** towards  $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{Br}^-$ ,  $\text{I}^-$ ,  $\text{SCN}^-$  and  $\text{PF}_6^-$  anions was assessed by  $^1\text{H}$  NMR titrations in  $\text{CD}_3\text{CN}$  (ESI Figures S20 to S29). The case of spherical  $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{Br}^-$ ,  $\text{I}^-$  anions has been considered first. Linear and hexahedral  $\text{SCN}^-$  and  $\text{PF}_6^-$  will be discussed afterwards.

$^1\text{H}$  NMR titration spectra of **1** with TBACl is reported in Figure 3. In  $\text{CD}_3\text{CN}$ , the iterative addition of  $\text{Cl}^-$  anion to the receptor resulted in significant modifications in the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum. Noteworthy large downfield shifts of urea protons are observed. If both protons are downshifted, they behave somewhat differently.  $\text{H}_a$  undergoes a shift from 7.81 to 11.04 ppm ( $\Delta\delta_{\text{ClH}_a} = 3.23$  ppm) and  $\text{H}_b$  from 5.82 to 7.57 ppm ( $\Delta\delta_{\text{ClH}_b} = 1.75$  ppm).



**Figure 3.** a) NMR titration of anion receptor **1** by chloride anion (0 to 25 equivalents) – b) Proton attribution

This difference plausibly arises from the electron withdrawing effect of the aromatic fragment proximal to  $H_a$ . The large  $\Delta\delta_{Cl}$  observed strongly suggest tight interactions between  $Cl^-$  and the receptor. As evidenced by the spectrum aromatic protons  $H_d$  and aliphatic proton  $H_e$  were only poorly affected by progressive addition of TBACl. Evolution of chemical environment of aromatic proton  $H_c$  through complexation allow identifying modification of the chemical shifts albeit with a lesser extent. Indeed,  $\Delta\delta_{XHc}$  ranges from 0.05 to 0.16 ppm for all anion studied. Titration of receptor **1** with TBABr and TBAI were next realized. The corresponding  $^1H$  NMR spectra display similar general shape (SI, Figures S24 and S26). Again  $\Delta\delta_{BrHa}$  (2.69 ppm) and  $\Delta\delta_{IHa}$  (1.64 ppm) were increased by comparison with the corresponding  $\Delta\delta_{Hb}$  shifts. Comparison of  $\Delta\delta_{Ha}$  in titrations with spherical anions plotted in Figure 4 and the number of equivalents of anion required to reach the endpoint, suggests a stronger interaction between  $Cl^-$  and **1** than between  $Br^-$  or  $I^-$  and **1** in decreasing order.



**Figure 4.**  $\Delta\delta_{Ha}$  calculated as a function of the number of equivalents of TBAX (X = Cl, Br and I) added for proton  $H_a$

Titration was next extended to linear anion  $SCN^-$ . The same approach led to  $^1H$  NMR spectrum which showed similar features (ESI, Figure S28). Signals of  $H_a$  and  $H_b$  are downfield shifted upon progressive addition of  $SCN^-$ . Complexation to receptor **1** was characterized by  $\Delta\delta_{SCNHa} = 1.69$  ppm for  $H_a$  and  $\Delta\delta_{SCNHb} = 0.76$  ppm for  $H_b$  suggesting a moderate interaction between **1** and  $SCN^-$ . Finally, in the case of  $PF_6^-$ , no significant shift was observed after addition of 20 equivalents of the anion. Although less pronounced complexation process cannot be fully ruled out, interaction between **1** and  $PF_6^-$  could not be evidenced.

$^1H$  NMR spectra obtained after titration with various anions exhibit similar shapes. However, the marked decrease of  $\Delta\delta_{XH_a}$  and  $\Delta\delta_{XH_b}$  moving from  $Cl^-$  to  $SCN^-$  is indicative of the general affinity trend between receptor **1** and the anions. To clarify and confirm this tendency, binding constants of the corresponding complexes were determined using two different methods: a single proton method developed by González-Gaitano *et al.* [32] and a global analysis of the three protons using SPECFIT software. The first method is based on the differences in chemical shifts observed ( $\Delta\delta$ ) plotted as a function of number of equivalents of TBAX added (Table 3, Figure 4 and S31).

**Table 3.** Binding constants calculated from mathematical equations and with SPECFIT® software

Entry	$K_{A, H_a}^{[a]}$	$K_{A, H_b}^{[a]}$	$K_{A, H_c}^{[a]}$	$K_{A, SPECFIT}^{[a]}$
1 1-Cl	5893	5700	5000	$4892 \pm 1$ (0.6%)
2 2-Cl	1804	1811	1764	$1757 \pm 1$ (0.1%)
2 1-Br	375	371	452	$375 \pm 1$ (0.1%)
3 1-I	64	67	77	$70 \pm 1$ (0.2%)
4 1-SCN	37	37	59	$37 \pm 1$ (0.4%)
5 1- $PF_6$	...[b]	...[b]	...[b]	...[b]

[a] Binding constants in L/mol [b] No binding was observed

As anticipated after analysis of  $^1H$  NMR spectra, 1-Cl exhibit the strongest binding constant of the series of anions (4892 L/mol). As expected, in a similar system bearing only a urea (2-Cl), a significant drop in association constant is observed (1757 L/mol), evidencing the clear cooperative and beneficial effect of the presence of both fragments (Figure S30). Moreover, a strong decrease is observed from 1-Br (375 L/mol) to 1-I (70 L/mol) and 1-SCN (37 L/mol) and no binding constant could be calculated for 1- $PF_6$ .

#### Photophysical analysis: excited state binding studies


Taking advantage of the photophysical properties of the ligand, the affinity of **1** towards  $Cl^-$ ,  $Br^-$ ,  $I^-$ , and  $SCN^-$  (as  $Bu_4N^+$  salts) was also assessed by UV-Visible and steady state fluorescence spectroscopies as well as time-resolved fluorescence decay in acetonitrile.

Absorption spectrum of **1** was first recorded in acetonitrile and displays 4 absorption maxima at 511, 324, 295 and 246 nm (Figure S37). A TDDFT calculation (PBE0/6-311+g(d,p) level) has been done to attribute those bands. The calculated and experimental spectra match quite well (mean deviation between calculated and experimental maxima is 7%, Figure S34). The 511,




## RESEARCH ARTICLE

324 nm bands could then be attributed to the  $n-\pi^*$  and  $\pi-\pi^*$  transitions of the tetrazine and the 295 and 246 nm bands to two  $\pi-\pi^*$  transitions centered on the phenyl urea moiety (Figures S23 and S24). Fluorescence spectra of compound **1** was also recorded in the same solvent and display the typical emission band of a tetrazine<sup>[18]</sup> with a maximum at 561 nm (Figure S38).



**Figure 5.** Absorption spectra measured during the titration of **1** with tetrabutylammonium chloride (from 0 to 70 equivalents of TBACl): a) total spectrum b) zoom



**Figure 6.** Absorption spectra measured during the titration of **1** with tetrabutylammonium iodide (from 0 to 200 equivalents of TBAI): a) total spectrum b) zoom

The fluorescence quantum yield was determined to 39% using Rhodamine-6G as reference.<sup>[33]</sup> This value is quite high and in


agreement with literature reported values.<sup>[18]</sup> This will facilitate the characterization of the formation of complex since it has previously been demonstrated that interaction of the tetrazine with electron rich species leads to quenching of fluorescence.<sup>[30]</sup> UV-Visible spectra measured during the titration of **1** with chloride anion are reported in Figure 5. We can observe both hyperchromic and bathochromic shifts of the absorption bands associated with the phenylurea moiety. A smaller variation is also observed for the tetrazine  $\pi-\pi^*$  bands but its  $n-\pi^*$  one is almost not affected by the addition of chloride anion. Similar observations can be made from the other anions UV-vis. titrations (Figures S47 [Br<sup>-</sup>], 6 [I<sup>-</sup>], and S63 [SCN<sup>-</sup>]). From these results, we can conclude that the major interaction involved in the complexation of anions by **1** in the ground state is hydrogen bonding with urea.

Association constants for **1** to **1** complex were determined using the SPECFIT software (Table 4). Values obtained for all halides are of the same order of magnitude and lower for the thiocyanate ion. Nevertheless, due to the relatively small variations of the spectra during the titration, the values are obtained with a large margin of error and we cannot safely interpret them.

Iodide and thiocyanate anions seem to have a particular behavior towards complexation with **1**. As can be seen in the absorption spectra, a new band appears as a shoulder at approximately 360 nm. The origin of this new band is not clear.

The tetrazine is a strong electron acceptor that becomes a very strong oxidizer in its first excited state.<sup>[34]</sup> Two consequences can be expected from this property: the interaction between the tetrazine and anions should be stronger in the excited state and fluorescence quenching. We took advantage of this property to evidence the complexation of anions by **1** by fluorescence titrations.

Emission quenching is very clear from the fluorescence spectra recorded during the titration of **1** with tetrabutylammonium chloride (Figure 7). Nevertheless, it can be observed that despite the large excess of salts added, complete quenching is not reached. This behavior indicates that the complex formed by **1** and chloride anion exhibits a residual fluorescence. Fluorescence decays will help further analysis of this residual fluorescence.



**Figure 7.** Fluorescence spectra measured during the titration of **1** with tetrabutylammonium chloride (from 0 to 70 equivalents of TBACl)

## RESEARCH ARTICLE


Similar quenching was observed with bromide, iodide and thiocyanate anions (Figures S49 [Br], S57 [I] and S65 [SCN]). From these data, new values of association constants have been extracted (Table 4) by two methods: a global spectral analysis with the SPECFIT software ( $K_{A, \text{fluo}}$  SPECFIT) and a single wavelength nonlinear least squares fitting for a 1 to 1 model<sup>[35]</sup> ( $K_{A, \text{fluo}}$ , see Supporting Information for details and Table 4 and Figures S40, S43, S48, S51, S56, S59, S64, S67). Both approaches give similar values and trend between the different anions. A slightly higher value is observed for chloride anion.

**Table 4.** Binding constants determined from photophysical essays from mathematical equations and using SPECFIT® software

Entry		$K_{A, \text{abs, SPECFIT}}^{\text{[a]}}$	$K_{A, \text{fluo}}^{\text{[a]}}$	$K_{A, \text{fluo SPECFIT}}^{\text{[a]}}$	$K_{A, \text{decay, 65ns}}^{\text{[a]}}$
1	1-Cl	108 ± 1 (10%)	1763	1875 ± 1 (1%)	1461
2	1-Br	810 ± 1 (11%)	1176	1217 ± 1 (3%)	478
3	1-I	980 ± 1 (14%)	1479	1390 ± 1 (1%)	532
4	1-SCN	26 ± 1 (1%)	1386	1341 ± 1 (1%)	163
5	1-PF <sub>6</sub>	...[b]	...[b]	...[b]	...[b]

[a] Binding constants in L/mol [b] No binding was observed

Further insight in the excited state behaviour was gained when recording fluorescence decays during the titrations of the various anions. Time resolved fluorescence gives information on the quenching mechanism: if the quenching is purely dynamic, then the fluorescence lifetime decreases while the initial fluorescence intensity of the decay remains unchanged. Inversely, if the quenching is static, the fluorescence lifetime remains constant while the initial fluorescence intensity of the decay decreases. Intermediate cases are also possible where both phenomena are observed.



**Figure 8.** Fluorescence decay titration of **1** with TBACl (0 to 70 equivalents), logarithmic scale.

Fluorescence decays of **1** recorded for the titration with TBACl are presented in Figure 8 and S46. The decays become clearly shorter upon addition of the anion while the initial intensity undergo a small decrease. Mathematical fitting show 3 regimes: first a single exponential is needed with an initial lifetime of 133 ns corresponding to the unquenched tetrazine. Upon addition of chloride this lifetime decreases gradually. At 4 equivalents a second exponential with a short lifetime (20 ns) is needed to fit the decays. Finally at 50 equivalents the decay are mono-exponential again and the longer lifetime does not contribute anymore. It can thus be concluded that the complex **1-Cl** is slightly fluorescent and that the tetrazine–anion interaction is mainly dynamic in this case. A similar situation was found for the complex **1-Br** (Figures S52-S54) except that the complex fluorescence is weaker with a shorter lifetime of approximately 7 ns.

In the case of the iodide (Figures S60-S62) and thiocyanate anions (Figure S68-S70) the behaviour is different since there is a much larger drop in initial intensity accompanying the shortening of the decay. The quenching is thus much more pronounced.

In all cases it appears that there is both static and dynamic quenching but to a different extent depending on the nature of the anion. For the smaller ones (Cl<sup>-</sup> and Br<sup>-</sup>), the dynamic quenching is more pronounced than the static one and the final complexes are weakly fluorescent. However, for the larger ones (I<sup>-</sup> and SCN<sup>-</sup>) the static quenching plays an important role. This could indicate that in the ground state the tetrazine–anion interaction is stronger with the larger more polarizable anions as expected for anion- $\pi$  interactions leading to a more pronounced static quenching. When in the excited state, the tetrazine is strongly electron attractor and interact with all anions leading to dynamic quenching.

## Conclusion

In summary, we have designed a new approach towards anion recognition. Our approach takes not only benefit on the joint presence of an urea and a tetrazine moieties as a H-bond donor and anion- $\pi$  acceptor respectively. In addition, the design of the molecular architecture of the anion receptor is focused on the tetrazine unit which is expected to play the dual role of anion- $\pi$  acceptor and on/off fluorescence probe. Theoretical calculations enabled to foresee the complexation of anions of various geometries including chloride, bromide, iodide, thiocyanate and hexafluorophosphate and predict the interaction strength. The new receptor architecture is readily prepared in good yield through a short two-step-synthetic sequence. A complementary set of experimental techniques have been further used to accurately determine anion-receptor interactions. First, tandem mass spectroscopy studies underlined the synergistic roles of hydrogen bonding and anion- $\pi$  interaction during the complexation process. Complexation was next evaluated by NMR titrations, enabling the assessment of binding constants and confirming the tendency observed by theoretical calculations. Exciting photophysical properties of this new receptor have next been exploited and UV-Visible and steady state fluorescence spectroscopies were performed. In complete agreement with NMR, photophysics confirmed the tendency in the association constants already observed. Further, time resolved fluorescence studies were realized and allowed gaining insights on the quenching mechanism. The latter appeared anion-dependent



## RESEARCH ARTICLE


combining both static and dynamic quenching. A preponderant dynamic quenching mode seems to act for smaller spherical anions (Cl<sup>-</sup> and Br<sup>-</sup>) while the static mode accounts for larger anions consistently with ground- and excited-states properties of the tetrazine unit. Further studies combining modified receptors and other anions are under way and will be reported in due time.

## Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the CNRS and the University of Versailles Saint Quentin-en-Yvelines. We thank the French Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche for funding (R. P.). This work was also supported by the French National Research Agency under the program CHARMMAT ANR-11-LABX-0039-grant. This work was granted access to the HPC resources of CINES under the allocation 2019-A0060810812 made by GENCI.

**Keywords:** anion recognition • tetrazine • anion- $\pi$  fluorescence • mass spectroscopy

- [1] L. M. Eytel, H. A. Fargher, M. M. Haley, D. W. Johnson, *Chem. Commun.* **2019**, 55, 5195–5206.
- [2] J.-B. Kim, *Korean J. Pediatr.* **2014**, 57, 1–18.
- [3] X. Xia, S. Zhang, S. Li, L. Zhang, G. Wang, L. Zhang, J. Wang, Z. Li, *Environ. Sci. Process. Impacts* **2018**, 20, 863–891.
- [4] H. Nakamura, *Anal. Methods* **2010**, 2, 430–444.
- [5] Z. Zhang, P. R. Schreiner, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, 38, 1187–1198.
- [6] N. Mittal, D. X. Sun, D. Seidel, *Org. Lett.* **2014**, 16, 1012–1015.
- [7] T. Le, T. Courant, J. Merad, C. Allain, P. Audebert, G. Masson, *J. Org. Chem.* **2019**, 84, 16139–16146.
- [8] S. Beckendorf, S. Asmus, O. G. Mancheño, *ChemCatChem* **2012**, 4, 926–936.
- [9] T. L. Mako, J. M. Racicot, M. Levine, *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, 119, 322–477.
- [10] P. Gamez, *Inorg. Chem. Front.* **2014**, 1, 35–43.
- [11] G. Cavallo, P. Metrangolo, R. Milani, T. Pilati, A. Priimagi, G. Resnati, G. Terraneo, *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, 116, 2478–2601.
- [12] M. Mascal, I. Yakovlev, E. B. Nikitin, J. C. Fetting, *Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed.* **2007**, 46, 8782–8784.
- [13] Y. Z. Liu, K. Yuan, L. L. Lv, Y. C. Zhu, Z. Yuan, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2015**, 119, 5842–5852.
- [14] W. L. Huang, X. D. Wang, S. Li, R. Zhang, Y. F. Ao, J. Tang, Q. Q. Wang, D. X. Wang, *J. Org. Chem.* **2019**, 84, 8859–8869.
- [15] A. S. Mahadevi, G. N. Sastry, *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, 116, 2775–2825.
- [16] P. Audebert, F. Miomandre, G. Clavier, M. C. Vernières, S. Badré, R. Méallet-Renault, *Chem. - A Eur. J.* **2005**, 11, 5667–5673.
- [17] G. Clavier, P. Audebert, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, 110, 3299–3314.
- [18] Y. H. Gong, F. Miomandre, R. Méallet-Renault, S. Badré, L. Galmiche, J. Tang, P. Audebert, G. Clavier, *European J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, 2009, 6121–6128.
- [19] I. Janowska, F. Miomandre, G. Clavier, P. Audebert, J. Zakrzewski, H. T. Khuyen, I. Ledoux-Rak, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2006**, 110, 12971–12975.
- [20] H. Boufroura, S. Poyer, A. Gaucher, C. Huin, J. Y. Salpin, G. Clavier, D. Prim, *Chem. - A Eur. J.* **2018**, 24, 8656–8663.
- [21] O. Colin, H. Boufroura, C. Thomassigny, S. Perato, A. Gaucher, J. Marrot, D. Prim, *European J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, 2017, 746–752.
- [22] M. Savastano, C. Bazzicalupi, C. Giorgi, C. García-Gallarín, M. D. López De La Torre, F. Pichierri, A. Bianchi, M. Melguizo, *Inorg. Chem.* **2016**, 55, 8013–8024.
- [23] M. Savastano, C. García-Gallarín, M. D. López de la Torre, C. Bazzicalupi, A. Bianchi, M. Melguizo, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2019**, 397, 112–137.
- [24] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, et al., *Gaussian 09, Revis. B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT* **2009**.
- [25] A. Austin, G. A. Petersson, M. J. Frisch, F. J. Dobek, G. Scalmani, K. Throssell, in *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, American Chemical Society, **2012**, pp. 4989–5007.
- [26] J. Contreras-García, E. R. Johnson, S. Keinan, R. Chaudret, J. P. Piquemal, D. N. Beratan, W. Yang, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2011**, 7, 625–632.
- [27] Henry S. Rzepa, "Script for creating an NCI surface as a JVXL compressed file from a (Gaussian) cube of total electron density," can be found under <https://www.ch.ic.ac.uk/rzepa/cub2ncil/>, **2013**.
- [28] B. P. Pritchard, D. Altarawy, B. Didier, T. D. Gibson, T. L. Windus, *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **2019**, 59, 4814–4820.
- [29] R. D. J. Gless, S. K. Anandan, *Soluble Epoxide Hydrolase Inhibitors*, **2008**, WO 2008/116145 A2.
- [30] Y. H. Gong, P. Audebert, G. Clavier, F. Miomandre, J. Tang, S. Badré, R. Méallet-Renault, E. Naidus, *New J. Chem.* **2008**, 32, 1235–1242.
- [31] P. J. Linstrom, W. G. Mallard, *NIST Chemistry WebBook, NIST Standard Reference Database Number 69*, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg MD, 20899, **1997**.
- [32] G. González-Gaitano, G. Tardajos, *J. Chem. Educ.* **2004**, 81, 270–274.
- [33] C. Würth, M. Grabolle, J. Pauli, M. Spieles, U. Resch-Genger, *Nat. Protoc.* **2013**, 8, 1535–1550.
- [34] A. P. De Silva, H. Q. N. Gunaratne, T. Gunnlaugsson, A. J. M. Huxley, C. P. McCoy, J. T. Rademacher, T. E. Rice, *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, 97, 1515–1566.
- [35] B. Valeur, M. N. Berberan-Santos, *Molecular Fluorescence: Principles and Applications, Second Edition*, Wiley-VCH, **2012**.



Detection AND recognition: on the prominent dual role of tetrazine in anion- $\pi$  / H-bond based anion receptors. The rational design and complexation properties of a new molecular platform combining tetrazine and urea patterns are reported. Binding constants have been predicted by DFT calculations and cross-determined by a complementary set of experimental techniques taking benefits from the joint presence of tetrazine and urea units.

Supporting Information  
©Wiley-VCH 2019  
69451 Weinheim, Germany

## Intertwined detection and recognition roles of tetrazine in synergistic anion- $\pi$ and H-bond based anion receptor

Romain Plais, <sup>[a]</sup> Guy Gouarin, <sup>[a]</sup> Anne Gaucher, <sup>[a]</sup> Violette Haldys, <sup>[b],[c]</sup> Arnaud Brosseau, <sup>[d]</sup> Gilles Clavier, <sup>[d]</sup> Jean-Yves Salpin, <sup>[b],[c]</sup> Damien Prim\*<sup>[a]</sup>

**Abstract:** The intrinsic properties of tetrazine as a p-anion receptor and as an on/off recognition probe merged with H-bond ability of an urea motif into a single architecture designs a new generation of well-defined anion receptors. Complexation properties directly benefit from the dual and synergistic contribution of tetrazine and urea. In this study, we report on the synthesis and assessment of binding properties to anions of diverse geometries. Association constants have been predicted by theoretical calculations and evaluated by multiple and complementary experimental techniques including electrospray-mass tandem spectroscopy, NMR, UV-Visible, steady state fluorescence spectroscopies and time resolved fluorescence. These results provide the basis for a better understanding of both the complexation and the anion-dependent quenching mechanism.

- 
- [a] Mr. R. Plais, Mr. G. Gouarin, Dr. A. Gaucher, Prof. Dr. D. Prim  
Université Paris-Saclay, UVSQ, CNRS, ILV, 78035 Versailles, France  
E-mail: damien.prim@uvsq.fr
- [b] Mme. V. Haldys, Dr. J.-Y. Salpin  
Université Paris-Saclay, Univ Evry CNRS, LAMBE, 91025 Evry-Courcouronnes, France
- [c] Mme. V. Haldys, Dr. J.-Y. Salpin  
CYU Paris Cergy Université, CNRS, LAMBE, 95000, Cergy, France
- [d] Mr. A Brosseau, Dr. G. Clavier  
Université Paris-Saclay, ENS Paris-Saclay, CNRS, PPSM, 94235 Cachan, France

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

## Table of contents

1.	General procedures, Material and Instrumentation.....	5
1.1	General experimental procedures and Materials.....	5
1.2	Instrumentation .....	5
1.3	Molecular modelling and software.....	6
2.	Computational Data of <b>1</b> , anions and <b>1</b> -anion complexes.....	7
2.1	Coordinates and thermodynamic data.....	7
2.2	Calculation of interaction energies .....	21
3.	Synthetic Procedures and Characterization Data .....	22
3.1	Preparation of 1-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3-(2-hydroxyethyl)urea <b>S1</b> .....	22
3.2	Preparation of 1-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3-(2-((6-chloro-1,2,4,5-tetrazin-3-yl)oxy)ethyl)urea <b>1</b> .....	25
3.3	Preparation of 1-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3-(2-methoxyethyl)urea <b>2</b> .....	31
4.	Mass spectrometry experiments.....	34
4.1	Typical electrospray spectra obtained for the 1:1 ( <b>1</b> )/TBABr mixture.....	35
4.2	Typical electrospray spectra obtained for the 1:1 ( <b>1</b> )/TBAI mixture .....	36
4.3	Typical electrospray spectra obtained for the 1:1 ( <b>1</b> )/TBASCN mixture.....	37
4.4	Typical electrospray spectra obtained for the 1:1 ( <b>1</b> )/TBAPF <sub>6</sub> mixture .....	38
4.5	Fragmentation mechanisms associated to <i>Scheme 3</i> .....	39
5.	NMR Titrations .....	41
5.1	Practical analysis procedure .....	41
5.2	Titration of <b>1</b> with TBACl .....	42
5.3	Titration of <b>2</b> with TBACl .....	45
5.4	Titration of <b>1</b> with TBABr .....	47
5.5	Titration of <b>1</b> with TBAI .....	49
5.6	Titration of <b>1</b> with TBASCN .....	52
5.7	Superposition of experimental curves .....	54
6.	Photophysical analysis and procedures .....	55
6.1	General practical analysis procedure .....	55
6.2	Determination of quantum yield of <b>1</b> .....	55
6.3	Time dependant DFT analysis of <b>1</b> .....	56
6.4	Titration of <b>1</b> with TBACl .....	59
6.5	Titration of <b>1</b> with TBABr .....	64
6.6	Titration of <b>1</b> with TBAI.....	69
6.7	Titration of <b>1</b> with TBASCN .....	74
7.	References.....	79
8.	Author Contributions.....	79

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

## Table of illustrations

Figure S1 : General procedure for Synthesis of <b>1</b> and <b>2</b> .....	22
Figure S2: <sup>1</sup> H NMR (300 MHz) spectrum of <b>S1</b> in MeOD.....	23
Figure S3: <sup>13</sup> C NMR (75 MHz) spectrum of <b>S1</b> in MeOD.....	24
Figure S4: <sup>19</sup> F NMR (282 MHz) spectrum of <b>1</b> in MeOD.....	24
Figure S5: Mass spectrum of <b>S1</b> (TOF ES+).....	25
Figure S6: <sup>1</sup> H NMR (300 MHz) spectrum of <b>1</b> in MeOD.....	26
Figure S7: <sup>13</sup> C NMR (75 MHz) spectrum of <b>1</b> in MeOD.....	27
Figure S8: <sup>19</sup> F NMR (300 MHz) spectrum of <b>1</b> in MeOD.....	27
Figure S9: Mass spectrum of <b>1</b> (TOF ES+).....	29
Figure S10: Single mass analysis of <b>1</b> (TOF ES+).....	31
Figure S11: <sup>1</sup> H NMR (300 MHz) spectrum of <b>2</b> in Acetone d6.....	31
Figure S12: <sup>13</sup> C NMR (75 MHz) spectrum of <b>2</b> in Acetone d6.....	32
Figure S13: <sup>19</sup> F NMR (300 MHz) spectrum of <b>2</b> in Acetone d6.....	33
Figure S14: Mass spectrum of <b>2</b> (TOF ES+).....	33
Figure S15: Single mass analysis of <b>2</b> (TOF ES+).....	34
Figure S16: Typical electrospray spectra obtained for the 1:1 <b>1</b> /TBABr mixture a) Electrospray mass spectrum of an equimolar (10 <sup>-4</sup> M) mixture of <b>1</b> /TBABr (90/10 acetonitrile/water) b) MS/MS spectrum of the [( <b>1</b> )-Br] <sup>-</sup> ion– c) MS/MS spectrum of the [( <b>1</b> ) <sub>2</sub> -Br] <sup>-</sup> ion.....	35
Figure S17: Typical electrospray spectra obtained for the 1:1 <b>1</b> /TBAI mixture a) Electrospray mass spectrum of an equimolar (10 <sup>-4</sup> M) mixture of <b>1</b> /TBAI (90/10 acetonitrile/water) b) MS/MS spectrum of the [( <b>1</b> )-I] <sup>-</sup> ion– c) MS/MS spectrum of the [( <b>1</b> ) <sub>2</sub> -I] <sup>-</sup> ion.....	36
Figure S18: Typical electrospray spectra obtained for the 1:1 <b>1</b> /TBASCN mixture a) Electrospray mass spectrum of an equimolar (10 <sup>-4</sup> M) mixture of <b>1</b> /TBASCN (90/10 acetonitrile/water) b) MS/MS spectrum of the [( <b>1</b> )-SCN] <sup>-</sup> ion– c) MS/MS spectrum of the [( <b>1</b> ) <sub>2</sub> -SCN] <sup>-</sup> ion.....	37
Figure S19: Typical electrospray spectra obtained for the 1:1 <b>1</b> /TBAPF <sub>6</sub> mixture a) Electrospray mass spectrum of an equimolar (10 <sup>-4</sup> M) mixture of <b>1</b> /TBAPF <sub>6</sub> (90/10 acetonitrile/water) b) MS/MS spectrum of the [( <b>1</b> )-PF <sub>6</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> ion– c) MS/MS spectrum of the [( <b>1</b> ) <sub>2</sub> -PF <sub>6</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> ion.....	38
Figure S20: <sup>1</sup> H NMR titration of <b>1</b> with tetrabutylammonium chloride (0 to 25 equivalents) %c = percentage of complex %a = percentage of free receptor Δδ <sub>calc</sub> = chemical shift calculated Δδ <sub>obs</sub> = chemical shift observed.....	43
Figure S21: Determination of binding constant using SPECFIT software for the <sup>1</sup> H NMR titration of <b>1</b> with tetrabutylammonium chloride.....	44
Figure S22: <sup>1</sup> H NMR titration of <b>2</b> with tetrabutylammonium chloride (0 to 25 equivalents) %c = percentage of complex %a = percentage of free receptor Δδ <sub>calc</sub> = chemical shift calculated Δδ <sub>obs</sub> = chemical shift observed.....	46
Figure S23: Determination of binding constant using SPECFIT software for the <sup>1</sup> H NMR titration of <b>2</b> with tetrabutylammonium chloride.....	47
Figure S24: <sup>1</sup> H NMR titration of <b>1</b> with tetrabutylammonium bromide (0 to 26 equivalents) %c = percentage of complex %a = percentage of free receptor Δδ <sub>calc</sub> = chemical shift calculated Δδ <sub>obs</sub> = chemical shift observed.....	47
Figure S25: Determination of binding constant using SPECFIT software for the <sup>1</sup> H NMR titration of <b>1</b> with tetrabutylammonium bromide.....	49
Figure S26: <sup>1</sup> H NMR titration of <b>1</b> with tetrabutylammonium iodide (0 to 114 equivalents) %c = percentage of complex %a = percentage of free receptor Δδ <sub>calc</sub> = chemical shift calculated Δδ <sub>obs</sub> = chemical shift observed.....	50
Figure S27: Determination of binding constant using SPECFIT software for the <sup>1</sup> H NMR titration of <b>1</b> with tetrabutylammonium iodide.....	51
Figure S28: <sup>1</sup> H NMR titration of <b>1</b> with tetrabutylammonium thioisocyanate (0 to 320 equivalents) %c = percentage of complex %a = percentage of free receptor Δδ <sub>calc</sub> = chemical shift calculated Δδ <sub>obs</sub> = chemical shift observed.....	52
Figure S29 : Determination of binding constant using SPECFIT software for the <sup>1</sup> H NMR titration of <b>1</b> with tetrabutylammonium thioisocyanate.....	53
Figure S30 – Differences in chemical shifts observed as a function of the number of equivalents of salts added protons a, b and c for <b>1</b> and <b>2</b> with tetrabutylammonium chloride.....	54
Figure S31 – Differences in chemical shifts observed as a function of the number of equivalents of salts added protons b and c.....	54
Figure S32 – Emission curves of compound <b>1</b> and reference in reference conditions.....	56
Figure S33 – Compounds studied by time dependent DFT analysis.....	56
Figure S34 – Experimental (blue) and calculated (orange) absorption spectra.....	57
Figure S35 - Calculated transitions (major transitions in bold).....	57
Figure S36 – Molecular orbitals involved in the main transitions.....	58



## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure S37 – Experimental UV-Visible spectrum of <b>1</b> and attribution of different bands observed	58
Figure S38 – Experimental Fluorescence spectrum of <b>1</b>	59
Figure S39 – Experimental UV-Visible spectra measured during the titration of <b>1</b> with TBACl (0 to 70 equivalents)	59
Figure S40 : Determination of binding constant using SPECFIT software for the UV-Visible titration of <b>1</b> with tetrabutylammonium chloride	60
Figure S41 – Experimental fluorescence spectra during the titration of <b>1</b> with TBACl (0 to 70 equivalents)	61
Figure S42 – Mathematical fit during the fluorescence titration of <b>1</b> with TBACl (0 to 70 equivalents) and determination of the association constant	62
Figure S43: Determination of binding constant using SPECFIT software for the fluorescence titration of <b>1</b> with tetrabutylammonium chloride	63
Figure S44 –Fluorescence decay titration of <b>1</b> with TBACl (0 to 70 equivalents) Logarithmic scale	63
Figure S45 –Mathematical fit during the fluorescence decay titration of <b>1</b> with TBACl (0 to 70 equivalents) and determination of the association constant	64
Figure S46 –Analysis of fluorescence decay titration of <b>1</b> with TBACl	64
Figure S47 – Experimental UV-Visible spectra measured during the titration of <b>1</b> with TBABr (0 to 160 equivalents)	65
Figure S48 – Determination of binding constant using SPECFIT software for the UV-Visible titration of <b>1</b> with tetrabutylammonium bromide	66
Figure S49 – Experimental fluorescence spectra during the titration of <b>1</b> with TBABr (0 to 160 equivalents)	66
Figure S50 – Mathematical fit during the fluorescence titration of <b>1</b> with TBABr (0 to 160 equivalents) and determination of the association constant	67
Figure S51: Determination of binding constant using SPECFIT software for the fluorescence titration of <b>1</b> with tetrabutylammonium bromide	68
Figure S52 –Fluorescence decay titration of <b>1</b> with tetrabutylammonium bromide (0 to 160 equivalents) Logarithmic scale	68
Figure S53 –Mathematical fit during the fluorescence decay titration of <b>1</b> with TBABr (0 to 160 equivalents) and determination of the association constant	69
Figure S54 –Analysis of fluorescence decay titration of <b>1</b> with tetrabutylammonium bromide	69
Figure S55 – Experimental UV-Visible spectra measured during the titration of <b>1</b> with TBAI (0 to 200 equivalents)	70
Figure S56 – Determination of binding constant using SPECFIT software for the UV-Visible titration of <b>1</b> with tetrabutylammonium iodide	71
Figure S57 – Experimental fluorescence spectra during the titration of <b>1</b> with TBAI (0 to 200 equivalents)	71
Figure S58 – Mathematical fit during the fluorescence titration of <b>1</b> with TBAI (0 to 200 equivalents) and determination of the association constant	72
Figure S59: Determination of binding constant using SPECFIT software for the fluorescence titration of <b>1</b> with tetrabutylammonium iodide	73
Figure S60 – Fluorescence decay titration of <b>1</b> with tetrabutylammonium iodide (0 to 200 equivalents) Logarithmic scale	73
Figure S61 –Mathematical fit during the fluorescence decay titration of <b>1</b> with TBAI (0 to 200 equivalents) and determination of the association constant	73
Figure S62 –Analysis of fluorescence decay titration of <b>1</b> with tetrabutylammonium iodide	74
Figure S63 – Experimental UV-Visible spectra measured during the titration of <b>1</b> with TBASCN (0 to 300 equivalents)	74
Figure S64 – Determination of binding constant using SPECFIT software for the UV-Visible titration of <b>1</b> with tetrabutylammonium thiocyanate	75
Figure S57 – Experimental fluorescence spectra during the titration of <b>1</b> with TBASCN (0 to 300 equivalents)	76
Figure S66 – Mathematical fit during the fluorescence titration of <b>1</b> with TBASCN (0 to 300 equivalents) and determination of the association constant	76
Figure S67: Determination of binding constant using SPECFIT software for the fluorescence titration of <b>1</b> with tetrabutylammonium thiocyanate	77
Figure S68 –Fluorescence decay titration of <b>1</b> with tetrabutylammonium thiocyanate (0 to 300 equivalents) Logarithmic scale	78
Figure S69 –Mathematical fit during the fluorescence decay titration of <b>1</b> with TBASCN (0 to 300 equivalents) and determination of the association constant	78
Figure S70 –Analysis of fluorescence decay titration of <b>1</b> with tetrabutylammonium thiocyanate	79

## 1. General procedures, Material and Instrumentation

### 1.1 General experimental procedures and Materials

Unless otherwise noted, all starting materials were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without purification. N,N-Dimethylformamide (100mL, Anhydrous, 99.8%) was purchased at Sigma-Aldrich. Dichloromethane was distilled over Sodium and under argon. For NMR titrations, deuterated acetonitrile (99.80% D) was purchased in 0.75mL pre-coated bulbs from Eurisotop®. For photophysical analysis, acetonitrile RS –SPECTROSOL – For optical spectroscopy was purchased from Carlo Erba®.

Reaction progress was carried out using pre-coated TLC sheets ALUGRAM® Xtra SIL G/UV<sub>254</sub> (0.20mm) from Macherey-Nagel® and visualized under 254 and 365 nm UV lamp from Fisher Bioblock Scientific®. Flash chromatography were proceeded using Silica 60M (0.04-0.063mm) for column chromatography silica gel from Macherey-Nagel®.

### 1.2 Instrumentation

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded with Bruker AV-I 300MHz spectrometer at 298K, referenced to TMS signal and were calibrated using residual proton in MeOD ( $\delta=3.31$ ppm), according to the literature.<sup>[1]</sup> <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra were recorded with Bruker AV-I 300MHz spectrometer at 282MHz and 298K and were calibrated using CFCI<sub>3</sub> ( $\delta=0.00$ ppm).<sup>[2]</sup> <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker AV-I 300MHz spectrometer at 75MHz and 298 K and were calibrated using MeOD ( $\delta = 49.00$  ppm).<sup>[1]</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopic data are reported as follow: chemical shift  $\delta$  [parts per million] (multiplicity, coupling constants in Hertz, integration). Multiplicities are reported as follow: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quadruplet, quint = quintuplet, sext = sextuplet, hept = heptuplet, dd = doublet of doublet, td = triplet of doublet, tt = triplet of triplet, ddd = doublet of doublet of doublet, m = multiplet. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopic data are reported in terms of chemical shifts  $\delta$  [ppm] and when it is necessary multiplicity and coupling constant in Hertz.

To check the structure of the product obtained during the synthesis, high resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained with a Waters Xevo QTOF instrument fitted with an electrospray ionization source (ESI+), using Leucine Enkephaline solution as internal calibrant. In order to study the interaction of **1** with the various anions, a 3D ion trap instrument (Bruker Amazon Speed ETD) has been used. Complexes were generated in the gas phase by electrospraying equimolar mixtures of **1**/TBAX. Starting from 10<sup>-1</sup>M stock solutions of **1** and TBAX prepared in acetonitrile (ACN) and purified water, respectively, 10<sup>-4</sup> M mixtures of **1**/TBAX (90/10 ACN/H<sub>2</sub>O) were introduced in the electrospray source by a syringe pump (3  $\mu$ L/min). Typical experimental conditions were as followed: Capillary voltage: 4000 V; End plate offset : -550 V; Dry gas: 5 L/min / Dry gas temperature: 200 °C, Nebuliser gas : 7.3 PSI ; Cap exit: -140 ; Trap Drive 49.5 ; MS analysis : ICC mode : "on" and acquisition time : auto.

MS/MS analysis : ICC mode off / accumulation time 1 ms / Isolation window 6 or 8 Da / Fragmentation delay 40 ms / amplitude 0.20-0.50.

UV-Visible spectra were recorded at 25°C on a Cary 400 (Agilent) double-beam spectrometer using a 10 mm path quartz cell.

Emission spectra were measured on a Fluoromax-3 (Horiba) or a Fluorolog-3 (Horiba) spectrofluorometer. An angle configuration of 90° was used. Optical density of the samples was checked to be less than 0.1 to avoid reabsorption artifacts.

Fluorescence decay curves in the ns regime were obtained by the time-correlated single-photon counting (TCSPC) method with a femtosecond laser excitation composed of a Titanium Sapphire laser (Tsunami, Spectra-Physics) pumped by a double Nd:YV04 laser (Millennia Xs, Spectra Physics). Light pulses at 990 nm from the oscillator were selected by an acousto-optic crystal at a repetition rate of 4 MHz, and then tripled at 330 nm by non-linear crystals. Fluorescence photons were detected at 90° through a monochromator and a polarizer at magic angle by means of a Hamamatsu MCP R3809U photomultiplier, connected to a SPC-630 TCSPC module from Becker & Hickl. The instrumental response function was recorded before each decay measurement with a fwhm (full width at half-maximum) of ~25 ps.

### 1.3 Molecular modelling and software

All calculations were carried out using Gaussian 09® program:

*Gaussian 09, Revision D.01, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, and D. J. Fox, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2013.*

Computed structures were preoptimized with a MM2 forcefield using Chem3D®. Then, optimizations were calculated at APFD/6-31G+(d,p) calculation level using Gaussian® software without any solvent correction. For the complex including bromide and iodide ions, a double basis set composed of APFD/6-31G+(d,p) for carbon, nitrogen, hydrogen, fluoride, oxygen, chloride and LANL2DZ for bromide or iodine, respectively, was used. Stationary points were verified by a harmonic vibrational frequencies calculation. None of the predicated geometry has any imaginary frequency implying that the optimized geometry of each of the molecules under study lay at a minimum local point on the potential energy surface.

Effect of solvent (acetonitrile) on geometries was evaluated by proceeding optimizations calculations at APFD/6-31G+(d,p) by adding the Polarizable Continuum Model (PCM) using the integral equation formalism variant (IEFPCM). Once again, stationary points were verified by a harmonic vibrational frequencies calculation. None of the predicated geometry has any imaginary frequency implying that the optimized geometry of each of the molecules under study lay at a minimum local point on the potential energy surface.

Gibbs free energies without solvent effect were calculated by generating frequencies calculations from optimized geometries of anions, receptor and complexes with and without anions using the triple zeta basis set APFD/aug-cc-pvtz level of calculation. Basis sets of bromide and iodide atoms at aug-cc-pvtz level of calculation were downloaded on [basissetexchange.org](http://basissetexchange.org).

Effect of solvent (acetonitrile) on Gibbs Free Energies was evaluated by generating frequencies calculations from optimized structures of anions, receptor and complexes with and without anions using the triple zeta basis set APFD/aug-cc-pvtz level of calculation. Basis sets of bromide and iodide atoms at aug-cc-pvtz level of calculation were downloaded on [basissetexchange.org](http://basissetexchange.org).


Theoretical UV-Visible spectra were calculated on optimized geometries structures in vacuum by an energy calculation using time dependant DFT calculation at TDpbe0/6-311+g(d,p)//b3lyp/6-31+g(d) level and solving on 12 first singlet states. When specified, a standard solvation model for acetonitrile was used.

Electrostatic Potentials Surfaces (ESP) were thus calculated using Gaussview® software from optimized structures in vacuum using a fine grid for Total Density and a medium grid for ESP. NCIplots were generated from total densities calculations using the script developed by Rzepa available on the website application of the Imperial College London.<sup>[3,4]</sup>

## 2. Computational Data of **1**, anions and **1**-anion complexes

### 2.1 Coordinates and thermodynamic data

Electronic energies reported afterwards are defined as the sum of electronic and zero-point energies calculated from Gaussian software. All energies, unless precised, are expressed in Hartrees.



APFD/6-31+G(d,p)

Charge: 0

Spin Multiplicity: Singlet

Imaginary frequencies: 0

Electronic Energy (ZPE correction): -2037.491528 Hartree

Symbol	X	Y	Z
C	-3.56056400	1.34585500	-0.29396900
C	-4.72867300	0.78309600	0.21034900
C	-4.73227200	-0.58346100	0.47221200
C	-3.60433600	-1.36255300	0.24635200
C	-2.43290700	-0.78264000	-0.25691400
C	-2.41553300	0.59073300	-0.53217100
N	-1.33542800	-1.62493300	-0.45076800
C	-0.10047400	-1.29624200	-0.98853500
N	0.78991500	-2.34718800	-0.99041100
O	0.17982800	-0.19559100	-1.44238000
C	2.13363100	-2.13909100	-1.48648300
C	2.99423000	-1.38971600	-0.47904700
O	4.29347700	-1.28321600	-1.07897700
C	5.23612000	-0.64688300	-0.40573600
N	6.42006900	-0.57932400	-1.04667600
N	7.38307700	0.04694500	-0.43416700
C	7.11156000	0.56432100	0.77453200
N	5.95398900	0.48266000	1.41962000
N	4.97501800	-0.14861800	0.80687900
Cl	8.39097600	1.39902400	1.55817200
C	-3.49490900	2.82932400	-0.55939300
F	-2.83158300	3.47454500	0.42768800
F	-4.71969700	3.38690600	-0.64112700
F	-2.84830600	3.10599100	-1.70947900
C	-5.95306800	-1.24429000	1.05666200
F	-6.17644100	-2.45875400	0.50527800
F	-7.06736100	-0.51118900	0.88128100
F	-5.81482300	-1.44632700	2.38832500
H	-5.61230000	1.38653000	0.38300000
H	-3.64233000	-2.42884800	0.45949900

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

H	-1.52269800	1.04907400	-0.93779000
H	-1.50647000	-2.60068700	-0.24990300
H	0.63586800	-3.13328500	-0.37421300
H	2.57501600	-3.11391500	-1.71613600
H	2.07467400	-1.56803200	-2.41674100
H	2.59060200	-0.39192600	-0.28366600
H	3.07522600	-1.92991600	0.47169900

Cl<sup>-</sup>

APFD/6-31+G(d,p)

Charge: -1

Spin Multiplicity: Singlet

Imaginary frequencies: 0

Electronic Energy (ZPE correction): -460.149600 Hartree

<u>Frequencies calculation (vacuum)</u> APFD/aug-cc-pvtz	<u>Frequencies calculation (IEFPCM, Acetonitrile)</u> APFD/aug-cc-pvtz scrf=(iefpcm,solvent=acetonitrile)
Zero-point correction= 0.000000 (Hartree/Particle)	Zero-point correction= 0.000000 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.001416	Thermal correction to Energy= 0.001416
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.002360	Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.002360
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= -0.015023	Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= -0.015023
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -460.184512	Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -460.293942
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -460.183096	Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -460.292526
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -460.182151	Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -460.291582
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -460.199535	Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -460.308965

Br<sup>-</sup>

APFD/6-31+G(d,p)

Charge: -1

Spin Multiplicity: Singlet

Imaginary frequencies: 0

Electronic Energy (ZPE correction): -2571.803183 Hartree

<u>Frequencies calculation (vacuum)</u> APFD/aug-cc-pvtz	<u>Frequencies calculation (IEFPCM, Acetonitrile)</u> APFD/aug-cc-pvtz scrf=(iefpcm,solvent=acetonitrile)
Zero-point correction= 0.000000 (Hartree/Particle)	Zero-point correction= 0.000000 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.001416	Thermal correction to Energy= 0.001416
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.002360	Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.002360
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= -0.016176	Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= -0.016176
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -416.970349	Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -417.072485
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -416.968933	Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -417.071068
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -416.967989	Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -417.070124
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -416.986525	Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -417.088660

I<sup>-</sup>

APFD/LANL2DZ

Charge: -1

Spin Multiplicity: Singlet

Imaginary frequencies: 0


Electronic Energy (ZPE correction): -11.483295 Hartree

<u>Frequencies calculation (vacuum)</u> APFD/aug-cc-pvtz	<u>Frequencies calculation (IEFPCM, Acetonitrile)</u> APFD/aug-cc-pvtz scrf=(iefpcm,solvent=acetonitrile)
Zero-point correction= 0.000000 (Hartree/Particle)	Zero-point correction= 0.000000 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.001416	Thermal correction to Energy= 0.001416
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.002360	Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.002360



## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= -0.016848	Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= -0.016848
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -295.867896	Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -295.961510
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -295.866479	Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -295.960094
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -295.865535	Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -295.959150
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -295.884744	Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -295.978358



SCN<sup>-</sup> (*in vacuum*)  
APFD/6-31+G(d,p)  
Charge: -1

Spin Multiplicity: Singlet  
Imaginary frequencies: 0

Electronic Energy (ZPE correction): -490.914975 Hartree

Symbol	X	Y	Z
S	0.00000000	0.00000000	1.03127300
C	0.00000000	0.00000000	-0.63279000
N	0.00000000	0.00000000	-1.81480500

**Frequencies calculation (vacuum)**

APFD/aug-cc-pvtz

Zero-point correction= 0.008766 (Hartree/Particle)

Thermal correction to Energy= 0.011658

Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.012602


Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= -0.013732

Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -490.977609

Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -490.974717

Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -490.973773

Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -491.000107



SCN<sup>-</sup> (*in acetonitrile*)

APFD/6-31+G(d,p) scrf=(iefpcm,solvent=acetonitrile)

Charge: -1

Spin Multiplicity: Singlet

Imaginary frequencies: 0

Electronic Energy (ZPE correction): -491.005654 Hartree

Symbol	X	Y	Z
N	0.00143600	1.81136600	0.00000000
C	0.00000000	0.62966800	0.00000000
S	-0.00062800	-1.02859800	0.00000000

**Frequencies calculation (in acetonitrile)**

Zero-point correction= 0.008743 (Hartree/Particle)

Thermal correction to Energy= 0.011634

Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.012578


Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= -0.013749

Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -491.067360

Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -491.064469

Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -491.063525

Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -491.089853



PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> (*in vacuum*)  
APFD/6-31+G(d,p)  
Charge: -1

Spin Multiplicity: Singlet  
Imaginary frequencies: 0

Electronic Energy (ZPE correction): -940.181307 Hartree

Symbol	X	Y	Z
P	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000
F	0.00000000	0.00000000	1.63960500
F	0.00000000	1.63960500	0.00000000
F	1.63960500	0.00000000	0.00000000
F	0.00000000	0.00000000	-1.63960500
F	-1.63960500	0.00000000	0.00000000
F	0.00000000	-1.63960500	0.00000000

**Frequencies calculation (in vacuum)**

APFD/aug-cc-pvtz

Zero-point correction= 0.018592 (Hartree/Particle)

Thermal correction to Energy= 0.024763

Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.025707


Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= -0.008607

Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -940.449084

Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -940.442914

Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -940.441969

Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -940.476283



PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> (*in acetonitrile*)

APFD/6-31+G(d,p) scrf=(iefpcm,solvent=acetonitrile)

Charge: -1

Spin Multiplicity: Singlet

Imaginary frequencies: 0

Electronic energy (ZPE correction): -940.265191 Hartree


Symbol	X	Y	Z
P	0.00002200	0.00000100	0.00003400
F	1.27676500	0.90597500	0.48117400
F	-0.55510200	-0.03606200	1.54014000
F	-0.86282100	1.36402500	-0.27901800
F	-1.27679500	-0.90605200	-0.48114100
F	0.55519600	0.03600600	-1.54003700
F	0.86272100	-1.36389400	0.27882700

**Frequencies calculation (in acetonitrile)**

APFD/aug-cc-pvtz

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Zero-point correction= 0.018328 (Hartree/Particle)  
 Thermal correction to Energy= 0.024532  
 Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.025476  
 Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= -0.011879  
 Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -940.532848  
 Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -940.526643  
 Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -940.525699  
 Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -940.563054



1+Cl<sup>-</sup> (in vacuum)  
 APFD/6-31+G(d,p)  
 Charge: -1  
 Spin Multiplicity: Singlet  
 Imaginary frequencies: 0  
 Electronic Energy (ZPE correction): -2497.728308 Hartree


Symbol	X	Y	Z
C	-2.86035500	-1.21693200	-0.08209500
C	-3.27345800	0.10931900	-0.03818700
C	-2.38449700	1.05148800	0.48341500
C	-1.12944700	0.68778700	0.94205200
C	-0.72181800	-0.65985600	0.88963600
C	-1.60396700	-1.61794100	0.36995800
N	0.53972600	-0.94594400	1.36627000
C	1.29385900	-2.06917900	1.07643800
N	2.60560400	-1.90788500	1.44717300
O	0.85834600	-3.10023300	0.56146900
C	3.59699600	-2.76827500	0.85803600
C	3.82072600	-2.48640700	-0.62513500
O	4.34011600	-1.15671100	-0.82451100
C	3.50125600	-0.15509800	-1.05451100
N	2.18978900	-0.36861200	-1.17933300
N	1.41446800	0.68730100	-1.23839600
C	2.01903900	1.86627300	-1.16783000
N	3.32738800	2.06940000	-1.35712700
N	4.10029200	1.02284900	-1.31316200
Cl	1.01513300	3.25445700	-1.02733200
C	-3.76949300	-2.25006200	-0.68431400
F	-3.50804600	-2.45726600	-2.00047500
F	-5.07639600	-1.89512400	-0.61178000
F	-3.65993100	-3.45479900	-0.08163600
C	-2.82342800	2.48722800	0.51823400
F	-1.93275700	3.30372900	1.10792200
F	-3.99866000	2.63570900	1.18863600
F	-3.04725700	2.97815200	-0.72753300
H	-4.25552200	0.40509100	-0.39150600
H	-0.44082900	1.43582300	1.32666900
H	-1.29139200	-2.65328800	0.31818300
H	1.10104600	-0.12205300	1.65124600
H	2.89571800	-0.94947800	1.68809100
H	4.53438900	-2.63356300	1.40859900
H	3.28098700	-3.81282500	0.96226000
H	2.89184600	-2.61704900	-1.18568900
H	4.59651000	-3.14268700	-1.03237500
Cl	2.68331600	1.23861000	1.87971500

**Frequencies calculation (vacuum, with Cl-)**  
 APFD/aug-cc-pvtz

**Frequencies calculation (vacuum, without Cl-)**  
 APFD/aug-cc-pvtz

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Zero-point correction= 0.238903 (Hartree/Particle)	Zero-point correction= 0.238338 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.264486	Thermal correction to Energy= 0.262005
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.265430	Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.262950
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.181399	Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.182895
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -2498.327756	Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -2038.055403
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -2498.302173	Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -2038.031735
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -2498.301229	Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -2038.030791
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -2498.385260	Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -2038.110845




1+Cl<sup>-</sup>+ACN (in acetonitrile)  
 APFD/6-31+G(d,p), scrf=(iefpcm,solvent=acetonitrile)  
 Charge: -1  
 Spin Multiplicity: Singlet  
 Imaginary Frequencies: 0  
 Electronic Energy (ZPE correction): -2497.817538 Hartree

Symbol	X	Y	Z
C	-2.80939000	-1.26655300	-0.05961700
C	-3.25539500	0.04817100	-0.01108500
C	-2.39126700	1.00626600	0.52216200
C	-1.12903100	0.66857600	0.98146600
C	-0.68671500	-0.66537700	0.91632800
C	-1.54477800	-1.64066900	0.39390500
N	0.59068900	-0.92213000	1.38430600
C	1.37884300	-2.01520300	1.07284300
N	2.68662700	-1.82689800	1.42811700
O	0.96107200	-3.05228700	0.55055800
C	3.71267500	-2.67156000	0.86190900
C	3.95522200	-2.39478400	-0.61758500
O	4.37648700	-1.02133500	-0.81261800
C	3.48043200	-0.08772300	-1.06808300
N	2.18453700	-0.38456200	-1.21398900
N	1.34506000	0.61640100	-1.31886300
C	1.86145000	1.83761000	-1.27172900
N	3.16285600	2.12922100	-1.35539500
N	4.00346600	1.13907600	-1.26567500
Cl	0.75994900	3.15559500	-1.22194200
C	-3.68670200	-2.32569800	-0.66798100
F	-3.35436000	-2.56997600	-1.96185900
F	-4.99245700	-1.98202800	-0.66551500
F	-3.58764700	-3.50879100	-0.02140500
C	-2.85026100	2.43714700	0.55706900
F	-2.00936600	3.24408700	1.23326100
F	-4.06918800	2.56054700	1.13580400
F	-2.97177400	2.95465000	-0.69142800
H	-4.24215000	0.32019600	-0.36836400
H	-0.46812900	1.43219800	1.38023900
H	-1.21524000	-2.66965700	0.34115700
H	1.11789300	-0.08919000	1.68044500
H	2.95673600	-0.87846700	1.69786400
H	4.63293300	-2.51190100	1.42993100
H	3.42763700	-3.72240600	0.96947000
H	3.06218500	-2.59375700	-1.21228300
H	4.78963200	-2.99058200	-0.99175600

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Cl 2.65640500 1.38365000 1.96564500

<b><u>Frequencies calculation (Acetonitrile, with Cl-)</u></b> APFD/aug-cc-pvtz scrf=(iefpcm,solvent=acetonitrile)	<b><u>Frequencies calculation (Acetonitrile, without Cl-)</u></b> APFD/aug-cc-pvtz scrf=(iefpcm,solvent=acetonitrile)
Zero-point correction= 0.238577 (Hartree/Particle)	Zero-point correction= 0.238446 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.264373	Thermal correction to Energy= 0.262098
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.265318	Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.263042
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.180552	Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.182963
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -2498.397789	Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -2038.079950
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -2498.371992	Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -2038.056298
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -2498.371048	Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -2038.055354
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -2498.455813	Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -2038.135433



1+Br (in vacuum)

APFD/6-31+G(d,p) for H,C,N,O,F,Cl and APFD/LANL2DZ for Br

Charge: -1

Spin Multiplicity: Singlet

Imaginary frequencies: 0

Electronic Energy (ZPE correction): -4609.816138 Hartree


Symbol	X	Y	Z
C	3.15540200	-1.07081100	0.01690100
C	3.44585300	0.28671700	0.02627400
C	2.45645000	1.16756200	-0.41968000
C	1.22342200	0.71310200	-0.85490100
C	0.94205800	-0.66802300	-0.85692500
C	1.92401800	-1.56393500	-0.41706000
N	-0.30896000	-1.04675900	-1.30288300
C	-0.95996500	-2.23105400	-1.00668000
N	-2.29959900	-2.16960300	-1.30323600
O	-0.41816700	-3.23681500	-0.54704800
C	-3.18361200	-3.12610600	-0.68978200
C	-3.33275800	-2.91999000	0.81494700
O	-3.94052400	-1.64734200	1.10822300
C	-3.17070100	-0.59467700	1.35421200
N	-3.84066400	0.50088600	1.76095600
N	-3.15654600	1.60524200	1.83690200
C	-1.85626700	1.53716200	1.52602700
N	-1.15254700	0.41417000	1.44829800
N	-1.84009800	-0.69885400	1.35845000
Cl	-0.98496600	3.01483300	1.43988800
C	4.17455800	-2.06747000	0.49060400
F	5.36017600	-1.49657700	0.80868900
F	4.43938400	-3.01159600	-0.44620000
F	3.76118600	-2.73810200	1.59282600
C	2.75953200	2.63822800	-0.38884700
F	3.89791800	2.92903500	-1.07649300
F	2.97114600	3.08396600	0.87576800
F	1.78195400	3.39530100	-0.91489600
H	4.40728100	0.65503700	0.36674100
H	0.45371400	1.41280100	-1.17213300
H	1.70908100	-2.62553800	-0.40459300
H	-0.93589400	-0.26199100	-1.54002700
H	-2.68796200	-1.23938400	-1.49599300
H	-4.16134700	-3.04418200	-1.17694100



## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

H	-2.79679500	-4.13802500	-0.85756500
H	-2.36213200	-2.99868400	1.31088500
H	-4.02639200	-3.65421500	1.23749900
Br	-2.71915900	1.18334900	-1.62721700

<b><u>Frequencies calculation (in vacuum, with Br-)</u></b> APFD/aug-cc-pvtz	<b><u>Frequencies calculation (in vacuum, without Br-)</u></b> APFD/aug-cc-pvtz
Zero-point correction= 0.238229 (Hartree/Particle)	Zero-point correction= 0.237896 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.264034	Thermal correction to Energy= 0.261651
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.264978	Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.262595
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.179968	Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.182475
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -2455.106768	Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -2038.055450
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -2455.080963	Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -2038.031694
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -2455.080019	Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -2038.030750
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -2455.165029	Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -2038.110870



1+Br-ACN (in acetonitrile)

APFD/6-31+G(d,p) for H,C,N,O,F,Cl and APFD/aug-cc-pvtz for Br, scrf=(iefpcm,solvent=acetonitrile)

Charge: -1

Spin Multiplicity: Singlet

Imaginary frequencies: 0

Electronic Energy (ZPE correction): -2454.612489 Hartree

C	-3.09536100	1.12628300	-0.02015000
C	-3.41656600	-0.22403700	-0.00532500
C	-2.44733000	-1.12532500	-0.45327000
C	-1.20565500	-0.69706000	-0.89108800
C	-0.89201300	0.67512000	-0.89206100
C	-1.85466800	1.59251000	-0.45812000
N	0.37590900	1.02461900	-1.32872300
C	1.05983500	2.18559200	-1.01455000
N	2.39777400	2.09040400	-1.28538700
O	0.53269300	3.20328700	-0.55779800
C	3.31789100	3.03747500	-0.69842100
C	3.47693900	2.85326200	0.80667700
O	3.98764500	1.53016500	1.10617700
C	3.15174400	0.54664800	1.37794800
N	3.75405800	-0.61028600	1.71995000
N	2.99000200	-1.65714000	1.84486300
C	1.67891300	-1.48657300	1.64850600
N	1.06563300	-0.31411000	1.54495600
N	1.82865600	0.74201200	1.40474100
Cl	0.69131500	-2.89211500	1.65466500
C	-4.08994300	2.14952000	0.45425000
F	-5.28134100	1.61090000	0.78353800
F	-4.33104900	3.09400100	-0.48730400
F	-3.64406000	2.81445800	1.54887600
C	-2.77276300	-2.59239800	-0.42039400
F	-3.91780400	-2.86875900	-1.09153400
F	-2.96411600	-3.03497700	0.84751300
F	-1.80547400	-3.35869000	-0.96037000
H	-4.38525600	-0.56763600	0.33909600
H	-0.45895500	-1.41640400	-1.21497300
H	-1.62364800	2.64987100	-0.45516600
H	0.97702100	0.22615100	-1.56943600
H	2.76183800	1.16074600	-1.49952800
H	4.28420100	2.92184000	-1.19643600

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

H	2.96459000	4.05697000	-0.88048400
H	2.53088600	3.00816300	1.32784700
H	4.23389100	3.53331500	1.20176700
Br	2.68413200	-1.30747700	-1.66406600

**Frequencies calculation (in acetonitrile, with Br-)**

APFD/aug-cc-pvtz scrf=(iefpcm,solvent=acetonitrile)

Zero-point correction= 0.237884 (Hartree/Particle)

Thermal correction to Energy= 0.263197

Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.264142

Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.179976

Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -2455.176092

Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -2455.150779

Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -2455.149835

Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -2455.234001

**Frequencies calculation (in acetonitrile, without Br-)**

APFD/aug-cc-pvtz scrf=(iefpcm, solvent=acetonitrile)

Zero-point correction= 0.237519 (Hartree/Particle)

Thermal correction to Energy= 0.259806

Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.260751


Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.184888

Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -2038.081206

Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -2038.058919

Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -2038.057975

Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -2038.133837

**1+I- (in vacuum)**

APFD/6-31+G(d,p) for C, N, F, H, O, Cl and LANL2DZ for I

Charge: -1

Spin Multiplicity: Singlet

Imaginary frequencies: 0


Electronic Energy (ZPE correction): - 2049.031705 Hartree

Symbol	X	Y	Z
C	4.56096200	-0.61138100	0.18554800
C	4.70663100	0.76954900	0.18916900
C	3.56882900	1.54435900	-0.04999100
C	2.33126800	0.96629100	-0.28265000
C	2.19803400	-0.43786600	-0.28595800
C	3.33118200	-1.22802500	-0.04417500
N	0.94333300	-0.94584500	-0.55369300
C	0.51830800	-2.25784100	-0.41780200
N	-0.77500400	-2.42417500	-0.83433600
O	1.22146400	-3.17414000	0.01052100
C	-1.54151400	-3.56276800	-0.38999500
C	-2.71648600	-3.11174700	0.45550700
O	-3.62695500	-2.45388900	-0.44212800
C	-4.36036500	-1.45005400	0.00718100
N	-4.41440600	-1.16695800	1.31315100
N	-4.98862900	-0.03465000	1.64713800
C	-5.48158400	0.69308000	0.65295800
N	-5.73544600	0.23036100	-0.57768300
N	-5.16615700	-0.88906000	-0.91480800
Cl	-6.05179000	2.27162900	1.02864000
C	5.74435900	-1.49519800	0.46534900
F	5.64703700	-2.10890100	1.67013400
F	6.91399000	-0.81435900	0.47397000
F	5.87419200	-2.48143800	-0.45234700
C	3.72903800	3.03881500	-0.05095000
F	2.58011900	3.69821100	-0.27296300
F	4.61021600	3.44487000	-1.00279700
F	4.22614400	3.48990500	1.12944600
H	5.66954000	1.23450700	0.36957000
H	1.45424300	1.58555400	-0.45773700
H	3.23526200	-2.30684000	-0.03215100

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

H	0.19618200	-0.24865500	-0.67756300
H	-1.30723800	-1.58149900	-1.06491100
H	-1.90669800	-4.14623600	-1.24614300
H	-0.87857800	-4.20565000	0.19780300
H	-2.39737600	-2.41525400	1.23630700
H	-3.24348200	-3.95607900	0.91631900
I	-1.99887900	1.03511500	-0.57260500

<u>Frequencies calculation (in vacuum, with I-)</u> APFD/aug-cc-pvtz	<u>Frequencies calculation (in vacuum, without I-)</u> APFD/aug-cc-pvtz
Zero-point correction= 0.235945 (Hartree/Particle)	Zero-point correction= 0.235975 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.262412	Thermal correction to Energy= 0.260006
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.263357	Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.260950
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.175066	Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.180518
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -2333.988539	Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -2038.051929
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -2333.962072	Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -2038.027898
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -2333.961127	Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -2038.026954
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -2334.049418	Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -2038.107386



1-I-ACN (in acetonitrile)

APFD/6-31+G(d,p) for C, N, F, H, O, Cl and aug-cc-pvtz for I, scrf=(iefpcm,solvent=acetonitrile)

Charge: -1

Spin Multiplicity: Singlet

Imaginary frequencies: 0

Electronic Energy (ZPE correction): - 2333.491977 Hartree

Symbol	X	Y	Z
C	4.60976100	-0.64873100	0.17688600
C	4.79392000	0.72697700	0.16860700
C	3.66528300	1.52882800	-0.01744000
C	2.40381500	0.98123300	-0.18496400
C	2.23214700	-0.41669200	-0.17204800
C	3.35436300	-1.23453300	0.01194800
N	0.94486200	-0.88994000	-0.36016500
C	0.49981600	-2.19976400	-0.29600800
N	-0.81617100	-2.31755200	-0.61986800
O	1.21796400	-3.15654500	0.01408700
C	-1.55020300	-3.54486600	-0.41967700
C	-2.83550200	-3.27100100	0.32961000
O	-3.66170100	-2.47818200	-0.55754600
C	-4.39437400	-1.49217000	-0.08445800
N	-4.45941400	-1.23455800	1.22685500
N	-5.06621900	-0.12721400	1.58540300
C	-5.58520400	0.61699800	0.61725900
N	-5.73325100	0.23298000	-0.65646100
N	-5.12679200	-0.85722400	-1.02246400
Cl	-6.24391600	2.14223600	1.05400800
C	5.78014200	-1.56854000	0.39344700
F	5.69218200	-2.22248100	1.57857000
F	6.96231400	-0.91998000	0.39353000
F	5.85480100	-2.52620800	-0.56109900
C	3.85395300	3.02063600	-0.03288800
F	2.70352600	3.69651600	-0.21705900
F	4.70148000	3.40726800	-1.01788100
F	4.39439200	3.46898000	1.12663000
H	5.77715700	1.16393400	0.29994100

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION


H	1.54184400	1.62683500	-0.32745900
H	3.23552800	-2.31008500	0.02564600
H	0.22219200	-0.17609700	-0.48380900
H	-1.34326500	-1.47354000	-0.83890300
H	-1.77296900	-4.03297600	-1.37692900
H	-0.92796500	-4.22739800	0.16506600
H	-2.64945100	-2.72255300	1.25509900
H	-3.38260800	-4.19173400	0.54963100
I	-2.01794000	1.17416700	-0.53920200

**Frequencies calculation (in acetonitrile, with I-)**  
APFD/aug-cc-pvtz scrf=(iefpcm,solvent=acetonitrile)

Zero-point correction= 0.237143 (Hartree/Particle)  
 Thermal correction to Energy= 0.263830  
 Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.264775  
 Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.174903  
 Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -2334.057016  
 Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -2334.030329  
 Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -2334.029385  
 Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -2334.119257

**Frequencies calculation (in acetonitrile, without I-)**  
APFD/aug-cc-pvtz scrf=(iefpcm,solvent=acetonitrile)

Zero-point correction= 0.236933 (Hartree/Particle)  
 Thermal correction to Energy= 0.261284  
 Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.262228  
 Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.179028  
 Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -2038.076453  
 Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -2038.052103  
 Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -2038.051158  
 Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -2038.134358



1+SCN<sup>-</sup> (in vacuum)  
 APFD/6-31+G(d,p)  
 Charge: -1

Spin Multiplicity: Singlet  
 Imaginary frequencies: 0

Electronic Energy (ZPE correction): -2528.475466 Hartree

Symbol	X	Y	Z
C	4.05696400	-0.71253800	0.57261500
C	4.38112800	0.63287900	0.46072700
C	3.46380900	1.47083800	-0.17789500
C	2.26988500	0.98654200	-0.68692600
C	1.95510600	-0.38298100	-0.57168300
C	2.86531500	-1.23480200	0.07038000
N	0.76064000	-0.79685600	-1.12509100
C	0.14225900	-2.02350000	-0.96708400
N	-1.04198500	-2.10615100	-1.65578200
O	0.60652000	-2.95318300	-0.30607600
C	-1.97321100	-3.15672400	-1.32677800
C	-2.97118000	-2.72966700	-0.26691000
O	-3.85438100	-1.78833300	-0.89850100
C	-4.53291800	-0.96246900	-0.12477900
N	-5.30527700	-0.07686300	-0.78094600
N	-5.78742800	0.90017900	-0.06924900
C	-5.49626000	0.89964800	1.23542300
N	-5.04575900	-0.14671400	1.91358100
N	-4.55552900	-1.13401100	1.20195500
Cl	-5.94092800	2.29964300	2.13615500
C	5.00189200	-1.66682000	1.24830600
F	6.12968500	-1.06274700	1.68865200
F	5.39527500	-2.66324600	0.41758200
F	4.43871300	-2.26900500	2.32150300
C	3.81998400	2.92342300	-0.32375600
F	4.86917700	3.09744400	-1.17124400
F	4.20343700	3.46940300	0.85729400
F	2.80898700	3.67292800	-0.79697600
H	5.31126300	1.02393600	0.85776400

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

H	1.56159200	1.65652700	-1.16847600*
H	2.62580000	-2.28578400	0.17655400
H	0.19578600	-0.06185300	-1.58061800
H	-1.42418000	-1.24490800	-2.04797700
H	-2.50462300	-3.47011800	-2.23332300
H	-1.39445800	-4.00649900	-0.95402900
H	-2.46667900	-2.24901400	0.57679500
H	-3.56550800	-3.57366700	0.10452100
N	-2.60432300	0.96994300	0.19227500
C	-2.09991200	1.12088400	-0.86159400
S	-1.38795800	1.28697600	-2.35487800

**Frequencies calculation (in vacuum, with SCN-)**


APFD/aug-cc-pvtz

Zero-point correction= 0.247987 (Hartree/Particle)  
 Thermal correction to Energy= 0.275956  
 Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.276901  
 Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.186246  
 Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -2529.103003  
 Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -2529.075033  
 Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -2529.074089  
 Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -2529.164744

**Frequencies calculation (in vacuum, without SCN-)**

APFD/aug-cc-pvtz

Zero-point correction= 0.237938 (Hartree/Particle)  
 Thermal correction to Energy= 0.261973  
 Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.262917  
 Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.180814  
 Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -2038.054163  
 Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -2038.030128  
 Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -2038.029184  
 Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -2038.111287

**1+SCN-ACN (in acetonitrile)**

APFD/6-31+G(d,p), scrf=(iefpcm,solvent=acetonitrile)

Charge: -1

Spin Multiplicity: Singlet

Imaginary frequencies: 0

Electronic Energy (ZPE correction): -2528.559061 Hartree

Symbol	X	Y	Z
C	3.85307200	-0.63729100	0.59878500
C	4.10027100	0.71633600	0.41515000
C	3.17860200	1.44711500	-0.33723200
C	2.05191600	0.85225900	-0.88217100
C	1.81516900	-0.52077400	-0.68757600
C	2.73216100	-1.26879700	0.05974000
N	0.68141100	-1.05495900	-1.28015300
C	0.04282400	-2.23423000	-0.94553300
N	-1.11094500	-2.43017800	-1.65416200
O	0.47510300	-3.04062900	-0.11729100
C	-2.05434500	-3.43870600	-1.22546900
C	-2.96641500	-2.92491900	-0.12918400
O	-3.74243400	-1.86299700	-0.72716800
C	-4.24269400	-0.91961200	0.04064200
N	-4.93417000	0.02539600	-0.62652700
N	-5.28833200	1.07812900	0.05058700
C	-4.95375400	1.11340300	1.34496600
N	-4.49257500	0.08705300	2.04713300
N	-4.13092500	-0.97897900	1.37279600
Cl	-5.27205400	2.57504900	2.19221800
C	4.80722700	-1.48255700	1.39729500
F	5.86138100	-0.78356500	1.86398400
F	5.30734300	-2.50626100	0.66297000
F	4.20287500	-2.04763000	2.47060700
C	3.44578700	2.90900200	-0.56619000
F	4.51137200	3.10026400	-1.38438300
F	3.73542400	3.55434300	0.58818400

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

F	2.40317100	3.55184400	-1.12778200
H	4.97634700	1.19048100	0.84212100
H	1.34353100	1.44349800	-1.45511400
H	2.55969000	-2.32543200	0.21761000
H	0.13986700	-0.40599300	-1.85817000
H	-1.49047500	-1.63352900	-2.16133200
H	-2.64275200	-3.76100900	-2.08931300
H	-1.50000500	-4.30176900	-0.85050500
H	-2.38945200	-2.52969500	0.71060500
H	-3.65395700	-3.69537200	0.23293700
N	-2.05381900	1.15077100	0.20949600
C	-1.87784800	1.06105400	-0.95280000
S	-1.62872900	0.90479500	-2.58623900

**Frequencies calculation (in acetonitrile, with SCN-)**

APFD/aug-cc-pvtz scrf=(iefpcm,solvent=acetonitrile)

Zero-point correction= 0.247350 (Hartree/Particle)

Thermal correction to Energy= 0.275521

Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.276465

Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.185237

Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -2529.167805

Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -2529.139634

Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -2529.138690

Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -2529.229918

**Frequencies calculation (in acetonitrile, without SCN-)**

APFD/aug-cc-pvtz scrf=(iefpcm,solvent=acetonitrile)

Zero-point correction= 0.237527 (Hartree/Particle)

Thermal correction to Energy= 0.261637

Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.262581


Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.180289

Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -2038.078418

Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -2038.054308

Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -2038.053364

Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -2038.135656

**1+PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> (in vacuum)**

APFD/6-31+G(d,p)

Charge: -1

Spin Multiplicity: Singlet

Imaginary frequencies: 0

Electronic Energy (ZPE correction): -2977.735362 Hartree


Symbol	X	Y	Z
C	4.05696400	-0.71253800	0.57261500
C	4.38112800	0.63287900	0.46072700
C	3.46380900	1.47083800	-0.17789500
C	2.26988500	0.98654200	-0.68692600
C	1.95510600	-0.38298100	-0.57168300
C	2.86531500	-1.23480200	0.07038000
N	0.76064000	-0.79685600	-1.12509100
C	0.14225900	-2.02350000	-0.96708400
N	-1.04198500	-2.10615100	-1.65578200
O	0.60652000	-2.95318300	-0.30607600
C	-1.97321100	-3.15672400	-1.32677800
C	-2.97118000	-2.72966700	-0.26691000
O	-3.85438100	-1.78833300	-0.89850100
C	-4.53291800	-0.96246900	-0.12477900
N	-5.30527700	-0.07686300	-0.78094600
N	-5.78742800	0.90017900	-0.06924900
C	-5.49626000	0.89964800	1.23542300
N	-5.04575900	-0.14671400	1.91358100
N	-4.55552900	-1.13401100	1.20195500
Cl	-5.94092800	2.29964300	2.13615500
C	5.00189200	-1.66682000	1.24830600
F	6.12968500	-1.06274700	1.68865200
F	5.39527500	-2.66324600	0.41758200
F	4.43871300	-2.26900500	2.32150300
C	3.81998400	2.92342300	-0.32375600



## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

F	4.86917700	3.09744400	-1.17124400
F	4.20343700	3.46940300	0.85729400
F	2.80898700	3.67292800	-0.79697600
H	5.31126300	1.02393600	0.85776400
H	1.56159200	1.65652700	-1.16847600
H	2.62580000	-2.28578400	0.17655400
H	0.19578600	-0.06185300	-1.58061800
H	-1.42418000	-1.24490800	-2.04797700
H	-2.50462300	-3.47011800	-2.23332300
H	-1.39445800	-4.00649900	-0.95402900
H	-2.46667900	-2.24901400	0.57679500
H	-3.56550800	-3.57366700	0.10452100
N	-2.60432300	0.96994300	0.19227500
C	-2.09991200	1.12088400	-0.86159400
S	-1.38795800	1.28697600	-2.35487800

<b><u>Frequencies calculation (in vacuum, with PF6-)</u></b> APFD/aug-cc-pvtz	<b><u>Frequencies calculation (in vacuum, without PF6-)</u></b> APFD/aug-cc-pvtz
Zero-point correction= 0.258630 (Hartree/Particle)	Zero-point correction= 0.237774 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.290404	Thermal correction to Energy= 0.261960
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.291348	Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.262904
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.193317	Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.180568
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -2978.566718	Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -2038.054325
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -2978.534944	Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -2038.030139
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -2978.534000	Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -2038.029195
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -2978.632031	Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -2038.111531



**1+PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup>-ACN (in acetonitrile)**  
APFD/6-31+G(d,p)  
Charge: -1

Spin Multiplicity: Singlet  
Imaginary frequencies: 0

Electronic Energy (ZPE correction): -2977.817350 Hartree

Symbol	X	Y	Z
C	4.83945900	-0.74926800	0.26759500
C	5.04458500	0.62225400	0.32592600
C	3.95688700	1.45110200	0.04528000
C	2.71212700	0.93389700	-0.27612400
C	2.51974000	-0.45872300	-0.33206200
C	3.60178100	-1.30352000	-0.05869800
N	1.25587200	-0.90995500	-0.68863700
C	0.74659200	-2.18411100	-0.51006800
N	-0.51127600	-2.34124500	-1.01453700
O	1.37817000	-3.09581000	0.03258300
C	-1.31301800	-3.48990900	-0.65416100
C	-2.48061300	-3.09487000	0.22338700
O	-3.38380100	-2.35771000	-0.63148400
C	-4.28671000	-1.56827100	-0.09234200
N	-5.10860300	-0.98582300	-0.98932500
N	-5.95744100	-0.11354400	-0.53265500
C	-5.94506600	0.12233200	0.78499100
N	-5.23864100	-0.54586600	1.68560500
N	-4.38095500	-1.43330700	1.23509500
Cl	-6.99361100	1.35705800	1.36067300
C	5.97638400	-1.69782300	0.53231700
F	7.08914900	-1.07225700	0.96633900
F	6.32389600	-2.38686900	-0.58321500
F	5.65497900	-2.62568900	1.46464600
C	4.16919400	2.93895600	0.09375600
F	5.05823600	3.34727700	-0.84556500
F	4.67288400	3.33505100	1.28746800



## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

F	3.03673800	3.63993300	-0.10850600
H	6.01321100	1.03572100	0.58214400
H	1.88163400	1.60112200	-0.48059800
H	3.46745800	-2.37653900	-0.09327500
H	0.59918600	-0.18018000	-0.94291900
H	-1.00820900	-1.53364400	-1.37075900
H	-1.67879100	-3.99780500	-1.55361300
H	-0.67183700	-4.18764500	-0.11147700
H	-2.15971300	-2.46010800	1.05257200
H	-3.01315000	-3.96829500	0.61216300
P	-1.93225300	1.36585800	-0.51068800
F	-2.40431600	2.53324800	-1.54088300
F	-3.43687600	1.28389700	0.11311900
F	-2.31882300	0.22335400	-1.62283200
F	-1.44123500	0.17678900	0.50189500
F	-0.40788200	1.42698400	-1.15048800
F	-1.51234300	2.48645800	0.59116100

<b><u>Frequencies calculation (in acetonitrile, with PF6-)</u></b> APFD/aug-cc-pvtz scrf=(iefpcm,solvent=acetonitrile)	<b><u>Frequencies calculation (in acetonitrile, without PF6-)</u></b> APFD/aug-cc-pvtz scrf=(iefpcm,solvent=acetonitrile)
Zero-point correction= 0.257387 (Hartree/Particle)	Zero-point correction= 0.237178 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.289461	Thermal correction to Energy= 0.261511
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.290406	Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.262455
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.191455	Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.179614
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -2978.630481	Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -2038.078354
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -2978.598407	Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -2038.054021
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -2978.597463	Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -2038.053077
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -2978.696413	Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -2038.135919

## 2.2 Calculation of interaction energies

Interaction energies with and without solvent effect were calculated by generating frequencies calculations from optimized geometries using triple zeta basis set APFD/aug-cc-pvtz level of calculation and the formula:

$$\Delta G_{\text{int,vacuum}} = \text{Interaction energy in vacuum} = E_{\text{complex, vacuum}} - (E_{\text{ion,vacuum}} + E_{\text{ligand in complex,vacuum}})$$

Where :

$E_{\text{complex,vacuum}}$  is the sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies for the complex in vacuum

$E_{\text{ion,vacuum}}$  is the sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies for the ion in vacuum

$E_{\text{ligand in complex,vacuum}}$  is the sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies for the ligand complexed without the anion in vacuum

$$\Delta G_{\text{int,ACN}} = \text{Interaction energy in acetonitrile} = E_{\text{complex, ACN}} - (E_{\text{ion,ACN}} + E_{\text{ligand in complex,ACN}})$$

Where :

$E_{\text{complex,ACN}}$  is the sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies for the complex in vacuum

$E_{\text{ion,ACN}}$  is the sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies for the ion in vacuum

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

$E_{\text{ligand in complex, ACN}}$  is the sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies for the ligand complexed without the anion in vacuum

*Without solvent correction, calculation with thermal Free Energies*

	Ecomplex	Eion	Eligand in complex	Einteraction (Hartree)	Einteraction (kJ/mol)
Cl-	-2498.385260	-460.199535	-2038.110845	-0.07488	-194.69
Br-	-2455.165029	-416.986525	-2038.110870	-0.067634	-175.85
I-	-2334.049418	-295.884744	-2038.107386	-0.057288	-148.95
SCN-	-2529.164744	-491.000107	-2038.111287	-0.05335	-138.71
PF6-	-2978.632031	-940.476283	-2038.111531	-0.044217	-114.96

*With solvent correction, calculation with thermal Free Energies*

	Ecomplex	Eion	Eligand in complex	Einteraction (Hartree)	Einteraction (kJ/mol)
Cl-	-2498.455813	-460.308965	-2038.135433	-0.011415	-29.68
Br-	-2455.234001	-417.088660	-2038.133837	-0.011504	-29.91
I-	-2334.119257	-295.978358	-2038.134358	-0.006541	-17.01
SCN-	-2529.229918	-491.089853	-2038.135656	-0.004409	-11.46
PF6-	-2978.696413	-940.563054	-2038.135919	0.00256	6.65

### 3. Synthetic Procedures and Characterization Data




Figure S1 : General procedure for Synthesis of **1** and **2**

#### 3.1 Preparation of 1-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3-(2-hydroxyethyl)urea **S1**

Inspired from a published procedure<sup>[5]</sup>.

N,N-Dimethylformamide (3.6mL) was added to a round bottom flask. Ethanolamine (96 $\mu$ L, 1.64mmol, 1.04eq) and then 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl isocyanate (272 $\mu$ L, 1.56mmol, 1eq) were added dropwise to the solution. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 75 minutes. The mixture was cooled down in an ice bath, diluted into 5mL of water and 5mL of HCl 1M solution. The mixture was then extracted four times with ethyl acetate. Organic phases were gathered and washed with water and brine. The mixture was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with a mixture of dichloromethane/methanol (95:5) to give 441 mg of 1-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3-(2-hydroxyethyl)urea **S1** as bright white solid crystals. Yield : 441 mg, 89%

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

**$^1\text{H}$  NMR (300 MHz, MeOD, 25°C, TMS)**  $\delta$  7.98 (s, 2H, Ar-H), 7.45 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 3.63 (t,  $^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 6$  Hz, 2H,  $\text{CH}_2\text{-N}$ ), 3.32 (t,  $^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 6$  Hz, 2H,  $\text{CH}_2\text{-O}$ ).

**$^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (75 MHz, MeOD, 25°C, TMS)**  $\delta$  157.6 (s, 1C, C=O), 143.5 (s, 1C,  $\text{C}_{\text{arom, quat}}$ ), 133.2 (q, 2C,  $^2J_{\text{C-F}} = 33$  Hz, C-CF<sub>3</sub>), 124.9 (q, 2C,  $^1J_{\text{C-F}} = 270$  Hz,  $\text{C}_{\text{arom}}$ ), 118.9 (multiplet, 1C,  $\text{C}_{\text{arom, para}}$ ), 115.4 (quint, 2C,  $^3J_{\text{C-F}} = 4$  Hz, CF<sub>3</sub>), 62.0 (s, 1C,  $\text{CH}_2\text{-OH}$ ), 43.2 (s, 1C,  $\text{CH}_2\text{-NH}$ ).

**$^{19}\text{F}$  NMR (282 MHz, MeOD, 25°C)**  $\delta$  (not calibrated) -64.68 (s, 6F, -CF<sub>3</sub>).

**HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>-TOF)**  $m/z$  [ $M+H$ ]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>11</sub>O<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub>: 317.0719, found 317.0716.

**R<sub>f</sub>** 0.23 in dichloromethane/methanol (95:5)

**Melting Point** 146°C




Figure S2:  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300 MHz) spectrum of S1 in MeOD

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION




Figure S3: <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz) spectrum of **S1** in MeOD





Figure S4: <sup>19</sup>F NMR (282 MHz) spectrum of **1** in MeOD

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure S5: Mass spectrum of **1** (TOF ES+)

### 3.2 Preparation of 1-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3-(2-((6-chloro-1,2,4,5-tetrazin-3-yl)oxy)ethyl)urea **1**

Inspired from a published procedure<sup>[6]</sup>.

1-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3-(2-hydroxyethyl)urea (417 mg, 1.32 mmol, 1 eq) and dichlorotetrazine (200 mg, 1.32 mmol, 1 eq) were added to a round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirrer and dissolved with dry dichloromethane (34 mL) under inert atmosphere. A solution of 2,4,6-collidine (183  $\mu$ L, 1.39 mmol, 1.05 eq) diluted into dry dichloromethane (10 mL) was added dropwise to the flask. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for two hours. The solvent was evaporated. The crude product was purified by two iterative flash chromatographies on silica gel eluting with a gradient of petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (90:10 to 60:40) and (80:20 to 60:40), respectively. 355 mg of 1-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3-(2-((6-chloro-1,2,4,5-tetrazin-3-yl)oxy)ethyl)urea **1** were obtained as a pink fluorescent solid. Yield 355 mg, 63%

**<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, MeOD, 25°C, TMS)**  $\delta$  7.87 (s, 2H, Ar-H), 7.38 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 4.63 (t, 2H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>H-H</sub> = 5 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>-O), 3.64 (t, 2H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>H-H</sub> = 5 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>-N)

**<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, MeOD, 25°C, TMS)**  $\delta$  168.4 (s, 1C, O-C<sub>Tz</sub>), 165.5 (s, 1C, Cl-C<sub>Tz</sub>), 157.4 (s, 1C, C=O), 143.3 (s, 1C, C<sub>arom</sub>-N), 133.8-132.5 (q, 2C, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> = 31 Hz, C-CF<sub>3</sub>), 130.2-119.5 (q, 2C, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> = 270 Hz, C<sub>arom</sub>), 119.1 (s, 1C, C<sub>arom</sub>), 115.7 (quint, 2C, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> = 4 Hz, CF<sub>3</sub>), 70.6 (s, 1C, CH<sub>2</sub>-O), 39.7 (s, 1C, CH<sub>2</sub>-N)

**<sup>19</sup>F NMR (282 MHz, MeOD, 25°C)**  $\delta$  (not calibrated) -64.6 (6F)

**UV/Visible (Acetonitrile)**  $\lambda_{\max}$  ( $\epsilon$ ) = 294 nm (0.50 AU), 326 nm (0.41 AU), 511 nm (0.07 AU).

**Fluorescence (Acetonitrile)**  $\lambda_{\text{exc}}$  = 511 nm,  $\lambda_{\text{em}}$  = 561 nm.

**HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>-TOF) *m/z* [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>** calculated for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>9</sub>ClF<sub>6</sub>N<sub>6</sub>NaO<sub>2</sub>: 453.03, found 453.0277

**R<sub>f</sub>** 0.28 in petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (7:3)

**Melting Point** 180-184°C

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION







Figure S6:  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300 MHz) spectrum of **1** in MeOD



## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure S7:  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (75 MHz) spectrum of **1** in MeODFigure S8:  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR (300 MHz) spectrum of **1** in MeOD

SUPPORTING INFORMATION





## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

---

*Figure S9: Mass spectrum of 1 (TOF ES+)*

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Elemental Composition Report

Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 100.0

Element prediction: Off

Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 2

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

20788 formula(e) evaluated with 127 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass)

Elements Used:

C: 0-150 H: 0-150 N: 0-10 O: 0-10 F: 0-6 Na: 0-1 Cl: 0-1 I: 0-2


Romain PLAIS

infusion - dilution 1000

DPRP04-col2-(01) 10 (0.381) Cm (6:10)

24-Oct-2018

1: TOF MS ES+  
7.19e+004



Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	i-FIT (Norm)	Formula
453.0264	453.0277	-1.3	-2.9	8.5	107.8	1.5	C13 H9 N6 O2 F6 Na
	453.0263	0.1	0.2	4.5	108.1	1.8	C14 H18 N2 O2 F3
	453.0286	-2.2	-4.9	10.5	108.2	1.8	Na I C12 H11 N8 O8 Na
	453.0286	-2.2	-4.9	10.5	108.5	2.2	C12 H9 N8 O6 F3 Cl
	453.0262	0.2	0.4	4.5	108.7	2.3	C14 H16 N2 F6 I
	453.0260	0.4	0.9	8.5	109.1	2.7	C12 H15 N8 O2 Na I
	453.0271	-0.7	-1.5	6.5	109.4	3.1	C13 H18 N4 O6 I
	453.0260	0.4	0.9	8.5	109.7	3.3	C12 H13 N8 F3 I
	453.0246	1.8	4.0	8.5	110.7	4.4	C13 H8 N4 O6 F6 Na
	453.0261	0.3	0.7	9.5	110.7	4.4	C14 H12 N4 O9 F2 Cl
	453.0256	0.8	1.8	6.5	110.8	4.4	C14 H11 O10 F6
	453.0250	1.4	3.1	11.5	111.5	5.1	C13 H7 N8 O3 F5 Cl
	453.0243	2.1	4.6	12.5	111.6	5.2	C11 H5 N10 O6 F3 Na
	453.0253	1.1	2.4	7.5	111.6	5.2	C15 H12 N2 O5 F5 Na Cl
	453.0254	1.0	2.2	10.5	111.7	5.3	C12 H8 N6 O10 F3
	453.0250	1.4	3.1	11.5	111.9	5.5	C13 H9 N8 O5 F2 Na Cl
	453.0243	2.1	4.6	12.5	112.0	5.7	C11 H3 N10 O4 F6
	453.0270	-0.6	-1.3	3.5	112.1	5.7	C16 H22 O F2 Na Cl I
	453.0254	1.0	2.2	2.5	112.6	6.2	C13 H21 N2 O3 F2 Cl I
	453.0270	-0.6	-1.3	11.5	113.1	6.8	C15 H7 N4 O6 F6
	453.0282	-1.8	-4.0	7.5	113.1	6.8	C12 H10 N4 O9 F4 Na
	453.0270	-0.6	-1.3	11.5	113.3	7.0	C15 H9 N4 O8 F3 Na
	453.0261	-1.7	-3.8	-0.5	113.4	7.0	C13 H23 O2 F3 Na Cl I
	453.0267	-0.3	-0.7	15.5	113.5	7.2	C13 H4 N10 O6 F3
	453.0281	-1.7	-3.8	-0.5	113.6	7.2	C13 H21 F6 Cl I
	453.0268	-0.4	-0.9	15.5	113.7	7.4	C13 H6 N10 O8 Na
	453.0279	-1.5	-3.3	11.5	113.8	7.5	C10 H7 N10 O9 F Na
	453.0284	-2.0	-4.4	11.5	113.9	7.5	C14 H14 N8 O2 I
	453.0259	0.5	1.1	13.5	113.9	7.5	C14 H4 N8 O2 F6 Na
	453.0279	-1.5	-3.3	11.5	114.1	7.7	C10 H5 N10 O7 F4
	453.0279	-1.5	-3.3	3.5	114.2	7.8	C11 H20 N6 O2 Na Cl I
	453.0242	2.2	4.9	6.5	114.2	7.8	C16 H20 N2 O2 F Cl I
	453.0264	0.0	0.0	3.5	114.3	8.0	C12 H13 N2 O6 F6 Na Cl
	453.0278	-1.4	-3.1	3.5	114.3	8.0	C11 H18 N6 F3 Cl I
	453.0264	0.0	0.0	-0.5	114.3	8.0	C12 H27 N2 I2

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure S10: Single mass analysis of **1** (TOF ES+)**3.3 Preparation of 1-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3-(2-methoxyethyl)urea **2****


Receptor **2** was synthesized from a similar protocol to **S1**. Yield : 66%

**<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, Acetone d<sub>6</sub>, 25°C, TMS)** δ 8.62 (s, 1H, Ar-NH), 8.15 (s, 2H, Ar-H), 7.52 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 6.12 (s, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>-NH), 3.47-3.39 (m, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.31 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>-O).

**<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, MeOD, 25°C, TMS)** δ 155.6 (s, 1C, C=O), 143.7 (s, 1C, C<sub>arom, quat</sub>), 133.4 (q, 2C, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> = 33 Hz, C-CF<sub>3</sub>), 130.0-119.1 (q, 2C, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> = 270 Hz, CF<sub>3</sub>), 118.3 (m, 1C, C<sub>arom-NH</sub>), 114.7 (quint, 2C, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> = 4 Hz, CF<sub>3</sub>), 72.2 (s, 1C, -CH<sub>3</sub>), 58.9 (s, 1C, CH<sub>2</sub>-OH), 40.3 (s, 1C, CH<sub>2</sub>-NH).

**<sup>19</sup>F NMR (282 MHz, MeOD, 25°C)** δ (not calibrated) 113.8 (s, 6F, -CF<sub>3</sub>).

**HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>-TOF)** *m/z* [M+H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub>: 331.0881, found 317.0882.

Figure S11: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz) spectrum of **2** in Acetone d<sub>6</sub>

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION






Figure S12:  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (75 MHz) spectrum of **2** in Acetone  $d_6$

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure S13:  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR (300 MHz) spectrum of **2** in Acetone  $d_6$ Figure S14: Mass spectrum of **2** (TOF ES+)

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

## Elemental Composition Report

Page 1

## Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 150.0

Element prediction: Off

Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

2441 formula(e) evaluated with 13 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass)

Elements Used:


C: 0-100 H: 0-100 N: 0-10 O: 0-10 F: 0-8 Ir: 0-1

Romain PLAIS

LCMS - dilution 1000

RP107F21-(01) 882 (6.165) Cm (882:888)

27-Feb-2020

1: TOF MS ES+  
1.14e+005

Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	i-FIT (Norm)	Formula
331.0882	331.0890	-0.8	-2.4	6.5	343.0	0.0	C11 H15 N4 O8
	331.0881	0.1	0.3	4.5	346.7	3.7	C12 H13 N2 O2 F6
	331.0879	0.3	0.9	8.5	348.2	5.2	C10 H10 N8 O2 F3
	331.0870	1.2	3.6	8.5	348.5	5.5	C15 H12 N2 O F5
	331.0867	1.5	4.5	12.5	349.9	7.0	C13 H9 N8 O F2
	331.0890	-0.8	-2.4	4.5	351.2	8.2	C7 H11 N8 O3 F4
	331.0894	-1.2	-3.6	11.5	351.4	8.4	C17 H13 N2 O3 F2
	331.0883	-0.1	-0.3	15.5	351.5	8.5	C20 H12 N2 O2 F
	331.0877	0.5	1.5	-0.5	351.6	8.6	C6 H15 N4 O7 F4
	331.0871	1.1	3.3	19.5	353.1	10.1	C23 H11 N2 O
	331.0874	0.8	2.4	3.5	354.2	11.2	C4 H12 N10 O7 F
	331.0886	-0.4	-1.2	-0.5	357.5	14.5	C H13 N10 O8 F2
	331.0872	1.0	3.0	-0.5	372.5	29.5	C4 H16 N3 O2 Ir

Figure S15: Single mass analysis of **2** (TOF ES+)

## 4. Mass spectrometry experiments

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

## 4.1 Typical electrospray spectra obtained for the 1:1 (1)/TBABr mixture




Figure S16: Typical electrospray spectra obtained for the 1:1 **1**/TBABr mixture

a) Electrospray mass spectrum of an equimolar ( $10^{-4}$  M) mixture of **1**/TBABr (90/10 acetonitrile/water) b) MS/MS spectrum of the  $[(1)-Br]^-$  ion– c) MS/MS spectrum of the  $[(1)_2-Br]^-$  ion


4.2 Typical electrospray spectra obtained for the 1:1 (**1**)/TBAI mixture

Figure S17: Typical electrospray spectra obtained for the 1:1 **1**/TBAI mixture

a) Electrospray mass spectrum of an equimolar ( $10^{-4}$  M) mixture of **1**/TBAI (90/10 acetonitrile/water) b) MS/MS spectrum of the [(1)-I]<sup>-</sup> ion– c) MS/MS spectrum of the [(1)<sub>2</sub>-I]<sup>-</sup> ion



## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

## 4.3 Typical electrospray spectra obtained for the 1:1 (1)/TBASCN mixture




Figure S18: Typical electrospray spectra obtained for the 1:1 **1**/TBASCN mixture

a) Electrospray mass spectrum of an equimolar ( $10^{-4}$  M) mixture of **1**/TBASCN (90/10 acetonitrile/water) b) MS/MS spectrum of the  $[(1)-SCN]^-$  ion– c) MS/MS spectrum of the  $[(1)_2-SCN]^-$  ion

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION




4.4 Typical electrospray spectra obtained for the 1:1 (**1**)/TBAPF<sub>6</sub> mixture

Figure S19: Typical electrospray spectra obtained for the 1:1 **1**/TBAPF<sub>6</sub> mixture



a) Electrospray mass spectrum of an equimolar (10<sup>-4</sup> M) mixture of **1**/TBAPF<sub>6</sub> (90/10 acetonitrile/water) b) MS/MS spectrum of the [(1)-PF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>-</sup> ion– c) MS/MS spectrum of the [(1)<sub>2</sub>-PF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>-</sup> ion

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

## 4.5 Fragmentation mechanisms associated to Scheme 3

Formation of  $[M-H]^-$   $m/z$  429Formation of  $[C_2N_4OCl]^-$   $m/z$  131 and elimination of 132uFormation of  $[C_2HN_4OClX]^-$

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION



## 5. NMR Titrations

### 5.1 Practical analysis procedure



Previously to each analysis, anion salts were solubilized into acetone and precipitated by addition of diethylether to remove water. Salts were then dried to remove residual solvents and stored in the dessicator until use.

2mL of a solution containing the anion receptor was prepared (3.5mmol/L). 500 $\mu$ L were placed into a new NMR tube. 1mL of stock solution was taken and desired amount of anionic guest as the tetrabutylammonium (TBA) salt was added.

NMR titrations were performed by adding aliquots of a solution containing the anionic guest (0.14M for TBACl, 0.14M for TBABr, 0.55M for TBAI and 1.04M for TBASCN) and the receptor (3.5mM) in MeCN-d<sub>3</sub> to the NMR tube. After each addition, a <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum was recorded.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were calibrated to the residual proton solvent peak in MeCN-d<sub>3</sub> ( $\delta$  = 1.94ppm) at 300 K. Plot stackings were made using MestReNova Version 6.0. Non-linear least-square curve fitting of the titration data were double checked to be a 1:1 binding model using a reported procedure <sup>[7]</sup> on Excel software and SPECFIT software.

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

5.2 Titration of **1** with TBACl



## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

*Figure S20: <sup>1</sup>H NMR titration of **1** with tetrabutylammonium chloride (0 to 25 equivalents)*

*%c = percentage of complex %a = percentage of free receptor  $\Delta\delta_{calc}$  = chemical shift calculated  $\Delta\delta_{obs}$  = chemical shift observed*

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

```

[PROGRAM]
Name = SPECFIT
Version = 3.0

[FILE]
Name = GG16+TBACL_RMN_FORMAT_SPECFIT.FAC
Path = C:\Program Files\SPECFIT\DATA\
Date = 03-déc-19
Time = 12:14:38
Ncomp = 2
Nmeas = 28
Nwave = 3

[FACTOR ANALYSIS]
Tolerance = 1,000E-09
Max.Factors = 10
Num.Factors = 3
Significant = 3
Eigen Noise = 1,333E-07
Exp't Noise = 1,333E-07
# Eigenvalue Square Sum Residual Prediction
1 6,181E+03 8,889E+00 3,273E-01 Data Vector
2 8,889E+00 1,906E-04 1,524E-03 Data Vector
3 1,906E-04 1,440E-12 1,333E-07 Data Vector

[MODEL]
Date = 03-déc-19
Time = 12:16:30
Model = 0
Index = 3
Function = 1
Species = 3
Params = 3

[SPECIES]          [COLORED]          [FIXED]            [SPECTRUM]
1 0 0              False              False
0 1 0              True               False
1 1 0              True               False

[SPECIES]          [FIXED]            [PARAMETER]        [ERROR]
1 0 0              True               0,00000E+00 +/-   0,00000E+00
0 1 0              True               0,00000E+00 +/-   0,00000E+00
1 1 0              False              3,68952E+00 +/-   4,19950E-02

[CONVERGENCE]
Iterations = 5
Convergence Limit = 1,000E-03
Convergence Found = 1,697E-05
Marquardt Parameter = 0,0
Sum(Y-y)^2 Residuals = 1,92287E-01
Std. Deviation of Fit(Y) = 4,81322E-02

[STATISTICS]
Experimental Noise = 1,333E-07
Relative Error Of Fit = 0,5574%
Durbin-Watson Factor = 0,3072
Goodness Of Fit, Chi^2 = 1,303E+11
Durbin-Watson Factor (raw data) = 0,3072
Goodness Of Fit, Chi^2 (raw data) = None

[COVARIANCE]
1,031E-02



[CORRELATION]
1,000E+00

[END FILE]

```

Figure S21: Determination of binding constant using SPECFIT software for the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR titration of **1** with tetrabutylammonium chloride

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

5.3 Titration of **2** with TBACl

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure S22: <sup>1</sup>H NMR titration of **2** with tetrabutylammonium chloride (0 to 25 equivalents)

*%c = percentage of complex %a = percentage of free receptor  $\Delta\delta_{calc}$  = chemical shift calculated  $\Delta\delta_{obs}$  = chemical shift observed*

## [PROGRAM]

Name = SPECFIT  
Version = 3.0

## [FILE]

Name = RP108+TBACL\_RM\_N\_FORMAT\_SPECFIT.FAC  
Path = C:\Program Files\SPECFIT\DATA\  
Date = 04-mars-20  
Time = 17:34:13  
Ncomp = 2  
Nmeas = 28  
Nwave = 3

## [FACTOR ANALYSIS]

Tolerance = 1,000E-09  
Max.Factors = 10  
Num.Factors = 3  
Significant = 3  
Eigen Noise = 9,263E-08  
Exp't Noise = 9,263E-08

#	Eigenvalue	Square Sum	Residual	Prediction
1	5,972E+03	1,025E+01	3,514E-01	Data Vector
2	1,025E+01	1,272E-04	1,246E-03	Data Vector
3	1,272E-04	6,950E-13	9,263E-08	Data Vector

## [MODEL]

Date = 04-mars-20  
Time = 17:35:43  
Model = 0  
Index = 3  
Function = 1  
Species = 3  
Params = 3

[SPECIES]	[COLORED]	[FIXED]	[SPECTRUM]
1 0 0	False	False	
0 1 0	True	False	
1 1 0	True	False	

[SPECIES]	[FIXED]	[PARAMETER]	[ERROR]
1 0 0	True	0,00000E+00 +/-	0,00000E+00
0 1 0	True	0,00000E+00 +/-	0,00000E+00
1 1 0	False	3,24475E+00 +/-	5,40102E-03

## [CONVERGENCE]

Iterations = 8  
Convergence Limit = 1,000E-03  
Convergence Found = 3,416E-04  
Marquardt Parameter = 0,0  
Sum(Y-y)<sup>2</sup> Residuals = 7,92029E-03  
Std. Deviation of Fit(Y) = 9,76858E-03

## [STATISTICS]

Experimental Noise = 9,263E-08  
Relative Error Of Fit = 0,1151%  
Durbin-Watson Factor = 1,6449  
Goodness Of Fit, Chi<sup>2</sup> = 1,112E+10  
Durbin-Watson Factor (raw data) = None  
Goodness Of Fit, Chi<sup>2</sup> (raw data) = None

## [COVARIANCE]

1,566E-04

## [CORRELATION]

1,000E+00

## [END FILE]

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure S23: Determination of binding constant using SPECFIT software for the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR titration of **2** with tetrabutylammonium chloride



5.4 Titration of **1** with TBABr

Figure S24:  $^1\text{H}$  NMR titration of **1** with tetrabutylammonium bromide (0 to 26 equivalents)

%c = percentage of complex %a = percentage of free receptor  $\Delta\delta_{\text{calc}}$  = chemical shift calculated  $\Delta\delta_{\text{obs}}$  = chemical shift observed

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

```

[PROGRAM]
Name = SPECFIT
Version = 3.0

[FILE]
Name = RP04+TBABR_RMN_FORMAT_SPECFIT.FAC
Path = C:\Program Files\SPECFIT\DATA\
Date = 18-juil-19
Time = 11:20:39
Ncomp = 2
Nmeas = 22
Nwave = 3

[FACTOR ANALYSIS]
Tolerance = 1,000E-09
Max.Factors = 10
Num.Factors = 3
Significant = 3
Eigen Noise = 1,774E-08
Exp't Noise = 1,774E-08
# Eigenvalue Square Sum Residual Prediction
1 4,771E+03 2,856E+00 2,096E-01 Data Vector
2 2,855E+00 3,351E-04 2,288E-03 Data Vector
3 3,351E-04 1,983E-14 1,774E-08 Data Vector

[MODEL]
Date = 18-juil-19
Time = 11:21:31
Model = 0
Index = 3
Function = 1
Species = 3
Params = 3

[SPECIES]          [COLORED]          [FIXED]           [SPECTRUM]
1 0 0              False              False
0 1 0              True                False
1 1 0              True                False

[SPECIES]          [FIXED]           [PARAMETER]       [ERROR]
1 0 0              True               0,00000E+00 +/-  0,00000E+00
0 1 0              True               0,00000E+00 +/-  0,00000E+00
1 1 0              False              2,57434E+00 +/-  8,36370E-03

[CONVERGENCE]
Iterations = 8
Convergence Limit = 1,000E-03
Convergence Found = 3,835E-06
Marquardt Parameter = 0,0
Sum(Y-y)^2 Residuals = 9,75058E-03
Std. Deviation of Fit(Y) = 1,22478E-02

[STATISTICS]
Experimental Noise = 1,774E-08
Relative Error Of Fit = 0,1429%
Durbin-Watson Factor = 1,4662
Goodness Of Fit, Chi^2 = 4,766E+11
Durbin-Watson Factor (raw data) = 1,4662
Goodness Of Fit, Chi^2 (raw data) = None

[COVARIANCE]
3,781E-04

[CORRELATION]
1,000E+00



[END FILE]

```



## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure S25: Determination of binding constant using SPECFIT software for the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR titration of **1** with tetrabutylammonium bromide

5.5 Titration of **1** with TBAI

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

*Figure S26:  $^1\text{H}$  NMR titration of **1** with tetrabutylammonium iodide (0 to 114 equivalents)*

*%c = percentage of complex %a = percentage of free receptor  $\Delta\delta_{\text{calc}}$  = chemical shift calculated  $\Delta\delta_{\text{obs}}$  = chemical shift observed*

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

```

[PROGRAM]
Name = SPECFIT
Version = 3.0

[FILE]
Name = RP04+TBAI_RMN_FORMAT_SPECFIT.FAC
Path = C:\Program Files\SPECFIT\DATA\
Date = 18-juil-19
Time = 18:25:49
Ncomp = 2
Nmeas = 38
Nwave = 3

[FACTOR ANALYSIS]
Tolerance = 1,000E-09
Max.Factors = 10
Num.Factors = 3
Significant = 3
Eigen Noise = 6,121E-08
Exp't Noise = 6,121E-08
# Eigenvalue Square Sum Residual Prediction
1 6,725E+03 6,499E+00 2,398E-01 Data Vector
2 6,494E+00 4,300E-03 6,196E-03 Data Vector
3 4,300E-03 4,159E-13 6,121E-08 Data Vector

[MODEL]
Date = 18-juil-19
Time = 18:26:03
Model = 0
Index = 3
Function = 1
Species = 3
Params = 3

[SPECIES]          [COLORED]          [FIXED]            [SPECTRUM]
1 0 0              False              False
0 1 0              True               False
1 1 0              True               False

[SPECIES]          [FIXED]            [PARAMETER]        [ERROR]
1 0 0              True               0,00000E+00 +/-   0,00000E+00
0 1 0              True               0,00000E+00 +/-   0,00000E+00
1 1 0              False              1,84534E+00 +/-   1,07339E-02

[CONVERGENCE]
Iterations = 8
Convergence Limit = 1,000E-03
Convergence Found = 2,135E-05
Marquardt Parameter = 0,0
Sum(Y-y)^2 Residuals = 3,60982E-02
Std. Deviation of Fit(Y) = 1,78732E-02

[STATISTICS]
Experimental Noise = 6,121E-08
Relative Error Of Fit = 0,2316%
Durbin-Watson Factor = 0,8860
Goodness Of Fit, Chi^2 = 8,527E+10
Durbin-Watson Factor (raw data) = None
Goodness Of Fit, Chi^2 (raw data) = None

[COVARIANCE]
6,262E-04

[CORRELATION]
1,000E+00

[END FILE]

```

Figure S27: Determination of binding constant using SPECFIT software for the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR titration of **1** with tetrabutylammonium iodide

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION



5.6 Titration of **1** with TBASCN

Figure S28:  $^1\text{H}$  NMR titration of **1** with tetrabutylammonium thiocyanate (0 to 320 equivalents)  
 $\%c$  = percentage of complex  $\%a$  = percentage of free receptor  $\Delta\delta_{\text{calc}}$  = chemical shift calculated  $\Delta\delta_{\text{obs}}$  = chemical shift observed



## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

```

[PROGRAM]
Name = SPECFIT
Version = 3.0

[FILE]
Name = RP04+TBASCN_RMN_FORMAT_SPECFIT.FAC
Path = C:\Program Files\SPECFIT\DATA\
Date = 18-juil-19
Time = 18:31:39
Ncomp = 2
Nmeas = 41
Nwave = 3

[FACTOR ANALYSIS]
Tolerance = 1,000E-09
Max.Factors = 10
Num.Factors = 3
Significant = 3
Eigen Noise = 1,157E-07
Exp't Noise = 1,157E-07
# Eigenvalue Square Sum Residual Prediction
1 7,165E+03 5,728E+00 2,167E-01 Data Vector
2 5,660E+00 6,804E-02 2,371E-02 Data Vector
3 6,804E-02 1,607E-12 1,157E-07 Data Vector

[MODEL]
Date = 18-juil-19
Time = 18:32:02
Model = 0
Index = 3
Function = 1
Species = 3
Params = 3

[SPECIES]          [COLORED]          [FIXED]            [SPECTRUM]
1 0 0              False              False              [SPECTRUM]
0 1 0              True               False              [SPECTRUM]
1 1 0              True               False              [SPECTRUM]

[SPECIES]          [FIXED]            [PARAMETER]        [ERROR]
1 0 0              True               0,00000E+00 +/-    0,00000E+00
0 1 0              True               0,00000E+00 +/-    0,00000E+00
1 1 0              False              1,56247E+00 +/-    1,67367E-02

[CONVERGENCE]
Iterations = 8
Convergence Limit = 1,000E-03
Convergence Found = 6,467E-04
Marquardt Parameter = 0,0
Sum(Y-y)^2 Residuals = 9,59235E-02
Std. Deviation of Fit(Y) = 2,80403E-02

[STATISTICS]
Experimental Noise = 1,157E-07
Relative Error Of Fit = 0,3658%
Durbin-Watson Factor = 1,9633
Goodness Of Fit, Chi^2 = 5,870E+10
Durbin-Watson Factor (raw data) = None
Goodness Of Fit, Chi^2 (raw data) = None

[COVARIANCE]
1,544E-03

[CORRELATION]
1,000E+00

[END FILE]

```

Figure S29 : Determination of binding constant using SPECFIT software for the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR titration of **1** with tetrabutylammonium thioisocyanate

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

## 5.7 Superposition of experimental curves




Figure S30 – Differences in chemical shifts observed as a function of the number of equivalents of salts added protons a, b and c for **1** and **2** with tetrabutylammonium chloride




Figure S31 – Differences in chemical shifts observed as a function of the number of equivalents of salts added protons b and c

## 6. Photophysical analysis and procedures

### 6.1 General practical analysis procedure

Previously to each analysis, anion salts were solubilized into acetone and precipitated by addition of diethylether to remove water. Salts were then dried to remove residual solvents and stored in the dessicator until use.

10mL of a stock solution of the anion receptor were prepared ( $10^{-3}$ mol/L) in acetonitrile. 2mL of this solution was taken and diluted at  $2 \cdot 10^{-5}$ mol/L. 2mL of this solution at  $2 \cdot 10^{-5}$ mol/L were introduced into a quartz cuvette. 2mL of the stock solution was taken, desired amount of salt was added (150mg for TBACl, 330mg for TBABr, 600mg for TBAI and 600mg for TBASCN) and diluted at  $2 \cdot 10^{-5}$ mol/L. 2.5mL of solution were added to a 1cm quartz glass cuvette. Aliquots of the solution containing the anion and the receptor are subsequently added to the sample cuvette for each measurement.

After blank subtraction, absorbance spectra were measured from 200 to 700nm. From the absorbance spectra were determined the absorbance maximum (510nm), that corresponds to the excitation wavelength for the emission spectra.

Emission spectra were measured from 520 nm ( $\lambda_{\text{abs,max}}+10$ ) to 700nm using the wavelength determined before as excitation wavelength. Slites were calibrated at 2.1nm. All experiments were proceeded in temperature-controlled room at 300K.

Fluorescence decay data were analyzed using the Globals software package developed at the Laboratory for Fluorescence Dynamics at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, which includes reconvolution analysis and global non-linear least-squares minimization method.

Experimental measurements were plotted using Excel software. Determination of binding constants was done using a method developed by Valeur *et al.* [8] using non-linear least-squares minimization method.

$$Y = Y_0 + \frac{Y_{\text{lim}} - Y_0}{2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{c_M}{c_L} + \frac{1}{K_s c_L} - \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{c_M}{c_L} + \frac{1}{K_s c_L} \right)^2 - 4 \frac{c_M}{c_L} \right]^{1/2} \right\}$$

Where :

Y : Measured intensity at fluorescence maximum

$Y_0$  : Measured intensity when no salt was added

$Y_{\text{lim}}$  : Calculated intensity when an infinity of equivalents of salts are added

$c_M$  : Anion concentration

$c_L$  : Receptor concentration

$K_s$  : Association constant of receptor/anion complex

Binding constants were also determined using SPECFIT/32™ Global Analysis System software [9,10]. This software allows global analysis of equilibrium and kinetic systems with Expanded SVD and nonlinear regression modeling by the Levenberg-Marquardt method.

### 6.2 Determination of quantum yield of **1**

Emission spectra of reference and compound **1** were recorded using the maximum absorption wavelength of the reference, Rhodamine-6G, as excitation wavelength. The fluorescence quantum yield  $\Phi_F$  was determined using Rhodamine-6G as reference ( $\Phi_F = 0.91$  in ethanol). [11]



## SUPPORTING INFORMATION




Figure S32 – Emission curves of compound **1** and reference in reference conditions

From these spectra was determined the quantum yield using the definition described by Brouwer *et al.* [12] :

$$\Phi_f^i = \frac{F^i f_s n_i^2}{F^s f_i n_s^2} \Phi_f^s$$

Where :

$\Phi_f^i$  and  $\Phi_f^s$  are fluorescence quantum yields of sample and standard reference

$F^i$  and  $F^s$  are integrated areas of sample and standard fluorescence curves

$f_i$  and  $f_s$  are absorption factors of sample and standard reference, calculated from the formula  $f_x = 1 - 10^{-A_x}$ , where  $A_x$  is the absorbance of species x

$n_i$  and  $n_s$  correspond to refraction indexes of sample and standard reference

### 6.3 Time dependant DFT analysis of **1**

In order to attribute bands on the different fragments of **1**, theoretical UV spectra were calculated on three compounds **1**, **S1** and **S2**.




Figure S33 – Compounds studied by time dependent DFT analysis

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION




Figure S34 – Experimental (blue) and calculated (orange) absorption spectra

No.	Wavelength (nm) <sup>a</sup>	Osc. Strength	Major contributions	Transition
<b>1</b>	<b>548 (511)</b>	<b>0.0045</b>	<b>H-1-&gt;LUMO (100%)</b>	<b>n-π* Tetrazine</b>
2	357	0	HOMO->LUMO (100%)	
<b>3</b>	<b>305 (324)</b>	<b>0.0348</b>	<b>H-2-&gt;LUMO (84%), H-3-&gt;LUMO (14%)</b>	<b>π-π* Tetrazine</b>
4	302	0	H-1->L+2 (99%)	
5	288	0.0023	H-3->LUMO (75%), H-2->LUMO (13%), H-4->LUMO (10%)	
<b>6</b>	<b>266 (295)</b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>HOMO-&gt;L+1 (88%)</b>	<b>π-π* Phenylurea</b>
7	266	0.0002	H-4->LUMO (84%)	
8	265	0.0001	H-5->LUMO (90%)	
9	255	0	H-8->LUMO (45%), H-6->LUMO (46%)	
10	252	0	H-8->LUMO (43%), H-6->LUMO (46%)	
11	238	0.0001	HOMO->L+2 (99%)	
<b>12</b>	<b>232 (246)</b>	<b>0.4106</b>	<b>HOMO-&gt;L+3 (89%)</b>	<b>π-π* Phenylurea</b>

<sup>a</sup> values in parenthesis are the corresponding experimental maxima

Figure S35 - Calculated transitions (major transitions in bold)

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION







Figure S36 – Molecular orbitals involved in the main transitions

Figure S37 – Experimental UV-Visible spectrum of **1** and attribution of different bands observed

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure S38 – Experimental Fluorescence spectrum of **1**6.4 Titration of **1** with TBAClFigure S39 – Experimental UV-Visible spectra measured during the titration of **1** with TBACl (0 to 70 equivalents)

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

```

[PROGRAM]
Name = SPECFIT
Version = 3.0

[FILE]
Name = RP04+TBACL_ABS_FORMAT_SPECFIT.FAC
Path = C:\Program Files\SPECFIT\DATA\
Date = 18-juil-19
Time = 10:51:52
Ncomp = 2
Nmeas = 23
Nwave = 501

[FACTOR ANALYSIS]
Tolerance = 1,000E-09
Max.Factors = 10
Num.Factors = 5
Significant = 2
Eigen Noise = 1,436E-01
Exp't Noise = 1,436E-01
# Eigenvalue Square Sum Residual Prediction
1 2,119E+04 7,586E+02 2,566E-01 Data Vector
2 5,209E+02 2,377E+02 1,436E-01 Data Vector
3 1,187E+02 1,189E+02 1,016E-01 Possibly Data
4 6,034E+01 5,859E+01 7,132E-02 Probably Noise
5 1,988E+01 3,871E+01 5,797E-02 Probably Noise

[MODEL]
Date = 18-juil-19
Time = 10:58:50
Model = 0
Index = 3
Function = 1
Species = 3
Params = 3

[SPECIES]          [COLORED]          [FIXED]          [SPECTRUM]
1 0 0              False              False
0 1 0              True               False
1 1 0              True               False

[SPECIES]          [FIXED]          [PARAMETER]      [ERROR]
1 0 0              True             0,00000E+00 +/- 0,00000E+00
0 1 0              True             0,00000E+00 +/- 0,00000E+00
1 1 0              False            2,03451E+00 +/- 1,36125E-01

[CONVERGENCE]
Iterations = 3
Convergence Limit = 1,000E-03
Convergence Found = 7,249E-11
Marquardt Parameter = 0,0
Sum(Y-y)^2 Residuals = 2,31966E+02
Std. Deviation of Fit(Y) = 1,41889E-01

[STATISTICS]
Experimental Noise = 1,436E-01
Relative Error Of Fit = 10,3448%
Durbin-Watson Factor = 0,7676
Goodness Of Fit, Chi^2 = 9,759E-01
Durbin-Watson Factor (raw data) = None
Goodness Of Fit, Chi^2 (raw data) = None

[COVARIANCE]
1,355E-01

[CORRELATION]
1,000E+00

[END FILE]

```

Figure S40 : Determination of binding constant using SPECFIT software for the UV-Visible titration of **1** with tetrabutylammonium chloride

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION





Figure S41 – Experimental fluorescence spectra during the titration of **1** with TBACl (0 to 70 equivalents)





## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure S42 – Mathematical fit during the fluorescence titration of **1** with TBACl (0 to 70 equivalents) and determination of the association constant

```

[PROGRAM]
Name = SPECFIT
Version = 3.0

[FILE]
Name = RP04+TBACL_FLUO_FORMAT_SPECFIT.FAC
Path = C:\Program Files\SPECFIT\DATA\
Date = 18-juil-19
Time = 11:54:55
Ncomp = 2
Nmeas = 23
Nwave = 281

[FACTOR ANALYSIS]
Tolerance = 1,000E-09
Max.Factors = 10
Num.Factors = 4
Significant = 2
Eigen Noise = 2,844E+04
Exp't Noise = 2,844E+04
# Eigenvalue Square Sum Residual Prediction
1 1,153E+18 4,713E+13 8,540E+04 Data Vector
2 4,191E+13 5,224E+12 2,844E+04 Data Vector
3 1,256E+12 3,968E+12 2,478E+04 Probably Noise
4 4,815E+11 3,487E+12 2,323E+04 Probably Noise

[MODEL]
Date = 18-juil-19
Time = 11:55:55
Model = 0
Index = 3
Function = 1
Species = 3
Params = 3

[SPECIES]           [COLORED]           [FIXED]             [SPECTRUM]
1 0 0               False               False
0 1 0               True                False
1 1 0               True                False

[SPECIES]           [FIXED]             [PARAMETER]         [ERROR]
1 0 0               True                0,00000E+00 +/-    0,00000E+00
0 1 0               True                0,00000E+00 +/-    0,00000E+00
1 1 0               False               3,27294E+00 +/-    7,97601E-03

[CONVERGENCE]
Iterations = 8
Convergence Limit = 1,000E-03
Convergence Found = 5,151E-04
Marquardt Parameter = 0,0
Sum(Y-y)^2 Residuals = 2,43797E+14
Std. Deviation of Fit(Y) = 1,94236E+05

[STATISTICS]
Experimental Noise = 2,844E+04
Relative Error Of Fit = 1,4541%
Durbin-Watson Factor = 0,3806
Goodness Of Fit, Chi^2 = 4,666E+01
Durbin-Watson Factor (raw data) = None
Goodness Of Fit, Chi^2 (raw data) = None

[COVARIANCE]
3,436E-04

[CORRELATION]
1,000E+00

[END FILE]

```



## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure S43: Determination of binding constant using SPECFIT software for the fluorescence titration of **1** with tetrabutylammonium chloride





Figure S44 –Fluorescence decay titration of **1** with TBACl (0 to 70 equivalents)  
Logarithmic scale



## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure S45 –Mathematical fit during the fluorescence decay titration of **1** with TBACl (0 to 70 equivalents) and determination of the association constant





Figure S46 –Analysis of fluorescence decay titration of **1** with TBACl

6.5 Titration of **1** with TBABr

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure S47 – Experimental UV-Visible spectra measured during the titration of 1 with TBABr (0 to 160 equivalents)

```

[PROGRAM]
Name = SPECFIT
Version = 3.0

[FILE]
Name = RP04+TBABR_ABS_FORMAT_SPECFIT.FAC
Path = C:\Program Files\SPECFIT\DATA\
Date = 18-juil-19
Time = 11:11:02
Ncomp = 2
Nmeas = 23
Nwave = 501

[FACTOR ANALYSIS]
Tolerance = 1,000E-09
Max.Factors = 10
Num.Factors = 2
Significant = 1
Eigen Noise = 3,667E-01
Exp't Noise = 3,667E-01
# Eigenvalue Square Sum Residual Prediction
1 6,481E+04 1,549E+03 3,667E-01 Data Vector
2 6,063E+02 9,428E+02 2,861E-01 Probably Noise

[MODEL]
Date = 18-juil-19
Time = 11:12:52
Model = 0
Index = 3
Function = 1
Species = 3
Params = 3

[SPECIES]          [COLORED]          [FIXED]            [SPECTRUM]
1 0 0              False              False
0 1 0              True               False
1 1 0              True               False

[SPECIES]          [FIXED]            [PARAMETER]        [ERROR]
1 0 0              True               0,00000E+00 +/-    0,00000E+00
0 1 0              True               0,00000E+00 +/-    0,00000E+00
1 1 0              False              2,90868E+00 +/-    9,01560E-02

[CONVERGENCE]
Iterations = 7
Convergence Limit = 1,000E-03
Convergence Found = 4,597E-05
Marquardt Parameter = 0,0
Sum(Y-y)^2 Residuals = 8,12114E+02
Std. Deviation of Fit(Y) = 2,65488E-01

[STATISTICS]
Experimental Noise = 3,667E-01
Relative Error Of Fit = 11,2116%
Durbin-Watson Factor = 0,2173
Goodness Of Fit, Chi^2 = 5,243E-01
Durbin-Watson Factor (raw data) = None
Goodness Of Fit, Chi^2 (raw data) = None



[COVARIANCE]
5,323E-02

[CORRELATION]
1,000E+00

[END FILE]

```

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure S48 – Determination of binding constant using SPECFIT software for the UV-Visible titration of **1** with tetrabutylammonium bromideFigure S49 – Experimental fluorescence spectra during the titration of **1** with TBABr (0 to 160 equivalents)

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure S50 – Mathematical fit during the fluorescence titration of **1** with TBABr (0 to 160 equivalents) and determination of the association constant

```
[PROGRAM]
Name = SPECFIT
Version = 3.0

[FILE]
Name = RP04+TBABR_FLUO_FORMAT_SPECFIT.FAC
Path = C:\Program Files\SPECFIT\DATA\
Date = 18-juil-19
Time = 11:15:51
Ncomp = 2
Nmeas = 23
Nwave = 281

[FACTOR ANALYSIS]
Tolerance = 1,000E-09
Max.Factors = 10
Num.Factors = 4
Significant = 2
Eigen Noise = 2,039E+04
Exp't Noise = 2,039E+04
# Eigenvalue Square Sum Residual Prediction
1 6,247E+17 1,426E+13 4,698E+04 Data Vector
2 1,158E+13 2,686E+12 2,039E+04 Data Vector
3 3,943E+11 2,292E+12 1,884E+04 Probably Noise
4 3,216E+11 1,971E+12 1,747E+04 Probably Noise

[MODEL]
Date = 18-juil-19
Time = 11:16:20
Model = 0
Index = 3
Function = 1
Species = 3
Params = 3

[SPECIES]          [COLORED]          [FIXED]            [SPECTRUM]
1 0 0              False              False
0 1 0              True               False
1 1 0              True               False

[SPECIES]          [FIXED]            [PARAMETER]        [ERROR]
1 0 0              True               0,00000E+00 +/-    0,00000E+00
0 1 0              True               0,00000E+00 +/-    0,00000E+00
1 1 0              False              3,08538E+00 +/-    1,09406E-02

[CONVERGENCE]
Iterations = 7
Convergence Limit = 1,000E-03
Convergence Found = 1,902E-04
Marquardt Parameter = 0,0
Sum(Y-y)^2 Residuals = 4,37575E+14
Std. Deviation of Fit(Y) = 2,60221E+05

[STATISTICS]
Experimental Noise = 2,039E+04
Relative Error Of Fit = 2,6475%
Durbin-Watson Factor = 0,2592
Goodness Of Fit, Chi^2 = 1,629E+02
Durbin-Watson Factor (raw data) = None
Goodness Of Fit, Chi^2 (raw data) = None

[COVARIANCE]
6,508E-04

[CORRELATION]
1,000E+00

[END FILE]
```



## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure S51: Determination of binding constant using SPECFIT software for the fluorescence titration of **1** with tetrabutylammonium bromide





Figure S52 –Fluorescence decay titration of **1** with tetrabutylammonium bromide (0 to 160 equivalents)  
Logarithmic scale



## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure S53 –Mathematical fit during the fluorescence decay titration of **1** with TBABr (0 to 160 equivalents) and determination of the association constant





Figure S54 –Analysis of fluorescence decay titration of **1** with tetrabutylammonium bromide

6.6 Titration of **1** with TBAI

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure S55 – Experimental UV-Visible spectra measured during the titration of **1** with TBAI (0 to 200 equivalents)

```
[PROGRAM]
Name = SPECFIT
Version = 3.0

[FILE]
Name = RP04+TBAI_ABS_FORMAT_SPECFIT.FAC
Path = C:\Program Files\SPECFIT\DATA\
Date = 18-juil-19
Time = 18:13:27
Ncomp = 2
Nmeas = 30
Nwave = 501

[FACTOR ANALYSIS]
Tolerance = 1,000E-09
Max.Factors = 10
Num.Factors = 6
Significant = 2
Eigen Noise = 2,914E-01
Exp't Noise = 2,914E-01
# Eigenvalue Square Sum Residual Prediction
1 1,593E+05 3,584E+03 4,883E-01 Data Vector
2 2,308E+03 1,276E+03 2,914E-01 Data Vector
3 4,839E+02 7,925E+02 2,297E-01 Possibly Data
4 1,942E+02 5,984E+02 1,996E-01 Probably Noise
5 1,367E+02 4,617E+02 1,753E-01 Probably Noise
6 9,464E+01 3,670E+02 1,563E-01 Probably Noise

[MODEL]
Date = 18-juil-19
Time = 18:14:09
Model = 0
Index = 3
Function = 1
Species = 3
Params = 3

[SPECIES]           [COLORED]           [FIXED]           [SPECTRUM]
1 0 0               False               False
0 1 0               True                False
1 1 0               True                False

[SPECIES]           [FIXED]           [PARAMETER]       [ERROR]
1 0 0               True               0,00000E+00 +/-  0,00000E+00
0 1 0               True               0,00000E+00 +/-  0,00000E+00
1 1 0               False              2,99164E+00 +/-  9,59849E-02

[CONVERGENCE]
Iterations = 8
Convergence Limit = 1,000E-03
Convergence Found = 6,572E-04
Marquardt Parameter = 0,0
Sum(Y-y)^2 Residuals = 3,12100E+03
Std. Deviation of Fit(Y) = 4,55703E-01

[STATISTICS]
Experimental Noise = 2,914E-01
Relative Error Of Fit = 13,9932%
Durbin-Watson Factor = 0,3771
Goodness Of Fit, Chi^2 = 2,445E+00
Durbin-Watson Factor (raw data) = None
Goodness Of Fit, Chi^2 (raw data) = None



[COVARIANCE]
6,118E-02

[CORRELATION]
1,000E+00

[END FILE]
```



## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure S56 – Determination of binding constant using SPECFIT software for the UV-Visible titration of **1** with tetrabutylammonium iodideFigure S57 – Experimental fluorescence spectra during the titration of **1** with TBAI (0 to 200 equivalents)

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure S58 – Mathematical fit during the fluorescence titration of **1** with TBAI (0 to 200 equivalents) and determination of the association constant

```

[PROGRAM]
Name = SPECFIT
Version = 3.0

[FILE]
Name = RP04+TBAI_FLUO_FORMAT_SPECFIT.FAC
Path = C:\Program Files\SPECFIT\DATA\
Date = 18-juil-19
Time = 18:19:25
Ncomp = 2
Nmeas = 30
Nwave = 281

[FACTOR ANALYSIS]
Tolerance = 1,000E-09
Max.Factors = 10
Num.Factors = 6
Significant = 2
Eigen Noise = 1,781E+04
Exp't Noise = 1,781E+04
# Eigenvalue Square Sum Residual Prediction
1 3,657E+17 1,117E+13 3,640E+04 Data Vector
2 8,491E+12 2,675E+12 1,781E+04 Data Vector
3 7,145E+11 1,960E+12 1,525E+04 Probably Noise
4 2,803E+11 1,680E+12 1,412E+04 Probably Noise
5 2,118E+11 1,468E+12 1,320E+04 Probably Noise
6 1,625E+11 1,305E+12 1,245E+04 Probably Noise

[MODEL]
Date = 18-juil-19
Time = 18:20:09
Model = 0
Index = 3
Function = 1
Species = 3
Params = 3

[SPECIES]          [COLORED]          [FIXED]          [SPECTRUM]
1 0 0              False              False
0 1 0              True               False
1 1 0              True               False

[SPECIES]          [FIXED]            [PARAMETER]      [ERROR]
1 0 0              True               0,00000E+00 +/- 0,00000E+00
0 1 0              True               0,00000E+00 +/- 0,00000E+00
1 1 0              False              3,14306E+00 +/- 3,98912E-03

[CONVERGENCE]
Iterations = 3
Convergence Limit = 1,000E-03
Convergence Found = 1,311E-06
Marquardt Parameter = 0,0
Sum(Y-y)^2 Residuals = 7,45462E+13
Std. Deviation of Fit(Y) = 9,40426E+04

[STATISTICS]
Experimental Noise = 1,781E+04
Relative Error Of Fit = 1,4279%
Durbin-Watson Factor = 0,8954
Goodness Of Fit, Chi^2 = 2,787E+01
Durbin-Watson Factor (raw data) = None
Goodness Of Fit, Chi^2 (raw data) = None



[COVARIANCE]
8,515E-05

[CORRELATION]
1,000E+00

[END FILE]

```

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure S59: Determination of binding constant using SPECFIT software for the fluorescence titration of **1** with tetrabutylammonium iodideFigure S60 – Fluorescence decay titration of **1** with tetrabutylammonium iodide (0 to 200 equivalents)  
Logarithmic scaleFigure S61 –Mathematical fit during the fluorescence decay titration of **1** with TBAI (0 to 200 equivalents) and determination of the association constant

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION




Figure S62 – Analysis of fluorescence decay titration of **1** with tetrabutylammonium iodide


6.7 Titration of **1** with TBASCN

Figure S63 – Experimental UV-Visible spectra measured during the titration of **1** with TBASCN (0 to 300 equivalents)

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

```

[PROGRAM]
Name = SPECFIT
Version = 3.0

[FILE]
Name = RP04+TBASCN_ABS_FORMAT_SPECFIT.FAC
Path = C:\Program Files\SPECFIT\DATA\
Date = 26-juil-19
Time = 10:24:36
Ncomp = 2
Nmeas = 24
Nwave = 430

[FACTOR ANALYSIS]
Tolerance = 1,000E-09
Max.Factors = 10
Num.Factors = 10
Significant = 6
Eigen Noise = 7,805E-05
Exp't Noise = 7,805E-05
# Eigenvalue Square Sum Residual Prediction
1 5,226E+02 1,510E+00 1,210E-02 Data Vector
2 1,479E+00 3,078E-02 1,727E-03 Data Vector
3 2,874E-02 2,036E-03 4,442E-04 Data Vector
4 1,574E-03 4,625E-04 2,117E-04 Data Vector
5 2,649E-04 1,976E-04 1,384E-04 Data Vector
6 1,348E-04 6,282E-05 7,805E-05 Data Vector
7 1,887E-05 4,395E-05 6,528E-05 Possibly Data
8 1,092E-05 3,303E-05 5,660E-05 Probably Noise
9 4,922E-06 2,811E-05 5,221E-05 Probably Noise
10 3,178E-06 2,493E-05 4,918E-05 Probably Noise

[MODEL]
Date = 26-juil-19
Time = 10:24:55
Model = 0
Index = 3
Function = 1
Species = 3
Params = 3

[SPECIES]           [COLORED]           [FIXED]             [SPECTRUM]
1 0 0               False               False
0 1 0               True                False
1 1 0               True                False

[SPECIES]           [FIXED]             [PARAMETER]         [ERROR]
1 0 0               True                0,00000E+00 +/-    0,00000E+00
0 1 0               True                0,00000E+00 +/-    0,00000E+00
1 1 0               False               1,40981E+00 +/-    4,85821E-02

[CONVERGENCE]
Iterations = 29
Convergence Limit = 1,000E-03
Convergence Found = 1,857E-05
Marquardt Parameter = 0,0
Sum(Y-y)^2 Residuals = 9,87474E-02
Std. Deviation of Fit(Y) = 3,09346E-03

[STATISTICS]
Experimental Noise = 7,805E-05
Relative Error Of Fit = 1,3727%
Durbin-Watson Factor = 1,0642
Goodness Of Fit, Chi^2 = 1,571E+03
Durbin-Watson Factor (raw data) = None
Goodness Of Fit, Chi^2 (raw data) = None

[COVARIANCE]
1,401E-02

[CORRELATION]
1,000E+00

[END FILE]

```

Figure S64 – Determination of binding constant using SPECFIT software for the UV-Visible titration of **1** with tetrabutylammonium thiocyanate



## SUPPORTING INFORMATION




Figure S65 – Experimental fluorescence spectra during the titration of **1** with TBASCN (0 to 300 equivalents)




Figure S66 – Mathematical fit during the fluorescence titration of **1** with TBASCN (0 to 300 equivalents) and determination of the association constant

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

```

[PROGRAM]
Name = SPECFIT
Version = 3.0

[FILE]
Name = RP04+TBASCN_FLUO_FORMAT_SPECFIT.FAC
Path = C:\Program Files\SPECFIT\DATA\
Date = 26-juil-19
Time = 10:31:22
Ncomp = 2
Nmeas = 24
Nwave = 281

[FACTOR ANALYSIS]
Tolerance = 1,000E-09
Max.Factors = 10
Num.Factors = 5
Significant = 2
Eigen Noise = 1,545E+04
Exp't Noise = 1,545E+04
# Eigenvalue Square Sum Residual Prediction
1 3,288E+17 1,800E+13 5,166E+04 Data Vector
2 1,639E+13 1,609E+12 1,545E+04 Data Vector
3 6,204E+11 9,884E+11 1,211E+04 Possibly Data
4 2,472E+11 7,412E+11 1,049E+04 Probably Noise
5 1,148E+11 6,264E+11 9,641E+03 Probably Noise

[MODEL]
Date = 26-juil-19
Time = 10:31:39
Model = 0
Index = 3
Function = 1
Species = 3
Params = 3

[SPECIES]          [COLORED]          [FIXED]          [SPECTRUM]
1 0 0              False              False
0 1 0              True               False
1 1 0              True               False

[SPECIES]          [FIXED]            [PARAMETER]      [ERROR]
1 0 0              True               0,00000E+00 +/- 0,00000E+00
0 1 0              True               0,00000E+00 +/- 0,00000E+00
1 1 0              False              3,12736E+00 +/- 2,88376E-03

[CONVERGENCE]
Iterations = 13
Convergence Limit = 1,000E-03
Convergence Found = 7,936E-08
Marquardt Parameter = 0,0
Sum(Y-y)^2 Residuals = 4,03185E+13
Std. Deviation of Fit(Y) = 7,73260E+04

[STATISTICS]
Experimental Noise = 1,545E+04
Relative Error Of Fit = 1,1074%
Durbin-Watson Factor = 1,0856
Goodness Of Fit, Chi^2 = 2,506E+01
Durbin-Watson Factor (raw data) = None
Goodness Of Fit, Chi^2 (raw data) = None

[COVARIANCE]
4,438E-05

[CORRELATION]
1,000E+00

[END FILE]

```

Figure S67: Determination of binding constant using SPECFIT software for the fluorescence titration of **1** with tetrabutylammonium thiocyanate



## SUPPORTING INFORMATION




Figure S68 –Fluorescence decay titration of **1** with tetrabutylammonium thiocyanate (0 to 300 equivalents)  
Logarithmic scale




Figure S69 –Mathematical fit during the fluorescence decay titration of **1** with TBASCN (0 to 300 equivalents) and determination of the association constant

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION




Figure S70 – Analysis of fluorescence decay titration of **1** with tetrabutylammonium thiocyanate

## 7. References

- [1] H. E. Gottlieb, V. Kotlyar, A. Nudelman, *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 7512–7515.
- [2] W. R. Dolbier, *Guide to Fluorine NMR for Organic Chemists*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, USA, **2016**.
- [3] J. Contreras-García, E. R. Johnson, S. Keinan, R. Chaudret, J. P. Piquemal, D. N. Beratan, W. Yang, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2011**, *7*, 625–632.
- [4] Henry S. Rzepa, “Script for creating an NCI surface as a Jvxl compressed file from a (Gaussian) cube of total electron density,” can be found under <https://www.ch.ic.ac.uk/rzepa/cub2ncil/>, **2013**.
- [5] R. D. J. Gless, S. K. Anandan, *Soluble Epoxide Hydrolase Inhibitors*, **2008**, WO 2008/116145 A2.
- [6] Y. H. Gong, P. Audebert, G. Clavier, F. Miomandre, J. Tang, S. Badré, R. Méallet-Renault, E. Naidus, *New J. Chem.* **2008**, *32*, 1235–1242.
- [7] G. González-Gaitano, G. Tardajos, *J. Chem. Educ.* **2004**, *81*, 270–274.
- [8] B. Valeur, M. N. Berberan-Santos, *Molecular Fluorescence: Principles and Applications, Second Edition*, Wiley-VCH, **2012**.
- [9] H. Gampp, M. Maeder, C. J. Meyer, A. D. Zuberbühler, *Talanta* **1985**, *32*, 95–101.
- [10] H. Gampp, M. Maeder, C. J. Meyer, A. D. Zuberbühler, *Talanta* **1985**, *32*, 257–264.
- [11] C. Würth, M. Grabolle, J. Pauli, M. Spieles, U. Resch-Genger, *Nat. Protoc.* **2013**, *8*, 1535–1550.
- [12] A. M. Brouwer, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2011**, *83*, 2213–2228.

## 8. Author Contributions

All authors contributed equally. D.P. brought lead contribution.