



HAL
open science

ON THE INCOMPARABILITY OF SYSTEMS OF SETS OF LENGTHS

Alfred Geroldinger, Wolfgang Schmid

► **To cite this version:**

Alfred Geroldinger, Wolfgang Schmid. ON THE INCOMPARABILITY OF SYSTEMS OF SETS OF LENGTHS. 2020. hal-02566257v1

HAL Id: hal-02566257

<https://hal.science/hal-02566257v1>

Preprint submitted on 6 May 2020 (v1), last revised 22 Nov 2020 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ON THE INCOMPARABILITY OF SYSTEMS OF SETS OF LENGTHS

ALFRED GEROLDINGER AND WOLFGANG A. SCHMID

ABSTRACT. Let H be a Krull monoid with finite class group G such that every class contains a prime divisor. We consider the system $\mathcal{L}(H)$ of all sets of lengths of H and study when $\mathcal{L}(H)$ contains or is contained in a system $\mathcal{L}(H')$ of a Krull monoid H' with finite class group G' , prime divisors in all classes and Davenport constant $\mathsf{D}(G') = \mathsf{D}(G)$. Among others, we show that if G is either cyclic of order $m \geq 7$ or an elementary 2-group of rank $m - 1 \geq 6$, and G' is any group which is non-isomorphic to G but with Davenport constant $\mathsf{D}(G') = \mathsf{D}(G)$, then the systems $\mathcal{L}(H)$ and $\mathcal{L}(H')$ are incomparable.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let H be a Krull monoid or a Krull domain with class group G such that every class contains a prime divisor. The system $\mathcal{L}(H)$ of all sets of lengths of H is a well-studied invariant describing factorizations in H . It is classic that H is factorial if and only if $|G| = 1$ and that H is half-factorial (i.e., $|L| = 1$ for all $L \in \mathcal{L}(H)$) if and only if $|G| \leq 2$. All sets of lengths $L \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ are finite and, if $|G| \geq 3$, then for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $L_N \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ such that $|L_N| \geq N$. Every finite subset of $\mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ lies in $\mathcal{L}(H)$ if and only if the class group G is infinite. Suppose that G is finite with $|G| \geq 3$. Then sets of lengths in $\mathcal{L}(H)$ are well-structured and depend only on the class group G . More precisely, we have $\mathcal{L}(H) = \mathcal{L}(G) := \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}(G))$, where $\mathcal{B}(G)$ denotes the monoid of zero-sum sequences over G . We refer to [8, 9] for background on Krull monoids and their connection to additive combinatorics and to [23, 3, 2, 18, 24] for recent work on sets of lengths.

The standing conjecture is that the system $\mathcal{L}(H)$ determines the class group G (apart from two trivial exceptions, given in Theorem A below). This means that, if H' is a Krull monoid with class group G' such that every class contains a prime divisor, then $\mathcal{L}(H) = \mathcal{L}(H')$ if and only if the class groups G and G' are isomorphic. For small groups it is easy to write down their systems of sets of lengths. We denote by C_n a cyclic group of order $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and recall the following well-known result ([12, Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 3.6]).

Theorem A.

1. $\{\{k\} : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\} = \mathcal{L}(C_1) = \mathcal{L}(C_2)$.
2. $\{y + 2k + [0, k] : y, k \in \mathbb{N}_0\} = \mathcal{L}(C_3) = \mathcal{L}(C_2 \oplus C_2) \subsetneq \mathcal{L}(G)$ for all finite abelian groups G with $\mathsf{D}(G) \geq 4$.

The above result covers all groups G with Davenport constant $\mathsf{D}(G) \leq 3$, and the above mentioned conjecture expects an affirmative answer to the following problem.

The Characterization Problem. Let G be a finite abelian group with Davenport constant $\mathsf{D}(G) \geq 4$, and let G' be an abelian group with $\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}(G')$. Are G and G' isomorphic?

We refer to the surveys ([5, 16]) for background on the Characterization Problem and to [1, 26, 25, 11, 15] for recent progress. In [12], the Characterization Problem was studied with a new approach, and in the present paper we further pursue this novel point of view. Indeed, we consider the family

$$\Omega = (\mathcal{L}(G))_G$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B30, 13A05, 13F05, 20M13.

Key words and phrases. Krull monoids, transfer Krull monoids, sets of lengths, zero-sum sequences.

This work was supported by the Austrian Science Fund FWF, Project Number P33499.

of systems of sets of lengths $\mathcal{L}(G)$, where G is running through a set of representatives of non-isomorphic finite abelian groups. If G' is a subgroup of a group G , then $\mathcal{L}(G') \subset \mathcal{L}(G)$ and, given two groups G_1 and G_2 , we have $\mathcal{L}(G_i) \subset \mathcal{L}(G_1 \oplus G_2)$ for each $i \in [1, 2]$. Thus Ω is a directed family and, by Theorem A and [8, Theorem 7.4.1], we have

$$\mathcal{L}(C_1) = \mathcal{L}(C_2) \subsetneq \mathcal{L}(C_3) = \mathcal{L}(C_2 \oplus C_2) \subsetneq \mathcal{L}(G) \subsetneq \mathcal{L}(G^*)$$

for every finite abelian group G with $D(G) \geq 4$ and every infinite abelian group G^* .

If G and G' are finite abelian groups with $\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}(G')$, then their Davenport constants are equal (Proposition 2.3). Furthermore, for every positive integer $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there are only finitely many non-isomorphic finite abelian groups G with Davenport constant $D(G) = m$. We consider, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the finite family

$$\Omega_m = (\mathcal{L}(G))_{G \text{ with } D(G)=m}$$

of all systems $\mathcal{L}(G)$, where G is running through a set of representatives of non-isomorphic finite abelian groups G having Davenport constant $D(G) = m$. Thus, the Characterization Problem has an affirmative answer if and only if the systems in Ω_m are pairwise distinct for all $m \geq 4$. We say that an element $\mathcal{L}(G)$ of a subfamily Ω' of Ω is

- *maximal* in Ω' if $\mathcal{L}(G) \subset \mathcal{L}(G')$ implies that $G = G'$ for every element $\mathcal{L}(G')$ in Ω' ,
- *minimal* in Ω' if $\mathcal{L}(G') \subset \mathcal{L}(G)$ implies that $G = G'$ for every element $\mathcal{L}(G')$ in Ω' , and
- *incomparable* in Ω' if it is maximal and minimal in Ω' , that is $\mathcal{L}(G)$ is not comparable to any other element of Ω' .

Now we can formulate the main result of the present paper. By Theorem A, it is sufficient to consider finite abelian groups G with Davenport constant $D(G) \geq 4$.

Theorem 1.1.

1. For $m \in [4, 6]$, $\mathcal{L}(C_m)$ is minimal in Ω_m , $\mathcal{L}(C_2^{m-1})$ is maximal in Ω_m , and $\mathcal{L}(C_m) \subsetneq \mathcal{L}(C_2^{m-1})$.
2. For every $m \geq 7$, $\mathcal{L}(C_m)$ is incomparable in Ω_m and $\mathcal{L}(C_2^{m-1})$ is incomparable in Ω_m .
3. For every $m \geq 5$, $\mathcal{L}(C_2^{m-4} \oplus C_4)$ is maximal in Ω_m .
4. For every $n \geq 2$, $\mathcal{L}(C_2 \oplus C_{2n})$ is maximal in Ω_{2n+1} . Moreover, $\mathcal{L}(C_2 \oplus C_{2n})$ is minimal among all $\mathcal{L}(G)$ in Ω_{2n+1} stemming from groups G with $D(G) = D^*(G)$.

Corollary 1.2. Let G_1 and G_2 be non-isomorphic finite abelian groups with $D(G_1) = D(G_2) = m \in [4, 7]$.

1. If $m \in [4, 6]$ and $\mathcal{L}(G_1) \subset \mathcal{L}(G_2)$, then G_1 is cyclic of order m , G_2 is an elementary 2-group of rank $m - 1$, and $\mathcal{L}(G_1) \subsetneq \mathcal{L}(G_2)$.
2. If $m = 7$, then $\mathcal{L}(G_1)$ and $\mathcal{L}(G_2)$ are incomparable.

Statements 1 and 2 of Theorem 1.1 will be proved, resp., in Section 3 and 4; while Statements 3 and 4 of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 will be proved in Section 5. The proofs are based on deep results on invariants controlling the structure of sets of lengths as well as on a careful case by case analysis when handling small groups. In Section 2 we gather some background information on systems of sets of lengths over finite abelian groups, and we refer to the survey [22] for more information.

2. BACKGROUND ON SYSTEMS OF SETS OF LENGTHS OVER FINITE ABELIAN GROUPS

We denote by \mathbb{N} the set of positive integers and by \mathbb{N}_0 the set of nonnegative integers. For integers $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $[a, b] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}: a \leq x \leq b\}$ is the discrete interval between a and b . Let $L, L' \subset \mathbb{Z}$ be subsets of the integers. Then $L + L' = \{a + b: a \in L, b \in L'\}$ denotes their sumset, $\Delta(L) \subset \mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of successive distances of elements from L , and $k \cdot L = \{ka: a \in L\}$ is the dilation of L by k . If $L \subset \mathbb{N}$, then $\rho(L) = \sup(L)/\min(L)$ is the elasticity of L , and for $L = \{0\}$ we set $\rho(L) = 1$. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell, M \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $\{0, d\} \subset \mathcal{D} \subset [0, d]$. The set $L \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is called an

- *arithmetical multiprogression* (AMP) with *difference* d , *period* \mathcal{D} and *length* ℓ , if L is an interval of $\min L + \mathcal{D} + d\mathbb{Z}$ (this means that L is finite nonempty and $L = (\min L + \mathcal{D} + d\mathbb{Z}) \cap [\min L, \max L]$), and ℓ is maximal such that $\min L + \ell d \in L$.
- *almost arithmetical multiprogression* (AAMP) with *difference* d , *period* \mathcal{D} , *length* ℓ and *bound* M , if

$$L = y + (L' \cup L^* \cup L'') \subset y + \mathcal{D} + d\mathbb{Z}$$

where L^* is an AMP with difference d (whence $L^* \neq \emptyset$), period \mathcal{D} and length ℓ such that $\min L^* = 0$, $L' \subset [-M, -1]$, $L'' \subset \max L^* + [1, M]$, and $y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For a set P , we denote by $\mathcal{F}(P)$ the free abelian monoid with basis P . If $a = \prod_{p \in P} p^{\nu_p(a)} \in \mathcal{F}(P)$, then $|a| = \sum_{p \in P} \nu_p(a) \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is the length of a , and $\text{supp}(a) = \{p \in P : \nu_p(a) > 0\} \subset P$ is the support of a .

Throughout this section, let G be an additively written finite abelian group, say $G \cong C_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus C_{n_r}$ with $1 < n_1 \mid \dots \mid n_r$, and let $G_0 \subset G$ be a subset.

In the above decomposition, $r = r(G)$ is the rank of G and $n_r = \exp(G)$ is the exponent of G . A tuple (e_1, \dots, e_s) of nonzero elements of G , with $s \in \mathbb{N}$, is called a basis of G if $G = \langle e_1 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle e_s \rangle$. For a fixed basis (e_1, \dots, e_s) of G we write $e_I = \sum_{i \in I} e_i$ for every subset $I \subset [1, s]$. In particular, we have $e_\emptyset = 0$.

The monoid of zero-sum sequences over G_0 . The elements of the free abelian monoid $\mathcal{F}(G_0)$ with basis G_0 are called sequences over G_0 . Let

$$S = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_\ell = \prod_{g \in G_0} g^{\nu_g(S)} \in \mathcal{F}(G_0)$$

be a sequence over G_0 . Then $|S| = \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is the length of S and $\sigma(S) = g_1 + \dots + g_\ell \in G$ is the sum of S . We set $-S = (-g_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (-g_\ell)$. The sequence S is said to be *zero-sum free* if $\sum_{i \in I} g_i \neq 0$ for all nonempty subsets $I \subset [1, \ell]$. The monoid

$$\mathcal{B}(G_0) = \{S \in \mathcal{F}(G_0) : \sigma(S) = 0\} \subset \mathcal{F}(G_0)$$

of zero-sum sequences over G_0 is a saturated submonoid of $\mathcal{F}(G_0)$ and hence a Krull monoid. The set of atoms of $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ (in other words, the set of the minimal zero-sum sequences over G_0) is denoted by $\mathcal{A}(G_0)$. The set $\mathcal{A}(G_0)$ is finite and

$$D(G_0) = \max\{|U| : U \in \mathcal{A}(G_0)\} \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

is the *Davenport constant* of G_0 . We have the following lower and upper bounds,

$$D^*(G) := 1 + \sum_{i=1}^r (n_i - 1) \leq D(G) \leq |G|,$$

where the left inequality is an equality for groups of rank $r \leq 2$ and for p -groups (for recent progress on the Davenport constant we refer to [19, 17]). Furthermore, $d(G) := D(G) - 1$ is the maximal length of a zero-sum free sequence over G .

The arithmetic of $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$. The free abelian monoid $Z(G_0) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}(G_0))$ is the factorization monoid of $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ and let $\pi : Z(G_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G_0)$ denote the canonical epimorphism. For every $B \in \mathcal{B}(G_0)$, $Z(B) = \pi^{-1}(B)$ is the *set of factorizations* of B and $\mathsf{L}(B) = \{|z| : z \in Z(B)\}$ is the *set of lengths* of B . Note that $\mathsf{L}(1_{\mathcal{B}(G_0)}) = \{0\}$, and we have $\mathsf{L}(B) = \{1\}$ if and only if $B \in \mathcal{A}(G_0)$. Then

$$\mathcal{L}(G_0) = \{\mathsf{L}(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}(G_0)\}$$

is the *system of sets of lengths* of $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$. The systems $\mathcal{L}(G)$ are of high relevance because of transfer results in factorization theory. Indeed, if H is a transfer Krull monoid over G , then $\mathcal{L}(H) = \mathcal{L}(G)$. Transfer Krull monoids include commutative Krull monoids and Krull domains but also classes of non-commutative Dedekind domains. We do not discuss these connections here but refer to the surveys [5, 16].

We recall the concept of the g -norm, which is a powerful tool for the study of sets of lengths of zero-sum sequences over cyclic groups. Let $g \in G$ with $\text{ord}(g) = n \geq 2$. For a sequence $S = (n_1g) \cdot \dots \cdot (n_\ell g) \in \mathcal{F}(\langle g \rangle)$, where $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $n_1, \dots, n_\ell \in [1, n]$, we define

$$\|S\|_g = \frac{n_1 + \dots + n_\ell}{n}.$$

Note that $\sigma(S) = 0$ implies that $n_1 + \dots + n_\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ whence $\|S\|_g \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Thus, $\|\cdot\|_g: \mathcal{B}(\langle g \rangle) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ is a homomorphism, and $\|S\|_g = 0$ if and only if $S = 1$. If $S \in \mathcal{A}(G_0)$, then $\|S\|_g \in [1, n-1]$, and if $\|S\|_g = 1$, then $S \in \mathcal{A}(G_0)$. Arguing as above we obtain that

$$\frac{\|A\|_g}{n-1} \leq \min \mathsf{L}(A) \leq \max \mathsf{L}(A) \leq \|A\|_g.$$

Next we define the distance of factorizations and the catenary degree. Two factorizations $z, z' \in \mathsf{Z}(G_0)$ can be written in the form

$$z = U_1 \cdot \dots \cdot U_k V_1 \cdot \dots \cdot V_\ell \text{ and } z' = U_1 \cdot \dots \cdot U_k W_1 \cdot \dots \cdot W_m$$

where all $U_r, V_s, W_t \in \mathcal{A}(G_0)$ and all $V_i \neq W_j$ for all $i \in [1, \ell]$ and all $j \in [1, m]$. Then $\mathsf{d}(z, z') = \max\{\ell, m\}$ is the distance between z and z' . For an element $B \in \mathcal{B}(G_0)$, the catenary degree $\mathsf{c}(B)$ is the smallest $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that for any two factorizations $z, z' \in \mathsf{Z}(B)$ there are factorizations $z = z_0, z_1, \dots, z_k = z'$ of B such that $\mathsf{d}(z_{i-1}, z_i) \leq N$ for all $i \in [1, k]$. Then $\mathsf{c}(G_0) = \max\{\mathsf{c}(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}(G_0)\}$ is the *catenary degree* of G_0 .

We say that the monoid $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ (resp. G_0) is *half-factorial* if and only if $|L| = 1$ for all $L \in \mathcal{L}(G_0)$. We denote by

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta(G_0) = \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}(G_0)} \Delta(L) \subset \mathbb{N}$$

the *set of distances* of G_0 and we set

$$\mathfrak{T}(G_0) = \max\{\min(L \setminus \{2\}) \mid 2 \in L \in \mathcal{L}(G_0)\}.$$

If $\Delta(G_0) \neq \emptyset$, then $\min \Delta(G_0) = \gcd \Delta(G_0)$ and, by [8, Theorems 1.6.3 and 3.4.10]), we have

$$(2.2) \quad \mathfrak{T}(G_0) \leq 2 + \max \Delta(G_0) \leq \mathsf{c}(G_0) \leq \mathsf{D}(G_0).$$

The *set of minimal distances* $\Delta^*(G) \subset \Delta(G)$ is defined as

$$\Delta^*(G) = \{\min \Delta(G_0) : G_0 \subset G \text{ with } \Delta(G_0) \neq \emptyset\} \subset \Delta(G).$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the k th *elasticity* of G_0 is defined as

$$(2.3) \quad \rho_k(G_0) = \max\{\max L : k \in L \in \mathcal{L}(G_0)\} \text{ and } \rho(G_0) = \sup\{\rho(L) : L \in \mathcal{L}(G_0)\}$$

is the *elasticity* of G_0 .

We end this section with three propositions. They gather some of the key properties and results on the above invariants. The first proposition reveals the relevance of $\Delta^*(G)$ (see [8, Theorem 4.4.11]).

Proposition 2.1. *Let G be a finite abelian group with $|G| \geq 3$. There exists some $M \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that every set of lengths $L \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ is an AAMP with difference $d \in \Delta^*(G)$ and bound M .*

Proposition 2.2. *Let $m \geq 3$.*

1. $\Delta(C_m) = \Delta(C_2^{m-1}) = [1, m-2]$ and $\Delta^*(C_m) \subset [1, m-2] = \Delta^*(C_2^{m-1})$.
2. $\max \Delta^*(G) = \max\{\exp(G) - 2, \mathsf{r}(G) - 1\}$.
3. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\rho_{2k}(G) = k\mathsf{D}(G)$, $k\mathsf{D}(G) + 1 \leq \rho_{2k+1}(G) \leq k\mathsf{D}(G) + \mathsf{D}(G)/2$, and $\rho(G) = \mathsf{D}(G)/2$. If G is cyclic, then $\rho_{2k+1}(G) = k\mathsf{D}(G) + 1$ and if G is an elementary 2-group, then $\rho_{2k+1}(G) = k\mathsf{D}(G) + \lfloor \mathsf{D}(G)/2 \rfloor$.*

Proof. The claim on $\max \Delta^*(G)$ follows from [14]. If G is cyclic, then $\rho_{2k+1}(G) = k\mathsf{D}(G) + 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by [9, page 75, Theorem 5.3.1]. Proofs of the remaining claims can be found in [8, Chapter 6]. \square

Proposition 2.3. *Let G and G' be finite abelian groups with $D(G) \geq 4$ such that $\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}(G')$.*

1. $\rho(G) = \rho(G')$ and $\rho_k(G) = \rho_k(G')$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $D(G) = D(G')$.
2. $\Delta(G) = \Delta(G')$ and $\max \Delta^*(G) = \max \Delta^*(G')$.
3. If G is cyclic or an elementary 2-group with $D(G) \geq 4$, then $G \cong G'$.

Proof. 1. The claims on $\rho(G)$ and on $\rho_k(G)$ follow from Definition (2.3). Since $D(G) = \rho_2(G)$ and $D(G') = \rho_2(G')$ by Proposition 2.2, we obtain that $D(G) = D(G')$.

2. The claim on $\Delta(G)$ is clear by Definition (2.1). The claim on $\Delta^*(G)$ is based on Proposition 2.1 and is given in [8, Corollary 4.3.16].

3. This follows from [8, Theorem 7.3.3]. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.1

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1.1. A main part is to show that $\mathcal{L}(C_6) \subset \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$. This will be done in a series of subsections. We need a lot of computations with zero-sum sequences over C_2^5 . To simplify notation and to avoid repetitions, we fix the following notation until the end of this section.

We fix a basis (e_1, \dots, e_5) of C_2^5 . For every subset $I \subset [1, 5]$, we define

$$e_I = \sum_{i \in I} e_i, \quad U_I = e_I \prod_{i \in I} e_i, \quad \text{and} \quad V_I = e_I \prod_{i \in [0,5] \setminus I} e_i.$$

Moreover, we set $e_0 := e_{[1,5]}$ and $U := U_{[1,5]}$. If $\emptyset \neq I \subsetneq [1, 5]$, then U_I and V_I are minimal zero-sum sequences over $\{e_I, e_0, \dots, e_5\}$.

3.1. On intervals in $\mathcal{L}(C_6)$ and $\mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$. The goal of this subsection is to show that all intervals, that lie in $\mathcal{L}(C_6)$, also lie in $\mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$. We start with two lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $L \in \mathcal{L}(C_6)$ with $\{2, 5\} \subset L$. Then $L = \{2, 5\}$ or $L = \{2, 4, 5\}$, and both sets actually lie in $\mathcal{L}(C_6)$. In particular, $[2, 5] \notin \mathcal{L}(C_6)$.*

Proof. Let $B \in \mathcal{B}(C_6)$ with $\{2, 5\} \subset L(B)$. Then $B = U_1 U_2$ with $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{A}(C_6)$ and $|U_i| \geq 5$ for $i \in [1, 2]$. If $g \in C_6$ with $\text{ord}(g) = 6$, then $W = g^6$, $V = g^4(2g)$, $-W$, and $-V$ are the atoms of length at least 5. Since $L((-W)W) = \{2, 6\}$, $L((-V)V) = \{2, 4, 5\}$ and $L((-W)V) = L((-V)W) = \{2, 5\}$, the claim follows. \square

Lemma 3.2.

1. $[2, 3], [2, 4], [3, 6], [3, 7], [4, 9], [4, 10], [4, 11] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$. Moreover, $\{2, 4\}$, $\{2, 5\}$, $\{2, 6\}$, $\{2, 3, 5\}$, and $\{2, 4, 5\}$ are in $\mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$.
2. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ we have $[2k, 6k - 4], [2k, 6k - 3], [2k, 6k - 2], [2k, 6k - 1] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$.
3. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $[2k + 1, 6k - 2], [2k + 1, 6k - 1], [2k + 1, 6k], [2k + 1, 6k + 1] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$.

Proof. 1. We have $L(U_{[1,3]}^2) = \{2, 4\}$, $L(U_{[1,4]}^2) = \{2, 5\}$, and $L(U_{[1,5]}^2) = \{2, 6\}$. All remaining sets, apart from $[4, 11]$, are already in $\mathcal{L}(C_2^4)$ ([12, Theorem 4.8 and Proposition 4.10]). It remains to verify that $[4, 11] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$. To do so we define

$$(3.1) \quad V_1 = e_1 e_2 e_3 e_4 e_{\{3,4,5\}} e_{\{1,2,5\}}, \quad \text{and} \quad V_2 = e_1 e_{\{1,2\}} e_3 e_4 e_5 e_{[2,5]}$$

and we assert that $L(U^2 V_1 V_2) = [4, 11]$. Since $A = U^2 V_1 V_2$ is not a square and $|A| = 24$, it follows that $\max L(A) \leq 11$ whence $L(A) \subset [4, 11]$. We assert that $L(UV_1) = \{2, 4, 5\}$ and that $L(UV_2) = \{2, 3, 5\}$.

Let z be a factorization of UV_1 . The atoms, that divide z and contain $e_{\{1,2,5\}}$, are $U_{\{1,2,5\}}$, $V_{\{1,2,5\}}$, V_1 , and $V_1' = e_0 e_5 e_{\{3,4,5\}} e_{\{1,2,5\}}$. If z is divisible by $U_{\{1,2,5\}}$, then $z = U_{\{1,2,5\}} V_{\{3,4,5\}} e_3^2 e_4^2$, whence $|z| = 4$. If z is divisible by $V_{\{1,2,5\}}$, then $z = V_{\{1,2,5\}} U_{\{3,4,5\}} e_1^2 e_2^2$, whence $|z| = 4$. If z is divisible by V_1 , then $z = UV_1$, whence $|z| = 2$. If z is divisible by V_1' , then $z = V_1' e_1^2 e_2^2 e_3^2 e_4^2$, whence $|z| = 5$. This shows $L(UV_1) = \{2, 4, 5\}$.

Let z be a factorization of UV_2 . The atoms, that divide z and contain $e_{\{1,2\}}$, are $U_{\{1,2\}}$, $V_{\{1,2\}}$, V_2 , and $V'_2 = e_0e_2e_{[2,5]}e_{\{1,2\}}$. If z is divisible by $U_{\{1,2\}}$, then $z = U_{\{1,2\}}V_{[2,5]}e_3^2e_4^2e_5^2$, whence $|z| = 5$. If z is divisible by $V_{\{1,2\}}$, then $z = V_{\{1,2\}}U_{[2,5]}e_1^2$, whence $|z| = 3$. If z is divisible by V_2 , then $z = UV_2$, whence $|z| = 2$. If z is divisible by V'_2 , then $z = V'_2e_1^2e_3^2e_4^2e_5^2$, whence $|z| = 5$. This shows $L(UV_2) = \{2, 3, 5\}$.

Consequently, $[4, 10] = \{2, 3, 5\} + \{2, 4, 5\} \subset L(U^2V_1V_2)$. Since

$$V_1V_2 = \left(e_1^2\right)\left(e_3^2\right)\left(e_4^2\right)\left(e_{\{1,2,5\}}e_{\{1,2\}}e_5\right)\left(e_{[2,5]}e_2e_{\{3,4,5\}}\right),$$

and since $L(U^2) = \{2, 6\}$, it follows that $5 \in L(V_1V_2)$, whence $11 \in L(U^2V_1V_2)$.

2. and 3. (i) Claim 1: $[2k + 1, 6k + 1] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We recall from [12, Proposition 4.10, proof of assertion A1] that

$$(3.2) \quad U'_1 = e_{[1,4]}e_1 \cdots e_4, \quad U'_2 = e_1e_2e_{\{1,3\}}e_{\{2,4\}}e_{\{3,4\}}, \quad \text{and} \quad U'_3 = e_{\{1,3\}}e_{\{2,4\}}e_{\{3,4\}}e_3e_4$$

are atoms of lengths 5 and that $L(U'_1U'_2U'_3) = [3, 7]$. We assert that $L(U^{2k-2}U'_1U'_2U'_3) = [2k + 1, 6k + 1]$. Since $L(U^{2k-2}) = 2k - 2 + 4 \cdot [0, k - 1]$, it follows that $2k - 2 + 4 \cdot [0, k - 1] + [3, 7] = [2k + 1, 6k + 1] \subset L(U^{2k-2}U'_1U'_2U'_3)$. It remains to show the converse inclusion. Since $|U^{2k-2}U'_1U'_2U'_3| = 6(2k - 2) + 15$, it follows that the minimal length is at least $(12k + 3)/6$ and as it is an integer it is at least $2k + 1$. Moreover, as 0 does not occur in this sequence, the maximal length is at most $(12k + 3)/2$ and thus it is at most $6k + 1$. This shows that $[2k + 1, 6k + 1] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$.

(ii) Claim 2: $[2k, 6k - 4] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ for each $k \geq 2$.

Since $1 + [2k + 1, 6k + 1] = [2(k + 1), 6(k + 1) - 4]$, we conclude that $[2k, 6k - 4] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$.

(iii) Claim 3: $[2k, 6k - 1] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ for each $k \geq 2$.

Let $k \geq 2$. We consider $L(U^{2k-2}V_1V_2)$ with V_1, V_2 as in (3.1). Since $L(U^2V_1V_2) = [4, 11]$ and $L(U^{2k-4}) = 2k - 4 + 4 \cdot [0, k - 2]$, it follows that $2k - 4 + 4 \cdot [0, k - 2] + [4, 11] = [2k, 6k - 1] \subset L(U^{2k-2}V_1V_2)$. To prove the converse inclusion, it suffices to note that $|U^{2k-2}V_1V_2| = 12k$, and thus the minimal length it is at least $2k$. Since the sequence does not contain 0 and is not a square it follows that the maximal length is less than $6k$.

(iv) Claim 4: $[2k, 6k - 2] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ for each $k \geq 2$.

Let $k \geq 2$. We recall from [12, Proposition 4.10, proof of assertion A3] that $L(U_1'^2U_2'^2) = [4, 10]$, where U_1' and U_2' are as defined above. Now, we consider $L(U^{2k-4}U_1'^2U_2'^2)$, with U_1' and U_2' as above. It follows that $2k - 4 + 4 \cdot [0, k - 2] + [4, 10] = [2k, 6k - 2] \subset L(U^{2k-4}U_1'^2U_2'^2)$. To prove the converse inclusion, it suffices to note that $|U^{2k-4}U_1'^2U_2'^2| = 12k - 4$, and thus the minimal length it is at least $(12k - 4)/6$ and thus at least $2k$. Since the sequence does not contain 0, it follows that that maximal length is at most $6k - 2$ and the argument is complete.

(v) Claim 5: $[2k, 6k - 3] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ for each $k \geq 2$.

Let $k \geq 2$. We recall from [12, Proposition 4.10, proof of assertion A2] that $L(U_1'^2U_2'^2U_4') = [4, 9]$, where U_1' and U_2' are as in (3.2) and $U_4' = e_{\{1,2\}}e_{\{1,3\}}e_{\{2,4\}}e_{\{3,4\}}$. We consider $L(U^{2k-4}U_1'^2U_2'^2U_4')$, and obtain $2k - 4 + 4 \cdot [0, k - 2] + [4, 9] = [2k, 6k - 3] \subset L(U^{2k-4}U_1'^2U_2'^2U_4')$. For converse inclusion, we note that $|U^{2k-4}U_1'^2U_2'^2U_4'| = 12k - 5$, and thus the minimal length is at least $2k$ while the maximal length is at most $6k - 3$.

(vi) Claim 6: $[2k + 1, 6k - 2], [2k + 1, 6k - 1], [2k + 1, 6k] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Noting that $[2k + 1, 6k - 2] = 1 + [2k, 6k - 3]$, $[2k + 1, 6k - 1] = 1 + [2k, 6k - 2]$, $[2k + 1, 6k] = 1 + [2k, 6k - 1]$ and the latter intervals are in $\mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ for $k \geq 2$, it remains to study the case $k = 1$. By 1., we have $[3, 6] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$. Moreover, $[2, 3], [2, 4] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$, implies $[3, 4] = 1 + [2, 3] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ and $[3, 5] = 1 + [2, 4] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$. \square

Proposition 3.3. *Every $L \in \mathcal{L}(C_6)$, that is an interval, lies in $\mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$.*

Proof. Let $L \in \mathcal{L}(C_6)$ be an interval. The claim holds if L is singleton. Suppose that $|L| \geq 2$. We set $m = \min L$ and $n = \max L$. By Proposition 2.2, we have $\rho(C_6) = 3$, $\rho_m(C_6) = 3m$ for even m , and $\rho_m(C_6) = 3(m - 1) + 1$ for odd m . Thus $n \leq 3m$, and we assert that $n < 3m$. Assume to the contrary that

$n = 3m$, and let $B \in \mathcal{B}(C_6)$ with $L(B) = L$. If $0 \mid B$, then $\min L(0^{-1}B) = m-1$ and $\max L(0^{-1}B) = 3m-1$, a contradiction to $\rho(C_6) = 3$. Thus $0 \nmid B$. Moreover, each atom in a factorization of length m must have length 6. However, the only two minimal zero-sum sequences of length 6 over C_6 are g^6 and $(-g)^6$, where g is a generating element of C_6 . Thus $\text{supp}(B) \subset \{-g, g\}$. However, $\Delta(\{-g, g\}) = \{4\}$, contradicting the assumption that $L(B) = L$ is an interval.

We now write $n = m + l$ with $l \in \mathbb{N}$. If $l \leq 2$, then $[m, m + l] = (m - 2) + [2, 2 + l] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ by Lemma 3.2. Suppose that $l = 3$. Since $[2, 5] \notin \mathcal{L}(C_6)$ by Lemma 3.1, it follows that $m \geq 3$, whence $[m, m + l] = (m - 3) + [3, 6] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ by Lemma 3.2. Now we suppose that $l \geq 4$ and distinguish two cases.

CASE 1: m is even, say $m = 2k'$.

By the argument above, we get $n < 3m = 6k'$. Thus, $l \leq 4k' - 1$, say $l = 4k - i$ with $k \in [2, k']$ and $i \in [1, 4]$. Then $m - 2k \geq 0$ and $[m, m + l] = m - 2k + [2k, 6k - i] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ by Lemma 3.2.

CASE 2: m is odd, say $m = 2k' + 1$.

By the argument above, we get $n \leq 6k' + 1$. Thus $l \leq 4k'$, say $l = 4k - i$ with $k \in [1, k']$ and $i \in [0, 3]$. Then $m - (2k + 1) \geq 0$ and $[m, m + l] = m - (2k + 1) + [2k + 1, 6k + 1 - i] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ by Lemma 3.2. \square

3.2. On AMPs with periods $\{0, 1, 4\}$ and $\{0, 3, 4\}$ in $\mathcal{L}(C_6)$ and $\mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$. The goal of this subsection is to show that all AMPs with period $\{0, 1, 4\}$ or with $\{0, 3, 4\}$, that lie in $\mathcal{L}(C_6)$, also lie in $\mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$.

Lemma 3.4. *The sets $\{3, 4, 7\}$, $\{3, 6, 7\}$, $\{4, 5, 8, 9\}$, $\{4, 7, 8, 11\}$, and $\{5, 8, 9, 12, 13\}$ lie in $\mathcal{L}(C_6)$ and in $\mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$.*

Proof. 1. First we show that the given sets lie in $\mathcal{L}(C_6)$. Let $g \in G$ with $\text{ord}(g) = 6$ and set

$$A = (2g)g^{v+4}(-g)^{w+2}, \quad \text{where } v, w \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ with } v \equiv w + 2 \pmod{6}.$$

CASE 1: $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$.

By [10, Lemma 3.6], we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(A) &= 1 + (L(g^v(-g)^{w+2}) \cup L(g^{v+4}(-g)^w)), \\ L(g^v(-g)^{w+2}) &= \frac{v+w+2}{6} + 4 \cdot [0, \frac{\min\{v, w+2\}}{6}], \quad \text{and} \\ L(g^{v+4}(-g)^w) &= 3 + \frac{v+w+2}{6} + 4 \cdot [0, \frac{\min\{v, w-4\}}{6}]. \end{aligned}$$

If $v = 6$ and $w = 4$, then $L(A) = \{3, 6, 7\}$. If $v = 6$ and $w = 10$, then $L(A) = \{4, 7, 8, 11\}$. If $v = 12$ and $w = 10$, then $L(A) = \{5, 8, 9, 12, 13\}$.

CASE 2: $v \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$.

By [10, Lemma 3.6], we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(A) &= 1 + (L(g^v(-g)^{w+2}) \cup L(g^{v+4}(-g)^w)), \\ L(g^v(-g)^{w+2}) &= 1 + \frac{v+4+w}{6} + 4 \cdot [0, \frac{\min\{v-2, w\}}{6}], \quad \text{and} \\ L(g^{v+4}(-g)^w) &= \frac{v+4+w}{6} + 4 \cdot [0, \frac{\min\{v+4, w\}}{6}]. \end{aligned}$$

If $v = 2$ and $w = 6$, then $L(A) = \{3, 4, 7\}$. If $v = 8$ and $w = 6$, then $L(A) = \{4, 5, 8, 9\}$.

2. Now we show that the sets lie in $\mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$. If $A_1 = U^2(e_1 e_2 e_{[1,2]})$, then

$$A_1 = U e_1^2 e_2^2 V_{[1,2]} = e_1^2 \cdots e_5^2 e_0^2 U_{[1,2]},$$

whence $L(A_1) = \{3, 4, 7\}$. If $A_2 = U^2(e_1 \cdots e_4 e_{[1,4]})$, then

$$A_2 = U e_1^2 \cdots e_4^2 V_{[1,4]} = e_1^2 \cdots e_5^2 e_0^2 U_{[1,4]},$$

whence $L(A_2) = \{3, 6, 7\}$. Note that $L(U^2A_2) = \{3, 6, 7\} + \{2, 6\} = \{5, 8, 9, 12, 13\}$. If $A_3 = U^3U_{[1,2]}$, then

$$A_3 = U^3U_{[1,2]} = Ue_1^2 \cdots e_5^2 e_0^2 U_{[1,2]} = U^2 e_1^2 e_2^2 V_{[1,2]} = (e_1^2)^2 (e_2^2)^2 e_3^2 e_4^2 e_5^2 e_0^2 V_{[1,2]},$$

whence $L(A_3) = \{4, 5, 8, 9\}$. If $A_4 = U^3V_{[1,2]}$, then

$$A_4 = U^3V_{[1,2]} = U^2U_{[1,2]}e_3^2e_4^2e_5^2e_0^2 = Ue_0^2e_1^2e_2^2e_3^2e_4^2e_5^2V_{[1,2]} = U_{[1,2]}e_1^2e_2^2(e_3^2)^2(e_4^2)^2(e_5^2)^2(e_0^2)^2,$$

whence $L(A_4) = \{4, 7, 8, 11\}$. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Every $L \in \mathcal{L}(C_6)$, that is an AMP with period $\{0, 3, 4\}$, lies in $\mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$.*

Proof. Let $L \in \mathcal{L}(C_6)$ be an AMP with period $\{0, 3, 4\}$ and set $m = \min L$. If L is a singleton, then the claim holds. Suppose that L is not a singleton. Then there is $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that L has one of the following two forms.

- $L = \{m, m+3\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]$.
- $L = (\{m, m+3\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]) \cup \{m+4(k+1)\}$.

We distinguish two cases.

CASE 1: $L = \{m, m+3\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Then

$$\rho(L) = \frac{m+4k+3}{m} \leq \rho(C_6) = 3,$$

whence $m \geq 2k+2$. Clearly, if $\{2k+2, 2k+5\} + 4 \cdot [0, k] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$, then the same is true for L . Thus we may assume that $m = 2k+2$. If $k = 0$, then $L = \{2, 5\} \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ by Lemma 3.2. Now suppose that $k \geq 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \{2k+2, 2k+5\} + 4 \cdot [0, k] = \{2k+2, 2k+5, 2k+6, 2k+9\} + 4 \cdot [0, k-1] \\ &= \{4, 7, 8, 11\} + 2(k-1) + 4 \cdot [0, k-1]. \end{aligned}$$

If $A_4 = U^3V_{[1,2]}$, then $L(A_4) = \{4, 7, 8, 11\}$ by Lemma 3.4, whence

$$L(A_4U^{2(k-1)}) = L(C) + L(U^{2(k-1)}) = \{4, 7, 8, 11\} + 2(k-1) + 4 \cdot [0, k-1] = L.$$

CASE 2: $L = (\{m, m+3\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]) \cup \{m+4(k+1)\}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Then

$$\rho(L) = \frac{m+4k+4}{m} \leq \rho(C_6) = 3,$$

whence $m \geq 2k+2$. Assume to the contrary that $m = 2k+2$. Then $\rho(L) = 3$. Since every set $L_0 \in \mathcal{L}(C_6)$ with $\rho(L_0) = 3$ is an arithmetical progression with difference 4, it follows that $\rho(L) < 3$ and this implies $m \geq 2k+3$. Clearly, if $(\{2k+3, 2k+6\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]) \cup \{6k+7\} \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$, then the same is true for L . Thus we may assume that $m = 2k+3$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} L &= (\{2k+3, 2k+6\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]) \cup \{6k+7\} \\ &= \{3, 6\} + 2k + 4 \cdot [0, k] \cup \{6k+7\} = \{3, 6, 7\} + 2k + 4 \cdot [0, k]. \end{aligned}$$

If $A_2 = U^2U_{[1,4]}$, then $L(A_2) = \{3, 6, 7\}$ by Lemma 3.4, whence

$$L(A_2U^{2k}) = \{3, 6, 7\} + 2k + 4 \cdot [0, k] = L. \quad \square$$

Proposition 3.6. *Every $L \in \mathcal{L}(C_6)$, that is an AMP with period $\{0, 1, 4\}$, lies in $\mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$.*

Proof. Let $L \in \mathcal{L}(C_6)$ be an AMP with period $\{0, 1, 4\}$ and set $m = \min L$. If L is a singleton, then the claim holds. Suppose that L is not a singleton. Then there is $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that L has one of the following two forms.

- $L = \{m, m+1\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]$.
- $L = (\{m, m+1\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]) \cup \{m+4(k+1)\}$.

We distinguish two cases.

CASE 1: $L = \{m, m+1\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Then

$$\rho(L) = \frac{m+4k+1}{m} \leq \rho(C_6) = 3,$$

whence $m \geq 2k+1$. If $m = 2k+1$, then $L = \{2k+1, 2k+2\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]$ and thus

$$\max L = 6k+2 \leq \rho_{2k+1}(C_6) = 6k+1,$$

a contradiction. Thus $m \geq 2k+2$. Clearly, if $\{2k+2, 2k+3\} + 4 \cdot [0, k] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$, then the same is true for L . Thus we may assume that $m = 2k+2$. If $k = 0$, then $L = \{2, 3\} \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ by Lemma 3.2. Suppose that $k \geq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \{2k+2, 2k+3\} + 4 \cdot [0, k] \\ &= \{2k+2, 2k+3, 2k+6, 2k+7\} + 4 \cdot [0, k-1] \\ &= \{2(k-1)+4, 2(k-1)+5, 2(k-1)+8, 2(k-1)+9\} + 4 \cdot [0, k-1] \\ &= \{4, 5, 8, 9\} + 2(k-1) + 4 \cdot [0, k-1]. \end{aligned}$$

If $A_3 = U^3U_{[1,2]}$, then $L(A_3) = \{4, 5, 8, 9\}$ by Lemma 3.4, whence

$$L(A_3U^{2k-2}) = \{3, 4, 7\} + 2(k-1) + 4 \cdot [0, k-1] = L.$$

CASE 2: $L = (\{m, m+1\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]) \cup \{m+4(k+1)\}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Then

$$\rho(L) = \frac{m+4k+4}{m} \leq \rho(C_6) = 3,$$

whence $m \geq 2k+2$. Assume to the contrary that $m = 2k+2$. Then $\rho(L) = 3$. Since every set $L_0 \in \mathcal{L}(C_6)$ with $\rho(L_0) = 3$ is an arithmetical progression with difference 4, it follows that $\rho(L) < 3$ and this implies $m \geq 2k+3$. Clearly, if $(\{2k+3, 2k+4\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]) \cup \{6k+7\} \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$, then the same is true for L . Thus we may assume that $m = 2k+3$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} L &= (\{2k+3, 2k+4\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]) \cup \{6k+7\} \\ &= \{3, 4\} + 2k + 4 \cdot [0, k] \cup \{6k+7\} = \{3, 4, 7\} + 2k + 4 \cdot [0, k]. \end{aligned}$$

If $A_1 = U^2U_{[1,2]}$, then $L(A_1) = \{3, 4, 7\}$ by Lemma 3.4, whence

$$L(A_1U^{2k}) = \{3, 4, 7\} + 2k + 4 \cdot [0, k] = L. \quad \square$$

3.3. On AMPs with periods $\{0, 1, 2, 4\}$, $\{0, 1, 3, 4\}$, and $\{0, 2, 3, 4\}$ in $\mathcal{L}(C_6)$ and $\mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$. The goal of this subsection is to show that all AMPs with period $\{0, 1, 2, 4\}$, $\{0, 1, 3, 4\}$, or $\{0, 2, 3, 4\}$, that lie in $\mathcal{L}(C_6)$, also lie in $\mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$. We start by determining all AMPs with these periods in $\mathcal{L}(C_6)$. To this end we make use of the arguments in [10], which already contains a fairly precise description of these sets but stops short of giving a full characterization.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $L \in \mathcal{L}(C_6)$ with $|L| \geq 4$.*

1. *If L is an AMP with period $\{0, 1, 2, 4\}$, then L equals one of the following sets for some $y, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$:*
 - (a) $y + 2k + \{4, 5, 6, 8\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]$.
 - (b) $y + 2k + \{4, 5, 6, 8, 9\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]$.
 - (c) $y + 2k + \{5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]$.
2. *If L is an AMP with period $\{0, 1, 3, 4\}$, then L equals one of the following sets for some $y, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$:*
 - (a) $y + 2k + \{3, 4, 6, 7\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]$.
 - (b) $y + 2k + \{4, 5, 7, 8, 9\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]$.
 - (c) $y + 2k + \{5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]$.
3. *If L is an AMP with period $\{0, 2, 3, 4\}$, then L equals one of the following sets for some $y, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$:*
 - (a) $y + 2k + \{3, 5, 6, 7\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]$.

- (b) $y + 2k + \{4, 6, 7, 8, 10\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]$.
- (c) $y + 2k + \{4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]$.

Moreover, all these sets actually are elements of $\mathcal{L}(C_6)$.

Proof. Clearly, it suffices to prove the claim for $y = 0$. The results from [10], specifically the proof of Proposition 3.8 as well as the statements of Lemmas 3.3. to 3.7 show that the only cases to consider are those that are treated in Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7 in that paper. More specifically, AMPs with these periods arise in Case 2 of the proof of Lemma 3.6 and at the end of the proof of Lemma 3.7.

We start by considering the details of the sets that occur in Lemma 3.6 from [10]. As established there these sets arise as the sets of lengths of zero-sum sequences of the form $(2g)^2 g^{v'} (-g)^{w'}$ with $v, w \in \mathbb{N}_0$ where g is a generating element of the group.

Specifically, the first sets of cardinality at least 4 that arise in Case 2, the sets in Case 1 are not of the relevant form, are the set of lengths of $(2g)^2 g^6 (-g)^{-m+4}$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, which are $\{3+m, 4+m, 5+m, 7+m\}$. These are thus exactly the sets of the form given in 1.a with $k = 0$ and $y \in \mathbb{N}_0$ (note that $m \geq 1$).

Then, the sets of lengths of $A = (2g)^2 g^{v+8} (-g)^{w+4}$ with $v, w \in \mathbb{N}_0$ are considered. More precisely one has (we refer to the argument there for missing details):

In case $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$, the set is an AMP with period $\{0, 2, 3, 4\}$; its minimum is $2 + (v + w + 4)/6$ and its maximum is the maximum of $2 + (v + w + 4)/6 + 4 \min\{v + 6, w + 4\}/6$ and $2 + (v + w + 4)/6 + 4 \min\{v + 6, w - 2\}/6$. With $v = 0 + 6k$ and $w = 2 + 2k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, this yields exactly the sets in 3.a with $y = 0$ while with $v = 0 + 6k$ and $w = 8 + 2k$ this yields exactly the sets in 3.b with $y = 0$.

In case $v \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$, the set is an AMP with period $\{0, 1, 3, 4\}$; its minimum is $2 + (v + w + 6)/6$ and its maximum is the maximum of $3 + (v + w + 6)/6 + 4 \min\{v + 4, w + 2\}/6$ and $5 + (v + w + 6)/6 + 4 \min\{v + 4, w - 4\}/6$. With $v = 2 + 6k$ and $w = 4 + 2k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, this yields exactly the sets in 2.b with $y = 0$ while with $v = 2 + 6k$ and $w = 10 + 2k$ this yields exactly the sets in 2.c with $y = 0$.

In case $v \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$, the set is an AMP with period $\{0, 1, 2, 4\}$; its minimum is $2 + (v + w + 8)/6$ and its maximum is the maximum of $2 + (v + w + 8)/6 + 4 \min\{v + 8, w\}/6$ and $4 + (v + w + 8)/6 + 4 \min\{v + 2, w\}/6$. For $k \geq 1$ with $v = 4 + 6(k - 1)$ and $w = 6 + 6k$, this yields exactly the sets in 1.a with $k \geq 1$ and $y = 0$ while with $v = 4 + 6k$ and $w = 6 + 6k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ this yields exactly the sets in 1.c with $y = 0$.

It remains to consider the sets arising in Lemma 3.7 from [10]; it turns out these yield the sets in 1.b, 2.a and 3.b. We see that the AMPs with these periods arise towards the end in Case 2. Specifically, they arise as the sets of length of $A = (2g)(4g)g^v (-g)^w$ with $v, w \in \mathbb{N}_0$ where g is a generating element of the group. More concretely, v and w are congruent modulo 6 and we set $v = r + 6m$ and $w = r + 6n$ with $r \in [0, 5]$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

If $r \in [4, 5]$, the set is an AMP with period $\{0, 2, 3, 4\}$; its minimum is $r - 2 + m + n$ and its maximum is $r + 1 + m + n + 4 \min\{m, n\}$. With $m = n = k + 1$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, this yields exactly the sets in 3.c with $y = 0$ and $y = 1$ for $r = 4$ and $r = 5$, respectively.

If $r \in [2, 3]$, the set is an AMP with period $\{0, 1, 2, 4\}$; its minimum is $r + m + n$ and its maximum is $r + 1 + m + n + 4 \min\{m, n\}$. With $m = n = k + 1$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, this yields exactly the sets in 1.b with $y = 0$ and $y = 1$ for $r = 2$ and $r = 3$, respectively.

If $r \in [0, 1]$, the set is an AMP with period $\{0, 1, 3, 4\}$; its minimum is $r + 1 + m + n$ and its maximum is $r + 1 + m + n + 4 \min\{m, n\}$. With $m = n = k + 1$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, this yields exactly the sets in 2.a with $y = 0$ and $y = 1$ for $r = 0$ and $r = 1$, respectively. \square

In a series of lemmas we show that all sets, listed in Proposition 3.7, lie in $\mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $y, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

1. $y + 2k + \{3, 4, 6, 7\} + 4 \cdot [0, k] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$.
2. $y + 2k + \{4, 5, 6, 8, 9\} + 4 \cdot [0, k] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$.

Proof. It suffices to show the claim for $y = 0$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

1. We set $A = e_{[1,2]}^2 U^{2k+2}$ and assert that $L(A) = 2k + \{3, 4, 6, 7\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]$. Every factorization z of A can be written as $z_1 z_2$, where z_1 is a factorization of $A_1 = e_{[1,2]}^2 U^2$ and z_2 is a factorization of U^{2k} . Since $L(U^{2k}) = 2k + 4 \cdot [0, k]$, it suffices to show that $L(e_{[1,2]}^2 U^2) = \{3, 4, 6, 7\}$.

Let z be a factorization of $e_{[1,2]}^2 U^2$. We do a case analysis depending on the atom dividing z and containing the element $e_{[1,2]}$. If z is divisible by $e_{[1,2]}^2$, then $|z| = 3$ or $|z| = 7$. If z is divisible by $U_{[1,2]} V_{[1,2]}$, then $z = U_{[1,2]} V_{[1,2]} U$, whence $|z| = 3$. If z is divisible by $U_{[1,2]}^2$, then $z = U_{[1,2]}^2 e_0^2 e_3^2 e_4^2 e_5^2$, whence $|z| = 6$. If z is divisible by $V_{[1,2]}^2$, then $z = V_{[1,2]}^2 e_1^2 e_2^2$, whence $|z| = 4$.

2. We set $A = U_{[1,2]}^2 U^{2k+2}$ and assert that $L(A) = 2k + \{4, 5, 6, 8, 9\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]$. Every factorization z of A can be written as $z_1 z_2$, where z_1 is a factorization of $A_1 = U_{[1,2]}^2 U^2$ and z_2 is a factorization of U^{2k} . Thus, it suffices to show that $L(U_{[1,2]}^2 U^2) = \{4, 5, 6, 8, 9\}$.

Let z be a factorization of $U_{[1,2]}^2 U^2$. We do a case analysis depending on the atom dividing z and containing the element $e_{[1,2]}$. If z is divisible by $U_{[1,2]}^2$, then $|z| = 4$ or $|z| = 8$. If z is divisible by $e_{[1,2]}^2$, then $z = e_{[1,2]}^2 e_1^2 e_2^2 y$, where y is a factorization of U^2 , whence $|z| = 5$ or $|z| = 9$. If z is divisible by $U_{[1,2]} V_{[1,2]}$, then $z = U_{[1,2]} V_{[1,2]} e_1^2 e_2^2 U$, whence $|z| = 5$. If z is divisible by $V_{[1,2]}^2$, then $z = V_{[1,2]}^2 (e_1^2)^2 (e_2^2)^2$, whence $|z| = 6$. \square

Lemma 3.9. *Let $y, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

1. $y + 2k + \{3, 5, 6, 7\} + 4 \cdot [0, k] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$.
2. $y + 2k + \{4, 5, 6, 8\} + 4 \cdot [0, k] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$.
3. $y + 2k + \{5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11\} + 4 \cdot [0, k] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$.
4. $y + 2k + \{4, 5, 7, 8, 9\} + 4 \cdot [0, k] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$.
5. $y + 2k + \{5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12\} + 4 \cdot [0, k] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$.
6. $y + 2k + \{4, 6, 7, 8, 10\} + 4 \cdot [0, k] \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$.

Proof. It suffices to show the claim for $y = 0$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We set $G_0 = \{e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5\} \cup \{e_{[1,2]}, e_{[3,4]}\}$ and observe that the atoms of $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ of length at least three are U , $U_{[1,2]}$, $U_{[3,4]}$, $V_{[1,2]}$, $V_{[3,4]}$, and $W = e_0 e_{[1,2]} e_{[3,4]} e_5$.

1. We set $A = W U^{2k+2}$ and assert that $L(A) = 2k + \{3, 5, 6, 7\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]$. Every factorization z of A can be written as $z_1 z_2$, where z_1 is a factorization of $A_1 = W U^2$ and z_2 is a factorization of U^{2k} . Since $L(U^{2k}) = 2k + 4 \cdot [0, k]$, it suffices to show that $L(W U^2) = \{3, 5, 6, 7\}$.

Let z be a factorization of $W U^2$. We do a case analysis depending on the atoms dividing z and containing the elements $e_{[1,2]}$ and $e_{[3,4]}$. If z is divisible by W , then $|z| = 3$ or $|z| = 7$. If z is divisible by $U_{[1,2]} U_{[3,4]}$, then $z = U_{[1,2]} U_{[3,4]} e_0^2 e_5^2 U$, whence $|z| = 5$. If z is divisible by $U_{[1,2]} V_{[3,4]}$, then $z = U_{[1,2]} V_{[3,4]} e_0^2 e_1^2 e_2^2 e_5^2$, whence $|z| = 6$. Similarly, if z is divisible by $V_{[1,2]} U_{[3,4]}$, then $|z| = 6$.

2. We set $A = U_{[1,2]} U_{[3,4]} U^{2k+2}$ and assert that $L(A) = 2k + \{4, 5, 6, 8\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]$. Every factorization z of A can be written as $z_1 z_2$, where z_1 is a factorization of $A_1 = U_{[1,2]} U_{[3,4]} U^2$ and z_2 is a factorization of U^{2k} . Thus, it suffices to show that $L(U_{[1,2]} U_{[3,4]} U^2) = \{4, 5, 6, 8\}$.

Let z be a factorization of $U_{[1,2]} U_{[3,4]} U^2$. We do a case analysis depending on the atoms dividing z and containing the elements $e_{[1,2]}$ and $e_{[3,4]}$. If z is divisible by $U_{[1,2]} U_{[3,4]}$, then $|z| = 4$ or $|z| = 8$. If z is divisible by $U_{[1,2]} V_{[3,4]}$, then $z = U_{[1,2]} V_{[3,4]} e_3^2 e_4^2 U$, whence $|z| = 5$. Similarly, if z is divisible by $V_{[1,2]} U_{[3,4]}$, then $|z| = 5$. If z is divisible by $V_{[1,2]} V_{[3,4]}$, then $z = V_{[1,2]} V_{[3,4]} e_1^2 e_2^2 e_3^2 e_4^2$, whence $|z| = 6$. If z is divisible by W , then $z = W U e_1^2 e_2^2 e_3^2 e_4^2$, whence $|z| = 6$.

3. We set $A = U_{[1,2]} U_{[3,4]} U^{2k+3}$ and assert that $L(A) = 2k + \{5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]$. Every factorization z of A can be written as $z_1 z_2$, where z_1 is a factorization of $A_1 = U_{[1,2]} U_{[3,4]} U^3$ and z_2 is a factorization of U^{2k} . Thus, it suffices to show that $L(U_{[1,2]} U_{[3,4]} U^3) = \{5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11\}$.

Let z be a factorization of $U_{[1,2]} U_{[3,4]} U^3$. If z is divisible by U , then 2. implies that $|z| \in 1 + \{4, 5, 6, 8\} = \{5, 6, 7, 9\}$. Suppose that z is not divisible by U . Then z is divisible by e_0^2 , because all atoms containing

e_0 , other than e_0^2 and U , contain $e_{[1,2]}$ or $e_{[3,4]}$. Moreover, z is divisible by exactly one of the atoms W , $V_{[1,2]}$, $V_{[3,4]}$. If z is divisible by W , then all other atoms dividing z have length 2, whence $|z| = 11$. If z is divisible by $V_{[1,2]}$, then z is divisible by $U_{[3,4]}$, and all other atoms dividing z have length 2, whence $|z| = 10$. If z is divisible by $V_{[3,4]}$, then the same argument shows that $|z| = 10$.

4. We set $A = U_{[1,2]}V_{[3,4]}U^{2k+2}$ and assert that $L(A) = 2k + \{4, 5, 7, 8, 9\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]$. Every factorization z of A can be written as z_1z_2 , where z_1 is a factorization of $A_1 = U_{[1,2]}V_{[3,4]}U^2$ and z_2 is a factorization of U^{2k} . Thus, it suffices to show that $L(U_{[1,2]}V_{[3,4]}U^2) = \{4, 5, 7, 8, 9\}$.

Let z be a factorization of $U_{[1,2]}V_{[3,4]}U^2$. We do a case analysis depending on the atoms dividing z and containing the elements $e_{[1,2]}$ and $e_{[3,4]}$. If z is divisible by $U_{[1,2]}V_{[3,4]}$, then $|z| = 4$ or $|z| = 8$. If z is divisible by W , then $z = W(e_1^2)(e_2^2)z'$, where z' is a factorization of U^2 , whence $|z| = 5$ or $|z| = 9$. If z is divisible by $U_{[1,2]}U_{[3,4]}$, then $z = U_{[1,2]}U_{[3,4]}e_0^2e_1^2e_2^2e_5^2U$, whence $|z| = 7$. If z is divisible by $V_{[1,2]}V_{[3,4]}$, then $z = V_{[1,2]}V_{[3,4]}e_1^2e_2^2U$, whence $|z| = 5$. If z is divisible by $V_{[1,2]}U_{[3,4]}$, then $z = V_{[1,2]}U_{[3,4]}(e_1^2)^2(e_2^2)^2e_0^2e_5^2$, whence $|z| = 8$.

5. We set $A = U_{[1,2]}V_{[3,4]}U^{2k+3}$ and assert that $L(A) = 2k + \{5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]$. Every factorization z of A can be written as z_1z_2 , where z_1 is a factorization of $A_1 = U_{[1,2]}V_{[3,4]}U^3$ and z_2 is a factorization of U^{2k} . Thus, it suffices to show that $L(U_{[1,2]}V_{[3,4]}U^3) = \{5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12\}$.

Let z be a factorization of $U_{[1,2]}V_{[3,4]}U^3$. If z is divisible by U , then 4. implies that $|z| \in 1 + \{4, 5, 7, 8, 9\} = \{5, 6, 8, 9, 10\}$. Suppose that z is not divisible by U . Then z is divisible by e_0^2 , because all atoms containing e_0 , other than e_0^2 and U , contain $e_{[1,2]}$ or $e_{[3,4]}$. Since $v_{e_0}(A_1) = 4$, it follows that z is either divisible by $V_{[1,2]}$ and $V_{[3,4]}$ or divisible by $(e_0^2)^2$. In the former case, all atoms dividing z , other than $V_{[1,2]}$ and $V_{[3,4]}$, have length 2, whence $|z| = 2 + (26 - 10)/2 = 10$. In the latter case, z is divisible by $U_{[1,2]}$ and $U_{[3,4]}$, and all atoms dividing z , other than $U_{[1,2]}$ and $U_{[3,4]}$, have length 2, whence $|z| = 2 + (26 - 6)/2 = 12$.

6. We set $A = WU^{2k+3}$ and assert that $L(A) = 2k + \{4, 6, 7, 8, 10\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]$. Every factorization z of A can be written as z_1z_2 , where z_1 is a factorization of $A_1 = WU^3$ and z_2 is a factorization of U^{2k} . Thus, it suffices to show that $L(WU^3) = \{4, 6, 7, 8, 10\}$.

Let z be a factorization of WU^3 . If z is divisible by U , then 1. implies that $|z| \in 1 + \{3, 5, 6, 7\} = \{4, 6, 7, 8\}$. Suppose that z is not divisible by U . Then z is divisible by e_0^2 , because all atoms containing e_0 , other than e_0^2 and U , contain $e_{[1,2]}$ or $e_{[3,4]}$. Since $v_{e_0}(A_1) = 4$, it follows that z is either divisible by $V_{[1,2]}$ and $V_{[3,4]}$ or divisible by $(e_0^2)^2$. In the former case, we obtain that $z = V_{[1,2]}V_{[3,4]}e_0^2 \cdots e_5^2$, whence $|z| = 8$. In the latter case, z is divisible by $U_{[1,2]}$ and $U_{[3,4]}$ and all other atoms dividing z have length 2, whence $|z| = 2 + (22 - 6)/2 = 10$. \square

Lemma 3.10. *For all $y, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have $y + 2k + \{4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11\} + 4 \cdot [0, k] \in \mathcal{L}(C_5^5)$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the claim for $y = 0$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We set $G_0 = \{e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5\} \cup \{e_{\{1,2,5\}}, e_{\{3,4,5\}}\}$ and observe that the atoms of $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ of length at least three are U , $U_{\{1,2,5\}}$, $U_{\{3,4,5\}}$, $V_{\{1,2,5\}}$, $V_{\{3,4,5\}}$, $W = e_1e_2e_3e_4e_{\{1,2,5\}}e_{\{3,4,5\}}$, and $W' = e_0e_5e_{\{1,2,5\}}e_{\{3,4,5\}}$.

We set $A = WU^{2k+3}$ and assert that $L(A) = 2k + \{4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11\} + 4 \cdot [0, k]$. Every factorization z of A can be written as z_1z_2 , where z_1 is a factorization of $A_1 = WU^3$ and z_2 is a factorization of U^{2k} . Since $L(U^{2k}) = 2k + 4 \cdot [0, k]$, it suffices to show that $L(A_1) = \{4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11\}$.

Let z be a factorization of WU^3 . We do a case analysis depending on the atoms dividing z and containing the elements $e_{\{1,2,5\}}$ and $e_{\{3,4,5\}}$. If z is divisible by W , then $|z| = 4$ or $|z| = 8$. If z is divisible by W' , then $z = W'e_1^2e_2^2e_3^2e_4^2y$, where y is a factorization of U_2 , whence $|z| = 7$ or $|z| = 11$. If z is divisible by $U_{\{1,2,5\}}U_{\{3,4,5\}}$, then $z = U_{\{1,2,5\}}U_{\{3,4,5\}}e_0^2e_1^2e_2^2e_3^2e_4^2U$, whence $|z| = 8$. If z is divisible by $V_{\{1,2,5\}}V_{\{3,4,5\}}$, then $z = V_{\{1,2,5\}}V_{\{3,4,5\}}e_1^2e_2^2e_3^2e_4^2e_5^2U$, whence $|z| = 8$. If z is divisible by $U_{\{1,2,5\}}V_{\{3,4,5\}}$, then $z = U_{\{1,2,5\}}V_{\{3,4,5\}}e_2^2e_3^2y$, where y is a factorization of U_2 , whence $|z| = 6$ or $|z| = 10$. Similarly, if z is divisible by $V_{\{1,2,5\}}U_{\{3,4,5\}}$, then $|z| = 6$ or $|z| = 10$. Thus $L(A_1) = \{4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11\}$. \square

Proposition 3.11. *Every $L \in \mathcal{L}(C_6)$, that is an AMP with period $\{0, 1, 2, 4\}$, $\{0, 1, 3, 4\}$, or with $\{0, 2, 3, 4\}$, lies in $\mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$.*

Proof. Let $L \in \mathcal{L}(C_6)$ be an AMP with period $\{0, 1, 2, 4\}$, or with $\{0, 1, 3, 4\}$, or with $\{0, 2, 3, 4\}$. If L is a singleton, then the claim is holds. If $|L| = 2$, then $L = \{y + 2, y + 3\}$ or $L = \{y + 2, y + 4\}$ with $y \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Both sets are in $\mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ by Lemma 3.2. If $|L| = 3$, then $L = \{y + 2, y + 3, y + 4\}$, or $L = \{y + 2, y + 4, y + 5\}$, or $L = \{y + 3, y + 4, y + 6\}$ with $y \in \mathbb{N}_0$; recall that $\{2, 3, 5\} \notin \mathcal{L}(C_6)$ by Lemma 3.1. All these sets are in $\mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ by Lemma 3.2. If $|L| \geq 4$, then L has one of the forms given in Proposition 3.7. All these sets are in $\mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ by Lemmas 3.8, 3.9, and 3.10. \square

3.4. On $\mathcal{L}(G_0) \subset \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ for some subsets $G_0 \subset C_6$. The goal of this subsection is to prove that $\mathcal{L}(G_0) \subset \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ for several subsets G_0 of a cyclic group G of order $|G| = 6$. The first lemma is of interest in its own rights. It shows that – in contrast to the expected affirmative answer to the Characterization Problem – groups G may have proper subgroups G_0 such that $\mathcal{L}(G_0)$ and further arithmetical invariants are equal to the invariants of a different group G' .

Lemma 3.12. *Let G be a finite abelian group, $g \in G$ with $\text{ord}(g) = 6$ and $G_0 = \{0, g, 2g, 3g, 4g\}$. Then $c(G_0) = 3$, $\rho(G_0) = 3/2$, and*

$$\mathcal{L}(G_0) = \{y + 2k + [0, k] : y, k \in \mathbb{N}_0\} = \mathcal{L}(C_3) = \mathcal{L}(C_2 \oplus C_2) \subset \mathcal{L}(C_2^5).$$

Proof. Theorem **A** (stated in the Introduction) implies that $\mathcal{L}(C_3) = \mathcal{L}(C_2 \oplus C_2)$ has the given form and, clearly, $\mathcal{L}(C_2 \oplus C_2) \subset \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$. Thus it remains to show that $\mathcal{L}(G_0)$ has the indicated form. We set $G_1 = \{0, g, 2g, 4g\}$ and proceed in two steps.

1. Since $G_2 = \{0, 2g, 4g\}$ is a cyclic group of order three, we obtain that $c(G_2) = 3$, $\rho(G_2) = 3/2$, and

$$\mathcal{L}(G_2) = \{y + 2k + [0, k] : y, k \in \mathbb{N}_0\} = \mathcal{L}(C_3) = \mathcal{L}(C_2 \oplus C_2) \subset \mathcal{L}(C_2^5).$$

For every $B \in \mathcal{B}(G_1)$ the multiplicity $v_g(B)$ is even. Thus the homomorphism $\theta: \mathcal{B}(G_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G_2)$, defined by $\theta(B) = g^{-v_g(B)}(2g)^{v_g(B)/2}B$, is a transfer homomorphism. This implies that $c(G_1) = c(G_2)$, $\rho(G_1) = \rho(G_2) = 3/2$, and $\mathcal{L}(G_1) = \mathcal{L}(G_2)$ (for background on transfer homomorphism we refer to [8, Section 3.2]).

2. Since $\mathcal{B}(G_1)$ is a divisor-closed submonoid of $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$, it follows that $3 = c(G_1) \leq c(G_0)$, $3/2 = \rho(G_1) \leq \rho(G_0)$, and $\mathcal{L}(G_1) \subset \mathcal{L}(G_0)$. There are precisely four atoms containing $3g$, namely

$$U_0 = (3g)^2, \quad U_1 = g^3(3g), \quad U_2 = g(2g)(3g), \quad \text{and} \quad U_3 = (4g)^2(3g)g.$$

We continue with the following two assertions. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(G_0)$.

A1. $c(A) \leq 3$

A2. $\rho(\mathcal{L}(A)) \leq 3/2$.

Suppose that **A1** and **A2** hold. Then $c(G_0) = 3$, $\rho(G_0) = 3/2$, and $\mathcal{L}(G_0) = \mathcal{L}(G_1)$.

Proof of A1. There is a 3-chain of factorizations from any factorization $z \in \mathcal{Z}(A)$ to a factorization $z^* \in \mathcal{Z}(A)$ where $v_{U_0}(z^*)$ is maximal, say $z^* = z_1 U_0^m$ and $A = A_1 U_0^m$. First, we suppose that $v_{3g}(A)$ is even. Then $m = v_{3g}(A)/2$, and $A_1 \in \mathcal{B}(G_1)$. Since $c(A_1) \leq 3$, any two factorizations of A , which are divisible by U_0^m , can be concatenated by a 3-chain of factorizations, whence $c(A) \leq 3$. If $v_{3g}(A)$ is odd, then there is an $i \in [1, 3]$ with $v_{U_i}(z_1) = 1$ and $v_{U_j}(z_1) = 0$ for $j \in [1, 3] \setminus \{i\}$. Arguing as above we infer that $c(A) \leq 3$.

Proof of A2. In order to verify that $\rho(\mathcal{L}(A)) \leq 3/2$, we have to show that, for any two lengths $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{L}(A)$, we have $m_2/m_1 \leq 3/2$. There are precisely two atoms with g -norm greater than one. These are U_3 and $U_4 = (4g)^3$ and we have $\|U_3\|_g = \|U_4\|_g = 2$. When in a 3-chain of factorizations the length increases, then the number of atoms with g -norm two decreases by one and the number of atoms with g -norm one increases by two. Let z_1 and z_2 be factorizations of length m_1 and m_2 and consider a 3-chain of factorizations from z_1 to z_2 . If there are k atoms with g -norm one and ℓ atoms with g -norm

two in the factorization z_1 and in factorization z_2 there are $k - s$ atoms with g -norm two and $\ell + 2s$ atoms with g -norm one, then

$$\frac{m_2}{m_1} = \frac{k + \ell + s}{k + \ell} \leq \frac{3}{2}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.13. *Let G be a finite abelian group, $g \in G$ with $\text{ord}(g) = 6$ and $G_0 = \{0, g, -g\}$. Then*

$$\mathcal{L}(G_0) = \{y + 2k + 3 \cdot [0, k] : y, k \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \subset \mathcal{L}(C_2^5).$$

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(G_0)$. Without restriction we may suppose that $v_g(A) \geq v_{-g}(A)$. Thus there are $j \in [0, 4]$, $k, \ell, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$A = g^{5k+j}(-g)^{5k+j}0^m g^{5\ell}.$$

Thus

$$\mathsf{L}(A) = j + \ell + m + \mathsf{L}(g^{5k}(-g)^{5k}) = j + \ell + m + 2k + 3 \cdot [0, k],$$

whence the claim follows. \square

Lemma 3.14. *Let G be a finite abelian group, $g \in G$ with $\text{ord}(g) = 6$, and $G_0 = \{0, g, 3g, -g\}$. Then $\mathcal{L}(G_0) \subset \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$.*

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(G_0)$. By Lemmas 3.12 and 3.13, we may suppose that $v_g(A)$, $v_{-g}(A)$, and $v_{3g}(A)$ are positive. Without restriction we may suppose that $v_0(A) = 0$ and $v_g(A) \geq v_{-g}(A)$. Then A can be written in the form

$$A = ((-g)g)^{6r+s}((3g)^2)^t((3g)g^3)^u(g^6)^v,$$

where $r, t, v \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $s \in [0, 5]$, and $u \in [0, 1]$. Since all atoms in the decomposition have g -norm one, it follows that $\max \mathsf{L}(A) = 6r + s + t + u + v$. Thus, we obtain that

$$\mathsf{L}(A) = u + v + \mathsf{L}(A_1), \quad \text{where } A_1 = ((-g)g)^{6r+s}((3g)^2)^t.$$

Defining

$$A_2 = U^{2r}((e_1 + e_2 + e_3)(e_4 + e_5 + e_{[1,5]}))^t \prod_{i=1}^s (e_i^2) \quad \text{where } U = e_{[1,5]}e_1 \cdots e_5,$$

we infer that $\mathsf{L}(A_1) = \mathsf{L}(A_2) \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$. \square

3.5. Proof of Theorem 1.1.1. We have to consider finite abelian groups G with $\mathsf{D}(G) \in [4, 6]$. Let $m \in [4, 6]$. The claims that $\mathcal{L}(C_m)$ is minimal in Ω_m and that $\mathcal{L}(C_2^{m-1})$ is maximal in Ω_m follow from [12, Theorem 3.5]. It remains to verify that $\mathcal{L}(C_m) \subsetneq \mathcal{L}(C_2^{m-1})$. Since $\mathcal{L}(C_m) \neq \mathcal{L}(C_2^{m-1})$ by Proposition 2.2.3, it suffices to verify inclusion.

1. By [8, Theorem 7.3.2], we have

- $\mathcal{L}(C_4) = \{y + k + 1 + [0, k] : y, k \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \cup \{y + 2k + 2 \cdot [0, k] : y, k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$,
- $\mathcal{L}(C_2^3) = \{y + (k + 1) + [0, k] : y \in \mathbb{N}_0, k \in [0, 2]\} \cup \{y + k + [0, k] : y \in \mathbb{N}_0, k \geq 3\} \cup \{y + 2k + 2 \cdot [0, k] : y, k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$,

whence $\mathcal{L}(C_4) \subset \mathcal{L}(C_2^3)$.

2. Theorems 4.3 and 4.8 in [12] provide explicit descriptions of $\mathcal{L}(C_5)$ and of $\mathcal{L}(C_2^4)$. These descriptions show that $\mathcal{L}(C_5) \subset \mathcal{L}(C_2^4)$.

3. Let G be a cyclic group of order $|G| = 6$ and let $g \in G$ with $\text{ord}(g) = 6$. Let $A' \in \mathcal{B}(G)$. If $A' = 0^k A$, with $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}(G \setminus \{0\})$, then $\mathsf{L}(A') = k + \mathsf{L}(A)$ and it suffices to verify that $\mathsf{L}(A) \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$. If $\{g, -g\} \not\subset \text{supp}(A)$, say $-g \notin G_0$, then $\text{supp}(A) \subset \{g, 2g, 3g, 4g\}$, whence Lemma 3.12 implies that $\mathsf{L}(A) \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$. Thus from now on we suppose that $\{g, -g\} \subset \text{supp}(A)$. If $\text{supp}(A) \subset \{g, 3g, -g\}$, then $\mathsf{L}(A) \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ by Lemma 3.14. Thus it remains to consider the following four cases.

CASE 1: $\text{supp}(A) = \{g, 2g, -g\}$ or $\text{supp}(A) = \{g, 4g, -g\}$.

Then [10, Lemma 3.6] implies that $L(A)$ is either an interval or an AMP with periods $\{0, 1, 4\}$, $\{0, 3, 4\}$, $\{0, 1, 2, 4\}$, $\{0, 1, 3, 4\}$, or $\{0, 2, 3, 4\}$, and all these cases actually occur. Thus $L(A) \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ by Propositions 3.3, 3.5, 3.6, and Proposition 3.11.

CASE 2: $\text{supp}(A) = \{g, 2g, 4g, -g\}$.

Then [10, Lemma 3.7] implies that $L(A)$ is either an interval or an AMP with periods $\{0, 1, 2, 4\}$, $\{0, 1, 3, 4\}$, or $\{0, 2, 3, 4\}$, and all these cases actually occur. Thus $L(A) \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ by Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.3.

CASE 3: $\text{supp}(A) = \{g, 2g, 3g, -g\}$ or $\text{supp}(A) = \{g, 3g, 4g, -g\}$.

Then [10, Lemma 3.3] shows that $L(A)$ is an interval, whence $L(A) \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ by Proposition 3.3.

CASE 4: $\text{supp}(A) = G \setminus \{0\}$.

Then $L(A)$ is an interval by [8, Theorem 7.6.9], whence $L(A) \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ by Proposition 3.3. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.2

The goal in this section is to prove Theorem 1.1.2. We start with three lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *Let G be a finite abelian group with $|G| \geq 3$.*

1. *The following statements are equivalent.*

(a) *Every $L \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ with $\{2, D(G)\} \subset L$ satisfies $L = \{2, D(G)\}$.*

(b) *$\{2, D(G)\} \in \mathcal{L}(G)$.*

(c) *G is either cyclic or an elementary 2-group.*

2. *We have $\neg(G) \leq 2 + \max \Delta(G) \leq c(G) \leq D(G)$, and $\neg(G) = D(G)$ if and only if G is either cyclic or an elementary 2-group.*

Proof. 1. See [8, Theorem 6.6.3].

2. The chain of inequalities was already observed in (2.2). If G is cyclic or an elementary 2-group, then 1. implies that $\neg(G) = D(G)$. The reverse implication follows from [8, Theorem 6.4.7]. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let G be a cyclic group of order $|G| = n \geq 4$. Then $\{2, n-2, n-1\} \in \mathcal{L}(G)$.*

Proof. Let $g \in G$ with $\text{ord}(g) = n$. Then $U = g^{n-2}(2g) \in \mathcal{A}(G)$ and $L(U(-U)) = \{2, n-2, n-1\}$. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let $G = C_2^r$ with $r \geq 3$. Then $\{2, r-1, r\} \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ if and only if $r \in [3, 5]$.*

Proof. We have $\{2, 3\} \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^2) \subset \mathcal{L}(C_2^3)$ by Theorem A. We have $\{2, 3, 4\} \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^4)$ by [12, Theorem 4.8], and $\{2, 4, 5\} \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ by Lemma 3.2.1.

Suppose that $r \geq 6$ and assume to the contrary that there are $U, V \in \mathcal{A}(G)$ such that $L(UV) = \{2, r-1, r\}$. Without restriction we may suppose that $|U| \geq |V|$. Clearly, we have $|V| \geq r$. We distinguish three cases.

CASE 1: $|U| = |V| = r$.

Then $V = -U$ and $\langle \text{supp}(U) \rangle \cong C_2^{r-1}$. Since $D(C_2^{r-1}) = r$ and $\{2, r\} \subset L(UV)$, Lemma 4.1.1 implies that $L(UV) = \{2, r\}$, a contradiction.

CASE 2: $|U| = r+1$ and $|V| = r$.

Then there are $W_0, \dots, W_{r-1} \in \mathcal{A}(G)$ such that $UV = W_0W_1 \cdots W_{r-1}$, where $V = e_0 \cdots e_{r-1}$ and $W_i = e_i^2$ for all $i \in [1, r-1]$, $|W_0| = 3$, and $e_0 \mid W_0$. Since $\langle \text{supp}(U) \rangle \cong C_2^r$, there is $e_r \in G$ such that (e_1, \dots, e_r) is a basis of G , $U = (e_0 + e_r)e_1 \cdots e_r$, and $W = (e_0 + e_r)e_0e_r$. This implies that $L(UV) = \{2, r\}$, a contradiction.

CASE 3: $|U| = |V| = r+1$.

We set $UV = W_1 \cdots W_r$, where $W_1, \dots, W_r \in \mathcal{A}(G)$ with $2 \leq |W_1| \leq \dots \leq |W_r|$. There are the following two cases.

CASE 3.1: $|W_1| = \dots = |W_{r-2}| = 2$ and $|W_{r-1}| = |W_r| = 3$.

We set $W_i = e_i^2$ for $i \in [1, r-2]$, $W_{r-1} = e_{r-1}e_r(e_{r-1} + e_r)$, and $U = e_1 \cdots e_r e_0$. Then V has the form

$$V = e_1 \cdots e_{r-2}(e_{r-1} + e_r)g(e_0 + g) \quad \text{for some } g \in G.$$

Clearly, $V' = (e_1 \cdots e_{r-2}(e_{r-1} + e_r)e_0) \in \mathcal{A}(G)$. Since $e_1 \cdots e_r$ is zero-sum free, $U' = e_1 \cdots e_r g(e_0 + g)$ is a product of two atoms. Thus $UV = U'V'$ has a factorization of length three, a contradiction because $3 < r-1$.

CASE 3.2: $|W_1| = \dots = |W_{r-1}| = 2$ and $|W_r| = 4$.

We set $W_i = e_i^2$ for $i \in [1, r-1]$, $U = e_1 \cdots e_{r-1}e_re_0$, and $W_r = e_re_0e'_re'_0$. Then

$$V = e_1 \cdots e_{r-1}e'_re'_0.$$

Then $e'_0 + e'_r = e_1 + \dots + e_{r-1}$, whence $e'_r = e_r + g$ and $e'_0 = e_0 + g$ for some $g \in G$. Since $W_r \in \mathcal{A}(G)$, it follows that $g \neq 0$. Since (e_1, \dots, e_r) is a basis of G and $e'_r \notin \langle e_1, \dots, e_{r-1} \rangle$, it follows that $g = e'_r + e_r \in \langle e_1, \dots, e_{r-1} \rangle$. Thus $g = e_I = \sum_{i \in I} e_i$ with $\emptyset \neq I \subset [1, r-1]$. If $I = [1, r-1]$, then $e'_r = e_0$, a contradiction to $W_r \in \mathcal{A}(G)$. Thus UV has a factorization

$$UV = \left(e_r(e_r + e_I) \prod_{i \in I} e_i \right) \left(e_0(e_0 + e_I) \prod_{i \in I} e_i \right) \prod_{i \in [1, r-1] \setminus I} e_i^2$$

of length $2 + (r-1 - |I|) = r+1 - |I|$ and a factorization

$$UV = \left(e_0(e_r + e_I) \prod_{i \in [1, r-1] \setminus I} e_i \right) \left(e_r(e_0 + e_I) \prod_{i \in [1, r-1] \setminus I} e_i \right) \prod_{i \in I} e_i^2$$

of length $2 + |I|$. If $2 + |I| = r$, then $r+1 - |I| = 3 < r-1$, a contradiction. If $2 + |I| = r-1$, then $r+1 - |I| = 4 < r-1$, a contradiction. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1.2. Let $m \geq 7$. By [12, Theorem 3.5], $\mathcal{L}(C_2^{m-1})$ is a maximal element of Ω_m , $\mathcal{L}(C_m)$ is a minimal element of Ω_m , and if G is an abelian group with $D(G) = m$ and $\mathcal{L}(G) \subset \mathcal{L}(C_2^{m-1})$, then G is either cyclic or an elementary 2-group. Thus it remains to prove the following two assertions.

A1. $\mathcal{L}(C_m)$ is not contained in $\mathcal{L}(C_2^{m-1})$.

A2. If G is a finite abelian group with $D(G) = m$ and $\mathcal{L}(C_m) \subset \mathcal{L}(G)$, then G is cyclic of order m .

Since $m \geq 7$, **A1** follows from Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3. To verify **A2**, let G be a finite abelian group with $D(G) = m$ such that $\mathcal{L}(C_m) \subset \mathcal{L}(G)$. Then Lemma 4.1.1 implies that $\{2, D(G)\} \in \mathcal{L}(C_m) \subset \mathcal{L}(G)$. Now, again Lemma 4.1.1 implies that G is either cyclic or an elementary 2-group. Finally, **A1** implies that G is not an elementary 2-group, whence G is cyclic of order m . \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.3, 1.1.4, AND OF COROLLARY 1.2

The goal in this section is to prove Statements 3 and 4 of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2. We need some lemmas.

Lemma 5.1. *Let G be a finite abelian group with $D(G) \geq 5$.*

1. *The following statements are equivalent.*
 - (a) *G is isomorphic to $C_2 \oplus C_{2n}$ with $n \geq 2$.*
 - (b) $\{2, D(G) - 1, D(G)\} \in \mathcal{L}(G)$.
2. *The following statements are equivalent.*
 - (a) $\neg(G) = D(G) - 1$.
 - (b) *G is isomorphic either to $C_2^{r-1} \oplus C_4$ for some $r \geq 2$ or to $C_2 \oplus C_{2n}$ for some $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. See [13, Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 3.5]. \square

Lemma 5.2. *Let $G = C_2 \oplus C_{2n}$ with $n \geq 2$. A sequence S over G of length $D(G) = 2n + 1$ is a minimal zero-sum sequence if and only if it has one of the following two forms.*

- (a) $S = g^{2n-1}h(g-h)$ for some $g \in G$ with $\text{ord}(g) = 2n$ and some $h \in G \setminus \langle g \rangle$.
- (b) $S = eg^v(g+e)^{2n-v}$ for some $g \in G$ with $\text{ord}(g) = 2n$, $e \in G \setminus \langle g \rangle$ with $\text{ord}(e) = 2$, and $v \in [3, 2n-3]$ odd.

Proof. See [4, Theorem 3.3]. □

Let G be a finite abelian group. Then every element $g \in G$ with $\text{ord}(g) = \exp(G)$ can be extended to a basis of G . Thus in Case (a) of Lemma 5.2 the element g can be extended to a basis. In Case (b), (g, e) and $(g+e, e)$ are bases of G . Let $G = C_2 \oplus C_{2n}$ with $n \geq 2$. Next we completely determine all sets $L \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ with $\{2, D(G)\} \subset L$. This was done before in [1, Lemma 3.2] but, unfortunately, that result is not correct.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $G = C_2 \oplus C_{2n}$ with $n \geq 2$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \{L \in \mathcal{L}(G) : \{2, D(G)\} \subset L\} = & \{ \{2, 2m, 2n-2m+2, 2n, 2n+1\} : m \in [1, n] \} \cup \\ & \{ \{2, 2n-2i, 2n+1-2i\} : i \in [0, (v-1)/2] : v \in [3, 2n-3] \text{ odd} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $L \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ with $\{2, D(G)\} \subset L$. Then there exists an atom $U \in \mathcal{A}(G)$ with $|U| = D(G)$ such that $L(U(-U)) = L$. According to the structure of U , as given in Lemma 5.2, we distinguish two cases.

CASE 1: There exists a basis (e_1, e_2) of G with $\text{ord}(e_1) = 2n$ and $\text{ord}(e_2) = 2$ such that

$$U = e_1^{2n-1}(x_1e_1 + e_2)(x_2e_1 + e_2), \quad \text{where } x_1, x_2 \in [0, 2n-1] \quad \text{with } x_1 + x_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2n}.$$

Note that the congruence condition on x_1 and x_2 implies that $x_1 \neq x_2$ and that $|x_1 - x_2|$ is odd. By symmetry, we may suppose that $x_1 > x_2$. We consider a factorization $z \in Z(U(-U))$ of length $|z| \in [2, 2n]$. Let $W \in \mathcal{A}(G)$ be an atom occurring in the factorization z and with $x_1e_1 + e_2 \in \text{supp}(W)$. Since $|W| = 2$ would imply that $|z| = 2n + 1$, it follows that $|W| \in [3, D(G)]$. If $x_2e_1 + e_2 \in \text{supp}(W)$, then

$$W = U \quad \text{and} \quad |z| = 2 \quad \text{or} \quad W = (-e_1)(x_1e_1 + e_2)(x_2e_1 + e_2) \quad \text{and} \quad |z| = 2n.$$

Suppose $-x_2e_1 + e_2 \in \text{supp}(W)$. Then

$$W = (-e_1)^{x_1-x_2}(x_1e_1 + e_2)(-x_2e_1 + e_2) \quad \text{and} \quad z = W(-W)(e_1(-e_1))^{2n-1-(x_1-x_2)}$$

or

$$W = e_1^{2n-(x_1-x_2)}(x_1e_1 + e_2)(-x_2e_1 + e_2) \quad \text{and} \quad z = W(-W)(e_1(-e_1))^{(x_1-x_2)-1}.$$

This implies that

$$L(U(-U)) = \{2, (x_1 - x_2) + 1, 2n + 1 - (x_1 - x_2), 2n, 2n + 1\}.$$

We set $x_1 - x_2 = 2m - 1$ and note that all values $m \in [1, n]$ can occur.

CASE 2: There exists a basis (e_1, e_2) of G with $\text{ord}(e_1) = 2$ and $\text{ord}(e_2) = 2n$ such that

$$U = e_1e_2^v(e_1 + e_2)^{2n-v}, \quad \text{where } v \in [3, 2n-3] \quad \text{odd}.$$

Without restriction we may assume that $v \leq 2n - v$. We list the atoms of $\mathcal{A}(G)$ which divide $U(-U)$:

- $U, -U, e_1^2, e_2(-e_2)$, and $(e_1 + e_2)(e_1 - e_2)$.
- $(e_1 + e_2)(-e_2)e_1$ and $(e_1 - e_2)e_2e_1$.
- $(e_1 + e_2)^2(-e_2)^2$ and $(e_1 - e_2)^2e_2^2$.

We set $W = (e_1 + e_2)(-e_2)e_1$ and consider a factorization $z \in Z(U(-U))$ of length $|z| > 2$. There are precisely the following three types of factorizations.

CASE 2.1: The atom e_1^2 divides z .

Then

$$z = (e_1^2) \left(e_2^2(e_1 - e_2)^2 \right)^i \left((-e_2)^2(e_1 + e_2)^2 \right)^i \left(e_2(-e_2) \right)^{v-2i} \left((e_1 + e_2)(e_1 - e_2) \right)^{2n-v-2i}$$

with $i \in [0, (v-1)/2]$, whence $|z| \in \{2n+1-2i : i \in [0, (v-1)/2]\}$.

CASE 2.2: $W(-W)$ divides z .

Then

$$z = W(-W) \left(e_2^2(e_1 - e_2)^2 \right)^i \left((-e_2)^2(e_1 + e_2)^2 \right)^i \left(e_2(-e_2) \right)^{v-1-2i} \left((e_1 + e_2)(e_1 - e_2) \right)^{2n-1-v-2i}$$

with $i \in [0, (v-1)/2]$, whence $|z| \in \{2n-2i : i \in [0, (v-1)/2]\}$.

CASE 2.3: W^2 divides z or $(-W)^2$ divides z .

We may assume without restriction that W^2 divides z . Then

$$z = W^2 \left(e_2^2(e_1 - e_2)^2 \right)^{i+1} \left((-e_2)^2(e_1 + e_2)^2 \right)^i \left(e_2(-e_2) \right)^{v-2-2i} \left((e_1 + e_2)(e_1 - e_2) \right)^{2n-2-v-2i}$$

with $i \in [0, (v-3)/2]$, whence $|z| \in \{2n-1-2i : i \in [0, (v-3)/2]\}$. Putting all together we infer that

$$\mathsf{L}(U(-U)) = \{2, 2n-2i, 2n+1-2i : i \in [0, (v-1)/2]\}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 5.4. *Let $G = C_3^3$ and let (e_1, e_2, e_3) be a basis of G . If $e_0 = e_1 + e_2 + e_3$ and $U = e_1^2 e_2^2 e_3^2 e_0$, then*

$$\mathsf{L}(U^{3k}) = 3k + 2 \cdot [0, 2k] \quad \text{for every } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In particular, $\rho(\mathsf{L}(U^{3k})) = 7/3$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We set $G_0 = \{e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3\}$, $W = e_1 e_2 e_3 e_0^2$, and $V_i = e_i^3$ for each $i \in [0, 3]$. Then $\mathcal{A}(G_0) = \{V_0, V_1, V_2, V_3, U, W\}$ and $\Delta(G_0) = \{2\}$, whence the assertion follows. \square

A subset $G_0 \subset G$ is called an LCN-set if for every $A = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_\ell \in \mathcal{A}(G_0)$ the cross number $\mathsf{k}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{\text{ord}(g_i)} \geq 1$ holds. We set

$$\mathfrak{m}(G) = \max\{\min \Delta(G_0) : G_0 \subset G \text{ is a non-half-factorial LCN-set}\}.$$

For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $M \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $\{0, d\} \subset \mathcal{D} \subset [0, d]$, let $\mathcal{P}_M(\mathcal{D}, G)$ denote the set of all $B \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ with $\mathsf{L}(B)$ is an AAMP with period \mathcal{D} and bound M .

Lemma 5.5. *Let $G = C_4 \oplus C_4$. Then, for every sufficiently large M ,*

$$\limsup_{B \in \mathcal{P}_M(\{0, 2\}, G), \min \mathsf{L}(B) \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\mathsf{L}(B)) = 2.$$

Proof. We have $\max \Delta^*(G) = 2$ by Proposition 2.2.2 and $\mathfrak{m}(G) = 1$ by [20, Proposition 3.6]. For a sufficiently large M , we consider $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_M(\{0, 2\}, G)$ and [21, Proposition 8.7] implies that

$$\limsup_{B \in \mathcal{P}, \min \mathsf{L}(B) \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\mathsf{L}(B)) \leq \max\{\rho(G_0) : G_0 \subset G, 2 \mid \min \Delta(G_0)\}.$$

Let $G_0 \subset G$ with $2 \mid \min \Delta(G_0)$. Since $\max \Delta(G) = 3$ by [13, Lemma 3.3], it follows that $\min \Delta(G_0) = 2$, whence $\min \Delta(G_0) = \max \Delta^*(G)$. Now [21, Theorem 7.7] implies that $G_0 = \cup_{i=1}^s G_i$, where $\langle G_0 \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^s \langle G_i \rangle$ and each G_i is either half-factorial or equal to $\{g_i, -g_i\}$ for some g_i with $\text{ord}(g_i) = 4$. Thus $\rho(G_0) = 2$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1.3. Let $m \geq 5$. We have to show that $\mathcal{L}(C_2^{m-4} \oplus C_4)$ is a maximal element in Ω_m . Let G be a finite abelian group with $\mathsf{D}(G) = m$ and suppose that $\mathcal{L}(C_2^r \oplus C_4) \subset \mathcal{L}(G)$, where $r = m - 4$. We distinguish two cases and use Proposition 2.3 without further mention.

CASE 1: $m \in [5, 6]$.

First suppose that $m = 5$. Then $r = 1$ and G is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

$$C_3 \oplus C_3, C_5, C_2 \oplus C_4, C_2^4.$$

Since $\mathcal{L}(C_m)$ is minimal in Ω_m by Theorem 1.1.1, it follows that G is not cyclic. Since, by Proposition 2.2, $\max \Delta^*(C_3 \oplus C_3) = 1 < 2 = \max \Delta^*(C_2 \oplus C_4)$, it follows that G is not isomorphic to $C_3 \oplus C_3$. Theorems 4.5 and 4.8 in [12] show that G is not isomorphic to C_2^4 .

Next suppose that $m = 6$. Then $r = 2$ and G is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

$$C_6, C_2^2 \oplus C_4, C_2^5.$$

Since G is not cyclic, it remains to show that $\mathcal{L}(C_2^2 \oplus C_4) \not\subset \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$. Lemma 5.1.1 implies that $\{2, D(G) - 1, D(G)\} \in \mathcal{L}(C_2^2 \oplus C_4)$. Since $\{2, D(G) - 1, D(G)\} \notin \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ by Lemma 4.1.1, it follows that $\mathcal{L}(C_2^2 \oplus C_4) \not\subset \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$.

CASE 2: $m \geq 7$.

Then Theorem 1.1.2 implies that G is neither cyclic nor an elementary 2-group. Thus Lemma 4.1.2 implies that $\mathfrak{T}(G) < D(G)$. Since $D(G) - 1 = \mathfrak{T}(C_2^r \oplus C_4) \leq \mathfrak{T}(G)$ by Lemma 5.1.2, it follows that $\mathfrak{T}(G) = D(G) - 1$. It is again Lemma 5.1.2 that implies that G is either isomorphic to $C_2 \oplus C_{2n}$ or isomorphic to $C_2^s \oplus C_4$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m = D(G) = 2n + 1$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m = D(G) = s + 4$.

Thus it remains to consider the case where m is odd and to prove the following assertion.

A. $\mathcal{L}(C_2^r \oplus C_4) \not\subset \mathcal{L}(C_2 \oplus C_{2n})$, with $n = (m - 1)/2$.

Proof of A. Assume to the contrary that $\mathcal{L}(C_2^r \oplus C_4) \subset \mathcal{L}(C_2 \oplus C_{2n})$. Then $\rho_3(C_2^r \oplus C_4) \leq \rho_3(C_2 \oplus C_{2n})$. Since $n \geq 3$, [7, Theorem 5.1] implies that $\rho_3(C_2 \oplus C_{2n}) < D(G) + \lfloor D(G)/2 \rfloor$. We claim that $\rho_3(C_2^r \oplus C_4) = D(G) + \lfloor D(G)/2 \rfloor$, which yields a contradiction and ends the proof. Since $2n + 1 = m = r + 4 \geq 7$, there is $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $r \in \{2s + 2, 2s + 3\}$. We distinguish two cases.

Suppose that $r = 2s + 2$. We set $G = C_2^r \oplus C_4$, $G_1 = C_2^{s+2}$, and $G_2 = C_2^s \oplus C_4$. Then $d(G) = d(G_1) + d(G_2)$ and $d(G_2) = s + 3 = d(G_1) + 1$. Thus [8, Theorem 6.3.4.1] implies that $\rho_3(G) = D(G) + \lfloor D(G)/2 \rfloor$.

Suppose that $r = 2s + 3$. We set $G = C_2^r \oplus C_4$, $G_1 = C_2^{s+3}$, and $G_2 = C_2^s \oplus C_4$. Then $d(G) = d(G_1) + d(G_2)$ and $d(G_2) = s + 3 = d(G_1)$. Thus [8, Theorem 6.3.4.1] implies that $\rho_3(G) = D(G) + \lfloor D(G)/2 \rfloor$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1.4: First part. Let $n \geq 2$. We show that $\mathcal{L}(C_2 \oplus C_{2n})$ is a maximal element of Ω_{2n+1} . Let G be a finite abelian group with $D(G) = 2n + 1$ and suppose that $\mathcal{L}(C_2 \oplus C_{2n}) \subset \mathcal{L}(G)$. Then Lemma 5.1.1 implies that $\{2, D(G) - 1, D(G)\} \in \mathcal{L}(C_2 \oplus C_{2n}) \subset \mathcal{L}(G)$. Now, again Lemma 5.1.1 implies that $G \cong C_2 \oplus C_{2n}$. \square

Let $G = C_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus C_{n_r}$, with $1 < n_1 \mid \dots \mid n_r$ and $r \geq 3$, be a finite abelian group. If $n_{r-1} \geq 3$, then [1, Theorem 4.2] implies that

$$[2, D^*(G)] \subset \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}(G), \{2, D^*(G)\} \subset L} L.$$

The next lemma, which is needed in the proof of Corollary 1.2, shows that the above result does not hold without the assumption that $n_{r-1} \geq 3$.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $G = C_2^3 \oplus C_4$ and $U \in \mathcal{A}(G)$ with $|U| = D(G) = 7$. Then $3 \notin \mathcal{L}(U(-U))$.*

Proof. We start with the following simple observations.

- The sum of any two elements of G with order four has order two.
- If $W \in \mathcal{A}(G)$, the number of elements of order four in W (counted with multiplicity) is even.
- Since $D(C_2^4) = 5$, U cannot have five elements (counted with multiplicity) of order two.

Thus, the number of elements of order four in U is equal to four or equal to six. We set $U = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_7$ with $\text{ord}(g_1) \leq \dots \leq \text{ord}(g_7)$. Assume to the contrary that there are $W_1, W_2, W_3 \in \mathcal{A}(G)$ with $|W_1| \leq |W_2| \leq |W_3|$ such that

$$U(-U) = W_1 W_2 W_3.$$

Assume to the contrary that $|W_3| = 7$. This would mean that W_3 arises from U by replacing some of the elements from U by their inverses. Thus there is a subsequence T of U such that $W_3 = UT^{-1}(-T)$. But this implies that $W_1 W_2 = (-U)(-T)^{-1}T = (-W_3)$ is an atom, a contradiction. Thus $|W_1|, |W_2|, |W_3| \in [2, 6]$. We distinguish two cases.

CASE 1: $\text{ord}(g_3) = 2$ and $\text{ord}(g_4) = 4$.

Then $U' = g_1g_2g_3(g_4 + g_5)(g_6 + g_7)$ is a minimal zero-sum sequence over an elementary 2-group of rank four of length $5 = D(C_2^4)$. Thus there is a basis (e_1, \dots, e_4) of G with $\text{ord}(e_1) = \text{ord}(e_2) = \text{ord}(e_3) = 2$ and $\text{ord}(e_4) = 4$ such that $g_1 = e_1, g_2 = e_2, g_3 = e_3$, and $g_i = f_i + a_i e_i$ with $f_i \in \langle e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle$ and $a_i \in \{1, 3\}$ for all $i \in [1, 4]$. This implies that $f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + f_4 = e_1 + e_2 + e_3$. Since U is a minimal zero-sum sequence, it follows that $a_1 = \dots = a_4$. Without restriction we may suppose that $a_i = 1$ for all $i \in [1, 4]$.

Since the number of elements of order four in each atom W_i is even, there is an $i \in [1, 3]$ such that W_i has four elements of order four, whence $(f_1 \pm e_4)(f_2 \pm e_4)(f_3 \pm e_4)(f_4 \pm e_4)$ is a subsequence of W_1 . The only way to extend this to a zero-sum sequence is to use the elements e_1, e_2 and e_3 , whence $W_i = e_1e_2e_3(f_1 \pm e_4)(f_2 \pm e_4)(f_3 \pm e_4)(f_4 \pm e_4)$, a contradiction to $|W_i| \in [2, 6]$.

CASE 2: $\text{ord}(g_1) = 2$ and $\text{ord}(g_2) = 4$.

We choose a basis (e_1, \dots, e_4) of G with $\text{ord}(e_1) = \text{ord}(e_2) = \text{ord}(e_3) = 2$ and $\text{ord}(e_4) = 4$. Then $g_i = f_i + a_i e_4$ with $a_i \in \{1, 3\}$ and $f_i \in \langle e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle$ for all $i \in [2, 7]$. We continue with three assertions.

A1. $g_1 \neq 2e_4$.

A2. Without restriction we may suppose that $g_1 = e_1$.

A3. $|W_1| > 2$.

Proof of A1. Assume to the contrary that $g_1 = 2e_4$. Consider the sequence $S = f_2 \dots f_7$. If $f_2 = f_3$, then $g_1g_2g_3$ is a proper zero-sum subsequence of U , a contradiction. Thus all elements of S are pairwise distinct, whence S has no zero-sum subsequence of length two. Further, S is a zero-sum sequence over a group isomorphic to C_2^3 . If one of the f_i s is equal to zero, then $f_i^{-1}S$ is still a zero-sum sequence, which is not minimal. Thus $f_i^{-1}S$ is a product of a zero-sum sequence of length two and of length three, a contradiction. Thus S is a product of two minimal zero-sum sequences S_1 and S_2 , and both have length three. After renumbering if necessary, we may suppose that $S_1 = f_2f_3f_4$ and $S_2 = f_5f_6f_7$. Since all elements of S are pairwise distinct, none of the f_i s is equal to zero. Since $f_4 = f_2 + f_3, f_7 = f_5 + f_6$ and $f_2, \dots, f_7 \in \langle e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle$, it follows that not all these six elements can be pairwise distinct, a contradiction.

Proof of A2. By **A1**, we have $g_1 = f$ or $g_1 = f + 2e_4$ with $0 \neq f \in \langle e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle$. After renumbering if necessary, we may suppose that $f = e_1 + f'$ with $f' \in \langle e_2, e_3 \rangle$. The map $f: G \rightarrow G$, defined by $(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) \mapsto (g_1, e_2, e_3, e_4)$, is a group isomorphism. Thus there exists a basis of G containing the element of S having order two.

Proof of A3. Assume to the contrary that $|W_1| = 2$. Then $W_3 = -W_2$. If $\text{supp}(W_1)$ consists of two elements of order four, then W_2 consists of one element of order two and five elements of order four, a contradiction to W_2 being a zero-sum sequence. Thus $W_1 = g_1^2$. Thus W_2 arises from $g_1^{-1}U$ by exchanging $f_i + a_i e_4$ by $f_i - a_i e_4$ for some $i \in [2, 7]$. Thus the sum of the first three coordinates of $g_1^{-1}U$ equals the sum of the first three coordinates of W_2 and this is zero. Since U is a zero-sum sequence and $\text{ord}(g_1) = 2$, it follows that $g_1 = 2e_4$, a contradiction to **A1**.

By **A1**, **A2**, and **A3**, it remains to handle the following two cases.

CASE 2.1: $W_1 = e_1W_1', W_2 = e_1W_2'$, with $|W_1'| = 2$ and $|W_2'| = 4$, and W_3 consists of six elements of order four.

Since $U(-U) = W_1W_2W_3 = W_3 \binom{e_1^2}{e_1}(-W_3)$, $U(-U)$ has a factorization of length three, where one atom has length two, a contradiction to **A3**.

CASE 2.2: $|W_1| = 4$ and $W_i = e_1W_i'$ with $|W_i'| = 4$ for $i \in [2, 3]$.

Thus $W_1 \mid g_2 \dots g_7(-g_1) \dots (-g_7)$. After renumbering if necessary, we infer that either

$$W_1 = g_2g_3(-g_4)(-g_5) \quad \text{or} \quad W_1 = g_2g_3g_4(-g_5).$$

If $W_1 = g_2g_3(-g_4)(-g_5)$, then $g_2 + g_3 = g_4 + g_5$. Since $\text{ord}(g_2 + g_3) = 2$, $g_2g_3g_4g_5$ is a zero-sum subsequence of U , a contradiction.

Suppose that $W_1 = g_2g_3g_4(-g_5)$. After renumbering if necessary, we may assume that $\text{gcd}(U, W_2') = g_5g_6$ and $\text{gcd}(U, W_3') = g_7$. Thus there are $i, j \in [2, 7] \setminus \{5, 6\}$ such that

$$W_2' = (-g_i)(-g_j)g_5g_6.$$

Then $0 = \sigma(W_2) = e_1 - g_i - g_j + g_5 + g_6$ and thus $g_5 + g_6 + e_1 = g_i + g_j$. Since $\text{ord}(g_i + g_j) = 2$, it follows that $e_1 g_5 g_6 g_i g_j$ is a zero-sum subsequence of U , a contradiction. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Let G_1 and G_2 be non-isomorphic finite abelian groups with $D(G_1) = D(G_2) = m \in [4, 7]$. We need the following results. Theorem 1.1.1 implies that for $m \in [4, 6]$, $\mathcal{L}(C_m)$ is minimal in Ω_m , $\mathcal{L}(C_2^{m-1})$ is maximal in Ω_m , and $\mathcal{L}(C_m) \subsetneq \mathcal{L}(C_2^{m-1})$. By Theorem 1.1.2, $\mathcal{L}(C_7)$ and $\mathcal{L}(C_2^6)$ are each incomparable in Ω_m . Moreover, if G is an abelian group with $D(G) = m$ and $\mathcal{L}(G) \subset \mathcal{L}(C_2^{m-1})$ for $m \geq 4$, then G is either cyclic or an elementary 2-group ([12, Theorem 3.5]). We use Proposition 2.3 without further mention.

CASE 1: $m = 4$.

Since the only groups with Davenport constant four are the cyclic group of order four and the elementary 2-group of rank three, the claim follows immediately from the above mentioned results.

CASE 2: $m = 5$.

Every finite abelian group G with $D(G) = 5$ is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

$$C_5, C_2 \oplus C_4, C_3 \oplus C_3, C_2^4.$$

Note that $\mathcal{L}(C_5) \subsetneq \mathcal{L}(C_2^4)$, that $\mathcal{L}(C_5)$ is a minimal element in Ω_5 , that $\mathcal{L}(C_2^4)$ is a maximal element in Ω_5 , and that the only group G with $D(G) = 5$ and $\mathcal{L}(G) \subset \mathcal{L}(C_2^4)$ is cyclic of order five.

Since $\max \Delta(C_5) = 3$ by Lemma 4.1.2, $\max \Delta(C_3 \oplus C_3) = 1$ by [8, Corollary 6.4.9], and $\max \Delta(C_2 \oplus C_4) = 2$ by Lemma 5.1.2, it follows that $\mathcal{L}(C_5) \not\subset \mathcal{L}(C_2 \oplus C_4) \not\subset \mathcal{L}(C_3 \oplus C_3)$, and that $\mathcal{L}(C_5) \not\subset \mathcal{L}(C_3 \oplus C_3)$. Theorems 4.1 and 4.5 in [12] show that $[2, 5] \in \mathcal{L}(C_3 \oplus C_3) \setminus \mathcal{L}(C_2 \oplus C_4)$. Thus the claim follows.

CASE 3: $m = 6$.

Every finite abelian group G with $D(G) = 6$ is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

$$C_6, C_2^2 \oplus C_4, C_2^5.$$

Again, we note that $\mathcal{L}(C_6) \subsetneq \mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$. Since $\mathcal{L}(C_6)$ is minimal in Ω_6 , and $\mathcal{L}(C_2^5)$ and $\mathcal{L}(C_2^2 \oplus C_4)$ are both maximal in Ω_6 , it remains to show that $\mathcal{L}(C_6) \not\subset \mathcal{L}(C_2^2 \oplus C_4)$. Since $\max \Delta^*(C_6) = 4$ and $\max \Delta^*(C_2^2 \oplus C_4) = 2$ by Proposition 2.2, it follows that $\mathcal{L}(C_6) \not\subset \mathcal{L}(C_2^2 \oplus C_4)$.

CASE 4: $m = 7$.

Every finite abelian group G with Davenport constant $D(G) = 7$ is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

$$C_7, C_2 \oplus C_6, C_4 \oplus C_4, C_2^3 \oplus C_4, C_3^3, C_2^6.$$

By Theorem 1.1.2, $\mathcal{L}(C_7)$ and $\mathcal{L}(C_2^6)$ are incomparable in Ω_7 . Since all groups G in the above list satisfy $D(G) = D^*(G) = 7$, Theorem 1.1.4 implies that $\mathcal{L}(C_2 \oplus C_6)$ is incomparable in Ω_7 . Next we show that $\mathcal{L}(C_2^3 \oplus C_4)$ is incomparable in Ω_7 . By Theorem 1.1.3, it is maximal in Ω_7 . Thus we have to verify that

$$\mathcal{L}(C_3^3) \not\subset \mathcal{L}(C_2^3 \oplus C_4) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}(C_4 \oplus C_4) \not\subset \mathcal{L}(C_2^3 \oplus C_4).$$

If (e_1, e_2, e_3) is a basis of C_3^3 and $U = e_1^2 e_2^2 e_3^2 (e_1 + e_2 + e_3)$, then $\mathbf{L}(U(-U)) = \{2, 3, 4, 5, 7\}$ and Lemma 5.6 shows that $\{2, 3, 4, 5, 7\} \notin \mathcal{L}(C_2^3 \oplus C_4)$.

Let (e_1, e_2) be a basis of $C_4 \oplus C_4$ with $\text{ord}(e_1) = \text{ord}(e_2) = 4$ and let $U = e_2^3 e_1 (e_1 + e_2) (e_1 + 2e_2)^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} U(-U) &= \left(e_2^3 (e_1 + 2e_2) (-e_1 - e_2) \right) \left((-e_2)^2 (e_1 + 2e_2) (-e_1) \right) \left((-e_2) e_1 (e_1 + e_2) (-e_1 + 2e_2)^2 \right) \\ &= \left((-e_2) e_1 (e_1 + e_2) (e_1 + 2e_2)^2 \right) \left(e_2 (-e_1) (-e_1 - e_2) (-e_1 + 2e_2) \right) \left((e_2 (-e_2))^2 \right) \\ &= \left(e_2^2 (e_1 + 2e_2) (-e_1) \right) \left((-e_2)^2 (-e_1 + 2e_2) e_1 \right) \left(e_2 (-e_2) \right) \left((e_1 + e_2) (-e_1 - e_2) \right) \left((e_1 + 2e_2) (-e_1 + 2e_2) \right) \\ &= \left(e_2 (e_1 + e_2) (-e_1 + 2e_2) \right) \left((-e_2) (-e_1 - e_2) (e_1 + 2e_2) \right) \left(e_2 (-e_2) \right)^2 \left((e_1 + 2e_2) (-e_1 + 2e_2) \right) \left(e_1 (-e_1) \right), \end{aligned}$$

whence $\mathbf{L}(U(-U)) = [2, 7]$. By Lemma 5.6, we infer that $[2, 7] \notin \mathcal{L}(C_2^3 \oplus C_4)$.

Finally, it remains to show that $\mathcal{L}(C_4 \oplus C_4)$ and $\mathcal{L}(C_3^3)$ are incomparable. Since $2 + \max \Delta(C_3^3) = 4$ by [6, Proposition 5.1] and $2 + \max \Delta(C_4 \oplus C_4) = 5$ by [13, Lemma 3.3], it follows that $\mathcal{L}(C_4 \oplus C_4) \not\subset \mathcal{L}(C_3^3)$. Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5 imply that $\mathcal{L}(C_3^3) \not\subset \mathcal{L}(C_4 \oplus C_4)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1.4: Second part. It remains to show the moreover statement. Let $n \geq 2$ and let G be a finite abelian group with $D^*(G) = D(G) = 2n + 1$ such that $\mathcal{L}(G) \subset \mathcal{L}(C_2 \oplus C_{2n})$. We assert that $G \cong C_2 \oplus C_{2n}$.

If $n = 2$, then $D(G) = 5$ and the claim follows from Corollary 1.2. Suppose that $n \geq 3$. We set $G \cong C_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus C_{n_r}$ with $1 < n_1 \mid \dots \mid n_r$ and we choose a basis (e_1, \dots, e_r) of G with $\text{ord}(e_i) = n_i$ for $i \in [1, r]$. By Theorem 1.1.2, G is neither cyclic nor an elementary 2-group, whence $r \geq 2$ and $n_r \geq 3$. We consider the atom $U = e_1^{n_1-1} \dots e_r^{n_r-1}(e_1 + \dots + e_r) \in \mathcal{A}(G)$ and observe that $\{2, D(G)\} \subset \mathcal{L}(U(-U)) \in \mathcal{L}(G) \subset \mathcal{L}(C_2 \oplus C_{2n})$.

If $r \geq 3$, then $U(-U)$ has no minimal zero-sum subsequence of length 3, which implies that $2n = D(G) - 1 \notin \mathcal{L}(U(-U))$, a contradiction to Proposition 5.3. Thus $r = 2$ and we distinguish several cases.

By [8, Lemma 6.6.4], $\mathcal{L}(U(-U)) = \{2, n_1, n_2, n_1 + n_2 - 2, n_1 + n_2 - 1\} \in \mathcal{L}(C_{n_1} \oplus C_{n_2})$. Thus, if n_1 is odd, then the second largest number of this set is odd, a contradiction to Proposition 5.3.

If $n_1 = 2$, then $D(G) = n_1 + n_2 - 1 = 2n + 1$ implies that $n_2 = 2n$, whence $G \cong C_2 \oplus C_{2n}$.

If $n_1 \geq 4$ is even and $n_1 = n_2$, then $\rho_3(G) = D(G) + \lfloor \frac{D(G)}{2} \rfloor > \rho_3(C_2 \oplus C_{2n})$ (the first equation follows from [8, Theorem 6.3.4] and the inequality follows from [7, Theorem 5.1]), a contradiction to $\mathcal{L}(G) \subset \mathcal{L}(C_2 \oplus C_{2n})$.

Suppose that $n_1 = 4$. We consider the atom $V = e_2^{4n-1}e_1(e_1 + e_2)(e_1 - 2e_2)(e_1 + 2e_2)$. Then

$$V(-V) = \left(e_2^{4n-1}(e_1+2e_2)(-e_1-e_2) \right) \left((-e_2)^{4n-2}(e_1-2e_2)(-e_1) \right) \left(e_1(-e_2)(e_1+e_2)(-e_1+2e_2)(-e_1-2e_2) \right),$$

whence $\{2, 3, D(G)\} \subset \mathcal{L}(V(-V))$, a contradiction to Proposition 5.3.

Suppose that $n_1 \geq 6$ is even and $n_1 \neq n_2$. We set $m = n_2/2$ and consider the atom

$$V = e_2^{n_2-1}e_1^{n_1-3}(e_1 + me_2)^2(e_1 + e_2)$$

and assert that

$$\mathcal{L}(V(-V)) = \{2, n_1 + m - 2, n_1 + m - 1, n_1 + m, n_2, n_1 + n_2 - 3, n_1 + n_2 - 2, n_1 + n_2 - 1\}.$$

Clearly, $\{2, D(G)\} \subset \mathcal{L}(V(-V))$. If $W = (e_1 + e_2)(-e_1)(-e_2)$, then $W(-W)$ divides $V(-V)$ and gives rise to a factorization of length $D(G) - 1$. Now we consider a factorization $z \in \mathcal{Z}(V(-V))$ with $|z| \notin \{2, D(G) - 1, D(G)\}$. Then there is an atom $W \in \mathcal{A}(G)$ with W divides z , $|W| \geq 3$, and with $(e_1 + me_2) \mid W$.

If $W = (-e_2)e_1^{n_1-3}(e_1 + me_2)^2(e_1 + e_2)$, then $W(-W)$ divides $V(-V)$ and gives rise to a factorization of length n_2 . If $W = (e_1 + me_2)^2(-e_1)^2$, then

$$V(-V) = W(-W)((e_1 + e_2)(-e_1 - e_2))(e_1(-e_1))^{n_1-5}(e_2(-e_2))^{n_2-1}$$

is a factorization of length $n_1 + n_2 - 3$. If $W = (e_1 + me_2)(-e_1 - e_2)(-e_2)^{m-1}$, then

$$V(-V) = W(-W)((e_1 + me_2)(-e_1 + me_2))(e_2(-e_2))^m(e_1(-e_1))^{n_1-3}$$

is a factorization of length $n_1 + m$. If $W = (e_1 + me_2)(-e_1)e_2^m$, then

$$V(-V) = W(-W)((e_1 + me_2)(-e_1 + me_2))((e_1 + e_2)(-e_1 - e_2))(e_1(-e_1))^{n_1-4}(e_2(-e_2))^{m-1}$$

is a factorization of length $n_1 + m - 1$. If $W = (e_1 + me_2)(-e_1)(-e_2)^m$, then we obtain again a factorization of length $n_1 + m - 1$. If $W = (e_1 + me_2)(-e_1 - e_2)e_2^{m+1}$, then

$$V(-V) = W(-W)((e_1 + me_2)(-e_1 + me_2))(e_1(-e_1))^{n_1-3}(e_2(-e_2))^{m-2}$$

is a factorization of length $n_1 + m - 2$.

Since $n_1 \geq 6$, $\mathcal{L}(V(-V)) \setminus \{2\}$ consists of seven elements but it is not an interval. Thus Proposition 5.3 implies that $\mathcal{L}(V(-V)) \notin \mathcal{L}(C_2 \oplus C_{2n})$, a contradiction to $\mathcal{L}(G) \subset \mathcal{L}(C_2 \oplus C_{2n})$. \square

Acknowledgement. We thank Qinghai Zhong for many helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Baginski, A. Geroldinger, D.J. Gryniewicz, and A. Philipp, *Products of two atoms in Krull monoids and arithmetical characterizations of class groups*, Eur. J. Comb. **34** (2013), 1244 – 1268.
- [2] Y. Fan and S. Tringali, *Power monoids: A bridge between factorization theory and arithmetic combinatorics*, J. Algebra **512** (2018), 252 – 294.
- [3] S. Frisch, S. Nakato, and R. Rissner, *Sets of lengths of factorizations of integer-valued polynomials on Dedekind domains with finite residue fields*, J. Algebra **528** (2019), 231 – 249.
- [4] W. Gao and A. Geroldinger, *On the order of elements in long minimal zero-sum sequences*, Period. Math. Hung. **44** (2002), 63 – 73.
- [5] A. Geroldinger, *Sets of lengths*, Amer. Math. Monthly **123** (2016), 960 – 988.
- [6] A. Geroldinger, D.J. Gryniewicz, and W.A. Schmid, *The catenary degree of Krull monoids I*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordx. **23** (2011), 137 – 169.
- [7] A. Geroldinger, D.J. Gryniewicz, and P. Yuan, *On products of k atoms II*, Mosc. J. Comb. Number Theory **5** (2015), 73 – 129.
- [8] A. Geroldinger and F. Halter-Koch, *Non-Unique Factorizations. Algebraic, Combinatorial and Analytic Theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 278, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2006.
- [9] A. Geroldinger and I. Ruzsa, *Combinatorial Number Theory and Additive Group Theory*, Advanced Courses in Mathematics - CRM Barcelona, Birkhäuser, 2009.
- [10] A. Geroldinger and W.A. Schmid, *A characterization of Krull monoids for which sets of lengths are (almost) arithmetical progressions*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam., to appear.
- [11] A. Geroldinger and W.A. Schmid, *A characterization of class groups via sets of lengths*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **56** (2019), 869 – 915.
- [12] A. Geroldinger, W.A. Schmid, and Q. Zhong, *Systems of sets of lengths: transfer Krull monoids versus weakly Krull monoids*, in Rings, Polynomials, and Modules, Springer, Cham, 2017, pp. 191 – 235.
- [13] A. Geroldinger and Q. Zhong, *The catenary degree of Krull monoids II*, J. Australian Math. Soc. **98** (2015), 324 – 354.
- [14] ———, *The set of minimal distances in Krull monoids*, Acta Arith. **173** (2016), 97 – 120.
- [15] ———, *A characterization of class groups via sets of lengths II*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordx. **29** (2017), 327 – 346.
- [16] ———, *Factorization theory in commutative monoids*, Semigroup Forum **100** (2020), 22 – 51.
- [17] B. Girard, *An asymptotically tight bound for the Davenport constant*, J. Ec. polytech. Math. **5** (2018), 605 – 611.
- [18] F. Gotti, *Systems of sets of lengths of Puiseux monoids*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **223** (2019), 1856 – 1868.
- [19] Chao Liu, *On the lower bounds of Davenport constant*, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A **171** (2020), 105162, 15pp.
- [20] W.A. Schmid, *Arithmetical characterization of class groups of the form $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ via the system of sets of lengths*, Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hamb. **79** (2009), 25 – 35.
- [21] ———, *Characterization of class groups of Krull monoids via their systems of sets of lengths: a status report*, Number Theory and Applications, Hindustan Book Agency, 2009, pp. 189 – 212.
- [22] ———, *Some recent results and open problems on sets of lengths of Krull monoids with finite class group*, in Multiplicative Ideal Theory and Factorization Theory, Springer, 2016, pp. 323 – 352.
- [23] D. Smertnig, *Sets of lengths in maximal orders in central simple algebras*, J. Algebra **390** (2013), 1 – 43.
- [24] S. Tringali, *Structural properties of subadditive families with applications to factorization theory*, Israel J. Math. **234** (2019), 1 – 35.
- [25] Q. Zhong, *A characterization of finite abelian groups via sets of lengths in transfer Krull monoids*, Commun. Algebra **46** (2018), 4021 – 4041.
- [26] ———, *Sets of minimal distances and characterizations of class groups of Krull monoids*, Ramanujan J. **45** (2018), 719 – 737.

INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS AND SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING, UNIVERSITY OF GRAZ, NAWI GRAZ, HEINRICHSTRASSE 36, 8010 GRAZ, AUSTRIA

E-mail address: alfred.geroldinger@uni-graz.at

URL: <https://imsc.uni-graz.at/geroldinger>

LABORATOIRE ANALYSE, GÉOMÉTRIE ET APPLICATIONS, LAGA, UNIVERSITÉ SORBONNE PARIS NORD, CNRS, UMR 7539, F-93430, VILLETANEUSE, FRANCE, AND, LABORATOIRE ANALYSE, GÉOMÉTRIE ET APPLICATIONS (LAGA, UMR 7539), CO-MUE UNIVERSITÉ PARIS LUMIÈRES, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS 8, CNRS, 93526 SAINT-DENIS CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: schmid@math.univ-paris13.fr