

HOX gene cluster (de)regulation in brain: from neurodevelopment to malignant glial tumours

Céline S Gonçalves, Elisa Le Boiteux, Philippe P. Arnaud, Bruno Costa

▶ To cite this version:

Céline S Gonçalves, Elisa Le Boiteux, Philippe P. Arnaud, Bruno Costa. HOX gene cluster (de)regulation in brain: from neurodevelopment to malignant glial tumours. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences, 2020, 10.1007/s00018-020-03508-9. hal-02570662

HAL Id: hal-02570662 https://hal.science/hal-02570662

Submitted on 12 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	
2	
3	HOX gene cluster (de)regulation in brain: from neurodevelopment to
4	malignant glial tumours
5	
6	
7	
8	Authors: Céline S. Gonçalves ^{1, 2, a} , Elisa Le Boiteux ^{3, a} , Philippe Arnaud ^{3, b} , Bruno M. Costa ^{1, 2, b}
9	
10	¹ Life and Health Sciences Research Institute, School of Medicine, University of Minho, Braga,
11	Portugal;
12	² ICVS/3B's-PT Government Associate Laboratory, Braga/Guimarães, Braga, Portugal;
13	³ GReD, Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, INSERM, Clermont-Ferrand, France.
14	^a - equally contributing
15	^b - co-senior authors
16	
17	Céline S. Gonçalves ORCID: 0000-0002-3713-119X
18	Philippe Arnaud ORCID: 0000-0002-7937-8764
19	Bruno M. Costa ORCID: 0000-0003-4861-7432
20	
21	Corresponding Author: Bruno M. Costa, Life and Health Sciences Research Institute (ICVS),
22	School of Medicine, University of Minho, Campus de Gualtar, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal. Email:
23	bfmcosta@med.uminho.pt; Phone: (+351)253604837; Fax: (+351)253604831

1 Abstract:

HOX genes encode a family of evolutionarily conserved homeodomain transcription factors that are 2 3 crucial both during development and adult life. In humans, 39 HOX genes are arranged in four clusters (HOXA, B, C, and D) in chromosomes 7, 17, 12 and 2, respectively. During embryonic development, 4 5 particular epigenetic states accompany their expression along the anterior-posterior body axis. This 6 tightly regulated temporal-spatial expression pattern reflects their relative chromosomal localization, 7 and is critical for normal embryonic brain development, when HOX genes are mainly expressed in 8 the hindbrain and mostly absent in the forebrain region. Epigenetic marks, mostly polycomb-9 associated, are dynamically regulated at HOX loci and regulatory regions to ensure the finely tuned 10 HOX activation and repression, highlighting a crucial epigenetic plasticity necessary for homeostatic development. HOX genes are essentially absent in healthy adult brain, whereas they are detected in 11 12 malignant brain tumours, namely gliomas, where HOX genes display critical roles by regulating 13 several hallmarks of cancer. Here, we review the major mechanisms involved in HOX genes 14 (de)regulation in the brain, from embryonic to adult stages, in physiological and oncologic conditions. We focus particularly on the emerging causes of HOX gene deregulation in glioma, as well as on 15 their functional and clinical implications. 16

17

18 Keywords: glioma; epigenetics; transcriptional regulation; neurodevelopment; homeobox

19

Abbreviations: 3C: chromosome conformation capture; 3D: 3-dimensional; 4C-seq: circularized 20 21 chromosome conformation capture with deep sequencing; 5C: chromosome conformation capture 22 carbon copy; AQB: AC1Q3QWB drug; CAM: chicken chorioallantoic membrane; CGGA: Chinese 23 Glioma Genome Atlas; ChIP: chromatin immunoprecipitation; CHROMO: chromatin organization 24 modifier; CNS: central nervous system; CNV: copy number variations; COMPASS: complex proteins 25 associated with Set1; DNA: deoxyribonucleic acid; ESCs: embryonic stem cells; GBM: glioblastoma; 26 G-CIMP: glioma CpG island methylator phenotype; GSCs: GBM stem cells; H2AK119ub: 27 ubiquitination of H2AK119 residues; H3K27ac: histone H3 lysine 27 acetylation; H3K27me3: 28 histone H3 lysine 27 trimethylation; H3K4me3: histone H3 lysine 4 trimethylation; Hi-C: 29 chromosome capture followed by high-throughput sequencing; HOX: homeobox; HUVEC: human umbilical vein endothelial cells; LGG: low-grade gliomas; lncRNA: long non-coding RNA; miRNAs: 30 micro RNA; mRNA: messenger RNA; OS: overall survival; PARs: promoter-associated RNAs; PcG: 31 polycomb group; PCR: polymerase chain reaction; PDX: patient-derived xenograft; PRE: polycomb 32 33 responsive element; RA: retinoic acid; RNA: ribonucleic acid; SINE: short interspersed nuclear 34 elements; TAD: topological associating domain; TCGA: The Cancer Genome Atlas; TMZ:

- 1 temozolomide; TrxG: trithorax-group proteins; TSS: transcription start sites; WHO: World Health
- 2 Organization

1 1. Introduction

2 Genetic mutations in the fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster that resulted in homeotic transformations 3 of one body segment to another (e.g., antennae growing at the posterior part instead of at the head of 4 the fly) led to the identification of master transcriptional regulators named homeobox genes [1-5]. 5 These genes are characterized by a consensus DNA sequence of 183 base pairs, the so-called homeobox, that encodes the homeodomain, a 61-amino acid peptide motif with a distinctive helix-6 7 loop-helix-turn-helix structure [6]. This homeodomain serves, among other functions, as a DNA 8 binding domain that preferentially recognizes a specific TA-rich core DNA sequence, such as TAAT 9 or TTAT [7-10]. Homeobox genes are of paramount importance for the developmental and postdevelopmental regulation of morphogenesis, patterning and differentiation, independently of the 10

11 organ, limb or tissue where they are expressed [11-19].

12 HOX genes represent the main subset of the homeobox family. They display evolutionarily conserved transcription factor functions common to all bilaterian animals [20], and are considered crucial for 13 14 the establishment of segmental identity along the anterior-posterior body axis of vertebrates. HOX 15 proteins also have non-transcriptional activities and are implicated in regulating various processes, 16 such as DNA replication and repair, mRNA translation, and protein degradation (reviewed by 17 Rezsohazy et al. [21]). Structurally, they are divided into two exons and one intron, being the homeobox sequence present in the second exon (Fig. 1A). In D. melanogaster, eight collinear HOX 18 19 genes, split into two clusters (the antennapedia and bithorax complexes), are responsible for segmental patterning. In amniotes, there are 39 HOX genes distributed into four clusters (HOXA, 20 21 HOXB, HOXC, and HOXD) according to their chromosomal localization (7p15, 17q21.2, 12q13, and 2q31, respectively, in humans) [22]. Each cluster is divided into 13 paralogue groups (HOX1 at the 22 3' end to HOX13 at the 5' end of each cluster), with 9 to 11 genes assigned to each cluster, based on 23 24 their sequence homology and position within the cluster (Fig. 1B). It is thought that these four clusters originated during vertebrate evolution due to two rounds of whole genome duplication that resulted 25 in paralogue clusters with partial redundant functions [20,23,24]. The temporal-spatial collinear 26 27 expression of HOX genes during embryogenesis [25,23] is one of the most organized and captivating 28 mechanisms of gene regulation, in which the expression pattern of each paralogue group directly 29 mirrors their collinear chromosomal organization. The first paralogues (HOX1 and HOX2) are the first to be expressed (shortly after the establishment of the primitive streak), followed by the other 30 31 paralogues (from the 3' end to the 5' end in each cluster) in a time/developmental stage-dependent 32 manner. In addition to their temporally regulated expression, they are gradually expressed from more anterior regions to more posterior regions of the embryo. This spatial collinearity (i.e., the relation 33 34 between the relative chromosomal position of a HOX gene and its spatial expression in the body) was

first described in *D. melanogaster* [4]. Since then, it has been observed throughout the animal kingdom [26]. Nonetheless, temporal collinearity is reserved to bilaterians with intact chromosomal organization of HOX in clusters [27,28]. Unsurprisingly, at least 15 genetic disorders were associated with germline mutations in 10 out of 39 HOX genes in humans (as reviewed in Quinonez, Innis [29]). In mouse, loss-of-function mutations of 26 out of 28 tested Hox genes resulted in a particular phenotype/defect in the animal, which mirrors those observed in humans and may thus help clinicians to better predict the effects of alterations in specific HOX genes in patients [29].

8 This review will critically summarize the major molecular mechanisms of transcriptional regulation 9 of HOX genes in the healthy brain, with a special focus on their dynamic epigenetic landscapes during 10 critical stages of neuro-development. In addition, it will discuss the most critical molecular alterations 11 and functional roles of HOX genes in brain tumours, as well as their recently highlighted clinical 12 relevance in patients.

13 2. General principles of HOX regulation

14 The collinear activation, in time and space, of HOX genes during vertebrate development relies on a 15 multi-scale regulation that involves the *cis*-regulatory landscape, the three-dimensional (3D) 16 chromatin configuration, the histone modification pattern and RNA-based regulation [27].

• Proximal and remote *cis*-regulatory regions

Early studies in transgenic mice showed that some individual Hox genes inserted at ectopic positions 18 in the genome can recapitulate their endogenous expression pattern, indicating that the necessary 19 20 regulatory sequences are in their vicinity [30,31]. Accordingly, multiple proximal *cis*-regulatory 21 sequences that can influence transcription of neighbouring genes were identified within Hox clusters 22 [32-34]. For instance, two retinoic acid (RA) response elements embedded in the Hoxb cluster control 23 expression of Hoxb5 to Hoxb9 in the developing neural tube [35]. Such proximal regulatory 24 sequences provide a frame for the evolutionary conserved temporal collinear activation of HOX 25 genes. However, novel HOX-associated patterning functions, which often use the collinear property of only a subset of genes from the same cluster, emerged in vertebrate lineages. These novel functions 26 27 often rely on cis-regulatory sequences located outside the HOX cluster. For instance the development 28 of both proximal and distal limb segments, in the limb buds, relies on two subsequent waves of Hoxd genes transcription, controlled by distinct remote *cis*-regulatory regions located on each side of the 29 gene cluster [36-38]. A similar long-range regulation is also documented at the other HOX clusters 30 31 to control the coordinated activation of HOX genes in specific developmental stages and tissues [41-32 43]. These observations revealed the importance of the remote transcriptional control to the HOX-

mediated patterning of the developing vertebrate embryo. In addition, as the distant enhancers and HOX promoters must physically interact, the 3D chromatin conformation has to be taken into account to understand HOX regulation. Accordingly, a significant advance in our understanding of HOX control came with the development of molecular tools to assess the 3D genome organization at highresolution.

6 • A 3D ch

• A 3D chromatin conformation-based regulation

7 The development of the Chromosome Conformation Capture (3C) methodology and its derivatives 8 (circularized chromosome conformation capture with deep sequencing, 4C-seq; chromosome 9 conformation capture carbon copy, 5C; and chromosome capture followed by high-throughput sequencing, Hi-C) [44] allowed the analysis of the relationship between genome architecture and 10 11 gene expression control over time. Specifically, Hi-C approaches showed that chromosomes are divided into mega base-scale regions, called Topological associating domains (TADs) in which 12 13 chromatin interactions are highly favoured [45,46]. These domains are relatively stable in the 14 different cell types, and are proposed to be structural features of the genome [45]. Strikingly, both HOXA and HOXD clusters lie at the boundary between two TADs [45]. This topological organization 15 might separate these compact gene clusters in two independent functional units that will specify 16 contacts between remote enhancers and their cognate HOX target genes during development (Fig. 2). 17 18 This scheme explains the bi-modal regulation of the Hoxd cluster during limb development. Longrange interactions between the 3' Hoxd genes and enhancers located in the telomeric gene desert 19 20 occur within the 3' (telomeric) TAD. Conversely, 5' genes segregate in the 5' (centromeric) TAD 21 where they contact enhancers in the centromeric gene desert. Interestingly, a subset of contacts 22 between Hox promoters and their distal enhancers occur by default within the TAD, regardless of the enhancer activity and their expression status [39,41]. In parallel to the enhancer-promoter contacts 23 24 established concomitantly with gene activation [48,49], this pre-established configuration at a subset 25 of genes might prime them for rapid induction [32,50].

26 The molecular bases of TAD ontogeny at HOX cluster are not fully understood. A critical factor is 27 the chromatin barrier insulator CTCF (CCCTC-binding factor) that is often located at TAD 28 boundaries [45]. Specifically, deletion of CTCF binding sites within the Hoxa cluster can reposition 29 the TAD boundary and lead to misregulation of Hox genes expression [51,52]. However, other yet to be identified actors might contribute to TAD boundary positioning [53]. These include the structural 30 protein cohesin that promotes DNA loop formation between distant regions [54], as well as genomic 31 features, such as the presence of short interspersed nuclear elements (SINE) [45]. Tissue-specific 32 transcription factors also could influence TAD boundary position. This hypothesis is supported by 33

1 the observation that this position can vary in a tissue-specific manner at the HOXA and HOXD

2 clusters (reviewed in [32]), with reallocation of some genes from one TAD to the other. Therefore,

3 there could be an interplay between intra-cluster TAD boundaries and tissue-specific transcriptional

4 machinery [32].

Unlike HOXA and HOXD, the HOXB and HOXC clusters are not associated with a TAD boundary
[45], suggesting that TADs are not required for long-range regulations within these clusters. Their
genomic organization might create a physical separation between the 3' and 5' parts of each cluster.
Indeed, due to its remote localization, *HOXB13* is isolated from *HOXB1-HOXB9*, while the HOXC
cluster does not contain paralogues for the groups 1-3 (Fig. 1B).

10

• HOX genes are *bona-fide* polycomb targets

HOX gene activation is associated with dynamic changes in their chromatin signature. Hence, expression patterns induced by productive enhancer-promoter contacts are maintained and faithfully transmitted to daughter cells. Besides the gain of the activating H3K27ac (acetylation of histone H3 lysine 27) mark within enhancers [55], the most drastic changes affect the distribution of the repressive H3K27me3 and the permissive H3K4me3 marks within HOX clusters.

In embryonic stem cells (ESCs), which do not express HOX genes, whole HOX clusters are fully 16 17 decorated by H3K27me3, while at their promoter area this mark co-exists with H3K4me3, constituting the so-called bivalent chromatin [56,57] (Fig. 3A). It has been suggested that bivalent 18 19 chromatin domains repress transcription of genes through H3K27me3, while keeping them 'poised' 20 for alternative fates, through resolution into either H3K4me3 or H3K27me3, upon stem cell 21 differentiation [56]. In the developing embryo, collinear activation of HOX genes is accompanied by a progressive loss of H3K27me3 associated with H3K4me3 gain. Consequently, H3K27me3- and 22 H3K4me3-marked domains demarcate repressed and active HOX genes, respectively, along the 23 24 cluster (Fig. 3B). In differentiated cells and tissues where all HOX genes are repressed, such as the 25 forebrain, H3K27me3 coats the whole clusters [58,59,57] (Fig. 3C). This observation highlights the importance of the polycomb (PcG) and trithorax (TrxG) groups of proteins that regulate H3K27me3 26 27 and H3K4me3 deposition, respectively, in the epigenetic regulation of HOX genes.

PcG-mediated silencing relies on two complexes that act sequentially: Polycomb Repressive complex 1 and 2 (PRC1 and PRC2). In the canonical model, PRC2 first deposits H3K27me3 at the targeted chromatin. H3K27me3 then recruits PRC1 that induces a compacted chromatin state mainly through ubiquitination of H2AK119 residues (H2AK119ub). This two-step model is supported by the finding that while both complexes are required for proper HOX gene silencing [60,58,61], PRC1 deficiency

does not affect the PRC2-mediated H3K27me3 pattern [58]. Unlike in Drosophila, no polycomb 1 2 responsive element (PRE) has been formally described in vertebrates, questioning the mechanism for PRC targeting at specific loci. The observation that PRC2 components can associate with long non-3 coding RNAs (lncRNA) and promoter-associated RNAs (PARs) suggests the implication of RNA 4 transcripts in this process [62-64]. For instance, the lncRNA HOTAIR, which is produced in the 5' 5 6 part of the HOXC cluster, recruits PRC2 and acts in trans to silence the genes located in the 5' part of the HOXD cluster in cultured cells [63,65]. Similarly, it has been suggested that Hoxa adjacent 7 8 lncRNA 1 (Harl1), a lncRNA located approximatively 50Kb downstream of the HOXA cluster, 9 represses this cluster in mouse ESCs by recruiting PRC2 to Hoxa promoters [66]. However, 10 functional studies in animals indicate that Harll is dispensable for normal development [67,68], and brought conflicting results on Hotair [69-71], questioning the role of lncRNAs in the regulation of 11 12 HOX clusters in vivo. It has also been proposed that PRC2 recruitment could be responsive to 13 permissive chromatin signatures at promoters [72]. In agreement, studies in mammalian cells and tissues showed that PRC2 is recruited by default at transcriptionally inactive CpG islands/promoters 14 15 [73,74].

TrxG proteins are the second main epigenetic regulator of HOX clusters. Specifically, deposition of 16 17 H3K4me3 at HOX clusters in mammals relies on the COMPASS-like complex that contains MLL1 and 2, the homologues of Drosophila Trx [75]. Interestingly, this complex also contains the 18 H3K27me3-demethylase KDM6A that removes H3K27me3 at HOX loci [76-78]. This suggests that 19 20 H3K4me3 deposition and H3K27me3 removal are coupled at HOX promoters. Like for PRC2, it has 21 been suggested that lncRNAs promote recruitment of the TrxG/MLL complex. For instance, 22 HOTTIP, located at the 5' tip of the HOXA locus, recruits in cis the WDR5/MLL proteins and 23 promotes H3K4me3 deposition [79]. Alternatively, as observed for other COMPASS-like complexes 24 [80], TrxG/MLL complexes could be recruited at promoters through association with the 25 transcriptional machinery.

26

• Active and repressed HOX genes are within distinct nuclear compartments in the nucleus

Altogether, a model emerges whereby H3K27me3 is deposited by default at transcriptionally inactive
HOX genes, a process that can be promoted by lncRNA activity. Upon enhancer-induced activation,
the transcriptional machinery would bring TrxG/MLL/KDM6A complexes to promoters to sustain
HOX gene transcriptional activity by inducing H3K4me3 deposition and H3K27me3 removal.
Interestingly, the dynamics of H3K27me3/H3K4me3 distribution along the different HOX clusters
impacts their 3D architecture. Indeed, 4C approaches showed the segregation of active and repressed
HOX genes within distinct nuclear compartments [55,59]. Specifically, H3K27me3-marked HOX

1 loci cluster together within inactive nuclear compartments, denoted polycomb bodies, where they can

2 contact, although at a lower frequency, other polycomb targets through intra- and inter-chromosomal

3 interactions [+ Pirotta & Li, 81,82]. Upon induction, HOX genes switch to more discrete H3K4me3-

4 associated compartments, thus modifying the cluster 3D organization [55,59]. This spatial separation

5 between the silent and expressed parts of HOX clusters might facilitate their finely tuned regulation.

6 • miRNA and post-transcriptional regulation

7 In addition to transcriptional regulation, HOX expression is also controlled at the post-transcriptional 8 level by the mean of several processes, including polycistronic transcription, RNA processing or sequence-specific transcriptional control. These issues have been recently extensively reviewed 9 [Casaca et al., 2018]. Among those, one important mechanism is regulation via microRNA (miRNA) 10 11 repression. These short RNA species negatively regulate gene expression by binding target mRNA in a sequence-specific manner, further leading to degradation via the RNA-induced silencing complex 12 13 (reviewed in Bartel, 2018). Several highly conserved miRNA families are embedded within HOX 14 clusters, including for instance the miR-10, miR-615 and miR-196 families. Interestingly, among the 15 predicted targets of these HOX-embedded miRNAs, many are protein-coding HOX mRNAs [Yekta et al., 2008]. To which extent these miRNAs indeed contribute to control and coordinate HOX output 16 17 remain to be fully determined. Nonetheless, functional studies have highlighted that miR-196 and miR-10 do contribute to HOX mRNA regulation in vertebrates [Mandsfiled and McGlinn, 2012; 18 19 Casaca, 2018]. This is best illustrated by miR-196 that acts by delimiting Hoxb8 activity in developing hind limb and neural tissue [Hornstein, 2005; Asli and Kessel, 2010]. 20

21 3. Roles of HOX genes in the developing brain

Most HOX genes are expressed in the developing vertebrate central nervous system (CNS) where they have critical functions. They first play a central role in cell fate determination within hindbrain and spinal cord segments, further contributing to the establishment of functional neuronal networks [86; 98]. These structures are indeed key coordination centres of the CNS that, via dedicated neural assemblies, regulate complex physiological processes, including breathing, locomotion, heartbeat, and different sensory systems [107].

- 28 Following the onset of neural induction, the early vertebrate hindbrain is transiently segmented in
- 29 seven or eight cellular compartments, depending on the species, called rhombomeres (r1 to r8) (Fig.
- 30 1B). While no physical barrier exists between them, cells of each rhombomere remain well segregated
- and do not mix with those of the other rhombomeres [83,84]. Each of these segments constitutes an
- 32 independent lineage-restricted unit that creates regional diversity along the anterior-posterior axis of

the developing hindbrain, thus acting as a template for the future adult brainstem structure and 1 2 function. Each rhombomere is the source of distinctive neural progenitors that ultimately generate 3 rhombomere-specific populations of neurons [85]. For instance, among motor neurons, trigeminal 4 neurons develop in r2/r3, while facial and vagal neurons form in r4 and r7/r8, respectively. Although 5 such a clear segmentation is not present in the spinal cord, neuronal regional diversity is also observed 6 along the anterior-posterior axis. Specifically, spinal motor neurons are organized into longitudinal 7 columns along the four main spinal cord units: cervical, thoracic, lumbar and sacral [86]. For instance, 8 phrenic motor neurons are generated at the anterior cervical level, while preganglionic and hypaxial 9 neurons form at the thoracic level (Fig. 1B).

Strikingly, specific combinations of Hox gene expression and/or expression levels define the regional identity in hindbrain and spinal cord. Specifically, Hox1-Hox5 paralogue group genes display rhombomere-specific nested expression patterns in hindbrain. Similarly, Hox4-Hox11 genes are expressed in a nested fashion along the anterior-posterior spinal cord axis [87,88,86,89] (Fig. 1B). Disturbance of these patterns in the mouse and other vertebrate models have revealed that HOX genes play a key role in the segmentation and specification of several rhombomeres in hindbrain, and in the maintenance of columnar identity in spinal cord [90-93,86].

The HOX combinatorial code in hindbrain and spinal cord is also involved in key steps of neural 17 development by acting as a determinant to control the formation of distinct neuronal subtypes within 18 19 the different segments. Specifically, studies conducted on motor neuron specification highlighted the importance of HOX transcription factors in regulating the diversity and identity of motor neuron pools 20 in spinal cord, and in establishing the pattern and specificity of limb muscle innervation 21 22 [94,90,95,92,86,96]. In addition, studies conducted in Hox conditional mouse mutants support a role 23 for Hox genes in later stages of CNS development to generate neural circuit assemblies. For instance, 24 the formation of respiratory, somatosensory and auditory circuits is perturbed in the absence of 25 specific Hox genes [97-99].

26 Therefore, neuronal subtype specification and connectivity in hindbrain and spinal cord rely on HOX-27 specific expression patterns and the associated regionalization along the anterior-posterior axis. These expression patterns are set up sequentially during two phases of regulation in progenitors and post-28 mitotic neurons, respectively. They involve a complex interplay between morphogen signals, cross-29 regulatory interactions between Hox genes, and polycomb-associated epigenetic modifications. First, 30 in the neural tube, opposite gradients of RA and Fibroblast growth factor (FGF) signalling act in 31 32 concert to establish the initial Hox expression pattern in the early CNS [100,18]. RA primarily promotes the expression of the Hox1-5 paralogue group of genes through activation and direct binding 33

of RA receptors (RARs) [101]. In spinal cord, FGF establishes the Hox4-Hox10 gene expression 1 patterns through the induction and subsequent binding of the homeodomain protein factors CDX 2 3 [102,103]. Studies in differentiating mouse neural progenitors showed that binding of RARs and CDX to their cognate Hox target genes and their subsequent activation are associated with the rapid removal 4 5 of the repressive H3K27me3 mark [104]. This chromatin remodelling provides a template to transmit 6 these initial gene expression patterns to early post-mitotic neurons where they will be refined and 7 segmentally restricted. Although neural progenitors display a nested pattern of Hox expression, clear 8 Hox posterior boundaries will be indeed established at the time of differentiation and often maintained 9 up to late foetal stages in restricted neuronal subpopulations. This second regulatory phase relies on 10 direct self- and cross-regulatory interactions between HOX transcriptions factors and Hox genes, associated with the combined action of other transcription factors [105,106]. For instance, a complex 11 12 interplay between the KROX20 transcription factor and the Hox1 and Hox2 paralogue groups ensures 13 that Hoxb1 expression is restricted to r4 in the vertebrate hindbrain, where it will be then maintained through an auto-regulatory loop [107,108]. EZH2, the enzymatic component of PRC2, is required to 14 maintain Hox expression patterns in the segmented hindbrain. Accordingly, its neural-specific 15 16 depletion in the mouse leads to migration and connectivity defects of pre-cerebellar pontine neurons [109]. In the spinal cord, PRC2-mediated H3K27me3 pattern at Hox loci is determined during the 17 progenitor phase. However, Hox gene expression pattern is refined and maintained in post-mitotic 18 cells through a mechanism that seems to depend on PRC1, the other PRC complex. Specifically, 19 20 depletion of the PRC1 component BMI-1 affects Hoxc9 expression and the spinal cord columnar 21 identity [110].

22 After neurulation, HOX expression patterns are maintained up to late foetal/early post-natal stages in 23 restricted neuronal subpopulation of the hindbrain, and in restricted domains of the spinal cord 24 [95,98,99, Gofflot and Lizen, 2018]. A handful of studies further report that HOX expression may 25 persist post-natally and in the adult brain. For instance, RT-PCR analyses in human adult brain extract 26 highlighted the expression of the 3' HOX genes (HOX1-HOX7 paralogues) [12]. A more systematic 27 analysis conducted in the adult mouse brain evidenced that the expression of genes belonging to 28 Hox2-Hox8 paraloguegroups is maintained in the hindbrain derivatives. The same analysis also 29 support that some Hox transcripts are neo-expressed in specific brain regions at adulthood [Hutlet et al., 2014]. These observations suggest that HOX transcripts could be functionally required in the brain 30 31 after birth, stressing the need to formally assess their function at adulthood. Indeed, several lines of 32 evidence mainly based on functional in vivo data obtained for the Hoxa2 and Hoxa5 loci suggest a 33 role in synapse formation and maturation [reviewed in Gofflot and Lizen, 2018]. Unlike in hindbrain 34 and spinal cord, HOX genes are not expressed in the developing forebrain, the most anterior part of

the developing CNS, and also in adult brain [111]. This repression is mediated by H3K27me3 [59], 1 2 and is critical for brain expansion. This evolutionary conserved feature of the CNS leads to a more prominent anterior region (brain) compared with the posterior ones. A study conducted in Drosophila 3 and the mouse revealed that brain expansion is severely reduced following Hox ectopic expression, 4 or loss of PcG function [112]. Surprisingly, mutation of the Abd-B HOX gene rescues the reduced 5 6 brain proliferation observed in PRC2 mutants in Drosophila. This intriguing observation, which has 7 not yet been assessed in vertebrate brain, suggests that in the developing Drosophila brain, one of the 8 primary roles of PRC2 is to repress Hox genes [113]. From this analysis, it emerges a picture whereby 9 HOX genes repress the neural stem cell proliferation programme in the more posterior parts. PcG-10 mediated repression of HOX genes prevent this anti-proliferative action in the forebrain, thus promoting brain expansion [113]. Altogether, this observation stresses the importance of maintaining 11 12 HOX genes repressed in forebrain.

13 4. HOX deregulation in brain gliomas

HOX genes may present tumour suppressive or oncogenic functions, and their expression is
frequently altered in cancer, including leukaemia [114-118], breast [119-121], brain [122-127], lung
[128,129], colon [130], cervix [131], bladder [132,133], and kidney cancers [134]. In the context of
this review, we will focus on brain tumours, specifically high-grade gliomas.

18 4.1. Malignant primary brain tumours

Malignant primary brain tumours represent approximately 32% of all brain tumours and affect more than 250 000 individuals each year worldwide (17th most common cancer type) [135]. Despite their relatively low incidence compared with other primary cancers (e.g., lung, breast, prostate and colorectal cancers) and metastatic brain tumours, they constitute a major source of morbidity and mortality. They are the 12th most deadly cancer worldwide (almost 190 000 estimated deaths per year) [135], and rank first in average of years of life lost among all tumour types [136].

25 Gliomas represent the majority of all malignant brain tumours (81%).

Until 2016, gliomas were traditionally classified based on histologic features as astrocytic, oligodendroglial, oligoastrocytic (mixed), or ependymal tumours, as described in the 2007 World Health Organization (WHO) classification guidelines [139]. In this classification, tumours were named after the normal cells from which they resembled. However, this classification methodology was prone to considerable inter-observer variability, particularly in the context of diffusely infiltrating gliomas (e.g., differences in the classification of astrocytoma vs. oligodendroglioma vs. oligoastrocytoma) [140-142]. Moreover, advances in (epi)genetics and transcriptomic analyses have

shed light on the biological and clinical variability observed within each histologically-defined 1 glioma entity [143,142]. These observations suggested that some of the molecular alterations 2 underlying this variability might in fact be used as biomarkers for more accurate glioma classification 3 [143], which contributed to the 2016 revision of the guidelines [141]. For example, according to the 4 most recent WHO classification of CNS tumours, gliomas can be isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH)-5 6 wildtype or IDH-mutant [142]. Gliomas are also stratified according to their malignancy grade, from benign grade I to highly-malignant grade IV. Glioblastoma (GBM) is the most common and 7 8 malignant form of glioma [137], being almost universally fatal with very low 2- and 5-year survival 9 rates [138]. IDH-wildtype GBMs are the most common (90%), occur mainly in elderly patients 10 (median age at diagnosis: 62 years), are localized in the supratentorial region, and correspond to primary GBM that develop de novo (i.e., with a short clinical history of less than 3 months before 11 12 diagnosis, and without a pre-existing lower-grade precursor lesion) [143,142]. They are associated 13 with copy number gains on chromosome 7 (where the HOXA cluster is located), chromosome 10 monosomy, EGFR amplification, and mutations in PTEN, TERT, CDKN2A and CDKN2B genes. 14 TERT promoter mutation is mutually exclusive with ATRX mutation, which are common in IDH-15 16 mutant GBM [142]. IDH-mutant GBMs typically occur in younger adults (median age at diagnosis: 44 years), are preferentially localized in the frontal lobe, and include most of secondary GBMs (i.e., 17 GBMs that develop from a pre-existing diffuse or anaplastic astrocytoma) [142,143]. These tumours 18 are characterized by copy number gains on chromosome 7, loss of heterozygosity of the 17p arm, 19 TP53 and ATRX mutations, and the glioma CpG island methylator phenotype (G-CIMP) [142,143]. 20

21 4.2. Aberrant HOX gene expression in glioma

22 Abdel-Fattah et al. [125] were the first to report the aberrant overexpression of 9 of the 39 HOX genes 23 (HOXA6, A7, A9, A13, B13, D4, D9, D10, and D13) in gliomas compared with normal human 24 astrocytes and temporal lobe cells (these may include astrocytes, microglia, and neurons; summarized 25 in Table 1). In 2008, Murat et al. [124] described the overexpression of several HOX genes (HOXA2, 26 A3, A5, A7, A9, A10, C6, D4, D8, and D10) in tumour samples compared with non-neoplastic brain 27 samples from patients with GBM. In 2009, Buccoliero et al. [144] analysed the expression of HOXD 28 genes in 14 paediatric grade I gliomas and 6 non-neoplastic human brain tissues, and described that 29 HOXD1 and HOXD12 were overexpressed in grade I gliomas compared to non-neoplastic tissues, while HOXD3, D8, D9, and D10 presented lower expression in grade I glioma (the last three were 30 exceptionally expressed in non-neoplastic tissues). On the other hand, HOXD4, D11, and D13 were 31 32 not expressed in grade I glioma. Sun et al. [145] reported later that HOXD10 was expressed in GBM. 33 In 2010, Costa et al. [122] showed widespread activation of HOX genes (HOXA1, A2, A3, A4, A5, 34 A7, A9, A10, B7, and C6) in a subset of GBM patients compared with less malignant gliomas or

normal brain tissues. Duan et al. [146] later corroborated these findings by describing that HOXA9, 1 A11, and A13 proteins, and HOXA9, A10, A11, and A13 mRNA levels were strongly upregulated in 2 GBM compared with grade II/III gliomas. However, HOXA11 expression was significantly 3 downregulated in recurrent GBMs compared with primary GBMs [147]. Several other studies also 4 reported the overexpression of specific HOX genes in GBM compared with non-neoplastic brain 5 6 samples: HOXA1 [148], HOXA9 [150], HOXA13 [146], HOXB3 [151], HOXB7 [152], HOXB9 [153], HOXC6 [154], HOXC9 [155], HOXC10 [156,157], HOXD4 [158], and HOXD9 [126]. Among these 7 8 genes, HOXA1, HOXA9, HOXA13, HOXB9, and HOXC10 were expressed in a grade-dependent manner [148,150,146,153,156]. HOXB1 and HOXD10 expression levels were shown to be lower in 9 10 glioma than in non-neoplastic samples [159,160], and HOXB1 particularly low in GBM compared to grade I and II gliomas. Moreover, Gaspar et al. [123] found that HOXA2, A5, A7, and A9 were 11 12 overexpressed in short-term (<1 year) paediatric GBM survivors compared with long-term survivors. 13 Gallo et al. [161] identified a specific gene signature of patient-derived GBM stem cells (GSCs), 14 compared with normal human foetal neural stem cells and non-neoplastic brain cortical tissues, which 15 included 24 of the 39 HOX genes. In agreement, Li et al. [162] reported that CD133 expression, a marker of GBM stem cells, correlates with HOXA5, A7, A10, C4, and C6 expression in GBM. 16

17 In summary, different studies reported that the expression of several HOX genes is consistently altered in glioma. The few discrepancies among studies, in which a particular HOX gene was 18 19 described to be up- and down-regulated, might be explained by: i) the different methods used to 20 evaluate gene/protein expression; ii) the different processing and origin of patients' samples; iii) the 21 use of cell lines in some studies and tumour samples in others; and iv) the different types of samples 22 used to define the "non-tumour" condition. Some of these limitations could be mitigated by using 23 larger cohorts of equally processed and normalized samples (e.g. [163,164], n >500 glioma samples), and by defining standard methodologies for assessing gene expression alterations in these tumours. 24

25 4.3. HOX functional roles in GBM

Considering the aberrant expression of HOX genes in GBM, it is reasonable to hypothesize that they
might be functionally relevant for the pathophysiology of these tumours. Various studies based on
genetic manipulations of these genes, employing silencing or overexpressing approaches, reported
that 18 of the 39 HOX genes, have important functional roles in glioma, including *HOXA5*, *A6*, *A7*, *A9*, *A10*, *A11*, *A13*, *B1*, *B3*, *B7*, *B9*, *B13*, *C6*, *C9*, *C10*, *D4*, *D9*, and *D10*. Most of the described roles
are associated with oncogenic functions, although *HOXA11*, *HOXB1*, and *HOXD10* displaying
tumour suppressive functions in GBM (summarized in Fig. 4).

33 HOX genes act mostly as oncogenes in glioma:

The genetic manipulation of HOXA5, A9, A10, A13, B3, B7, B9, C6, C9, C10, and D9 showed that 1 2 their expression increases the viability of GBM cell lines 3 [151,154,152,149,146,153,161,157,156,150,126,165,122], and five of them (HOXA9, A13, C6, C10, 4 and D9) also reduce cell death [122,146,156,150,126,151]. HOXA7, A9, B7, B9, C9, and C10 also 5 increased GBM cell lines migration capacity [166,152,153,157,156,150,165], while HOXA6, A13, 6 B3, and B13 promoted increased invasion [166,152,153,157,167,156,150,151,165,146]. 7 Interestingly, HOXC6, C10, and D9 also increased GBM cell lines colony formation capacity 8 [157,156,126,154], and HOXA13, C6, and D9 prevented cell cycle arrest [146,126,154].

9 Additionally, HOXA9 and HOXB9 increased GBM stem cell capacity and increased expression of 10 stem cell markers (e.g., NESTIN) in vitro [153,150]. Similarly, HOXD9 was highly expressed in the "side population" of GBM cells, a population of cells identified by flow cytometry and associated 11 with cancer stem cell features, and in GSCs compared with normal astrocytes and neural 12 13 stem/progenitor cells [126]. Interestingly, HOXC9 was one of the 16 genes upregulated in CD133⁺, a glioma stem cell marker, but not in CD133⁻ GBM cell lines, compared in both cases with non-14 neoplastic cells. Moreover, in this 16-gene list, HOXC9 and E2F2 were the only genes associated 15 with increased glioma malignancy [155]. 16

In another *in vitro* study, *HOXC9* was shown to decrease Beclin1-mediated autophagy through
inhibition of *DAPK1* (death-associated protein kinase 1) [165]. Moreover, it has been reported that *HOXA9* and *HOXC10* increase tumour angiogenesis *in vitro* and *in vivo* [150,168]. Indeed, *HOXC10*overexpression enhances tube formation, migration, and proliferation of Human Umbilical Vein
Endothelial Cells (HUVEC, a specific type of endothelial cells) and neovascularization in the Chicken
Chorioallantoic Membrane (CAM) *in vivo* assay {Tan, 2018 #9161}.

Three genes from the HOXA cluster have also been reported to have an important role in resistance to therapy. Specifically, *HOXA9* and *HOXA10* have been associated with decreased sensitivity to the chemotherapy drug temozolomide (TMZ) in GBM patients, a finding that was further supported by a causal relationship in cell lines [169,124,150], and *HOXA5* also decreased sensitivity to radiotherapy

- 20 causal relationship in centilies [107,124,150], and months also decreased sensitivity to radiot
- 27 *in vitro* and *in vivo* [149].
- 28 In vivo, three genes of the "nine paralogues", HOXA9, HOXB9, and HOXC9, were shown to promote
- 29 oncogenesis, as shown by the increased tumour volumes in subcutaneous GBM mouse models
- 30 [153,150,165]. In clinically more relevant orthotopic models (i.e., based on intracranial implantation
- of GBM cells in mice), HOXA5, A9, A10, A13, C6, and C9 expression increased the GBM-associated
- death rate [146,161,150,165,154,149]. Particularly interesting in these orthotopic models was HOXA9

and HOXA5, which increased the resistance to TMZ-based chemotherapy and radiotherapy, 1 respectively, as indicated by the lower overall survival (OS) of mice bearing tumours positive for 2 3 these HOX genes, as compared to negative tumours [150]. Interestingly, HOXA9 overexpression in 4 non-neoplastic human astrocytes induced their transformation when intracranially implanted, as 5 shown by tumour formation and tumour-related death in 70% of the tested mice. Moreover, 6 histological examination of the tumours formed after intracranial injection showed the presence of characteristic hallmarks of malignant gliomas. Remarkably, this tumorigenic capacity is not observed 7 8 when cells are implanted subcutaneously, which stresses the critical importance of the tumour 9 microenvironment.

Although most HOX genes display pro-tumour functions, a few exceptions have been reported.
HOXB1 has tumour suppressive functions in GBM, by decreasing cell viability and promoting
apoptosis *in vitro* [159]. HOXD10 decreases the invasive potential of GBM cell lines [170,171], and
HOXA11 increases the sensitivity of GBM cell lines to chemotherapy and radiotherapy [147].

The functional impact of approximately half of HOX genes in GBM has already been described, 14 15 particularly concerning the HOXA cluster. This stronger emphasis in HOXA genes could be partly 16 explained due to the fact that they are on chromosome 7, which is frequently amplified in GBM, although HOXA gene copy number alterations have not been consistently associated with its 17 expression [122,150,149,161]. Future studies on the roles of the other HOX genes in glioma will be 18 19 critical to provide a more complete picture of their implication in these tumours. Additionally, considering the enormous heterogeneity observed between different glioma tumours, studies in 20 21 glioma subtypes other than GBM will be of critical importance. Moreover, some of the previous 22 findings could be consolidated by using: i) more biologically relevant models based on gene silencing, 23 instead of overexpression approaches in which gene expression may reach unrealistic levels; ii) more 24 clinically relevant patient-derived primary cell cultures, as opposed to cell lines that have been 25 established and cultured for many years and that no longer faithfully reflect the original tumour; iii) 26 stem cell cultures in serum-free conditions (e.g., neurospheres) that could be particularly useful to 27 understand whether HOX roles are more relevant in the glioma stem-cell subpopulation or in more differentiated cells; and iv) 3D cultures (e.g., organoids) that better mimic the tumour 28 29 microenvironment, endogenous cell organization, and organ structures, which could help might be 30 relevant to better understand the role of HOX genes in more complex contexts.

31 4.4. Molecular bases of HOX gene deregulation in glioma

32 It is now clear that in glioma, the HOX gene activation pattern does not follow the coordinated 33 collinear expression observed during normal embryonic development (described above). This process 1 is complex, which in addition to the deregulation of the main processes controlling HOX expression

2 in normal contexts (described above) also involves epigenetic alterations, gene copy number
3 variations, and direct activation by other transcriptional complexes.

4 Copy number variations (CNV) at HOX loci:

A pathognomonic characteristic of GBM IDH-wildtype is chromosome 7 trisomy (considered an 5 6 early event in gliomagenesis) and chromosome 10 monosomy. As the HOXA locus is on chromosome 7 7, chromosome 7 trisomy might increase HOXA gene expression in these patients. Indeed, a 8 correlation was observed between chromosome 7 gain and HOXA1, HOXA4 [172], and HOXA5 [149] 9 expression in GBM and IDH-wildtype patients. However, this is not true for all HOXA genes because 10 no correlation was observed between CNV and expression of HOXA2 [172], HOXA9 [150], HOXA10 [161], and HOXA13 [172]. This issue has been less studied at the other HOX clusters. Nonetheless, 11 it was recently shown that overexpression of eight HOX genes distributed over the HOXB, C and D 12 13 clusters was CNV-independent in IDH-wildtype samples [172]. Altogether, these observations 14 support the hypothesis that CNV, if present, are not the main driving force of HOX gene 15 overexpression in aggressive glioma.

16 Protein- or miRNA-directed targeting of HOX genes: Several miRNAs have been shown to

17 negatively regulate HOX genes in GBM. For example, HOXB1 is a target of hsa-miR-3175 [148],

18 and *HOXD10* is a target of hsa-miR-21 [162], hsa-miR-10b [134], and hsa-miR-23a [149,160] in

19 GBM. Moreover, hsa_circ_0074362 (circular RNA) was shown to act as a hsa-mir-1236-3p sponge

- 20 to promote *HOXB7* expression in GBM [141].
- 21 Protein-gene promoter interactions is another well-known mechanism governing HOX deregulation.
- 22 Gallo et al. [161] described that MLL protein, which contributes to HOXA gene regulation in
- haematopoiesis and leukemic cells, directly interacts with the promoter of HOXA10 in glioblastoma
- stem cells. HOXA10 protein then interacts with the promoter region of HOXA7 and HOXC10,
- 25 activating their transcription [161].
- 26 Epigenetic alterations
- 27 As depicted in section 2, Polycomb group proteins and the associated H3K27me3 mark are key
- 28 players in the control of HOX expression during normal development. A large number of studies have
- 29 highlighted that *bona-fide* polycomb target genes are also more likely to gain aberrant DNA
- 30 methylation in cancer cells [Ohm et al. 2007; Deneberg et al. 2011; 176]. Thus, not surprisingly,
- 31 aberrant DNA methylation is a well-documented signature at HOX loci in glioma.
- 32 This was first observed by Martinez et al. [174] who evaluated the DNA methylation profile of 1505
- 33 CpGs sites, covering 807 genes, in 87 GBM patient samples. They identified 25 genes that were

hypermethylated and 7 that were hypomethylated in at least 20% of samples. Among the 25 1 hypermethylated genes, 3 were HOXA genes: HOXA11 (hypermethylated in 51% of GBM), HOXA9 2 (in 44%), and HOXA5 (in 20%). Genome-wide analyses confirmed that the four HOX clusters tend 3 to be hypermethylated in GBM and IDH-wildtype glioma, when compared to non-tumour brain 4 5 samples (Kurscheid et al. [175]. This reminds the observation that hypermethylation of homeobox 6 gene promoters, including HOX genes, is emerging as a pan-cancer signature [176]. Interestingly, the level of DNA methylation alteration can vary between genes and patients. In 2012, Di Vinci et al. 7 8 [177] analysed DNA methylation of HOXA3, A7, A9, and A10 in 63 glioma and found patient-specific 9 DNA methylation patterns. This finding was validated by Pojo et al. [150] for HOXA9. HOXA3 was 10 the gene with the highest DNA methylation levels in GBM, while HOXA7, A9, and A10 presented lower levels [177]. 11

Gain of expression associated with gain of methylation at HOX loci is not in line with the canonical 12 13 inhibitory effect of DNA promoter methylation on gene transcription. Refined analyses that integrated 14 DNA methylation and strand-oriented expression patterns in glioma patient samples [172] provided some explanations to this apparent paradox. Specifically, for several genes, ectopic expression was 15 associated with CpG islands/promoters that gained methylation at their borders, while their 16 17 transcription start sites (TSS) remained methylation-free. At other genes, extensive methylation of 18 their main CpG islands/promoter was associated with the use of an alternative promoter. For instance, 19 in IDH-wildtype glioma, HOXC11 transcription initiated from an unmethylated alternative promoter 20 located 5 kb upstream the canonical, aberrantly methylated promoter [172]. This picture becomes 21 even more complex in the case of chromosome 7 gain. Kurscheid et al. [175] suggested that hypermethylation of the HOXA cluster could compensate the CNV at this cluster in GBM cells with 22 23 low expression level (HOX-low), while key CpG sites located in the HOXA locus could escape this 24 hypermethylation phenotype in HOX-high GBM cells. Specifically, they showed that a CpG island 25 located at the HOXA10 non-canonical promoter escape to hypermethylation in HOX-high GBM cells.

26 Court et al. [172] provided evidences that besides the DNA methylation gain, HOX clusters in IDH-27 wildtype glioma samples are characterized also by dramatic reduction of H3K27me3. Given the 28 importance of this mark for HOX gene repression in normal brain [59] (as previously detailed in 29 section 2), its loss might be crucial for their aberrant expression in aggressive glioma. A study by Costa et al. [122] suggests that alteration of the PI3K pathway could be involved in this process. They 30 showed that PTEN-dependent activation of the PI3K pathway resulted in AKT-mediated 31 phosphorylation of EZH2, the catalytic subunit of the PRC2 complex, that suppresses H3K27me3, 32 33 leading to derepression of previously silenced HOXA genes. Moreover, they demonstrated that this 1 process is reversible, because PI3K pathway pharmacological inhibition abolished HOXA gene

2 overexpression in GBM cells. Court et al. [172] also proposed that loss of H3K27me3 at HOX genes

3 is a consequence of genome-wide hypomethylation that characterizes cancer cells. In the mouse,

4 widespread DNA methylation depletion triggers H3K27me3 redistribution [179,180] that in turn

5 leads to dramatic loss of H3K27me3 and ectopic expression at a subset of polycomb target genes,

6 including Hox clusters [180].

7 Alterations in 3D chromatin structure and HOX deregulation in glioma

8 Recent studies revealed that alteration in 3D genome organisation is also a feature of glioma cells. 9 Flavahan et al. [178] showed in IDH-mutant glioma that hypermethylation of CTCF binding sites 10 leads to the decrease in the recruitment of CTCF insulator proteins. Therefore, the resulting loss of 11 insulation between TADs leads to aberrant gene activation, such as the oncogene PDGFRA [178]. 12 The generation of 3D genome maps by in situ Hi-C in glioblastoma stem cells (GSCs) also stressed that 3D structures are altered in these cells, and also between different GSCs lines, providing a layer 13 of glioblastoma inter-patient heterogeneity (Johnston et al. 2019). Whether this type of alteration also 14 15 contributes to HOX deregulation in glioma is not documented. However, given the importance of remote regulatory regions and 3D structure in the control of HOX expression during normal 16 development, it is critical to further explore this issue. 17

In conclusion, HOX clusters display various molecular alterations in glioma cells. To which extent 18 19 and how each of these alterations contributes to HOX genes gain of expression remain to be determined. Comprehensive studies in which CNVs, DNA methylation, histone modifications and 20 gene expression are analysed in an integrative manner at HOX clusters are now required to decipher 21 22 the interplay between these molecular signatures in glioma samples and to identify the causes of their 23 alterations. Interestingly, the finding that the HOXA cluster is over-activated in GBM concomitantly 24 with specific HOXB, C and D genes [125,124,122] suggests again that a complex and inter-dependent 25 mechanism of HOX gene regulation might exist in glioma.

26 **4.5. HOX target genes**

As HOX proteins are transcription factors and their targets might be the true biological effectors of
their functional roles, several authors have tried to identify these targets in GBM. Potential direct or
indirect targets of HOXA5, A9, A10, A11, A13, B9, C6, C9, C10, D9, and D10 were already
described, and direct targets were confirmed by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) for HOXA9,
A10, B9, C9, and C10. For the sake of comprehensiveness, a brief summary of some potential HOX

1 targets genes is presented in the following paragraphs (the complete list of potential targets can be

2 found in the original publications).

3 <u>HOXA5</u>

Cimino et al. [149] identified 692 differentially expressed genes (144 upregulated and 412 4 downregulated) upon HOXA5 expression in mouse GBM cell lines. By combining this information 5 6 with data on genes containing HOXA5 binding sequences found by ChIP-seq analysis in human 7 carcinoma cells, they identified 136 common genes (46 upregulated and 90 downregulated). Pathway 8 enrichment analysis of the 46 upregulated genes (e.g. ASPM, AURKA, BLM, CCNB1, CDC25C, 9 CDKN3, CLSPN, FOXM1, INCENP, MDK, and PCNA) showed that many were implicated in the cell 10 cycle and DNA damage pathways, fitting well with the findings from other studies implicating HOXA5 as a pro-tumour factor. 11

12 <u>HOXA9</u>

Microarray analysis of GBM cell lines (U87, U251, and a primary GBM cell line) in which HOXA9 13 is overexpressed or silenced, identified more than 3000 deregulated transcripts in U87 and U251 cells, 14 15 and over 6000 transcripts in the primary GBM cell line [182,150]. Only 61 were altered (18 16 upregulated and 43 downregulated) in all three cell lines, indicating that gene regulation by HOXA9 in GBM follows a cell-specific pattern. Overexpression of HOXA9 in normal human astrocytes led to 17 the differential expression of more than 500 transcripts, from which 256 were common to at least one 18 19 of the GBM cell lines (77 upregulated and 179 downregulated) [182,150]. Pathway and gene set enrichment analyses showed that the HOXA9 differentially expressed genes were enriched, for 20 21 example, in inflammatory response, cell adhesion/migration, stem cells, DNA repair, and cycling 22 genes. Moreover, HOXA9-overexpressing cells showed deregulation of the mismatch repair (MMR) 23 and BCL2 DNA repair systems, as indicated by downregulation of PMS2 and MSH6, and 24 upregulation of BCL2 proteins. Later, Xavier-Magalhães et al. [183] identified HOTAIR, a lncRNA 25 located at the HOXC cluster with oncogenic functions in GBM, as a direct target (positive regulation) 26 of HOXA9 by ChIP-PCR. Interestingly, HOTAIR, the first described lncRNA to present trans-acting functions, negatively regulates HOXD genes in physiological conditions [63]. Whether this 27 28 mechanism also occurs or is deregulated in glioma remains to be explored. Recently, Gonçalves et al. 29 [184] demonstrated that WNT6, a ligand and activator of the WNT pathway, which displays 30 oncogenic functions in GBM [185], is transcriptionally regulated by HOXA9, identifying a novel link 31 between HOX and WNT signalling.

32 <u>HOXA10</u>

Gallo et al. [161] identified, by ChIP-on-chip analysis, 261 direct HOXA10 targets in GBM stem 1 cells, and validated 7 of them by ChIP-quantitative PCR (HOXA7, HOXC10, HOXC11, HOXC12, 2 3 HOXB13, CBX6, TERT, FGF17, JAG2, and NODAL). Enrichment analysis identified significant 4 enrichment for genes involved in processes as growth factor activity, homeobox, CHROMO domain, cytokine, and actin cytoskeleton organization. Additionally, Kim et al. [169] showed that, in GBM 5 6 cell lines, HOXA10 regulates, in a PI3K-independent manner, PTEN nuclear function (with a different function than the tumour suppressive PTEN cytoplasmic function) through induction of 7 8 EGR1, which consequently upregulates RAD51 expression, impairing the homologous 9 recombination DNA repair system. In agreement, HOXA10 silencing increased γ -H2AX, a marker of 10 DNA double strand breaks, in GBM cells [169].

11 <u>HOXA11</u>

12 Silencing of HOXA11, which presents tumour suppressive functions in GBM, led to more than two-

fold changes in the expression of 62 genes (11 upregulated and 51 downregulated) in GBM cells
 [147]. This gene list was significantly enriched in genes implicated in the regulation of growth and

15 mitochondrion activity. Specifically, *TGFBR2*, *CRIM1*, *DPYSL2*, and *CRMP1* were downregulated

upon HOXA11 silencing, whereas *TXNIP*, *CD22*, *EPCAM*, *MMP3*, and *SLC16A6* were upregulated.

Duan et al. [146] analysed the gene expression profiling data from The Cancer Genome Atlas 17 (TCGA), Rembrandt and the Chinese Glioma Genome Atlas (CGGA) datasets to identify genes 18 19 showing high correlation (positive or negative) with HOXA13, in order to discover its potential targets in GBM. Positively correlated genes belonged mainly to pathways related to cancer, focal 20 adhesion, WNT pathway, and cell cycle. On the other hand, negatively correlated genes were mostly 21 22 implicated in the MAPK, ERBB, VEGF, PPAR, and mTOR signalling pathways. In vitro, Duan et 23 al. [146] observed that HOXA13 silencing led to decreased levels of nuclear β -catenin and of 24 phosphorylated SMAD2 and SMAD3. Conversely, the level of phosphorylated β-catenin was 25 increased in the cytoplasm, which is indicative of WNT pathway inactivation. Similarly, Yan et al. 26 [154] suggested that the WNT pathway is regulated by HOXC6, through downregulation of WIF-1, 27 a WNT antagonist.

28 <u>HOXB9</u>

29 $TGF\beta l$ was identified as a direct target of HOXB9 by ChIP-PCR, leading to increased levels of 30 CD133, OCT4, NESTIN, BMI-1, and, similarly to HOXA13, phosphorylated SMAD2 [153].

31 <u>HOXC9</u>

1 HOXC9 has a role in the regulation of autophagy, and DAPK1 was identified as a direct target

2 (negative regulation) by ChIP-quantitative PCR and luciferase reporter assays [165]. HOXC9

- 3 silencing released DAPK1 transcriptional repression, resulting in activation of the DAPK1-Beclin1
- 4 pathway and autophagy induction in GBM cells. In agreement, the expression of autophagy protein
- 5 (i.e., ATG7, ATG5, ATG3, and LC3B) was induced by HOXC9 silencing, while no alterations were
- 6 found in apoptotic-related proteins (e.g., caspase 9/3 and BCL2) [165].

7 <u>HOXC10</u>

8 In the context of the growing interest in understanding the complex interactions between cancer and 9 immune cells, a recent study showed HOXC10 plays a role in tumour immune evasion [156]. Indeed, 10 HOXC10 silencing in GBM cell lines decreases the expression of proteins involved in tumour immunosuppression (i.e., TGFB2, PDL2, CCL2, and TDO2). PDL2 and TDO2 were identified as 11 12 direct targets of HOXC10 in these cells by ChIP-quantitative PCR [156]. Moreover, Tan et al. [168] 13 reported a significant enrichment of HOXC10-correlated genes and angiogenic gene sets in GBM patients. Mechanistically, they found that HOXC10 modulates GBM angiogenesis through direct 14 regulation of VEGFA (ChIP-quantitative PCR and luciferase reporter assays). Moreover, they showed 15 that PRMT5 and WDR5, which regulate histone post-translational modifications, are required for 16 17 HOXC10-mediated VEGFA upregulation [168]. Thus, although bevacizumab (anti-VEGFA monoclonal antibody) does not significantly improve OS in patients with GBM [186,187], it 18 significantly decreased the growth of HOXC10-overexpressing intracranial tumours in mice and 19 20 efficiently impaired their angiogenic capacity [168]. This suggests that HOXC10 may be a clinically 21 useful biomarker of bevacizumab response in GBM.

22 <u>HOXD9</u>

- 23 Tabuse et al. [126] performed gene microarray analysis to identify potential HOXD9 target genes in
- 24 GBM cell lines. HOXD9 silencing led to upregulation of some genes known to be relevant in cancer
- 25 (e.g., TRAIL, ANGPTL4, and SEMA4D), and downregulation of others (e.g., BRCA1, TGFB1, and
- 26 *BCL2*). Interestingly, BCL2 was also positively associated with another group 9 paralogue, HOXA9,
- 27 in GBM [150].
- 28 <u>HOXD10</u>
- 29 Finally, it has been repeatedly reported that, in GBM cell lines, HOXD10 acts by negatively
- 30 regulating the metastatic-related protein RHOC [173,170,171] and matrix metalloproteinases, such
- **31** as MMP14 [160,145,171].

Overall, these findings suggest that although the transcriptional target genes of the different HOX 1 2 proteins mostly do not overlap, they frequently lead to transcriptional programmes that regulate similar molecular processes/pathways (e.g., cell cycle, death, migration/invasion, and inflammation 3 are pathways commonly affected across studies testing different HOX genes), all of which are 4 5 particularly relevant in the context of cancer. Moreover, it is not surprising that many of the identified 6 targets might be cell type-dependent, as several factors are known to contribute to the diversity and specificity of HOX protein activity, leading to highly dynamic, context-specific HOX transcriptional 7 8 activation, and to their functional diversification. Indeed, it was described that i) there are many 9 transcriptional partners - HOX cofactors and collaborators - that also present cell type-specific 10 expression patterns [188,189]; ii) the binding between HOX proteins and their cofactors might be context-dependent [190], and different combinations may lead to distinct binding specificities, 11 12 contributing to the spatiotemporal specificity of HOX proteins; and iii) chromatin accessibility and 13 DNA shape, which may vary between cells, also showed to confer HOX specificity [191]. Bioinformatic studies are needed to cross the thousands of genes and dozens of pathways 14 differentially regulated by each of the 39 HOX proteins in glioma, to better integrate the data. More 15 16 studies are also needed to identify HOX targets in particular glioma cells of the various tumour niches (e.g., in glioma stem cells versus more differentiated glioma cells; and proliferating versus invading 17 cells), which may be relevant for the design of new therapeutic interventions. 18

19 4.6. Clinical implications of HOX genes

20 In 2008, Murat et al. [124] suggested that a HOX-dominated gene signature, which included HOXA2, 21 A3, A5, A7, A9, A10, C6, D4, D8, and D10, was an independent predictive factor of therapy resistance 22 in GBM. In 2010, Costa et al. [122] showed that HOXA1, A2, A3, A4, A5, A7, A9, B7, and C6 are 23 overexpressed in GBM compared with non-tumour brain samples, and found that HOXA9 expression 24 was associated with poor prognosis, independently of other well-known prognostic factors [122]. 25 Indeed, in patients with MGMT promoter methylation (associated with better prognosis), HOXA9 26 could still identify a subset of patients with poor prognosis [122]. Moreover, the combination of HOXA9 and HOXA10 expression was also associated with shorter OS in paediatric patients with GBM 27 [123]. More recently, the prognostic value of HOXA9 in GBM was consolidated in additional patient 28 cohorts [150]. Although the DNA methylation levels of HOXA3, A9, and A10 positively correlate 29 with WHO grading, HOXA9 and HOXA10 DNA methylation has been associated with better 30 prognosis in patients with GBM [177]. Conversely, HOXD8, D13, and C4 hypermethylation was 31 observed in short-term (<1 year OS) GBM survivors [192]. Additionally, HOXA5, A10, A13, B9, C4, 32 C6, C9, C10, and D4 were individually associated with shorter OS in patients with GBM 33

[149,146,153,157,156,124,165,154,158,162]. Among them, *HOXB9* and *HOXD4* are also associated
 with shorter OS in patients with glioma, grade II glioma (*HOXD4* only), and grade III glioma
 [153,158]. In line with their tumour suppressive functions in GBM, *HOXA11* and *HOXB1* were
 individually associated with longer OS in patients with GBM [159,147].

5 In the future, these very interesting results should be updated and put into perspective in the light of

6 the new 2016 WHO classification of gliomas that includes molecular features to differentiate glioma

7 subtypes. Moreover, the validation of the prognostic value of HOX genes in several independent,

8 large, and robust datasets, preferably using more robust multivariable statistical analysis, will be of

9 paramount importance to potentially bring HOX genes into the clinics.

10 Recently, drugs interfering with the PRC2 complex emerged as promising agents for cancer 11 treatment, as the FDA recently-approved drug Tazemetostat for epithelioid sarcoma. While the 12 overall benefit in other tumour types remains to be determined, it is important to consider that PRC2 inhibitors might lead to decreased H3K27me3 at HOX loci, potentially leading to their over-13 14 activation. Nonetheless, Khan et al. [193] recently demonstrated that, in opposition to the classical 15 view that PRC2 is the major transcriptional repressive complex of HOX genes, the knockdown of some PRC2 subunits, such as SUZ12, EZH2, or EED, in mouse F9 teratocarcinoma cells, globally 16 decreased H3K27me3 levels, but not in HOX clusters, where curiously H3K27me3 increased instead. 17 Indeed, although decreased enrichment of the PRC2 complexes was observed at HOX loci upon 18 19 SUZ12, EZH2, or EED silencing, the expression of HOX genes did not increase [193]. MTF2 was pointed as the potential responsible molecule for H3K27me3 enrichment in HOX loci in the absence 20 of PRC2 [193]. Whether a similar effect and underlying mechanism is more generally observed in 21 22 cancer, including in GBM, remains to be investigated. Additionally, Li et al. [194] described that 23 AC1Q3QWB (AQB), a small-molecule compound, is a selective disruptor of HOTAIR-EZH2 24 interactions, blocking PRC2 recruitment to target genes, presenting a pre-clinical potential worth of 25 further evaluation for the treatment of GBM and breast cancers with high levels of EZH2 and 26 HOTAIR. Indeed, AQB administration to orthotopic mice models of GBM and breast cancer 27 significantly decreased tumour aggressiveness. In vitro and in vivo, AQB treatment resulted in 28 increased HOXD10 expression, among other PRC2 target genes. Further experiments in orthotopic 29 mice models of a breast cancer and a GBM patient-derived xenograft (PDX) demonstrated that the combinatorial treatment of AQB with an indirect inhibitor of EZH2 (DZNep) significantly reduced 30 tumour growth and increased mice OS, respectively. However, besides the unknown role of HOXD10 31 in GBM, and whether it acts as a tumour suppressor or an oncogene, the complete transcriptional 32 profile of HOX genes before and after treatment with these PRC2-targeting compounds remains 33

unknown. Moreover, drugs like DZNep are now recognized as global histone methylation inhibitors,
rather than a H3K27-specific one [195]. These studies emphasize that, in the context of precision
medicine, the global identification of the transcriptional signatures of a specific cancer patient should
be considered to aim in the decision for particular targeted therapies, as the uninformed use of these
agents may lead to pro-tumour effects that will harm a subset of patients.

5. Conclusions and future perspectives

7 Deregulation of HOX genes is a common phenomenon in malignant primary brain tumours, and most findings reported to date associate HOX gene overexpression with oncogenic functions in glioma. 8 9 These include critical cancer hallmarks, such as increased cell viability, invasion, migration, 10 angiogenesis, stem cell capacity, and therapy resistance, and decreased cell death/apoptosis 11 (summarized in Fig. 4). Of note, all these studies have not explored the potential role of HOX genes 12 in influencing interactions between cancer cells and those of the tumour microenvironment. Interestingly, Bertolini et al (2019) reported that GBM neurospheres influence non-neoplastic cells 13 from their microenvironment by delivering HOXA7 and HOXA10 via large oncosomes. This large 14 15 oncosomes, which were abundantly found in the serum of patients with shorter OS, are able to 16 reprogram recipient cells to proliferate, grow as spheres and to migrate. It should also be interesting 17 to investigate how HOX genes may influence tumour immune responses, both in treatment-naïve 18 contexts and under treatment, particularly with immunotherapies. Interestingly, Pojo et al. [150] 19 showed that HOXA9 downregulates genes involved in antigen processing/presentation in various 20 human GBM [150]. Moreover, Li et al. [156] found that HOXC10 positively regulates genes involved 21 in tumour immunosuppression in GBM, including PDL2 and TDO2. Together with recent reports in 22 ovarian cancer linking HOXA9 to an M2 macrophage tumour-promoting phenotype and reduction of CD8⁺ lymphocyte infiltration [196], these findings strengthen the idea that HOX genes may play an 23 24 important role in the regulation of the immune system in the tumour microenvironment.

25 Considering the critical functional roles and putative prognostic value of specific HOX genes in 26 cancer, including in malignant glioma, and their complex molecular interactions with upstream regulators and downstream targets, it becomes clear that additional studies are necessary to better 27 28 understand how HOX operate in glioma, and whether they may be therapeutically explored in the 29 clinics. For example, future studies will be critical to identify the whole molecular networks 30 interacting with HOX genes in brain tumours, by generating genome-wide binding profiles to identify their targets, their upstream binding factors (including lncRNA and miRNAs), and protein partners, 31 32 as well as HOX-specific DNA methylation studies to explore both transcription factor-related and independent HOX functions. These integrated approaches will broaden our understanding of HOX 33

roles in normal and abnormal development and malignant transformation, may allow the 1 2 identification of potentially targetable players suitable for therapeutic intervention, and/or to the 3 development of clinically useful diagnostic/prognostic tools in a paradigm of precision medicine. In addition, it is still unclear whether HOX genes have causative roles in gliomagenesis, or whether their 4 5 alterations are a bystander consequence of malignant transformation, as well as whether HOX-6 directed therapies may be useful for the treatment of glioma and other HOX-driven cancers (e.g., 7 acute myeloid leukaemia). These open questions will continue to feed the researchers' interest for 8 many years.

1 References:

- 2 1. Bateson W (1894) Materials for the study of variation: treated with especial regard to 3 discontinuity in the origin of species. Macmillan and Co, London, New York
- 4 2. Bridges CB (1921) Current maps of the location of the mutant genes of Drosophila melanogaster.
- 5 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 7 (4):127
- 3. Kaufman TC, Seeger MA, Olsen G (1990) Molecular and genetic organization of the antennapedia
 gene complex of Drosophila melanogaster. In: Advances in genetics, vol 27. Elsevier, pp 309-362
- 4. Lewis EB (1978) A gene complex controlling segmentation in Drosophila. In: Genes, Development
- 9 and Cancer. Springer, pp 205-217
- 5. Schneuwly S, Klemenz R, Gehring WJ (1987) Redesigning the body plan of Drosophila by ectopic
 expression of the homoeotic gene Antennapedia. Nature 325 (6107):816
- 12 6. Qian Y, Billeter M, Otting G, Müller M, Gehring W, Wüthrich K (1989) The structure of the
- Antennapedia homeodomain determined by NMR spectroscopy in solution: comparison with
 prokaryotic repressors. Cell 59 (3):573-580
- 15 7. Hui C-c, Suzuki Y, Kikuchi Y, Mizuno S (1990) Homeodomain binding sites in the 5' flanking region
- 16 of the Bombyx mori silk fibroin light-chain gene. J Mol Biol 213 (3):395-398
- Kissinger CR, Liu BS, Martin-Blanco E, Kornberg TB, Pabo CO (1990) Crystal structure of an
 engrailed homeodomain-DNA complex at 2.8 A resolution: a framework for understanding
 homeodomain-DNA interactions. Cell 63 (3):579-590. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(90)90453-I
- 9. Ekker SC, Young KE, von Kessler DP, Beachy P (1991) Optimal DNA sequence recognition by the
 Ultrabithorax homeodomain of Drosophila. The EMBO Journal 10 (5):1179-1186
- 22 10. Benson GV, Nguyen TH, Maas RL (1995) The expression pattern of the murine Hoxa-10 gene and
- 23 the sequence recognition of its homeodomain reveal specific properties of Abdominal B-like genes.
- 24 Mol Cell Biol 15 (3):1591-1601. doi:10.1128/mcb.15.3.1591
- 11. Pearson JC, Lemons D, McGinnis W (2005) Modulating Hox gene functions during animal body
 patterning. Nature reviews Genetics 6 (12):893-904. doi:10.1038/nrg1726
- 27 12. Takahashi Y, Hamada J, Murakawa K, Takada M, Tada M, Nogami I, Hayashi N, Nakamori S,
- 28 Monden M, Miyamoto M, Katoh H, Moriuchi T (2004) Expression profiles of 39 HOX genes in normal
- human adult organs and anaplastic thyroid cancer cell lines by quantitative real-time RT-PCR system.
 Exp Cell Res 293 (1):144-153
- 31 13. Yamamoto M, Takai D, Yamamoto F (2003) Comprehensive expression profiling of highly
- 32 homologous 39 hox genes in 26 different human adult tissues by the modified systematic multiplex
- RT-pCR method reveals tissue-specific expression pattern that suggests an important role of
 chromosomal structure in the regulation of hox gene expression in adult tissues. Gene Expr 11 (3-
- 35 4):199-210
- 36 14. Morgan R (2006) Hox genes: a continuation of embryonic patterning? Trends in genetics : TIG
- 37 22 (2):67-69. doi:10.1016/j.tig.2005.11.004
- 15. Neville SE, Baigent SM, Bicknell AB, Lowry PJ, Gladwell RT (2002) Hox gene expression in adult
 tissues with particular reference to the adrenal gland. Endocr Res 28 (4):669-673
- 40 16. Boncinelli E, Simeone A, Acampora D, Gulisano M (1993) Homeobox genes in the developing
 41 central nervous system. Ann Genet 36 (1):30-37
- 42 17. Young JJ, Grayson P, Tabin CJ (2019) Developmental Biology: Hox Timing Determines Limb
 43 Placement. Current biology : CB 29 (2):R52-R54. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.11.068
- 18. Deschamps J, van Nes J (2005) Developmental regulation of the Hox genes during axial
 morphogenesis in the mouse. Development 132 (13):2931-2942
- 46 19. Duverger O, Morasso MI (2008) Role of homeobox genes in the patterning, specification, and
- 47 differentiation of ectodermal appendages in mammals. J Cell Physiol 216 (2):337-346

- 20. Garcia-Fernàndez J (2005) The genesis and evolution of homeobox gene clusters. Nature
 Reviews Genetics 6 (12):881
- 21. Rezsohazy R, Saurin AJ, Maurel-Zaffran C, Graba Y (2015) Cellular and molecular insights into
 Hox protein action. Development 142 (7):1212-1227
- 5 22. Grier DG, Thompson A, Kwasniewska A, McGonigle GJ, Halliday HL, Lappin TR (2005) The
- 6 pathophysiology of HOX genes and their role in cancer. The Journal of pathology 205 (2):154-171.
- 7 doi:10.1002/path.1710
- 8 23. Krumlauf R (1994) Hox genes in vertebrate development. Cell 78 (2):191-201
- 9 24. Scott MP (1992) Vertebrate homeobox gene nomenclature. Cell 71 (4):551-553
- 25. Kmita M, Duboule D (2003) Organizing axes in time and space; 25 years of colinear tinkering.
 Science 301 (5631):331-333
- 26. Montavon T, Soshnikova N (2014) Hox gene regulation and timing in embryogenesis. Semin Cell
 Dev Biol 34:76-84. doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2014.06.005
- 14 27. Noordermeer D, Duboule D (2013) Chromatin architectures and Hox gene collinearity. In:
- 15 Current topics in developmental biology, vol 104. Elsevier, pp 113-148. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12 16 416027-9.00004-8
- 17 28. Duboule D (2007) The rise and fall of Hox gene clusters. Development 134 (14):2549-2560
- 18 29. Quinonez SC, Innis JW (2014) Human HOX gene disorders. Mol Genet Metab 111 (1):4-15.
- 19 doi:10.1016/j.ymgme.2013.10.012
- 20 30. Marshall H, Studer M, Pöpperl H, Aparicio S, Kuroiwa A, Brenner S, Krumlauf R (1994) A
- conserved retinoic acid response element required for early expression of the homeobox gene
 Hoxb-1. Nature 370 (6490):567
- 31. Puschel AW, Balling R, Gruss P (1991) Separate elements cause lineage restriction and specify
 boundaries of Hox-1.1 expression. Development 112 (1):279-287
- 32. Gentile C, Kmita M (2018) The remote transcriptional control of Hox genes. The International
 journal of developmental biology 62 (11-12):685-692
- 33. Spitz F, Gonzalez F, Peichel C, Vogt TF, Duboule D, Zákány J (2001) Large scale transgenic and
 cluster deletion analysis of the HoxD complex separate an ancestral regulatory module from
 avolutionary innovations. Cones Day 15 (17):2200-2214
- 29 evolutionary innovations. Genes Dev 15 (17):2209-2214
- 30 34. Tümpel S, Cambronero F, Sims C, Krumlauf R, Wiedemann LM (2008) A regulatory module
 31 embedded in the coding region of Hoxa2 controls expression in rhombomere 2. Proceedings of the
 32 National Academy of Sciences 105 (51):20077-20082
- 33 35. Ahn Y, Mullan HE, Krumlauf R (2014) Long-range regulation by shared retinoic acid response
- elements modulates dynamic expression of posterior Hoxb genes in CNS development. Dev Biol 388
 (1):134-144
- 36. Spitz F, Gonzalez F, Duboule D (2003) A global control region defines a chromosomal regulatory
 landscape containing the HoxD cluster. Cell 113 (3):405-417
- 38. Tarchini B, Duboule D (2006) Control of Hoxd genes' collinearity during early limb development.
 39 Dev Cell 10 (1):93-103
- 40 39. Andrey G, Montavon T, Mascrez B, Gonzalez F, Noordermeer D, Leleu M, Trono D, Spitz F,
- 41 Duboule D (2013) A switch between topological domains underlies HoxD genes collinearity in mouse
- 42 limbs. Science 340 (6137):1234167
- 43 41. Berlivet S, Paquette D, Dumouchel A, Langlais D, Dostie J, Kmita M (2013) Clustering of tissue-
- 44 specific sub-TADs accompanies the regulation of HoxA genes in developing limbs. PLoS genetics 9
- 45 (12):e1004018
- 46 42. Neijts R, Amin S, Van Rooijen C, Tan S, Creyghton MP, De Laat W, Deschamps J (2016) Polarized
- 47 regulatory landscape and Wnt responsiveness underlie Hox activation in embryos. Genes Dev 3048 (17):1937-1942

- 1 43. Nolte C, Jinks T, Wang X, Pastor MTM, Krumlauf R (2013) Shadow enhancers flanking the HoxB
- cluster direct dynamic Hox expression in early heart and endoderm development. Dev Biol 383
 (1):158-173
- 4 44. Denker A, De Laat W (2016) The second decade of 3C technologies: detailed insights into nuclear
 organization. Genes Dev 30 (12):1357-1382
- 6 45. Dixon JR, Selvaraj S, Yue F, Kim A, Li Y, Shen Y, Hu M, Liu JS, Ren B (2012) Topological domains in
- 7 mammalian genomes identified by analysis of chromatin interactions. Nature 485 (7398):376
- 8 46. Nora EP, Lajoie BR, Schulz EG, Giorgetti L, Okamoto I, Servant N, Piolot T, van Berkum NL, Meisig
- J, Sedat J (2012) Spatial partitioning of the regulatory landscape of the X-inactivation centre. Nature
 485 (7398):381
- 47. Neijts R, Deschamps J (2017) At the base of colinear Hox gene expression: cis-features and trans factors orchestrating the initial phase of Hox cluster activation. Dev Biol 428 (2):293-299
- 48. Bonev B, Cohen NM, Szabo Q, Fritsch L, Papadopoulos GL, Lubling Y, Xu X, Lv X, Hugnot J-P, Tanay
- A (2017) Multiscale 3D genome rewiring during mouse neural development. Cell 171 (3):557-572.
- 15 e524. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.09.043
- 49. Delpretti S, Montavon T, Leleu M, Joye E, Tzika A, Milinkovitch M, Duboule D (2013) Multiple
 enhancers regulate Hoxd genes and the Hotdog LncRNA during cecum budding. Cell reports 5
 (1):137-150
- 19 50. Jin F, Li Y, Dixon JR, Selvaraj S, Ye Z, Lee AY, Yen C-A, Schmitt AD, Espinoza CA, Ren B (2013) A
- high-resolution map of the three-dimensional chromatin interactome in human cells. Nature 503
 (7475):290
- 51. Narendra V, Bulajić M, Dekker J, Mazzoni EO, Reinberg D (2016) CTCF-mediated topological
 boundaries during development foster appropriate gene regulation. Genes Dev 30 (24):2657-2662
- 24 52. Narendra V, Rocha PP, An D, Raviram R, Skok JA, Mazzoni EO, Reinberg D (2015) CTCF establishes
- discrete functional chromatin domains at the Hox clusters during differentiation. Science 347
 (6225):1017-1021
- 53. Phillips-Cremins JE, Corces VG (2013) Chromatin insulators: linking genome organization to cellular function. Mol Cell 50 (4):461-474
- 29 54. Phillips-Cremins JE, Sauria ME, Sanyal A, Gerasimova TI, Lajoie BR, Bell JS, Ong C-T, Hookway TA,
- 30 Guo C, Sun Y (2013) Architectural protein subclasses shape 3D organization of genomes during 31 lineage commitment. Cell 153 (6):1281-1295
- 32 55. Montavon T, Soshnikova N, Mascrez B, Joye E, Thevenet L, Splinter E, de Laat W, Spitz F, Duboule
- 33 D (2011) A regulatory archipelago controls Hox genes transcription in digits. Cell 147 (5):1132-1145
- 56. Bernstein BE, Mikkelsen TS, Xie X, Kamal M, Huebert DJ, Cuff J, Fry B, Meissner A, Wernig M,
 Plath K (2006) A bivalent chromatin structure marks key developmental genes in embryonic stem
- 36 cells. Cell 125 (2):315-326
- 57. Soshnikova N, Duboule D (2009) Epigenetic temporal control of mouse Hox genes in vivo. Science
 324 (5932):1320-1323
- 39 58. Eskeland R, Leeb M, Grimes GR, Kress C, Boyle S, Sproul D, Gilbert N, Fan Y, Skoultchi AI, Wutz A
- 40 (2010) Ring1B compacts chromatin structure and represses gene expression independent of histone 41 ubiquitination. Mol Cell 38 (3):452-464
- 42 59. Noordermeer D, Leleu M, Splinter E, Rougemont J, De Laat W, Duboule D (2011) The dynamic
 43 architecture of Hox gene clusters. Science 334 (6053):222-225
- 44 60. de Napoles M, Mermoud JE, Wakao R, Tang YA, Endoh M, Appanah R, Nesterova TB, Silva J, Otte
- 45 AP, Vidal M (2004) Polycomb group proteins Ring1A/B link ubiquitylation of histone H2A to heritable
- 46 gene silencing and X inactivation. Dev Cell 7 (5):663-676
- 47 61. Simon JA, Kingston RE (2013) Occupying chromatin: Polycomb mechanisms for getting to
- 48 genomic targets, stopping transcriptional traffic, and staying put. Mol Cell 49 (5):808-824

- 62. Kanhere A, Viiri K, Araújo CC, Rasaiyaah J, Bouwman RD, Whyte WA, Pereira CF, Brookes E,
 Walker K, Bell GW (2010) Short RNAs are transcribed from repressed polycomb target genes and
- 3 interact with polycomb repressive complex-2. Mol Cell 38 (5):675-688
- 4 63. Rinn JL, Kertesz M, Wang JK, Squazzo SL, Xu X, Brugmann SA, Goodnough LH, Helms JA, Farnham
- 5 PJ, Segal E (2007) Functional demarcation of active and silent chromatin domains in human HOX loci
- 6 by noncoding RNAs. Cell 129 (7):1311-1323
- 64. Zhao J, Sun BK, Erwin JA, Song J-J, Lee JT (2008) Polycomb proteins targeted by a short repeat
 RNA to the mouse X chromosome. Science 322 (5902):750-756
- 9 65. Tsai M-C, Manor O, Wan Y, Mosammaparast N, Wang JK, Lan F, Shi Y, Segal E, Chang HY (2010)
- Long noncoding RNA as modular scaffold of histone modification complexes. Science 329(5992):689-693
- 12 66. Liu G-Y, Zhao G-N, Chen X-F, Hao D-L, Zhao X, Lv X, Liu D-P (2015) The long noncoding RNA
- Gm15055 represses Hoxa gene expression by recruiting PRC2 to the gene cluster. Nucleic Acids Res
 44 (6):2613-2627
- 15 67. Lai K-MV, Gong G, Atanasio A, Rojas J, Quispe J, Posca J, White D, Huang M, Fedorova D, Grant
- 16 C (2015) Diverse phenotypes and specific transcription patterns in twenty mouse lines with ablated
- 17 LincRNAs. PLoS One 10 (4):e0125522
- 18 68. Sauvageau M, Goff LA, Lodato S, Bonev B, Groff AF, Gerhardinger C, Sanchez-Gomez DB,
- 19 Hacisuleyman E, Li E, Spence M (2013) Multiple knockout mouse models reveal lincRNAs are
- 20 required for life and brain development. Elife 2:e01749. doi:10.7554/eLife.01749
- 69. Amandio AR, Necsulea A, Joye E, Mascrez B, Duboule D (2016) Hotair is dispensible for mouse
 development. PLoS genetics 12 (12):e1006232
- 23 70. Li L, Liu B, Wapinski OL, Tsai M-C, Qu K, Zhang J, Carlson JC, Lin M, Fang F, Gupta RA (2013)
- Targeted disruption of Hotair leads to homeotic transformation and gene derepression. Cell reports
 5 (1):3-12
- 71. Schorderet P, Duboule D (2011) Structural and functional differences in the long non-coding RNA
 hotair in mouse and human. PLoS genetics 7 (5):e1002071
- 72. Klose RJ, Cooper S, Farcas AM, Blackledge NP, Brockdorff N (2013) Chromatin sampling—an
 emerging perspective on targeting polycomb repressor proteins. PLoS genetics 9 (8):e1003717
- 30 73. Maupetit-Méhouas S, Montibus B, Nury D, Tayama C, Wassef M, Kota SK, Fogli A, Cerqueira
- Campos F, Hata K, Feil R (2015) Imprinting control regions (ICRs) are marked by mono-allelic bivalent
 chromatin when transcriptionally inactive. Nucleic Acids Res 44 (2):621-635
- 74. Riising EM, Comet I, Leblanc B, Wu X, Johansen JV, Helin K (2014) Gene silencing triggers
 polycomb repressive complex 2 recruitment to CpG islands genome wide. Mol Cell 55 (3):347-360
- 35 75. Wang P, Lin C, Smith ER, Guo H, Sanderson BW, Wu M, Gogol M, Alexander T, Seidel C,
- 36 Wiedemann LM (2009) Global analysis of H3K4 methylation defines MLL family member targets and
- 37 points to a role for MLL1-mediated H3K4 methylation in the regulation of transcriptional initiation
- 38by RNA polymerase II. Mol Cell Biol 29 (22):6074-6085
- 39 76. Agger K, Cloos PA, Christensen J, Pasini D, Rose S, Rappsilber J, Issaeva I, Canaani E, Salcini AE,
- Helin K (2007) UTX and JMJD3 are histone H3K27 demethylases involved in HOX gene regulation and
 development. Nature 449 (7163):731
- 42 77. Lan F, Bayliss PE, Rinn JL, Whetstine JR, Wang JK, Chen S, Iwase S, Alpatov R, Issaeva I, Canaani
- 43 E (2007) A histone H3 lysine 27 demethylase regulates animal posterior development. Nature 449
 44 (7163):689
- 45 78. Lee MG, Villa R, Trojer P, Norman J, Yan K-P, Reinberg D, Di Croce L, Shiekhattar R (2007)
- 46 Demethylation of H3K27 regulates polycomb recruitment and H2A ubiquitination. Science 318
- 47 (5849):447-450

- 1 79. Wang KC, Yang YW, Liu B, Sanyal A, Corces-Zimmerman R, Chen Y, Lajoie BR, Protacio A, Flynn
- RA, Gupta RA (2011) A long noncoding RNA maintains active chromatin to coordinate homeotic gene
 expression. Nature 472 (7341):120
- 4 80. Ardehali MB, Mei A, Zobeck KL, Caron M, Lis JT, Kusch T (2011) Drosophila Set1 is the major
- 5 histone H3 lysine 4 trimethyltransferase with role in transcription. The EMBO journal 30 (14):2817-
- 6 2828
- 81. Denholtz M, Bonora G, Chronis C, Splinter E, de Laat W, Ernst J, Pellegrini M, Plath K (2013) Long range chromatin contacts in embryonic stem cells reveal a role for pluripotency factors and
- 9 polycomb proteins in genome organization. Cell stem cell 13 (5):602-616
- 10 82. Vieux-Rochas M, Fabre PJ, Leleu M, Duboule D, Noordermeer D (2015) Clustering of mammalian
- Hox genes with other H3K27me3 targets within an active nuclear domain. Proceedings of the
 National Academy of Sciences 112 (15):4672-4677
- 13 83. Gonzalez-Quevedo R, Lee Y, Poss KD, Wilkinson DG (2010) Neuronal regulation of the spatial
 patterning of neurogenesis. Dev Cell 18 (1):136-147
- 84. Guthrie S, Prince V, Lumsden A (1993) Selective dispersal of avian rhombomere cells in
 orthotopic and heterotopic grafts. Development 118 (2):527-538
- 17 85. Wingate R, Lumsden A (1996) Persistence of rhombomeric organisation in the postsegmental
 18 hindbrain. Development 122 (7):2143-2152
- 19 86. Philippidou P, Dasen JS (2013) Hox genes: choreographers in neural development, architects of
 20 circuit organization. Neuron 80 (1):12-34
- 21 87. Hunt P, Gulisano M, Cook M, Sham M-H, Faiella A, Wilkinson D, Boncinelli E, Krumlauf R (1991)
- A distinct Hox code for the branchial region of the vertebrate head. Nature 353 (6347):861
- 88. Lumsden A, Krumlauf R (1996) Patterning the vertebrate neuraxis. Science 274 (5290):11091115
- 89. Wilkinson DG, Bhatt S, Cook M, Boncinelli E, Krumlauf R (1989) Segmental expression of Hox-2
 homoeobox-containing genes in the developing mouse hindbrain. Nature 341 (6241):405
- 90. Dasen JS, Liu J-P, Jessell TM (2003) Motor neuron columnar fate imposed by sequential phases
 of Hox-c activity. Nature 425 (6961):926
- 91. Ghosh P, Sagerström CG (2018) Developing roles for Hox proteins in hindbrain gene regulatory
 networks. The International journal of developmental biology 62 (11-12):767-774
- 30 networks. The International Journal of developmental biology 62 (11-12):767-774
- 92. Jung H, Lacombe J, Mazzoni EO, Liem Jr KF, Grinstein J, Mahony S, Mukhopadhyay D, Gifford DK,
 Young RA, Anderson KV (2010) Global control of motor neuron topography mediated by the
- repressive actions of a single hox gene. Neuron 67 (5):781-796
- 34 93. Parker HJ, Bronner ME, Krumlauf R (2016) The vertebrate Hox gene regulatory network for
- 35 hindbrain segmentation: Evolution and diversification: Coupling of a Hox gene regulatory network
- to hindbrain segmentation is an ancient trait originating at the base of vertebrates. Bioessays 38(6):526-538
- 38 94. Catela C, Shin MM, Lee DH, Liu J-P, Dasen JS (2016) Hox proteins coordinate motor neuron
 39 differentiation and connectivity programs through Ret/Gfrα genes. Cell reports 14 (8):1901-1915
- 40 95. Dasen JS, Tice BC, Brenner-Morton S, Jessell TM (2005) A Hox regulatory network establishes
- 41 motor neuron pool identity and target-muscle connectivity. Cell 123 (3):477-491
- 96. Philippidou P, Walsh CM, Aubin J, Jeannotte L, Dasen JS (2012) Sustained Hox5 gene activity is
 required for respiratory motor neuron development. Nat Neurosci 15 (12):1636
- 97. del Toro EDn, Borday V, Davenne M, Neun R, Rijli FM, Champagnat J (2001) Generation of a
 Novel Functional Neuronal Circuit inHoxa1 Mutant Mice. J Neurosci 21 (15):5637-5642
- 46 98. Di Bonito M, Narita Y, Avallone B, Sequino L, Mancuso M, Andolfi G, Franzè AM, Puelles L, Rijli
- 47 FM, Studer M (2013) Assembly of the auditory circuitry by a Hox genetic network in the mouse
- 48 brainstem. PLoS genetics 9 (2):e1003249

- 1 99. Oury F, Murakami Y, Renaud J-S, Pasqualetti M, Charnay P, Ren S-Y, Rijli FM (2006) Hoxa2-and
- rhombomere-dependent development of the mouse facial somatosensory map. Science 313
 (5792):1408-1413
- 4 100. Bel-Vialar S, Itasaki N, Krumlauf R (2002) Initiating Hox gene expression: in the early chick neural
- tube differential sensitivity to FGF and RA signaling subdivides the HoxB genes in two distinct groups.
 Development 129 (22):5103-5115
- 7 101. Alexander T, Nolte C, Krumlauf R (2009) Hox genes and segmentation of the hindbrain and axial
- 8 skeleton. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 25:431-456
- 9 102. Nordström U, Maier E, Jessell TM, Edlund T (2006) An early role for WNT signaling in specifying
- neural patterns of Cdx and Hox gene expression and motor neuron subtype identity. PLoS Biol 4(8):e252
- 12 103. Tabaries S, Lapointe J, Besch T, Carter M, Woollard J, Tuggle CK, Jeannotte L (2005) Cdx protein
- interaction with Hoxa5 regulatory sequences contributes to Hoxa5 regional expression along theaxial skeleton. Mol Cell Biol 25 (4):1389-1401
- 15 104. Mazzoni EO, Mahony S, Peljto M, Patel T, Thornton SR, McCuine S, Reeder C, Boyer LA, Young
- RA, Gifford DK (2013) Saltatory remodeling of Hox chromatin in response to rostrocaudal patterning
 signals. Nat Neurosci 16 (9):1191
- 18 105. Gould A, Morrison A, Sproat G, White R, Krumlauf R (1997) Positive cross-regulation and
- enhancer sharing: two mechanisms for specifying overlapping Hox expression patterns. Genes Dev
 11 (7):900-913
- 21 106. Manzanares M, Bel-Vialar S, Ariza-McNaughton L, Ferretti E, Marshall H, Maconochie MM, Blasi
- 22 F, Krumlauf R (2001) Independent regulation of initiation and maintenance phases of Hoxa3
- expression in the vertebrate hindbrain involve auto-and cross-regulatory mechanisms.
 Development 128 (18):3595-3607
- 107. Dasen JS, Jessell TM (2009) Chapter six Hox networks and the origins of motor neuron diversity.
 Curr Top Dev Biol 88:169-200
- 108. Krumlauf R (2016) Hox genes and the hindbrain: A study in segments. In: Current topics in
 developmental biology, vol 116. Elsevier, pp 581-596. doi:10.1016/bs.ctdb.2015.12.011
- 29 109. Di Meglio T, Kratochwil CF, Vilain N, Loche A, Vitobello A, Yonehara K, Hrycaj SM, Roska B,
- Peters AH, Eichmann A (2013) Ezh2 orchestrates topographic migration and connectivity of mouse
 precerebellar neurons. Science 339 (6116):204-207
- 32 110. Golden MG, Dasen JS (2012) Polycomb repressive complex 1 activities determine the columnar
 33 organization of motor neurons. Genes Dev 26 (19):2236-2250
- 111. Holland PW (2013) Evolution of homeobox genes. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews:
 Developmental Biology 2 (1):31-45
- 36 112. Salmani BY, Cobeta IM, Rakar J, Bauer S, Curt JR, Starkenberg A, Thor S (2018) Evolutionarily
- 37 conserved anterior expansion of the central nervous system promoted by a common PcG-Hox
 38 program. Development 145 (7). doi:10.1242/dev.160747
- 113. Bahrampour S, Jonsson C, Thor S (2019) Brain expansion promoted by polycomb-mediated
 anterior enhancement of a neural stem cell proliferation program. PLoS Biol 17 (2):e3000163
- 41 114. Golub TR, Slonim DK, Tamayo P, Huard C, Gaasenbeek M, Mesirov JP, Coller H, Loh ML, Downing
- JR, Caligiuri MA, Bloomfield CD, Lander ES (1999) Molecular classification of cancer: class discovery
 and class prediction by gene expression monitoring. Science 286 (5439):531-537.
 doi:10.1126/science.286.5439.531
- 45 115. Nakamura T, Largaespada DA, Lee MP, Johnson LA, Ohyashiki K, Toyama K, Chen SJ, Willman
- 46 CL, Chen IM, Feinberg AP, Jenkins NA, Copeland NG, Shaughnessy JD, Jr. (1996) Fusion of the
- 47 nucleoporin gene NUP98 to HOXA9 by the chromosome translocation t(7;11)(p15;p15) in human
- 48 myeloid leukaemia. Nat Genet 12 (2):154-158. doi:10.1038/ng0296-154

1 116. Fujino T, Suzuki A, Ito Y, Ohyashiki K, Hatano Y, Miura I, Nakamura T (2002) Single-translocation

- 2 and double-chimeric transcripts: detection of NUP98-HOXA9 in myeloid leukemias with HOXA11 or
- 3 HOXA13 breaks of the chromosomal translocation t(7;11)(p15;p15). Blood 99 (4):1428-1433

4 117. Borrow J, Shearman AM, Stanton VP, Jr., Becher R, Collins T, Williams AJ, Dube I, Katz F, Kwong

- 5 YL, Morris C, Ohyashiki K, Toyama K, Rowley J, Housman DE (1996) The t(7;11)(p15;p15) translocation in acute myeloid leukaemia fuses the genes for nucleoporin NUP98 and class I
- 6 7 homeoprotein HOXA9. Nat Genet 12 (2):159-167. doi:10.1038/ng0296-159
- 8 118. Esposito MT, Zhao L, Fung TK, Rane JK, Wilson A, Martin N, Gil J, Leung AY, Ashworth A, So CW
- 9 (2015) Synthetic lethal targeting of oncogenic transcription factors in acute leukemia by PARP 10 inhibitors. Nat Med 21 (12):1481-1490. doi:10.1038/nm.3993
- 11 119. Cantile M, Pettinato G, Procino A, Feliciello I, Cindolo L, Cillo C (2003) In vivo expression of the 12 whole HOX gene network in human breast cancer. Eur J Cancer 39 (2):257-264
- 13 120. Ma XJ, Dahiya S, Richardson E, Erlander M, Sgroi DC (2009) Gene expression profiling of the 14 tumor microenvironment during breast cancer progression. Breast Cancer Res 11 (1):R7. 15 doi:10.1186/bcr2222
- 121. Unger MA, Lakins J, Zhang HX, Foster W, Baxter BJ, Chodosh L, Weaver VM, Weber BL (2002) 16
- 17 HoxA9 is a novel breast cancer progression gene identified by microarray analysis. Am J Hum Genet 18 71 (4):181-181
- 19 122. Costa BM, Smith JS, Chen Y, Chen J, Phillips HS, Aldape KD, Zardo G, Nigro J, James CD, Fridlyand
- 20 J, Reis RM, Costello JF (2010) Reversing HOXA9 oncogene activation by PI3K inhibition: epigenetic 21 mechanism and prognostic significance in human glioblastoma. Cancer Res 70 (2):453-462.
- 22 doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-2189
- 23 123. Gaspar N, Marshall L, Perryman L, Bax DA, Little SE, Viana-Pereira M, Sharp SY, Vassal G, 24 Pearson AD, Reis RM, Hargrave D, Workman P, Jones C (2010) MGMT-independent temozolomide 25 resistance in pediatric glioblastoma cells associated with a PI3-kinase-mediated HOX/stem cell gene
- 26 signature. Cancer Res 70 (22):9243-9252. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-1250
- 27 124. Murat A, Migliavacca E, Gorlia T, Lambiv WL, Shay T, Hamou MF, de Tribolet N, Regli L, Wick W, 28 Kouwenhoven MC, Hainfellner JA, Heppner FL, Dietrich PY, Zimmer Y, Cairncross JG, Janzer RC, 29 Domany E, Delorenzi M, Stupp R, Hegi ME (2008) Stem cell-related "self-renewal" signature and high
- 30 epidermal growth factor receptor expression associated with resistance to concomitant
- 31 chemoradiotherapy in glioblastoma. J Clin Oncol 26 (18):3015-3024. doi:10.1200/JCO.2007.15.7164
- 32 125. Abdel-Fattah R, Xiao A, Bomgardner D, Pease CS, Lopes MBS, Hussaini IM (2006) Differential
- 33 expression of HOX genes in neoplastic and non-neoplastic human astrocytes. J Pathol 209 (1):15-24. 34 doi:10.1002/path.1939
- 35 126. Tabuse M, Ohta S, Ohashi Y, Fukaya R, Misawa A, Yoshida K, Kawase T, Saya H, Thirant C, 36
- Chneiweiss H, Matsuzaki Y, Okano H, Kawakami Y, Toda M (2011) Functional analysis of HOXD9 in 37
- human gliomas and glioma cancer stem cells. Mol Cancer 10 (1):60. doi:10.1186/1476-4598-10-60
- 38 127. Bodey B, Bodey B, Jr., Siegel SE, Kaiser HE (2000) Immunocytochemical detection of the 39 homeobox B3, B4, and C6 gene products in childhood medulloblastomas/primitive 40 neuroectodermal tumors. Anticancer Res 20 (3A):1769-1780
- 41 128. Tiberio C, Barba P, Magli MC, Arvelo F, Le Chevalier T, Poupon MF, Cillo C (1994) HOX gene
- 42 expression in human small-cell lung cancers xenografted into nude mice. Int J Cancer 58 (4):608-615
- 43 129. Calvo R, West J, Franklin W, Erickson P, Bemis L, Li E, Helfrich B, Bunn P, Roche J, Brambilla E,
- 44 Rosell R, Gemmill RM, Drabkin HA (2000) Altered HOX and WNT7A expression in human lung cancer.
- 45 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97 (23):12776-12781. doi:DOI 10.1073/pnas.97.23.12776
- 46 130. De Vita G, Barba P, Odartchenko N, Givel JC, Freschi G, Bucciarelli G, Magli MC, Boncinelli E,
- 47 Cillo C (1993) Expression of homeobox-containing genes in primary and metastatic colorectal
- 48 cancer. Eur J Cancer 29A (6):887-893

- 1 131. Alami Y, Castronovo V, Belotti D, Flagiello D, Clausse N (1999) HOXC5 and HOXC8 expression
- 2 are selectively turned on in human cervical cancer cells compared to normal keratinocytes. Biochem
- 3 Biophys Res Commun 257 (3):738-745. doi:10.1006/bbrc.1999.0516
- 4 132. Cantile M, Cindolo L, Napodano G, Altieri V, Cillo C (2003) Hyperexpression of locus C genes in
- 5 the HOX network is strongly associated in vivo with human bladder transitional cell carcinomas.
- 6 Oncogene 22 (41):6462-6468. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1206808
- 7 133. Reinert T, Modin C, Castano FM, Lamy P, Wojdacz TK, Hansen LL, Wiuf C, Borre M, Dyrskjot L,
- 8 Orntoft TF (2011) Comprehensive Genome Methylation Analysis in Bladder Cancer: Identification
- 9 and Validation of Novel Methylated Genes and Application of These as Urinary Tumor Markers. Clin
- 10 Cancer Res 17 (17):5582-5592. doi:Doi 10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-10-2659
- 11 134. Cillo C, Barba P, Freschi G, Bucciarelli G, Magli MC, Boncinelli E (1992) HOX gene expression in
- 12 normal and neoplastic human kidney. Int J Cancer 51 (6):892-897
- 13 135. Cancer Today (2016) IARC. <u>http://gco.iarc.fr/today</u>. Accessed 2018
- 14 136. Burnet NG, Jefferies SJ, Benson RJ, Hunt DP, Treasure FP (2005) Years of life lost (YLL) from
- cancer is an important measure of population burden--and should be considered when allocating
 research funds. Br J Cancer 92 (2):241-245. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6602321
- 17 137. Walsh KM, Ohgaki H, Wrensch MR (2016) Epidemiology. Handb Clin Neurol 134:3-18.
 18 doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-802997-8.00001-3
- 19 138. Ostrom QT, Gittleman H, Liao P, Vecchione-Koval T, Wolinsky Y, Kruchko C, Barnholtz-Sloan JS
- 20 (2017) CBTRUS Statistical Report: Primary brain and other central nervous system tumors diagnosed
- in the United States in 2010-2014. Neuro Oncol 19 (suppl_5):v1-v88. doi:10.1093/neuonc/nox158
- 22 139. Louis DN, Ohgaki H, Wiestler OD, Cavenee WK, Burger PC, Jouvet A, Scheithauer BW, Kleihues
- 23 P (2007) The 2007 WHO classification of tumours of the central nervous system. Acta Neuropathol
- 24 114 (2):97-109. doi:10.1007/s00401-007-0243-4
- 140. Chen R, Smith-Cohn M, Cohen AL, Colman H (2017) Glioma Subclassifications and Their Clinical
 Significance. Neurotherapeutics 14 (2):284-297. doi:10.1007/s13311-017-0519-x
- 141. Perry A, Wesseling P (2016) Histologic classification of gliomas. Handb Clin Neurol 134:71-95.
 doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-802997-8.00005-0
- 29 142. Louis DN, Perry A, Reifenberger G, von Deimling A, Figarella-Branger D, Cavenee WK, Ohgaki H,
- 30 Wiestler OD, Kleihues P, Ellison DW (2016) The 2016 world health organization classification of
- 31 tumors of the central nervous system: a summary. Acta Neuropathol 131 (6):803-820.
 32 doi:10.1007/s00401-016-1545-1
- 143. Masui K, Mischel PS, Reifenberger G (2016) Molecular classification of gliomas. Handb Clin
 Neurol 134:97-120. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-802997-8.00006-2
- 35 144. Buccoliero AM, Castiglione F, Degl'Innocenti DR, Ammanati F, Giordano F, Sanzo M, Mussa F,
- Genitori L, Taddei GL (2009) Hox-D genes expression in pediatric low-grade gliomas: real-time-PCR
 study. Cell Mol Neurobiol 29 (1):1-6
- 38 145. Sun L, Yan W, Wang Y, Sun G, Luo H, Zhang J, Wang X, You Y, Yang Z, Liu N (2011) MicroRNA-
- 10b induces glioma cell invasion by modulating MMP-14 and uPAR expression via HOXD10. Brain
 Res 1389:9-18
- 41 146. Duan R, Han L, Wang Q, Wei J, Chen L, Zhang J, Kang C, Wang L (2015) HOXA13 is a potential
- GBM diagnostic marker and promotes glioma invasion by activating the Wnt and TGF-β pathways.
 Oncotarget 6 (29):27778
- 44 147. Se Y-B, Kim SH, Kim JY, Kim JE, Dho Y-S, Kim JW, Kim YH, Woo HG, Kim S-H, Kang S-H (2017)
- 45 Underexpression of HOXA11 is associated with treatment resistance and poor prognosis in
- 46 glioblastoma. Cancer research and treatment: official journal of Korean Cancer Association 49
- 47 (2):387-398. doi:10.4143/crt.2016.106

- 1 148. Li Q, Dong C, Cui J, Wang Y, Hong X (2018) Over-expressed IncRNA HOTAIRM1 promotes tumor
- 2 growth and invasion through up-regulating HOXA1 and sequestering G9a/EZH2/Dnmts away from
- 3 the HOXA1 gene in glioblastoma multiforme. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 37 (1):265
- 4 149. Cimino PJ, Kim Y, Wu H-J, Alexander J, Wirsching H-G, Szulzewsky F, Pitter K, Ozawa T, Wang J,
- 5 Vazquez J (2018) Increased HOXA5 expression provides a selective advantage for gain of whole 6 chromosome 7 in IDH wild-type glioblastoma. Genes Dev 32 (7-8):512-523
- 7
- 150. Pojo M, Goncalves CS, Xavier-Magalhaes A, Oliveira AI, Goncalves T, Correia S, Rodrigues AJ, 8
- Costa S, Pinto L, Pinto AA, Lopes JM, Reis RM, Rocha M, Sousa N, Costa BM (2015) A transcriptomic 9 signature mediated by HOXA9 promotes human glioblastoma initiation, aggressiveness and
- 10 resistance to temozolomide. Oncotarget 6 (10):7657-7674. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.3150
- 11 151. Xu K, Qiu C, Pei H, Mehmood MA, Wang H, Li L, Xia Q (2018) Homeobox B3 promotes tumor 12 cell proliferation and invasion in glioblastoma. Oncol Lett 15 (3):3712-3718
- 13 152. Duan X, Liu D, Wang Y, Chen Z (2018) Circular RNA hsa circ 0074362 promotes glioma cell 14 proliferation, migration, and invasion by attenuating the inhibition of mir-1236-3p on HOXB7 15 expression. DNA Cell Biol 37 (11):917-924
- 153. Fang L, Xu Y, Zou L (2014) Overexpressed homeobox B9 regulates oncogenic activities by 16 17 transforming growth factor- β 1 in gliomas. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 446 (1):272-279
- 18 154. Yan T-f, Wu M-j, Xiao B, Hu Q, Fan Y-H, Zhu X-G (2018) Knockdown of HOXC6 inhibits glioma
- 19 cell proliferation and induces cell cycle arrest by targeting WIF-1 in vitro and vivo. Pathology-20 Research and Practice 214 (11):1818-1824
- 155. Okamoto OK, Oba-Shinjo SM, Lopes L, Marie SKN (2007) Expression of HOXC9 and E2F2 are up-21
- 22 regulated in CD133(+) cells isolated from human astrocytomas and associate with transformation of
- 23 human astrocytes. Biochim Biophys Acta 1769 (7-8):437-442. doi:10.1016/j.bbaexp.2007.05.002
- 24 156. Li S, Zhang W, Wu C, Gao H, Yu J, Wang X, Li B, Jun Z, Zhang W, Zhou P (2018) HOXC 10 promotes
- 25 proliferation and invasion and induces immunosuppressive gene expression in glioma. The FEBS 26 journal 285 (12):2278-2291
- 27 157. Guan Y, He Y, Lv S, Hou X, Li L, Song J (2019) Overexpression of HOXC10 promotes glioblastoma 28 cell progression to a poor prognosis via the PI3K/AKT signalling pathway. J Drug Target 27 (1):60-66
- 29 158. Zhao X-W, Zhan Y-B, Bao J-J, Zhou J-Q, Zhang F-J, Bin Y, Bai Y-H, Wang Y-M, Zhang Z-Y, Liu X-Z
- 30 (2017) Clinicopathological analysis of HOXD4 expression in diffuse gliomas and its correlation with
- 31 IDH mutations and 1p/19q co-deletion. Oncotarget 8 (70):115657
- 159. Han L, Liu D, Li Z, Tian N, Han Z, Wang G, Fu Y, Guo Z, Zhu Z, Du C (2015) HOXB1 is a tumor 32 33 suppressor gene regulated by miR-3175 in glioma. PLoS One 10 (11):e0142387
- 34 160. Hu X, Chen D, Cui Y, Li Z, Huang J (2013) Targeting microRNA-23a to inhibit glioma cell invasion 35 via HOXD10. Sci Rep 3:3423
- 36 161. Gallo M, Ho J, Coutinho FJ, Vanner R, Lee L, Head R, Ling EK, Clarke ID, Dirks PB (2013) A
- 37 tumorigenic MLL-homeobox network in human glioblastoma stem cells. Cancer Res 73 (1):417-427
- 38 162. Li B, McCrudden CM, Yuen HF, Xi X, Lyu P, Chan KW, Zhang SD, Kwok HF (2017) CD133 in brain
- 39 tumor: the prognostic factor. Oncotarget 8 (7):11144
- 163. The Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network (2008) Comprehensive genomic characterization 40 41 defines human glioblastoma genes and core pathways. Nature 455 (7216):1061-1068.
- 42 doi:10.1038/nature07385
- 43 164. The Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network (2015) Comprehensive, Integrative Genomic 44 Analysis of Diffuse Lower-Grade Gliomas. N Engl J Med 372 (26):2481-2498. 45 doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1402121
- 46 165. Xuan F, Huang M, Liu W, Ding H, Yang L, Cui H (2015) Homeobox C9 suppresses Beclin1-
- 47 mediated autophagy in glioblastoma by directly inhibiting the transcription of death-associated
- 48 protein kinase 1. Neuro Oncol 18 (6):819-829

- 166. Chen B, Liang T, Yang P, Wang H, Liu Y, Yang F, You G (2016) Classifying lower grade glioma
 cases according to whole genome gene expression. Oncotarget 7 (45):74031
- 3 167. Guo YB, Shao YM, Chen J, Xu SB, Zhang XD, Wang MR, Liu HY (2016) Effect of overexpression
- 4 of HOX genes on its invasive tendency in cerebral glioma. Oncol Lett 11 (1):75-80
- 5 168. Tan Z, Chen K, Wu W, Zhou Y, Zhu J, Wu G, Cao L, Zhang X, Guan H, Yang Y (2018)
- Overexpression of HOXC10 promotes angiogenesis in human glioma via interaction with PRMT5 and
 upregulation of VEGFA expression. Theranostics 8 (18):5143
- 8 169. Kim JW, Kim JY, Kim JE, Kim S-K, Chung H-T, Park C-K (2014) HOXA10 is associated with
 9 temozolomide resistance through regulation of the homologous recombinant DNA repair pathway
 10 in glioblastoma cell lines. Genes Cancer 5 (5-6):165
- 11 170. Lin J, Teo S, Lam DH, Jeyaseelan K, Wang S (2012) MicroRNA-10b pleiotropically regulates 12 invasion, angiogenicity and apoptosis of tumor cells resembling mesenchymal subtype of 13 glioblastoma multiforme. Cell Death Dis 3 (10):e398
- 14 171. Yachi K, Tsuda M, Kohsaka S, Wang L, Oda Y, Tanikawa S, Ohba Y, Tanaka S (2018) miR-23a
- promotes invasion of glioblastoma via HOXD10-regulated glial-mesenchymal transition. Signal transduction and targeted therapy 3 (1):33
- 17 172. Court F, Le Boiteux E, Fogli A, Müller-Barthélémy M, Vaurs-Barriére C, Chautard E, Pereira B,
- Biau J, Kemeny J-L, Khalil T, Karayan-Tapon L, Verelle P, Arnaud P (2019) Transcriptional alterations in glioma result primarily from DNA methylation-independent mechanisms. Genome Res 29
- 20 (10):1605-1621. doi:10.1101/gr.249219.119
- 21 173. Dong CG, Wu WK, Feng SY, Wang XJ, Shao JF, Qiao J (2012) Co-inhibition of microRNA-10b and
- microRNA-21 exerts synergistic inhibition on the proliferation and invasion of human glioma cells.
 Int J Oncol 41 (3):1005-1012
- 174. Martinez R, Martin-Subero JI, Rohde V, Kirsch M, Alaminos M, Fernandez AF, Ropero S,
 Schackert G, Esteller M (2009) A microarray-based DNA methylation study of glioblastoma
 multiforme. Epigenetics 4 (4):255-264
- 175. Kurscheid S, Bady P, Sciuscio D, Samarzija I, Shay T, Vassallo I, Criekinge WV, Daniel RT, van den
 Bent MJ, Marosi C (2015) Chromosome 7 gain and DNA hypermethylation at the HOXA10 locus are
- associated with expression of a stem cell related HOX-signature in glioblastoma. Genome Biol 16(1):16
- 176. Court F, Arnaud P (2017) An annotated list of bivalent chromatin regions in human ES cells: a
 new tool for cancer epigenetic research. Oncotarget 8 (3):4110
- 177. Di Vinci A, Casciano I, Marasco E, Banelli B, Ravetti GL, Borzì L, Brigati C, Forlani A, Dorcaratto
 A, Allemanni G (2012) Quantitative methylation analysis of HOXA3, 7, 9, and 10 genes in glioma:
- association with tumor WHO grade and clinical outcome. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 138 (1):35-47
- 178. Flavahan WA, Drier Y, Liau BB, Gillespie SM, Venteicher AS, Stemmer-Rachamimov AO, Suvà
 ML, Bernstein BE (2016) Insulator dysfunction and oncogene activation in IDH mutant gliomas.
- 38 Nature 529 (7584):110
- 39 179. Brinkman AB, Gu H, Bartels SJ, Zhang Y, Matarese F, Simmer F, Marks H, Bock C, Gnirke A,
 40 Meissner A (2012) Sequential ChIP-bisulfite sequencing enables direct genome-scale investigation
- 41 of chromatin and DNA methylation cross-talk. Genome Res 22 (6):1128-1138
- 180. Reddington JP, Perricone SM, Nestor CE, Reichmann J, Youngson NA, Suzuki M, Reinhardt D,
 Dunican DS, Prendergast JG, Mjoseng H (2013) Redistribution of H3K27me3 upon DNA
- 44 hypomethylation results in de-repression of Polycomb target genes. Genome Biol 14 (3):R25
- 45 182. Goncalves CS, Xavier-Magalhaes A, Pojo M, Oliveira AI, Correia S, Reis RM, Sousa N, Rocha M,
- 46 Costa BM (2015) Transcriptional profiling of HOXA9-regulated genes in human glioblastoma cell
- 47 models. Genom Data 5:54-58. doi:10.1016/j.gdata.2015.05.010

1 183. Xavier-Magalhães A, Gonçalves CS, Fogli A, Lourenço T, Pojo M, Pereira B, Rocha M, Lopes MC,

- 2 Crespo I, Rebelo O (2018) The long non-coding RNA HOTAIR is transcriptionally activated by HOXA9
- 3 and is an independent prognostic marker in patients with malignant glioma. Oncotarget 9
- 4 (21):15740-15756. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.24597
- 5 184. Gonçalves CS, Xavier-Magalhães A, Martins EP, Pinto AA, Pires MM, Pinheiro C, Reis RM, Sousa
- 6 N, Costa BM (2020) A novel molecular link between HOXA9 and WNT6 in glioblastoma identifies a
- 7 subgroup of patients with particular poor prognosis. Mol Oncol. doi:10.1002/1878-0261.12633
- 8 185. Gonçalves CS, Vieira de Castro J, Pojo M, Martins EP, Queirós S, Chautard E, Taipa R, Pires MM,
- 9 Pinto AA, Pardal F, Custódia C, Faria CC, Clara C, Reis RM, Sousa N, Costa BM (2018) WNT6 is a Novel
 10 Oncogenic Prognostic Biomarker in Human Glioblastoma. Theranostics 8 (17):4805-4823.
 11 doi:10.7150/thno.25025
- 12 186. Herrlinger U, Schäfer N, Steinbach JP, Weyerbrock A, Hau P, Goldbrunner R, Friedrich F, Rohde
- V, Ringel F, Schlegel U (2016) Bevacizumab Plus Irinotecan Versus Temozolomide in Newly
 Diagnosed O6-Methylguanine–DNA Methyltransferase Nonmethylated Glioblastoma: The
- 15 Randomized GLARIUS Trial. J Clin Oncol 34 (14):1611-1619
- 16 187. Chinot OL, Wick W, Mason W, Henriksson R, Saran F, Nishikawa R, Carpentier AF, Hoang-Xuan
- K, Kavan P, Cernea D (2014) Bevacizumab plus radiotherapy–temozolomide for newly diagnosed
 glioblastoma. N Engl J Med 370 (8):709-722
- 188. Sánchez-Higueras C, Rastogi C, Voutev R, Bussemaker HJ, Mann RS, Hombría JCG (2019) In vivo
 Hox binding specificity revealed by systematic changes to a single cis regulatory module. Nature
- 21 communications 10 (1):3597-3597. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-11416-1
- 22 189. Mann RS, Affolter M (1998) Hox proteins meet more partners. Curr Opin Genet Dev 8 (4):423-
- 23 429. doi:10.1016/s0959-437x(98)80113-5
- 190. Dard A, Jia Y, Reboulet J, Bleicher F, Lavau C, Merabet S (2019) The human HOXA9 protein uses
 paralog-specific residues of the homeodomain to interact with TALE-class cofactors. Sci Rep 9
 (1):5664-5664. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-42096-y
- 191. Porcelli D, Fischer B, Russell S, White R (2019) Chromatin accessibility plays a key role in
 selective targeting of Hox proteins. Genome Biol 20 (1):115-115. doi:10.1186/s13059-019-1721-4
- 29 192. Shinawi T, Hill VK, Krex D, Schackert G, Gentle D, Morris MR, Wei W, Cruickshank G, Maher ER,
- Latif F (2013) DNA methylation profiles of long-and short-term glioblastoma survivors. Epigenetics
 8 (2):149-156
- 193. Khan AA, Ham S-J, Yen LN, Lee HL, Huh J, Jeon H, Kim MH, Roh T-Y (2018) A novel role of metal
 response element binding transcription factor 2 at the Hox gene cluster in the regulation of
 H3K27me3 by polycomb repressive complex 2. Oncotarget 9 (41):26572-26585.
 doi:10.18632/oncotarget.25505
- 36 194. Li Y, Ren Y, Wang Y, Tan Y, Wang Q, Cai J, Zhou J, Yang C, Zhao K, Yi K, Jin W, Wang L, Liu M,
- Yang J, Li M, Kang C (2019) A Compound AC1Q3QWB Selectively Disrupts HOTAIR-Mediated
 Recruitment of PRC2 and Enhances Cancer Therapy of DZNep. Theranostics 9 (16):4608-4623.
- 39 doi:10.7150/thno.35188
- 40 195. Miranda TB, Cortez CC, Yoo CB, Liang G, Abe M, Kelly TK, Marquez VE, Jones PA (2009) DZNep
- 41 is a global histone methylation inhibitor that reactivates developmental genes not silenced by DNA
- 42 methylation. Mol Cancer Ther 8 (6):1579-1588. doi:10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-09-0013
- 43 196. Ko SY, Ladanyi A, Lengyel E, Naora H (2014) Expression of the homeobox gene HOXA9 in ovarian
- 44 cancer induces peritoneal macrophages to acquire an M2 tumor-promoting phenotype. Am J Pathol
- 45 184 (1):271-281. doi:10.1016/j.ajpath.2013.09.017
- 46

Pirrotta V, Li HB (2012) A view of nuclear Polycomb bodies. Curr Opin Genet Dev. 22(2):101-9. doi:
 10.1016/j.gde.2011.11.004.

3

Casaca A, Hauswirth GM, Bildsoe H, Mallo M, McGlinn E (2018) Regulatory landscape of the Hox
transcriptome. Int J Dev Biol. 62(11-12):693-704. doi:10.1387/ijdb.180270em.

6

8

7 Bartel DP.(2018) Metazoan MicroRNAs. Cell. 173(1):20-51. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.03.006.

9 Yekta S, Tabin CJ, Bartel D (2008) MicroRNAs in the Hox network: an apparent link to posterior 10 prevalence. Nat Rev Genet.9(10):789-96. doi: 10.1038/nrg2400.

12 Mansfield JH, McGlinn E(2012) Evolution, expression, and developmental function of Hox-13 embedded miRNAs. Curr Top Dev Biol. 99:31-57. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-387038-4.00002-1.

14

11

Hornstein E, Mansfield JH, Yekta S, Hu JK, Harfe BD, McManus MT, Baskerville S, Bartel DP, Tabin
CJ.(2005) The microRNA miR-196 acts upstream of Hoxb8 and Shh in limb development. Nature.
438(7068):671-4. PubMed PMID: 16319892.

18

Asli NS, Kessel M.(2010) Spatiotemporally restricted regulation of generic motor neuron programs
by miR-196-mediated repression of Hoxb8. Dev Biol. 344(2):857-68. doi:
10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.06.003.

22

Gofflot F, Lizen B.(2018) Emerging roles for HOX proteins in synaptogenesis. Int J Dev Biol. 62(1112):807-818. doi: 10.1387/ijdb.180299fg.

25

Hutlet B, Theys N, Coste C, Ahn MT, Doshishti-Agolli K, Lizen B, Gofflot F.(2016) Systematic
expression analysis of Hox genes at adulthood reveals novel patterns in the central nervous system.
Brain Struct Funct. 221(3):1223-43. doi: 10.1007/s00429-014-0965-8.

29

Ohm JE, McGarvey KM, Yu X, Cheng L, Schuebel KE, Cope L, Mohammad HP, Chen W, Daniel VC, Yu
 W, Berman DM, Jenuwein T, Pruitt K, Sharkis SJ, Watkins DN, Herman JG, Baylin SB (2007)A stem
 cell-like chromatin pattern may predispose tumor suppressor genes to DNA hypermethylation and
 heritable silencing. Nat Genet.39(2):237-42.

34

Deneberg S, Guardiola P, Lennartsson A, Qu Y, Gaidzik V, Blanchet O, Karimi M, Bengtzén S, Nahi H,
Uggla B, Tidefelt U, Höglund M, Paul C, Ekwall K, Döhner K, Lehmann S(2011) Prognostic DNA
methylation patterns in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia are predefined by stem cell
chromatin marks. Blood. 118(20):5573-82. doi: 10.1182/blood-2011-01-332353.

39 40

Johnston MJ, Nikolic A, Ninkovic N, Guilhamon P, Cavalli FMG, Seaman S, Zemp FJ, Lee J,
Abdelkareem A, Ellestad K, Murison A, Kushida MM, Coutinho FJ, Ma Y, Mungall AJ, Moore R, Marra
MA, Taylor MD, Dirks PB, Pugh TJ, Morrissy S, St Croix B, Mahoney DJ, Lupien M, Gallo M.(2019)
High-resolution structural genomics reveals new therapeutic vulnerabilities in glioblastoma.
Genome Res. 29(8):1211-1222. doi: 10.1101/gr.246520.118.

1 Figure legends

2 Fig. 1

3 HOX expression patterns in the hindbrain and spinal cord

4 (a) HOX genes are composed by only two exons and one intron. The homeobox sequence, which 5 encodes a 61-amino-acid peptide motif (the homeodomain) with a distinctive helix-loop-helix-turn-6 helix structure, is within the second exon. (b) A colour code indicates the relative area of expression 7 of each HOX gene along the anterior-posterior axis in the developing CNS. The left panel illustrate 8 the rhombomere (r)-specific nested patterns of expression of the Hox1-Hox5 paralogues in the 9 hindbrain. Higher colour intensity indicates higher expression. Positions of motor neuron pools are 10 shown within their rhombomere(s) of origin (Trigem.: Trigeminal; Gl.: Glossopharyngeal). In the spinal cord (right panel), the overlapping Hox4-Hox11 gene expression pattern is shown in relation 11 12 with the motor neuron columnar organization. MMC: Medial motor column; PMC: Phrenic motor 13 column; LMC: Lateral motor column; PGC: preganglionic motor column; HMC: hypaxial motor 14 column.

15

16 Fig. 2

17 Topological architecture at the HOXD cluster. The HOXD cluster is localized at the boundary of 18 two adjacent topological associated domains (TAD) that each includes an enhancer-enriched gene-19 desert area. Consequently, the 3' and 5' HOXD genes are controlled by distinct sets of remote 20 enhancers during development. Cen., centromere; Tel., telomere.

21

22 Fig. 3

23 H3K27me3- and H3K4me3-marked domains demarcate silent and expressed HOX genes

Encode-derived ChIP-seq and RNA-seq data show H3K27me3 and H3K4me3 distribution and Hoxa gene expression in mouse A) ES cells, B) embryonic kidney and C) forebrain. In ES cells and forebrain, where all Hoxa genes are repressed, H3K27me3 covered the whole cluster. It may be

associated with H3K4me3 at promoter regions, constituting bivalent domains. In embryonic kidney,

two H3K4me3- and H3K27me3-marked domains delineate expressed and repressed genes along the
 cluster.

30

31

1 Fig. 4

2 Summary of the main functional roles of HOX genes in glioblastoma and their clinical 3 prognostic value.

HOX genes display various critical functional roles in GBM (regulation of cell viability, invasion, 4 5 migration, apoptosis, cell cycle, colony formation, angiogenesis, resistance to temozolomide and to 6 radiotherapy, and stem cell capacity) that are globally associated with malignancy in vitro and in vivo. 7 Importantly, some HOX genes have prognostic value in GBM, with putative clinical relevance. Red 8 and blue colours in the heatmap indicate increased and decreased effects, respectively, upon 9 expression of the corresponding HOX gene. Grey colour indicates unknown effects. Empty cells 10 represent absence of the respective paralogue in that cluster. OS, overall survival; TMZ, 11 temozolomide.







|--|



Figure 4

