

Electroporation does not affect human dermal fibroblast proliferation and migration properties directly but indirectly via the secretome

Sara Gouarderes, Layal Doumard, Patricia Vicendo, Anne-Françoise Mingotaud, Marie-Pierre Rols, Laure Gibot

▶ To cite this version:

Sara Gouarderes, Layal Doumard, Patricia Vicendo, Anne-Françoise Mingotaud, Marie-Pierre Rols, et al.. Electroporation does not affect human dermal fibroblast proliferation and migration properties directly but indirectly via the secretome. Bioelectrochemistry, 2020, 134, pp.107531. 10.1016/j.bioelechem.2020.107531. hal-02560967

HAL Id: hal-02560967 https://hal.science/hal-02560967

Submitted on 6 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Electroporation does not affect human dermal fibroblast proliferation and migration
2	properties directly but indirectly via the secretome
3	
4	Sara Gouardères ¹ , Layal Doumard ^{1,2} , Patricia Vicendo ¹ , Anne-Françoise Mingotaud ¹ , Marie-
5	Pierre Rols ² and Laure Gibot ^{1,2} *
6	
7	¹ Laboratoire des IMRCP, Université de Toulouse, CNRS UMR 5623, Université Toulouse
8	III - Paul Sabatier, France
9	² Institut de Pharmacologie et de Biologie Structurale, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, UPS,
10	Toulouse, France
11	* Correspondence: <u>gibot@chimie.ups-tlse.fr</u>
12	; Tel.: +33-561556272
13	
14	Keywords
15	Electrochemotherapy, gene electrotransfer, wound healing, scratch wound, conditioned
16	medium, bystander effect
17	
18	
19	
20	

1 Abstract

Aesthetic wound healing is often experienced by patients after electrochemotherapy. We 2 3 hypothesized that pulsed electric fields applied during electrochemotherapy (ECT) or gene 4 electrotransfer (GET) protocols could stimulate proliferation and migration of human cutaneous 5 cells, as described in protocols for electrostimulation of wound healing. We used videomicroscopy to monitor and quantify in real time primary human dermal fibroblast 6 7 behavior when exposed in vitro to ECT and GET electric parameters, in terms of survival, 8 proliferation and migration in a calibrated scratch wound assay. Distinct electric field intensities 9 were applied to allow gradient in cell electropermeabilization while maintaining reversible 10 permeabilization conditions, in order to mimic *in vivo* heterogeneous electric field distribution of complex tissues. Neither galvanotaxis nor statistical modification of fibroblast migration 11 were observed in a calibrated scratch wound assay after application of ECT and GET 12 parameters. The only effect on proliferation was observed under the strongest GET conditions, 13 14 which drastically reduced the number of fibroblasts through induction of mitochondrial stress 15 and apoptosis. Finally, we found that 24h-conditioned cell culture medium by electrically stressed fibroblasts tended to increase the migration properties of cells that were not exposed to 16 electric field. RT-qPCR array indicated that several growth factor transcripts were strongly 17 modified after electroporation. 18

1 Introduction

2 When a cell is exposed to an external pulsed electric field, the plasma membrane transiently becomes permeable for ions, hydrophilic drugs and molecules as large as plasmid DNA, which 3 4 are otherwise unable to penetrate. This spatially and temporally localized physical phenomenon 5 of cell electropermeabilization is named "electroporation" [1,2]. Electroporation has many medical applications [3]. Among them, electrochemotherapy (ECT) [4,5] has reached an 6 7 established position in local cancer treatment and is the main clinical use of electroporation in human and veterinary medicine. It consists in combining the injection of poorly permeant 8 antitumor drugs, mainly bleomycin or cisplatin, with local application of calibrated electric 9 10 field pulses to the tumor site. Thus, transient plasma membrane electropermeabilization allows massive penetration of the cytotoxic drugs within the cell, potentiating its antitumor activities. 11 Standardized protocols for ECT treatments have been published in the framework of the 12 European Standard Operating Procedure on Electrochemotherapy (ESOPE) multicenter trial 13 [6]. Interestingly, clinicians commonly observed aesthetic and functional wound healing of the 14 15 tumor sites treated with electrochemotherapy [7-9]. This clinical outcome of ECT was confirmed by the patients themselves [10], as self-compiled questionnaires underlined an 16 improvement in wound healing, bleeding, aesthetic impairment, and also in activities of daily 17 life and social relations. 18

Another medical application of electroporation is gene electrotransfer (GET). Gene electrotransfer of plasmid encoding different growth factors is highly promising in cutaneous wound healing [11]. In an *in vivo* wound model, GET of plasmids encoding for example KGF-1 (keratinocyte growth factor) [12], VEGF (Vascular endothelial growth factor) [13], bFGF (fibroblast growth factor) [14] or antimicrobial peptide hCAP-18/LL-37 [15] led to an increased re-epithelialization rate of the wound, collagen synthesis, and angiogenesis. Other studies demonstrated a tendency [16] to a clear [17] beneficial effect of electroporation alone on wound

closure. While standard protocols for acute and chronic wound treatments are limited to 1 2 antibiotic therapy and wound dressing, innovative therapies like electrostimulation have 3 emerged as alternatives to conventional treatments [18]. Electrostimulation is based on a natural electric process that occurs after wounding. In an intact epidermis, there is an active segregation 4 5 of Na+ ions owing to the Na+/K+ATPase action and the presence of ENac channels, leading to a sodium gradient from the basal layer of the epidermis to the upper layer [19]. This process 6 7 creates a transepithelial potential (TEP) within the epidermis. Depending on the body part, this TEP ranges from -10 to -50mV, while its average potential over all sites is -23 mV [20]. After 8 9 skin damaging, the relocalization of these Na+ ions leads to the creation of an endogenous local 10 electric field of 100 to 200 mV/mm at the wound margins [21,22]. This field plays a major role 11 in wound healing process, particularly through the activation of migration and proliferation of cutaneous cells, as well as the promotion of angiogenesis [23,24]. 12

In this study, we hypothesized that ECT and GET electric parameters could stimulate 13 cutaneous cell migration and proliferation and thus improve the quality of healing after ECT or 14 15 GET treatment. To investigate this hypothesis, we worked with primary human dermal fibroblasts grown in monolayer. Firstly, classical hallmarks of cell electropermeabilization, 16 viability and death were assessed after exposition to ECT and GET electric parameters. 17 Secondly, we used videomicroscopy to monitor and quantify in real time cell proliferation and 18 cell migration in a calibrated scratch wound assay, two properties that play a major role in 19 cutaneous wound healing process. Because increases in electric conductivity due to 20 electroporation and tissue heterogeneity (cell shape, extracellular matrix...) induce non-21 22 uniformity in the electric field distribution within the skin during electroporation, cells located 23 in between the electrodes do not all experience the same field strength in vivo [25,26]. For that reason, in this study we decided to scan a wide range of electrical intensity, inducing various 24 degrees of reversible cell electropermeabilization, and check dermal fibroblast proliferation and 25

migration properties. Finally, the indirect effect of electroporation on cell migration was
investigated by cultivating unexposed dermal fibroblasts in 24h-conditioned cell culture
medium. An overview of the experimental procedure is presented in schematic 1.





1 Material and methods

Human cutaneous primary cell isolation and cell culture. Primary dermal fibroblasts were 2 isolated from 3-year old foreskin commercially bought (Icelltis) after posthectomy as 3 4 previously described [27-29]. Cells were tested negative for mycoplasma using MycoAlert 5 mycoplasma detection kit (Lonza) throughout the experiments. Dermal fibroblasts were grown in Dulbecco's Modified Eagles Medium (Gibco-Invitrogen) containing 4.5 g.L⁻¹ glucose, 6 7 Glutamax, and 1mM pyruvate, supplemented with 10 % (v/v) of heat inactivated fetal calf serum, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg.mL⁻¹ streptomycin. Cells were maintained at 37°C in a 8 humidified atmosphere containing 5 % CO₂ and cell culture media were changed three times a 9 10 week.

11

Electroporation application to cell monolayers. Cells were grown either on gelatin-coated 12 round 1cm glass coverslip [30] or in 96-well plate 24h prior experiments. Cell culture medium 13 was removed from the wells and replaced by 100 µL or 50 µL of pulsing buffer (10 mM 14 K₂HPO₄/KH₂PO₄, 250 mM sucrose, and 1 mM MgCl₂ in sterile water, pH 7.4) [31] respectively 15 for glass coverslip or 96-well. Two stainless, flat, parallel electrodes, 1 cm interval (Megastil, 16 Ljubljana, Slovenia) for round coverslip or 0.35 cm interval (Megastil, Ljubljana, Slovenia) for 17 96-well plates were applied to the bottom of the well. Defined electric field for 18 electrochemotherapy condition (ECT) (8 square-wave pulses of 100 µs, 1 Hz, ranging from 200 19 to 800 V/cm) and gene electrotransfer (GET) (10 square-wave pulses of 5 ms, 1 Hz, ranging 20 from 50 to 300 V/cm) was delivered at room temperature by Electro cell S20 generator 21 22 (LeroyBiotech, Saint Orens de Gameville, France). An electric field intensity range was applied in experiments in order to better mimic the heterogeneous distribution of electric field that 23 occurs within vascularized and rich in extracellular matrix tissue such as skin when submitted 24 to electroporation. After electric field application, pulsing buffer was aspired and 200 µL of 25

fresh cell culture medium were added before cells were placed in a humidified atmosphere at
 37°C containing 5% CO₂ until analysis.

3

Determination of cell electropermeabilization. Plasma membrane electropermeabilization 4 was first assessed through quantification by luminescence of ATP leakage within extracellular 5 6 medium after cells were submitted to electroporation. For that purpose, cells were grown in 96-7 well plate and pulsed in 50 µL of pulsation buffer. 10 minutes after electric field application, 40 µL of supernatant was place in white-96-well plate and topped up with 40µl of CellTiterGlo 8 9 reagent (Promega), incubated for 5 minutes at room temperature and luminescence signal was (Clariostar, BMGLabtech). Secondly, 10 read on plate reader plasma membrane electropermeabilization was assessed by flow cytometry using propidium iodide penetration. 11 Propidium iodide is a non-permeant probe whose fluorescent intensity increases upon non-12 covalent binding to nucleic acids. 100 µM propidium iodide was added to pulsing buffer during 13 14 application of electric fields on cells grown on round coverslip. Cells were grown on a 1cm 15 coverslip in order to be submitted to electric field with 1cm plate electrodes. Immediately afterwards, the cells were washed twice with 300 µL of PBS before being trypsinized, 16 centrifuged and suspended in PBS, placed on ice and analyzed by flow cytometry 17 (FACSCalibur cytometer, BD Bioscience). Finally, to discriminate between reversible and 18 irreversible electroporation, 30 minutes after electroporation cells were removed from the 19 incubator and were incubated for 5 minutes with 100µM propidium iodide, washed, trypsinized 20 21 and analyzed by flow cytometry.

22

Cell viability and death measurement. A clonogenic assay was used to finely determine cell
viability after application of electric field. Briefly, cells grown on round coverslip were

submitted to electric field in 100µL of pulsing buffer. After replacing it by complete culture 1 2 medium, cells were incubated for 1h at 37°C to recover. After this time, cells were trypsinized, counted and 250 cells were plated into 6-well plates and placed into the incubator. 7 days after 3 seeding, cells were washed and colored with crystal violet in order to visualize and manually 4 count cell colonies. The percentage of dead cells through apoptosis was quantified by 5 6 videomicroscopy using the IncuCyte® Caspase-3/7 Green Apoptosis Assay Reagent 7 (Sartorius), coupled with the Nuclight Red reagent (Sartorius) to determine the total number of cells according to the manufacturer protocol. Briefly, after application of electroporation, cells 8 were grown in culture medium containing a permeable viable DNA stain named NucLight Red 9 10 Reagent (1/1000) and a caspase-3/7 recognition motif DEVD that labels cells undergoing apoptosis, i.e Caspase-3/7 green reagent (1/1000). Pictures were obtained at 10x with an 11 IncuCyte ZOOM live cell analysis system (Sartorius), and analyzed by the MARS- associated 12 software. 13

14

15 **Cell synchronization.** In order to assess only migration during the first 24h of the scratch wound assay, proliferation had to be inhibited. For this purpose, the overnight serum 16 deprivation approach [32] was used as a biological way to synchronize primary dermal 17 fibroblasts prior to scratch wound assay. Distribution of cells within cell cycle was checked by 18 flow cytometry before the scratch experiments. Briefly, after being trypsinized and centrifuged, 19 cells were suspended in PBS containing 5 µg.mL⁻¹ propidium iodide, 0.1% X100-Triton and 20 21 2.5% fetal bovine serum. Cells were incubated for 20 min at room temperature in the dark before flow cytometry analysis on a FACSCalibur cytometer (BD Bioscience). Cell cycle 22 23 distribution was analyzed using Modfit software.

Calibrated scratch assay by videomicroscopy. In order to perform the scratch wound 1 2 experiment, cells were grown at post-confluency so that when the scratch was applied, a clear and calibrated wound remained (700-800 µm wide). For this, 25 000 dermal fibroblasts were 3 grown into ImageLock 96-well microplates (Sartorius). After 8 hours of culture, complete 4 culture medium was removed and replaced by cell culture medium without fetal bovine serum 5 in order to synchronize cells by serum deprivation overnight. The day of the experiment, a tool 6 7 named WoundMaker (Sartorius) which is a 96-pin mechanical device designed to create homogeneous scratch wounds in cell monolayers was used. Floating scraped cells were 8 removed and electroporation was performed in 50 µL pulsing buffer. Attention had been paid 9 10 to placing electrodes parallel to the scratch wound, the cathode and anode still at the same side 11 of the wound. After replacing pulsing buffer by 200 μ L of complete cell culture medium, plates were then placed in IncuCyte ZOOM live cell analysis system (Sartorius) and pictures were 12 13 taken at 10x every hour for 24h at least, and analyzed with the MARS- associated software, with the module dedicated to scratch wound assay. For galvanotaxis or electrotaxis 14 measurements, the area colonized by fibroblasts in each wound side was quantified on Image J 15 software (NIH) between the same picture at the moment of the scratch and 12h after. For 16 experiments with conditioned media, 100 µL of conditioned cell culture medium were 17 18 harvested 24h after electric field application on synchronized dermal fibroblast wounded monolayer and immediately placed onto a freshly synchronized dermal fibroblast wounded 19 monolayer. Cell migration within the wound that had not been submitted to an electric field 20 21 was quantified by videomicroscopy for 24h.

22

Cell proliferation quantification by videomicroscopy. In order to allow cell proliferation to occur over several days, 5 000 dermal fibroblasts were plated in wells of 96-well plates, a density which approximately corresponds to a confluence of 30%. After being submitted to

electric field in pulsing buffer, cells were grown in 200 µL of complete culture medium
supplemented with 1/1000 Nuclight Red reagent (Sartorius) and placed within an IncuCyte
ZOOM live cell analysis system (Sartorius). As a permeant DNA intercalant, it allows to clearly
observe and quantify the number of nuclei over time. Pictures were taken at 10x every hour for
at least 72h and analyzed with the MARS-associated software. Data obtained corresponded to
the number of nuclei within the field of observation of the microscope.

7

Mitochondrial characterization. Mitochondrial membrane potential was assessed using 8 9 MitoView 633 (Biotium) ($\lambda ex = 622nm$; $\lambda em = 648nm$) which is a mitochondrial membrane potential-sensitive, fluorogenic dye that rapidly accumulates in mitochondria. Staining is 10 dependent on mitochondrial membrane potential and is lost when mitochondria become 11 depolarized [33]. Cells were labeled according to the manufacturer protocol. Briefly, cells 12 grown in monolayer in 96-well plate were washed with PBS and then incubated for 20 minutes 13 14 in the dark in a humidified atmosphere at 37°C containing 5% CO₂ with 50nM MitoView 633 15 in cell culture medium. Once charged with MitoView 633, cells were submitted to GET electroporation and 1h after treatment fluorescence was measured within Incucyte 16 videomicroscope and analyzed with the MARS-associated software. Mitochondrial oxidative 17 stress was assessed through MitoSOX Red Mitochondrial SuperOxide indicator (ThermoFisher 18 scientific) which is a fluorogenic dye for a selective detection of superoxide in the mitochondria 19 of living cells [34]. Once in the mitochondria, this non-fluorescent reagent is oxidized by 20 21 mitochondrial superoxide when mitochondrial oxidative stress occurs to exhibit red fluorescence [35]. Cells were incubated in a 5µM of MitoSOX reagent solution and incubated 22 23 10 minutes at 37°C protected from light. MitoSOX fluorescence ($\lambda ex = 510$ nm; $\lambda em = 580$ nm) was observed by videomicroscopy on Incucyte for 12h after electroporation treatment. Pictures 24 25 were analyzed with the MARS-associated software.

1

2 Genes expression analysis by PCR array. Three conditions were analyzed 4h after electric field application: control condition (n=3), ECT condition at 600V/cm (n=3) and GET condition 3 4 at 200V/cm (n=2). Total RNAs of adherent dermal fibroblasts grown on 6 glass coverslips for 5 each condition were isolated using RNeasy Micro Kit (Qiagen) strictly according to the manufacturer's instruction. The quality and quantity of isolated RNA were checked on a 6 7 Nanodrop 2000 (ThermoFisher) and RNAs were then stocked at -80°C until rapid use. Reverse transcription was performed on 500ng of RNAs with the RT² HT First Strand Kit (Qiagen) 8 according to the manufacturer's protocol. It allows an efficient first-strand cDNA synthesis and 9 10 genomic DNA elimination in RNA samples. The Human Wound Healing RT² Profiler PCR Array profiles the expression of 84 key genes central to the wound healing response. For this 11 study, we focused on growth factors sub-panel. Obtained cDNAs were directly subjected to 12 qPCR using RT² SYBR Green qPCR Mastermix (Qiagen) and RT² Profiler PCR Array Human 13 Wound Healing kit (Qiagen #PAHS-121Z) strictly according to the manufacturer's protocol. 14 15 96-well plate was processed into a CFX96 CFX Real-Time PCR Detection System (BioRad). Data were statistically analyzed with the associated-excel datasheet proposed by Qiagen for this 16 gene panel. Actin, Beta-2-microglobulin, GAPDH, Hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase 1 17 (HPRT1), ribosomal large protein P0 (RPLP0) transcripts were used for normalization. 18 HeatMap was carried out on GraphPad Prism 8 to represent significantly up and down regulated 19 transcripts. 20

21

Growth factor quantification by ELISA. Supernatants conditioned for 24h by dermal fibroblasts submitted to electroporation with ECT (600V/cm) and GET (200V/cm) electric parameters were stocked at -80°C until use, within a month. Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor A (VEGFA) was quantified in these supernatants using Human VEGF-A ELISA Kit

(Sigma # RAB0507, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) according to manufacturer's
 protocol. Absorbance was read using a Synergy H1 (Biotek, Winooski, VT, USA) hybrid Multi Mode Reader. Non-parametric Mann Whitney test was used to determine statistical differences
 between each Control, ECT, GET condition (n=5).

5

6 Statistical analyses. Data analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 8 program (GraphPad 7 Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) and data were expressed as mean ± SEM (standard error to the mean). Number of experiments are indicated in figure legends, where N indicates the 8 9 number of independent experiments and n the total number of biological replicates. Multiple comparisons were performed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by 10 Dunnett's post-test to compare every mean to the control (0V/cm) mean or two-way ANOVA 11 followed by Bonferroni post-test to compare means within each time point. Statistics are 12 expressed relative to the control condition (0V/cm). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 and 13 ****p < 0.0001. 14

1 **Results**

2 Distinct electric field intensities allow gradient of electropermeabilization of dermal fibroblasts. When electroporation is applied to skin tissue, the electric field distribution is 3 4 heterogeneous, meaning that all the cells within the tissue do not experience the same electric 5 field [26]. Therefore, one of the aims of this study was to submit dermal cells to distinct electric field intensities. For ECT condition, we applied a classical calibrated sequence of electric pulses 6 7 (i.e 8 pulses lasting 100µs at a frequency of 1Hz) with increasing intensity, from 200V/cm to 800V/cm. For GET condition, 10 pulses lasting 5ms were applied at 1Hz frequency, with 8 intensities ranging from 50V/cm to 300V/cm. By increasing the electric field intensity both for 9 10 ECT and GET conditions, a gradual but significant increase in leakage of ATP was measured in the extracellular medium as soon as 10 minutes after electric field application (Figure 1A). 11 To confirm that the observed ATP leakage was due to plasma membrane defaults caused by 12 electroporation, a complementary experiment was performed by flow cytometry to quantify 13 propidium iodide penetration within cells (Figure 1B). Both the percentage of 14 15 electropermeabilized cells as well as the fluorescence intensity of these cells increased when increasing the electric field intensity in ECT and GET conditions. 100% 16 of electropermeabilized cells were reached at 600V/cm in ECT condition and 200V/cm in GET 17 18 condition. In order to be sure that the electric parameters applied induced solely a reversible and not an irreversible permeabilization, a plasma membrane resealing experiment was carried 19 out. 30 minutes after electroporation, cells were incubated with 100µM propidium iodide and 20 fluorescence signal was quantified by flow cytometry (Figure 1C). Less than 5% of cells were 21 labelled with propidium iodide, regardless of the electric field intensity applied in ECT and 22 23 GET condition. This means that the applied electric parameters did not induce irreversible permeabilization. The long-term cell viability after exposition to electroporation was assessed 24 by clonogeny (Figure 1D). In the ECT condition, cell viability was not affected up to 600V/cm 25

but an approximately 25% loss of viability was observed at 800V/cm. The GET condition
appeared to be more severe. Below 200V/cm cell viability was not affected but it fell to 50% at
300V/cm. It seems that even if irreversible electroporation was not induced by the chosen
electric parameters, they still affected the long-term cell viability.



Figure 1: Determination of electric field intensity (V/cm) ensuring efficient transient cell 1 2 electropermeabilization without affecting cell viability. A. Quantification of ATP release 10 min after the application of ECT and GET electrical parameters, expressed as % of control. N=2; n=6. Statistical 3 analysis by One-way ANOVA *=p<.05; ***=p<.001; ****=p<.0001. B. Monitoring of cell 4 electropermeabilization immediately after the application of ECT and GET electrical parameters. Left 5 axis: % of propidium iodide (PI) positive cells (dot ●); Right axis: fluorescence intensity (triangle ▲). 6 n=3. C. Monitoring of plasma membrane resealing 30min after the application of ECT and GET 7 8 electrical parameters. n=3. D. Long term cell viability after the application of ECT and GET electrical 9 parameters, N=3; n=9. Data are represented as the mean value \pm SEM. ECT: electrochemotherapy; GET: 10 gene electrotransfer.

11

12 Migratory properties of human primary dermal fibroblasts are not statistically affected by the application of ECT and GET electric parameters. In scratch wound assay, cells 13 migrate and proliferate to fill the gap, thus, it is classically desired to suppress proliferation 14 15 component in order to measure only cell migration during the first 24h [36]. The in vitro 16 synchronization of dermal fibroblasts was achieved using overnight serum deprivation, a nonpharmaceutical method. Cellular DNA content was determined by staining cells with propidium 17 iodide and measuring fluorescence by flow cytometry. The proportion of cells in the different 18 phases of cell cycle were calculated depending on the cell's DNA content (n): G1 (2n), S 19 (between 2n and 4n), and G2 (4n). As shown in Fig 2A, primary dermal fibroblasts were highly 20 responsive to this method since approximately 90% of the cells were synchronized in the 21 growing phase G1 using this method. With its scratch wound module, the IncuCyte ZOOM live 22 23 cell analysis system allows to properly measure cell migration in calibrated scratch wound assay. Wound confluence was determined each hour after electric field application for 24h using 24 image processing with masks (Figure 2B and Movie 1).,. Movie 2 showed that wound closure 25 26 by dermal fibroblasts was a highly dynamic process. As previously explained, wound closure was analyzed only for the first 24h after application of electric field on synchronized cells in 27 order to take into account solely the migration component. The absence of cell division events 28 (rounding cell before splitting in two round cells) on the movie during the first 24h confirmed 29 this assumption. After 24h, cell confluence within the wound was approximately 40%, whatever 30 31 the ECT or GET condition (Figure 2C). It is noteworthy that cell migration occurred

immediately after wounding, and continued regularly over hours, with or without application 1 2 of ECT or GET electric parameters. No statistical difference was observed on the time course of the migration depending on the electric parameters applied, but a linear regression was 3 4 performed to obtain the speed of migration of each condition during the first 12h (Figure 2D). Even if no statistical difference was observed whatever the conditions were, taking account 5 standard error to the mean, ECT 600V/cm and 800V/cm as well as GET 50V/cm and 100V/cm 6 7 conditions presented a slight increase in speed of migration. Finally, the wound confluence 24h post-electric field application was finely analyzed (Figure 2E). GET conditions at 50V/cm and 8 100V/cm seemed to improve dermal fibroblast migration and thus wound healing, but despite 9 10 numerous repetitions of the experiments and biological replicates (until 30) no statistical difference was observed, so it remained a trend. 11



1

2 Figure 2: ECT and GET electrical parameters did not affect dermal fibroblast migration 3 properties in scratch wound assay. A. Cell cycle synchronization after overnight fetal bovine serum 4 (FBS) deprivation. Phases G1 in blue, S in red and G2 in green. N=3; n=8. B. Image processing with 5 masks to quantify dermal fibroblasts migration in scratch wound assay.C. Time course of cell migration 6 after the application of ECT (x8 pulses lasting 100µs, 1Hz frequency, at 0V/cm (•), 200V/cm (•), 400 7 V/cm (\blacktriangle), 600V/cm (\checkmark), 800V/cm (\diamond)) and GET (x10 pulses lasting 5ms, 1Hz frequency, at 0V/cm (\bullet), 8 50V/cm (■), 100 V/cm (▲), 200V/cm (▼), 300V/cm (♦)) electrical parameters on synchronized 9 fibroblasts wounded monolayer. N=5; n= 30 Statistical analyses by Two-Way ANOVA. D. Slope values 10 calculated by linear regression during the first 24h. N=3, n=9. E. Wound confluence (%) 24h after the 11 application of ECT and GET electrical parameters. N=5; n= 30. Statistical analysis by One-Way 12 ANOVA. Data are represented as the mean value \pm SEM. ECT: electrochemotherapy; GET: gene 13 electrotransfer.

14

15 No galvanotaxis of dermal fibroblasts is induced by ECT and GET electric parameters.

16 The external electric field is a major physical cue of the microenvironment that guides

directional migration. This phenomenon is called galvanotaxis or electrotaxis. Since the plate
electrodes were systemically placed parallel to the wound, anode on the top and cathode on the
bottom, we were able to determine if fibroblasts at the margin of the wound displayed
preferential directed migration (Figure 3). No statistical differences were observed, meaning
that dermal fibroblasts migrated equally toward the cathode or anode side.



6

Figure 3: No electrotaxis was observed in dermal fibroblasts when submitted to ECT and GET
electrical parameters. A. Schematic representation of electrodes position at the wound site. Yellow
line delimits cell-occupied area in the anode panel (1) and cathode panel (2). B. Cell-occupied area
(μm²) either upper panel of the wound (1) or lower panel of the wound (2) 12h after electric field
application. Data are represented as the mean ± SEM. Statistical analysis by One-Way Anova. N=3;
n=18.

14 High GET electric parameters drastically reduce the number of dermal fibroblast .

Evolution of cell number over 72h after ECT and GET application was followed by videomicroscopy (Movie 3) through automatically counting fluorescently labelled nuclei within the microscope field (Figure 4A). ECT electric parameters did not lead to statistical difference between the control condition and the distinct electric field intensity applied, even if 200V/cm

and 400V/cm growth curves tended to be higher than the control one (0V/cm) (Figure 4B). For 1 2 GET conditions, three distinct behaviors had to be differentiated. Cells submitted to electric fields of 50 and 100V/cm behaved similarly to the control condition. On the other hand, from 3 200V/cm, the number of nuclei decreased with a significant delay, and this phenomenon was 4 increased for higher electric field intensity (300V/cm). This observation was confirmed by 5 analyzing the number of nuclei within the microscope field 70h after electric field application 6 (Figure 4C). The delay in cell growth can be explained either by a stop in cell proliferation or 7 by a dynamic equilibrium between dying cells and proliferative cells, as we observed by 8 clonogenic assay that GET at 300V/cm altered long-term cell viability by 60% (Fig 1D). 9





1

3 Figure 4: ECT electrical parameters did not affect dermal fibroblast proliferation while some 4 GET parameters reduced cell number. A. Image processing with masks to quantify dermal fibroblasts 5 proliferation. B. Dermal fibroblasts proliferation after application of ECT (x8 pulses lasting 100µs, 1Hz 6 frequency, at 0V/cm (●), 200V/cm (■), 400 V/cm (▲), 600V/cm (▼), 800V/cm (♦)) and GET (x10 7 pulses lasting 5ms, 1Hz frequency, at 0V/cm (●), 50V/cm (■), 100 V/cm (▲), 200V/cm (▼), 300V/cm 8 (•)) electrical parameters. Statistical analysis by Two-Way ANOVA ****=p<.0001 C. Number of 9 nuclei 70h after the application of ECT and GET electrical parameters. N=6; n=24. Statistical analysis 10 by One-Way ANOVA *=p<.05; **=p<.01; ****=p<.0001. Data are represented as the mean value ± 11 SEM.

High GET electric parameters induce dermal fibroblast apoptosis through mitochondrial 1 2 stress. Only certain electric field intensities in GET conditions have shown anti-proliferative 3 results on cell monolayers, thus we decided to focus on GET electric parameters for apoptosis and mitochondrial stress analysis. In order to explain the delay observed in cell growth after 4 GET electric parameter application, apoptosis was investigated 24h after electroporation (figure 5 5A). The percentage of cells dying by apoptosis increased when the electric field intensity 6 7 increased. At 200V/cm approximately 10% of the cells displayed activated caspases 3/7 and this percentage reached 30% at 300V/cm 24h after electric field application. This 30% of apoptotic 8 cells in 300V/cm condition differed from the one observed by clonogenic assay (loss of 60% 9 10 of long-term cell viability, Fig 1D), meaning that other cell death mechanisms could be 11 involved, such as necrosis. We previously showed that mitochondria ultrastructure was rapidly altered after cells were submitted to electroporation [37]. Consequently, we focused subsequent 12 experiments on mitochondrial characterization. A MitoView fluorescent probe was used to 13 follow the mitochondrial membrane potential after application of GET electric parameters 14 (Figure 5B). The fluorescent staining depends on the mitochondrial membrane potential and is 15 lost when mitochondria become depolarized [33]. A significant loss of staining was observed 16 17 on live cells 1h after GET electric field application at 300V/cm, meaning that mitochondria 18 underwent depolarization, which could lead to initiation of cell death processes, especially apoptosis [38]. We used videomicroscopy to follow mitochondrial oxidative stress in live cells 19 after GET application using the MitoSOX reagent (Figure 5C). This probe selectively targets 20 21 mitochondria and becomes highly fluorescent after oxidation specifically by mitochondrial superoxide [34]. No immediate mitochondrial oxidative stress was observed after GET electric 22 parameters application, but a delayed effect was observed for 200V/cm and 300V/cm intensity 23 from 4h after pulses, and became statistically significant 7h after pulses. This means that at 24

1 200V/cm and even more at 300V/cm, oxidant mitochondrial stress was generated as soon as 4h



2 after electroporation, through generation of mitochondrial superoxide.

3

Figure 5: Some GET electrical parameters induced apoptosis through mitochondrial stress. A. 4 5 Percentage of apoptotic cells. N=2; n=12. Statistical analysis by One-way and Two-way ANOVA 6 *=p<.05; ****=p<.0001 B. Quantification of mitochondrial membrane potential loss 1h after electric field application. N=1; n=3. Statistical analysis by One-way ANOVA *=p<.05 C. Fluorescent detection 7 8 of generation of mitochondrial superoxide anion O_2^{-} after application of GET (x10 pulses lasting 5ms, 1Hz frequency, at 0V/cm (●), 50V/cm (■), 100 V/cm (▲), 200V/cm (▼), 300V/cm (♦)) electrical 9 10 parameters. N=2; n=12. Data are represented as the mean value \pm SEM. Statistical analysis by Two-way ANOVA *=p<.05; **=p<.01; ****=p<.0001. 11

12

13 Unexposed fibroblast migration properties were stimulated by 24h-conditioned media. In

order to assess the indirect effects of electric field application on the migration properties of dermal fibroblasts, scratch wound assays with cells cultured in conditioned media were performed (Figure 6). In this condition, analyzed dermal fibroblasts were never submitted to electric field, but only exposed to cell culture medium conditioned for 24h by dermal fibroblasts

that had themselves been exposed to different conditions of ECT and GET. Statistical analyses 1 2 on a large number of replicates indicated that over the first 24h the conditioned media of GET 200V/cm condition improved wound closure compared to control condition. A trend emerged 3 from 10h, which became statistically significant at 18h and then increased over the last 6 hours 4 of the experiment. However, these analyses did not reveal statistical differences for the other 5 6 GET conditions nor for the ECT conditions (figure 6A). Cell confluence within wound area 7 after 24h of culture in conditioned media indicated a global trend for all conditioned-media conditions to stimulate cell migration (Figure 6B). However, only the conditioned media of 8 GET 200V/cm condition statistically improved the wound healing in the scratch wound assay. 9 10 It has to be underlined that the electric parameters applied in this condition were the ones leading to moderate apoptosis. We thus hypothesized that dermal fibroblasts exposed to pulsed 11 electric fields and undergoing light apoptosis secreted several factors stimulating unexposed 12 13 fibroblasts to migrate in the wound area.





Figure 6: Conditioned media from electroporated-fibroblasts stimulated the migration of 3 unexposed fibroblasts. A. Migration of dermal fibroblasts cultured in 24h ECT-(x8 pulses lasting 4 5 100µs, 1Hz frequency, at 0V/cm (●), 200V/cm (■), 400 V/cm (▲), 600V/cm (▼), 800V/cm (♦)) and 6 and GET-(x10 pulses lasting 5ms, 1Hz frequency, at 0V/cm (●), 50V/cm (■), 100 V/cm (▲), 200V/cm 7 (\mathbf{v}) , 300V/cm $(\mathbf{\bullet})$) conditioned cell culture media. N=3; n= 18. Only the 200V/cm condition showed 8 statistical difference with the control condition (0V/cm). Statistical analysis by Two Way ANOVA 9 *=p<.05; **=p<.01; ***=p<.001 B. Wound confluence after 24h of culture in 24h ECT- or GET-10 conditioned media. N=3; n=18. Data are represented as the mean value \pm SEM. Statistical analysis by One-way ANOVA **=p<.01. 11

- 12
- ECT and GET electric parameters strongly modulate growth factor transcripts. In order to understand the above results, a commercially available qPCR array dedicated to wound healing was performed 4h after exposure to ECT and GET electric parameters. This analysis revealed significant variations in the number of growth factor transcripts (Figure 7A).

Overexpressed genes (in red in the figure) in both conditions include Connective Tissue Growth 1 2 Factor (CTGF), Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor A (VEGFA), Platelet Derived Growth 3 Factor Subunit A (PDGFA), and Transforming Growth Factor Alpha (TGFa) with 1.2- to 3.6fold increases compared to non-electroporated controls. Several growth factors were also under-4 expressed (in green in the figure) 4h after exposure to ECT and GET electric parameters 5 including TGF-β1 a well-known protagonist in dermal fibrosis. For GET parameters we also 6 7 noted specific over-expressions of immunity-related factors like Colony stimulating factor 3 (CSF3), as well as angiogenic factors like Epidermal growth factor (EGF). This experiment 8 confirmed that the expression of several growth factors transcripts was rapidly and strongly 9 10 modulated after cell electroporation. To confirm that this modulation of growth factors transcripts was also observed at protein level, we quantified one using ELISA in cell culture 11 medium 24h after electroporation of dermal fibroblasts (Figure 7B). We focused on Vascular 12 13 Endothelial Growth Factor A (VEGFA) because it was the more over-expressed at the RNA level (2.4 times in ECT and 3.3 times in GET conditions). More VEGFA protein was indeed 14 found in cell culture supernatant 24h after electroporation both in ECT condition (even if not 15 statistically significant) and in GET condition (statistically significant). We thus confirmed at 16 17 protein level that electroporation, especially GET condition, increases the expression of 18 VEGFA growth factor, as observed at transcriptional level.



2 Figure 7: Gene expression analysis of growth factor related genes in dermal fibroblasts 4h after 3 exposure to ECT and GET electric parameters. A. Gene expression was quantified by RT-qPCR 4 array. Fold changes are expressed compared to the control condition. Control and ECT condition 5 (600V/cm) n=3, GET condition (200V/cm) n=2. Angiopoietin 1 (ANGPT1); Colony stimulating factor 6 2,3 (CSF2,3); Connective Tissue Growth Factor (CTGF); Epidermal growth factor (EGF); Fibroblast 7 growth factor 2,7,10 (FGF2,7,10); Heparin binding EGF like growth factor (HBEGF); Hepatocyte 8 growth factor (HGF); Insulin like growth factor 1 (IGF1); Macrophage migration inhibitory factor 9 (MIF); Platelet Derived Growth Factor Subunit A (PDGFA); Transforming Growth Factor Alpha (TGFa); Transforming Growth Factor Beta 1 (TGF-\beta1); Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor A 10 (VEGFA). B. VEGFA protein expression quantified by ELISA in cell culture medium 24h after dermal 11 12 fibroblasts electroporation with ECT (600V/cm) and GET (200V/cm) electric parameters N=1, n=5. 13 Statistical analysis by Mann Whitney test *=p<.05.

1 Discussion

2 The existence of a physiological transepithelial electric potential within the skin makes skin cells a good target for testing modulation of behavior through electroporation application. 3 4 Endogenous electric fields are important to guide cell migration and often predominate over 5 chemical or topographic signals [39]. Directional migration along an electric field guiding cue has already been shown in fibroblast cells [24,40,41]. Human dermal fibroblasts submitted to 6 an electric field of 50-200 mV mm⁻¹ under direct current took more than 1 hour to exhibit 7 measureable directional migration toward the anode [24,41]. Zhao et al. have identified 8 Phosphatidyl-Inositol 3 Kinase (PI(3)K) and Phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) as 9 10 essential genes controlling the electrotaxis [24]. Indeed, the electric field directs cell migration by acting as the primary directional signal, triggering Tyrosine-protein Kinase Src and Inositol-11 phospholipid signalling pathways. The role of Phosphatidyl-Inositol 3 Kinase in electro-12 induced migration was confirmed by Guo and colleagues in 2010 [41]. Another suggested 13 mechanism is the asymmetric redistribution of EGFR membrane receptors on the cell surface 14 15 [42-44]. Nevertheless, these studies mainly applied long exposure direct currents, whereas in this study we used a pulsed electric field. The difference in the nature and intensity of the 16 applied electric fields could explain the absence of significate results in migration and 17 galvanotaxis experiments with electroporation. 18

High-voltage pulsed galvanic stimulation has been shown to have a proliferative effect on human foetal lung fibroblasts IMR-90 and to stimulate protein synthesis [45]. This study indicated an increase in protein synthesis rates 2h post stimulation and in DNA synthesis between 2 and 24h post stimulation. The maximum increases in protein and DNA synthesis occurred with electric parameters of 100 pulses/seconds and 50 and 75 V/cm respectively. In this study, electrical parameters were applied for at least 20 min, far from the pulsed electric field applied in electroporation. Thus, the involved molecular mechanisms may differ and
 explain the absence of proliferation after ECT and GET application.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) play a major role in wound healing response [46,47]. 3 4 Interestingly, it has previously been shown that electroporation generates ROS such as H_2O_2 [48,49]. Studies on lipid peroxidation in cell membranes after electroporation have been 5 reported [48-50]. Lipids peroxidation and ROS concentration increase with electric field 6 7 intensity, pulse duration and number of pulses and is correlated to membrane electropermeabilization and to cell death when the amount of cellular damage is too high [51]. 8 ROS can be involved in the recruitment of immune cells [52,53], in the regulation of 9 angiogenesis processes [46,54] and they present bacteriostatic effects at wound sites [55]. 10 However, high production of ROS may lead to impaired wound repair [56]. In these conditions 11 ROS will give rise to the secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines, of metalloproteases, and can 12 impair the function of dermal fibroblasts and keratinocytes [57]. Moreover, ROS are generally 13 associated with skin ageing, fibroblast senescence and autophagy [58]. In our experimental 14 15 conditions, electroporation of dermal fibroblasts with the highest electric field intensities in GET condition (200V/cm and 300V/cm) blocked migration process and induced cell death. 16 These results are in agreement with those reported in the literature showing the deleterious 17 effects of H₂O₂ on dermal fibroblasts [59,60]. 18

We demonstrated that modulating the intensity of the electric fields led to a gradient of cell electropermeabilization. We can hypothesize that cell responses to these different plasma membrane defaults will be different. Indeed, apoptotic cell death induction was observed for the most stressful GET electrical parameters (i.e 200V/cm and 300V/cm). The secretome in a culture medium that was conditioned in these electric conditions improved the ability of unexposed dermal fibroblasts to migrate. We can theorize that this bystander effect can occur *in vivo*, where dying cell would secrete specific factors modifying surrounding cells behavior.

1 It was previously demonstrated in electroporation that electric field alone induced the 2 externalization of calreticulin [61] while calreticulin is usually an endoplasmic reticulum-3 resident protein. When externalized, it becomes a danger-associated molecular pattern molecule (DAMP) associated with the induction of immunogenic cell death [62]. Interestingly, 4 studies showed that calreticulin externalization played a significant role in cutaneous wound 5 healing by stimulating dermal fibroblast and keratinocyte proliferation, migration, and 6 7 differentiation [63]. Several studies have already shown that nucleotides released from damaged 8 tissues, such as extracellular ATP or purines and pyrimidines released following cell death and DNA fragmentation, regulate many important functions of skin cells (proliferation, migration, 9 10 contraction) and can promote wound healing [64].

11 Further experiments would be needed to finely describe what kind of factors are secreted 12 or released by the dying cells. We obtained encouraging results on the modulation of a number of growth factors transcripts by electroporation. One of them, VEGFA, was quantified at protein 13 level by ELISA. In our experimental condition, we demonstrated that electroporated dermal 14 15 fibroblasts released in cell culture a significant amount of VEGFA. However, comprehensive analyses of both growth factors and cytokines secreted as a result of electroporation should be 16 exhaustively conducted at protein level (ELISA, proteomic, secretome profiling) and functional 17 tests are essential to conclude in our experimental conditions. Interestingly, cues can be found 18 in the literature to enrich the reflection on this bystander effect. Some authors used pulsed 19 electric field to activate platelets. They showed that electroporation stimulates the release of 20 21 growth factors such as PDGF-AA, TGF- β 1 or EGF, contained in granules within the cells [65]. A modulation of pulsed electric field parameters led to a differential growth factors release [66]. 22

Bystander effect induced by electroporation was previously investigated in the context of melanoma tumor cells [67]. In this context, a negative bystander effect leading to death of cells cultivated in conditioned medium was observed and could be due to release of microvesicles (<500nm). Interestingly, the bystander effect and microvesicles amounts depended on electric
parameters (pulse amplitude and repetition frequency), confirming that in tissue cell response
will be different depending on electric field distribution. Since electroporation affects plasma
membrane integrity, it would be of utmost importance to characterize well and screen the
induced extracellular vesicles, which can contain proteins, RNA molecules and other agents,
able to influence signaling pathways in distant cells.

7 In this line of thought on communication between cells, co-culture of cells would be a complementary approach to the one adopted in this study. In our case, a co-culture of dermal 8 cells (primary fibroblasts) with epidermal cells (primary keratinocytes) would be relevant. To 9 10 go forward, *in vitro* cell culture models should switch from 2D to 3D tissue models. Even if 2D cell cultures are easier to manage, it is now widely accepted that 3D models better mimic in 11 vivo situations [68]. In skin context, some in vitro 3D tissue models such as spheroids [69], 12 dermal cell sheets [29,70] or recellularized human skin biopsy [71] have been developed and 13 used in electroporation study. The next step would be to use them for fundamental studies to 14 15 better understand links between electroporation and wound healing.

16

Author Contributions. conceptualization, L.G.; investigation and formal analyses, S.G., A.D.,
L.G.; writing-original draft preparation, S.G. and L.G.; writing-review and editing, S.G.,
AF.M., P.V., MP.R., L.G.; scientific discussion, S.G., A.D., AF.M., P.V., MP.R., L.G.;
supervision, L.G.; funding acquisition, MP.R., L.G.

21

Funding. This research was funded by the French Agence Nationale de la recherche, ANR-17CE19-0013-01 and NUMEP Plan Cancer PC201615 grant.

1 Acknowledgments. We would like to thank the Imaging and flow cytometry Core Facility TRI-

2 IPBS. We would like to warmly thank Dr Ahmed Amine Khamlichi and Audrey Dauba for

3 their help in RT-qPCR array experiments. We kindly acknowledge Simon Harrisson, a native

4 English scientist, for his careful proofreading of this manuscript.

5

6 **Conflicts of Interest.** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the

7 design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the

8 manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

9

10 **References**

- E. Neumann, K. Rosenheck, Permeability changes induced by electric impulses in vesicular
 membranes, J. Membr. Biol. 10 (1972) 279–290.
- [2] J. Teissie, M. Golzio, M.P. Rols, Mechanisms of cell membrane electropermeabilization: a
 minireview of our present (lack of?) knowledge, Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA-Gen. Subj. 1724
 (2005) 270–280.
- [3] M.L. Yarmush, A. Golberg, G. Serša, T. Kotnik, D. Miklavčič, Electroporation-Based Technologies
 for Medicine: Principles, Applications, and Challenges, Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng. 16 (2014) 295–
 320. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-bioeng-071813-104622.
- [4] L.G. Campana, I. Edhemovic, D. Soden, A.M. Perrone, M. Scarpa, L. Campanacci, M. Cemazar, S.
 Valpione, D. Miklavčič, S. Mocellin, E. Sieni, G. Sersa, Electrochemotherapy Emerging
 applications technical advances, new indications, combined approaches, and multi-institutional
 collaboration, Eur. J. Surg. Oncol. 45 (2019) 92–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejso.2018.11.023.
- [5] E.P. Spugnini, A. Baldi, Electrochemotherapy in Veterinary Oncology, Vet. Clin. North Am. Small
 Anim. Pract. 49 (2019) 967–979. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cvsm.2019.04.006.
- [6] J. Gehl, G. Sersa, L.W. Matthiessen, T. Muir, D. Soden, A. Occhini, P. Quaglino, P. Curatolo, L.G.
 Campana, C. Kunte, A.J.P. Clover, G. Bertino, V. Farricha, J. Odili, K. Dahlstrom, M. Benazzo, L.M.
 Mir, Updated standard operating procedures for electrochemotherapy of cutaneous tumours
 and skin metastases, Acta Oncol. 57 (2018) 874–882.
- 29 https://doi.org/10.1080/0284186X.2018.1454602.
- [7] E. Kis, E. Baltás, Á. Kinyó, E. Varga, N. Nagy, R. Gyulai, L. Kemény, J. Oláh, Successful Treatment of
 Multiple Basaliomas with Bleomycin-based Electrochemotherapy: A Case Series of Three Patients
 with Gorlin-Goltz Syndrome, Acta Derm Venereol. 92 (2012) 648–51.
 https://doi.org/10.2340/00015555-1361.
- [8] L.F. Glass, M. Jaroszeski, R. Gilbert, D.S. Reintgen, R. Heller, Intralesional bleomycin-mediated
 electrochemotherapy in 20 patients with basal cell carcinoma, J. Am. Acad. Dermatol. 37 (1997)
 596–599. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0190-9622(97)70178-6.
- M. Marty, G. Sersa, J.R. Garbay, J. Gehl, C.G. Collins, M. Snoj, V. Billard, P.F. Geertsen, J.O. Larkin,
 D. Miklavcic, I. Pavlovic, S.M. Paulin-Kosir, M. Cemazar, N. Morsli, D.M. Soden, Z. Rudolf, C.

- 1 Robert, G.C. O'Sullivan, L.M. Mir, Electrochemotherapy – An easy, highly effective and safe
- 2 treatment of cutaneous and subcutaneous metastases: Results of ESOPE (European Standard 3 Operating Procedures of Electrochemotherapy) study, Eur. J. Cancer Suppl. 4 (2006) 3–13.
- 4 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejcsup.2006.08.002.
- 5 [10] L.G. Campana, S. Mocellin, M. Basso, O. Puccetti, G.L. De Salvo, V. Chiarion-Sileni, A. Vecchiato, L. 6 Corti, C.R. Rossi, D. Nitti, Bleomycin-Based Electrochemotherapy: Clinical Outcome from a Single 7 Institution's Experience with 52 Patients, Ann. Surg. Oncol. 16 (2009) 191–199.
- 8 https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-008-0204-8.
- 9 [11] L. Gibot, M.-P. Rols, Gene transfer by pulsed electric field is highly promising in cutaneous wound 10 healing, Expert Opin. Biol. Ther. 16 (2016) 67–77. 11
 - https://doi.org/10.1517/14712598.2016.1098615.
- 12 [12] M.P. Lin, G.P. Marti, R. Dieb, J. Wang, M. Ferguson, R. Qaiser, P. Bonde, M.D. Duncan, J.W. 13 Harmon, Delivery of plasmid DNA expression vector for keratinocyte growth factor-1 using 14 electroporation to improve cutaneous wound healing in a septic rat model, Wound Repair 15 Regen. 14 (2006) 618-624.
- 16 [13] G. Basu, H. Downey, S. Guo, A. Israel, A. Asmar, B. Hargrave, R. Heller, Prevention of distal flap 17 necrosis in a rat random skin flap model by gene electrotransfer delivering VEGF165 plasmid, J. 18 Gene Med. 16 (2014) 55-65.
- 19 [14] B. Ferraro, Y.L. Cruz, M. Baldwin, D. Coppola, R. Heller, Increased perfusion and angiogenesis in a 20 hindlimb ischemia model with plasmid FGF-2 delivered by noninvasive electroporation, Gene 21 Ther. 17 (2010) 763.
- 22 [15] L. Steinstraesser, M.C. Lam, F. Jacobsen, P.E. Porporato, K.K. Chereddy, M. Becerikli, I. Stricker, 23 R.E. Hancock, M. Lehnhardt, P. Sonveaux, Skin electroporation of a plasmid encoding hCAP-24 18/LL-37 host defense peptide promotes wound healing, Mol. Ther. 22 (2014) 734–742.
- 25 [16] G. Marti, M. Ferguson, J. Wang, C. Byrnes, R. Dieb, R. Qaiser, P. Bonde, M.D. Duncan, J.W. 26 Harmon, Electroporative transfection with KGF-1 DNA improves wound healing in a diabetic 27 mouse model, Gene Ther. 11 (2004) 1780–1785. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.gt.3302383.
- 28 [17] P.-Y. Lee, S. Chesnoy, L. Huang, Electroporatic Delivery of TGF-β1 Gene Works Synergistically 29 with Electric Therapy to Enhance Diabetic Wound Healing in db/db Mice, J. Invest. Dermatol. 123 30 (2004) 791-798. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-202X.2004.23309.x.
- 31 [18] S. Ud-Din, A. Bayat, Electrical stimulation and cutaneous wound healing: a review of clinical 32 evidence, in: Healthcare, Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, 2014: pp. 445–467.
- 33 [19] I.S. Foulds, A.T. Barker, Human skin battery potentials and their possible role in wound healing, 34 Br. J. Dermatol. (1983). https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1365-35
 - 2133.1983.tb07673.x (accessed August 19, 2019).
- 36 [20] I.S. Foulds, A.T. Barker, Human skin battery potentials and their possible role in wound healing, 37 Br. J. Dermatol. 109 (1983) 515–522. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2133.1983.tb07673.x.
- 38 [21] R. Nuccitelli, Endogenous ionic currents and DC electric fields in multicellular animal tissues, Bioelectromagnetics. 13 (1992) 147–157. https://doi.org/10.1002/bem.2250130714. 39
- 40 [22] A.T. Barker, L.F. Jaffe, J.W. Vanable, The glabrous epidermis of cavies contains a powerful 41 battery, Am. J. Physiol.-Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 242 (1982) R358–R366. 42 https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.1982.242.3.R358.
- 43 [23] B. Reid, M. Zhao, The electrical response to injury: molecular mechanisms and wound healing, 44 Adv. Wound Care. 3 (2014) 184–201.
- 45 [24] M. Zhao, B. Song, J. Pu, T. Wada, B. Reid, G. Tai, F. Wang, A. Guo, P. Walczysko, Y. Gu, Electrical 46 signals control wound healing through phosphatidylinositol-3-OH kinase-γ and PTEN, Nature. 442 47 (2006) 457.
- 48 [25] M. Kranjc, D. Miklavčič, Electric Field Distribution and Electroporation Threshold, in: D. Miklavcic 49 (Ed.), Handb. Electroporation, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2016: pp. 1–17.
- 50 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-26779-1_4-1.

- [26] S. Corovic, I. Lackovic, P. Sustaric, T. Sustar, T. Rodic, D. Miklavcic, Modeling of electric field
 distribution in tissues during electroporation, Biomed. Eng. OnLine. 12 (2013) 16.
 https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-925X-12-16.
- [27] L. Gibot, T. Galbraith, J. Huot, F.A. Auger, A Preexisting Microvascular Network Benefits In Vivo
 Revascularization of a Microvascularized Tissue-Engineered Skin Substitute, Tissue Eng. Part A.
 16 (2010) 3199–3206. https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.tea.2010.0189.
- [28] L. Gibot, T. Galbraith, J. Huot, F.A. Auger, Development of a tridimensional microvascularized
 human skin substitute to study melanoma biology, Clin. Exp. Metastasis. 30 (2013) 83–90.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10585-012-9511-3.
- [29] M. Madi, M.-P. Rols, L. Gibot, Efficient In Vitro Electropermeabilization of Reconstructed Human
 Dermal Tissue, J. Membr. Biol. 248 (2015) 903–908. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00232-015-9791-z.
- [30] A. Figarol, L. Gibot, M. Golzio, B. Lonetti, A.-F. Mingotaud, M.-P. Rols, A journey from the
 endothelium to the tumor tissue: distinct behavior between PEO-PCL micelles and
 polymersomes nanocarriers, Drug Deliv. 25 (2018) 1766–1778.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/10717544.2018.1510064.
- [31] L. Gibot, L. Wasungu, J. Teissié, M.-P. Rols, Antitumor drug delivery in multicellular spheroids by
 electropermeabilization, J. Controlled Release. 167 (2013) 138–147.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2013.01.021.
 [32] P.K. Davis, A. Ho, S.F. Dowdy, Biological Methods for Cell-Cycle Synchronization of Mammalian
- 20 Cells, BioTechniques. 30 (2001) 1322–1331. https://doi.org/10.2144/01306rv01.
- [33] L.D. Zorova, V.A. Popkov, E.Y. Plotnikov, D.N. Silachev, I.B. Pevzner, S.S. Jankauskas, V.A.
 Babenko, S.D. Zorov, A.V. Balakireva, M. Juhaszova, S.J. Sollott, D.B. Zorov, Mitochondrial
 membrane potential, Anal. Biochem. 552 (2018) 50–59.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2017.07.009.
- [34] K.M. Robinson, M.S. Janes, J.S. Beckman, The selective detection of mitochondrial superoxide by
 live cell imaging, Nat. Protoc. 3 (2008) 941–947. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2008.56.
- [35] J. Zielonka, J. Vasquez-Vivar, B. Kalyanaraman, Detection of 2-hydroxyethidium in cellular
 systems: a unique marker product of superoxide and hydroethidine, Nat. Protoc. 3 (2008) 8–21.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2007.473.
- [36] J.E.N. Jonkman, J.A. Cathcart, F. Xu, M.E. Bartolini, J.E. Amon, K.M. Stevens, P. Colarusso, An
 introduction to the wound healing assay using live-cell microscopy, Cell Adhes. Migr. 8 (2014)
 440–451. https://doi.org/10.4161/cam.36224.
- [37] E. Phez, L. Gibot, M.-P. Rols, How transient alterations of organelles in mammalian cells
 submitted to electric field may explain some aspects of gene electrotransfer process,
 Bioelectrochemistry. 112 (2016) 166–172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioelechem.2016.02.004.
- [38] L.D. Zorova, V.A. Popkov, E.Y. Plotnikov, D.N. Silachev, I.B. Pevzner, S.S. Jankauskas, V.A.
 Babenko, S.D. Zorov, A.V. Balakireva, M. Juhaszova, S.J. Sollott, D.B. Zorov, Mitochondrial
 membrane potential, Mitochondrial Biochem. Bioenergenetics. 552 (2018) 50–59.
- 39 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2017.07.009.
- [39] R.H.W. Funk, Endogenous electric fields as guiding cue for cell migration, Front. Physiol. 6 (2015)
 143–143. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2015.00143.
- [40] M.S. Kim, M.H. Lee, B.-J. Kwon, M.-A. Koo, G.M. Seon, J.-C. Park, Golgi polarization plays a role in
 the directional migration of neonatal dermal fibroblasts induced by the direct current electric
 fields, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 460 (2015) 255–260.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2015.03.021.
- [41] A. Guo, B. Song, B. Reid, Y. Gu, J.V. Forrester, C.A.B. Jahoda, M. Zhao, Effects of Physiological
 Electric Fields on Migration of Human Dermal Fibroblasts, J. Invest. Dermatol. 130 (2010) 2320–
 2327. https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2010.96.
- [42] K.S. Fang, E. Ionides, G. Oster, R. Nuccitelli, R.R. Isseroff, Epidermal growth factor receptor
 relocalization and kinase activity are necessary for directional migration of keratinocytes in DC
 electric fields, J. Cell Sci. 112 (Pt 12) (1999) 1967–1978.

- [43] M. Zhao, J. Pu, J.V. Forrester, C.D. McCaig, Membrane lipids, EGF receptors, and intracellular
 signals co-localize and are polarized in epithelial cells moving directionally in a physiological
 electric field, FASEB J. 16 (2002). https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.01-0811fje.
- [44] C.E. Pullar, B.S. Baier, Y. Kariya, A.J. Russell, B.A.J. Horst, M.P. Marinkovich, R.R. Isseroff, beta4
 integrin and epidermal growth factor coordinately regulate electric field-mediated directional
 migration via Rac1, Mol. Biol. Cell. 17 (2006) 4925–4935. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e06-050433.
- [45] G.J. Bourguignon, L.Y. Bourguignon, Electric stimulation of protein and DNA synthesis in human
 fibroblasts., FASEB J. 1 (1987) 398–402. https://doi.org/10.1096/fasebj.1.5.3678699.
- [46] C. Dunnill, T. Patton, J. Brennan, J. Barrett, M. Dryden, J. Cooke, D. Leaper, N.T. Georgopoulos,
 Reactive oxygen species (ROS) and wound healing: the functional role of ROS and emerging ROS modulating technologies for augmentation of the healing process, Int. Wound J. 14 (2017) 89–
 96. https://doi.org/10.1111/iwj.12557.
- [47] J. Kanta, The role of hydrogen peroxide and other reactive oxygen species in wound healing, Acta
 Medica (Hradec Kralove). 54 (2011) 97–101.
- [48] B. Gabriel, J. Teissie, Generation of reactive-oxygen species induced by electropermeabilization
 of Chinese hamster ovary cells and their consequence on cell viability, Eur. J. Biochem. 223
 (1994) 25–33.
- [49] P. Bonnafous, M.-C. Vernhes, J. Teissié, B. Gabriel, The generation of reactive-oxygen species
 associated with long-lasting pulse-induced electropermeabilisation of mammalian cells is based
 on a non-destructive alteration of the plasma membrane, Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBABiomembr. 1461 (1999) 123–134.
- [50] M. Breton, L.M. Mir, Investigation of the chemical mechanisms involved in the electropulsation
 of membranes at the molecular level, Bioelectrochemistry. 119 (2018) 76–83.
- [51] T. Kotnik, L. Rems, M. Tarek, D. Miklavčič, Membrane Electroporation and
 Electropermeabilization: Mechanisms and Models, Annu. Rev. Biophys. 48 (2019) 63–91.
 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biophys-052118-115451.
- [52] I.V. Klyubin, K.M. Kirpichnikova, I.A. Gamaley, Hydrogen peroxide-induced chemotaxis of mouse
 peritonecul neutrophils, (1996).
- [53] M.M. Shi, J.J. Godleski, J.D. Paulauskis, Regulation of macrophage inflammatory protein-1 mRNA
 by oxidative stress, J. Biol. Chem. 271 (1996) 5878–5883.
- [54] J.R. Stone, T. Collins, The role of hydrogen peroxide in endothelial proliferative responses,
 Endothelium. 9 (2002) 231–238.
- [55] P.A. Hyslop, D.B. Hinshaw, I.U. Scraufstatter, C.G. Cochrane, S. Kunz, K. Vosbeck, Hydrogen
 peroxide as a potent bacteriostatic antibiotic: implications for host defense, Free Radic. Biol.
 Med. 19 (1995) 31–37.
- [56] M. Schäfer, S. Werner, Oxidative stress in normal and impaired wound repair, Pharmacol. Res. 58
 (2008) 165–171.
- [57] R. Moseley, J.E. Stewart, P. Stephens, R.J. Waddington, D.W. Thomas, Extracellular matrix
 metabolites as potential biomarkers of disease activity in wound fluid: lessons learned from
 other inflammatory diseases?, Br. J. Dermatol. 150 (2004) 401–413.
- [58] Y. Gu, J. Han, C. Jiang, Y. Zhang, Biomarkers, oxidative stress and autophagy in skin aging, Ageing
 Res. Rev. (2020) 101036.
- [59] S. Yoshimoto, N. Kohara, N. Sato, H. Ando, M. Ichihashi, Riboflavin Plays a Pivotal Role in the
 UVA-Induced Cytotoxicity of Fibroblasts as a Key Molecule in the Production of H2O2 by UVA
 Radiation in Collaboration with Amino Acids and Vitamins, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21 (2020) 554.
- 47 [60] D.R. Maldaner, V.F. Azzolin, F. Barbisan, M.H. Mastela, C.F. Teixeira, A. Dihel, T. Duarte, N.L.
- Pellenz, L.F.C. Lemos, C.M.U. Negretto, In vitro effect of low-level laser therapy on the
 proliferative, apoptosis modulation, and oxi-inflammatory markers of premature-senescent
- 50 hydrogen peroxide-induced dermal fibroblasts, Lasers Med. Sci. 34 (2019) 1333–1343.

- [61] C.Y. Calvet, D. Famin, F.M. André, L.M. Mir, Electrochemotherapy with bleomycin induces
 hallmarks of immunogenic cell death in murine colon cancer cells, Oncoimmunology. 3 (2014)
 e28131.
- [62] G. Kroemer, L. Galluzzi, O. Kepp, L. Zitvogel, Immunogenic Cell Death in Cancer Therapy, Annu.
 Rev. Immunol. 31 (2013) 51–72. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-032712-100008.
- [63] L.B. Nanney, C.D. Woodrell, M.R. Greives, N.L. Cardwell, A.C. Pollins, T.A. Bancroft, A. Chesser, M.
 Michalak, M. Rahman, J.W. Siebert, Calreticulin enhances porcine wound repair by diverse
 biological effects, Am. J. Pathol. 173 (2008) 610–630.
- 9 [64] E. Gendaszewska-Darmach, M. Kucharska, Nucleotide receptors as targets in the
 10 pharmacological enhancement of dermal wound healing, Purinergic Signal. 7 (2011) 193.
 11 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11302-011-9233-z.
- [65] A.S. Torres, A. Caiafa, A.L. Garner, S. Klopman, N. LaPlante, C. Morton, K. Conway, A.D.
 Michelson, A.L.I. Frelinger, V.B. Neculaes, Platelet activation using electric pulse stimulation:
 Growth factor profile and clinical implications, J. Trauma Acute Care Surg. 77 (2014) S94.
 https://doi.org/10.1097/TA.0000000000322.
- [66] A.L. Frelinger 3rd, A.J. Gerrits, V.B. Neculaes, T. Gremmel, A.S. Torres, A. Caiafa, S.L. Carmichael,
 A.D. Michelson, Tunable activation of therapeutic platelet-rich plasma by pulse electric field:
 Differential effects on clot formation, growth factor release, and platelet morphology, PloS One.
 13 (2018) e0203557–e0203557. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0203557.
- [67] A. Prevc, A. Bedina Zavec, M. Cemazar, V. Kloboves-Prevodnik, M. Stimac, V. Todorovic, P.
 Strojan, G. Sersa, Bystander Effect Induced by Electroporation is Possibly Mediated by
 Microvesicles and Dependent on Pulse Amplitude, Repetition Frequency and Cell Type, J.
- 23 Membr. Biol. 249 (2016) 703–711. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00232-016-9915-0.
- [68] F. Pampaloni, E.G. Reynaud, E.H.K. Stelzer, The third dimension bridges the gap between cell
 culture and live tissue, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 8 (2007) 839.
- [69] B. Marrero, R. Heller, The use of an in vitro 3D melanoma model to predict in vivo plasmid
 transfection using electroporation, Biomaterials. 33 (2012) 3036–3046.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2011.12.049.
- [70] M. Madi, M.-P. Rols, L. Gibot, Gene Electrotransfer in 3D Reconstructed Human Dermal Tissue,
 Curr Gene Ther. 16 (2016) 75–82. https://doi.org/10.2174/1566523216666160331125052.
- 31 [71] A.A. Bulysheva, N. Burcus, C. Lundberg, C.M. Edelblute, M.P. Francis, R. Heller, Recellularized
- human dermis for testing gene electrotransfer ex vivo, Biomed. Mater. 11 (2016) 035002.
- 33