HAL open science

Comparing $L(s,\chi)$ with its truncated Euler product and generalization

Olivier Ramaré

▶ To cite this version:

Olivier Ramaré. Comparing $L(s,\chi)$ with its truncated Euler product and generalization. Functiones et Approximatio Commentarii Mathematici, 2010, 42 (2), pp.145-151. hal-02572735

HAL Id: hal-02572735

https://hal.science/hal-02572735v1

Submitted on 13 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Comparing $L(s,\chi)$ with its truncated Euler product and generalization

O. Ramaré

April 17, 2009

Abstract

We show that any L-function attached to a non-exceptionnal Hecke Grossencharakter Ξ may be approximated by a truncated Euler product when s lies near the line $\Re s = 1$. This leads to some refined bounds on $L(s,\Xi)$.

1 Introduction and results

For $L(1,\chi)$, see [8], [16] and [1].

We first need to fix some terminology. We select a number field $\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}$ be a number field of degree d and discriminant Δ. We denote its norm by N, as a shortcut to $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}}$. We shall consider Hecke Grossencharakters Ξ with (finite) ideal $\mathfrak{f}$, of norm q, and associated with some finite set of infinite places. The conductor $\mathfrak{f}$ being fixed, the main Theorem of [5] tells us there exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that no L-function $L(s,\Xi)$ has a zero ρ in the region

$$\Re\rho \geq 1 - \frac{C}{\operatorname{Log}\max(q\Delta, q\Delta|\Im s|)} \tag{1}$$

except at most one such character; this potential exception is real valued and may have at most one real zero β in this region. We refer to this hypothetical character as the exceptional character and term the remaining ones as being non-exceptional. See also [11]. In the case of Dirichlet characters, i.e. $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}$, we know from [13] that we may take $C = 1/6.3958$.

AMS Classification. Primary : 11R42, 11M06 ; Secondary : 11M20
Keywords: Hecke Grossencharakter, Dirichlet L-functions

Theorem 1 *Let Ξ be a non-exceptional Hecke Grossencharacter with (finite) conductor $\mathfrak{f}$ of norm $q > 1$. We have*

$$L(s,\Xi) \asymp \prod_{\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{p} \le q\Delta|s|} \left(1 - \Xi(\mathfrak{p})/\,\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{p}^s\right)^{-1}$$

when $1 \ge (\Re s - 1)\operatorname{Log}(q\Delta(2+|s|)) \ge -C/2$, the constant C being the one from (1).

The restriction to non-exceptional characters can be dispensed with if we assume $|\Im s| \ge 1/\operatorname{Log}(q\Delta)$. Under the Riemann hypothesis for the implied L-function, we can restrict the above product to $p \le \operatorname{Log}\operatorname{Log}(q\Delta|s|)$. As trivial consequences, we find via (a generalization of) Mertens theorems (see (9) below) that, under these conditions

$$\frac{q/\phi(q)}{\operatorname{Log}(q\Delta|s|)} \ll |L(s,\Xi)| \ll \frac{\phi(q)}{q}\operatorname{Log}(q\Delta|s|). \tag{2}$$

The upper bound is classical in the case of Dirichlet characters but improves considerably in the general case on the one given in Theorem 5 of [17], albeit being less explicit. The factor $q/\phi(q)$ in the lower one appears to be novel, even in the case of Dirichlet characters. For instance, it supersedes the one of Corollary 2 of [11] by the factor $q/\phi(q)$ and by the fact that it is valid for any non-exceptional character. From a historical viewpoint, [14] shows that $|L(1,\chi)| \gg 1/\operatorname{Log}^5 q$ for non-real characters, and improves this in $|L(1,\chi)| \gg 1/\operatorname{Log} q$ in [15]. The proof is somewhat more delicate than expected.

Note also (by again invoking Mertens' theorems) that we can restrict the product to $p \le (q|s|)^a$ for any positive a.

Granville & Soundararajan investigated in [6] (see also [7]) the distribution of values of $L(1,\chi)$ (χ being a Dirichlet character) via an approximation by an Euler product and in particular,they show in their Proposition 1 that the Euler product may be truncated to $p \le \operatorname{Log} q$ for all but $\mathcal{O}(q^{1-2/\operatorname{Log}\operatorname{Log} q})$ characters. Note however that they aim at an exact approximation of $L(1,\Xi)$ while we only seek to recover its order of magnitude.

Our main ingredient is the following Lemma of independent interest.

Lemma 1 *Under the conditions above, $|L'/L(s,\Xi)| \ll \operatorname{Log}(q\Delta(2+|s|))$.*

In this Lemma also, the restriction to non-exceptional characters can be dispensed with if we assume $|\Im s| \ge 1/\operatorname{Log}(q\Delta)$. The inequality $-\Re L'/L(s,\Xi) \le c\operatorname{Log}(q\Delta(2+|s|))$ when $\Re s > 1$ is a classical element of the proof of the zero-free region for $L(\cdot,\Xi)$ (see [4, chapter 14] for instance); by using his local

method, Landau shows in [15, page 30] that $\Re L'/L(s,\Xi) \leq c\,\mathrm{Log}(q\Delta(2+|s|))$. The above Lemma shows that much more is true and that only invoking a one-sided bound for the real part does nor lead to any improvement.

Under the Riemann hypothesis for $L(\cdot,\Xi)$, the upper bound becomes $\mathrm{Log}\,\mathrm{Log}(q\Delta(2+|s|))$.

Generalization

Like many properties of Dirichlet L-functions, this one generalizes to a wide class of L-functions. We shall not describe such a general context but refer the reader to chapter 5 of [12]. We work under the conditions of their Theorem 5.10: $L(f,s)$ is an L-function fo degree d such that the Rankin-Selberg convolutions $L(f\otimes f,s)$ and $L(f\otimes \overline{f},s)$ exist, the latter having a simple pole at $s=1$ while the former is entire if $f\neq\overline{f}$. We further suppose that $|\alpha_j(p)|^2 \leq p/2$ at the ramified primes. The notion of exceptional character is more complicated to define in a general context, since it requires a way of defining families of L-functions. Assuming that our candidate has no real zero in the classical zero-free region, we find that

$$L(f,s) \asymp \prod_{p\leq \mathfrak{q}(f,s)} (1-\alpha_1(p)p^{-s})^{-1}\cdots(1-\alpha_d(p)p^{-s})^{-1} \tag{3}$$

where the analytical conductor is defined there in equation (5.7).

Notations

We need some names for our variables, and the easiest path is to keep a fixed point $s_0=\sigma_0+it_0$, which will be s in the Theorem, and a running $s=\sigma+it$. We define

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{Log}(q\Delta(|s_0|+2)). \tag{4}$$

The point $s_1=\sigma_1+it_0$ with $\sigma_1=1+1/\mathcal{L}$ will be of special interest.

2 Some material on primes in number fields

We can use the prime number Theorem for $\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}$, but we prefer to sketch an elementary approach to the classical results we need. Such material is also contained in [18]. Assume we have an asymptotic estimate:

$$\sum_{\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{a}\leq X} 1 = c_0X + \mathcal{O}(X/\mathrm{Log}(2X)) \tag{5}$$

where $\mathfrak{a}$ ranges the integral ideals of $\mathbb{K}$. Such an estimate is linked with the fact that the Dedekind zeta function $\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}$ of $\mathbb{K}$ has a simple pole at $s = 1$. In particular c_0 is the residue of this function at $s = 1$. The results we seek also hold with the error term being simply $o(X)$, but our proof would require a modification. From this we deduce that

$$\sum_{\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{a} \leq X} \operatorname{Log} \mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{a} = c_0 X \operatorname{Log} X + \mathcal{O}(X). \tag{6}$$

Writing $\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}'/\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}(s) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \Lambda_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{a})/\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{a}^s$ we find that $\sum_{\mathfrak{b}|\mathfrak{a}} \Lambda_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{b}) = \operatorname{Log} \mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{a}$ and plugging this into (6), we get

$$c_0 X \operatorname{Log} X + \mathcal{O}(X) = \sum_{\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{b} \leq X} \Lambda_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{b}) \sum_{\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{c} \leq X/\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{b}} 1 = X \sum_{\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{b} \leq X} \frac{\Lambda_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{b})}{\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{b}}$$

by appealing to (5), from which we infer

$$\sum_{\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{b} \leq X} \frac{\Lambda_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{b})}{\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{b}} = \operatorname{Log} X + \mathcal{O}(1). \tag{7}$$

Using the expression of $\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}$ as an Euler product, we find that $\Lambda_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{b})$ is zero except when $\mathfrak{b}$ is a power of a prime $\mathfrak{p}$, at which point it takes the value $\operatorname{Log} \mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{p}$. This finally leads us to the estimate

$$\sum_{\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{p} \leq X} \frac{\operatorname{Log} \mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{p}}{\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{p}} = \operatorname{Log} X + \mathcal{O}(1). \tag{8}$$

We infer $\sum_{\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{p} \leq X} 1/\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{p} = \operatorname{Log}\operatorname{Log} X + \mathcal{O}(1)$ and thus

$$\prod_{\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{p} \leq X} (1 - 1/\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{p}) \asymp 1/\operatorname{Log} X \tag{9}$$

which is enough for our purpose. This is not what is referred to as Mertens' Theorem, since we do not have a proper asymptotic, but these estimates are enough for our purpose. We refer the reader to [3] for related material on explicit Mertens' Theorem in abelian number fields.

3 Proof of Lemma 1

We start from Linnik's density lemma which the reader may find in [5, Lemma 7] or in [2, chapter 6] in case of Dirichlet characters. We define

$n(1+it,r)$ to be the number of zeros ρ of $L(s,\chi)$ in the disc $|\rho-i-it|\le r$. We have

$$\frac{L'}{L}(s,\Xi)=\frac{-\delta_\Xi}{s-1}+\sum_{|\rho-1-it_0|\le 1/3}\frac{1}{s-\rho}+\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{L})\quad(|s-1-it_0|\le 1/4),\tag{10}$$

where δ_Ξ is 1 if Ξ is principal, and 0 otherwise. This is for instance Lemma 6 of [5]; In case of Dirichlet characters, this is (4) of chapter 16 of [4], and in a general context (5.28) of [12]. These two last proofs relie on a global representation of L'/L, while Fogel's one follows the local method of Landau. The latest refinements of this method may be found in [10] and [9].

One of the consequences of (10) is Linnik's density lemma:

$$n(1+it,r)\ll r\mathfrak{L}+1.\tag{11}$$

Apply (10) to $s=\sigma+it$ with $\sigma\ge 1-2C/\mathcal{L}$ and to s_1 and substract. For any zero ρ in the summation above, we have $|s-\rho|\ge|1+it_0-\rho|/2$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{L'}{L}(s,\Xi)-\frac{L'}{L}(s_1,\Xi)\right|&\le\sum_{|\rho-1-it_0|\le 1/3}\frac{4|\sigma-\sigma_1|}{|1+it_0-\rho|^2}+\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{L})\\&\le|\sigma-\sigma_1|\sum_{0\le k\le \operatorname{Log}\mathcal{L}}\sum_{r=2^k\le|\rho-1-it_0|\mathcal{L}\le 2^{k+1}}\frac{4}{r^2}+\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{L})\\&\le|\sigma-\sigma_1|\sum_{0\le k\le\operatorname{Log}\mathcal{L}}\frac{2n(1+it_0,r)}{r^2}+\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{L})\\&\ll|\sigma-\sigma_1|\sum_{0\le k\le\operatorname{Log}\mathcal{L}}\left(\frac{\mathcal{L}}{r}+\frac{1}{r^2}\right)+\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{L})\ll|\sigma-\sigma_1|\mathcal{L}^2+\mathcal{L}.\end{aligned}$$

Notice furthermore that $|L'/L(s_1,\Xi)|\le-\zeta'/\zeta(\sigma_1)\ll\mathcal{L}$, so that, when $\sigma\le 1+\mathcal{L}$, the above inequality reduces to

$$\left|\frac{L'}{L}(s,\Xi)\right|\ll\mathcal{L}.\tag{12}$$

This ends the proof in case of non-exceptional characters. In case of an exceptional character, we simply consider separately in (10) its contribution, namely $1/(s-\beta)$ which is again $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{L})$. Under the Riemann hypothesis, we simply invoke Theorem 5.17 of [12].

4 Proof of the Theorem

Define $R = q\Delta|s_0|$. We check that

$$\left|\frac{L'}{L}(s,\Xi) + \sum_{\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{p}\le R} \frac{\Xi(\mathfrak{p})\operatorname{Log}\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{p}}{\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{p}^s - \Xi(\mathfrak{p})}\right| \ll \mathcal{L} + \operatorname{Log} R \ll \mathcal{L} \tag{13}$$

when $s = \sigma + it_0$ and $1 \ge (\sigma-1)\mathcal{L} \ge -C/2$. We integrate (12) between s_1 and s_0 and find that

$$|\operatorname{Log} L_R(s_0,\Xi) - \operatorname{Log} L_R(s_1,\Xi)| \ll 1 \tag{14}$$

with $L_R(s,\Xi) = \prod_{\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{p}>R}(1-\Xi(\mathfrak{p})/\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{p}^s)^{-1}$. Next we note that

$$\begin{aligned} |L_R(s_1,\Xi)| &\le \prod_{\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{p}>R}(1-\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{p}^{-\sigma_1})^{-1} \le \exp\sum_{\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{p}>R}\mathrm{N}\,\mathfrak{p}^{-\sigma_1} \\ &\ll \exp\int_R^\infty \frac{dt}{t^{\sigma_1}\operatorname{Log} t} = \exp\int_{R^{\sigma_1-1}}^\infty \frac{dv}{v^2\operatorname{Log} v} \end{aligned}$$

by setting $v = t^{\sigma_1-1}$, and where we have invoked (8). The last quantity is bounded since so is R^{σ_1-1}. Considering only real parts in (14), the Theorem readily follows.

References

[1] P. Barrucand and S. Louboutin. Minoration au point 1 des fonctions L attachées à des caractères de Dirichlet. *Colloq. Math.*, 65(2):301–306, 1993.

[2] E. Bombieri. Le grand crible dans la théorie analytique des nombres. *Astérisque*, 18:103pp, 1987.

[3] O. Bordellés. An explicit Mertens' type inequality for arithmetic progressions. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 6(3):paper no 67 (10p), 2005.

[4] H. Davenport. *Multiplicative Number Theory.* Graduate texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, third edition edition, 2000.

[5] E. Fogels. On the zeros of Hecke's L-functions, I. *Acta Arith.*, 7:87–106, 1962.

[6] A. Granville and K. Soundararajan. The distribution of values of $L(1,\chi)$. *Geom. Func. Anal.*, 13(5):992–1028, 2003. http://www.math.uga.edu/~andrew/Postscript/L1chi.ps.

[7] A. Granville and K. Soundararajan. Errata to: The distribution of values of $L(1,\chi)$, in GAFA 13:5 (2003). *Geom. Func. Anal.*, 14(1):245–246, 2004.

[8] K. Hardy, R.H. Hudson, D. Richman, and K.S. Williams. Determination of all imaginary cyclic quartic fields with class number 2. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 311(1):1–55, 1989.

[9] D.R. Heath-Brown. Zero-free regions for Dirichlet L-functions and the least prime in an arithmetic progression. *Proc. London Math. Soc., III Ser.*, 64(2):265–338, 1992.

[10] D.R. Heath-Brown. Zero-free regions of $\zeta(s)$ and $L(s,\chi)$. In E. (ed.) et al. Bombieri, editor, *Proceedings of the Amalfi conference on analytic number theory*, pages 195–200, Maiori, Amalfi, Italy, from 25 to 29 September, 1989. Salerno: Universita di Salerno,, 1992.

[11] J. Hinz and M. Lodemann. On Siegel Zeros of Hecke-Landau Zeta-Functions. *Monat. Math.*, 118:231–248, 1994.

[12] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski. *Analytic number theory.* American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004. xii+615 pp.

[13] H. Kadiri. An explicit zero-free region for the Dirichlet L-functions. *To appear in J. Number Theory*, 2009.

[14] E. Landau. Über das Nichtverschwinden der Dirichletschen Reihen, welche komplexen Charakteren entsprechen. *Math. Ann.*, 70(1):69–78, 1910.

[15] E. Landau. Über Dirichletsche Reihen mit komplexen Charakteren entsprechen. *J. f. M.*, 157:26–32, 1926.

[16] S. Louboutin. Minoration au point 1 des fonctions L et détermination des corps sextiques abéliens totalement imaginaires principaux. *Acta Arith.*, 62(2):109–124, 1992.

[17] H. Rademacher. On the Phragmén-Lindelöf theorem and some applications. *Math. Z.*, 72:192–204, 1959.

[18] M. Rosen. A generalization of Mertens' theorem. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, 14(1):1–19, 1999.