

Algorithmic Skeletons Using Template Metaprogramming

Alexis Pereda, David R.C. Hill, Claude Mazel, Bruno Bachelet

▶ To cite this version:

Alexis Pereda, David R.C. Hill, Claude Mazel, Bruno Bachelet. Algorithmic Skeletons Using Template Metaprogramming. 14th International Student Conference on Advanced Science and Technology (ICAST), Nov 2019, Kumamoto, Japan. pp.204-205. hal-02573826v1

HAL Id: hal-02573826 https://hal.science/hal-02573826v1

Submitted on 14 May 2020 (v1), last revised 18 Dec 2020 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Algorithmic Skeletons Using Template Metaprogramming

Alexis Pereda*, David R.C. Hill, Claude Mazel and Bruno Bachelet Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, LIMOS, Clermont-Ferrand, France

Abstract- Algorithmic skeletons, introduced by Cole, were designed to ease the development of parallel software. This article presents a way to represent and implement algorithmic skeletons using bones – atomic elements – to build structures, and data flow graphs to link the structures.

We design and implement a library relying on Template Metaprogramming (TMP) to describe and use both skeletons and links to produce automatically either a sequential or a parallel implementation of the algorithm, aiming slight to no run-time overhead compared to handwritten implementations.

Performance results of this library, applied to metaheuristics in Operations Research (OR), are presented to show that this approach induces negligible run-time overhead.

Index Terms- algorithmic skeletons, parallelization, template metaprogramming.

I. INTRODUCTION

MOST computers having multiple cores, developing parallel software has become necessary in order to make full use of the available hardware. This has led to the development of numerous tools to ease the implementation of parallel programs, from low level techniques (e.g. specific compilers) to more high level abstractions (e.g. generic libraries).

Our objective is to propose a new parallelization tool that is usable in existing projects (i.e. no new language or specific compiler is needed) and yet not inducing an avoidable runtime overhead when compared to a handwritten implementation. Additionally, we want this tool to provide a clean interface, not requiring to pollute the domain code with parallelization details. This last point oriented our solution towards algorithmic skeletons which enable separation of domain code and parallelization implementation.

The advantage of libraries over most low level techniques is their portability. However, this usually comes with a cost: an abstraction layer producing a less efficient binary than the equivalent handwritten code, which a compiler would be able to produce. Metaprogramming can be seen as an intermediary approach because it makes it possible to produce code without rewriting a full-fledged compiler. Specifically, the C++ language offers Template Metaprogramming (TMP), allowing metaprogramming at library level.

This paper presents our proposal for a new algorithmic skeleton library in C++, using an example application from Operations Research (OR), a Greedy Random Adaptive Search Procedure (GRASP) described in Alg. 1. Its goal is to find the best solution S^* , given a problem *P*. It is done by generating and improving multiple independent solutions, making it

Alg. 1. GRASP
function $\operatorname{GRASP}(P)$
for $i = 1N$ do
$S_i \leftarrow \text{CONSTRUCTIVEHEURISTIC}(P)$
$S_i \leftarrow \text{LOCALSEARCH}(P, S_i)$
end for
$S^* \leftarrow \text{SELECT}(\{S_1, S_2,, S_N\})$
$\mathbf{return} \ S^*$
end function

suitable for parallelization.

II. ALGORITHMIC SKELETONS

Algorithmic skeletons were designed by Cole [1] to ease the development of parallel software by providing patterns to be used to describe an algorithm so that it will then be automatically parallelized. Numerous tools based on this concept exist, Skandium [2] in Java, working at run-time, Quaff [3] and Muesli [4] in C++, based on TMP, and SkePU 2 [5] which also makes use of TMP but relies on a pre-compilation step. None of these implementations permit the complete representation of an algorithm, including its sequential parts. This effectively reduces the possible code coverage of these algorithmic skeleton tools. Being able to get all this information in a single skeleton enables better results when making decisions about how tasks must be orchestrated.

A. Structure

An algorithmic skeleton represents an algorithm whose overall structure is known, but some details can be defined in

a later step. This structure must be described by the developer. For this purpose, we provide atomic elements, called bones, each one implementing a specific sequential or parallel pattern such as a sequence of tasks or a farm [6]. Both bones and compound structures can be used to build new structures.

Alg. 1 presents an algorithm, GRASP, whose structure is composed of a loop, repeating a sequence of 2 tasks (a constructive heuristic (CH), to build a random solution, followed by a local search (LS) that improves the solution), then a sequential instruction that selects (Sel) the best solution. Fig. 1 is a representation of this GRASP structure.



Fig. 1. GRASP structure

B. Muscles

The circles in Fig. 1 are slots for tasks yet to be defined. These are called muscles in algorithmic skeleton terminology and are comparable to functions. For our library, these are implemented by regular C++ functions. This demonstrates the separation between domain code, written in muscles, and the parallelization details, written in bones and used through skeletons (i.e. structure and links). It also allows existing code to be easily used with our library.

C. Links

Apart from structure, our library requires the developer to define the data flow graph, that we named links, which describe how data is transferred between all tasks executions. This part is usually automatically done by the libraries, however this implies less flexibility and add constraints when defining tasks. In addition, having links explicitly defined enables potential optimizations through TMP and helps producing better implementations (e.g. by avoiding copies).

A task to execute can be either a muscle or a skeleton, in both cases it behaves as a callable. For that reason, links are described using function signatures, which contain the return type and the parameter list. Our library provides placeholder types to make the links. For example, it is possible to write that a muscle accepts as first parameter the return value of its predecessor, or the second argument of its caller. This type is then replaced by the corresponding actual type.

III. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We used Template Metaprogramming (TMP) in order to avoid inducing run-time overhead when compared to a handwritten implementation. To validate that objective, we ran performance measures on two versions of an algorithm to solve Travelling Salesman Problem (TSP) instances: a handwritten one and another generated by our library. The algorithm is a GRASP whose local search is implemented by an Evolutionary Local Search (ELS), named GRASPxELS. It offers two distinct parallelizable levels. These tests have been performed on an Intel Xeon CPU E5-2670 v2 at 2.50GHz, with 20 physical cores and compiled using g ++ 8.2.0 with the O2 optimization flag activated. All figures result of means of 20 runs, where seeds for the random number generation were controlled to ensure repeatability (i.e. that every run, independently of the number of threads allocated, performs the same amount of operations and provides the same result).

Fig. 2 shows the comparison between handwritten and automatically generated GRASPxELS for a sequential implementation, with a varying number of iterations from 5 to 30 for the outer GRASP loop. No significant run-time overhead is noticeable. Fig. 3 also results of the comparison between handwritten and automatically generated GRASPxELS but for a parallel implementation, with a fixed number of iterations and a varying number of allotted cores. Similarly, no significant run-time overhead was measured.



Fig. 2. Execution time depending on the number of iterations



Fig. 3. Execution time depending on the number of cores

Based on these measures, we conclude that we achieved an implementation of algorithmic skeletons that performs as well as a handwritten solution. It enables developers to describe their algorithms entirely, sequential as well as parallelizable parts, and to define how the data is transferred between tasks. The described skeletons can then be completed by providing simple functions whose signatures correspond to the slot they try to fill. The knowledge the library has got, thanks to these algorithmic skeletons, enables compile-time algorithm analysis and more suited implementations.

IV. REFERENCES

- M. Cole, 'Bringing skeletons out of the closet: a pragmatic manifesto for skeletal parallel programming', *Parallel Comput.*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 389– 406, Mar. 2004.
- [2] M. Leyton and J. M. Piquer, 'Skandium: multi-core programming with algorithmic skeletons', in 2010 18th Euromicro Conference on Parallel, Distributed and Network-based Processing, Pisa, 2010, pp. 289–296.
- [3] J. Falcou, J. Sérot, T. Chateau, and J. T. Lapresté, 'Quaff: efficient C++ design for parallel skeletons', *Parallel Comput.*, vol. 32, no. 7, pp. 604– 615, Sep. 2006.
- [4] P. Ciechanowicz, M. Poldner, and H. Kuchen, 'The Münster skeleton library Muesli - a comprehensive overview', 2009.
- [5] A. Ernstsson, L. Li, and C. Kessler, 'SkePU 2: flexible and type-safe skeleton programming for heterogeneous parallel systems', *Int. J. Parallel Program.*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 62–80, Feb. 2018.
- [6] D. K. G. Campbell, 'Towards the classification of algorithmic skeletons', 1996.