

Survive or swim: different relationships between migration potential and larval size and in three sympatric Mediterranean octocorals

Katell Guizien, N Viladrich-Canudas, Á Martínez-Quintana, L Bramanti

▶ To cite this version:

Katell Guizien, N Viladrich-Canudas, Á Martínez-Quintana, L Bramanti. Survive or swim: different relationships between migration potential and larval size and in three sympatric Mediterranean octocorals. 2020. hal-02557663v1

HAL Id: hal-02557663 https://hal.science/hal-02557663v1

Preprint submitted on 28 Apr 2020 (v1), last revised 1 Oct 2020 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Sink or swim ? Larval size and migration potential in three sympatric Mediterranean
2	octocorals
3	

4 K. Guizien¹, N. Viladrich-Canudas^{1,2}, Á. Martínez-Quintana^{3,4}, L. Bramanti¹

5

⁶ ¹CNRS-Sorbonne Université, Laboratoire d'Ecogéochimie des Environnements Benthiques,

7 LECOB, Observatoire Océanologique de Banyuls sur Mer, 1 avenue Pierre Fabre - 66650

9 ² Institute of Environmental Science and Technology (ICTA), Universitat Autònoma de

10 Barcelona (UAB), Building C Campus UAB, 08193 Bellaterra (Cerdanyola del Vallès),

11 Barcelona (SPAIN).

¹² ³Institut de Ciències del Mar, ICM-CSIC, Passeig Marítim de la Barceloneta, 37-49, 08003

⁴ currently, Department of Environment and Sustainability and Department of Geology,

15 University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY 14260, USA

16 **Keywords:** larval motility behavior; Larval dispersal; Marine connectivity; Larval size;

17 Gorgonians; Pelagic Larval Duration; Migration potential; Larval traits

18 Running title: Migration potential and larval size

19

20 Corresponding Author: Katell Guizien

21

1

⁸ Banyuls sur Mer (FRANCE).

¹³ Barcelona (SPAIN).

Current address: CNRS-Sorbonne Université, Laboratoire d'Ecogéochimie des
 Environnements Benthiques, LECOB, Observatoire Océanologique de Banyuls sur Mer, 1
 avenue Pierre Fabre - 66650 Banyuls sur Mer (FRANCE).

5 Email: guizien@obs-banyuls.fr

6

Abstract Biological mechanisms such as demography and migration, control species ability 7 to cope with environmental change. For benthic invertebrates at sea, migration can happen 8 during reproduction only, thanks to larval dispersal. The present study aims at testing if 9 larval size can be used as a surrogate for dispersal potential resulting from pelagic larval 10 duration, sinking or swimming behavior. The hypothesis was tested using three gorgonian 11 species: Paramuricea clavata, Corallium rubrum and Eunicella singularis. The three 12 sympatric species release brooded lecithotrophic larvae in the same season, but with 13 14 different sizes. *E. singularis* larvae are twice as big as *C. rubrum* larvae and four times bigger than *P. clavata* larvae. Despite larval size difference, half pelagic larval duration was 15 similar among the three species (50% of survival after about 30 days). Free fall speed 16 17 increased with larval size (new data: E. singularis : 0.23 ± 0.08 cm s⁻¹; P. clavata : $0.056 \pm$ 0.021 cm s⁻¹). Nevertheless, the only net sinkers were the smallest *P. clavata* larvae as swim 18 19 outcompeted free fall in the other two species (new data: *E. singularis* swimming activity 20 frequency: 68 ± 18 %). Finally, motility behaviour discriminated better species dispersal potential than pelagic dispersal duration. 21

22

1 1. Introduction

The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, adopted by all United Nations Member 2 States in 2015, provides a shared blueprint for peace and prosperity for people and the 3 planet, now and into the future. Achieving the 17 Sustainable Development Goals relies on 4 our capacity to anticipate the impact of climate change on the various dimensions 5 contributing to human welfare, among which biodiversity and associated ecosystems 6 services. Forecasting the impact of climate change on biodiversity requires mechanistic 7 models similar to those used for climate change predictions (IPBES 2030 work program, 8 https://ipbes.net/o4-supporting-policy). Such models should incorporate knowledge and 9 understanding of mechanisms regulating species spatial distribution to produce biodiversity 10 11 maps for the future. Since Darwin's early observations, the ecological niche concept has been key to explain the spatial distribution of species under selective pressures arising from 12 the environment and interaction between species ^{1, 2}. The concept postulates that each species 13 should have a unique set of conditions defining its persistence. Hutchinson³ formalized 14 mathematically the niche theory into a hyperspace area where suitable abiotic (potential 15 niche) and biotic conditions (realized niche, interspecific competition at first) for a species to 16 exist are found. Correlative approach linking species occurrence data with mappable 17 environmental descriptors have been extensively applied to infer species potential niches 18 and subsequently used to project species spatial distribution (reviewed in [4]). However, 19 correlative approaches are likely to fail to predict species spatial distribution changes when 20 environmental conditions evolve more rapidly than the species can cope with ⁵. Indeed, when 21 22 the local environmental conditions change, species persistence will depend on its ability to

track suitable conditions for existence through migration and/or to adapt locally if no 1 suitable conditions is reachable ⁶. For sessile species such as plant on land or most benthic 2 invertebrates at sea, migration can happen during reproduction only, thanks to propagule 3 dispersal. For these species, biological mechanisms such as demography and dispersal, 4 control species ability to cope with environmental changes. Trait-based mechanistic models 5 explicitly include those dynamical processes (meta-population ⁷; meta-community ⁸). 6 However, spatio-temporal mechanistic models are still limited in their application due to 7 knowledge gap in dispersal parameters ⁹. Estimating propagules dispersal parameters is 8 indeed not an easy task, because their reduced size limits their tracking. Dispersal 9 parameters have been inferred indirectly from neutral genetic differentiation across the 10 environment, although there are several confounding factors ¹⁰. Moreover, such estimates 11 suffer the same forecasting limitation as correlative species distribution models, as they 12 result from the past history of species-environment interaction ¹¹. In absence of direct 13 estimates of dispersal parameters, proxy relationships are used such as smaller seeds 14 disperse farther ¹². In the ocean, similar proxy relationships have been proposed such as 15 lecithotrophic larvae disperse less than planktotrophic larvae ^{13, 14}, internal brooders disperse 16 less than broadcast spawners ^{15, 16}. However, in contrast to the passive dispersal of seeds, 17 larvae can display motility activity and regulate their buoyancy during dispersal ¹⁷. Larval 18 motility behavior adds a degree of liverty in the hyperspace of larval traits depicting larval 19 20 dispersal potential which may blur the aforementioned putative proxy relationships with reproductive strategy and/or larval size. 21

In the present study, we selected three sympatric species differing slightly in reproductive 1 strategies. The white gorgonian, Eunicella singularis (Esper, 1794) is a species with 2 approximately 25-year life expectancy ¹⁸. It is distributed throughout the western 3 Mediterranean and Adriatic Sea, and occasionally present in the eastern Mediterranean, 4 dweling on rocky bottoms in shallow waters, as well as on coralligenous formations in 5 deeper sublittoral waters ^{19, 20}. *E. singularis* is gonochoric with annual reproduction, releasing 6 1-5 lecithotrophic ciliated larvae (planulae) per polyp between the end of June and early July 7 ^{21, 22}. *E singularis* planulae are internally brooded, symbiotic, bright pink, with a major axis 8 of ~2.5 mm and a minor axis of 0.5 mm ¹⁸. The Mediterranean red coral *Corallium rubrum* 9 (Linnaeus, 1758) is a slow-growing species with presumably more than a 100-year life 10 expectancy ²³. It is distributed throughout the Mediterranean Sea, and adjacent Atlantic 11 coasts between 20 and at least 600 meters depth ²⁴. C. rubrum is gonochoric with annual 12 reproduction, releasing 1-2 lecithotrophic ciliated larvae (planulae) per polyp between the 13 end of July and early August ²⁵. *C. rubrum* planulae are internally brooded, not symbiotic, 14 white and club-shaped, with a major axis of ~ 1 mm and a minor axis of 0.3 mm 26 . C. 15 *rubrum* planulae can survive up to 42 days in the water column (median PLD of 32 ± 11 16 days), showing negative buoyancy with free fall speeds comprised between 0.03 and 0.09 17 cm s⁻¹ and a high swimming activity frequency ($82\pm 14.5\%$; [27]). 18

The red gorgonian, *Paramuricea clavata* (Risso, 1826) is a species with more than 30-year life expectancy, widely distributed along the western basin of the Mediterranean Sea and in the Adriatic Sea ²⁸. *P. clavata* is a gonochoric species that spawns 8 to 20 oocytes per polyp, every year in two short release events at 15 days interval in June ²⁹. Fertilization is external, and em-

bryogenesis takes place on the surface of the colony between 48-72 hours upon oocytes release. 1 Fertilized oocytes become lecithotrophic ciliated planulae within 48 hours after fertilization. P. 2 *clavata* planulae are not symbiotic, purple and can reach 1 mm long when completely developed 3 ³⁰. Earlier studies, based on qualitative observations suggested that *P. clavata* larvae has low dis-4 persal potential and should settle near parental individual ²⁹. Four larval traits regulating disper-5 sal, namely release timing, pelagic larval duration (PLD, derived from larval survival expectancy 6 and metamorphosis rate), buoyancy, and larval vertical motility behavior, were quantified for P. 7 *clavata* and *E. singularis*, similarly as done for *Corallium rubrum*²⁷. Differences in larval traits 8 were tested among the three species. Relationships between larval traits and larval size that 9 could be expected from either a population dynamics or an energetic perspective were discussed. 10

11

12 **3. Results**

13 **3.1 Larval survival and metamorphosis rates in absence of predation**

Survival of *P. clavata* larvae was highly variable at all ages (large boxplot heights, Figure 1A). 14 Pooling all release events and locations, the minimum PLD, was 3.5 ± 1.5 days (mean \pm standard 15 deviation, SD), the half PLD was 32 ± 11 days and the maximum PLD was 64 ± 22 days. Both 16 minimum and maximum PLDs were not significantly different between release events (ANOVA: 17 $F_{3,8}$ <2.3, p>0.15), due to the high variability among replicates. Only the half PLD was signifi-18 cantly different between release events. In Banyuls-sur-mer the half PLD was higher than in Cap 19 de Creus for the 2016 release events, however, it was similar to the Cap de Creus 2014 release 20 event (ANOVA : $F_{3,8}$ =8.4, p<0.05, TukeyHSD: T2 < T4 and T3 < T4). Metamorphosis rates were 21 not significantly different among release events or location at any age (ANOVA: $F_{1,2}$ <4, p>0.07. 22

Thus, metamorphosis rates were grouped for all release events or location. Massive metamorphosis was detected after 20 days and went on until 70 days of age. Cumulative metamorphosis rate ranged from 10.5 to 54% of larval initial pool after 70 days, with a median of 14% (Figure 1C). Daily larval mortality rates in absence of predation for *P clavata* increased significantly after the min PLD (ANOVA: $F_{2, 24} = 20.3$; p < 0.01; TukeyHSD: T1 < T3 < T2) with no mortality during minimum PLD and values of 1.78 %.day⁻¹ ± 0.72 SD between minimum and half PLD and 1.06 %.day⁻¹ ± 0.74 SD between half and maximum PLD.

For *E. singularis*, larval survival was strongly affected by light treatment: larval survival was si-8 gnificantly larger in dark than in light treatment after 4 days age already (ANOVA: $F_{1,4} > 10.4$; p 9 < 0.05). Minimum PLD was 30 \pm 5.3 days in dark treatment and 7.7 \pm 2.3 days in light treat-10 ment. Half PLD was 35 ± 11.6 days in light treatment while it could not be determined in dark 11 treatment (>78 days, experiment duration). Moreover, larval survival varied significantly after 20 12 days age between release events within a same brood (ANOVA: $F_{1,4} > 10.4$; p < 0.05 comparing 13 14 the two release events with light treatment, Figure 1B). Finally, the maximum PLD was > 78days (experiment duration) in both treatments. In the light treatment, metamorphosis started after 15 6 days but remained at low daily rate (<4%) until 20 days, without significant difference between 16 the two release events (ANOVA: $F_{1,4} < 7.7$; p > 0.05). Cumulative metamorphosis rate increased 17 abruptly from 10 to 40% between 20 and 40 days in one of the two release events with light 18 19 treatment, resulting in significant difference among the two release events after 26 days (Figure 1D, ANOVA: $F_{1,4} > 13.5$; p < 0.021). Metamorphosis yielded a median of 37% of initial larval 20 pool in one release event and 24% in the other after 40 days. In the dark treatment, metamorpho-21 22 sis was delayed until 25 days of age and cumulated less than 7% of initial larval pool at 78 days.

Daily larval mortality rates during minimum PLD and between minimum and half PLD were si-1 gnificantly different for all the release events in the two light treatments for *E. singularis* (ANO-2 VA: $F_{1,4} > 8.8$; p < 0.05). However, no consistent trend was found with age. Daily mortality rates 3 increased from 0.6 %.day⁻¹ ± 0.2 SD to 1.3 %.day⁻¹ ± 0.3 SD in one release event with light treat-4 ment but decreased from 0.7 %.day⁻¹ \pm 0.1 SD to 0.5 %.day⁻¹ \pm 0.04 SD in the other release event 5 with light treatment. Daily larval mortality rate increased from 0.2 %.day⁻¹ ± 0.03 SD during mi-6 nimum PLD to 0.4 %.day⁻¹ ± 0.04 SD between minimum and half PLD in the release event with 7 dark treatment. 8

1

2 **3.2 Body density and free fall speed of inactive larvae**

The majority (70%) of anesthetized larvae of Paramuricea clavata and all the larvae of 3 Eunicella singularis were denser than seawater at 20°C up to 30-day age (Figure 2A and B). P. 4 *clavata* larval body density ranged from 1025 to 1033 kg.m⁻³ for young larvae (< 15-day age) 5 and 1029 to 1034 kg.m⁻³ for larvae up to 30-day age while for *E. singularis* larvae ranged from 6 1030 to 1035 kg.m⁻³ at all ages, being denser than seawater even at 12°C. It is noteworthy that up 7 to 80% of the young (< 15-day age) P. clavata larvae were less dense than seawater at 12°C in 8 some assays. Larval body density of both species was variable (5 kg.m⁻³ difference among 12 9 different larvae), which is line with large inter-individual variability in free fall speeds (standard 10 deviation about 35% of the mean, Figure 2C and D). Free fall speed can be summarized by its 11 mean \pm SD for each species, being 0.056 \pm 0.021 cm s⁻¹ for *P. clavata* and 0.23 \pm 0.08cm s⁻¹ for 12 E. singularis (Figure 2C and D). Indeed, free fall speed measured at 20°C was not linearly 13 correlated to age ($R^2 = 0.73$; p=0.16 for *P. clavata*; $R^2 = 0.22$; p= 0.41 for *E. singularis*) and was 14 not even significantly different between age classes of 10 days (ANOVA: $F_{1,3}$ =7.8; p =0.07 for 15 *P. clavata*; F_{2,13} =3.2; p =0.07 for *E. singularis*) in any of the two species. Moreover, free fall 16 speed standard deviation at 20°C was not significantly different for larvae less than 10-day age, 17 between 10-day and 20-day age and more than 20-day age (ANOVA: $F_{1,3}$ =2.2; p =0.23 for P. 18 *clavata*; F_{2,13} =1.4; p =0.28 for *E. singularis*). 19

20

21 **3.3 Swimming activity frequency**

P. clavata larvae remained on the bottom of the containers for 95% of the time, at all larval ages 1 tested in motility experiment (up to 20 days of age). During the 5% remaining time, larvae 2 exhibit crawling behavior or free falling. Additionally, P. clavata larvae did not exhibit 3 swimming behavior during the survival experiments performed in this study (up to 100 days of 4 age). Thus, P. clavata larvae do not display any swimming behavior. In contrast, E. singularis 5 larvae are active swimmers. Indeed, despite having a negative buoyancy at 20°C, most larvae 6 were found in the middle of the containers during larval survival experiments (up to 80 days). In 7 the motility experiments, swimming activity frequency was highly variable (from 0% to 100%, 8 depending on the 15 min sequence analyzed). A consistent increase by 10% in swimming activity 9 between the first 15-min sequence of the motility experiment was detected, indicating that 10 kinesthetic stimulation tended to inhibit larval swimming activity in *E. singularis*. Indeed, under 11 cold white light conditions, swimming activity frequency was significantly lower during the first 12 15-min sequence (CWL1) of each experiment compared to the second (CWL2) and third one 13 (CWL3, paired t-test: CWL1 vs CWL2: $t_{25} = -4.7$, p < 10⁻⁴; CWL1 vs CWL3: $t_{25} = -4.8$, p < 10⁻⁴). 14 However, 30 minutes after the kinesthetic stimulation stopped, swimming activities between 15 sequences were no more different. Thus, only the 15-min sequences after 30 minutes of 16 17 experiment (two in CWL, and two in IR) were used and pooled together to address the effect of light exposure, larval age, and photoperiod conditioning during larval maintenance. Swimming 18 19 activity frequency varied between 20% and 90% (Figure 3A and B). A significant effect of light 20 exposure, larval age and photoperiod conditioning during larvae maintenance was found (3-Factor ANOVA: $F_{1,95} = 42.9$; p < 10⁻⁴ for light exposure during motility experiment; $F_{1,95} = 7.9$; p 21 =6 10^{-3} for photoperiod conditioning during larvae maintenance; $F_{5,95}$ = 25.3; $p < 10^{-4}$ for larval 22

age). Post-hoc test indicated that swimming activity frequency was higher in dark than in light
exposure, and when larvae were maintained with photoperiod conditioning than in dark.
Swimming activity frequency was significantly lower for 11-day age larvae than for all other
ages. This latter feature overpassed the effect of the two other factors as the average swimming
activity frequency was of 68 +/- 23 %, except at 11-day age when it dropped to 26+/- 11.3 %.

6 3.4 Comparison of larval traits among Paramuricea clavata, Corallium rubrum and 7 Eunicella singularis

Larval surface area was significantly different between the three species, with *E. singularis* 8 larvae being twice as big as *C.rubrum* larvae and four times bigger than *P. clavata* larvae (*E.* 9 singularis > C. rubrum > P. clavata; ANOVA: F_{2,536} =589; p <<0.01, Fig.4A). Mean free fall 10 speed at 20°C was significantly different as well, with the same trend (*E. singularis* > *C. rubrum* 11 > *P. clavata*; ANOVA: F_{2,1058} = 1031; p <<0.01, Fig.4B), despite large inter-individual variation 12 in free fall speed and larval body density. Half-PLD (larval age at 50% survival) were not 13 significantly different among the three species (*E. singularis* = *C. rubrum* = *P. clavata*; ANOVA: 14 $F_{2,24} = 0.8$; p=0.45, Fig. 4C), but maximum PLD was (*E. singularis* = *P. clavata* > *C. rubrum*; 15 ANOVA: $F_{2,24}$ = 10.9; p< 0.01, Fig. 4D). Finally, swimming activity frequency of the three 16 species were also significantly different, but with a different hierarchy (C. rubrum > E. 17 *singularis* > *P. clavata*; ANOVA: F_{2,426} = 658; p<< 0.01, Fig. 4E). 18

19 4. Discussion

Despite all three species (E. singularis, P. clavata and C. rubrum) breed lecithotrophic planula 1 larvae, the only larval trait they shared was the half-PLD of 30 days (in temperature and salinity 2 conditions close to their natural conditions at sea). Such large half-PLD value was not expected 3 for the brooding reproductive strategy. Larvae of other brooder invertebrates have been reported 4 to display short PLDs, generally of a few days (Mediterranean Sea: porifera³¹; Tropical corals ³²; 5 Pacific ocean: bryozoa ³³). In contrast, PLD values of 30 days have been commonly reported for 6 broadcast spawners belonging to different taxa (echinoid larvae ³⁴; other taxa ³⁵). Meanwhile, 7 similar PLD of 30 days have been reported for eight other brooding octocoral species in the Red 8 Sea ³⁶. An alternative hypothesis could be that among brooding species, a trade-off between 9 larval size and dispersal potential exists. Two mechanisms may be proposed to support this 10 hypothesis, although leading to discrepant conclusions. On the one hand, from an 11 ecophysiological perspective, bigger larvae with more energetic reserves could survive longer 12 and delay on settlement with respect to smaller ones, thus disperse more (desperate larva 13 hypothesis ^{37, 38}). On the other hand, from a population dynamics perspective, species with lower 14 fecundity should produce bigger but less dispersive larvae than species with higher fecundity ¹⁴. 15 Indeed, offspring losses increase with dispersal duration through predation exposure ³⁹. Thus, 16 17 does species with a higher fecundity produce smaller and more dispersive larvae than species with lower fecundity ? 18

Among the three species studied, the premise of the life-history argument (the lower the 1 fecundity, the bigger the larvae ⁴⁰) was only partly valid, meaning that maternal investment was 2 not the same among the three species ^{41, 42}. While the species with the smallest larvae was the one 3 with the highest fecundity (*P. clavata*, 8-13 oocytes polyp⁻¹, [29]), the species with the lowest 4 fecundity (*C. rubrum*, 1-2 larvae polyp⁻¹, [43]) was not the one with the biggest larvae (*E.* 5 singularis, 1-5 larvae polyp⁻¹, [21, 44]). In the present study, the only larval trait that co-varied 6 with species fecundity was swimming activity frequency, but the relationship was opposite to 7 expected. The larvae of the species with higher fecundity (*P. clavata*) hardly swam and settled, 8 which is expected to limit their dispersal potential, notwithstanding specific flow conditions. In 9 contrast, the larvae of the species with lower fecundity (C. rubrum) swam most of the time, 10 outcompeting their free fall speed, which is expected to facilitate their dispersal. In fact, the only 11 factor that could actually constrain the dispersal potential of *C. rubrum* was maximum PLD. 12 However, maximum PLD was not at all limiting for the other low fecundity species, E. 13 14 singularis. Finally, none of the traits that are expected to regulate dispersal loss at sea (PLD, sinking speed, swimming activity frequency) matched the postulate "the lower the fecundity, the 15 less the dispersal potential" when examined separately. Then, the proxy derived from population 16 17 dynamics argument can be rejected.

Therefore, could ecophysiological traits be more appropriate to infer dispersal? Which, in the 1 case of our dataset, can be turned into the question: Do bigger larvae disperse more than smaller 2 ones? The only larval trait increasing with larval size was free fall speed. If larvae were passive, 3 like sedimentary particles, bigger larvae with larger free fall speeds would settle more quickly 4 and disperse less than smaller ones ⁴⁵. However, in the case of larvae, swimming activity could 5 compensate free fall. In the present study, the species with bigger larvae (E. singularis and C. 6 rubrum) displayed enough swimming activity frequency to avoid sinking. In contrast, the species 7 with smallest larvae (P. clavata) did not swim at all, and settled in absence of flow motion, a 8 mechanism expected to limit its dispersal. So far, the ecophysiological reasonning seems valid. 9 Smaller larvae, despite their slower free fall speed, would disperse less than bigger larvae, thanks 10 to active swimming behavior, probably supported by larger energetic reserves ⁴⁶. Yet, active 11 swimming behavior requires energy. For a lecithotrophic larva with limited energetic reserve 12 given upon release, energy consumption should alter other larval traits, such as buoyancy as 13 reported in *C. rubrum* (decrease in free fall speed with age ²⁷). Ultimately, energetic reserve 14 consumption could alter survival as well, which likely explained the reduced maximum PLD of 15 *C. rubrum* compared to *P. clavata*. In such a case, anticipating actual dispersal potential in ocean 16 flow between the two species is not straightforward and requires dispersal simulations ⁴⁷. Indeed, 17 while free fall lead to rapid settlement in horizontal flows and *de facto* limits effective dispersal 18 19 duration, settlement due to free fall would be avoided in upward flows associated with coastal up/downwellings ⁴⁸. In such flow conditions, a longer biological dispersal duration will enable 20 farther dispersal. The combination of non-swimming and long maximum dispersal duration in *P*. 21 *clavata* is thus expected to lead to skewed dispersal kernels with a dominance of short distance 22

dispersal and rare long-distance connections mediated by specific flow conditions. This is 1 consistent with neutral genetic variation in *P. clavata* populations which display high genetic 2 similarity at small spatial scale with few long-distance migrants ⁴⁹. Minimizing energy 3 consumption, by avoiding swimming activity, should extend larval survival resulting in an 4 efficient trade-off to avoid inbreeding in mainly self-recruiting *P. clavata* populations ⁵⁰. In 5 contrast, it is more difficult to relate the high swimming activity of C. rubrum to the neutral 6 genetic variation at spatial scales less than 10 m among *C. rubrum* populations ⁵¹. Dispersal 7 duration is unlikely to be the explanation for this genetic population structuring as larval 8 transport of neutrally buoyant larvae after 15 days can reach a hundred km ⁵². We thus argue that 9 the C. rubrum genetic population structuring more likely results from demographical history of 10 the species than from actual dispersal limitation. The case of E. *singularis* larvae is confusing as 11 neither free fall speed nor larval body density changed with age while they sustain active 12 swimming. This suggests that E. singularis larvae did not survive on a finite energetic reserve 13 14 pool and that the symbiotic relationship with *Symbiodinium spp* should sustain larvae energetic needs. However, the survival of *E. singularis* larvae maintained with a 12h light/dark 15 photoperiod was lower than survival of larvae maintained in dark conditions, suggesting that 16 light may not always increase dispersal potential in symbiotic species ⁵³. Light oxydative damage 17 may threaten larvae survival ⁵⁴. A more precise assessment of metabolism and energetic content 18 19 along the larval stage need would allow to test the role of symbionts along the larval stage. 20 Anyway, rearing conditions with photoperiod for E. singularis should be close to natural conditions at sea, as the swimming *E. singularis* larvae are expected to maintain themselves in 21 22 the upper part of the water column, where light irradiance penetrates. Similar survival

expectancy between *E. singularis* reared with a photoperiod and *P. clavata* larvae reared in dark 1 condition indicates a trade-off between active swimming behavior and light oxydative stress cost 2 during dispersal. In this context, the cost for large size larvae should be compensated by lower 3 fecundity. Big larvae being generally less numerous than small ones upon release ⁴⁰ (*E. singularis* 4 has a lower fecundity than *P. clavata*) should better cope with pressures acting between release 5 and recruitment. Quantifying predation rates in the plankton remains challenging ⁵⁵. Prev 6 selection by zooplanktivores is often positively correlated to prey size ⁵⁶. Yet, other traits 7 regulating prey/predator encounter such as their respective spatial density ⁵⁷ and motility ⁵⁸ are 8 also key to determine predation rates. The only documented process that supports a 9 compensation for the lower number of big larvae is their higher post-settlement success 10 compared to small ones ⁵⁹. 11

In summary, all larval traits (larval release duration and timing, larval maximum PLD, free fall speed and swimming activity frequency) except half-PLD, differed among the three species, but none of them could explain by itself the species dispersal potential. The three brooder species displayed different reproductive strategies, with both fecundity and larval size varying by a factor 5. No proxy relationship was found between any larval traits (except free fall speed) and either fecundity or larval size. Nevertheless, trade-offs between the larval traits regulating a species dispersal are consistent with the consumption of the energetic reserve given upon release.

19

20 **2. Methods**

21 **2.1 Larvae collection**

22 Larval release for the two species was recorded between 2011 and 2016 off the Catalan coast.

Paramuricea clavata (surface brooder) released oocytes between June 20th and July 14th, while 1 Eunicella singularis (internal brooder) released larvae between June 15th and July 26th (Table 2 1, https://sites.google.com/site/observatoiredusexemarin/). Offspring of the two species were col-3 lected in 2011, 2012, 2014 and 2016 in two sites along the Catalan coast (Cap de Creus, Spain 4 and Banyuls-sur-Mer, France), and the following traits were quantified: (1) surface area of lar-5 vae (maximum projected planar surface area of larvae on photographs), (2) larval survival rate 6 (proportion of initial larval number that survived in absence of predation), (3) metamorphosis 7 rate (proportion of initial larval number that metamorphosized), (4) larval body density (larval 8 mass per unit volume), (5) free fall speed (fall speed of non-swimming larvae in still seawater at 9 20°C), and (6) swimming activity frequency (proportion of time during which larvae are actively 10 swimming). Supplementary material 1 details the year in which each trait was assayed. P. clava-11 ta oocytes were collected with syringes on the surface of at least 10 different females in each site 12 and within 2 days upon release. Two days after collection, 36% +/- 5% (mean +/- standard devia-13 tion, SD) of collected oocytes had transformed into a planula larva. Larvae were kept in 2L 14 containers filled with filtered seawater (< 5 µm) at 18-20°C (average temperature in June-July at 15 27 m water depth in Banyuls Bay - Service d'Observation du Laboratoire Arago http://sooob.obs-16 17 banyuls.fr/fr/les_sites_d_observation/le_site_sola.html) and in dark conditions. Water was renewed every other day. To get *E. singularis* planulae, 10 female colonies were collected at each site 18 19 and transferred to closed circuit aquaria at 18-20 °C with oxygenation until planula release (end of June ²²). Released planulae were collected daily during 20 days. Larvae released within two 20 consecutive days were pooled to form cohorts with about the same age. Each cohort was separa-21 22 ted in two groups, one group kept in dark conditions and one group exposed to a 12h light/12h

dark cycle, as *E. singularis* larvae contains dinoflagellate *Symbiodinium* sp. All larvae were
maintained at 18-20 °C in 2L containers with filtered seawater (< 5 μm) which was renewed eve-
ry other day.

4 2.2 Larval survival in absence of predation and metamorphosis rate: pelagic larval dura5 tion

For larval survival rates and pelagic larval duration (PLD) assessment, ~400 larvae were ran-6 domly chosen from each release event, subdivided into three 0.4 L glass containers (replicates, n 7 = 100, 130 or 150) with filtered seawater (< 5 μ m) which was renewed every other day. For *P*. 8 clavata, larval survival and PLD were quantified on larvae from one release event in 2014 and 9 three release events in 2016. Such a procedure was adopted to test the effect of within-brood va-10 riability. For *E. singularis*, larval survival and PLD were quantified on larvae from three release 11 events in 2016. Larvae from one release event were maintained in dark conditions and larvae 12 from the other two release events were maintained in 12h light/12h dark cycle. Larvae and po-13 14 lyps were counted every other day (precision of 3%, estimated from repeated counts). However, *P. clavata* polyps were probably detected some days after their metamorphosis, due to their small 15 size. Larval survival rate at a given age was calculated as the number of larvae in a count at that 16 17 given age divided by the initial number of larvae at age 0. Metamorphosis rate at a given age was calculated as number of polyps in a count at that given age divided by the initial number of lar-18 19 vae at age 0. For each release event (n = 3), minimum, half and maximum PLD were defined as 20 the time since larval release at which survival rate was 95%, 50 % and 5 %. The effect of release event on minimum, half, maximum PLD and metamorphosis rate was tested by a one-way ANO-21 22 VA (Matlab command "anova1", Matlab2012b) with "Release event" as Factor (4 levels and 3

replicates for *P. clavata*, 2 levels and 3 replicates for *E. singularis* in dark/light condition). Ave-1 rage daily mortality rate during minimum, from minimum to half and from half to maximum 2 PLDs was also calculated assuming exponential decay of the number of larvae during each per-3 iod and not taking into account larvae that metamorphosized into polyps. Differences in average 4 daily mortality rate between larvae of different age was tested by a one-way ANOVA (Matlab 5 command "anova1", Matlab2012b) with "Larval age" as Factor (3 levels corresponding to the 6 three periods defined above, 4 replicates for each level for *P. clavata*, 2 replicates for each level 7 for *E. singularis*). When a significant difference was found, post hoc test was performed (Matlab 8 command "multcompare" with 5% significance level on Tuckey's honest significant criterion, 9 Matlab2012b). 10

11 **2.3 Surface area, body density and free fall speed of inactive larvae**

The projected planar surface of 160 larvae <12 days old of both species was measured on scaled 12 pictures with a routine developed by the authors with the Matlab Image Processing toolbox (Mat-13 14 lab2012b, routine available at https://github.com/guizien/Larval-actography). Body density and free fall speed of planulae of both species were quantified for different ages (2-day age cohorts). 15 Measurements for a same age with planulae proceeding from different 2016 release events were 16 17 considered replicates. Buoyancy of planulae is defined by the difference between planula body density and seawater density. It may be positive, negative or neutral, leading to larvae that float, 18 19 sink or stay in position while inactive. In this study, a modified dual-density method described by 20 [34] was used to estimate the specific body density of planulae, which consist in observing whether they floated or sank through a series of 7 density gradients produced by layering sea water 21 (density of 1.0265 g ml⁻¹ at 20°C) either over a sucrose solution (distilled water plus sucrose) 22

with densities ranging from 1.027 to 1.039 g ml⁻¹ or below a sucrose solution of 1.024 g ml^{-1.} An
additional test tube with seawater only was used to confirm the buoyancy close to natural conditions with sea water temperature at 20°C for *P. clavata* (sea water temperature maximum value
below 20 m water depth) and at 22°C for *E. singularis* (sea temperature maximum in the upper
20 m of the water column).

6 To immobilize the larvae without killing them, maintaining their shape and their free fall properties, the larvae were anesthetized by keeping them for less than 15 min in menthol-satura-7 ted seawater. Anesthetized larvae were checked under a stereomicroscope and those with visible 8 damage on their external surface were rejected. Twenty anesthetized larvae were injected sequen-9 tially in each test tube and were allowed to settle for 10 minutes into the density gradient produ-10 ced by the two fluids. Only the larvae that sank to the bottom were considered denser than the 11 corresponding sucrose solution. This procedure was carried out every day until approximately 30 12 days of age. A frequency distribution of body densities was built for each day, reporting the pro-13 14 portion of larvae denser than each sucrose solution (n = 20 larvae). The 20% and 80% quantiles of these frequency distributions were used to define the range of larval body density values. 15 Free-fall experiments were carried out at different larval age for larvae aged up to around 30 16 17 days for both species. P. clavata were not anesthetized as they displayed almost negligible swimming activity (see Results section). In contrast, E. singularis were anesthetized to measure free-18 19 fall speed in absence of swimming activity. For each species and larval age, a minimum of 30 20 larvae were gently injected in a settling device filled with sea water at room temperature. Settling device was a square bottle (9 cm side, 20 cm height) topped with a wide funnel (15 cm diameter) 21 22 in order to minimize the movement inside the bottle caused by the injection itself. Individual lar-

va free fall speed was calculated from video recordings as detailed in Supplementary Material 2. 1 Free fall was recorded over a 5 cm height in the middle of the square bottle to avoid wall effects 2 ⁶⁰. Sea water temperature varied among experiments from 19 to 23°C, which could have altered 3 free fall speed measurements. Free fall speed measurements were thus split into 2 groups accor-4 ding to experiment temperature (19- 21°C and 21-23°C). The effect of larval age was tested on 5 both the average and the SD of free fall speed for the 19-21°C group only. One-way ANOVAs 6 were performed with 3 levels corresponding to the three age groups defined as less than 10 days, 7 between 10 and 20 days and more than 20 days and with more than 30 replicates for each level 8 (Matlab command "anova1", Matlab 2012). When a statistical difference was detected, post hoc 9 test was performed (Matlab command "multcompare" with 5% significance level on Tuckey's 10 honest significant criterion, Matlab2012b). Linear correlation between age and average free fall 11 speed measured at 19-21°C was also tested (Matlab command "corrcoef", Matlab 2012). 12

13

14 2.4 Larval motility behavior: Swimming activity frequency

Swimming activity frequency was used to quantify larval motility behavior. Swimming activity 1 frequency is the proportion of time in which larvae are actively swimming, thus not settled or 2 free falling. Briefly, it is quantified from larval motion video recording of fixed duration, 3 dividing the cumulative duration of swimming larvae tracks by the maximum potential tracking 4 duration if all larvae had been swimming during the recording (number of larvae multiplied by 5 recording duration). For each larval motility behavior assay, 20 larvae of the same age were 6 randomly chosen and divided in 2 groups (n = 10 each) and injected into 2 different 50 ml flat-7 faced plastic containers ($38 \times 60 \times 20$ mm) in order to limit the interference between individual 8 larvae movement ¹⁷. Larval motility behavior was recorded during 90 min at 25 frames per 9 second with a digital camera (SONY DCR-SR78). A small distance between front and back walls 10 (20 mm) was chosen: 1) to constrain larvae in the vertical motion, and 2) to maintain them in the 11 focal plan of the camera in order to keep track of each individual. Assays were performed 12 simultaneously in the two containers and considered as replicates. The 90 min recording was 13 slotted into six successive sequences of 15 min during which larvae were exposed alternately to 14 Cold White Light (CWL, 6500 K, PAR = 480 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) and Infra-Red (IR) light 15 (PAR < 1 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹). Recording started 2 minutes after the last larva was injected, 16 17 ensuring that at the beginning of each recording, flow motion due to injection had disappeared. Larvae were kinesthetically stimulated using a pipette to agitate water in the container where 18 19 larvae were stored just before transferring them in the small experimental container. In order to 20 obtain approximately the same level of kinesthetic stimulation for all the replicates, a similar amount of pipette injections was applied each time. The effect of such stimulation is expected to 21 22 decay with time. For both species, swimming activity frequency was quantified at least every

other day (more often when possible) until 20 days of age. Larvae assayed at different age did
not necessarily come from the same release event. Swimming activity frequency was calculated
for each of the two groups of 10 larvae (replicates, n = 2), in each 15 min sequence and at each
larval age in both species.

The effect on swimming activity frequency of: 1) kinesthetic stimulation, 2) age and light 5 conditions in absence of kinesthetic stimulation was evaluated separately ⁶¹. First, the effect of 6 the kinesthetic stimulation was tested by comparing the swimming activity frequency at different 7 time after kinesthetic stimulation was stopped. To do so, a paired t-test was performed between 8 mean swimming activity frequency measured at different larval age (n=25) during the three 15-9 min slots of CWL (immediately, 30 min after and 1h after kinesthetic stimulation was stopped). 10 Second, the effects of larval age and light conditions on swimming activity frequency were tested 11 together considering only the last four 15-min slots, more than 30 minutes after kinesthetic 12 stimulation stopped. A three-way ANOVA was performed with 4 replicates per level being the 13 14 two vials in two 15-min slots with a same light condition (Factor 1 : 2 levels, light/dark during motility experiment x Factor 2 : 6 levels, 7/9/11/13/15/17-day age x Factor 3: 2 levels, 15 with/without photoperiod 16 during larvae maintenance; Matlab command "anovan", 17 Matlab2012b).

18

Acknowledgements We thank the captain and crew of the RV Nereis II, and the scuba divers, JC Roca and B Hesse, for their technical assistance during field sampling. This work was funded by the French National Program LITEAU IV of the Ministère de l'Ecologie et de l'Environnement Durable under project *RocConnect – Connectivité des habitats rocheux fragmentés du Golfe du*

Lion (de Marseille au Cap de Creus) et son rôle dans la persistence régionale de trois espèces 1 de gorgonaires (PI, K. Guizien, project number 12-MUTS-LITEAU-1-CDS-013) and by EC 2 Interreg Marittimo program under project IMPACT - IMpatto Portuale su aree marine protette: 3 Azioni Cooperative Transfrontaliere (PI, M. Magaldi, CUP B12F17000370005). Participant's 4 travels between France and Spain was supported by bilateral grant CNRS/CSIC under project 5 Gorgol [grant number, 25331]. This work is a partial fulfillment of the PhD Thesis of 6 N.Viladrich [Project BENTOLARV, grant number, CTM2009-10007) who is supported by a 7 doctoral fellowship from the University Autónoma of Barcelona. The authors gratefully 8 acknowledged the help of A. Robert and S. Rockomanovic during Master 1 internship. 9

10

11 Author contributions

12 KG and LB conceived the study and planned the experimental design ; NV and AMQ 13 performed the experiments ; KG and NV performed the data analysis. All authors contributed to 14 the development of the manuscript.

15

16 Additional information:

17 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

18

19 **5. References**

20 1. Grinnell, I. Geography and evolution. Ecology 5, 225-229 (1924)

21 2. Elton, C. Animal Ecology. London: Sidgewick & Jackson. 204 pp. (1927)

3. Hutchinson, G. E. Concluding remarks. Cold Spring Harbor Symposium Quantitative Biology.
 22(2), 415-427 (1957)

- 4. Elith, J., & Leathwick, J. R. Species distribution models: ecological explanation and prediction 3 across space and time. Ann Rev of Ecol and Evol Syst 40, 677-4 697.doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.110308.120159 (2009) 5
- 5. Fordham, D.A. et al. How complex should models be? Comparing correlative and mechanistic
 range dynamics models. Glob Change Biol 24, 1357-1370 (2018)
- 6. Pagel, J., & Schurr, F. M. Forecasting species ranges by statistical estimation of ecological
 niches and spatial population dynamics. Glob Ecol and Biogeogr 21, 293–304. doi:
 10.1111/j.1466-8238.2011.00663.x (2012)
- 7. Hanski, I. Metapopulation dynamics: does it help to have more of the same? TREE 4, 113–114
 (1989)
- 8. Leibold, M.A. et al. The metacommunity concept: a framework for multi-scale community
 ecology. Ecol Lett 7 (7) : 601-613 (2004)
- 9. Urban, M. C. et al. Improving the forecast of biodiversity under climate change. Science 353
 (6304), aad8466. (2016)
- 17 10. Bohonak, A. J. Dispersal, Gene Flow, and Population Structure. *The Quarterly Review of*18 *Biology* 74(1): 21-45 (1999)
- 19 11. Fitzpatrick, M. C., & Hargrove, W.W. The projection of species distribution models and the
 20 problem of non-analog climate. Biodiversity Conservation 18, 2255–2261. (2009)
- 12. Thomson, F. J., Moles, A. T., Auld, T. D., Ramp, D., Ren, S., & Kingsford, T. Chasing the
- 22 unknown: predicting seed dispersal mechanisms from plant traits. J of Ecol 98, 1310-1318

1 (2010)

- 2 13. Scheltema, R.S. On dispersal and planktonic larvae of benthic invertebrates: an eclectic
 3 overview and summary of the problem. Bull. Mar. Sci. 39(2): 290-322(33) (1986)
- 4 14. Levinton, J.S. Marine Biology : function, biodiversity, ecology. Oxford University Press. 420
 5 pp. (1995)
- 6 15. Szmant, A.M. Reproductive ecology of Caribbean reef corals. Coral Reefs 5, 43–53
 7 doi:10.1007/BF00302170 (1986)
- 8 16. Harrison, P. L., & Wallace, C. C. Reproduction, dispersal and recruitment of scleractinian
 9 corals. In: Dubinsky Z (eds) Coral reef ecosystems. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 133–207 (1990)
- 10 17. Chia, F. S., Buckland-Nicks, J., & Young, C. M. Locomotion of marine invertebrates larvae:
- 11 a review. Can J of Zool **62**, 1205–1222 (1984)
- 12 18. Weinberg, S., & Weinberg, F. The life cycle of a gorgonian: Eunicella singularis (Esper,
 13 1794). Bijdr Dierkd 48, 127–140 (1979)
- 14 19. Weinberg, S. Revision of the common Octocorallia of the Mediterranean circalittoral. I.
 15 Gorgonacea. Beaufortia 24, 63–104 (1976)
- 20. Gori, A., Rossi, S., Berganzo, E., Pretus, J. L., Dale, M. R. T., & Gili, J. M. Spatial
 distribution patterns of the gorgonians *Eunicella singularis*, *Paramuricea clavata* and *Leptogorgia sarmentosa* (Cape of Creus, Northwestern Mediterranean Sea). Mar Biol 158, 143–
 158 (2011)
- 20 21. Theodor, J. Contribution à l'étude des gorgones (VII) : écologie et comportement de la
 21 planula. Vie et Milieu <u>18</u>(2A), 291-301. (1967)

- 22. Ribes, M., Coma, R., Rossi, S., & Michelli, M. The cycle of gonadal development of
 Eunicella singularis (Cnidaria: Octocorallia). Invertebr Biol **126**, 307–317 (2007)
- 3 23. Santangelo, G., & Abbiati. M. Red coral: conservation and management of an over-exploited
- 4 Mediterranean species. Aquat Conserv Mar Freshw Ecosys. 259, 253–259 (2001)
- 5 24. Costantini, F., Taviani, M., Remia, A., Pintus, E., Schembri, P. J., & Abbiati, M. Deep-water
- 6 Corallium rubrum (L., 1758) from the Mediterranean Sea: preliminary genetic characterisation.

7 Mar Ecol **31**, 261-269 (2010)

- 8 25. Santangelo, G., Carletti, E., Maggi, E., & Bramanti, L. Reproduction and population sexual
- 9 structure of the overexploited Mediterranean red coral Corallium rubrum. Mar Ecol Prog Ser
- 10 **248**, 99-108 doi:10.3354/meps248099 (2003)
- 11 26. Lacaze-Duthiers, H. Histoire Naturelle du Corail, 371 p. J.B. Baillière et Fils, Paris. (1864)
- 12 27. Martinez-Quintana, A., Bramanti, L., Viladrich, N., Rossi, S., & Guizien, K. Quantification
- of *Corallium rubrum* larvae motility behavior: implications for population connectivity. Mar Biol
 162, 309-318 (2015)
- 15 28. Coma, R., Ribes, M., Zabala, M., & Gili, J. M. Growth in a Modular Colonial Marine
 16 Invertebrate. Estuar, Coast and Shelf Sci 47, 459–470 (1998)
- 29. Coma, R., Ribes, M., Zabala, M., & Gili, J. M. Reproduction and cycle of gonadal
 development in the Mediterranean gorgonian Paramuricea clavata. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 117, 173183 (1995)
- 20 30. Linares, C., Coma, R., Mariani, S., Diaz, D., Hereu, B., & Zabala, M. Early life history of the
- Mediterranean gorgonian Paramuricea clavata: implications for population dynamics. Invertebr
 Biol **127**(1), 1–11. (2008)

- 1 31. Maldonado, M. The ecology of sponge larvae. Can J of Zool **84**(2),175-194.(2006)
- 2 32. Nishikawa, A., Masaya, K., & Sakai, K. Larval settlement rates and gene flow of broadcast-
- 3 spawning (Acropora Tenuis) and Planula-Brooding (Stylophora Pistillata) corals. Mar Ecol Prog
- 4 Ser **256**, 87–97. doi: 10.3354/meps256087 (2003)
- 5 33. Keough, M. J., & Chernoff, H. Dispersal and population variation in the bryozoan Bugula
- 6 neritina. Ecology **68**, 199-210 (1987)
- 7 34. Pennington, J. T., & Emlet, R. B. Ontogenic and diel vertical migration of a planktonic
- 8 echinoid larva, Dendraste rexcentricus (Eschscholtz): occurrence, causes, and probable
- 9 consequences. J of Exp Mar Biol and Ecol. **104**, 69–95 (1986)
- 10 35. Mc Edward, L. R. Ecology of marine invertebrate larvae. CRC Press, Boca Raton (1995)
- 36. Ben-David-Zaslow, R., & Benayahu, Y. Competence and longevity in planulae of several
 species of soft corals. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 163, 235-243 (1998)
- 13 37. Knight-Jones, E. W. Gregariousness and some other aspects of the settling behaviour of
- 14 Spirorbis. J of the Mar Biol Assoc UK **30**, 201–222 (1951)
- 15 38. Wilson, D. P. The settlement of *Ophelia bicornis* Savigny larvae. Journal of Marine Biology
- 16 Association UK **32**, 209–233 (1953)
- 17 39. Cowen R.K., Lwiza, K. M. M., Sponaugle, S., Paris, C. B., & Olson, D.B. Connectivity of
- 18 marine populations: open or closed? Science **287**, 857–59 (2000)
- 19 40. Stearns, S. C. The Evolution of Life Histories. Oxford: Oxford University Press. (1992)
- 20 41. Viladrich, N. et al. Variations of lipid and free fatty acid contents during spawning in two
- 21 temperate octocorals with different reproductive strategies: surface versus internal brooder. Coral
- 22 reefs. **35** (3), 1033-1045 DOI 10.1007/s00338-016-1440-1 (2016)

- Viladrich, N., Bramanti, L., Tsounis, G., Martínez-Quintana, A., Ferrier-Pagès, C., & Rossi,
 S. Variation of lipid and free fatty acid contents during larval release in two temperate octocorals
 according to their trophic strategy. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 573, 117-128.doi.org/10.3354/meps12141
 (2017)
- 5 43. Santangelo, G., Bramanti, L., & Iannelli, M. Population dynamics and conservation biology
- 6 of the overexploited Mediterranean Red coral. J of Theor Biol 244, 416–423 (2007)
- 44. Gori, A., Linares, C., Rossi, S., Coma, R., & Gili, J. M. Spatial variability in reproductive
 cycles of the gorgonians *Paramuricea clavata* and *Eunicella singularis* in the western
 Mediterranean. Mar Biol 151, 1571–1584 (2007)
- 10 45. Caldwell, R. L., & Edmonds, D. A. The effects of sediment properties ondeltaic processes
- and morphologies: A numerical modeling study, J Geophys Res Earth Surf., 119, 961–982,
 doi:10.1002/2013JF002965. (2014)
- 46. Richmond, R. H. Energetics, competency, and long-distance dispersal of planula larvae of the
 coral Pocillopora damicornis. Mar Biol, **93**(4), 527-533 (1987)
- 15 47. Guizien, K., Belharet, M., Marsaleix, P., & Guarini, J. M. Using larval dispersal simulations
- 16 for Marine Protected Area design: application to the Gulf of Lions (NW Mediterranean). Limnol
- 17 and Oceanogr 57(4), 1099-1112 | DOI: 10.4319/lo.2012.57.4.1099 (2012)
- 18 48. Hu, D. Upwelling and sedimentation dynamics, I: The role of upwelling in sedimentation in
- 19 the Yellow Sea and East China Sea—a description of general features. Chin J Of Oceanol and
- 20 Limnol **2**(1), 12–19 (1984)

49. Mokhtar-Jamaï, K. et al. From global to local genetic structuring in the red gorgonian
 Paramuricea clavata: the interplay between oceanographic conditions and limited larval
 dispersal. Mol Ecol 20, 3291–3305 (2011)

50. Padron, M., Costantini, F., Bramanti, L., Guizien, K., & Abbiati, M. Genetic connectivity
fosters recovery of gorgonian populations impacted by climate change. Aquat Conserv: Mar and
Freshw Ecosys 28, 779-787. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2912</u> (2018a)

51. Ledoux, J.-B., Mokhtar-Jamaï, K., Roby, C., Féral, J.-P., Garrabou, J. & Aurelle, D. Genetic
survey of shallow populations of the Mediterranean red coral [Corallium rubrum
(Linnaeus,1758)]: new insights into evolutionary processes shaping nuclear diversity and
implications for conservation. Mol Ecol **19**, 675-690. (2010)

- 52. Padron, M., Costantini, F., Baksay, S., Bramanti, L., & Guizien, K. Passive larval transport
 explains recent gene flow in a Mediterranean gorgonian. Coral reefs 37, 495-506.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-018-1674-1. (2018b)
- 53. Isomura, N., & Nishihira, M. Size variation of planulae and its effect on the lifetime of
 planulae in three pocilloporid corals. Coral Reefs 20, 309-315 (2001)
- 16 54. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., & Jones, R. J. Photoinhibition and photoprotection in symbiotic
- dinoflagellates from reef-building corals. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. **183**, 73–86 (1999)
- 18 55. Rumrill, S. S. Natural mortality of marine invertebrate larvae. Ophelia **32**,163–198 (1990)
- 19 56. Frost, B. W. Feeding processes at lower trophic levels in pelagic communities In the
- 20 Biology of the Oceanic Pacific: 59-77. Oregon State University Press. (1974)
- 21 57. Williamson, C. E., & Stoeckel, M. E. Estimating predation risk in zooplankton communities:
- the importance of vertical overlap. Hydrobiologia **198**, 125-131 (1990)

1	58. Almeda, R., van Someren Greve, H., & Kiørboe, T. Behavior is a major determinant of		
2	predation risk inzooplankton. Ecosphere 8 (2):e01668. 10.1002/ecs2.1668 (2017)		
3	59. Moran, A. L., & Emlet, R. B. Offspring size and performance in variable environments: field		
4	studies on a marine snail. Ecology 82 , 1597-1612 (2001)		
5	60. Vogel, S. Life in a moving fluid: the physical biology of flow. Princeton University Press		
6	(1994)		
7	61. Guizien, K., Brochier, T., Duchêne, J. C., Koh, B. S., & Marsaleix, P. Dispersal of Oweni		
8	fusiformis larvae by wind-driven currents: Turbulence, swimming behaviour and mortality in a		
9	three-dimensional stochastic model. Mar Ecol Prog Ser, 311 , 47–66 (2006)		
10			
11			
12			
13			

1 Legends

Fig. 1 Larval survival of *Paramuricea clavata* during 90 days (A) and *Eunicella singularis*during 65 days (B, with and without photoperiod). Polyp metamorphosis rate for *Paramuricea clavata* during 90 days (C) and *Eunicella singularis* during 65 days (D, with photoperiod).

Fig. 2 Larval body density 80% and 20% quantiles of *Paramuricea clavata* (A) and *Eunicella singularis* (B) are displayed by the grey area during the first 30 days of PLD. Thick (dashed, respectively) line indicates sea water density at 38 psu and 20°C (12°C, respectively). Individual free fall velocities of *P. clavata* (C) and *E. singularis* (D) larvae measured in sea water at 19-21°C (black circle) and 21-23°C (red triangle) in different reproductive events. Mean sinking velocities values (solid line) and 80% confidence intervals around the mean (grey area) estimated from linear regression on the 19-21°C data.

Fig. 3 Mean swimming activity frequency of 2 groups of 10 larvae (black – in dark condition and white – in light conditions - bars) of *Eunicella singularis* larvae during the first 17 days of PLD. In panel A, larvae were maintained with a 12h light/12h dark photoperiod while in panel B, larvae were maintained in dark during the 17 days.

Fig. 4 Larval projected surface area (A), Free fall speed (B), Half PLD (C), Maximum PLD (D)
and Swimming activity frequency (E) for three sympatric species: *Corallium rubrum* (Cr,
internal brooder), *Eunicella singularis* (Es, internal brooder with symbionts), *Paramuricea clavata* (Pc, external brooder).

20

21



Fig. 1:



Fig. 2:



Fig. 3:



Fig4 4:

Supplementary material 1 Release timing and Sampling dates

	Creus Cape	Banyuls-sur-mer
Paramuricea clavata	21/06/2011 [5,6]	23/06/2016 [1,2,3,4]
	22/06/2012 [5,6]	13/07/2016
	05/07/2013	
	07-10/07/2013	
	14/07/2013	
	22/06/2014 [1]	
	20-22/06/2016	
	[1,2,3,4]	
Eunicella singularis	15-16/06/2012 [5,6]	22-24/06/2016
	19-21/07/2012 [5,6]	[1,2,3,4]
		05-11/07/2016
		[1,2,3,4]
		15-20/07/2016 [3,4]
		22-26/07/2016

Table 1: Release timing recorded off the Catalan coast for the two gorgonian species since 2011 (in 2012 and 2016 only for *Eunicella singularis*). The dates in which samples were taken for larval traits experimentation are displayed in bold characters and the larval trait assessed indicated by a number between brackets (1: larval survival rate in absence of predation, 2: metamorphosis rate, 3: larval body density, 4: free fall speed, 5: swimming activity rate, 6: swimming speeds).

1 **Supplementary material 2** Free fall speed measurements

Larval motion was recorded at 25 frames per second with a digital camera (SONY DCR-SR78); 2 larval tracks were reconstructed applying the particle-tracking routine developed by the authors 3 with the Matlab Image Processing toolbox to a sequence of frames sampled at a 0.5 s rate 4 (Matlab2012b, routine available at https://github.com/guizien/Larval-actography). The main steps 5 of the routine are as follows: on each frame, larvae were identified on the red plane component as 6 white objects, which contrasted from the background. The background was calculated by applying a 7 low-pass 2D filtering over disks of 8-10 pixels radius. The radius was set to the larvae maximum 8 body length in order to spatially average them out when calculating the background. Detected white 9 objects were thresholded to a maximum surface of 90 pixels to be considered as larva. Each larva 10 track was then reconstructed from nearest positions of two larvae in two successive frames. When 11 this reconstruction was ambivalent for the presence in the frame of more than one larva near to 12 another in the previous frame, the track was stopped and a new track started for each larva. Larval 13 tracks were scaled in pixels using independent vial width for each film. It yield an average spatial 14 resolution for position tracking of 0.0087 cm pixel⁻¹ (0.0126 cm pixel⁻¹, respectively) on the 15 horizontal (on the vertical, respectively) for *Eunicella singularis* larvae and of 0.0070 cm pixel⁻¹ 16 (0.011 cm pixel⁻¹, respectively) for *Paramuricea clavata* larvae. A maximum vertical velocity 17 threshold of 1.5 cm s⁻¹ was applied which exceeds free fall or swimming speeds of both species 18 larvae. In free fall experiment, vertical velocity components were computed along each recorded 19 track and filtered out to detect uniform motion (when weight minus buoyancy equals drag) by 20 removing accelerated or decelerated motion as result of the injection of the larvae or when the 21 22 larvae were settling in the bottom of the containers. A larva is considered in uniform fall motion when the maximum deviation between the mean fall speeds during two consecutive 2.5 s long 23 periods was lower than standard deviation of the fall speed within the 2.5 s long periods. The 24 25 individual free fall speed and its precision was determined for each track, hence larva, as the mean and standard deviation of the vertical speed during uniform fall motion. 26