

## Co-delivery of NS1 and BMP2 mRNAs to murine pluripotent stem cells leads to enhanced BMP-2 expression and osteogenic differentiation

Pinpin Wang, Delphine Logeart-Avramoglou, Hervé Petite, Cristine Gonçalves, Patrick Midoux, Federico Perche, Chantal Pichon

### ▶ To cite this version:

Pinpin Wang, Delphine Logeart-Avramoglou, Hervé Petite, Cristine Gonçalves, Patrick Midoux, et al.. Co-delivery of NS1 and BMP2 mRNAs to murine pluripotent stem cells leads to enhanced BMP-2 expression and osteogenic differentiation. Acta Biomaterialia, 2020, 108, pp.337-346. 10.1016/j.actbio.2020.03.045 . hal-02553030

## HAL Id: hal-02553030 https://hal.science/hal-02553030

Submitted on 24 Nov 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 Co-delivery of NS1 and BMP2 mRNAs to murine pluripotent stem cells leads to enhanced BMP-2
- 2 expression and osteogenic differentiation
- 3
- 4 Pinpin Wang<sup>a</sup>, Delphine Logeart-Avramoglou<sup>c</sup>, Hervé Petite<sup>c</sup>, Cristine Goncalves<sup>a</sup>, Patrick Midoux<sup>a</sup>,

5 Federico Perche<sup>a</sup>, Chantal Pichon<sup>a, b\*</sup>

- 6
- 7 a. Centre de Biophysique Moléculaire, UPR 4301 CNRS, Rue Charles Sadron, 45071 Orléans, France
- 8 b. Faculty of Sciences and Techniques, University of Orléans
- 9 c. Université de Paris, CNRS, INSERM, B3OA, 10 Avenue de Verdun, 75010 Paris, France
- 10
- 11 \*E-mail: <u>chantal.pichon@cnrs.fr</u>
- 12 Tel: +33 2 38 25 55 95
- 13 Fax: +33 2 38 63 15 16
- 14

#### 15 Abstract

16 Application of messenger RNA (mRNA) for bone regeneration is a promising alternative to DNA, 17 recombinant proteins and peptides. However, exogenous in vitro transcribed mRNA (IVT mRNA) triggers 18 innate immune response resulting in mRNA degradation and translation inhibition. Inspired by the ability 19 of viral immune evasion proteins to inhibit host cell responses against viral RNA, we applied non-structural 20 protein-1 (NS1) from Influenza A virus (A/Texas/36/1991) as an IVT mRNA enhancer. We evidenced a dose-21 dependent blocking of RNA sensors by NS1 expression. The co-delivery of NS1 mRNA with mRNA of 22 reporter genes significantly increased the translation efficiency. Interestingly, unlike the use of nucleosides 23 modification, NS1-mediated mRNA translation enhancement does not dependent to cell type. Dual 24 delivery of NS1 mRNA and BMP-2 mRNA to murine pluripotent stem cells (C3H10T1/2), promoted 25 osteogenic differentiation evidenced by enhanced expression of osteoblastic markers (e.g. alkaline 26 phosphatase, type I collagen, osteopontin, and osteocalcin), and extracellular mineralization. Overall, 27 these results support the adjuvant potentiality of NS1 for mRNA-based regenerative therapies.

28 Keywords: mRNA delivery, non-structural protein 1, RNA sensors, bone morphogenetic protein 2,
 29 osteogenesis

- 30
- 31
- 32

#### 1 **1. Introduction**

Bone defects affect millions of people every year [1]. The treatment of large bone defects resulting from
trauma, nonunion fractures, tumor resections or craniofacial malformations remains challenging. The
situation is more severe with the increase of aging population.

5 Many strategies have been proposed to improve the regeneration of damaged bone tissue. Among them, 6 the delivery of osteoinductive growth factors (or derivatives), mostly bone morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-7 2), to the lesion site remains a promising approach to promote bone healing [2, 3]. However, delivery of 8 supraphysiological dose induced deleterious side effects, including significant inflammation, swelling, and 9 heterotopic ossification, that had limited the extent of their clinical use [4].

10 DNA and messenger RNA (mRNA)-based gene therapies represent alternative approaches for locally 11 delivering growth factors [5]. They allow an in-situ expression of growth factors in host cells, and undergo 12 precise post-translational modifications required for optimal activity. Furthermore, gene therapy is 13 preferable for delivering products that exert their function intracellularly, such as transcription factors and 14 signaling transduction molecules [6].

15 DNA delivery to produce osteogenic proteins has demonstrated an enhancement of osteogenesis both in 16 vitro and in vivo, proving the great potential of this strategy for bone healing and regeneration [7, 8]. The 17 main limitation of DNA delivery, however, is the requirement of nuclear transport of the transgene for its 18 transcription leading to low transfection efficiency and risk of genome insertion. Despite the high gene 19 transfer efficiency obtained with viral vectors, the random genomic integration and the immunogenicity 20 that are hard to handle and should not be ignored [9, 10]. In contrast, mRNA molecules are directly 21 translated inside the cytoplasm, making this technology more efficient, and suitable in non-dividing cells. 22 This aspect is especially useful when inducing cell differentiation since terminal differentiation usually 23 coincides with proliferation arrest [11]. Although mRNA therapy demonstrates clear advantages, its broad 24 application has been so far limited to vaccination because of its instability and immunogenicity [12, 13]. 25 After internalization, IVT mRNA molecules mainly accumulate into endosomes, where they are recognized 26 by Toll-like receptors (TLRs), specifically TLR3, 7, and 8, located in the endosome membrane [14-16]. TLRs 27 engagement induces type I interferon (INF $\alpha/\beta$ ) expression and associated anti-viral responses resulting in 28 IVT mRNA translation suppression and degradation, and even host cell apoptosis [17, 18]. To reduce such 29 immune effects, chemically modified nucleotides have been developed; the synthesis of such nucleotides, 30 however, is expensive and the type and percentage of modified nucleotides in the mRNA sequence must 31 be tuned as a function of mRNA and cell type for maximum activity [19]. Recently, other strategies have 32 been developed based on RNA-virus mimicry [20, 21].

1 During millions of years of coexisting with their hosts, viruses have acquired the ability to manipulate host 2 immune mechanisms [22, 23]. Viruses express various immune evasion proteins (e.g. protein K3 (K3L), 3 protein E3 (E3L), soluble interferon  $\alpha/\beta$  receptor B18 (B18R), NS1) which sequester the host immune-4 mediating proteins (e.g. interferons (IFNs), retinoic acid-inducible gene I protein (RIG-I), interferon-5 induced, double-stranded RNA-activated protein kinase (PKR)) induced by detecting immune triggers (e.g. 6 dsRNA). Mimicking this viral immune-evasion process could, therefore, be an interesting strategy to bypass 7 the IVT mRNA-triggered immune responses and, therefore to increase the transfection efficiency [24]. 8 Specifically, B18R is a secreted protein that exerts its function as a soluble receptor for type I IFNs [25]; the 9 use of recombinant B18R from Western Reserve strain of vaccinia virus as cell culture medium supplement 10 during in vitro transfection is known to maintain cell viability and to enhance the IVT mRNA translation 11 efficacy [26-28]. In contrast to B18R, NS1 is a multifunctional viral protein that participates in every step 12 of host immune reaction [29]. During the viral replication cycle, NS1 completely interacts with dsRNA, 13 thereby inhibiting 2'-5'-oligoadenylate synthase (OAS)/RNase L-mediated RNA degradation, and PKR-14 mediated protein synthesis reduction [30, 31]. NS1 forms a complex with RIG-1 that blocks the activation 15 of NF-kB (nuclear factor kappa B) and IRF3 (interferon regulatory factor 3) and, subsequently, reduces IFN 16  $\alpha/\beta$  expression [32, 33]. Co-delivery of NS1 mRNA with mRNA of interest was able to enhance mRNA 17 translation to an extent superior to the incorporation of modified nucleotides [20, 21]. In addition, we 18 found that NS1-mediated translation enhancement is independent of gene context and cell type which is 19 not the case for chemically modified mRNA [19, 34].

Here, we propose to combine NS1 mRNA with BMP-2 mRNA to improve the efficiency of mRNA therapy for bone regeneration. The hypothesis is that the co-delivery of both NS1 mRNA and BMP-2 mRNA into osteoprogenitor cells enhances the BMP-2 expression and ultimately promotes osteogenesis. In this study, a murine pluripotent stem cell line (C3H10T1/2) was used to evaluate the *in vitro* osteogenic potential of this dual mRNA (BMP2/NS1 mRNAs) strategy. The stem cells transfected with the dual mRNAs, under optimized mass ratio, expressed significantly higher BMP-2 than cells transfected with BMP-2 mRNA alone, which led to increased induction of osteogenic genes and extracellular calcium deposits.

Through this proof-of-concept study, we successfully validated the application of NS1 mRNA as a supplement for enhanced therapeutic mRNA transfection. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study demonstrating the therapeutic potential of non-modified mRNAs in regeneration medicine. We hope that through this strategy, a new horizon of mRNA therapy could be developed.

- 31
- 32

#### 1 2. Materials and methods

#### 2 2.1. Preparation of plasmids and mRNAs

3 Mouse BMP-2 ORF was PCR amplified from pCMV3-mBMP2-GFPSpark (Sino Biologicals) with 5'-4 5'-TATGGATCCACTTAAGATGGTGGCCGGGACCCGCTGT-3' as forward primer and 5 TATTGCGGCCGCTTAACGACACCCGCAGCCCTC-3' as reverse primer (Eurogentec). NS1 (A/Texas/36/1991) 6 ORF was PCR amplified from pUC57-NS1 (Genscript) with 5'-tgtacggatcctcctatggattccaacactgtgtc-3' and 5'-7 atttgcggccgctcaaacttctgacct-3' as the forward primer and reverse primer (Eurogentec), respectively. The 8 BMP2 and NS1 segments were inserted into the previously described pGEM4Z-luc-A64 plasmid [35], 9 between BamHI and Notl, respectively. Plasmid DNAs were extracted, purified with NucleoBond® Xtra 10 Maxi EF kit (Macherey-Nagel) and verified by sequencing (Eurofins). The maps of pGEM4Z-BMP2-A64 and 11 pGEM4Z-NS1-A64 were shown in Supplementary Fig.1.

Plasmids were linearized for mRNA preparation with a mMessage mMachine T7 kit (Ambion) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The poly(A) tail was extended with PolyA polymerase (Ambion) to generate at least 150nt guaranteed by the manufacturer. To produce chemically modified mRNAs (cmRNAs), 50% uridine-5'-triphosphate were replaced with pseudouridine-5'-triphosphate (TriLink). Synthesized mRNAs were purified with phenol: chloroform and isopropanol precipitation. Sizes and integrity of the mRNAs were verified by denatured agarose gel electrophoresis (Supplementary Fig. 2).

#### 18 2.2. Cell culture

19 C2C12 murine myoblasts, 4T1 murine breast cancer cells, A549 human lung cancer cells, U87-MG human 20 glioblastoma cells, HepG2 human hepatocellular carcinoma cells and, C3H10T1/2 murine pluripotent stem 21 cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Center (ATCC). Murine DC 2.4 cells were a kind gift 22 from Pr. Kenneth Rock [36]. C2C12-BRE/Luc cells, which contain a BMP-2 sensitive reporter gene were 23 obtained after stably transfection with the BMP-responsive element (BRE) fused to the luciferase gene as 24 previously described [37]. Cells were grown at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2. Cells 25 were mycoplasma-free as evidenced by MycoAlert Mycoplasma Detection Kit (Lonza).

#### 26 2.3. In vitro transfection

All transfections were mediated by Lipofectamine MessengerMax (LFM) (ThermoFisher) transfection
reagent according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, to prepare mRNA-LFM complexes (lipoplexes),
0.5µg mRNA and 0.75µl LFM were diluted in 25µl opti-MEM (Gibco). Ten min after, the mRNA solution was
added into LFM suspension, followed by 15min incubation. The final volume of LFM and opti-MEM were
scaled up/down according to mRNA dose.

32

#### 1 2.4. Evaluation of translation of BMP2 mRNA and NS1 mRNA containing different 3' UTR

The day before transfection, C2C12-BRE/Luc cells were seeded at 5×10<sup>4</sup> cells/well in 24-well plate. The next day, 0.5 μg BMP2-NotI mRNA (transcribed from NotI linearized BMP2 plasmid) or 0.5 μg BMP2-Xbal mRNA (transcribed from Xbal linearized BMP2 plasmid) both complexed with LFM were delivered to C2C12-BRE/LUC cells. One day post-transfection, cells were lysed, and the luciferase activity was quantified as previously reported [35]. Luminescence from cell lysates was measured with the LB9075 illuminometer (Biorad) and, expressed as relative light unit (RLU) per mg of proteins.

NS1-NotI and NS1-Xbal mRNA were delivered using the same procedure as BMP2 mRNAs, and semiquantified by Western blot. Briefly, 24h post-transfection, the cells were collected and lysed in 100µl
Pierce<sup>™</sup> RIPA buffer (ThermoScientific). Cell lysate containing 15µg total protein was loaded into each well
of the SDS-PAGE gel (5% for concentration gel, and 12% for separation gel). The NS1 and beta-actin
contents were observed with ChemiDoc<sup>™</sup> imaging system (Bio-Rad) after labeling with primary antibodies
(NS1 mouse monoclonal antibody (Santa Cruz); actin rabbit polyclonal antibody (Sigma-Aldrich) and
secondary antibodies (anti-mouse and -rabbit IgG polyclonal antibodies (Enzo life sciences), respectively.

#### 15 2.5. Assessment of NS1 cytocompatibility

16 C3H10T1/2 cells (5×10<sup>4</sup> cells/well in 24-well plate) were transfected with increasing doses of NS1 mRNA (0

17 .1µg, 0.3µg, and 0.5µg per well) complexed with LFM. Same amount of GFP mRNA was used as control.

18 24h and 48h later, cytotoxicity level was evaluated by an XTT assay (Cell Proliferation Kit II, Sigma-Aldrich)

19 following the manufacturer's instruction.

#### 20 2.6. Validation of NS1-mediated IVT mRNA translation enhancement

One day after cell plating, 0.2µg GFP mRNA was co-delivered with either 0.1µg, or 0.3µg, or 0.5µg NS1
mRNA into C3H10T1/2 cells. Twelve hours post-transfection, the GFP expression was evaluated by flow
cytometry (FACSort, Becton Dickinson), and the intracellular NS1 from each condition tested was semiquantified by Western-blot.

To measure the kinetic of GFP expression, 0.2µg GFP mRNA was co-delivered with either 0.2µg Gluc mRNA
 or 0.2µg NS1 mRNA. The GFP expression was measured from 6h to 168 h post-transfection.

To study the immune activation post-transfection, C3H10T1/2 cells were transfected with 1 μg/well of
total mRNA comprising different weight ratios of GFP mRNA and NS1 mRNA: 1μg GFP mRNA (1 GFP);
0.75μg GFP mRNA and 0.25μg NS1 mRNA (0.75 GFP/0.25 NS1); 0.5μg GFP mRNA and 0.5μg NS1 mRNA (0.5
GFP/0.5 NS1); 0.25μg GFP mRNA and 0.75μg NS1 mRNA (0.25 GFP/0.75 NS1); 1μg NS1 mRNA (1 NS1) Cells
transfected with Ψ-modified GFP mRNA (GFP(50%ψ)) were set as controls. Interferon alpha (IFN α)

32 secreted in the supernatant was measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA, PBL Assay

1 Science) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Gene expression level of IFN  $\alpha/\beta$ , PKR, RIG-1, OAS1, 2 Interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 1 (IFIT1) were determined by real-time 3 quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) according to a previous report [38]. 4 Briefly, total RNA were extracted using RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen), RNA concentration and purity was 5 measured using Nanodrop (ThermoFisher). First strand cDNA synthesis kit (Thermo Scientific) was used 6 for reverse transcription following manufacturer's protocol. qPCR was performed using the Luna qPCR 7 Master mix (NEB) with a Light Cycler<sup>®</sup> 480 PCR system (Roche). The qPCR data were analyzed by the 8 comparative  $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method using the GAPDH RT-qPCR signal as the internal control for normalization. 9 Primers for RT-qPCR were listed in Supplementary Table 1.

#### 10 **2.7.** Screening of NS1 function as a function of mRNA and cell context

11 C3H10T1/2, C2C12, DC 2.4, 4T1, A549, U87MG, HepG2 cells were seeded and cultured into 24-well plate 12 in order to obtain 70-80% confluence at the time of transfection. These cells were transfected with 13 lipoplexes containing 0.25 µg mRNA of reporter genes (i.e., GFP, Fluc, and Gluc) with either 0.25 µg NS1 14 mRNA or 0.25 µg noncoding mRNA. The GFP and Fluc expression were evaluated as described before. Gluc 15 expression, both secreted and intracellular, was evaluated with the Pierce Gaussia Luciferase Glow assay 16 kit (ThermoScientific). The luminescence obtained from secreted and intracellular Gluc were normalized 17 to total cellular protein and per mg cellular protein, respectively.

#### 18 **2.8. Optimization of BMP2 mRNA and NS1 mRNA ratio**

C2C12-BRE/LUC cells were seeded into a 24-well plate at the density of 1x10<sup>5</sup> cells/well. One day later,
cells were transfected with 1 µg/well total mRNA with different weight ratio of BMP2 mRNA and NS1
mRNA: 1µg BMP2 mRNA (1 BMP2); 0.75µg BMP2 mRNA and 0.25µg NS1 mRNA (0.75 BMP2/0.25 NS1);
0.5µg BMP2 mRNA and 0.5µg NS1 mRNA (0.5 BMP2/0.5 NS1); 0.25µg BMP2 mRNA and 0.75µg NS1 mRNA
(0.25 BMP2/0.75 NS1). Luciferase activity was measured one day later as described above.
24 2.9. Quantification of BMP-2 secreted by transfected C3H10T1/2

- C3H10T1/2 cells were seeded into 4-well plate at a density of 5 ×10<sup>4</sup> cells/well and cultured until confluency. Then, 1 μg total mRNA with optimized BMP2 and NS1 mRNA ratio were used to transfect the cells. Every 12 h, half of the culture medium was collected and replaced with fresh medium. Collected media were stored at -80°C before BMP-2 content quantification. BMP-2 contents were determined by ELISA (Abcam) following the manufacturer's instruction. The absorbance was measured at 450 nm and BMP2 contents were calculated based on a standard curve (range: 0-4000 pg/ml mouse BMP2).
- 31
- 32

#### 1 2.10. In vitro osteogenesis

C3H10T1/2 cells were seeded as indicated in 2.9. Prior to transfection, cells were cultured in osteogenic
medium containing 25ng/ml rhBMP-2 (R&D system), 50µg/ml ascorbic acid-2-phosphate (Sigma) and
10mM β-glycerophosphate (Sigma) for seven days and half of the medium was changed every other day.
Then, cells were transfected twice with 1 µg/well mRNA at day 0 and day 7 (experimental scheme shown
in Fig. 7. A), and maintained in osteogenic medium (without rhBMP-2) throughout the whole osteogenic
induction process. From day 0, half of the medium was changed every 3 days during the first 10 days and
then every 2 days.

At day 3, 7, and 14 post-transfection, ALP activity was quantified with ALP activity colorimetric assay kit
(Biovision) following the manufacturer's protocol. Intracellular ALP expression was visualized by Nitro Blue
Tetrazolium (NBT)/5-Bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate disodium salt (BCIP) (Sigma) staining as
previously reported [39] with slight modification. Briefly, at day 14 post-transfection, cells were washed
with PBS and then fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 2 min. BCIP/NBT solution was added to react with ALP for
30 min in dark at RT. The staining solution was washed out with PBS.
At day 1, 3, 5, 7, 14, and 21 post-transfection, the expression of Runx2, ALP, collagen type 1, OCN, OPN

were quantified by RT-qPCR. The process was the same as described before, except that total RNA was isolated and purified by TRIzol (Life technology)-chloroform method. Primers for RT-qPCR were listed in Supplementary Table 1.

19 Alizarin Red staining was performed 28 days post-transfection to evaluate calcium deposition. Cells were 20 washed with D-PBS and then fixed in 4% p-formaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature (RT) followed 21 by 2 times washes with distilled water (dH<sub>2</sub>O). Extracellular calcium was stained by incubating the cell 22 layers in Alizarin red S (Sigma) solution (saturated in dH<sub>2</sub>O, pH 4.1). Nonspecific staining was removed by 23 washing the wells with dH<sub>2</sub>O five times under gentle agitation. To quantify the mineralization, the Alizarin 24 red dye was subsequently extracted with cetylpyridinium chloride (Sigma) solution (10% in 10mM sodium 25 phosphate) for 30 min at RT. Absorbance was then measured at 560nm using the Victor <sup>3</sup>V 26 spectrophotometer (PerkinElmer).

#### 27 2.11. Statistical analysis

Unless otherwise indicated, each experiment was performed in triplicates with two independent repeats.
All numerical data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Statistical analysis was performed using
GraphPad Prism version 6.07 (GraphPad software). Any p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically
significant. Specifically, \* and # represent P < 0.05; \*\* and ## represent P < 0.01; \*\*\* and ### represent P < 0.001; \*\*\*\* and #### represent P < 0.0001.</li>

#### 1 3. Results

#### 2 **3.1. 3' UTR affects IVT mRNA translation efficiency**

3 The production of mRNA by *in vitro* transcription requires the linearization of the plasmid DNA template.

In our construction, this linearization was done by digesting the template with either Notl or Xbal
endonuclease enzymes, resulting in the production of mRNAs with different 3' UTRs (Fig. 1. A).

6 We first assessed which of those two mRNA types has the best translation efficiency. As shown in Fig. 1. B,

7 the amount of NS1 produced from NS1-NotI mRNA was 1.5-fold higher than that obtained with NS1-XbaI

8 mRNA. The same observation was made with BMP2 mRNA, which was evaluated in C2C12-BRE/LUC cells

9 that express the luciferase upon BMP-2 activation. These data indicate that mRNA-Notl gave a higher

10 protein expression than mRNA-Xbal regardless of the gene type. The mRNA-Notl was chosen for the next

11 experiments.

#### 12 **3.2.** NS1 promotes IVT mRNA translation by suppressing cellular innate immune responses

13 We first checked the impact of NS1 expression on cell viability. Results shown in Fig. 2 indicate that the 14 cell viability decreased in a dose-dependent manner. The highest cytotoxic effect was observed with 15 0.5µg/well, which results in 70% of viable cells compared to untreated cells 48h post-transfection. No 16 effect on the viability of cells transfected with 0.1µg/well of either NS1 or GFP mRNAs was observed. At 17 0.3µg/well and 0.5µg/well, we found that NS1 mRNA expression did not lead to a significant cell viability 18 decrease at 24h post-transfection, whilst GFP mRNA did. For the next 24h, the trend was reversed. No 19 significant difference either between GFP and NS1 transfected cells or between 24h and 48h post-20 transfection time points were observed.

21 Next, we validated the positive effect of NS1 expression on the translation of GFP mRNA (Fig. 3). Different 22 amounts of NS1 mRNA (0.1µg to 0.5µg/well) were co-delivered with a fixed amount of GFP mRNA 23 (0.2µg/well). Compared to cells transfected with GFP mRNA alone, the co-delivery with NS1 mRNA 24 resulted in a significant dose-dependent enhancement of GFP expression. By contrast, cells co-transfected 25 with GLuc mRNA did not lead to an improved GFP translation. Note that the percentage of GFP positive 26 cells in each group remains the same. Fig.3. B confirmed the increased amount of NS1 proteins produced 27 as a function of mRNA used for the transfection. The kinetic profiles of GFP produced in cells transfected 28 with either GFP mRNA/NS1 mRNA or GFP mRNA/Gluc mRNA were similar with a peak of expression 29 occurring between 12- and 24-hours post-transfection, and followed by a dramatic drop of the protein 30 expression after 72 hours in both conditions. However, in the presence of NS1, the number of produced 31 GFP copies was increased as evidenced by the 1.4-fold increase of the MFI. Such NS1-mediated 32 enhancement lasted for 72h.

1 Cell transfection with non-chemical modified IVT mRNA is known to activate host cell immune response. 2 Therefore, we assessed the effect of NS1 expression on the IVT mRNA-induced host immune responses in 3 comparison with the use of chemically modified mRNA (GFP ( $50\%\psi$ )) (Fig.4). As expected, non-modified 4 GFP mRNA (1 GFP) transfection significantly induced IFN $\alpha$  expression as well as other RNA sensors, i.e. 5 IFNβ, PKR, RIG 1, IFIT 1, and OAS 1, whereas the presence of NS1 led to opposite effects (Fig. 4. B, C). It is 6 worth to note that the NS1 mRNA was non-modified as well. In addition, when cells were transfected with 7 mRNA mix comprising of 75% GFP and 25% NS1 mRNA (0.75 GFP/0.25 NS1), the expression level of RNA 8 sensors was comparable to that obtained from GFP ( $50\%\psi$ ) transfection. Consequently, the co-delivery 9 with NS1 mRNA enhanced GFP expression as observed in Fig. 4. D.

#### **3.3. NS1 promotes IVT mRNA translation regardless of gene context and cell type**

11 GFP, Fluc and Gluc reporter mRNAs were co-delivered with equal amount of NS1 mRNA in 4 murine (i.e. 12 C2C12, 4T1, DC 2.4, C3H10T1/2) and 3 human (A549, U87-MG, HepG2) cell types (Fig. 5). The translation 13 of GFP mRNA was enhanced only 1.2-fold in DC 2.4 and HepG2 cells, and 4.2-fold in A549 cells (Fig. 5. A), 14 while the translation of Fluc mRNA was higher increased i.e. 10.5-fold in DC 2.4 cells and 175.3-fold in 15 HepG2 cells (Fig. 5. B). The effect on Gluc mRNA translation was evaluated by measuring the Gluc activity 16 both in the medium and inside the cells. The improvement ranged from 1.2-fold (A549 cells) to 3-fold (4T1, 17 U87MG, C3H10T1/2 and C2C12 cells) for Gluc released in the medium (Fig. 5. C). Concerning Gluc activity 18 inside the cells, the range of enhancement was from 2.0-fold (A549 and HepG2 cells) to 21.1-fold (C2C12 19 cells) (Fig.5. D). Overall, those data indicated that the co-delivery of NS1 mRNA enhanced the level of 20 translation of all the reporter genes tested and no inhibition was observed; the level of enhancement, 21 however, was dependent on the cell type.

#### 22 3.4. Co-delivery of BMP2 mRNA with NS1 mRNA generates a high level of BMP-2

23 C2C12-BRE/Luc cells were transfected with both BMP2 and NS1 mRNAs at different ratio (1 BMP2, 0.75 24 BMP2/0.25 NS1, 0.5 BMP2/0.5 NS1, 0.25 BMP2/0.75 NS1 ), and the luciferase activity, which can be 25 correlated with the production of BMP-2, was measured after 24, 48 and 72 hours post-transfection. Fig. 26 6. A shows a clear benefit of 25% NS1 mRNA substitution (0.75 BMP2/0.25 NS1) throughout the measuring 27 time. The luciferase activity from cells transfected with 0.75 BMP2/0.25 NS1 was significantly higher (2.7-28 fold at 24h; 3.5-fold at 48h; 4.7-fold at 72h) than in cells transfected with BMP2 mRNA alone (1 BMP2). 29 The decay of luciferase activity obtained from the BMP2 group was quite drastic after 48h (65%) and 72h 30 (91%) which was not the case for 0.75 BMP2/0.25 NS1 group (48h-54%; 72h-85%). Notably, even at one-31 third BMP2 mRNA dose (0.25 BMP2/0.75 NS1), the luciferase expression remained higher than full dose of BMP2 mRNA alone at 48h and 72h post-transfection. Thus, the BMP2 mRNA to NS1 mRNA mass ratio
 of 3: 1 was used in further BMP-2 quantification and osteogenesis experiments.

Fig. 6. B shows the quantification of BMP-2 production from C3H10T1/2 pluripotent cells transfected with
either BMP2 mRNA or BMP2/NS1 mRNAs. For both groups, BMP2 was extensively secreted in the first 24h
post-transfection. Compared to BMP2 mRNA alone, BMP2/NS1 mRNAs generated 8.5-fold higher BMP2
production in the first 24h, and further to 10.5-fold in the next 24 h.

# 7 3.5. Dual delivery of BMP2 mRNA with NS1 mRNA favors osteogenic commitment of C3H10T1/2 8 pluripotent stem cells

9 The osteogenic differentiation of C3H10T1/2 cells was evaluated from multiple aspects, i.e. osteoblastic 10 gene expression, alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity, and extracellular mineralization. For osteogenic 11 induction, the C3H10T1/2 cells were transfected twice (Fig. 7. A), as single transfection did not induce the 12 visible calcium deposition (data not shown). As shown in Fig. 7. C, cellular ALP activity gradually increased 13 with time compared to non-transfected cells (NTrans). In cells transfected with NS1 mRNA, the increase 14 was more significant at day 7 and day 14 compared to cells transfected with BMP2 mRNA alone. The ALP 15 staining by BCIP/NBT at day 14 revealed that BMP2/NS1 transfected cells exhibited more intense blue-16 violet color than BMP2 mRNA transfected cells and NTrans cells confirming ALP quantification results (Fig. 17 7. B). Twenty-eight days after the first transfection, the deposited calcium was stained with Alizarin red S 18 (ARS). BMP2/NS1 mRNA transfected cells exhibited more calcium nodules than BMP2 mRNA transfected 19 cells (Fig. 7. D). These qualitative images were validated with the quantification of ARS-stained calcium 20 following dissolution of aggregates (Fig. 7. E). Both transfected groups showed a significantly higher 21 calcium deposition in comparison to not transfected group (NTrans).

22 From the gene expression aspect, the osteogenic-related transcripts were quantified at different time 23 points by RT-qPCR (Fig. 7. F). Compared to controls, BMP2/NS1 mRNAs transfection induced Runx2 and 24 ALP expressions peaked at day 7, which were significantly higher than that induced by BMP2 mRNA 25 transfection (Fig. 7. F-a and b). On day 14, ALP in BMP2/NS1 group still maintained a high expression and 26 in line with the results shown in Fig. 7. C. For Runx2, no significant difference was observed at day 14 and 27 day 21. In contrary to Runx2 and ALP transcripts, the transcripts of Collagen type 1 started only to increase 28 on day 7, and were steady up to day 21 (Fig. 7. F-c). For OPN transcripts, the expression trend was similar to that of Runx2 and ALP. However, no significant differences were found between BMP2/NS1 group and 29 30 BMP2 group (Fig. 7. F-d). OCN expression gradually increased over time, and same as OPN expression, the 31 differences between BMP2/NS1 mRNAs and BMP2 mRNA transfected cells were not significant.

32

#### 1 4. Discussion

2 Different reports have shown the use of mRNA as a potent therapeutic for improving osteogenesis, but 3 only using chemically modified equivalents [5, 40-42]. The goal of our study is to demonstrate that non-4 modified mRNA can promote osteogenic effects when combined with NS1 mRNA, encoding for an immune 5 evasion protein derived from influenza A virus. Here, we adopted NS1 from A/Texas/36/1991 strain as IVT 6 mRNA translation enhancer, which was proved to be the most potent than NS1 from other strains, i.e. 7 A/Hong Kong/156/1997; A/Vietnam/1203/2004; A/Puerto Rico/8/1934; A/Texas/36/1991; 8 A/California/04/2009; A/Shanghai/2013) [20].

9 Building the mRNA structure is one of the critical steps for mRNA therapy. A classical eukaryotic mRNA 10 contains the 5' methylated guanosine cap, the 5' and 3' untranslated region (5'- and 3'-UTR), the open 11 reading frame (ORF), and the poly(A) tail. It was shown that all parts of this structure have an impact on 12 mRNA translation efficiency to some extent [5, 43]. For instance, we and other groups reported that mRNA 13 containing longer poly(A) (e.g. 120nt) showed higher translation efficiency than that containing shorter 14 ploy(A) tail (e.g. 67nt) [44-46]. In line with this, we observed that BMP2-A150 mRNA resulted in higher 15 protein expression than BMP2-A64 mRNA (data not shown). To optimize the protein expression, we 16 generated mRNAs with different 3' UTRs (Fig. 1. A), and found that the mRNA with shorter 3' UTR resulted 17 in higher protein expression (Fig. 1. B and C). This phenomenon was probably caused by differences in the 18 stability of mRNA secondary structures. Indeed, via computation (RNAfold 2.4.13), BMP2-Notl mRNA 19 forms a secondary structure different from BMP2-XbaI, wherein less base-pair probabilities at 3' of the 20 mRNA (not shown).

21 As NS1 is a virus-derived protein, we explored whether its expression could induce a cytotoxic effect (Fig. 22 2). We did not find significant cell viability differences between NS1 mRNA transfected cells and GFP mRNA 23 transfected cells with the dose range used during this study. Interestingly, XTT results indicated that GFP 24 mRNA-transfected cells started to recover at 48h post-transfection, while getting worse for NS1 mRNA 25 transfected cells. However, we did not observe a significant increase of detached cells in NS1-treated wells. 26 It was reported that during virus infection, NS1 could arrest the host cell at G0/G1 cycle to benefit virus 27 replication [47]. Thus, we hypothesized that the reverse trend of XTT data could be due to NS1 cytostatic 28 effect. Subsequently, we checked the cell cycle of treated cells, and found that the cell population in G0/G1 29 state was greater in NS1 mRNA transfected cells than in GFP mRNA transfected cells (Supplementary Fig. 30 3). So, the cell number decrease in NS1 transfected cells could be caused, at least partly, by this cytostatic 31 activity.

1 The co-delivery of NS1 mRNA with GFP mRNA into C3H10T1/2 cells resulted in enhanced protein 2 expression, thanks to RNA sensors inhibition (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4). Besides, this function is evidenced by the 3 variation of intracellular GFP mRNA after co-delivery of GFP mRNA with NS1 mRNA or Gluc mRNA to 4 C3H10T1/2 cells (S. Fig. 4). In the early stage (from 6h to 60h post-transfection), GFP/NS1 group preserved 5 a higher GFP mRNA copy number than GFP/Gluc group. This is probably due to the inhibition of OAS/RNase 6 L-mediated RNA degradation by NS1 (Fig. 4C-OAS1) [30]. While, from 60h to 160h, the trend is reversed. 7 It is known that mRNA poly(A) tail is shortened along with mRNA translation leading to mRNA degradation 8 [48, 49]. The lower GFP mRNA copy number in GFP/NS1 group could be a consequence of GFP mRNA 9 extensive translation (Fig. 3C).

10 The incorporation of chemically modified nucleosides is also known to abrogate the IVT mRNA-induced 11 immunogenicity. However, the effect is highly dependent on modification type/ratio, mRNA context, and 12 cell type [19, 50]. For instance, Li *et al.*, reported that, in THP-1 macrophages,  $5meC/\psi$  modified Fluc mRNA 13 resulted in significantly higher Fluc expression, while  $5meC/\psi$  modified eGFP mRNA resulted in a 14 decreased GFP expression; me1 $\psi$  modified Fluc in THP-1 cells generated 8-fold more Fluc than that in 15 hepatocellular carcinoma Hep 3B cells [34]. To check if NS1 mRNA could act as a versatile enhancer of 16 mRNA translation, we co-delivered NS1 mRNA with different well-known reporter genes into several cell 17 types (Fig. 5). By contrast to chemically modified mRNA, no negative effect was found in all tested 18 conditions. Nevertheless, there were still variations in the translation efficacy depending on the gene of 19 interest and the cell type. Specifically, Firefly luciferase mRNA expression was the most sensitive to the 20 NS1 effect and, dendritic cells seemed to be more refractory likely because of its immune feature. But this 21 must be studied more deeply. For the majority of cell types tested including mesenchymal cells, the 22 enhancement was at least 2-fold which is already significant in terms of the amount of proteins produced. 23 The opportunity cost of replacing BMP2 mRNA with NS1 mRNA was measured (Fig. 6). The mass ratio of 3 24 to 1 of BMP2 mRNA to NS1 mRNA resulted in the highest BMP-2 expression. As for gene delivery, the 25 mRNA dose is correlated with the amount of carrier, and to avoid the cytotoxicity, a dose limit of the 26 carrier must be respected. In this strategy, the replacement of part of mRNA of interest with NS1 gave a 27 higher transgene expression indicating no opportunity cost as described previously [20].

In our study, NS1 increased BMP-2 expression for up to 8-fold. Interestingly, even the expression of BMP2 mRNA alone was higher (10 times more) compared to studies based on chemical modified BMP-2 mRNA
[40, 41]. This phenomenon could be explained by the type of cell, the transfection reagents used and the
difference in BMP2 mRNA sequences, i.e. UTRs and length of poly(A) tail, which could also dramatically
influence mRNA performance [51]. The level of secreted BMP-2, however, remarkably decreased within 3

days due to the transient expression of mRNA. This finding is aligned with the GFP expression kinetic shown
in Fig. 3C, and the data reported in the literature [28, 52], due to the inherent transient expression profile
of mRNA.

4 As expected, secreted BMP-2 induced the expression of osteogenesis-related genes since this molecule is 5 a potent osteogenic growth factor. The expressions of both early and late osteogenic genes were 6 significantly enhanced following BMP2 mRNA transfection and were further improved when cells were co-7 transfected with both BMP2 mRNA and NS1 mRNA. Our data indicated that Runx2, the master osteoblastic 8 transcriptional factor, was firstly upregulated under BMP-2 stimulation with a peak of expression at day 7 9 post-transfection (Fig. 7. F-a). Then, there is a production of bone matrix proteins expressed at middle-to-10 late stages of osteoblastic maturation, i.e. ALP, Col1a1, OPN, and OCN (Fig. 7. F-b, c, d, e), all of them 11 regulated by Runx2 through binding to their gene promoters [53]. Notably, osteocalcin was continuously 12 expressed after 14 days (Fig. 7. F-e), which is known to promote the deposition of mineral substance (Fig. 13 7. D-E) due to the presence of calcium-binding residues (di-carboxylic glutamyl (gla)). Outcomes from this 14 study were comparable to those reported previously by others using chemically modified BMP2 mRNA 15 (cmBMP2 mRNA) in terms of expression kinetics of osteogenic genes. It worth to note that the osteogenic 16 commitment of C3H10T1/2 stem cells could benefit from the cytostatic effect of NS1 expression. We are 17 aware that the calcium deposits obtained were not as high as expected (Fig. 7. D-E), indicating that the 18 transient BMP-2 enhancement was not sufficient to generate a greater osteogenesis. However, these 19 results are valuable considering the fact that they were obtained with C3H10T1/2 cells. Indeed, it is known 20 that C3H10T1/2 hardly differentiate toward osteoblasts compared to murine tissue-derived primary 21 mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) which could spontaneously differentiate toward osteoblasts when 22 cultured under osteogenic medium [54]. Compared to murine MSCs, human MSCs have lower 23 responsivness under BMP-2 stimulation [55, 56]. Previously, delivery of cmBMP2 mRNA demonstrated the 24 ability to induce osteoblastic differentiation of human bone marrow derived MSCs (BMSCs) [40, 57]. The 25 secreted BMP-2 from BMP2/NS1 mRNAs transfected cells is much higher than that from cmBMP2 mRNA 26 transfected cells [40], indicating the the dual mRNA system has the potential to direct cell fate of human 27 BMSc. Our effort is now focused on developing mRNA-based platform enabling long-term BMP-2 28 expression (e.g. mRNA activated matrix) and, applying this strategy in vivo to heal non-union bone fracture, which is a challenging public health issue with the aging of human population. 29

- 30
- 31
- 32

#### 1 **5.** Conclusion

- 2 Overall, results from this study demonstrated for the first time that dual delivery of non-modified BMP2
- 3 mRNA with NS1 mRNA as translation enhancer is a potential therapeutic since it allows a high expression
- 4 of BMP-2 that results in the improvement of osteogenic genes expression. The strategy could be used as
- 5 an alternative of chemically modified mRNA as the production of BMP-2 is higher than obtained with
- 6 reported previously.
- 7

#### 8 Acknowledgments

- 9 We are grateful to David Gosset of the P@CYFIC platform (CBM, CNRS Orléans) for technical help in flow
- 10 cytometry experiments. We would like to thank Dr. Kyle K.L. Phua for his helpful discussion about the NS1
- 11 strategy. We are indebted to by Region Centre Val de Loire, CNRS and University of Orléans that supported
- 12 this work. PW is a recipient of a CSC grant from China.
- 13

#### 14 References

- 15 [1] D. Lopes, C. Martins-Cruz, M.B. Oliveira, J.F. Mano, Bone physiology as inspiration for tissue
- 16 regenerative therapies, Biomaterials 185 (2018) 240-275.
- 17 [2] X. Niu, Q. Feng, M. Wang, X. Guo, Q. Zheng, Porous nano-HA/collagen/PLLA scaffold containing
- 18 chitosan microspheres for controlled delivery of synthetic peptide derived from BMP-2, Journal of
- 19 controlled release : official journal of the Controlled Release Society 134(2) (2009) 111-7.
- 20 [3] J. Lv, P. Xiu, J. Tan, Z. Jia, H. Cai, Z. Liu, Enhanced angiogenesis and osteogenesis in critical bone
- 21 defects by the controlled release of BMP-2 and VEGF: implantation of electron beam melting-fabricated
- 22 porous Ti6Al4V scaffolds incorporating growth factor-doped fibrin glue, Biomedical materials 10(3)
- 23 (2015) 035013.
- [4] A.L. Shimer, F.C. Oner, A.R. Vaccaro, Spinal reconstruction and bone morphogenetic proteins: open
   questions, Injury 40 Suppl 3 (2009) S32-8.
- 26 [5] P. Wang, F. Perche, D. Logeart-Avramoglou, C. Pichon, RNA-based therapy for osteogenesis,
- 27 International journal of pharmaceutics 569 (2019) 118594.
- 28 [6] C.H. Evans, J. Huard, Gene therapy approaches to regenerating the musculoskeletal system, Nat Rev
- 29 Rheumatol 11(4) (2015) 234-42.
- 30 [7] S.J. Lee, S.W. Kang, H.J. Do, I. Han, D.A. Shin, J.H. Kim, S.H. Lee, Enhancement of bone regeneration by
- gene delivery of BMP2/Runx2 bicistronic vector into adipose-derived stromal cells, Biomaterials 31(21)
   (2010) 5652-9.
- 33 [8] R.M. Raftery, I. Mencia-Castano, S. Sperger, G. Chen, B. Cavanagh, G.A. Feichtinger, H. Redl, A.
- 34 Hacobian, F.J. O'Brien, Delivery of the improved BMP-2-Advanced plasmid DNA within a gene-activated
- 35 scaffold accelerates mesenchymal stem cell osteogenesis and critical size defect repair, Journal of
- 36 controlled release : official journal of the Controlled Release Society 283 (2018) 20-31.
- 37 [9] D. Wang, P.W. Tai, G. Gao, Adeno-associated virus vector as a platform for gene therapy delivery,
- 38 Nature Reviews Drug Discovery 18(5) (2019) 358-378.
- 39 [10] M. Patel, J.C. Olsen, 828. Optimizing the Woodchuck Hepatitis Virus Post-Transcriptional Regulatory
- 40 Element (WPRE) for Safety and Function in Lentiviral Vectors, Molecular Therapy (11) (2005) 322.

- 1 [11] S. Ruijtenberg, S. van den Heuvel, Coordinating cell proliferation and differentiation: Antagonism
- 2 between cell cycle regulators and cell type-specific gene expression, Cell Cycle 15(2) (2016) 196-212.
- 3 [12] S. Pascolo, Vaccination with messenger RNA (mRNA), Handb Exp Pharmacol (183) (2008) 221-35.
- 4 [13] T. Schlake, A. Thess, M. Thran, I. Jordan, mRNA as novel technology for passive immunotherapy, Cell 5 Mol Life Sci (2018).
- 6 [14] K. Kariko, M. Buckstein, H. Ni, D. Weissman, Suppression of RNA recognition by Toll-like receptors:
- 7 the impact of nucleoside modification and the evolutionary origin of RNA, Immunity 23(2) (2005) 165-75.
- 8 [15] L. Alexopoulou, A.C. Holt, R. Medzhitov, R.A. Flavell, Recognition of double-stranded RNA and
- 9 activation of NF-kappaB by Toll-like receptor 3, Nature 413(6857) (2001) 732-8.
- 10 [16] F. Heil, H. Hemmi, H. Hochrein, F. Ampenberger, C. Kirschning, S. Akira, G. Lipford, H. Wagner, S.
- 11 Bauer, Species-specific recognition of single-stranded RNA via toll-like receptor 7 and 8, Science 12 303(5663) (2004) 1526-9.
- 13 [17] K. Kariko, H. Ni, J. Capodici, M. Lamphier, D. Weissman, mRNA is an endogenous ligand for Toll-like 14 receptor 3, J Biol Chem 279(13) (2004) 12542-50.
- 15 [18] M. Dan, D. Zheng, L.L. Field, V. Bonnevie-Nielsen, Induction and activation of antiviral enzyme 2',5'-
- 16 oligoadenylate synthetase by in vitro transcribed insulin mRNA and other cellular RNAs, Mol Biol Rep 17 39(7) (2012) 7813-22.
- 18 [19] S. Uchida, K. Kataoka, K. Itaka, Screening of mRNA Chemical Modification to Maximize Protein
- 19 Expression with Reduced Immunogenicity, Pharmaceutics 7(3) (2015) 137-51.
- 20 [20] K.K.L. Phua, Y. Liu, S.H. Sim, Non-linear enhancement of mRNA delivery efficiencies by influenza A 21
- derived NS1 protein engendering host gene inhibition property, Biomaterials 133 (2017) 29-36. 22
- [21] Y. Liu, J.M. Chin, E.L. Choo, K.K.L. Phua, Messenger RNA translation enhancement by immune
- 23 evasion proteins: a comparative study between EKB (vaccinia virus) and NS1 (influenza A virus), Sci Rep 24 9(1) (2019) 11972.
- 25 [22] A. Alcami, U.H. Koszinowski, Viral mechanisms of immune evasion, Immunology today 21(9) (2000) 26 447-55.
- 27 [23] M.G. Katze, Y. He, M. Gale, Jr., Viruses and interferon: a fight for supremacy, Nat Rev Immunol 2(9) 28 (2002) 675-87.
- 29 [24] J. Devoldere, H. Dewitte, S.C. De Smedt, K. Remaut, Evading innate immunity in nonviral mRNA
- 30 delivery: don't shoot the messenger, Drug Discov Today 21(1) (2016) 11-25.
- 31 [25] A. Alcamí, J.A. Symons, G.L. Smith, The Vaccinia Virus Soluble Alpha/Beta Interferon (IFN) Receptor
- 32 Binds to the Cell Surface and Protects Cells from the Antiviral Effects of IFN, Journal of Virology 74(23)
- 33 (2000) 11230-11239.
- 34 [26] L. Warren, P.D. Manos, T. Ahfeldt, Y.H. Loh, H. Li, F. Lau, W. Ebina, P.K. Mandal, Z.D. Smith, A.
- 35 Meissner, G.Q. Daley, A.S. Brack, J.J. Collins, C. Cowan, T.M. Schlaeger, D.J. Rossi, Highly efficient
- 36 reprogramming to pluripotency and directed differentiation of human cells with synthetic modified 37 mRNA, Cell stem cell 7(5) (2010) 618-30.
- 38 [27] N. Yoshioka, E. Gros, H.R. Li, S. Kumar, D.C. Deacon, C. Maron, A.R. Muotri, N.C. Chi, X.D. Fu, B.D. Yu,
- 39 S.F. Dowdy, Efficient generation of human iPSCs by a synthetic self-replicative RNA, Cell stem cell 13(2) 40 (2013) 246-54.
- 41 [28] L. Zangi, K.O. Lui, A. von Gise, Q. Ma, W. Ebina, L.M. Ptaszek, D. Später, H. Xu, M. Tabebordbar, R.
- 42 Gorbatov, B. Sena, M. Nahrendorf, D.M. Briscoe, R.A. Li, A.J. Wagers, D.J. Rossi, W.T. Pu, K.R. Chien,
- 43 Modified mRNA directs the fate of heart progenitor cells and induces vascular regeneration after
- 44 myocardial infarction, Nature Biotechnology 31(10) (2013) 898-907.
- 45 [29] B.G. Hale, R.E. Randall, J. Ortin, D. Jackson, The multifunctional NS1 protein of influenza A viruses, J
- 46 Gen Virol 89(Pt 10) (2008) 2359-76.

- 1 [30] J.Y. Min, R.M. Krug, The primary function of RNA binding by the influenza A virus NS1 protein in
- 2 infected cells: Inhibiting the 2'-5' oligo (A) synthetase/RNase L pathway, Proceedings of the National
  3 Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 103(18) (2006) 7100-5.
- 4 [31] M.A. Garcia, J. Gil, I. Ventoso, S. Guerra, E. Domingo, C. Rivas, M. Esteban, Impact of protein kinase
- 5 PKR in cell biology: from antiviral to antiproliferative action, Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 70(4) (2006) 1032-60.
- 6 [32] X. Wang, M. Li, H. Zheng, T. Muster, P. Palese, A.A. Beg, A. Garcia-Sastre, Influenza A virus NS1
- protein prevents activation of NF-kappaB and induction of alpha/beta interferon, J Virol 74(24) (2000)
  11566-73.
- 9 [33] J. Talon, C.M. Horvath, R. Polley, C.F. Basler, T. Muster, P. Palese, A. Garcia-Sastre, Activation of
- interferon regulatory factor 3 is inhibited by the influenza A virus NS1 protein, J Virol 74(17) (2000) 798996.
- 12 [34] B. Li, X. Luo, Y. Dong, Effects of Chemically Modified Messenger RNA on Protein Expression,
- 13 Bioconjugate chemistry 27(3) (2016) 849-53.
- 14 [35] F. Perche, T. Benvegnu, M. Berchel, L. Lebegue, C. Pichon, P.-A. Jaffrès, P. Midoux, Enhancement of
- 15 dendritic cells transfection in vivo and of vaccination against B16F10 melanoma with mannosylated
- 16 histidylated lipopolyplexes loaded with tumor antigen messenger RNA, Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology,
- 17 Biology and Medicine 7(4) (2011) 445-453.
- 18 [36] Z. Shen, G. Reznikoff, G. Dranoff, K.L. Rock, Cloned dendritic cells can present exogenous antigens on
- both MHC class I and class II molecules, J Immunol 158(6) (1997) 2723-30.
- 20 [37] M.B. D. Logeart-Avramoglou, K. Oudina, P. Ten Dijke, H. Petite, An assay for the determination of
- 21 biologically active bone morphogenetic proteins using cells transfected with an inhibitor of
- 22 differentiation promoter-luciferase construct, Analytical Biochemistry 349 (2006) 78–86.
- 23 [38] C.-Y. Lin, F. Perche, M. Ikegami, S. Uchida, K. Kataoka, K. Itaka, Messenger RNA-based therapeutics
- 24 for brain diseases: An animal study for augmenting clearance of beta-amyloid by intracerebral
- 25 administration of neprilysin mRNA loaded in polyplex nanomicelles, Journal of Controlled Release 235
- 26 (2016) 268-275.
- 27 [39] Z.K. Cui, J.A. Sun, J.J. Baljon, J. Fan, S. Kim, B.M. Wu, T. Aghaloo, M. Lee, Simultaneous delivery of
- 28 hydrophobic small molecules and siRNA using Sterosomes to direct mesenchymal stem cell
- 29 differentiation for bone repair, Acta biomaterialia 58 (2017) 214-224.
- 30 [40] S. Elangovan, B. Khorsand, A.V. Do, L. Hong, A. Dewerth, M. Kormann, R.D. Ross, D.R. Sumner, C.
- Allamargot, A.K. Salem, Chemically modified RNA activated matrices enhance bone regeneration, Journal
   of controlled release : official journal of the Controlled Release Society 218 (2015) 22-8.
- 33 [41] E.R. Balmayor, J.P. Geiger, M.K. Aneja, T. Berezhanskyy, M. Utzinger, O. Mykhaylyk, C. Rudolph, C.
- 34 Plank, Chemically modified RNA induces osteogenesis of stem cells and human tissue explants as well as
- 35 accelerates bone healing in rats, Biomaterials 87 (2016) 131-146.
- 36 [42] W. Zhang, R.E. De La Vega, M.J. Coenen, S.A. Muller, C.J. Peniche Silva, M.K. Aneja, C. Plank, M. van
- 37 Griensven, C.H. Evans, E.R. Balmayor, An Improved, Chemically Modified RNA Encoding BMP-2 Enhances
- 38 Osteogenesis In Vitro and In Vivo, Tissue engineering. Part A (2018).
- 39 [43] J.R. Babendure, J.L. Babendure, J.H. Ding, R.Y. Tsien, Control of mammalian translation by mRNA
- 40 structure near caps, RNA 12(5) (2006) 851-61.
- 41 [44] S. Holtkamp, S. Kreiter, A. Selmi, P. Simon, M. Koslowski, C. Huber, O. Tureci, U. Sahin, Modification
- 42 of antigen-encoding RNA increases stability, translational efficacy, and T-cell stimulatory capacity of
- 43 dendritic cells, Blood 108(13) (2006) 4009-17.
- 44 [45] M. Mockey, C. Goncalves, F.P. Dupuy, F.M. Lemoine, C. Pichon, P. Midoux, mRNA transfection of
- 45 dendritic cells: synergistic effect of ARCA mRNA capping with Poly(A) chains in cis and in trans for a high
- 46 protein expression level, Biochem Biophys Res Commun 340(4) (2006) 1062-8.

- 1 [46] A.E. Grier, S. Burleigh, J. Sahni, C.A. Clough, V. Cardot, D.C. Choe, M.C. Krutein, D.J. Rawlings, M.C.
- Jensen, A.M. Scharenberg, K. Jacoby, pEVL: A Linear Plasmid for Generating mRNA IVT Templates With
   Extended Encoded Poly(A) Sequences, Mol Ther Nucleic Acids 5 (2016) e306.
- 4 [47] W. Jiang, Q. Wang, S. Chen, S. Gao, L. Song, P. Liu, W. Huang, Influenza A virus NS1 induces G0/G1
- 5 cell cycle arrest by inhibiting the expression and activity of RhoA protein, J Virol 87(6) (2013) 3039-52.
- 6 [48] H.N. Rubin, M.N. Halim, Why, when and how does the poly (A) tail shorten during mRNA
- 7 translation?, International journal of biochemistry 25(3) (1993) 287-295.
- 8 [49] J. Coller, R. Parker, Eukaryotic mRNA decapping, Annu Rev Biochem 73 (2004) 861-90.
- 9 [50] K. Kariko, H. Muramatsu, F.A. Welsh, J. Ludwig, H. Kato, S. Akira, D. Weissman, Incorporation of
- 10 pseudouridine into mRNA yields superior nonimmunogenic vector with increased translational capacity
- and biological stability, Molecular therapy : the journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy 16(11)
- 12 (2008) 1833-40.
- 13 [51] A. Thess, S. Grund, B.L. Mui, M.J. Hope, P. Baumhof, M. Fotin-Mleczek, T. Schlake, Sequence-
- engineered mRNA Without Chemical Nucleoside Modifications Enables an Effective Protein Therapy in
   Large Animals, Molecular therapy : the journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy 23(9) (2015)
- 16 1456-64.
- 17 [52] N. Sultana, A. Magadum, Y. Hadas, J. Kondrat, N. Singh, E. Youssef, D. Calderon, E. Chepurko, N.
- 18 Dubois, R.J. Hajjar, L. Zangi, Optimizing Cardiac Delivery of Modified mRNA, Molecular therapy : the
- 19 journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy 25(6) (2017) 1306-1315.
- 20 [53] P. Ducy, M. Starbuck, M. Priemel, J. Shen, G. Pinero, V. Geoffroy, M. Amling, G. Karsenty, A Cbfa1-
- dependent genetic pathway controls bone formation beyond embryonic development, Genes Dev 13(8)
  (1999) 1025-36.
- 23 [54] A. Lotfy, M. Salama, F. Zahran, E. Jones, A. Badawy, M. Sobh, Characterization of mesenchymal stem
- cells derived from rat bone marrow and adipose tissue: a comparative study, Int J Stem Cells 7(2) (2014)
   135-42.
- 25 135-42.
- 26 [55] D.L. Diefenderfer, A.M. Osyczka, G.C. Reilly, P.S. Leboy, BMP Responsiveness in Human
- 27 Mesenchymal Stem Cells, Connective Tissue Research 44(1) (2003) 305-311.
- 28 [56] A.M. Osyczka, D.L. Diefenderfer, G. Bhargave, P.S. Leboy, Different effects of BMP-2 on marrow
- stromal cells from human and rat bone, Cells, tissues, organs 176(1-3) (2004) 109-19.
- 30 [57] B. Khorsand, S. Elangovan, L. Hong, A. Dewerth, M.S. Kormann, A.K. Salem, A Comparative Study of
- 31 the Bone Regenerative Effect of Chemically Modified RNA Encoding BMP-2 or BMP-9, The AAPS journal
- 32 19(2) (2017) 438-446.
- 33