

# Transfer of elements released by aluminum galvanic anodes in a marine sedimentary compartment after long-term monitoring in harbor and laboratory environments

C. Caplat, O. Basuyaux, S. Pineau, J. Deborde, A.M. Grolleau, Stéphane Le Glatin, Marie Laure Mahaut

## ▶ To cite this version:

C. Caplat, O. Basuyaux, S. Pineau, J. Deborde, A.M. Grolleau, et al.. Transfer of elements released by aluminum galvanic anodes in a marine sedimentary compartment after long-term monitoring in harbor and laboratory environments. Chemosphere, 2020, 239, pp.124720. 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.124720. hal-02545986

# HAL Id: hal-02545986 https://hal.science/hal-02545986

Submitted on 20 Dec 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0045653519319502 Manuscript\_2ee07b15c69df01f1146c46359446934

1 Transfer of elements released by aluminum galvanic anodes in a marine sedimentary

### 2 compartment after long-term monitoring in harbor and laboratory environments

### 3 Caplat C.<sup>1</sup>, Basuyaux O.<sup>2</sup>, Pineau S.<sup>3</sup>, Deborde J.<sup>3</sup>, Grolleau A.M.<sup>4</sup>, Leglatin S.<sup>5</sup>, Mahaut M.L.<sup>6</sup>

- 4 <sup>1</sup>Biologie des ORganismes et Ecosystèmes Aquatiques (BOREA), Université de Caen Normandie Normandie
- 5 Universités, MNHN, SU, UA, CNRS, IRD, Hall Technologique 145 Chemin de la Crespinière ZA Les Fourches -
- 6 BP 48 50130 Cherbourg-Octeville, France (christelle.caplat@unicaen.fr) Phone number: (0033)233018343
- 7 <sup>2</sup>SMEL, Zac de Blainville, 50560 Blainville/Mer, France
- 8 <sup>3</sup>ACCOAST, 4 rue Bernard Moitessier, 56870 Ploeren, France
- 9 <sup>4</sup>NAVAL GROUP Research CETEC, BP 440, 50104 Cherbourg-en-Cotentin, France
- 10 <sup>5</sup>LABEO MANCHE, 1352 avenue de Paris, 50008 St-Lô, France
- 11 <sup>6</sup>CNAM/INTECHMER, BP 324, 50103 Cherbourg, France
- 12

### 13 Ref: CHEM63503R1

14

### 15 Abstract

Cathodic protection by galvanic anodes (GACP) is often used to protect immerged metallic structures 16 17 in harbor environments, especially GACP employing aluminum-based anodes. To follow a previous study that was performed in a laboratory on Al-anode, two monitoring periods were performed in 18 19 parallel, one in an in situ environment (in the Port of Calais) for 42 months and the other in a 20 laboratory for 18 months, to evaluate the transfer of metals constituting the Al-anode towards the 21 sedimentary compartment. During each monitoring, two conditions of agitation of water (weak and 22 strong) were compared, and different factors of sediment quality were used to assess the enrichment 23 and potential toxic effects of these released metals. The results showed that the dissolution of Al-24 anode-induced a greater Zn enrichment of sediment than an Al enrichment. This is in contrast with the 25 abundance of these elements present in the composition of the anode and suggested a potential toxic 26 effect for marine organisms with regards to the discovered Zn level, especially in confined areas.

27

- 28 Keywords: galvanic anode, aluminum, zinc, marine sediments, harbor, quality index
- 29

## 30 **1. Introduction**

31 Numerous maritime structures are constituted by metallic components (sheet piles in harbor 32 environment, monopiles foundation of offshore wind turbines and platforms or pipelines). This type of 33 structure undergoes a normal process of corrosion when exposed in the marine environment (Kaesche, 34 2003). A study by NACE International (2002) estimates that the total cost of marine corrosion in the world ranges from \$ 50 billion to \$ 80 billion a year. The corrosion process of a metallic 35 36 material can be limited by different methods of protection such as coatings, corrosion inhibitors, 37 corrosion allowance (increasing the thickness of material) or anodic and cathodic protections (Kruger 38 and Begum, 2016; Kirchgeorg et al., 2018).

39 The methods of "cathodic protection" (CP) are provided by two mainly used electrochemical devices: 40 cathodic protection by galvanic anodes (GACP) and cathodic protection by impressed current (ICCP) 41 (DNV-RP-B401, 2017). In marine environments, the ICCP is rarely used, while CP by galvanic 42 anodes is preferred for several reasons such as easy installation and less maintenance (Roy et al., 1968). The GACP consists of coupling two metallic materials that have a free and distinct potential. 43 Then, the coupling of both materials induces an electrochemical cell and decreases the potential of the 44 45 noblest material. Reactions of oxidation occur with the consumption of these anodes and induce the 46 liberation in waters of the elements that compose the anodes.

47 Different metals, such as zinc (Zn), magnesium (Mg) or aluminum (Al), are principal compounds of galvanic anodes. In a marine harbor environment, the use of Al anodes (at least 93.2% given by 48 49 supplier) has been well known since the 1960s as a protectant for piles and sheet piles (Roy et al., 50 1968; Barbucci et al., 1997; Shibli and George, 2007). One of the principal reasons is the theoretical efficiency of this element in relation to its high electrochemical capacity (2000 Ah kg<sup>-1</sup>) in seawater 51 52 compared to Zn which usually composes the galvanic anode used in marine environment (DNV-RP-53 B401, 2017; Kirchgeorg et al., 2018). Al is one of the most abundant elements on Earth after oxygen 54 and silicon and the first metal composing the Earth's crust (7.5% in mass). This metal is widely 55 distributed in soils and rocks where it naturally exists under different chemical forms, such as oxides or aluminosilicates in feldspars (major constituents of granite and silicon-rich rocks), in mica or in 56 57 clays (ATSDR, 2008). Al inputs to the surface waters are largely associated with mineral particulate matter (Driscoll and Schecher, 1990), which quickly accumulate in sediments in which the anthropic part appears to be difficult to assess. In seawater (pH 8.2), dissolved inorganic aluminum is principally met in aluminate (Al(OH)<sup>4</sup>, 68%) and non-colloidal neutral hydroxide species (Al(OH)<sub>3</sub><sup>0</sup>, 32%) (Millero et al., 2009). Another important factor that increases the solubility of Al is the concentration of organic matter. In the presence of highly dissolved organic matter, Al solubility grows in relation to complexation with organic ligands (Driscoll and Schecher, 1990; Ščančar and Milačič, 2006; ATSDR, 2008; Hagvall et al., 2015).

65 The speciation of Al is complex and determines its toxicity to organisms. A number of works have 66 been carried out on Al toxicity in marine environment but were mostly associated with the inputs of acidic rivers into the coastal waters (Wilson and Hyne, 1997; Kroglund and Finstad, 2003; Golding et 67 al., 2015; Angel et al., 2016; Gillmore et al., 2016). The first studies about the impact of elements 68 69 released from galvanic anodes were performed on anodes principally composed by Zn (at least 99% 70 given by supplier) (Bird et al., 1996; Rousseau et al., 2009; Mottin et al., 2012). Zinc is present in 71 seawaters under ionic (including labile species), inorganic complexes and colloids as well as weak 72 organic complexes (Fukai and Huynh-Ngoc, 1975). This is an essential trace metal in living organisms 73 (Dušanka, 1970) which tends to be bioaccumulated (Pempkowiak et al., 1999; Baudrimont et al., 2005). Its chronic exposure effects in the coastal marine environment have been previously 74 75 investigated by several authors (Watling, 1982; Sunda et al., 1987; Geffard al, 2001, 2007; Devos et al., 2012). In the same period and more recently, some works focused on the Al released from anodes 76 77 into the different compartments of marine environment (Mao et al., 2011; Gabelle et al., 2012; 78 Deborde et al., 2015). But, no works were yet involved on the bioaccumulation potential of aluminum 79 released from anodes.

This study followed up on the works of Deborde et al. (2015), which were conducted to optimize the simulation in the laboratory of the Al anodes degradation and to characterize the deposits of corrosion formed on anode's surface. Here, investigations were focused on in situ monitoring of sediments exposed for a long period to Al GACP anodes in natural and laboratory conditions. The sedimentary compartment was chosen because is considered as an indicator of contamination, since it is recognized as being able to store many contaminants such as metals (Xia et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012; Pan and
Wang, 2012).

The metal concentrations recorded in sediments were first compared to guidelines (Long et al., 1995; Macdonald et al., 1996; Long et al., 1998; McCauley et al., 2000; Zahra et al., 2014). They were used to determine sediment quality indices in the aim to evaluate the degree of contamination of the exposed sediments and their potential ecological risk (Pourabadehei and Mulligan, 2016; Ranjbar Jafarabadi et al., 2017; Jahan and Strezov, 2018; Gargouri et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2018; Tunca et al., 2018). And a chemical speciation was investigated to provide information on mobility and potential bioavailability of the considered elements (Morillo et al., 2004; Gabelle et al., 2012).

94

#### 95 2. Materials and methods

96

97 2.1. Al galvanic anodes (Al GACP)

98

All the Al galvanic anodes (Al Bera15, Bac Corrosion<sup>®</sup> Control) settled in situ in the harbor or that were used during the laboratory experiment were in the same Al alloy, which was mainly composed of seven elements (Al, Zn, Fe, Si, In, Mn and Cu). The mass percentages of each metal were given by the supplier as following: 93.2% to 97.1% of Al, 2.5% to 6.5% of Zn, 0.13% max of Fe, 0.1% max of Si, 0.01% à 0.03% of In, 0.01% max of Mn and 0.006% max of Cu. Depending of the supplier, the Al galvanic anodes can present impurities such as Cd, whose the mass percentage is less than 0.002% (DNV-RP-B401, 2017).

106

107 2.2. In situ sampling

108

109 The in situ study was conducted between September 2012 and June 2017 (42 months) in the Port of 110 Calais located in northern France. As the great majority of harbor contracting authorities worldwide, 111 the Port of Calais chose the method of CP by galvanic anodes to protect its immerged structures. 112 Approximately 615 tons of galvanic anodes were installed in 2014 in the harbor to protect the metallic 113 structures for a duration of at least 15 years. Fig. 1 shows the location of the three sampling points 114 monitored throughout the port: point 1 (at the entrance of the port) was considered to be the reference 115 point; point 2 was in agitated area with important sediment resuspension in relation to the comings and 116 goings of ferries (every 45 min, 350 to 400 boats per month); point 3 was located in the closed basin 117 of a marina, which was under non-agitated area characterized by weak hydrodynamic condition and 118 weak renewal of seawater. Point 2 is the only studied point that was subjected to soft dredging 119 operations (water injections) performed two times per year.

In the port, all along the quays, one anode (approximately 700 kg) was fixed in the immersed zone at every one meter directly in contact with the steel sheet pile wall, in each hollow part. The pose of the anodes was distributed with time and the monitoring of harbor sediments was divided into two periods: first period corresponding to one year study carried out before the pose of the anodes, between September 2012 and September 2013; and the second period was developed over 30 months (January 2015 to end of June 2017) after the pose of the anodes, which ended in November 2014 for point 2 and in August 2014 for point 3.

127 Sediment samples were collected at proximity and below the anode (0 m) and 15 m away from the 128 anode (15 m) for points 2 and 3. Two samples of undisturbed surface sediment samples were collected 129 every 2 months by boat with a Van-Veen Surficial (top 2 cm) grab. Each sample was constituted by 130 one kilogram of sediment obtained after mixing of three homogeneous grabs taken from an area of 131 approximately 1 m<sup>2</sup>. Sediments were transferred in polyethylene bottles and placed in an ice-cooled 132 box until their return in the laboratory. At same time, natural populations of mussels were collected, as well as caged mussels (Mytilus edulis) and marine sponges (Hymeniacidon perlevis) that were 133 134 previously collected in an uncontaminated area of Normandy. However, the results of in situ organism 135 monitoring will be published in a second part of this work.



Fig. 1: Location of the sampling points monitored in port of Calais.

139

#### 140 2.3. Laboratory experimental design

141

The laboratory experiment was conducted to reproduce the in situ degradation of galvanic anode. This experiment was intended to monitor the elements released from the anode in the different compartments of marine environment, in agitated and non-agitated conditions, such as in an in situ environment (harbor). Sediments and marine organisms (the mussel *M. edulis* and the sponge *H. perlevis*) were exposed to the release of these elements in two different tanks (60 L) connected to one to another by the dumping of seawater (Fig. 2). The results of organism monitoring will be published in a second part of this work.

A laboratory experiment was performed between September 2014 and March 2016 (18 months) in
NAVAL GROUP Research buildings located at Cherbourg. For this experiment, natural sediments
were collected near Port of Calais (free of contamination) to respect similar size distribution and
physical-chemistry proprieties in comparison to in situ harbor sediments.

153 Sediments were placed in the bottom of 3 tanks of 15-cm thickness covered with 30 cm of water that 154 was renewed with a water flow of 80 L  $h^{-1}$ . The 3 conditions of the experiment were the following: a 155 tank without anode, which was considered to be the reference tank (tank 1); 2 tanks with one anode positioned in suspension below the surface of the water, one in an agitated condition through a water pump brewing 3.33 L min<sup>-1</sup> (tank 2) and one in non-agitated condition only with water renewal (tank 3). Three small cores (3 cm of thickness, approximately 60 mL) of undisturbed surface sediment samples were collected every 2 months in each tank. Sediments were transferred in polyethylene bottles and were placed in an ice-cooled box until their return to the laboratory.

161 Ten days after the supplying of sediments in the tanks, the anodes were connected to metallic plates 162 (in carbon steel) to reproduce cathodic protection of the metal as in the in situ condition of the port. 163 For that, the steel sample was proportioned to allow the coupled galvanic anode to discharge an 164 average current of approximately 100 mA, following the first laboratory tests that were performed in 165 the previous study (Deborde et al., 2015).

Electrochemical measurements (intensity and potential) of anodes were raised every two months. The results gave a mean current intensity of  $93 \pm 19$  mA and a mean potential of  $-1063 \pm 29$  mV for the anode placed under the agitated condition (tank 2) and a mean current intensity of  $82 \pm 28$  mA and a mean potential of  $-1058 \pm 21$  mV for the anode positioned under the non-agitated condition (tank 3).



170 Fig. 2: Experimental devices with or without a galvanic anode to reproduce anode degradation under laboratory

171

conditions.

### 173 2.4. Sediment characterizations

174

Once they reached the laboratory, sediment samples were immediately transferred to a cold chamber (4 °C) before the analyses of the particle size distribution and the total organic matter (TOC). These physical characteristics were performed for three periods of both in situ harbor monitoring and laboratory experiment, at the beginning, the middle and the end of each experiment. A laser method was applied to characterize the distribution of the particle size of sediments in accordance to the ISO standard 13320. The ISO standard 14235 was used to obtain the TOC.

181 The second kilogram of sediment samples was frozen at -20 °C immediately upon returning to the 182 laboratory to be freeze-dried in a Labconco Freezone 2.5-L system with the aim to carry out the 183 element analyses. Total concentrations of elements constituting galvanic anode (Al, Cu, Fe, In, Mn, Si 184 and Zn) were analysed every two months for each experiment (harbor and laboratory monitoring).

To determine the total metal concentrations, sediment samples were digested by the alkaline fusionmethod following the ISO standard 14869-2.

187

188 2.5. Sequential extraction method

189

The chemical distribution in different fractions of sediment (acid-soluble, reducible, oxidizable and residual) of elements constituting the galvanic anode was determined by a sequential extraction method that was proposed by the European Union's Standards, Measurements and testing program (SM&T, formerly BCR). A detailed description of the method is found in Rauret et al. (1999) and consisted of three steps of successive extractions that allow for the part of the elements that are associated with one of the following fractions to be known:

Acid-soluble fraction (fraction F1): The metals associated with this fraction are specifically non adsorbed to solid particles (exchangeable metals) or are precipitated with natural carbonated forms,

198 which are sensitive to pH variation. This fraction is the most labile linked to the sediment and is 199 considered to be the most dangerous for the environment.

*Reducible fraction (fraction F2):* This fraction contains metals bound to iron and manganese oxides
that may be released if the sediment changes from the oxic to the anoxic state.

202 *Oxidizable fraction (fraction F3):* This fraction includes metals linked to organic matter and 203 sulfides that can be released under oxidizing conditions.

A fourth fraction, called *residual fraction*, was determined for each metal by the difference between their total concentration and the sum of the concentrations that were obtained in the three previous fractions (F1+F2+F3). The residual fraction represents the parts of metal that are associated with minerals contained in the crystalline structure of solid particles (natural fraction) and are not being removed from the sediment.

209

210 2.6. Analytical method

211

The analyses of elements (Al, Cu, Fe, In, Mn, Si, Zn) were carried out by ICP-AES (Vista MPX,
Agilent Technologies<sup>®</sup> Santa Clara, USA).

214 The accuracy of the analytical procedure for total metal determinations was checked using CRM 215 PACS-3 (Marine Sediment Reference Material for Trace Metals) available from INMS (Institute for 216 National Measurement Standards) of the NRC (National Research Council Canada). The relative 217 errors that were calculated between the experimental and certified concentrations were satisfactory for environmental analysis with values lower than 9% for all concentrations. Another certified reference 218 material (BCR<sup>®</sup>-483, extractable trace elements in sewage sludge amended soil) was used to check the 219 220 accuracy of the sequential extraction procedure. For this last evaluation, only 2 metals (Cu and Zn) 221 could have been checked, since the other metals were not certified by CRM. Good results were 222 obtained for the first step with less than 1% relative errors and less than 20% relative errors were calculated in steps 2 and 3. 223

227 The total concentrations of elements recorded in sediments were compared to French 228 recommendations (GEODE, 2012; RECORD, 2017) relative to dredged sediment dumping operations, 229 which were established taken under the guidelines of the international OSPAR Convention of 1992. Two levels (N1 and N2) were determined by the GEODE for 8 elements: As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Ni, Pb 230 231 and Zn. Level N1 gives the concentrations with no or an insignificant potential impact, for which the 232 dumping maybe authorized but a follow-up study is required from the overtaking of this threshold. 233 Level N2 gives the concentrations above which the dumping not maybe authorized, only if proof is 234 brought that it is the least harmful solution for the aquatic and ground environment.

235 The total concentrations of elements were also compared to the sediment quality guidelines (SQGs) 236 for marine ecosystems, such as ERL (effects range-low) and ERM (effects range-median) values 237 (Long et al., 1995) and TEL (threshold effect level) and PEL (probable effect level) values 238 (Macdonald et al., 1996). These SQGs were assessed from statistical analyses of data collected in the 239 sediments of North America and provide classifications of sediments in relation to their contamination 240 state to prioritize concerning areas (Macdonald et al., 1996; Long et al., 1998). ERL and ERM were 241 determined based on the chemical concentrations that are linked to adverse effects on organisms and 242 delineate 3 concentration ranges (Byrkit, 1975 in Long et al., 1995): concentration below the ERL 243 value representing the minimal-effects range of the sediment associated with the chemical on 244 organisms (< 10% of biota); the concentrations equal to and above the ERL value and below the ERM value representing a possible-effects range; the concentration above the ERM value representing a 245 246 probable-effects range (≥ 50% of biota). TEL and PEL represent the concentrations that are associated 247 with the observed and non observed effects and give adverse effects that are expected to occur rarely 248 or frequently, respectively (Macdonald et al., 1996; Rahman et al., 2014a).

249

250 2.8. Sediment quality assessment

252 To assess the level of anthropogenic pollution of the sediments, the enrichment factors  $(EF_i)$  were 253 calculated after normalization of the total element concentrations C<sub>i</sub> (Salati and Moore, 2010; Zahra et 254 al., 2014) and selection of a reference area. Normalization is defined as a procedure to correct 255 contaminant concentrations for the influence of the natural variability in sediment composition (grain size, organic matter or mineralogy) and is recommended by the OSPAR Convention (OSPAR 256 257 Commission, 2014). An abundant element of the fine fraction (rich in aluminosilicates), such as Al, 258 Fe, Mn or Sc, is currently chosen to normalize the metal concentration (C<sub>i</sub>) of sediment (Zahra et al., 2014; Pourabadehei and Mulligan, 2016; Ranjbar Jafarabadi et al., 2017; Gargouri et al., 2018; Jahan 259 and Strezov, 2018; Kim et al., 2018; Savitha et al., 2018; Tunca et al., 2018). Here, the percentage of 260 261 the 63 µm fraction (%63) that was considered to represent the fine fraction was preferred to normalize the metal concentrations. Point 1 (for harbor monitoring) and tank 1 (for laboratory experiment) were 262 chosen as reference areas to calculate the enrichment factor as in Eq. (1). 263

264

265 
$$EF_{i} = \frac{(C_{i} / \%63)_{sample}}{(C_{i} / \%63)_{reference}} Eq. (1)$$

266

Based on EF<sub>i</sub> values, Hasan et al. (2013) suggested seven categories of sediment metal enrichment: no enrichment of sediment presenting EF < 1, minor enrichment with EF from 1 to 3, moderate enrichment with EF from 3 to 5, moderately severe enrichment with EF from 5 to 10, severe enrichment with EF from 10 to 25, very severe enrichment with EF from 25 to 50 and extremely severe enrichment with EF  $\geq$  50.

272

273 2.9. Ecological risk assessment

274

To assess the ecological risk of the sediments in relation to their metal concentrations, different indices are often used, such as individual potential ecological risk factor  $E_r^{i}$ , the toxic unit (TU) and the mean effect range-median quotient (m-ERMq). 278 Hakanson (1980) was the first to develop an individual potential ecological risk factor  $(E_r^i)$  by using 279 Eq. (2), as follows:

280

281 
$$E_r^i = T_i. Cf_i$$
 Eq. (2)

282

where  $T_i$  is the toxic response factor associated with the element i and  $Cf_i$  is the contamination factor for the same element. But, as Brady et al. (2015) who proposed a modified pollution index based on using the enrichment factor instead of the contamination factor  $Cf_i$  to take consider the nonconservative behavior of sediments, Gargouri et al. (2018) proposed a modified ecological risk factor. Then, in this paper, the authors used the modified individual potential ecological risk factor mE<sub>r</sub><sup>i</sup>, as the following Eq. (3):

290 
$$mE_r^{i} = T_i \cdot EF_i$$
 Eq. (3)

291

where  $EF_i$  is the enrichment factor of the element i based on its normalized concentrations to percentage of fine fraction < 63 µm (Eq. (1)). Then, the risk factor was described by the original classification of Hakanson (1980): < 40, 40 to 80, 80 to 160, 160 to 320 and  $\ge$  320, which involved low potential ecological risk, moderate potential ecological risk, considerable potential ecological risk, high potential ecological risk and very high potential ecological risk. In this work, only Cu and Zn could have been associated with T<sub>i</sub>, since these factors were only established for the following metals: As = 10, Cd = 30, Cr = 2, Cu = Pb = 5, Hg = 40 and Zn = 1 (Hakanson, 1980).

The toxic unit (TU) is related to the toxic effects of elements on living organisms and was proposed byLong et al. (1998) as following Eq. (4):

301

302  $TU = C_i / PEL_i$  Eq. (4)

According to the method, TU < 0.1 is a 10% probability of being toxic, 0.11 < TU < 1.5 is a 25% probability of being toxic, 1.51 < TU < 2.3 is a 50% probability of being toxic, and TU > 2.3 is a 76% probability of being toxic.

The mean effect range-median quotient (m-ERMq) was suggested by Long et al. (2000) as a method to understand the toxic effects on living organisms by taking account all the elements in the studied environment (Tunca et al., 2018). This index value is determined by the following Eq. (5):

310

311 m-ERMq = 
$$\frac{\Sigma C_i / ERM_i}{n}$$
 Eq. (5)

312

313 with n, the number of considered elements.

According to the method, m-ERMq < 0.1 is a 9% probability of being toxic, 0.11 < m-ERMq < 0.5 is a 21% probability of being toxic, 0.51 < m-ERMq < 1.5 is a 49% probability of being toxic, and m-ERMq > 1.50 is a 76% probability of being toxic (Long et al. 2000).

317

#### 318 2.10. Statistical analyses

319

The analyses were conducted for each experiment (annual in situ monitoring, with experiments performed by caging and in the laboratory) using the software Statview 5.0 (SAS). To assess differences in the biochemical responses between sites and between dates of sampling, data were analyzed with a one-factor analysis of variance (ANOVAs) followed by Student's Newman-Keuls post hoc tests (SNK). Pairwise comparisons were then achieved between the groups. Levels of statistical significance were set at p < 0.05.

326

**327 3. Results and discussion** 

328

329 3.1. Physical chemical characterizations of sediments in both studied areas

331 The results of the structural parameters (TOC and fine fraction  $\leq 63 \,\mu$ m) indicated significantly (p  $\leq$ 332 0.001) higher levels of TOC (37.4  $\pm$  2.6%) in harbor sediments submitted to a weaker condition of agitation (point 3) than in sediments located at points 2 ( $23.1 \pm 6.1\%$ ) and 1 ( $27.5 \pm 5.1\%$ ), which were 333 334 under stronger agitation. No significant differences were calculated between the fine fraction levels of 335 harbor sediments collected at point 3 (71.2  $\pm$  20.0%), point 2 (78.6  $\pm$  10.6%) and point 1 (80.0  $\pm$ 336 9.4%). In sediments monitored in all tanks of the laboratory experiment, reproducing the anode degradation, TOC and fine fraction levels were similar under all conditions of agitation, and these 337 338 levels were close to those measured in harbor sediments exposed to agitation (point 2). No significant 339 differences in the TOC and fine fraction levels were recorded during the time of both monitoring (in 340 harbor and in laboratory).

All these results were similar to those monitored in harbor areas (Stronkhorst and Hattum, 2003). They showed that the characterizations of sediments exposed in laboratory experiments reproduced the in situ harbor conditions well enough, with the exception of lower TOC levels recorded in the sediments of tank 3 under weak agitation.

345

#### 346 2.1 Total concentrations in sediments

347

348 Table 1 relates the concentrations of elements measured in sediments collected in the harbor near (0 349 m) or distant from anodes (15 m), at point 1 (reference area), point 2 (agitated area) and point 3 (non-350 agitated area), during 42 months of monitoring. The first year of monitoring (Sept. 2012 to Sept. 351 2013) corresponding to the period prior to anode implantation, sediments were considered as homogeneous near and at 15 m away from the docks. Thus, analyses were performed only on 352 353 sediments at proximity of the docks (0 m). These concentrations were compared to SQGs and GEODE 354 levels (N1 and N2) that were only reported for Cu and Zn, since the other elements were not 355 documented.

No significant differences in concentrations were observed in the harbor sediments of points 1 and 2.At these points, the Cu and Zn concentrations were relatively stable during the 42 months of

358 monitoring in sediments located at proximity of the anodes. These concentrations were 2 to 3 times 359 lower than those measured in the sediments of point 3 (non-agitated area), in which higher significant 360 (p < 0.0001) concentrations of Al and Fe were recorded. Then, Cu and Zn concentrations increased with time (+86% for Cu and +137% for Zn) to respectively reach 51.4 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 347 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> during 361 the last months of monitoring. Despite its increase, Cu concentrations remained inferior to the N1 362 level of the French guidelines GEODE. This was not the case for Zn concentrations in the same 363 364 sediments that exceeded the N1 level (276 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) during the last year of monitoring (2016-2017). The evaluation of the sediment quality was also discussed based on the comparison of the monitored 365 sediment concentrations with the two sets of SQGs guidelines, the ERLs/ERMs and TELs/PELs (Long 366 et al., 1998). Cu concentrations sometimes exceeded the TEL in the sediments of points 1, 2 and 3 and 367 368 were regularly over the ERL in the sediments of point 3 during the 42 months of monitoring. The Zn 369 concentrations that were recorded in the sediments of point 3 were over TEL, regularly close to the 370 ERL, and during the last year (2016 - 2017) of monitoring, exceeded the PEL and were close to the 371 ERM.

372

373

Table 1: Concentrations of elements (constituting galvanic anodes) researched in sediments collected in situ
harbor monitoring in the reference area (point 1), near anodes (0 m) or distant from anodes (15 m) under agitated
(point 2) and non-agitated (point 3) conditions of the harbor between September 2012 and September 2013
(before anode implementation) and between January 2015 and June 2017 (after anode implementation).
Concentrations are compared to the national N1/N2 guidelines (GEODE levels) and SQGs.

					Referen	ce condit	tion in h	arbor (po	int 1)					
		4	c	u	F	e	I	In	Ν	/In	5	Si	7	Zn
mg kg⁻¹ dw	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m
Sep-12	11478	-	24.2	-	5754	-	nd	-	89	-	290651	-	242	-
Jan-13	23133	-	25.2	-	12934	-	< 4	-	210	-	179537	-	69	-
May-13	24185	-	23.0	-	13991	-	< 4	-	214	-	-	-	100	-
Sep-13	26259	-	30.3	-	14927	-	-	-	212	-	176144	-	87	-
Jan-15	26618	-	11.7	-	13122	-	-	-	193	-	207403	-	70	-
Jul-15	36519	-	27.5	-	20804	-	10.2	-	228	-	140405	-	108	-
Feb-2016	29765	-	11.4	-	15735	-	12.0	-	221	-	172049	-	69	-
Jul-16	32351	-	13.5	-	16486	-	10.3	-	214	-	155996	-	76	-
Feb-2017	32906	-	10.3	-	17176	-	12.6	-	280	-	163232	-	78	-
Jun-17	31419	-	12.3	-	16806	-	11.1	-	222	-	172385	-	73	-
					Agitate	d conditi	on in ha	rbor (poi	nt 2)					
	1	41	c	u	F	Fe In			Ν	/In	5	Si	7	Zn
mg kg⁻¹ dw	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m
Sep-12	19035	-	14.9	-	11046	-	nd	-	171	-	161203	-	70	-
Jan-13	17595	-	17.2	-	10215	-	nd	-	152	-	287124	-	47	-
May-13	25436	-	25.2	-	15436	-	< 4	-	248	-	-	-	109	-
Sep-13	26251	-	25.5	-	15451	-	< 4	-	211	-	200618	-	97	-
Jan-15	30884	20524	12.1	8.0	17016	9967	14.9	6.1	296	151	148202	264075	72	43
Jul-15	29056	15167	11.9	4.6	15471	6500	6.7	< 4	203	100	176487	326341	77	25
Feb-2016	26187	25074	10.6	8.0	13880	13166	10.3	< 4	222	213	202979	219363	54	49
Jul-16	27839	28508	11.5	14.1	14020	14309	7.0	9.9	188	187	194631	196485	68	73
Feb-2017	29782	18545	10.5	8.5	15982	8485	10.6	6.6	232	139	188219	300333	67	33
Jun-17	23887	13959	14.9	1.7	12293	5558	8.6	< 4	174	84	242662	345844	49	16
				I	Non-agita	ated cond	lition in	harbor (p	oint 3)					
	1	4	Cu		Fe		In		Mn		Si		Zn	
mg kg⁻¹ dw	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m
Sep-12	32063	-	27.6	-	17092	-	< 4	-	214	-	158290	-	146	-
Jan-13	33078	-	40.7	-	19870	-	nd	-	256	-	148529	-	142	-
May-13	31314	-	47.5	-	20458	-	< 4	-	278	-	-	-	186	-
Sep-13	36452	-	31.7	-	19739	-	< 4	-	244	-	159760	-	122	-
Jan-15	36299	37733	35.7	23.0	21667	21612	11.5	11.1	227	242	136244	137435	152	108
Jul-15	36631	27136	39.6	12.2	21873	13745	11.8	< 4	222	191	138336	179190	144	65
Feb-2016	40833	35961	42.9	37.5	21997	20685	10.9	10.9	217	215	133126	137663	225	136
Jul-16	45758	35985	43.0	48.2	19345	21450	14.7	10.7	205	217	109697	131955	357	153
Feb-2017	49501	37106	56.0	41.6	22565	21095	15.4	12.6	226	225	111007	135358	371	147
Jun-17	47379	36542	51.4	59.0	20620	21131	16.0	8.5	211	206	120954	136805	347	196
GEODE (N1)		-	4	5		-		-		-			2	76
GEODE (N2)		-	9	90		-		-		-		-	5	52
TEL <sup>(a)</sup>		-	18	3.7		-		-		-		-	1	24
PEL <sup>(b)</sup>		-	1(	08		-		-		-		-	2	71
ERL <sup>(c)</sup>		-	3	34		-		-		-		-	1	50
ERM <sup>(d)</sup>		-	2	70		-		-		-		-	4	10
(a) Threshold effe	"Threshold effect level, <sup>(b)</sup> probable effect level, <sup>(d)</sup> effect range-low, <sup>(d)</sup> effect range-median nd: not detected													

Threshold effect level, "probable effect level, "effet range-low, "effect range-median

379

380 Table 2 reported concentrations of elements researched in sediments exposed in the laboratory to 381 anodes in agitated (tank 2) and non-agitated conditions (tank 3). Tank 1 referred to uncontaminated 382 sediment by anodes (reference). For the harbor sediments, only the Cu and Zn concentrations could 383 have been compared to the GEODE levels, and the SQGs guidelines which were reported in the same 384 Table.

385 In the sediments that were monitored in all tanks during the 18 months of experiment, the 386 concentrations of In, Mn, Fe and Si were stable, and the Cu concentrations presented a small increase (p < 0.008). At the same time, concentrations of Al increased in tank 3 (p < 0.0001) and in tank 2 (p < 0.001)387 388 0.001), and the higher rises were given for Zn concentrations in the sediments of tank 3, with +1130% 389 (p < 0.0001), and tank 2 with +337% (p < 0.0005). A few increases of Zn were simultaneously 390 observed in the sediments of tank 1 (control) with +187% (p < 0.002), which suggested small inputs 391 with natural seawater. In the controlled sediments (tank 1) and sediments of tank 2, no concentrations 392 exceeded the GEODE levels and the SQGs guidelines, except the TEL of Zn for sediments collected 393 in November 2015. Then, natural contributions of Zn (referred by the levels measured in the control 394 tank) were considered in all tanks during the laboratory experiment. Taking account this hypothesis, 395 sediments of tank 3 collected after 6 months of experiment presented Zn concentrations that exceeded 396 all sets of guidelines, including ERM and the N2 level of GEODE, especially in July 2015 and March 397 2016. Although Zn concentrations were more important at the end of the experiment, the highest level 398 was recorded in July 2015. The thickness of corrosion product formed on anode surface is not 399 homogeneous and not adherent (Deborde et al, 2015), which may explain the non-continuous release 400 of elements in the water and pics of concentration in sediment before the end of monitoring.

Based on these concentrations, sediments located near the anodes in the non-agitated harbor area, may
represent a possible risk of toxic effect on organisms linked to Zn concentrations (Rahman et al.,
2014a; Tunca et al., 2018). These results therefore justify the following analyses conducted to assess
the quality of harbor sediments.

406 Table 2: Concentrations of elements constituting galvanic anodes researched in sediments exposed to anodes

- 407 under agitated and non-agitated conditions during a laboratory experiment. Concentrations are compared to the
- 408

national N1/N2 guidelines (GEODE levels) and SQGs.

	Referen	ce conditi	on in labor	atory expe	riment (ta	nk 1)	
mg kg⁻¹ dw	AI	Cu	Fe	In	Mn	Si	Zn
Sep-14	15864	7.7	10210	5.5	174	203777	39
Nov-14	17332	7.2	10770	5.2	171	192295	52
Jan-15	18322	10.1	11170	4.2	175	194288	60
Mar-15	20900	10.7	12749	3.8	181	181751	78
May-15	18924	9.2	11529	3.8	171	196545	68
Jul-15	20040	10.0	12118	3.1	171	193072	86
Sep-15	19368	9.7	11633	1.5	169	193318	82
Nov-15	24795	20.2	14574	3.4	184	172167	139
Jan-16	21885	16.6	12837	2.9	176	194215	117
Mar-16	21840	15.9	12911	3.8	178	191534	112
	Agitate	d conditio	on in labora	tory exper	iment (Tar	nk 2)	
mg kg⁻¹ dw	AI	Cu	Fe	In	Mn	Si	Zn
Sep-14	16727	8.7	10057	4.5	171	187908	48
Nov-14	18951	10.1	10652	4.1	172	195071	90
Jan-15	23087	14.4	12507	5.6	178	186675	125
Mar-15	20733	12.2	11710	5.0	174	186197	103
May-15	23835	11.7	12240	4.3	178	195620	124
Jul-15	32685	20.5	14715	5.6	176	174767	226
Sep-15	26268	15.5	14650	3.9	188	185661	170
Nov-15	46829	24.3	15523	8.6	172	160495	291
Jan-16	42743	16.9	14100	7.5	178	172588	239
Mar-16	32957	17.0	13808	3.3	173	191058	210
	Non-agita	ted condi	tionin labo	ratory exp	eriment (T	ank 3)	
_mg kg⁻¹ dw	AI	Cu	Fe	In	Mn	Si	Zn
Sep-14	16423	8.8	10354	5.3	176	199151	45
Nov-14	18584	7.1	10767	3.9	179	192421	71
Jan-15	21256	9.5	11192	4.5	174	187505	89
Mar-15	27521	11.0	10105	4.4	158	184918	229
May-15	33940	11.0	11562	5.3	166	176257	324
Jul-15	47057	13.7	11349	8.1	154	160650	872
Sep-15	36022	19.0	12769	5.7	168	178370	448
Nov-15	35472	14.3	12497	5.0	175	174403	339
Jan-16	33832	13.2	11837	4.1	171	177982	331
Mar-16	36521	18.3	12857	5.0	177	174338	553
GEODE (N1)	-	45	-	-	-	-	276
GEODE (N2)	-	90	-	-	-	-	552
TEL <sup>(a)</sup>	-	18.7	-	-	-	-	124
PEL <sup>(b)</sup>	-	108	-	-	-	-	271
ERL <sup>(c)</sup>	-	34	-	-	-	-	150
ERM <sup>(d)</sup>	-	270	-	-	-	-	410

<sup>(a)</sup>Threshold effect level, <sup>(b)</sup>probable effect level, <sup>(c)</sup>effet range-low, <sup>(d)</sup>effect range-median

nd: not detected

<sup>409</sup> 

#### 413 2.2.1 Enrichment factors

Table 3 reported enrichment factors (EF) calculated for sediments monitored in the harbor (point 2 and 415 416 point 3) and in the tanks exposed to one anode during the laboratory experiment (tank 2 and tank 3). In 417 the harbor, EFs were also calculated for sediment located at 15 m from the anodes. The EF values 418 helped us to identify the elements in sediments, which present an anthropogenic origin. According to 419 the Hasan et al. (2013), sediments of the harbor that were collected in proximity of the anodes in non-420 agitated condition (point 3) can be classified as moderate to moderately severely enriched by Cu and 421 Zn, with respectively maximal values of EF = 10 and EF = 9 recorded at the end of monitoring. For 422 the same period, these sediments presented minor enrichments in Al and In, which did not exceed EF 423 of 3. At 15 m from point 3, minor to moderate Cu and Zn enrichments of sediments were calculated, 424 which suggested widespread contamination. The results achieved for sediments that are exposed to 425 anodes in non-agitated condition during the laboratory experiment (tank 3) showed the same levels of 426 Zn enrichment factors compared to in situ enrichment. The highest Zn EF were measured earlier, in 427 July (EF = 11) and September 2015 (EF = 6). The sediments that were collected during the months 428 following this operation were less impacted and presented moderate enrichment in Zn (EF values of 3 429 to 5), which suggested a dispersion of Zn in seawaters. However, if minor Al and In enrichment factors (EF inferior of 4) were observed in the same sediments that were exposed to anodes in 430 laboratory conditions, these sediments were not or were slightly affected by a Cu enrichment (EF < 2). 431 432 In their study, Gabelle et al. (2012) related minor to moderate Al enrichment factors of harbor 433 sediments collected near or at 20 m from anodes with a maximal average value given for the Al EF of 434  $2.8 \pm 0.2$ . During our work, the same Al enrichment factors were recorded in sediments that were 435 monitored either in a harbor environment or under laboratory exposition conditions. Al is the major 436 component of the anode with 93.2%, and because of its mainly non soluble form, we might think that 437 the Al dissolved from galvanic anodes would have been induced quickly to accumulate into sediments.

438 Indeed, Al is known for its colloidal behavior, which conducts it to form rapid aggregates during the 439 flocculation process. Al is integrated to sediment when the forming precipitates (called flocs) settled 440 according to their weight. However, Al enrichment factors recorded in all sediments of both 441 experiments (in situ and in laboratory), remained inferior to 3 and were considered to be minor. One explanation could be linked to the low kinetic of aggregation, which would conduct to the continuous 442 dispersion of Al in the water even under weak agitation of the middle. The highest levels of metallic 443 444 enrichment in harbor sediments were associated with Zn and Cu, while these elements are, respectively, the second (with 2.5 to 6.5%) and one of the last elements (with 0.006%) composing the 445 446 anode. Such levels of enrichment were already given for harbor sediments but were often associated with other sources of contamination, such as antifouling paints (Singh and Turner, 2009; Pourabadehei 447 448 and Mulligan, 2016; Amara et al., 2018) or urban runoff (Macdonald et al., 1996; Nabizadeh et al., 449 2005; Reddy et al., 2014). If the results of our laboratory experiment confirmed the same enrichment 450 of Zn in sediments exposed to the anode (without ruling out the hypothesis of another source of 451 contamination), they clearly did not attribute the Cu enrichment of sediment to the anode dissolution. 452 The high levels of Cu recorded in the sediments harbor probably is associated with the other sources 453 of contamination linked to the harbor environment cited previously. Our attention was retained by the 454 In results. This element represents 0.01% of the anode composition but minor enrichments of harbor 455 sediments were also observed, and it was confirmed or enhanced during the simulation of anode 456 dissolution performed during our laboratory experiment. This element is not usually met in the harbor 457 environment, which was confirmed at the beginning of our monitoring by very low values of EF. The origin of this element in the marine environment may be associated to e-waste generated by electronic 458 459 manufacturing (Chen et al., 2015; Jensen et al., 2018). In our study, we demonstrated that this element 460 might be considered to be a tracer of the anode dissolution, especially for sediments that are monitored 461 in non-agitated environments.

- 462
- 463
- 464

Table 3: Enrichment factors calculated from concentrations of elements researched in sediments collected in situ (harbor monitoring) near anodes (0 m) or distant from anodes (15 m) under agitated (point 2) and non-agitated (point 3) conditions, between September 2012 and September 2013 (before anode implementation) and between January 2015 and June 2017 (after anode implementation in November 2014 for agitated condition and August 2014 for non-agitated condition). Enrichment factors calculated in sediments exposed to anodes in the laboratory experiment were also reported for agitated (tank 2) and non-agitated (tank 3) conditions.

HARBOR	HARBOR MONITORING													
	$EF_i = (C/\%63\mu m)_i / (C/\%63\mu m)_{ot}$													
		AI	c	u	Fe In			Ν	Mn		Si		Zn	
	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m
	Agitated condition (point 2)													
Sep-12	0.7	-	0.3	-	0.8	-	-	-	0.8	-	0.2	-	0.1	-
Jan-13	0.9	-	0.8	-	0.9	-	-	-	0.8	-	1.9		0.8	-
May-13	1.1	-	1.1	-	1.1	-	-	-	1.2		-	-	1.1	-
Sep-13	1.0	-	0.8	-	1.0	-	-	-	1.0	-	1.1		1.1	-
Jan-15	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.8	1.1	0.9	-	-	1.3	1.0	0.6	1.6	0.9	0.7
Jul-15	0.8	1.1	0.5	0.4	0.8	0.8	0.7	-	0.9	1.2	1.3	6.3	0.7	0.6
Feb-16	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.8	-	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.3	0.7	0.7
Jul-16	0.8	0.9	0.8	1.0	0.8	0.9	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.9	1.2	1.2	0.9	1.0
Feb-17	1.0	0.6	1.1	0.9	1.0	0.5	0.9	0.6	0.9	0.6	1.2	2.0	0.9	0.5
Jun-17	0.8	0.7	1.4	0.2	0.8	0.5	0.9	-	0.9	0.6	1.6	3.1	0.8	0.3
					Non-a	gitated	conditio	on (point	t 3)					
Sep-12	1.0	-	0.4	-	1.1	-	-	-	0.9	-	0.2	-	0.2	-
Jan-13	1.2	-	1.4	-	1.3	-	-	-	1.0	-	0.7	-	1.7	-
May-13	1.2	-	1.9	-	1.4	-	-	-	1.2	· ·	-	-	1.7	-
Sep-13	1.3	-	0.9	-	1.2	-	-	-	1.0	-	0.8	-	1.3	-
Jan-15	1.2	1.2	2.6	1.6	1.4	1.4	-	-	1.0	1.0	0.6	0.5	1.9	1.3
Jul-15	1.0	0.7	1.4	0.4	1.0	0.6	1.1	-	0.9	0.8	0.9	1.2	1.3	0.6
Feb-16	1.3	1.0	3.5	2.6	1.3	1.1	0.8	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6	3.0	1.6
Jul-16	1.5	1.1	3.4	3.4	1.3	1.3	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.8	5.1	2.0
Feb-17	2.7	1.1	9.7	4.0	2.4	1.2	2.2	1.0	1.4	0.8	1.2	0.8	8.6	1.9
Jun-17	1.8	1.0	5.1	4.1	1.5	1.1	1.7	0.6	1.2	0.8	0.9	0.7	5.8	2.3

#### LABORATORY EXPERIMENT

					EF	$r_i = (C/\%63)$	βμm) <sub>i</sub> / (C	/%63µm) <sub>tan</sub>	ik1					
	1	N C		Cu	F	e	1	n	Ν	/In	9	Si	z	'n
	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m
					Agi	itated co	ndition	(tank 2)						
Sep-14	1.1	-	1.2	-	1.0	-	0.8	-	1.0	-	1.0	-	1.2	-
Nov-14	1.1	-	1.4	-	1.0	-	0.8	-	1.0	-	1.0	-	1.8	-
Jan-15	1,3	-	1.5	-	1.2	-	1.4	-	1.0	-	1.0	-	2.2	-
Mar-15	1.0	-	1.2	-	0.9	-	1.3	-	1.0	-	1.1	-	1.4	-
May-15	1.3	-	1.3	-	1.1	-	1.2	-	1.1	-	1.0	-	1.9	-
Jul-15	1.7	-	2.1		1.3	-	1.8	-	1.1	-	0.9	-	2.7	-
Sep-15	1.4	-	1.7		1.3	-	2.6	-	1.1	-	1.0	-	2.1	-
Nov-15	1.9	-	1.2	-	1.1	-	2.6	-	1.0	-	1.0	-	2.1	-
Jan-16	2.0	-	1.0	-	1.1	-	2.7	-	1.0	-	0.9	-	2.1	-
Mar-16	1.6	•	1.1	-	1.1	-	0.9	-	1.0	-	1.0	-	1.9	-
					Non-	agitated	conditi	on (tank	3)					
Sep-14	1.1	-	1.2	-	1.1	-	1.0	-	1.1	-	1.1	-	1.2	-
Nov-14	1.2	-	1.1	-	1.1	-	0.8	-	1.1	-	1.1	-	1.5	-
Jan-15	1.3	-	1.0	-	1.1	-	1.2	-	1.1	-	1.0	-	1.6	-
Mar-15	1.4	-	1.1	-	0.9	-	1.2	-	0.9	-	1.1	-	3.2	-
May-15	1.9	-	1.3	-	1.1	-	1.5	-	1.0	-	1.0	-	5.1	-
Jul-15	2.5	-	1.5	-	1.0	-	2.8	-	1.0	-	0.9	-	11.0	-
Sep-15	2.0	-	2.1		1.2	-	4.0	-	1.1	-	1.0	-	5.9	-
Nov-15	1.5	-	0.8	-	0.9	-	1.6	-	1.0	-	1.1	-	2.6	-
Jan-16	1.7	-	0.9	-	1.0	-	1.5	-	1.1	-	1.0	-	3.0	-
Mar-16	1.8	-	1.2	-	1.1	-	1.4	-	1.1	-	1.0	-	5.3	-
Enrichment	Factor clas	ssification a	ccording H	asan et al. (	(2013) :									
~ 1	no enrichr	nont	1.3	minor enric	hmont		3 - 5	moderate e	nrichmont		5 - 10	moderately	, covoro on	richmont

474 To assess the effects of elements on the living organisms in the different sediments, we selected three 475 indicators that are usually used to assess the effect of sediment pollution on the ecosystem: the modified ecological risk assessment  $(E_R^i)$ , the toxic unit (TU) and the mean effect range-median 476 477 quotient (m-ERMq) (Table 4). The results associated with sediments collected in the harbor and 478 during the laboratory experiment were reported in Table 4, but only for Zn and Cu since no references 479 were given for the other elements constituting the anode, such as Al and In. In this study, sediments 480 exposed to the condition of agitation (point 2 and tank 2) presented low ecological risk (mE<sub>i</sub> < 40). The same observation was given for sediments under non-agitation conditions, except for one 481 482 sediment collected at the end of the monitoring period (February 2017), which presented a moderate 483 risk with mE<sub>i</sub> of 49. The sediments in majority showed a TU  $\leq$  1.5 except for those exposed to the 484 anode after July 2015 for which the probability of being toxic increased to 50% with a maximum of 485 76%. The results of m-ERMq indicated the global toxic effect of Cu and Zn. The majority of 486 sediments (whatever the condition of agitation) were classified on the second grade of the 4-level scale 487 with a 21% toxicity level. However, this level of toxicity increased to 49% for sediments exposed to 488 non-agitated conditions after one year of anode implementation in the harbor or after 9 months (July 489 2015) for the laboratory experiment. These results confirmed that the condition of agitation 490 considerately influenced the potential effect of sediments to organisms, especially when these 491 sediments were collected near the anodes. For Al and In, which were found to uncontaminate to 492 moderately contaminate the sediment, it is difficult to assess their possible effect on organisms on the 493 basis of the previous indicators. Previous works demonstrated the biological effects of Al in the aquatic environment but only in low pH conditions of the environment (pH  $\leq$  4.5 where Al<sup>3+</sup> form of 494 495 Al is dominant), which was not the environmental condition of these works (Gostomski, 1990; 496 Rosseland et al., 1990, Wilson, 2012).

498Table 4: Modified ecological risk assessment (ERi), toxic unit (TU) and mean effect range-median quotient (m-499ERMq) calculated from concentrations of Cu and Zn researched in sediments collected in situ harbor monitoring500near anodes (0 m) or distant from anodes (15 m) under agitated (point 2) and non-agitated (point 3) conditions,501between September 2012 and September 2013 (before anode implementation) and between January 2015 and502June 2017 (after anode implementation in November 2014 for agitated condition and August 2014 for non-503agitated condition). The same factors calculated in sediments exposed to anodes in laboratory experiments, were504also reported for agitated (tank 2) and non-agitated (tank 3) conditions.

HARBO	R MONITOR	RING								
		mE <sub>r</sub> <sup>i</sup> = T	i x EFi <sup>(a)</sup>			TU = C <sub>i</sub>	/ PEL <sub>i</sub> <sup>(b)</sup>		m-ERMq = []	EC <sub>i</sub> /ERM]/n <sup>(c)</sup>
	Cu Zn			C	Cu	2	Zn	$\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{i}}$		
	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m
				Agita	ted conditio	n (point 2)				
Sep-12	1	-	0	-	0.14	-	0.26	-	0.11	-
Jan-13	4	-	1	-	0.16	-	0.17		0.09	-
May-13	6	-	1	-	0.23	-	0.40		0.19	-
Sep-13	4	-	1	-	0.24	-	0.36		0.17	-
Jan-15	4	4	1	1	0.11	0.07	0.27	0.16	0.11	0.07
Jul-15	2	2	1	1	0.11	0.04	0.28	0.09	0.12	0.04
Feb-16	4	4	1	1	0.10	0.07	0.20	0.18	0.09	0.07
Jul-16	4	5	1	1	0.11	0.13	0.25	0.27	0.10	0.12
Feb-17	5	5	1	1	0.10	0.08	0.25	0.12	0.10	0.06
Jun-17	7	1	1	0	0.14	0.02	0.18	0.06	0.09	0.02
				Non-agi	tated condit	ion (point 3)				
Sep-12	2	-	0	-	0.26	-	0.54		0.23	-
Jan-13	7	-	2	-	0.38	-	0.52	-	0.25	-
May-13	10	-	2	-	0.44	-	0.69	-	0.31	-
Sep-13	5	-	1	-	0.29	-	0.45	-	0.21	-
Jan-15	13	8	2	1	0.33	0.21	0.56	0.40	0.25	0.17
Jul-15	7	2	1	1	0.37	0.11	0.53	0.24	0.25	0.10
Feb-16	17	13	3	2	0.40	0.35	0.83	0.50	0.35	0.24
Jul-16	17	17	5	2	0.40	0.45	1.32	0.57	0.52	0.28
Feb-17	49	20	9	2	0.52	0.39	1.37	0.54	0.56	0.26
Jun-17	25	20	6	2	0.48	0.55	1.28	0.72	0.52	0.35

#### LABORATORY EXPERIMENT

	Cu		Zn		C	u	Z	n	ΣΜ <sub>i</sub>	
	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m	0 m	15 m
	Agitated condition (tank 2)									
Sep-14	6	-	1	-	0.08	-	0.18	-	0.07	-
Nov-14	7	-	2	-	0.09	-	0.33	-	0.13	-
Jan-15	7	-	2	-	0.13	-	0.46	-	0.18	-
Mar-15	6	-	1	-	0.11	-	0.38	-	0.15	-
May-15	7	-	2	-	0.11	-	0.46	-	0.17	-
Jul-15	11	-	3	-	0.19	-	0.83	-	0.31	-
Sep-15	8	-	2	-	0.14	-	0.63	-	0.24	-
Nov-15	6	-	2	-	0.22	-	1.07	-	0.40	-
Jan-16	5	-	2	-	0.16	-	0.88	-	0.32	-
Mar-16	6	-	2	-	0.16	-	0.78	-	0.29	-
				Non-agi	tated condit	ion (tank 3)				
Sep-14	6	-	1	-	0.08	-	0.17	-	0.07	-
Nov-14	5	-	1	-	0.09	-	0.26	-	0.10	-
Jan-15	5	-	2	-	0.13	-	0.33	-	0.13	-
Mar-15	6	-	3	-	0.11	-	0.84	-	0.30	-
May-15	6	-	5	-	0.11	-	1.19	-	0.42	-
Jul-15	7	-	11	-	0.19	-	3.22	-	1.09	-
Sep-15	11	-	6	-	0.14	-	1.65	-	0.58	-
Nov-15	4	-	3	-	0.22	-	1.25	-	0.44	-
Jan-16	4	-	3	-	0.16	-	1.22	-	0.43	-
Mar-16	6	-	5	-	0.16	-	2.04	-	0.71	-
(a) Modified	individual po	tential ecolog	ical risk fact	or (mEr <sup>i</sup> ) clas	sification acc	ording Hakar	nson et al. (19	80):		

< 40 Low toxic 40 - 80 moderate risk 80 - 160 higher risk 160 - 320 much higher risk

<sup>(D)</sup> Toxic Unit (TU) or mean PEL quotient according Tunca et al (2018) and Long et al. (1998):

< 0.1 10% 0.11 - 1.5 25% 1.51 - 2.3 50%

<sup>(c)</sup> Mean ERM quotient (m-ERMq) classification according Long et al. (2000):

 < 0,1</th>
 9%
 0.11 - 0.5
 21%
 0.51 - 1.5
 49%

≥ 2.3 76% probability of being toxic

≥ 1.5 76% probability of being toxic

507 Although the SQGs guidelines and the previous quality indices helped us to identify the elements and 508 sites linked to a potential risk to organisms, they did not identify the chemical form of the element that 509 may be responsible for causing toxicity. Therefore, a study on the speciation of each element that was 510 released from the anode and eventually transferred to the sediments was conducted during both studies 511 (in the harbor and in the laboratory). Then, sequential extractions were performed to assess the 512 bioavailability of the elements by exploring their concentration in four fractions of the sediments: 513 acid-soluble fraction (F1), reducible fraction (F2), oxidizable fraction (F3) and residual fraction (F4). 514 Fig. 3 shows the concentrations of elements (Al, Cu, Fe, Mn, Si and Zn) that were extracted from the 515 F1, F2, F3 and F4 fractions of sediments exposed to anodes in agitated and non-agitated conditions 516 during harbor monitoring (left graph) and the laboratory experiment (right graph). The sequential 517 extraction of In was not conclusive due to the low concentrations detected in each fraction (inferior to 518 the detection limits).

519 In harbor sediments, Al, Cu, Fe and Si were principally present in the F4 (residual, 78 to 98%) 520 fraction, Mn was mostly scavenged in the F1 (acido-soluble, 45%) fraction and Zn was distributed 521 between the F4 (residual, 60%) fraction and equally in fractions F1, F2 and F3 (10 to 17%). After 42 522 months of monitoring, Mn and Si distributions remained stable in all sediments. During the same 523 period, the oxidizable fraction (F3) of sediment collected at point 3 (under non-agitation conditions) 524 was enriched by Al, Cu and Zn while Fe was decreased in this fraction. Regarding the results of the 525 extractions performed in sediments exposed to anodes for 18 months in tank 3 (which reproduced the 526 harbor conditions of point 3), Al and Zn were similarly enriched by the F3 fraction and, at the same 527 time, a decrease in Fe occurred. The previous results showed higher organic matter, minor Al and 528 moderately severe Zn enrichment of sediments located at the proximity of the anode under non-529 agitated conditions (point 3 and tank 3). We could suppose that Al was mobilized with time in an 530 oxidizable fraction of the sediment when organic matter increased. Humic substances dissolved in 531 seawater may represent important ligands for trace metals and stability of the complexes as functions

532	of the metal, such as the following decreasing scale proposed by Yang and van den Berg (2009): Cu >
533	Zn > Fe > Al. The authors demonstrated the existence of competition between the metals for the same
534	binding sites of humic substances and a decrease of Fe linked to humic substances with the addition of
535	other metals. Mobilized in organic matter and the sulfides fraction (F3) of harbor sediments, Al, Cu
536	and Zn, could be released under oxidizing conditions, which can occur if the sediment is resuspended,
537	such as by dredging, currents, etc. (Morillo et al., 2004). In point 2 of the harbor, the evolution of the
538	element distribution was different than in point 3, since enrichments of the F2 fraction by Zn, Fe and
539	Cu as well as an enrichment of the F1 fraction by Zn were observed. Fraction F2 is representative of
540	the potential element mobility under changing conditions. These differences of element mobilization
541	were certainly linked to the difference of agitation conditions between point 3 and point 2. Actually, in
542	the area of point 2, sediments are submitted to a resuspension technique two times per year.
543	Pourabadehei and Mulligan (2016) showed that the effect of the resuspension technique reduced the
544	concentration of metals in sediment and suspended matter by 24%. However, these enrichments were
545	not significantly reproduced in tank 2 during the laboratory experiment, although, we noticed that Zn
546	in control tank (tank 1) tended to enrich the F1 fraction with time instead of the F3 fraction as in tanks
547	2 and 3 exposed to the anode. This could suggest different sources of Zn in tank 1 (Zn associated with
548	a natural origin) and Zn distribution in the sediments of tanks 2 and 3 (which would be linked to anode
549	dissolution).
550	
551	
552	
553	
554	
555	
556	
557	





#### 567 4 Conclusions

These results are the first to be obtained from a long-term sediment monitoring achieved during both 568 569 natural and laboratory experiments to study release of metals from Al galvanic anode dissolution in 570 harbor applications. They demonstrated that metal enrichments in sediment were depending to the agitation condition and the metal species, but were not reliant to the metal proportion (% in mass) 571 composing the anode. Indeed, at the end of the both experiments, high concentrations of Cu and Zn 572 573 and moderate to low concentrations of Al and In were measured in sediments exposed to non-agitated 574 conditions. If Cu and Zn levels were associated to a potential toxic effect of sediments, the experiment conducted in laboratory demonstrated that only Zn could be associated to the anode dissolution. 575 576 Enrichments of Al (and In) did not present an ecological risk to the marine environment, while this 577 element is the principal component of the anode (> 96%). The results obtained in our present study 578 confirmed the hypothesis suggested by Deborde et al. (2015) that the corrosion product induced 579 continuous metal diffusion towards the water column and the sediment. They added that once released, 580 metals exhibit different behaviors related to their interaction with the environment (difference of 581 chemical forms). Over time, the distribution study of the metals into the different fractions of sediments showed that released Zn and Al were transferred to the oxidizable fraction. These results 582 583 supposed a potential mobilization of these elements from the sediment if physical-chemical changes 584 would occur (for example during dredging operations).

585 On the other hand, these results suggested that In could be a good tracer of anode dissolution in harbor 586 sediment monitored in non-agitated areas, under long-term monitoring condition (more than one year). 587 All these results needed to be completed by further and sediment bioassays performed on living 588 organisms to assess the potential toxic effects of Zn, Al and In that were released from the galvanic 589 anode and that accumulated in sediments. They will be followed by the results obtained on organisms 590 (mussels and sponges) that were exposed during the same experiments.

591

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank the Société d'Exploitation des Ports du Détroit
 (Port of Calais), especially Patrick Honoré for his participation in the project management; the

Regional Council of Les Hauts de France and the Departmental Direction of Territories and Sea ofPas-de-Calais (Water Police Service).

596

597

598 References

- Amara, I., Miled, W., Slama, R.B., Ladhari, N., 2018. Antifouling processes and toxicity effects of
  antifouling paints on marine environment. A review. Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 57, 115–
  130.
- Angel, B.M., Apte, S.C., Batley, G.E., Golding, L.A., 2016. Geochemical controls on aluminium
  concentrations in coastal waters. Environ. Chem. 13, 111-118.
- 604 ATSDR, 2008. Toxicological profile for aluminium. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services,
- Public Health Service, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, 357 p.
  https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp22.pdf
- Barbucci, A., Cerisola, G., Bruzzone, G., Saccone, A., 1997. Activation of aluminium anodes by the
  presence of intermetallic compounds. Electrochim. Acta 42, 2369–2380.
- Baudrimont M., Schäfer J., Marie V., Maury-Brachet R., Bossy C., Boudou A., Blanc G., 2005.
- 610 Geochemical survey and metal bioaccumulation of three bivalve species (*Crassostrea gigas*,
- 611 *Cerastoderma edule*, and *Ruditapes philippinarum*) in the Nord Me´doc salt marshes (Gironde
- 612 estuary, France). Sci. Total Environ. 337, 265–280.
- Bird, P., Comber, S.D.W., Gardner, M.J., Ravenscroft, J.E., 1996. Zinc inputs to coastal waters from
  sacrificial anodes. Sci. Total Environ. 181, 257–264.
- Brady, J.P., Ayoko, G.A., Martens, W.N., Goonetilleke, A., 2015. Development of a hybrid pollution
  index for heavy metals in marine and estuarine sediments. Environ. Monit. Assess. 187, 306.
- 617 Chen, J.Y., Luong, H.V.T., Liu, J.C., 2015. Fractionation and release behaviors of metals (In, Mo, Sr)
  618 from industrial sludge. Water Res. 82, 86–93.
- 619 Deborde, J., Refait, P., Bustamante, P., Caplat, C., Basuyaux, O., Grolleau, A.-M., Mahaut, M.-L.,
  620 Brach-Papa, C., Gonzalez, J.-L., Pineau, S., 2015. Impact of Galvanic Anode Dissolution on
- 621 Metal Trace Element Concentrations in Marine Waters. Water. Air. Soil Pollut. 226, 1-14.

- 622 Devos, A., Voiseux, C., Caplat, C., Fievet, B., 2012. Effect of chronic exposure to zinc in young spats
  623 of the Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*). Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 31, 2841–2847.
- DNV-RP-B401, 2017. Cathodic protection design. Recommended practice DNV-GL, Edition June2017.
- Driscoll, C.T., Schecher, W.D., 1990. The chemistry of aluminum in the environment. Environ.
  Geochem. Health 12, 28–49.
- Dušanka M-D., 1970. Methodology of zinc determinations and the role of zinc in biochemical
  processes. In Oscar B, Stewart CP, eds, Advances in Clin. Chem. Elsevier, New York, NY,
  USA 271–333.
- Fukai, R., Huynh-Ngoc, L., 1975. Chemical Forms of Zinc in Sea Water. J. Oceanogr. Soc. Japan. 31,
  179-191.
- Gabelle, C., Baraud, F., Biree, L., Gouali, S., Hamdoun, H., Rousseau, C., van Veen, E., Leleyter, L.,
  2012. The impact of aluminium sacrificial anodes on the marine environment: A case study.
  Appl. Geochem. 27, 2088–2095.
- Gargouri, D., Gzam, M., Kharroubi, A., Jedoui, Y., 2018. Use of sediment quality indicators for heavy
  metals contamination and ecological risk assessment in urbanized coastal zones. Environ.
  Earth Sci. 77, 1-8.
- Geffard A., Amiard-Triquet C., Amiard J-C., Mouneyrac C., 2001. Temporal variations of
  metallothionein and metal concentrations in the digestive gland of oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*)
  from a clean and a metalrich site. Biomarkers 6, 91–107.
- Geffard A., Geffard O., Amiard J-C., His E., Amiard-Triquet C., 2007. Bioaccumulation of Metals in
  Sediment Elutriates and Their Effects on Growth, Condition Index, and Metallothionein
  Contents in Oyster Larvae. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 53, 57–65.
- 645 Geode, 2012. Suivis environnementaux des opérations de dragage et d'immersion. Guide
  646 méthodologique, 134 p.
- Gillmore, M.L., Golding, L.A., Angel, B.M., Adams, M.S., Jolley, D.F., 2016. Toxicity of dissolved
  and precipitated aluminium to marine diatoms. Aquat. Toxicol. 174, 82–91.

- Golding, L.A., Angel, B.M., Batley, G.E., Apte, S.C., Krassoi, R., Doyle, C.J., 2015. Derivation of a
  water quality guideline for aluminium in marine waters: Derivation of a marine water quality
  guideline for aluminium. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 34, 141–151.
- Gostomski, F., 1990. The toxicity of aluminum to aquatic species in the US. Environ. Geochem.
  Health 12, 51–54.
- Hagvall, K., Persson, P., Karlsson, T., 2015. Speciation of aluminum in soils and stream waters: The
  importance of organic matter. Chem. Geol. 417, 32–43.
- Hakanson, L., 1980. An ecological risk index for aquatic pollution control.a sedimentological
  approach. Water Res. 14, 975–1001.
- Hasan, A.B., Kabir, S., Selim Reza, A.H.M., Nazim Zaman, M., Ahsan, A., Rashid, M., 2013.
  Enrichment factor and geo-accumulation index of trace metals in sediments of the ship
  breaking area of Sitakund Upazilla (Bhatiary–Kumira), Chittagong, Bangladesh. J. Geochem.
  Explor. 125, 130–137.
- Jahan, S., Strezov, V., 2018. Comparison of pollution indices for the assessment of heavy metals in the
  sediments of seaports of NSW, Australia. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 128, 295–306.
- Jensen, H., Gaw, S., Lehto, N.J., Hassall, L., Robinson, B.H., 2018. The mobility and plant uptake of
  gallium and indium, two emerging contaminants associated with electronic waste and other
  sources. Chemosphere 209, 675–684.
- Kaesche H., 2003. Corrosion of Metals Physicochemical Principles and Current Problems. Springer,
   Berlin, Heidelberg 604 p.
- Kim, B.S.M., Angeli, J.L.F., Ferreira, P.A.L., de Mahiques, M.M., Figueira, R.C.L., 2018. Critical
  evaluation of different methods to calculate the Geoaccumulation Index for environmental
  studies: A new approach for Baixada Santista Southeastern Brazil. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 127,
  548–552.
- Kirchgeorg, T., Weinberg, I., Hörnig, M., Baier, R., Schmid, M.J., Brockmeyer, B., 2018. Emissions
  from corrosion protection systems of offshore wind farms: Evaluation of the potential impact
  on the marine environment. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 136, 257–268.

- Kroglund, F., Finstad, B., 2003. Low concentrations of inorganic monomeric aluminum impair
  physiological status and marine survival of Atlantic salmon. Aquaculture 222, 119–133.
- Kruger J. and Begum S., 2016. Corrosion of Metals: Overview. Reference Module in Mater. Sci. and
  Mater. Eng..
- Li, X., Liu, L., Wang, Y., Luo, G., Chen, X., Yang, X., Gao, B., He, X., 2012. Integrated Assessment
  of Heavy Metal Contamination in Sediments from a Coastal Industrial Basin, NE China. PLoS
- 682 ONE 7, 10.
- Long, E.R., MacDonald, D.D., Severn, C.G., Hong, C.B., 2000. Classifying probabilities of acute
  toxicity in marine sediments with empirically derived sediment quality guidelines. Environ.
  Toxicol. Chem. 19, 2598–2601.
- Long, E.R., Field, L.J., MacDonald, D.D., 1998. Predicting toxicity in marine sediments with
  numerical sediment quality guidelines. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 17, 714–727.
- Long, E.R., Macdonald, D.D., Smith, S.L., Calder, F.D., 1995. Incidence of adverse biological effects
  within ranges of chemical concentrations in marine and estuarine sediments. Environ.
  Manage. 19, 81–97.
- Macdonald, D.D., Carr, R.S., Calder, F.D., Long, E.R., Ingersoll, C.G., 1996. Development and
  evaluation of sediment quality guidelines for Florida coastal waters. Ecotoxicology 5, 253–
  278.
- Mao, A., Mahaut, M.-L., Pineau, S., Barillier, D., Caplat, C., 2011. Assessment of sacrificial anode
  impact by aluminum accumulation in mussel Mytilus edulis: A large-scale laboratory test.
  Mar. Pollut. Bull. 62, 2707–2713.
- McCauley, D.J., DeGraeve, G., Linton, T., 2000. Sediment quality guidelines and assessment:
  overview and research needs. Environ. Sci. Policy 3, 133–144.
- Millero, F., Woosley, R., DiTrolio, B., Waters, J., 2009. Effect of Ocean Acidification on the
  Speciation of Metals in Seawater. Oceanography 22, 72–85.
- Morillo, J., Usero, J., Gracia, I., 2004. Heavy metal distribution in marine sediments from the
  southwest coast of Spain. Chemosphere 55, 431–442.

703	Mottin, E., Caplat, C., Latire, T., Mottier, A., Mahaut, ML., Costil, K., Barillier, D., Lebel, JM.,
704	Serpentini, A., 2012. Effect of zinc sacrificial anode degradation on the defence system of the
705	Pacific oyster, Crassostrea gigas: Chronic and acute exposures. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 64, 1911-
706	1920.

- NACE international, 2002. Corrosion costs and preventive strategies in the United States. Report
   FHWA-RD-01-156
- Nabizadeh, R., Mahvi, A., Mardani, G., Yunesian, M., 2005. Study of heavy metals in urban runoff.
  Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol. 1, 325–333.
- OSPAR Commission, 2014. Levels and trends in marine contaminants and their biologial effects CEMP Assessment report 2013, 21 p.
- Pempkowiak, J., Sikora, A., Biernacka, E., 1999. Speciation of heavy metals in marine sediments vs
  their bioaccumulation by mussels. Chemosphere 39, 313-321.
- Pan, K., Wang, W.-X., 2012. Trace metal contamination in estuarine and coastal environments in
  China. Sci. Total Environ. 421–422, 3–16.
- Pourabadehei, M., Mulligan, C.N., 2016. Effect of the resuspension technique on distribution of the
  heavy metals in sediment and suspended particulate matter. Chemosphere 153, 58–67.
- Rahman, M.S., Saha, N., Molla, A.H., 2014a. Potential ecological risk assessment of heavy metal
  contamination in sediment and water body around Dhaka export processing zone, Bangladesh.
  Environ. Earth Sci. 71, 2293–2308.
- Ranjbar Jafarabadi, A., Riyahi Bakhtiyari, A., Shadmehri Toosi, A., Jadot, C., 2017. Spatial
  distribution, ecological and health risk assessment of heavy metals in marine surface
  sediments and coastal seawaters of fringing coral reefs of the Persian Gulf, Iran. Chemosphere
  185, 1090–1111.
- Rauret, G., López-Sánchez, J.F., Sahuquillo, A., Rubio, R., Davidson, C., Ure, A., Quevauviller, P.,
  1999. Improvement of the BCR three step sequential extraction procedure prior to the
  certification of new sediment and soil reference materials. J. Environ. Monit. 1, 57–61.

- RECORD, 2017. Impacts écologiques de sédiments pollués extraits et déposés en milieux terrestres.
  Etat des connaissances et évaluation des risques pour les écosystèmes. Etude n°14-1023/1A,
  308 p.
- Reddy, K.R., Xie, T., Dastgheibi, S., 2014. Removal of heavy metals from urban stormwater runoff
  using different filter materials. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 2, 282–292.
- Rosseland, B.O., Eldhuset, T.D., Staurnes, M., 1990. Environmental effects of aluminium. Environ.
  Geochem. Health 12, 17–27.
- Rousseau, C., Baraud, F., Leleyter, L., Gil, O., 2009. Cathodic protection by zinc sacrificial anodes:
  Impact on marine sediment metallic contamination. J. Hazard. Mater. 167, 953–958.
- Roy A.H., 1968. Aluminium alloy anode and method of using same in cathodic protection,
  United States Patent Office, 3393, 138.
- Salati, S., Moore, F., 2010. Assessment of heavy metal concentration in the Khoshk River water and
  sediment, Shiraz, Southwest Iran. Environ. Monit. Assess. 164, 677–689.
- Savitha, S., Srinivasalu, S., Suresh, S., Jayamoorthy, K., 2018. Distribution of Heavy Metals in the
  Marine Sediments of Various Sites in Karaichalli Island, Tuticorin, Gulf of Mannar, India.
  Silicon 10, 1419–1425.
- Ščančar, J., Milačič, R., 2006. Aluminium speciation in environmental samples: a review. Anal.
  Bioanal. Chem. 386, 999–1012.
- Shibli, S.M.A., George, S., 2007. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopic analysis of activation of
  Al–Zn alloy sacrificial anode by RuO<sub>2</sub> catalytic coating. Appl. Surf. Sci. 253, 7510–7515.
- Singh, N., Turner, A., 2009. Leaching of copper and zinc from spent antifouling paint particles.
  Environ. Pollut. 157, 371–376.
- Stronkhorst, J., Hattum, B. van, 2003. Contaminants of Concern in Dutch Marine Harbor Sediments.
  Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 45, 306-316.
- Sunda, W. G., Tester, P.A., Huntsman, S.A., 1989. Effects of cupric and zinc ion activities on the
  survival and reproduction of marine copepods. Mar. Biol. 94, 203-210.

- Tunca, E., Aydın, M., Şahin, Ü.A., 2018. An ecological risk investigation of marine sediment from the
  northern Mediterranean coasts (Aegean Sea) using multiple methods of pollution
  determination. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25, 7487–7503.
- Watling, H. R., 1982. Comparative Study of the Effects of Zinc, Cadmium and Copper on the Larval
  Growth of Three Oyster Species. Bull. Environm. Contam. Toxicol. 28, 195-201.
- Wilson, S.P., Hyne, R.V., 1997. Toxicity of Acid-Sulfate Soil Leachate and Aluminum to Embryos of
  the Sydney Rock Oyster. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 37, 30–36.
- Wilson, R.W., 2012. Chapter 2: Aluminum. In: C.M Wood, A.P. Farrel and C.J. Brauner (*Eds.*),
  Homeostasis and toxicology of non-essential metals: Volume 31B. Elsevier Inc.
- Xia, P., Meng, X., Yin, P., Cao, Z., Wang, X., 2011. Eighty-year sedimentary record of heavy metal
  inputs in the intertidal sediments from the Nanliu River estuary, Beibu Gulf of South China
  Sea. Environ. Pollut. 159, 92–99.
- Yang, R., van den Berg, C.M.G., 2009. Metal Complexation by Humic Substances in Seawater.
  Environ. Sci. Technol. 43, 7192–7197.
- Zahra, A., Hashmi, M.Z., Malik, R.N., Ahmed, Z., 2014. Enrichment and geo-accumulation of heavy
  metals and risk assessment of sediments of the Kurang Nallah—Feeding tributary of the
  Rawal Lake Reservoir, Pakistan. Sci. Total Environ. 470–471, 925–933.