

Benzoyl Shift: A New Approach to Reverse Regioselectivity in the Monoprotection of vic-Diols Aurélien de la Torre

Aurélien de La Torre, Camille Oger, Valérie Bultel-Poncé, Thierry Durand, Jean-Marie Galano

▶ To cite this version:

Aurélien de La Torre, Camille Oger, Valérie Bultel-Poncé, Thierry Durand, Jean-Marie Galano. Benzoyl Shift: A New Approach to Reverse Regioselectivity in the Monoprotection of vic-Diols Aurélien de la Torre. SYNLETT, 2016, 10.1055/s-0035-1561496. hal-02592806

HAL Id: hal-02592806 https://hal.science/hal-02592806v1

Submitted on 15 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Aurélien de la Torre Camille Oger* Valérie Bultel-Poncé **Thierry Durand** Jean-Marie Galano*

Institut des Riomolécules May Mousseron (IRMM) LIMR CNRS 5247-University of Montpellier, ENSCM, Faculté de Pharmacie. 15 Av. Charles Flahault, 34093 Montpellier cedex 05, France jean-marie.galano@univ-montp1.fr

Benzoyl shift: "non classical regioselectivity" "Classical regioselectivity NaHCO₃ BzCl, Et₃N MeOH/H₂O DMAP (cat.) CH₂Cl₂

Received: 27.05.2016 Accepted after revision: 13.06.2016 Published online: 13.07.2016

DOI: 10.1055/s-0035-1561496; Art ID: st-2016-d0036-l

Abstract The benzoyl shift in monoprotected vic-diols is described. Triggered under mild conditions, this transformation allows regioselective protection of the least acidic hydroxyl function of an activated vic-

Key words protecting groups, regioselectivity, diols, benzoyl shift,

Regioselective transformations of diols are ubiquitous in organic synthesis.1 For this purpose, it is often necessary to use selective protecting technologies to block the reactivity of one hydroxyl group.² For example, acetyl and benzoyl protecting groups can be used to protect selectively one hydroxyl group in 1,2- or 1,3-diol systems.³ In the case of α , β dihydroxyesters, the α -alcohol can be regioselectively protected by an acetyl or benzoyl moiety using the corresponding acyl chloride and an amine base at low temperature.4 Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, only three methods allow regioselective protection of the β-alcohol with an acetyl or benzoyl moiety,5-7 one of them being an enzymatic method,⁶ the scope of which is limited to one substrate. Among the two others, one requires the use of trimethyl orthobenzoate under acidic conditions induced by PTSA,⁵ while the other one relies on the use of dibutyltin oxide and an acyl chloride.⁶ An alternative approach would be to protect both hydroxyl functions and then regioselectively deprotect the α-alcohol; although this last strategy would be clumsy and not atom-economic. Herein, we describe a new mild method for the regioselective protection of vic-diols.

In the course of our recent total synthesis of dihomoisofuran, we chose to protect α,β -dihydroxyester 1 regioselectively at the β position,⁸ using the method of Oikawa et al.⁵ generating β -protected ester **2** and α -protected ester **3** in a 4:1 mixture of regioisomers. However, we observed that following the next step, namely an acidic cleavage of the acetonide, only the β regioisomer was recovered in

Scheme 1 (a) Regioselective monoprotection and benzoyl shift during the synthesis of dihomo-isofuran precursor 4. (b) Confirmation of the benzoyl shift by NMR monitoring.

good yield (Scheme 1, a). NMR monitoring of the acidic deprotection of pure α -protected ester 3 was performed in deuterated methanol and water, which only revealed the deprotection of the acetonide leading to compound 5. Therefore, we realized that basic workup of the reaction (10 equiv solid NaHCO₃) initiated the migration of the benzoyl

 Table 1
 Scope of the Regioselective Benzoylation

in a vic-diol (Scheme 2).

Entry	Diol	Major product	Ratio 7/8/9 ª	Isolated yield (%) ^b
1	OH OOEt OEt (±)-6a	OBz OOH OEt	6.8:1.2:1	69
2	Ph OMe OMe	Ph OMe (±)-7b	5:2.3:1	66 ^c
3	OH N OH	OBz N OH	13.2:1.3:1	62
4	OH N OH 6d	OBz Ph OH 7d	3:0.3:1	66 ^d
5	F ₃ C OEt OEt (±)- 6e	F ₃ C OEt OEt	0.6:4.7:1	54
6	OH O OEt	OH O OEt OBz	0:1:0	82
7	OH Ph OH 6g	OH Ph OBz 8g	0.8:7:1	69
8	MeO OH OH OH OH	MeO OH OOMe OBz (±)-8h	3.4:6:1	31

^a Determined by NMR spectroscopy.

^b Isolated yield of the major compound.

^c Isolated as a 2.7:1 mixture of **7b** and **8b**.

^d Isolated as a 13:1 mixture of **7d** and **8d**.

Scheme 2 Proposed strategy for the β -protection of α , β -dihydroxy esters

The plan was to perform a monoacylation of vic-diols using a cheap and straightforward procedure without concern for the selectivity of the protection followed by basic workup to initiate the benzovl shift. The initial protection was achieved using a standard procedure; that is, benzoyl chloride and triethylamine in dichloromethane, catalyzed by DMAP at 0 °C to room temperature over three hours.⁴ However, under these conditions, the subsequent one-flask basic workup (10 equiv solid NaHCO₃) needed to perform the benzovl shift led to unreproducible results in the migration. After experimentation, it was found that an acidic workup was necessary to avoid reproducibility issues, eliminating the possibility to perform a one-flask transformation. Therefore the second step for that latter stage was performed with solid NaHCO3 (5 equiv) in MeOH-H2O (9:1, v/v).9 The use of different bases (KHCO₃, Na₂CO₃) did not improve the migration. Thanks to this procedure, syn-ethyl-2,3-dihydroxybutanoate was regioselectively monoprotected on the β-position with a 6.8:1.2 ratio and 69% yield of the desired isomer (Table 1, entry 1),10 while the initial benzoylation gave a 1.8:10.2 ratio in favour of the α position. Interestingly, the procedure by Oikawa et al. gave a similar yield and ratio, even if in our case we also observed bisprotection inherent in our straightforward initial protection conditions. However, benzoylation at lower temperature (-78 °C) can minimize the bisprotection ratio if the diol substrate is valuable. No epimerization was observed during the reaction.

A number of other vic-diols was tested under these conditions. Ethyl syn-2,3-dihydroxy-3-phenylpropanoate could also be protected on the β-position, although with lower regioselectivity (Table 1, entry 2). It appears that α,β -dihydroxy nitriles can also be regioselectively protected on the β position (Table 1, entries 3 and 4).¹¹ The presence of a nitrile function instead of the ester improves the regioselectivity of the reaction. Again, substantially better regioselectivity was observed with a methyl rather than a phenyl substituent in the β-position. Interestingly, when a highly electron-withdrawing group was present in the β-position, no migration was observed. Thus, in the case of ethyl 4,4,4trifluoro-2,3-dihydroxybutanoate, the major product has the benzoyl moiety on the α position (Table 1, entry 5). Comparative NMR analysis before and after the basic workup showed the same ratio, meaning that the first step is regioselective for the α-position and that no benzoyl shift occurs in this specific case. Moreover, with this substantially more electrophilic substrate, we also observed traces of transesterification of the ethyl ester by methanol as a byproduct. When one hydroxyl function is more sterically hindered than the other, such as in the case of a tertiary alcohol vs. a secondary one (Table 1, entry 6) or in the case of a secondary alcohol vs. a primary one (Table 1, entry 7), the benzoyl shift does not take place and the protecting group stays on the less substituted alcohol. Finally, in the case of a substrate containing two ester moieties, low yields are obtained, probably due to undesired side reactions such as lactonization (Table 1, entry 8).

The regioselectivity of the benzoyl shift can be explained by the superior leaving-group ability of the α -hydoxyl group in comparison to the β-hydroxyl. To assess this hypothesis, pK_2 calculations were made on the various substrates studied in the scope of the reaction (Table 2).¹² Indeed, the results show a high correlation between the relative pK_a of the two hydroxyl functions and the regioisomeric ratio of the benzovl-substituted compounds. Remarkably. the reverse regioselectivity in the case of an ester with a CF₃ substituent in the β -position matches with the p K_a calculation that shows the β-hydroxyl group is more acidic (Table 2, entry 5). This acidity-controlled shift is limited by steric hindrance (Table 2, entries 6 and 7), or when side reactions can occur (Table 2, entry 8).

Table 2 Relative pK_a of the Hydroxyl Functions

Entry	Ratio 7/8/9	pK_a (A) ^a	$pK_a(\mathbf{B})^a$
1	6.8:1.2:1	14.75 ^b	12.87 ^b
2	5:2.3:1	13.83ь	12.33 ^b
3	13.2:1.3:1	14.22 ^b	11.04 ^b
4	3:0.3:1	13.34 ^b	10.63 ^b
5	0.6:4.7:1	11.24 ^b	12.46 ^b
6	0:1:0	14.82°	12.94 ^b
7	0.8:7:1	15.55 ^b	14.43 ^b
8	3.4:6:1	13.36 ^b	12 ^b

^a p K_a calculated using ACDLabs 12.0. ^b ± 0.20.

In conclusion, we have described a simple and convenient method for the regioselective monoprotection of activated vic-diols, using only inexpensive and readily available reagents. This method is a complementary approach to the one described by Oikawa et al. because it gives similar ratios but can be performed faster and cheaper. The regioselectivity of the reaction is guided by the relative acidity of the hydroxyl functions, when both are equally hindered.

c ± 0.29.

We gratefully acknowledge the University of Montpellier (Grants BQR 2011 and doctoral fellow of A.D.T.).

Supporting Information

Supporting information for this article is available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0035-1561496.

References and Notes

- For selected examples, see: (a) Friesen, R. W.; Vanderwal, C. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 30, 9103. (b) Barton, D. H. R.; Zhu, J. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 8337. (c) Takasu, M.; Naruse, Y.; Yamamoto, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 1947. (d) Ren, B.; Rahm, M.; Zhang, X.; Zhou, Y.; Dong, H. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 8134. (e) Lee, D.; Williamson, C. L.; Chan, L.; Taylor, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 8260. (f) Oger, C.; Marton, Z.; Brinkmann, Y.; Bultel-Poncé, V.; Durand, T.; Graber, M.; Galano, J.-M. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 1892. (g) Cruz Silva, M. M.; Riva, S.; Sá e Melo, M. L. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 3065. (h) Sureshan, K. M.; Shashidhar, M. S.; Praveen, T.; Das, T. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 4477. (i) Wang, G.; Ella-Menye, J.-R.; St. Martin, M.; Yang, H.; Williams, K. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 4203.
- (2) Wuts, P. G. M.; Greene, T. W. Greene's Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 2007, 4th ed..
- (3) For selected examples, see: (a) Bianco, A.; Brufani, M.; Melchioni, C.; Romagnoli, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 651. (b) Ikejiri, M.; Miyashita, K.; Tsunemi, T.; Imanishi, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2004**, *45*, 1243. (c) Mycock, D. K.; Sherlock, A. E.; Glossop, P. A.; Hayes, C. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, *49*, 6390.

- (4) (a) Trost, B. M.; Yang, H.; Probst, G. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 48. (b) Fleming, P. R.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 2869. (c) de la Torre, A.; Lee, Y. Y.; Massoni, A.; Guy, A.; Bultel-Poncé, V.; Durand, T.; Oger, C.; Lee, J. C.-Y.; Galano, J.-M. Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 2442.
- (5) Oikawa, M.; Wada, A.; Okazaki, F.; Kusumoto, S. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 4469.
- (6) Park, J. N.; Ko, S. Y. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2002, 23, 507.
- (7) Kirschning, A.; Kreimeyer, M.; Blanke, H.-P. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1993, 4, 2347.
- (8) de la Torre, A.; Lee, Y. Y.; Oger, C.; Sangild, P. T.; Durand, T.; Lee, J. C.-Y.; Galano, J.-M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 6249.
- (9) See the Supporting Information for a NMR monitoring of the basic workup that evidences the benzoyl shift.
- $(10) \ \textbf{General Procedure for Monoprotection}$
 - To a solution of diol (1 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (4 mL) at 0 °C were added Et_3N (2.2 mmol), DMAP (0.05 mmol), then slowly BzCl (1.1 mmol), and the reaction was allowed to warm to r.t. over 3 h. The reaction was quenched by addition of aq HCl (0.1 M, ca. 3 mL), EtOAc was added (ca. 2 mL), the organic phases separated and the aqueous phases extracted with EtOAc (3 × 2 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated under vacuum. The crude product was dissolved in MeOH–H₂O (4 mL, 9:1 v/v), solid NaHCO₃ (5 mmol) was added to the solution, and the reaction was stirred for 90 min at r.t. Water was added (ca. 4 mL), then EtOAc followed by extraction of the aqueous phase (3 times total). The combined organic phases were dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated under vacuum. The crude product was purified by column chromatography.
- (11) Compounds 6c and 6d were used as a mixture of syn- and antidiols.
- (12) pK_a calculations were performed using ACDLabs.