

# Infective endocarditis: does a new 16S rDNA set of primers improve the microbiological diagnosis?

Rémi Le Guern, Caroline Loïez, Sylvie Armand, Laure Marceau, René Courcol, Frédéric Wallet

## ► To cite this version:

Rémi Le Guern, Caroline Loïez, Sylvie Armand, Laure Marceau, René Courcol, et al.. Infective endocarditis: does a new 16S rDNA set of primers improve the microbiological diagnosis?. ACS Infectious Diseases, 2015, 47 (12), pp.896-901. 10.3109/23744235.2015.1075661 . hal-02566185

## HAL Id: hal-02566185 https://hal.science/hal-02566185

Submitted on 7 May 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	TITLE: Infective endocarditis: of	does a new 16S rDNA set of primers improve the
2	microbiological diagnosis?	
3		
4		
5		
6		Caroline Loïez, Sylvie Armand, Laure Marceau, René
7	Courcol, Frédéric Wallet	
8		
9		
10		
11	<b>INSTITUTIONS</b> : Laboratoire de	e Bactériologie-Hygiène, Centre de Biologie Pathologie
12	CHRU de Lille, Université de Lille	2.
13		
14		
15	KEY WORDS: Infective endocardi	itis; cardiac valve; 16S rDNA amplification; PCR
16	<b>RUNNING TITLE</b> : 16S rDNA inf	ective endocarditis diagnosis
17	CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:	F. Wallet M. D.
18		Laboratoire de Bactériologie-Hygiène, Centre de Biologie
19		Pathologie
20		Blvd du Pr Leclercq, 59037 Lille Cedex, France
21		Tel: 33-320.44.54.80 / Fax :33-320.44.48.95
22		e-mail: frederic.wallet@chru-lille.fr
23		
24	CONFLICT OF INTEREST: Nor	ne

26 Abstract

27

*Background:* In infective endocarditis (IE), blood cultures are negative in 2.5–31% of cases because
of an antimicrobial treatment previously prescribed. Molecular methods may represent an alternative
to conventional microbiological techniques in order to identify the causative agent.

*Objectives:* The aim of this prospective study was to evaluate the performance of a new primer pair (341F/785R) for 16S rDNA amplification in heart valves compared with primers 91E/13BS already used for the diagnosis of IE. 341F/785R primer pair was previously selected *in silico* to allow 16S rDNA amplification for a large coverage of bacterial species.

*Results*: Seventy-four patients suspected of infective endocarditis (IE) were included in this study. Infective endocarditis was diagnosed in 55 of these patients using the modified Duke criteria, which was the gold standard here. 91E/13BS primers were more sensitive than 341F/785R primers: 38/55 (69.1%) samples were positive using 91E/13BS primers against 28/55 (50.9%) with 341F/785R (p=0.013). When at least one of the two molecular methods was positive, the sensitivity and specificity of 16S rDNA amplification was 72.7% and 94.7%, respectively.

*Conclusion*: Even if the new primer pair 341F/785R seemed promising *in silico*, it was less sensitive
for 16S rDNA amplification in heart valves than the 91E/13BS pair already used. This study
underlines a lack of standardisation for 16S rDNA amplification on clinical samples.

- 45 Introduction
- 46

47 Infective endocarditis (IE) remains a serious infectious disease: indeed, the incidence has not changed much in recent times and mortality is still high (ranging from 9.6 to 26%) [1]. A 48 collaborative approach between infectious disease specialists, surgeons and microbiologists is 49 required to treat these patients, and a conventional microbiological culture is essential to find 50 51 the etiologic agent and to adapt the antimicrobial treatment. Among the modified Duke 52 criteria validated in several studies to make a positive diagnosis of endocarditis, blood 53 cultures (BCs) remain the gold standard to isolate and identify the etiologic agent [2, 3]. Unfortunately, BCs are negative in 2.5-31% of suspected IE cases with an average of 10-54 15% based on to three reasons: prior antibiotic treatment, fastidious organisms such as 55 Brucella spp. or fungi, and intracellular bacteria such as Coxiella burnetii, Bartonella spp., or 56 Tropheryma whipplei [4-8]. In the case of surgical IE, heart valve culture can be performed to 57 identify the causative agent, especially when the BCs remain negative. Unfortunately, the 58 same reasons previously cited may explain a negative culture result. 59

To overcome these problems, culture-independent molecular techniques based on 16S rDNA 60 gene sequencing have been developed and applied to many samples (e.g. blood, cardiac 61 valve). These polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) are suitable for laboratories able to develop 62 and validate these new molecular techniques with dedicated personnel [9-15]. The efficiency 63 of 16S rDNA PCR amplification is dependent on primer sequences. Ideally, there should be 64 no primer-template mismatches. A number of nucleotide sequences of 16S rDNA are highly 65 conserved, and they are therefore often chosen for primer design. However, even these 66 conserved sequences still vary to some degree for different bacterial species. Recently, the 67 bacterial coverage and phylum spectrum of 512 primer pairs were evaluated in silico, in order 68 to reduce the bias in PCR-based microbial studies. One primer pair (341F/785R) seemed 69

particularly promising according to Klindworth et *al.* [16]. This primer pair showed excellent
coverage of bacterial species, with few primer-template mismatches. However, this
341F/785R primer pair was only evaluated for PCR-based microbial studies and not for the
identification of pathogenic bacteria directly from clinical samples.

The aim of the present work was to compare the sensitivity of 16S rDNA PCR amplification in heart valves using these new 341F/785R primers, versus the older ones (91E/13BS) [17] already utilized in the diagnosis of IE.

77

#### 78 Materials and methods

#### 79 Clinical Specimens and Patients

Consecutive native heart valve specimens sent to the Clinical Bacteriology Laboratory 80 between January 1, 2014 and December 31, 2014 were included. Eighty-two heart valves and 81 four vegetations were collected from 74 patients. These fragments were aseptically removed 82 83 from patients submitted to cardiac surgery for suspected IE. They were sampled and transported in sterile containers. The heart valves were processed in class 2 biosafety cabinet. 84 The clinical features (Duke criteria) and other biological parameters were prospectively 85 collected as follows: blood cultures sampled before and during surgery, antimicrobial therapy 86 prescribed, and serology for intracellular bacteria. 87

88 Conventional culture

The valve tissue was vortexed beforehand in 1 ml of brain-heart broth. It was then cultured onto 5% sheep blood, chocolate + isovitalex agar and in a paraffined brain-heart broth and a Todd-Hewitt broth. The plates were incubated both in an anaerobic atmosphere and under a carbon dioxide atmosphere (5%) for 10 days at 37°C. The broths were kept for 15 days. A fragment of the valve was deep frozen at -80°C. The isolated bacteria were identified by
MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (Bruker Daltonics, Wissembourg, France). Results of the
May-Grünwald-Giemsa and Gram stains were reported as direct microscopic examination of
imprints as follows: rare (≤ 1 leukocyte or bacteria per oil immersion [X 1000] field), 1+ (2–9
per oil immersion [X 1000] field), 2+ (10–50 per oil immersion [X 1000] field), and 3+ (> 50
per oil immersion [X 1000] field).

#### 99 Molecular Microbiology

100 A sample of the heart valve was excised and added to a bead-containing tube with 200  $\mu$ L of 101 PCR-grade water for mechanical lysis with Magna Lyser (Roche Diagnostic, Mannheim, Germany). The NucleoSpin Tissue (Macherey-Nagel, Hoerdt, France) was used following the 102 manufacturer's instructions. The resulting 100 µL of eluate was stored at -20°C until 103 104 utilization. The following primers were used: PCR1 (old set): 91E (5'-TCAAAKGAATTGACGGGGGC-3') and 13BS (5'-GCCCGGGAACGTATTCAC-3'); 105 106 PCR2 (new set) 341F (5'-CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG-3') and 785R (5'-GACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC-3'). Using Escherichia coli K12 as a reference template 107 (Genebank accession number NR\_102804.1), 91E/13BS produces a 478-bp amplicon 108 109 wheareas 341F/785R produces a 465-bp amplicon.

PCR optimization of cycling conditions, primer concentration and MgCl<sub>2</sub> concentration was carried out using a *Staphylococcus aureus* DNA template and infected heart valve samples. The employment of a purified Taq polymerase was necessary for 16S rDNA sequencing from clinical samples because Taq polymerases are often contaminated with bacterial DNA [18]. The PCR amplification mixture (50  $\mu$ L) was composed of: 5  $\mu$ L of PCR Buffer 10X (Eurogentec, Seraing, Belgium), 3  $\mu$ L of MgCl<sub>2</sub> (25 mM), 2  $\mu$ L of dNTP (20 mM), 2.5  $\mu$ L of forward primer (10  $\mu$ M), 2.5  $\mu$ L of reverse primer (10  $\mu$ M), 0.25  $\mu$ L of purified Hot Diamond 117 Taq polymerase (5 U/ $\mu$ L) (Eurogentec, Seraing, Belgium), 29.75  $\mu$ L of PCR-grade water, 118 and 5  $\mu$ L of extracted DNA. The following PCR conditions were used: for 91E/13BS - initial 119 denaturation at 95°C for 3min, then 30 cycles of 94°C for 30s, 53°C for 30s, 72°C for 45s, 120 and a final extension step at 72°C for 7 min; for 341F/785R - initial denaturation at 95°C for 121 3min, then 33 cycles of 94°C for 30s, 55°C for 30s, 72°C for 45s, and a final extension step at 122 72°C for 7 min. Amplification of albumin was used as a positive extraction control.

123 Each amplification product was purified using Nucleospin Gel PCR Clean-Up (Macherey Nagel). Big Dye Terminator v3.1 kit was utilized for DNA sequencing. The same primers 124 pairs were employed for sequencing. PCR conditions were 25 cycles of 94°C for 10s, 50°C 125 for 5s, and 60°C for 240s. PCR products were purified onto NucleoSeq columns (Macherey-126 Nagel, Hoerdt, France). DNA was sequenced using a 3500 Dx Genetic Analyzer (Life 127 Technologies, Cergy-Pontoise, France). Species identification was based on Genbank 128 sequences yielding a  $\geq 99\%$  sequence similarity score, and genus identification on Genbank 129 sequences yielding  $a \ge 97\%$  sequence similarity score [19]. 130

#### 131 Results

A total of 74 patients (54 men, 20 female, median age = 61) were included in this study corresponding to 39 mitral valves, 39 aortic valves, 3 tricuspid valves, 1 pulmonary valve, and 4 vegetations. IE was diagnosed for 55 of these patients using modified Duke criteria. Conventional culture identified a microbiological agent for 48/55 patients (87.2%): 43 (78.2%) for blood culture and 16 (29.1%) for heart valve culture (Table 1). An antimicrobial treatment that could hinder the valve culture was initiated before surgery in 50/55 cases (90.9%). Bacteria were seen on the Gram stain for 14/55 patients (25.5%) (Table I and II). 139 16S rDNA could be amplified with at least one set of primers in 40/55 cases (72.7%). 140 91E/13BS primers were more sensitive than 341F/785R primers: 38/55 (69.1%) samples were 141 positive using 91E/13BS primers against 28/55 (50.9%) with 341F/785R (Table 2). This 142 difference was statistically significant using the McNemar test (p=0.013). 16S rDNA 143 amplification was positive in all patients with bacteria present on the Gram stain (14/14, 144 100%), whereas it was positive in only 26/41 (63.4%) patients where no bacteria had been 145 seen on the Gram stain.

For 19 patients, the diagnosis of IE was excluded using the modified Duke criteria, and they were included as controls. *Streptococcus mitis/oralis* DNA was amplified using 16 rDNA sequencing in 1 of these cases (5.3%). This patient was hospitalized for a recent valvular regurgitation and presented a 38.5°C fever, but blood cultures remained sterile. Even if the patient had not fulfill Duke's criteria, IE could not be ruled out as *viridans*-group streptococci are often associated with endocarditis.

152 Seven patients had culture-negative endocarditis. 16S rDNA was amplified for 5/7 (71%) of these patients. The empirical antimicrobial therapy was ineffective for patient number 45 153 (Table 2): the patient was treated with third-generation cephalosporins but Enterococcus 154 faecalis DNA was found in his aortic heart valve using 16S rDNA PCR. On the other hand, 155 the empirical antimicrobial therapy could be de-escalated for patients 13, 18 and 49. Patient 156 73 corresponded to a Bartonella endocarditis (Table III). Finally, no causative 157 microbiological agent could be identified for 2 patients who had a definite diagnosis of IE. 158 Finally, when at least one of the two molecular methods was positive, the sensitivity and 159 160 specificity of 16S rDNA amplification was 72.7% and 94.7%, respectively.

#### 161 **Discussion**

162 The aim of this study was to evaluate a new set of primers (341F/785R) showing an excellent bacterial coverage in the *in silico* evaluation performed by Klindworth et al. [16]. However, 163 the sensitivity of this primer pair was lower than expected in the work presented here when 164 working directly from heart valve samples. One possible explanation is that the 341F/785R 165 primers are impacted by the background human DNA present in heart valves, reducing PCR 166 efficiency. Indeed, the 341F DNA sequence contains a degeneracy of 8 (1 N and 1 W), and 167 168 785R a degeneracy of 9 (1 H and 1 V). A higher degeneracy increases bacterial coverage, but also increases non-specific amplification. The performance of PCR assays could also be 169 affected by the length of the PCR products, but this length was similar for 91E/13BS and 170 341F/785R (478-bp and 465-bp, respectively). The 341F/785R primer pair did not seem 171 particularly adapted in this study with samples containing a high human DNA background. 172 The classical set (91E/13BS) seems to be more sensitive in the case of IE, although the new 173 set (341F/785R) allowed us to identify a microbiological agent in two cases not detected by 174 the old primer set (patients 38 and 58). 175

The work presented here confirms the results of previous studies using conventional 16S 176 177 rDNA PCR on large cohorts of valve samples (51 to 245) showing a sensitivity and specificity ranging from 41.2 to 67% and from 91 to 100%, respectively, whereas the 178 sensitivity and specificity of valve cultures ranged from 7.8 to 23% and 93.3 to 100%, 179 180 respectively [9, 11-13, 15, 20]. Recently, real-time PCR applied to 177 and 357 valve samples [13, 14] showed sensitivities ranging from 80.6-96% and specificities ranging from 95.3-181 100%, respectively, whereas the sensitivities and specificities of valve culture were 13-33.4% 182 183 and 96.6-100%, respectively.

184 The 16S rDNA PCR successfully detected bacteria even after effective antimicrobial therapy.185 The detection of bacterial DNA in cardiac valves does not mean that an active valve infection

186 is evolutive in so far as 16S rDNA PCR cannot differentiate viable from non-viable microorganisms. On the contrary, the results may remain negative if the inoculum is too low, 187 and do not exclude the IE diagnosis based on Duke's criteria (2 cases in this study). In the 188 case of IE, even when BCs were positive, molecular techniques are advised to consolidate the 189 190 existing diagnosis [21]. Various authors have proposed the addition of the molecular techniques results into the Duke classification as a major criterion [22]. Indeed, as previously 191 192 described by Marin [13] and by Vondracek [15], molecular techniques identify a causal agent in 4/53 (8.5%) and 3/35 (7.5%) of culture-negative IE cases, respectively. In the prospective 193 study described here, a causative agent was identified only by 16S rDNA PCR in 5/55 (9%) 194 of culture-negative IE cases. 195

Here, the new primer pair 341F/785R was less sensitive for 16S rDNA amplification on heart valve samples than the older 91E/13BS primer pair. The selection of appropriate primer pairs for 16S rDNA amplification on clinical samples seems critical, and this study underscores a lack of standardization for this molecular method. Conventional microbiological culture (BC and valve culture) remains the gold standard to document the etiology of IE. 16S rDNA amplification may be proposed in second-line when the culture of the valve remains sterile.

#### 203 **References**

Habib G, Hoen B, Tornos P, Thuny F, Prendergast B, Vilacosta I, et al. Guidelines on
 the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of infective endocarditis (new version 2009): the
 Task Force on the Prevention, Diagnosis, and Treatment of Infective Endocarditis of the
 European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Endorsed by the European Society of Clinical
 Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) and the International Society of
 Chemotherapy (ISC) for Infection and Cancer. Eur Heart J. 2009;30(19):2369-413.

Heiro M, Nikoskelainen J, Hartiala JJ, Saraste MK, Kotilainen PM. Diagnosis of
 infective endocarditis. Sensitivity of the Duke vs von Reyn criteria. Arch Intern Med.
 1998;158(1):18-24.

3. Murdoch DR, Corey GR, Hoen B, Miro JM, Fowler VG, Jr., Bayer AS, et al. Clinical
presentation, etiology, and outcome of infective endocarditis in the 21st century: the
International Collaboration on Endocarditis-Prospective Cohort Study. Arch Intern Med.
2009;169(5):463-73.

Berbari EF, Cockerill FR, 3rd, Steckelberg JM. Infective endocarditis due to unusual
 or fastidious microorganisms. Mayo Clin Proc. 1997;72(6):532-42.

5. Fournier PE, Thuny F, Richet H, Lepidi H, Casalta JP, Arzouni JP, et al.
Comprehensive diagnostic strategy for blood culture-negative endocarditis: a prospective
study of 819 new cases. Clin Infect Dis. 2010;51(2):131-40.

Hoen B, Selton-Suty C, Lacassin F, Etienne J, Briancon S, Leport C, et al. Infective
endocarditis in patients with negative blood cultures: analysis of 88 cases from a one-year
nationwide survey in France. Clin Infect Dis. 1995;20(3):501-6.

P, Raoult D. Blood culture-negative endocarditis in a reference center:
etiologic diagnosis of 348 cases. Medicine (Baltimore). 2005;84(3):162-73.

8. Werner M, Andersson R, Olaison L, Hogevik H, Swedish Society of Infectious
Diseases Quality Assurance Study Group for E. A 10-year survey of blood culture negative
endocarditis in Sweden: aminoglycoside therapy is important for survival. Scand J Infect Dis.
2008;40(4):279-85.

9. Breitkopf C, Hammel D, Scheld HH, Peters G, Becker K. Impact of a molecular
approach to improve the microbiological diagnosis of infective heart valve endocarditis.
Circulation. 2005;111(11):1415-21.

10. Goldenberger D, Kunzli A, Vogt P, Zbinden R, Altwegg M. Molecular diagnosis of
bacterial endocarditis by broad-range PCR amplification and direct sequencing. J Clin
Microbiol. 1997;35(11):2733-9.

237 11. Greub G, Lepidi H, Rovery C, Casalta JP, Habib G, Collard F, et al. Diagnosis of
238 infectious endocarditis in patients undergoing valve surgery. Am J Med. 2005;118(3):230-8.

12. Kotilainen P, Heiro M, Jalava J, Rantakokko V, Nikoskelainen J, Nikkari S, et al.
Aetiological diagnosis of infective endocarditis by direct amplification of rRNA genes from
surgically removed valve tissue. An 11-year experience in a Finnish teaching hospital. Ann
Med. 2006;38(4):263-73.

Marin M, Munoz P, Sanchez M, del Rosal M, Alcala L, Rodriguez-Creixems M, et al.
Molecular diagnosis of infective endocarditis by real-time broad-range polymerase chain
reaction (PCR) and sequencing directly from heart valve tissue. Medicine (Baltimore).
2007;86(4):195-202.

Vollmer T, Piper C, Horstkotte D, Korfer R, Kleesiek K, Dreier J. 23S rDNA real-time
polymerase chain reaction of heart valves: a decisive tool in the diagnosis of infective
endocarditis. Eur Heart J. 2010;31(9):1105-13.

15. Vondracek M, Sartipy U, Aufwerber E, Julander I, Lindblom D, Westling K. 16S
rDNA sequencing of valve tissue improves microbiological diagnosis in surgically treated
patients with infective endocarditis. J Infect. 2011;62(6):472-8.

16. Klindworth A, Pruesse E, Schweer T, Peplies J, Quast C, Horn M, et al. Evaluation of
general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-generation sequencingbased diversity studies. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;41(1):e1.

17. Gauduchon V, Chalabreysse L, Etienne J, Celard M, Benito Y, Lepidi H, et al.
Molecular diagnosis of infective endocarditis by PCR amplification and direct sequencing of
DNA from valve tissue. J Clin Microbiol. 2003;41(2):763-6.

18. Hughes MS, Beck LA, Skuce RA. Identification and elimination of DNA sequences in
Taq DNA polymerase. J Clin Microbiol. 1994;32(8):2007-8.

19. Drancourt M, Bollet C, Carlioz A, Martelin R, Gayral JP, Raoult D. 16S ribosomal
DNA sequence analysis of a large collection of environmental and clinical unidentifiable
bacterial isolates. J Clin Microbiol. 2000;38(10):3623-30.

20. Harris KA, Yam T, Jalili S, Williams OM, Alshafi K, Gouliouris T, et al. Service evaluation to establish the sensitivity, specificity and additional value of broad-range 16S rDNA PCR for the diagnosis of infective endocarditis from resected endocardial material in patients from eight UK and Ireland hospitals. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2014;33(11):2061-6.

269 21. Gould FK, Denning DW, Elliott TS, Foweraker J, Perry JD, Prendergast BD, et al.
270 Guidelines for the diagnosis and antibiotic treatment of endocarditis in adults: a report of the
271 Working Party of the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. J Antimicrob
272 Chemother. 2012;67(2):269-89.

- 273 22. Millar B, Moore J, Mallon P, Xu J, Crowe M, McClurg R, et al. Molecular diagnosis
- of infective endocarditis--a new Duke's criterion. Scand J Infect Dis. 2001;33(9):673-80.

Р	Valve	Gram stain*	Blood culture	Valve Culture	91E/13BS
1	Aortic + Tricuspid	N	S. aureus	S	S. aureus
2	Aortic	Ν	Streptococcus sp.	S	Abs
3	Mitral	Ν	S. anginosus	S	S. anginosus
4	Aortic	Ν	S. sanguinis	S	S. sanguinis
7	Mitral	Ν	S. mitis / oralis	S	S. mitis/oralis
8	Mitral	++	E. faecalis	E. faecalis	E. faecalis
9	Mitral + Vegetation	Ν	S. aureus	S	S. aureus
10	Aortic	Ν	S. aureus	S	Abs
11	Aortic + Mitral	+++	S. bovis	S	S. gallolyticus
12	Aortic	+++	E. faecalis	E. faecalis	E. faecalis
13	Aortic + Pulmonary	++	Ν	S	S. oralis / pneumo
14	Mitral + Vegetation	+++	S. aureus	S. aureus	S. aureus
16	Mitral	Ν	S. aureus	S	Abs
17	Aortic + Mitral	Ν	S. aureus	S	S. aureus
18	Pulmonary	Ν	Ν	S	S. epidermidis
19	Aortic	+++	Serratia sp.	S	Serratia sp.
20	Aortic	Ν	S. pneumoniae	S	S. oralis / pneumo
21	Aortic + Vegetation	+++	S. gordonii	S. gordonii	S. gordonii
24°	Mitral	Ν	Ν	S	Abs
25	Aortic + Mitral	Ν	S. gallolyticus	S	S. gallolyticus
29	Mitral + Vegetation	+	S. dysgalactiae equisimilis	S	S. dysgalactiae equ
30	Aortic	Ν	G. adiacens	S	Granulicatella sp.
34	Mitral	Ν	S. epidermidis	S	S. epidermidis
35	Aortic	+++	S. oralis	S	S. oralis
36	Aortic	Ν	E. faecalis	S	Abs
38	Aortic + Mitral	++	S. gallolyticus	S	Abs
12	Aortic	Ν	S. aureus	S	Abs
43	Aortic + Mitral	+++	E. faecalis	E. faecalis	E. faecalis
14	Aortic	Ν	Ν	S. gallolyticus	S. gallolyticus
45	Aortic	Ν	Ν	S	E. faecalis
46	Mitral	+++	Ν	C. hominis	C. hominis
47	Aortic	Ν	S. bovis	S	S. gallolyticus
48	Mitral	Ν	E. faecalis	E. faecalis	E. faecalis
49	Aortic	Ν	Ν	S	S. gallolyticus
50	Mitral	Ν	S.mutans	S. mutans	S. mutans
51	Aortic	Ν	S. gallolyticus	S	Streptococcus sp.
52	Aortic	Ν	P. acnes	S	Abs
53	Mitral	Ν	Ν	P. avidum	P. avidum
54	Aortic	Ν	S. epidermidis	S	S. epidermidis
55	Mitral	Ν	S. sinensis	S	Abs

276	Table I: Conventionnal microbiological and molecular 16S rDNA results for 55 patients with definite
277	IE

56	Aortic + Tricuspid	Ν	S. bovis	S	Abs
57	Mitral	Ν	S. aureus	S	Abs
58	Aortic	Ν	S. gallolyticus	S	Abs
59	Aortic + Mitral	++	E. faecalis	E. faecalis	E. faecalis
60	Aortic	Ν	E. faecalis	S	E. faecalis
62	Mitral	Ν	S. aureus	S. aureus	S. aureus
63	Aortic + Mitral	Ν	S. aureus	S. aureus	S. aureus
64	Mitral	++	Ν	S. mitis/oralis	S. mitis/oralis
66	Aortic	Ν	S. mitis/oralis	S	S.mitis/oralis
67	Mitral	Ν	S. aureus	S. aureus	Abs
69	Mitral	Ν	Ν	S	Abs
71	Mitral	Ν	E. faecalis	S	Abs
72	Aortic	Ν	C. albicans, E. faecalis, E. coli	S	Abs
73	Tricuspid	Ν	Ν	S	B. quintana
74	Aortic	Ν	Ν	G. adiacens	Abs

### Abbreviations: P = patient ; N= negative ; S = sterile ; Abs = absence of amplification ; ATB =

280 Antimicrobial therapy before surgery

281 \*Gram stain: 1+ (2–9 per oil immersion [X 1000] field), 2+ (10–50 per oil immersion [X 1000] field),

282 3+ (> 50 per oil immersion [X 1000] field)

283 ° Patient with a positive *Bartonella* serology

## **Table II**: Value of conventional and molecular (16S rDNA) techniques for the diagnosis of IE

#### 

	Total number	Gram stain	Heart valve culture	Blood culture	16S rDNA PCR 91E	16S rDNA PCR 341F	16S rDNA PCR 91E or 341F
DEFINITE IE	55	14 (25.5%)	16 (29.1%)	43 (80.0%)	38 (69.1%)	28 (50.9%)	40 (72.7%)
<b>Culture Positive</b>	48 (87.3%)	13 (27.1%)	16 (33.3%)	43 (90.0%)	33 (68.8%)	25 (52.1%)	35 (72.9%)
Culture Negative	7 (12.7%)	1 (14.3%)	0	0	5 (71.4%)	3 (42.9%)	5 (71.4%)
ABSENCE of IE	19	0	0	2 (10.5%)	1 (5.3%)	0	1 (5.3%)

**Table III**: Patients with culture negative endocarditis

Patient PCR		91E/13BS	341F/785R	Antibiotics	Comment	
13	positive	S. oralis/S. pneumoniae	S. oralis/S. pneumoniae	Yes : AMC, GEN, VAN, TF	Wide spectrum antimicrobial therapy	
18	positive	S. epidermidis	S. epidermidis	Yes : TZP, VAN, CAN	Wide spectrum antimicrobial therapy	
45	positive	E. faecalis	E. faecalis	Yes : C3G	Ineffective antimicrobial therapy	
49	positive	S. gallolyticus	S. gallolyticus	Yes : AMC, GEN	Adapted antimicrobial therapy	
73	positive	Bartonella quintana	Bartonella quintana	Yes : AMC, GEN, DOXY	Positive Bartonella serology	
24	negative			Yes : AMC	Positive Bartonella serology	
69	negative			Yes : AMX		

Abbreviations : AMC = amoxicillin-clavulanic acid ; GEN = gentamicin ; TF = fluconazole ; VAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam ; CAN = vancomycin ; TZP = vancomycin ; TZP = piperacillin tazobactam

291 caspofungin ; C3G = third generation cephalosporin ; DOXY = doxycycline ; AMX = amoxicillin