

Assembling and testing a generic phenological model to predict Lobesia botrana voltinism for impact studies.

Victorine Castex, Iñaki García de Cortázar-Atauri, Pierluigi Calanca, Martin Beniston, Jérôme Moreau

▶ To cite this version:

Victorine Castex, Iñaki García de Cortázar-Atauri, Pierluigi Calanca, Martin Beniston, Jérôme Moreau. Assembling and testing a generic phenological model to predict Lobesia botrana voltinism for impact studies.. Ecological Modelling, 2020, 420, pp.108946. 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2020.108946 . hal-02541785

HAL Id: hal-02541785 https://hal.science/hal-02541785

Submitted on 22 Feb 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Ecological Modelling	
2		
3	Title: Assembling and testing	a generic phenological model to predict Lobesia Botrana voltinism
4	for impact studies	
5		
6	V. Castex (1), I. García de Cort	tázar-Atauri (2), P. Calanca (3), M. Beniston (1), J. Moreau(4).
7	(1) Institute of Envir	onmental Sciences, University of Geneva, Switzerland,
8	Martin.Beniston@unige.ch	
9	(2) INRA, US1116 AgroClim,	84914 Avignon, France, inaki.garciadecortazar@inra.fr
10	(3) Agroscope, Agroecology an	nd Environment, Switzerland, pierluigi.calanca@agroscope.admin.ch
11	(4) Université de Bourgogne F	ranche-Comté, UMR 6282 Biogéosciences, Equipe Ecologie-Evolutive,
12	France, Jerome.Moreau@u-boo	urgogne.fr
13		
14	Informative title : A generic n	nodel for <i>L.botrana</i> voltinism
15		
16	Corresponding author:	Victorine Castex, victorine.castex@unige.ch
17		University of Geneva - ISE
18		Boulevard Carl-Vogt 66
19		1205 Geneva, Switzerland
20		Tel: (+41) 22 379 0768
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
25		
20		
27		

28 Abstract:

29 The physiological development of insect pests is driven by temperature and photoperiod. Geographic 30 variations in the speed of growth reflect current patterns in thermal conditions as a function of latitude 31 and altitude. Global warming will likely lead to shifts in pests' phenology. Insects are expected to 32 overwinter earlier and develop more generations, with implications for the risks of damage to 33 agricultural crops. Understanding and monitoring of the voltinism of insect pests will be increasingly 34 important to anticipate critical phases of pest development and devise options for adapting pest control 35 measures. In this study, we describe a new generic phenological model that allows to reproduce the 36 voltinism of Lobesia botrana, a major insect pest that affect grapevine production (Vitis vinifera L.) 37 worldwide.

38 Inspired by existing models, the Lobesia Generic Model (LGM) combines two submodels representing 39 the overwintering period and the dates of flight of subsequent generations of male insects. This model 40 uses a unique Beta function for representing the temperature responses of both the overwintering 41 generation as well as the adult generations. The results indicate that LGM is able to simulate L. 42 botrana voltinism under climatic conditions ranging from those observed in Southern Spain to those 43 recorded in the Alsace region (France). The LGM only requires a single set of parameters valid for all 44 the generations with a precision of around 7 days (RMSE), allowing a simple use with parameters 45 representative of natural behaviour and found in the existing literature.

46 Keywords: Generic phenological modelling, Lobesia botrana, voltinism, process-based model,

- 47 temperature, photoperiod
- 48

49 Key Messages

- We present a generic model of *L. botrana* voltinism that assembles existing components
- We assume that thermal requirements are the same for all generations, and use one the same
 Beta function to represent the temperature response of all generations
- We show that the model performs well across sites ranging from Southern Spain to the Alsace
 region (France)
- 55
- 56

57 **1. Introduction**

Modern agriculture relies on the massive use of pesticides to increase productivity (Tilman et al.
2002). This has caused considerable stress on biodiversity and made insect pests more resistant to
pesticides (Hatt et al. 2018). Furthermore, extensive commercial trade as well as increasing

61 temperatures have enhanced the spread of insect pests. As a result, invasive species have started to 62 damage crops in remote areas (Bale et al. 2002; Lin 2011; Lamichhane et al. 2015). Understanding 63 and predicting insect pest dynamics is necessary in order to ensure productivity while reducing the use 64 of chemicals (Bregaglio et al. 2013). In the context of global warming, there is also a need to 65 understand how pest species dynamics will evolve in the future. In this context, phenological models 66 are interesting tools to monitor populations dynamics and to predict their evolution, e.g., under 67 changing environmental and climatic conditions (Steinbauer et al. 2004; Nietschke et al. 2007; 68 Stoeckli et al. 2012). Such models are capable of anticipating insects' voltinism and allows improving 69 treatment periods (Moravie et al. 2006).

70 Process-based models aim to represent biological processes through equations that describe 71 developmental, survival, fecundity or mortality rates for different life-stages of insects (from 72 prediapause to overwintering). Among the different processes simulated, phenology (development) is 73 probably one of the most important, because it defines the biological cycle (Chuine et al. 2013). 74 Insects are ectotherms and consequently their physiological development is mainly driven by 75 temperature (Zhou et al. 1995; Bale et al. 2002; Tobin et al. 2008). They exhibit a response to thermal variation (Singer and Parmesan 2010; Bale and Hayward 2010; Brodeur et al. 2013; Moiroux et al. 76 77 2014) by adjusting life history traits like the date of overwintering and population growth (Hance et al. 78 2007; Moreau et al. 2017). Diapause and overwintering are further determined by photoperiod (Pavan 79 et al. 2006; Svobodová et al. 2014). Both temperature and photoperiod thus explain how insects' life 80 cycle respond to local climatic conditions, latitude and altitude (Honêk 1996; Ioriatti et al. 2011). 81 Changes in the growing rate and geographical distribution of pests have already been observed in the 82 last decades (Baumgärtner et al. 2012) as a consequence of recent changes in climate.

83

84 Among the numerous species that affect agriculture, Lobesia botrana (Den. & Schiff) commonly 85 named the European grapevine moth, is a good candidate for assessing effects of climate change on 86 the development of insect pests. It is a major grapevine insect pest that is present worldwide, including 87 Europe. As a multivoltine species, this pest is able to complete from two (in northern parts of Europe) 88 to four (in southern parts of Europe) generations per year according to climate and latitude (Martín-89 Vertedor et al. 2010). Under warmer conditions it has the potential of generating more damage than 90 currently, as its development will begin earlier in the season and last longer (Castex et al. 2017; 91 Marchesini and Monta 2004). The life cycle of L. botrana is characterized by its capacity to enter into 92 diapause at the pupal phase when day length is less than 14 hours (12 hours is the limit for pupal 93 overwintering). The individuals will overwinter as pupa and start developing before becoming adults 94 and mate to start their adult life and reproduction cycle (Thiery, 2008).

95

96 Many models have been developed to monitor the adult flights of L. botrana (Tab.1). They all can be

97 considered as process-based models, despite using different temperature response functions (Caffarra

98 et al. 2012; Caffarelli and Vita 1988; Gabel and Mocko 1984; Gallardo et al. 2009; Logan et al. 1976;

99 Milonas et al. 2001; Touzeau 1981). Other models adopt a statistical approach to represent biological

- 100 responses to temperature (Moravie et al. 2006).
- 101

102 Existing models for *L. botrana* target different purposes. Some of them describe the growing length at 103 different developmental phases (Touzeau 1981; Gabel and Mocko 1984; Caffarelli and Vita 1988; 104 Briere and Pracros 1998 and 1999; Severini et al. 2005). Others focus on the timing of maximum moth 105 flight (Moravie et al. 2006; Amo-Salas et al. 2011; Ortega-López et al. 2014), forecast the emergence 106 timing (Arca et al. 1993; Milonas et al. 2001) and population size (Gallardo et al. 2009), the number of 107 generations occurring before diapause (Caffarra et al. 2012) or model the overwintering process 108 (Baumgärtner et al. 2012). Finally, very complex models such as that of Gutierrez et al. (2012) try to 109 simulate various processes simultaneously (grapevine phenology, adult development, survival and 110 fecundity rates).

111 112

113

114

115

116

117

119

Tab.1: Comparison between local models and the generic model described in this work. The following exhaustive literature review list the existing phenological models for L. botrana: (1) Gabel and Mocko 1984; (2) Caffarelli and Vita 1988; (3) Arca et al. 1993; (4) Briere and Pracros 1999; (5) Gutierrez et al. 2012; (6) Milonas et al. 2001, (7) Severini et al. 2005; (8) Moravie et al. 2006; (9) Gallardo et al. 2009; (10) Amo-Salas et al. 2011, (11) Caffarra et al. 2012; (12) Baumgärtner et al. 2012; (13) Ortega-López et al. 2014; (14) Gilioli et al. 2016 118

	Local models (see refs. in the legend)	Lobesia Generic Model described in this study
Input parameters and processes	-Temperature: mean, minimum, maximum, daily, hourly (1; 2; 3; 4; 9; 11; 12) -Relative humidity (10; 13) -Photoperiod (1; 4; 5; 12) -Mortality and growth rates (7)	-Temperature (mean daily) -Photoperiod
Method of calculation	-Accumulation of growing degree-days - Different thermal time requirements according to the generations (1;2;3;6;9;11)	-Accumulation of growing degree-days -Same thermal time requirements to achieve subsequent phases within one generation and for all the generations
Phases modeled	 -Prediapause/ Diapause/ Post-diapause (5; 7; 12; 14) -Overwintering (12) -Egg, larval and pupa phases (3; 5; 10; 11) -1st (8; 13), 2nd (6) and 3rd (9) adults flights 	-Prediapause/ Diapause/ Post-diapause (overwintering) -Adult flights (10% and 50% of males flights)
Beginning of calculation	 -1st January (8; 10; 11; 13) -1st March (6; 9) or 5th March (1) -After diapause induction (DL<14.15h) (5) -Day of overwintering (previous year) (7) -Day of the 1st individual to enter in diapause (12) -End previous generation (chrysalides) (3) 	- (d_{t0}) = -183 (July 15th) corresponding to the day with > 12h of day length in Spain (the most southern site of observed data). The LGM start calculating in late summer (i.e. of the previous year n-1), so for the prediapause.

Type of model	 -Population / Rate sum model (6; 9; 12) -Empirical / Biologically Based model (1; 3; 4; 6; 10; 11; 13) -Physiologically Based Demographic Model (5; 14) -Aged structure (7) 	- Voltinism Process Based Model with a statistical approach
Strength	 Perform well under same conditions as considered during model development Easy to implement if local data are available Suitable for integration into Decision Support Tools 	 - 1 set of parameters - Suitable for large scale impact studies as climate change scenarios
Weakness	 Not necessarily reproducible at other sites with the same parameters (applicability to new situations needs to be verified) Different sets of parameters for each region, generation and between the phases (based on the analysis of the different models, ESM7). Local models are not applicable to large scale studies 	-Predictions across sites are robust even if performance quality can be low in specific cases

120

121

122 Irrespective of type, structure and complexity, most of the models given in Tab.1 were developed to 123 (a) operate at the local scale, implying that they require local sets of parameters (e.g.,: Trentino, Italian 124 Alps, in Caffarra and Eccel 2011), and (b) to account for possible differences in thermal requirements 125 between different generations, meaning that parameters are usually specified for each generation 126 separately (Touzeau 1981; Gabel and Mocko 1984; Caffarelli and Vita 1988; Milonas et al. 2001; 127 Gallardo et al. 2009; Caffarra et al. 2012). For this reason, some authors have already highlighted the 128 difficulty of using and comparing these models within a larger range of climatic conditions than 129 initially targeted (Flores and Azin 2015; Allen 1976; Gallardo et al. 2009; Amo-Salas et al. 2011). In 130 fact, a major downside with local models is that they need local adjustments when applied outside the 131 geographic area targeted in first place. To the best of our knowledge, only a few models, such as the 132 one developed by Baumgärtner et al. (2012) or Ortega-López et al. (2014) have been applied to large 133 geographical scales.

134

The overall purpose of this work is to present a generic model for *L. botrana* (hereafter called Lobesia Generic Model or LGM) that considers a large scale of application and a single response to temperature or thermal time requirements for all generations (Tab.1). The model is built by assembling existing model components and calibrated in such a way as to obtain a generic set of parameters. Model development and calibration were carried out using PMP, a user-friendly software providing an interface for designing, setting up, testing and running phenological models (Chuine et al. 2013).

142

143 The specific aims of this study are 1) to analyze existing models of *L. botrana* voltinism in order to 144 propose a generic approach for simulating representative phase of development (10% and 50% of the 145 male flights) of an adult population from diapause to last flight; and 2) to propose a validated standard set of parameters for applications at the large scale. We use for this purpose a large database of flight
observations compiled in a wide latitudinal transect running from southern Spain to northern France
(Fig.1) and representing Mediterranean and Continental climate types (Jongman et al. 2006; Beck et
al. 2018).

150

151 2. Materials and Methods

152 **2.1 Data used in the study**

153 2.1.1 Phenological data of L. botrana

154 The observed data of L. botrana correspond to the number of individuals captured per week, by 155 pheromone (sexual confusion) delta traps placed at the beginning of March. Traps are changed and 156 counted weekly to represent the distribution of population from the first flight of the first generation 157 (who overwintered) to the last adult flight of the last generation. Traps are considered one of the best 158 way to capture and represent male flight activity (Milonas et al. 2001; Gallardo et al. 2009; Ortega-159 López et al. 2014). Data were provided by private sector enterprises, inter professional councils in the 160 agronomical and viticulture sectors and academic institutions (Tab.2). As illustrated in the map 161 (Fig.1), 12 sites were used to cover latitudes between Lat.36.710°N and Lat.48.213°N and an altitude 162 range from 0 m a.s.l. (Saint Gilles, France) and 692 m a.s.l. (Requena, Spain). We have assumed that it 163 was possible to combine all the data from different sites to calibrate and evaluate the model, even if 164 there is no standardized protocol for the observation of adult flights in trap catches.

Tab.2. *L. botrana* adult flights data and meteorological data acquisition per site in latitudinal order.

Lobesia Botrana		Meteorological station						
Site Number	Site (data provider)	Years obs	Data provider	Period	Latitude (°N)	Longitude (°E)	Altitude (m a.s.l)	
1	Sanlucar (Junta de Andalucia)	2008, 2009, 2015	Jerez de la frontera (Junta de	2007-	367	-61	56	
2	Trebujena (Junta de Andalucia)	2013, 2014	Andalucia)	2015	50.7	-0.1	50	
3	Yecla_C_abajo (O. comarcal Agraria de Alahama)	2010, 2011, 2012, 2014, 2015, 2016	Yecla-Pinillos (SIAM)	2007- 2017	38.6	-1.1	602	
4	Fuente de la Higuera Alforins (Generalitat Valencia)	2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016	Caudete (SIAR)	2010- 2017	38.7	-1.0	625	
5	Requena Las Monjas (Generalitat Valencia)	2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016	Requena Cerrito - Arcis (SIAR)	2010- 2017	39.5	-1.11	692	
6	Villar del Arzobispo (Generalitat Valencia)	2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016	Chullilla/ Villar (SIAR Riegos IVIA)	2010- 2017	39.3	-0.8	380	
7	Cariñena (D.O.P- Vino las piedras)	2011, 2012, 2013, 2014	Calatayud (Sarga)	2004- 2016	41.3	-1.6	591	
8	Avignon (Chambre Agri. Gard - France)	2005, 2009	Avignon (INRA Climatik)	2003- 2016	43.9	4.8	24	
9	St Gilles (Chambre Agri. Gard - France)	2015, 2016	St-Gilles (INRA Climatik)	2010- 2017	43.7	4.4	0	
10	Changins (Agroscope) Switzerland	1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2010	Changins (Meteosuisse IDAWEB)	1997- 2017	46.4	6.2	455	
11	Eibindinger (Agroscope) Switzerland	2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014	Staefa (agrometeo Meteosuisse)	2004- 2017	47.2	8.7	408	
12	Scherwiller (Fredon Chambre Agri. Alsace - France)	2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2016	Bergheim (INRA-Climatik)	2009- 2016	48.2	7.4	200	



167

168 Fig.1. Map of the study sites in Europe (produced with MakeMap from an open source code). The numbers in169 the map represent the study sites introduced in Tab.2.

170 Of the initially 90 records, 51 records of (non consecutive) observations of L. botrana adult flight 171 (entire cycle of generations) on an annual basis (Tab.2) were retained after quality control. From those 172 annual male flights, we identified two main phenological observations: the 10% and 50% of the total 173 adult flights per generation as done in Gallardo et al. (2009). To do so, we identified and separated the 174 generations of adults as explained in ESM1 (Electronic Supplementary Material). Then, we calculated 175 the cumulated sum of the number of individuals caught in the traps, for each generation (G1; G2; G3; 176 G4). From this sum, we took the 10% and 50% of the cumulated sum per generation (G1-10%, G1-177 50%; G2-10%, G2-50%; etc.). This data was then related to its corresponding Day Of Year (DOY) of 178 observation. The observed data are not continuous in time (from 1997 to 2016), because observations 179 are not made systematically. Also, we only used the observed data when we could clearly identify the 180 beginning, the peak and the end of the generations that represent around 70% of all the data we 181 collected.

182

183 2.1.2 Meteorological data

Meteorological data were obtained from different meteorological services at each site (Tab.2). Daily minimum, maximum and mean temperatures were collected from meteorological stations in an array with a maximum distance of 10-15 km from the collection sites of *L. botrana* flights, and almost at the same altitude as the vineyards, which we considered reasonable for the representation of local meteorological conditions.

- 189
- 190

191 2.2. A generic phenological model for L. botrana

192 2.2.1 General description of the model

193 The LGM is a combination of two submodels allowing the simulation of at least five phases of 194 development representing two development stages (Fig.2): 1) The overwintering submodel: It 195 considers three phases, prediapause, diapause and post diapause from the year n-1 to n; 2) The male 196 adult flights submodel: reproduce the 10% and 50% of the total of the population of each generation 197 for the year n. At least two generations can be reproduced, with an option for additional generations 198 depending on local climatic conditions and latitude.

- 199 Phase is a specific part in a physiological development process (e.g., in the overwintering stage, we 200 identify the prediapause phase).
- 201

202 Baumgärtner et al. (2012) propose a complex model to simulate the overwintering stage and the adult

203 development (at different phases) considering the speed of growth. In this study, we will only consider

204 the first cohort (i.e., the emergence of the first individuals) of each generation (corresponding to our

205 10% of flight in Fig.2) considering the accumulation of thermal time.

206





Fig. 2. LGM conceptual modelling framework. This aims at reproducing the overwintering period and the adults 209 flights :10%-10% and 50%-50% of flight between generations and the 10% and 50% within a generation itself 210 (doted line). Also the variables used (T°=Temperature and P=Photoperiod) or the functions used in the model 211 representing the temperature response: Richardson (R), Triangle (T) and Wang (W) are represented for each 212 physiological developmental phase of L. botrana.

213

The overwintering of *L. botrana* is simulated following Baumgärtner et al. (2012) and Gutierrez et al.

215 (2012) i.e. considering three phases.

- 216 1- Prediapause: development depend on temperature
- 217 2- Diapause: development depend on a combination of temperature and photoperiod
- 218 3- Post-diapause: development depend on temperature
- 219

The adults flights consider two or more adults generations and depend on temperature and local climatic conditions. We propose to simulate the 10% and 50% of the total of the population of each generation. The calculation considers the adults that enter into diapause in the previous year (n-1).

223 We apply the following equation to describe the temperature effect (eq. 1):

224
$$F_s(t_d) = \sum_{d_0}^{ds} f(t_d) \qquad eq. 1$$

where t_d is the daily temperature, f() is the function describing the temperature response (described later), d_0 is the first date of the developmental phase, d_s the day of the end of the developmental phase and $F_s(t_d)$ represents the cumulated temperatures (forcing units).

228

According to Baumgärtner et al. (2012), d_0 (first date of the developmental phase) depends on the latitude and the photoperiod. The analysis of the equations and the parameters proposed by Baumgärtner et al. (2012) to simulate the end of diapause, allowed us to propose a simplification of the original equations (described in ESM2) using daily values and considering a photoperiod threshold set at 12h to stop the development of the last generation. The final proposed equations can be described as following:

235

236
$$F(t_d) = \sum_{d_0}^{d_s} (f(t_d) * g(P_d)) \text{ or } F(t_d) = \sum_{d_0}^{d_s} (f(t_d) + g(P_d)) \text{ eq. 2}$$

237 where
$$g(Pt_d) = \begin{cases} \frac{P_d - Plow}{Phigh - Plow} & P_d \le Plow \\ 1 & Plow < P_d < Phigh & eq. 3 \end{cases}$$

238

where t_d , f(), d_0 , d_s and $F_s(t_d)$ represent the same variables and parameters as in (eq. 1), and g() is the function describing the photoperiod response and P_d is the daily photoperiod. The photoperiod function is varying between a low and a high threshold (*Plow* and *Phigh* respectively). We explored two possibilities of combination of the temperature and photoperiod effect: the multiplicative (usually used in other models as Wang and Engel (1998)) or the additive (as proposed by Baumgärtner et al. (2012))

245 2.2.2 Temperature response functions

We tested three different functions to describe the response of *L. botrana* development to temperature(Fig.3):

1) The Richardson model (R) (Richardson et al. 1974) is a step function that assumes a linearresponse of development to daily temperatures for temperatures in the range Tlow to Thigh:

250
$$f(t_d) = \begin{cases} 0, & t_d \leq Tlow \\ t_d - Tlow, & Tlow < t_d < Thigh \\ Thigh - Tlow, & t_d \geq Thigh \end{cases} eq. 4$$

Such approaches have been used by Arca et al. (1993) to calculate the emergence of adults and larvaeof *L. botrana*.

253 2) The Triangular model (T) (Hänninen 1990) assumes a linear, positive response to daily
254 temperatures for t_d in the range Tmin to Topt, and an linear but negative response for t_d in the range
255 Topt to Tmax (Maiorano et al. 2012):

256
$$f(t_d) = \begin{cases} 0, & t_d \leq Tmin \\ \frac{t_d - Tmin}{Topt - Tmin}, & Tmin < t_d \leq Topt \\ \frac{t_d - Tmax}{Topt - Tmax}, & Topt < t_d < Tmax \\ \frac{T_d - Tmax}{0,}, & t_d \geq Tmax \end{cases} eq. 5$$

257

3) The Wang model (W) (Wang and Engel 1998) is a Beta function that considers threecardinal temperatures Tmin, Tmax and Topt:

260
$$f(t_d) = \begin{cases} 0, & t_d \leq Tmin \\ \frac{2(t_d - Tmin)^{\alpha}(t_d - Tmin)^{\alpha} - (t_d - Tmin)^{2\alpha}}{(Topt - Tmin)^{2\alpha}}, & Tmin < t_d < Tmax \\ t_d \geq Tmax \end{cases} eq. 6$$

261 where

262
$$\alpha = \ln(2) / \ln(\frac{Tmax - Tmin}{Topt - Tmin}) \qquad eq. 7$$

This function is very similar to other functions described in the literature to simulate *L. botrana*response to the temperature (Wang and Engel 1998) and have been applied by Milonas et al. (2001),
Briere and Pracros (1998), Logan et al. (1976) and Baumgärtner et al. (2012) for *L. botrana*.



266



269 $T_{opt}=20^{\circ}C$ and $T_{max}=30^{\circ}C$, except for Richardson that only uses $T_{low}=5^{\circ}C$ and $T_{high}=20^{\circ}C$.

270 2.2.3 Modelling parameterization and evaluation framework

271 Overwintering submodel

- We assume that the overwintering starts (d_{t0}) when day length is more than 12 hours (Roditakis and Karandinos 2001; Baumgärtner et al. 2012). Individuals that enter into prediapause in the year n (autumn) will overwinter (spring) in the following year. Diapause induction starts when the day length falls below <14 hours, with a critical threshold at <12hours (Fig.2), According to this assumption and (*eq.*1, 2 and 3) of Baumgärtner et al. (2012), it is possible to define when the first cohort begins its
- diapause.
- The diapause is a combination of temperature and photoperiod. The DOY of diapause induction was evaluated according to (eq.3) in Baumgärtner et al. (2012) calculating the day-length as a function of the DOY and the latitude. In spite of the fact that (eq.3) predicts a critical day-length threshold increasing linearly with latitude, the DOY allowing diapause induction does not vary significantly with latitude, ranging from DOY 183 to DOY 193 across our sites. For this reason, we tested the sensibility of the model to different starting date (d_{t0}) , July 1st for the southernest site and the July 15th
- as an average date for all the sites.
- 285 The post-diapause depends on temperature to allow the emergence of the first generation of adults.
- 286 The cumulation of thermal accumulation from the prediapause to the post-diapause determines the
- 287 first adult flights. This first sub model (overwintering) was necessary to determine the start of the
- 288 calculation of the 10% of flight of the adults from the 1^{st} generation (G1-10%).
- 289

290 Submodel for the adults flights

- In order to simulate the 10% and the 50% of each generation, we made the three followingassumptions:
- eggs laid by G1-10% (or G1-50%) adult will reach the adult stage at G2-10% (or G2-50%)
 and this hypothesis is valid for all the following generations (Gn-10% or Gn-50%);
- 295
 2. the same functions and set of parameters can be used to simulate the thermal time
 accumulation between G1-10% and G2-10% (or G(n-1)-10% and Gn-10%), G(n-1)-50% and
 297
 Gn-50%, as well as Gn-10% and Gn-50%;
- 298 3. the same set of parameters can be used for all sites (and generations) without significant
 299 repercussions on the quality of the simulations (if compared to results obtained with site
 300 specific parameters) (Parker et al. 2011).
- 301

We calibrated and tested the three models Richardson (R), Triangle (T) and Wang (W) for the 10%-10% and 50%-50% of adult flights between the generations and the 10%-50% of adult flights within the generation itself. Preliminary simulations were made with all parameters, called Allp, meaning that the model calculates alone without any restriction of parameters (ESM8). At the same time we also tested different sets of parameters (Tmin=0°C, 5°C, 7°C; Tmax=28°C,
33°C) Gutierrez's (2012) parameters (Tmin=8.9°C, Topt=30.8°C and Tmax=33°C) and some
Baumgärtner's (2012) parameters (Tmin=4.5°C) (ESM6, 7 and 8).

309

310 2.2.4 Calibration of the model

311 For the purpose of calibration and testing, we split the original data into a calibration dataset (75% of 312 the total sample selected randomly - CAL dataset) and a validation dataset (25% of the data - VAL 313 dataset) (Picard and Cook 1984). The calibration of the parameters for the overwintering stage and the 314 adult generations were made separately. Once the models and parameters were determined, we joined 315 the two submodels to run the simulations with our calibration data set and validate it with the 316 validation dataset. Finally in order to provide the best set of parameters, we recalibrated the entire 317 model using all the database (ALL dataset) using a cross validation method (Cleland et al. 2007). The 318 best parameters were selected according to the results of the statistical criteria described in Tab.3. The 319 performance criteria were defined using the variance global of the observed data (STOT), the Sum of 320 Squares Residuals (SRES), the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), the efficiency of the model (EFF) 321 and the Akaike test (AIC). For more details on the description and the formulas, see the ESM3 and 8.

322

323 2.2.5 Software

To facilitate the development and the parameterization of this phenological model, the PMP software (Phenology Modelling Platform - PMP 5.5) has been used (downloaded from: http://www.cefe.cnrs.fr/en/logiciels/ressources-documentaires). PMP allows constructing, fitting and running simulations of a phenological model using meteorological data and phenological observations (Chuine et al. 2013).

329

330 **3. Results**

In this section we describe the results obtained from the calibration and the validation of both submodels: 1) the first sub model describing the overwintering period; 2) the second sub-model which simulates the 10-10%, 50-50% and 10-50% of the different generations of adults.

334

335 **3.1 Overwintering submodel**

336 Model three phases testing R, T and W models with CAL, VAL and ALL data set

As described previously we tested different versions of the submodel for the three phases of overwintering (Fig.2): 1) different functions for temperature responses (R,T,W); 2) different starting dates of prediapause (d_{t0}) (July 1st and July 15th), 3) different ways to combine temperature (T) and photoperiod (P) responses during the diapause period, using an additive (Sum) and multiplicative (Mult) factor. The best models obtained used the T and W functions, starting on 15th July (d_{t0}) and multiplying the temperature and photoperiod effects. Tab.3 describes only results obtained with CAL 343 data set with a start date (d_{t0}) on July 15th and using a multiplicative (Mult) method for combining 344 photoperiod and temperature (for further results concerning all dates and methods, see ESM4). The 345 best results were obtained with the Triangle and Wang approaches showing EFF values of 0.77 and 346 0.74 respectively (Tab.3). The combination method of the functions had more impact on the results 347 than the starting dates named (d_{t0}) . Moreover, the date of entry in prediapause was coherent with 348 Baumgärnter's values (Baumgärtner et al. 2012).

349

Tab.3. Performance statistics with the CAL data set for three different choices of the temperature response
function and the model version that combines temperature and photoperiod responses as multiplicative factors.
Results presented here refer to simulation with parameter values taken form the literature. The statistical analysis
use the RMSE (Root Mean Square Error) EFF (Efficiency of the model) and AIC (Akaike test).

	Simulation	S		Statistics	
Function	(d _{t0})	Nb data	RMSE	EFF	AIC
R	July 15th	39	9.47	0.58	98.71
Т	July 15th	39	7.02	0.77	118.28
W	July 15th	39	7.49	0.74	123.22

³⁵⁴

355 356 Tab.4

Tab.4. Comparison of the best fitting of T (Triangle) and W (Wang) simulations to represent the overwintering
with CAL data and using a multiplicative factor. Parameters in **bold** are fixed parameters. Parameters with an *
are from the literature (Baumgärtner, et al. 2012; Brière et al., 1999; Gutierrez et al. 2012). The other one are
free. The models 1 and 2 have free parameters. The models 3 and 4 have the parameters fixed from the models 1
and 2 and a fixed Tmin at 4.5°C as in Baumgärtner (2012) or BAUM. The models 5 and 6 consider Gutierrez's
parameters (GUT) with free day length (DL). The models 7 and 8 have fixed parameters from GUT but the DL
and Tmin for the 3rd phase of GUT are free. Gutierrez's or GUT parameters are Tmin=8.9°C, Topt=30.8°C and
Tmax=33°C.

Model		TAllp (1)	WAllp (2)	TAllp_Tmin 4.5(BAUM) (3)	WAllpT_min 4.5(BAUM) (4)	T GUT (5)	W GUT (6)	T Mult (7)	W Mult (8)
Over- wintering	Parameter	All free	All free	ParTAllp +Tmin= 4.5(BAUM)	ParWAllp +Tmin= 4.5 (BAUM)	GUT+Tm in= 4.5 (BAUM)+ DL Free	GUT+Tm in= 4.5 (BAUM)+ DL Free	GUT+ (Tmin & DL Free)	GUT+ (Tmin & DL Free)
phases	(d _{t0})	July 15th	July 15th	uly July July July July 5th 15th 15th 15th 15th		July 15th	July 15th		
1st phase	Tmin	4.94	2.45	4.94	2.45	8.9*	8.9 *	8.9*	8.9*
Prediapa	Topt	18.76	14.36	18.76	14.36	30.8*	30.8*	30.8*	30.8*
use	Tmax	28.19	27.69	28.19	27.69	33*	33*	33*	33*
	Tmin	5.29	7.66	5.29	7.66	7.1*	7.1*	7.1*	7.1*
2nd	Topt	16.23	15.04	16.23	15.04	24.1*	24.1*	24.1*	24.1*
phase	Tmax	21.89	22.87	21.89	22.87	28.5*	28.5*	28.5*	28.5*
Diapause	Plow	9.35	9.17	9.35	9.17	12.12	8.78	12.13	3.58
	Popt	13.05	14.25	13.05	14.25	16.23	13.04	16.46	7.72
3rd phase	Tmin	10.47	11.39	4.5*	4.5*	4.5*	4.5*	4.4	16.18
Post-	Topt	10.48	11.42	10.48	11.42	30.8*	30.8*	30.8*	30.8*
diapause	Tmax	20	20	20	20	33*	33*	33*	33*
Statistics	EFF	0.77	0.74	0.67	0.69	0.30	-29.81	0.30	-5.92
Statistics	AIC	118.28	123.22	161.80	158.68	185.79	329.76	183.50	270.79

To evaluate parameter sensitivity, we compare the two best models (models 1 and 2 in Tab.4), with various models using the same functions but fixing some of their parameters from the literature (Tab.4). Because Tmin and Topt values for the post-diapause phase were close to one another, we explored the impact of fixing Tmin value to 4.5°C according to Baumgärtner et al.(2012) keeping all the other parameters from the best models. Results obtained for both models (models 3 and 4 in Tab.4) show that this parameter does not degrade the quality substantially (values of efficiency of 0.67 to 0.69).

In order to explore other values of parameters from the literature, we tested Gutierrez et al. (2012)
parameters (GUT parameters are Tmin=8.9°C, Topt=30.8°C and Tmax=33°C) for all the temperature
thresholds with free day length (DL) and limiting the post-diapause with BAUM Tmin=4.5°C (models
5 and 6 in Tab.4), and with the Tmin free for the post-diapause phase (models 7 and 8 in Tab.4).
Results obtained show that these parameters combination decrease significantly the quality of the
model, having a higher effect when using the W function.

378

385

379Tab.5. Validation of the overwintering models ford both Triangle and Wang models with $(d_{t0})=15$ th July. Best**380**fitting for Validation tests (VAL) were made with a part of the calibration (CAL) data set. The entire data set**381**was then tested with the ALL the data set (CAL+VAL) using cross validation. The statistical analysis use the**382**STOT (Total sum of the data squared) RMSE (Root Mean Square Error) and EFF (efficiency of the model)**383**(ESM3).

	STASTISTICS								
Model	Data set used	SRES	STOT	RMSE	EFF	Nb Obs			
	CAL	1874.23	8067.08	7.02	0.77	39			
T Allp	VAL	969.45	1072.69	8.99	0.1	12			
	ALL	3839.42	9410.59	8.68	0.59	51			
Model	Data set used	SRES	STOT	RMSE	EFF	Nb Obs			
	CAL	2134.56	8067.08	7.49	0.74	39			
W Allp	VAL	1293.39	1072.69	9.07	0.08	12			
	ALL	2845.7	9410.59	7.47	0.7	51			

386

In order to provide the best set of parameters we validated the defined best models (T and W) using the validation (VAL) data set (Tab.5). In contrast to CAL (39 data points), the validation data (VAL) include only 12, randomly chosen observations. For this reason, apart for a low value of EFF (0.1 and 0.08) the performance statistics for VAL are more than satisfactory, with RMSE=8.99 and AIC=52.7.

After a first screening the different model configurations, we calibrated T and W using all available data (dataset ALL). For both response functions EFF > 0.5 (0.59 for T, 0.70 for W, respectively). Satisfactory were also the values of the RMSE (8.68 for T, and 7.47 for W, respectively) (Fig.4). We also selected W to simulate the first flight in our model as it seems to be the more stable with all the simulations made, with fixed or free parameterization.



401 402

403 **3.2 Submodel for the adults flights**

We again tested different temperature response functions (R, W, T) to evaluate their performance to simulate adult flights between two consecutive generations (10-10%; 50-50%) and within a generation itself (10-50%). Following the same methodology as for the first flight, simulations were carried out by specifying all the parameters as free parameters (to be calibrated) or fixing some of them at values obtained from the literature. Summary statistics are presented in Tab.6.

409

410 3.2.1 Simulation the 10-10%-50-50% between the generations

411 According to the first assumption described in the modelling framework (see Material and Methods

412 section), we explore the capacity of the model to represent with a single set of parameters all the 10%-

- 413 10% and 50%-50% generations.
- 414

Tab.6. Simulation of the model hypothesis testing the 10-10%/50-50% between the *L. botrana* generations with the calibration (CAL) and validation (VAL) data set. The statistical analysis use the RMSE (Root Mean Square

the calibration (CAL) and validation (VAL) data set. The statistical analysis use the RMSE (Root Mean Square
Error) and EFF (efficiency of the model). GUT parameters are Tmin=8.9°C, Topt=30.8°C and Tmax=33°C.

10-1	0%-50-50% between	n generations		Stati	stics
	Simulation	Data set	Nb data	RMSE	EFF
R Allp		CAL	140	6.97	0.95
R ParGUT	10-10 / 50-50	CAL	140	8.35	0.93
R ParAllp (VAL)		VAL	46	11.00	0.84
	Simulation	Data set	Nb data	RMSE	EFF
T Allp		CAL	140	6.93	0.95
T ParGUT	10-10 / 50-50	CAL	140	8.32	0.93
T ParAllp (VAL)		VAL	46	11.08	0.84
	Simulation	Data set	Nb data	RMSE	EFF
W Allp		CAL	140	6.93	0.95
W ParGUT	10-10 / 50-50	CAL	140	27.96	0.25
W ParAllp (VAL)		VAL	46	11.15	0.84

418

419 The three models in Tab.6 had high values of EFF when all the parameters are fitted (around 0.95). 420 Values of the EFF decreased when some of the parameters were fixed (values varying between 0.25 to 421 0.95 depending on the model). We also validated the best model (Allp model) with the independent 422 VAL dataset and we obtained good efficiency values for all the models (EFF = 0.84). As for the 423 overwintering model, we propose to exclude R from our analysis because its structure to describe the 424 development of L. botrana does not integrate maximum temperature (Tmax) even if the calibration did 425 not show a significant effect of this threshold. Moreover, as in the overwintering model, the test using 426 Gutierrez's parameters did not improve the simulation even if we obtained better results than with the 427 overwintering models. Finally, the W function with Tmin=2.4°C, Topt=23.12°C and Tmax=32.13°C 428 was the best one with free parameters (see ESM7) and the closer to the known biological behaviour of 429 L. botrana according to the literature. 430 Indeed, we also explored the sensitivity of each group (10-10% and 50-50%) separately and

430 indeed, we also explored the sensitivity of each group (10-10% and 50-50%) separately and
431 comparing the values of these parameters to the previously obtained. This analysis allowed evaluating
432 their robustness to simulate each phase independently (Tab.7).

433

Tab.7. Crossed models separating the 10-10% and 50-50% between generations of *L. botrana* with CAL data
set. Tests are made with free parameters and with the parameters of the best simulation from CAL Allp, testing
the 10-10% /50-50% between generation. The statistical analysis use the RMSE and EFF. Gutierrez's or GUT
parameters are Tmin=8.9°C, Topt=30.8°C and Tmax=33°C.

438

10-10%-50-50% between	generations		Statistics		
R 10-10%	Simulation	Nb data	RMSE	EFF	
R Allp			8.12	0.93	
R ParCal Allp	10 - 10	93	8.26	0.93	
R ParGUT	_		8.81	0.92	
R 50-50%		Nb data	RMSE	EFF	
R Allp	_		7.77	0.94	
R ParCal Allp	50-50	93	7.87	0.94	
R ParGUT	_		9.06	0.92	
T 10-10%		Nb data	RMSE	EFF	
T Allp (10-10%)	_		8.17	0.93	
T ParCal Allp	10 - 10	93	8.31	0.93	
T ParGUT			8.79	0.92	
T 50-50%		Nb data	RMSE	EFF	
T Allp (50-50%)	_		7.72	0.94	
T ParCal Allp	50-50	93	7.81	0.94	
T ParGUT			9.03	0.92	
W 10-10%		Nb data	RMSE	EFF	
W Allp (10-10%)	_		8.11	0.93	
W ParCal Allp	10 - 10	93	8.33	0.92	
W ParGUT			26.14	0.26	
W 50-50%		Nb data	RMSE	EFF	
W Allp	_		7.74	0.94	
W ParCal Allp	50-50	93	7.86	0.94	
W ParGUT			27.77	0.21	

Results obtained in Tab.7 show that the models simulate correctly the 10-10% and the 50-50%generations when we consider parameters from the first calibration (Tab.6) and with all parameters

- 441 free but separating 10 - 10% and 50 - 50% in different datasets (for parameters values see in ESM3).
- 442 The EFF was around 0.92 and 0.94 and the RMSE between 7 and 8 days for the three functions (R, T
- 443 and W). Indeed, when using Gutierrez's parameters, the R and T models showed good results
- 444 (EFF=0.92), which was not the case for the W model that degraded (EFF=0.21 and 0.26). This
- 445 analysis confirms the robustness of the model and its parameters, allowing to use a single function to
- 446
- simulate all the generations of one cycle for the 10 to 10% and 50 to 50% values for each generation.
- 447

448 3.2.2 Simulation 10%-50% of adults flights within a generation itself

- 449 As previously reported (Fig.2) results presented in the following refer to simulations with no
- 450 difference in parameter values between different generations.
- 451

452 Tab.8: Simulation of the 10-50% of L. botrana adults flights within a generation itself with CAL, VAL and

- 453 ALL data set. The statistical analysis use the RMSE (Root Mean Square Error) and EFF (model efficiency). 454 Gutierrez's or GUT parameters are Tmin=8.9°C, Topt=30.8°C and Tmax=33°C.
- 455

10%-50% within a g	generation itself		Statis	tics
Model R 10-50%	Nb data	Data set	RMSE	EFF
R CAL Allp			5.59	0.99
R CAL ParCAL_Allp10-10	107	CAL	5.93	0.98
R ParGUT		CAL	6.24	0.98
R VAL Allp	37	VAL	10.93	0.95
R ALL	144	ALL	8.56	0.97
Model T 10-50%	Nb data	Data set	RMSE	EFF
T CAL Allp			5.53	0.99
T CAL ParCAL_Allp10-10	107	CAL	5.91	0.98
T ParGUT		CAL	6.24	0.98
T VAL Allp	37	VAL	10.02	0.96
T ALL	144	ALL	7.33	0.98
Model W 10-50%	Nb data	Data set	RMSE	EFF
W CAL Allp			5.55	0.99
W CAL ParCAL_Allp10-10	107	CAL	5.94	0.98
W ParGUT		CAL	48.04	-0.05
W VAL Allp	37	VAL	9.84	0.96
WALL	144	ALL	7.15	0.98

456 457

458 Results described in Tab.8 show that very high values of EFF (from 0.98 to 0.99) were obtained 459 irrespective of the model configuration. Fixing parameters for the W model to the values suggested by 460 Gutierrez et al. (2012) significantly degraded the results (EFF = -0.05). In line with the previous tests, 461 the sensitivity of these models have been tested fixing some parameters (Tlow/Tmin and Tmax in 462 ESM5 and 6). All the parameters found are close to the ones known in the literature so we kept them 463 fixed (Tmin=8.9°C, Topt=30.8°C and Tmax=33°C in GUT or Tmin=4.5°C in Baumgärtner et al., 464 (2012)). In the first simulations with CAL data set and using free parameters (All parameters free), W 465 seems to achieve better performances than T and R. Indeed, with fixed parameters the results did not 466 improve with an RMSE around 5.5 days for the 3 functions and with parameters whose values are 467 close to those found in the literature. We also validated the best model (All parameters free model) with the independent VAL dataset and we obtained good efficiency values for all the models (EFF=0.96) and an error of 9.84 days (RMSE). Fig.5 illustrates the distribution of the simulated and observed data for the 10-50% of male flights within the same generation for the Wang model. The figure shows the good capacity of the model to simulate this phase. In general Fig.6, the box size is proportional to the number of data and shows that the error for the simulation of all the generations for all the transect study have a small error (mean of +/- 5 days) between simulated and observed data.

474



475 476

Fig. 5. Distribution of the simulated and observed data for the 10-50% of *L. botrana* male flights within the same generation with the Wang model. Simulated and observed data show here the correlation with both the results from the calibration model (CAL with crosses) and the results from the validation model (VAL with circles).

481



Generations at 10% and 50% of flight



Fig. 6. Error between observation and simulations in number of days of the 10% and 50% of each generation of *L. botrana* flights, all site and years confounded. The errors fluctuate around 10 days before or after the
observation data in the boxplots, with some extreme data, also present in the observed data. The last generations
(G4.10 and G4.50) show a larger difference between observation and predictions, probably due to the sparsity of
data that we disposed of, as only 2 sites had a 4th generation. The size of the boxes is proportional to the number
of data.

489 4. General discussion of the results

490 This study examined the possibility to assemble a simple, robust, generic, yet process-based model for

491 simulating the voltinism of *L. botrana*. This model can be useful for large scale studies and impact

492 studies targeting the behaviour of the insect pests under future climate change. The analysis of existing

493 model indicated that two phases (overwintering and adults) are necessary to realistically represent the

494 life cycle of *L. botrana*. The methodology to calculate the voltinism was based on the 10% and 50% of

the total male flights per generation as significant reference to make simulations.

496 Data used for model development and testing were obtained from a wide latitudinal transect, allowing

to test the possibility to apply the model at large scales.

498 The parameterization of the model was made by analysing different published models (Tab.1). Three 499 types of temperature response functions were examined: Richardson, Triangle and Wang. The 500 evaluation results showed that T and W are very close in their performance. The analysis provided 501 good results with or without the use of certain specific parameter values found in the literature. 502 Moreover, the sensitivity of the models to different sets of parameters from the literature used by 503 Baumgärtner et al. (2012) and Gutierrez et al. (2012) did not improved the results of the 504 parameterization tests with free parameters (Allp). On the contrary, the tests made with the Gutierrez's 505 parameters degraded the results (Tab.8).

- 506 Another issue raised in our study was to integrate the photoperiod in a large latitudinal transect (from
- 507 Lat.36.710°N to Lat.48.213°N) and understand its interaction with temperatures (as multiplicative or
- additive factor). The analysis of this interaction (Tab.3 and 4) showed that the multiplicative approach
- 509 was better adapted to describe these interactions than the additive approach proposed by Baumgärtner
- 510 et al. (2012) (ESM2). In any case, the multiplicative approach is the one commonly implemented in
- 511 complex models and usually performs accurately (Briere and Pracros 1998; Wang and Engel 1998;
- 512 Baumgärtner et al. 2012). Finally, the analysis also indicated that assuming a common diapause
- 513 starting date, $(d_{t0}) = July 15^{th}$, for the entire transect was a reasonable choice.
- 514 We show that LGM is able to correctly represent the life cycle of *L. botrana* in various areas with the 515 same set of parameters, with a model performance comparable to the one of local models (Parker et al.
- 516 2011). This model is based on existing models but applied at other scale and consider less parameters
- 517 that make its use easier. This approach is different to the previous one as it can be applied for large
- 518 scale studies like climate change scenarios.

519 **4.1 Proposal for a new model**

520 The LGM uses a simplification of Baumgärtner's approach only to calculate the first cohort and not 521 the entire population of *L. botrana* as in the original function. The overwintering submodel considers 522 the general approach of the sum of temperatures (i.e. cumulation of temperatures as GDD or Growing 523 Degree Days) and not the speed of growing as in Baumgärtner's original function. Then, the adults 524 submodel uses the same parameters to cumulate heat between and within the generations. As we 525 describe in the Fig.7, the LGM model and Baumgärtner's model have the same range of errors to 526 simulate the first cohort of a L. botrana population even with a simplification of the calculation 527 methods. This comparison between both models provided a second partial validation of the LGM 528 model, because it is not exhaustive as the years (observations) and sites (latitude) are different in both 529 studies.

530



531

Fig.7: Comparison between LGM observed and simulated adults flights of *L. botrana* in DOY (Day of Year) and
Baumgärtner's first and last cohort observations. From a geographical perspective, both models consider a wide
range of sites and climates. The simulations of G1-10% and observations both fall within the spread of the first
cohort appearing (in green) in Baugmärtner's model. The same observations can be made with the G1-50% that
fall within the spread of the last cohort appearing (in red) in Baugmärtner's model.

537

538 In the LGM, the results of the different tests showed similar performances for all models and 539 developmental phases studied. We nevertheless propose to use the W model to simulate different 540 temperature responses because it better reflects the known biological response of L. botrana to 541 temperature. Thus, Wang is the unique function used to simulate both overwintering (Predia, dia and 542 Post-dia) and adult flights submodels. This is a classical approach using a first model with the 543 calibration and validation data sets. A preliminary analysis revealed that the small divergence between 544 the models allowed us to test another model with all the data sets. Tab.9 provides the statistical 545 analysis with all the parameter values used for the calibration, validation and the entire data set.

It summarizes the proposed model, LGM model, showing the different parameter sets used to simulate
the three phases of the overwintering period, but the single, common set of parameters used to
simulate all the phases (10% and 50%) of the different generations of the adults flights.

549

Tab.9. Final submodels selected for their efficiency according to the calibration (CAL), validation (VAL) and the full data set (ALL) of samples and the stability of their biological response to temperature. Both set of parameters obtained using the 10% and 50% between the generations of the *L. botrana* adults flights and the 10-10%/50-50% within the generations are presented. The final results for the Wang model are close to biological thresholds reported in the literature, particularly those published by Gutierrez et al. (2012).

555

	Parameters							Statistics					
Models	Dhaaaa	Taria	Tent	T	Dlam	Dhiah	F		CAL			VAL	
Models WAllp WParAllp Tmin=4.5°C (BAUM) WAllp 10- 10 /50-50 Between g. WAllp 10- 50 within g.	Phases	1 min	Topt	1 max	Plow	Pnign	Г	RMSE	EFF	Nb Obs	RMSE	EFF	Nb Obs
	Predia	2,45	14,36	27,69	-	-	40,74						
WAllp	Dia	7,66	15,04	22,87	9,17	14,25	28,35	7,49	0,74	39	9,07	0.08	12
····P	Post- dia	11,39	11,42	20,00	-	-	1,47	,	,		,	,	
	Predia	2,45	14,36	27,69	-	-	39,79						
Tmin=4.5°C	Dia	7,66	15,04	22,87	9,17	14,25	30,23	8,04	0,69	39	9,04	0,09	12
(BAUM)	Post- dia	4,50	11,42	20,00	-	-	2,026	,	*			,	
W Allp 10- 10 /50-50 Between g.	Adult flight	2,38	23,13	32,31	-	-	45,61	6,93	0,95	140	11,15	0,84	46
W Allp 10- 50 within g.	Adult flight	2,38	23,13	32,31	-	-	7,76	5,94	0,98	107	9,84	0,96	37

556

557 Concerning the adult development stage, the results confirm our hypothesis that a standard set of

parameters is sufficient to accurately simulate the voltinism of *L. botrana* from diapause to last flight

in terms of the 10% and 50% of the male flights.

560

This finding is important because, as we described in the introduction, it is common practice to recalibrate phenological models for each generation (Beddow et al. 2010). Thus, in previous studies (Tab.1) authors proposed a different set of parameters or models for each generation considering that the biological response was different during the entire cycle. We are convinced that our approach introduces more robustness into the simulation of the life cycle of *L. botrana*. Indeed, it allows applications at large geographical scales without adapting the model to local parameters. Whether this can also be extended to other species remains to be investigated.

568 **4.2** Advantages and limits of the generic model

569 To define the LGM, we considered the models with the best statistical criteria and the most

570 representative biological processes known from the literature (ESM7). One of the major difficulties

571 we encountered was to model the overwintering phase, as we did not dispose of data to represent the

572 associated intermediate phases (i.e., end of prediapause and end of diapause). The calibration of this 573 complex submodel was hence performed targeting the date of the 10% of the first generation at each 574 site. The lack of intermediate information is a common problem faced in developing phenology 575 models for plants (Chuine et al. 2016). In our case, the data collection have been a long process and 576 the quality selection of the male flights had to correspond to sites where we had meteorological data 577 available close by (at least 15 years). Some authors like Amo-Salas et al. (2011) and Armendáriz et al. 578 (2009) integrated relative humidity in their model, but they concluded that the value error with or 579 without this parameter was not a significant influencing factor. Indeed, we did not consider relative 580 humidity as in Baumgärtner et al. (2012), as we did not have such data available for all our sites.

581 Another limit of this study concerns the data that have been selected and converted to a comparable 582 and standardized unit, but the observed data do not consider a protocol and the heterogeneity of the 583 observed data can alter the quality of the calibration. Observation values obtained in the laboratory (in 584 controlled conditions, at constant temperature), where rates of development are estimated 585 approximately (Briere and Pracros 1999; Torres-Vila et al. 1999; Picart 2009) can differ considerably 586 from those observed in the field and between the sites or by calibrating models using local conditions 587 (Arca et al. 1993; Torres-Vila et al. 1999; Severini et al. 2005; Moravie et al. 2006). The large 588 latitudinal range of study is complex, as seen in Fig.5, where some extreme values, representing the 4th 589 generations in south of Spain (not present at other sites), fall for the CAL dataset and not in the VAL 590 dataset due to the randomization of the data selection and can alter the EFF of the tests. Nevertheless, 591 the strength of this study has been to obtain a stable and robust model at a large spatial scale.

We believe that this work has contributed to raise new questions on scale applications for applied
studies like climate change and on the methodologies used to simulate insect developmental processes.
The approach developed here, making use of a simple and unique set of parameters, representative of
the biological response to temperatures, has shown very interesting and encouraging results.

596 **4.3 Future model applications**

597 We anticipate that LGM, as a model, is appropriate to conduct impact studies that integrate climate 598 change scenarios in order to predict the future geographical distribution of ectothermic insects and the 599 future extent of vulnerable areas. Multivoltine species are likely to develop more generations under 600 warmer conditions (Caffarra et al. 2012; Reineke and Thiery 2016). Sensitivity analyses with LGM, 601 combined with phenological models for plants, as grapevine for example, will allow to identify critical 602 shifts in the synchrony or asynchrony of different trophic levels (host plants and insect pests) and the 603 ensuing overlap periods (Hoover and Newman 2004; Hirschi et al. 2012; Stoeckli et al. 2012; Gilioli 604 et al. 2016). Nevertheless, more data would have been useful to validate the choice of the best models. 605 This study could be improved by testing other regions of the world or by implementing the entire set of data used.

- 607 In concert with phenological models for crops, LGM will help raise the awareness of producers on the
- 608 emergence of new threats and adaptation of future treatment practices according to geographic areas.
- 609 Again, the advantages of LGM are its conceptual simplicity and generic parameterization, which allow
- 610 the implementation of climate change studies at the large scale for both plants and insect pests.
- 611

612 Author Contribution Statement

613 VC and IGCA conceived and designed the research and analyzed the data. PC and JM contributed new 614 reagents and analytical tools. MB reviewed and corrected the manuscript. All authors read and 615 approved the manuscript.

616

617 Compliance with Ethical Standards:

- 618 All authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. This article does not contain any studies with
- animals or human participants performed by any of the authors.
- 620

621 Acknowledgments

622 A particular thanks to the Ernst and Lucie Schmidheiny Foundation for providing the funding that 623 enabled the first author to conduct a short stay at the AgroClim Unit at INRA in Avignon (France) and 624 Denis Thierry at INRA Bordeaux. This work would have not been possible without the help of data 625 providers for meteorological data (MeteoSuisse, Agrometeo, IDAWEB, SIAR, SIAM, riegos.ivia, 626 Phenoclim INRA) and for L. botrana male flight data, A. L. Espadas (Oficina Comarcal Agraria de 627 Alhama), J. Serrano Palao (Servicio de Sanidad Vegetal de Jumilla), J. V. Badia Ballester (GVA-628 Generalitat Valencia) L. García de Arboleya Puerto (Junta de Andalucia), Oficina del regante de 629 Zarragoza, J. Isiegas Mainar (D.O.P Cariñena), Mme Lauer (CA Alsace), Mr Genevet and Mr 630 Cassarini (CA Gard), Mr Pasquier and Mr Goëlles (Agroscope) and especially Dr. Josep Armengol 631 from the Universitat Politècnica de València for his collaboration.

- 632
- 633
- 634
- 635
- 636
- 637
- 638
- 639

References

Amo-Salas M, Ortega-López V, Harman R, Alonso-González A (2011) A new model for predicting the flight activity of Lobesia botrana (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). Crop Prot 30:1586-1593. doi: 10.1016/j.cropro.2011.09.003 Arca B, Cossu A, Delrio G, Locci L (1993) Individuazione dei gradi giorno relativi allo sviluppo della Lobesia botrana(Den. et Schiff.) in Sardegna. Atti Convegno Nazionale 'Protezione delle colture: osservazioni, previsioni, decisioni'. 325-334 Armendáriz I, Pérez-Sanz A, Capilla C, et al (2009) Cinco años de seguimiento de la polilla del racimo de la vid (Lobesia botrana) en la DO Arribes (Castilla y León, España). Bol Sanid Veg-Plagas 35:193-204 Bale JS, Hayward SAL (2010) Insect overwintering in a changing climate. J Exp Biol 213:980–994. doi: 10.1242/jeb.037911 Bale JS, Masters GJ, Hodkinson ID, et al (2002) Herbivory in global climate change research: direct effects of rising temperature on insect herbivores. Glob Change Biol 8:1-16. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2486.2002.00451.x Baumgärtner J, Gutierrez AP, Pesolillo S, Severini M (2012) A model for the overwintering process of European grapevine moth Lobesia botrana (Denis & Schiffermüller) (Lepidoptera, Tortricidae) populations. J Entomol Acarol Res 44:2. doi: 10.4081/jear.2012.e2 Beck HE, Zimmermann NE, McVicar TR, et al (2018) Present and future Köppen-Geiger climate classification maps at 1-km resolution. Sci Data 5:180214. doi: 10.1038/sdata.2018.214 Beddow JM, Kriticos D, Pardey PG, et al (2010) Potential global crop pest distributions using CLIMEX: HarvestChoice applications. St Paul MN Univ Minn Harvest Bregaglio S, Donatelli M, Confalonieri R (2013) Fungal infections of rice, wheat, and grape in Europe in 2030-2050. Agron Sustain Dev 33:767-776. doi: 10.1007/s13593-013-0149-6 Briere J-F, Pracros P (1999) A novel rate model of temperature-dependent development for arthropods. Env Entomol 28:22–29. doi : 10.1093/ee/28.1.22 Briere J-F, Pracros P (1998) Comparison of temperature dependant growth models with the development of Lobesia botrana (Lepidoptera:Tortricidae). Env Entomol 27:94-101. doi : 10.1093/ee/27.1.94 Brodeur J, Boivin G, Bourgeois G, et al (2013) Impact des changements climatiques sur le synchronisme entre les ravageurs et leurs ennemis naturels: conséquences sur la lutte biologique en milieu agricole au Québec Caffarelli V, Vita G (1988) Heat accumulation for timing grapevine moth control measures. Bull SROP 11:24-26 ref.4 Caffarra A, Eccel E (2011) Projecting the impacts of climate change on the phenology of grapevine in a mountain area. Grape Wine Res 17:52-61. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-0238.2010.00118.x Caffarra A, Rinaldi M, Eccel E, et al (2012) Modelling the impact of climate change on the interaction

Allen JC (1976) A modified sine wave method for calculating degree days. Environ Entomol 5:388-

- between grapevine and its pests and pathogens: European grapevine moth and powdery mildew. Agric
 Ecosyst Environ 148:89–101. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2011.11.017
- 696
- 697 Castex V, Beniston M, Calanca P, et al (2017) Pest management under climate change: The
- 698 importance of understanding tritrophic relations.pdf. Sci Total Environ 616–617:397–407. doi:
 699 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.027
- 700
 701 Chuine I, Bonhomme M, Legave J-M, et al (2016) Can phenological models predict tree phenology
 702 accurately in the future? The unrevealed hurdle of endodormancy break. Glob Change Biol 22:3444–
 703 3460. doi: 10.1111/gcb.13383
- 704
- Chuine I, de Cortazar-Atauri IG, Kramer K, Hänninen H (2013) Plant Development Models_2013. In:
 Schwartz MD (ed) Phenology: An Integrative Environmental Science. Springer Netherlands,
 Dordrecht, pp 275–293
- Cleland E, Chuine I, Menzel A, et al (2007) Shifting plant phenology in response to global change.
 Trends Ecol Evol 22:357–365. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2007.04.003
- Flores C, Azin G (2015) Modelos lineales para pronosticar la actividad de vuelo de Lobesia botrana
 (Denis & Schiffermüller) (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) en la localidad de Russel, Mendoza, Argentina
- Gabel B, Mocko V (1984) Forecasting the cyclical timing of the grape vine moth, Lobesia botrana
 (Lepidoptera, Tortricidae). Acta Entomologica Bohemoslov.:1–14
- Gallardo A, Ocete R, López MA, et al (2009) Forecasting the flight activity of Lobesia botrana (Denis
 and; Schiffermüller) (Lepidoptera, Tortricidae) in Southwestern Spain. J Appl Entomol 133:626–632.
 doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0418.2009.01417.x
- 721
 722 Gilioli G, Pasquali S, Marchesini E (2016) A modelling framework for pest population dynamics and
 723 management: An application to the grape berry moth. Ecol Model 320:348–357. doi:
 724 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2015.10.018
- 725
 726 Gutierrez AP, Ponti L, Cooper ML, et al (2012) Prospective analysis of the invasive potential of the
 727 European grapevine moth Lobesia botrana (Den. & Schiff.) in California. Agric For Entomol 14:225–
 728 238. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-9563.2011.00566.x
- Hance T, van Baaren J, Vernon P, Boivin G (2007) Impact of Extreme Temperatures on Parasitoids in
 a Climate Change Perspective. Annu Rev Entomol 52:107–126. doi:
 10.1146/annuray anto 52.110405.001333
- 732 10.1146/annurev.ento.52.110405.091333733
- Hänninen H (1990) Modelling bud dormancy release in trees from cool and temperate regions. ACTAFor Fenn 312
- 736
 737 Hatt S, Boeraeve F, Artru S, et al (2018) Spatial diversification of agroecosystems to enhance
 738 biological control and other regulating services: An agroecological perspective. Sci Total Environ
 739 621:600–611. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.296
- 740
- Hirschi M, Stoeckli S, Dubrovsky M, et al (2012) Downscaling climate change scenarios for apple
 pest and disease modeling in Switzerland. Earth Syst Dyn 3:33–47. doi: 10.5194/esd-3-33-2012
- Honěk A (1996) Geographical variation in thermal requirement for insect development. Eur J Entomol
 93:303–312
- Hoover JK, Newman JA (2004) Tritrophic interactions in the context of climate change: a model of
 grasses, cereal Aphids and their parasitoids. Glob Change Biol 10:1197–1208. doi: 10.1111/j.1529-

- 749 8817.2003.00796.x 750
- 751 Ioriatti C, Anfora G, Tasin M, et al (2011) Chemical Ecology and Management of Lobesia botrana 752 (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). J Econ Entomol 104:1125-1137. doi: 10.1603/EC10443 753 754 Jongman RHG, Bunce RGH, Metzger MJ, et al (2006) Objectives and Applications of a Statistical 755 Environmental Stratification of Europe. Landsc Ecol 21:409-419. doi: 10.1007/s10980-005-6428-0 756 757 Lamichhane JR, Barzman M, Booij K, et al (2015) Robust cropping systems to tackle pests under 758 climate change. A review. Agron Sustain Dev 35:443-459. doi: 10.1007/s13593-014-0275-9 759 760 Lin BB (2011) Resilience in Agriculture through Crop Diversification: Adaptive Management for 761 Environmental Change. BioScience 61:183–193. doi: 10.1525/bio.2011.61.3.4 762 763 Logan JA, Wollkind DJ, Hoyt SC, Tanigoshi LK (1976) An analytic model for description of 764 temperature dependent rate phenomena in arthropods. Environ Entomol 5:1133–1140 765 766 Marchesini, E., & Monta, L. D. (2004). Nel Veneto quattro generazioni di tignoletta della vite. 767 Informatore Agrario, 60(4), 75-78. 768 769 Maiorano A, Bregaglio S, Donatelli M, et al (2012) Comparison of modelling approaches to simulate 770 the phenology of the European corn borer under future climate scenarios. Ecol Model 245:65–74. doi: 771 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2012.03.034 772 773 Martín-Vertedor D, Ferrero-García JJ, Torres-Vila LM (2010) Global warming affects phenology and 774 voltinism of Lobesia botrana in Spain. Agric For Entomol 12:169–176. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-775 9563.2009.00465.x 776 777 Milonas PG, Savopoulou-Soultani M, Stavridis DG (2001) Day-degree models for predicting the 778 generation time and flight activity of local populations of Lobesia botrana (Den. & Schiff.) (Lep., 779 Tortricidae) in Greece. J Appl Entomol 125:515-518. doi: 10.1046/j.1439-0418.2001.00594.x 780 781 Moiroux J, Bourgeois G, Boivin G, Brodeur J (2014) Impact différentiel du réchanffement climatique 782 sur les insectes ravageurs des cultures et leur ennemis naturels: implications en agriculture 783 784 Moravie M-A, Davison AC, Pasquier D, Charmillot P-J (2006) Bayesian forecasting of grape moth 785 emergence. Ecol Model 197:478-489. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2006.03.030 786 787 Moreau J, Desouhant E, Louâpre P, et al (2017) How Host Plant and Fluctuating Environments Affect 788 Insect Reproductive Strategies? In: Advances in Botanical Research. Elsevier, pp 259–287 789 790 Nietschke BS, Magarey RD, Borchert DM, et al (2007) A developmental database to support insect 791 phenology models. Crop Prot 26:1444-1448. doi: 10.1016/j.cropro.2006.12.006 792 793 Ortega-López V, Amo-Salas M, Ortiz-Barredo A, Díez-Navajas AM (2014) Male flight phenology of 794 the European grapevine moth Lobesia botrana (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) in different wine-growing 795 regions in Spain. Bull Entomol Res 104:566-575. doi: 10.1017/S0007485314000339 796 797 Parker AK, De CortáZar-Atauri IG, Van Leeuwen C, Chuine I (2011) General phenological model to 798 characterise the timing of flowering and veraison of Vitis vinifera L.: Grapevine flowering and 799 veraison model. Aust J Grape Wine Res 17:206–216. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-0238.2011.00140.x 800 801 Pavan F, Zandigiacomo P, Dalla Montà L (2006) Influence of the grape-growing area on the 802 phenology of Lobesia botrana second generation. Bull Insectology 59:105-109 803

804 Picard RR, Cook RD (1984) Cross-Validation of Regression Models. J Am Stat Assoc 79:10 805 806 Picart D (2009) Modélisation et estimation des paramètres liés au succès reproducteur d'un ravageur 807 de la vigne (Lobesia botrana DEN. & SCHIFF.). Université Sciences et Technologies-Bordeaux I 808 809 Reineke A, Thiery D (2016) Grapevine insect pests and their natural enemies in the age of global 810 warming. 12. doi: 10.1007/s10340-016-0761-8 811 812 Richardson EA, Seeley, Schuyler D, Walker DR (1974) A model for estimating the completion of 813 Rest for "Redhaven" and "Elberta" Peach trees. HortSciences 9:331-332 814 815 Roditakis NE, Karandinos MG (2001) Effects of photoperiod and temperature on pupal diapause 816 induction of grape berry moth Lobesia botrana. Physiol Entomol 26:329-340. doi: 10.1046/j.0307-817 6962.2001.00253.x 818 819 Severini M, Alilla R, Pesolillo S, Baumgärtner J (2005) Fenologia della vite, e della Lobesia botrana 820 (Lep. Tortricidae) nella zona dei Castelli Romani. Riv Ital Agrometeorol 3:34-39 821 822 Singer MS, Parmesan C (2010) Phenological asynchrony between herbivorous insects and their hosts: 823 signal of climate change or pre-existing adaptive strategy? Philos Trans Biol Sci 365:3161–3176 824 825 Steinbauer MJ, Kriticos DJ, Lukacs Z, Clarke AR (2004) Modelling a forest lepidopteran: 826 phenological plasticity determines voltinism which influences population dynamics. For Ecol Manag 827 198:117-131. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2004.03.041 828 829 Stoeckli S, Hirschi M, Spirig C, et al (2012) Impact of Climate Change on Voltinism and Prospective 830 Diapause Induction of a Global Pest Insect - Cydia pomonella (L.). PLoS ONE 7:e35723. doi: 831 10.1371/journal.pone.0035723 832 833 Svobodová E, Trnka M, Dubrovský M, et al (2014) Determination of areas with the most significant 834 shift in persistence of pests in Europe under climate change. Pest Manag Sci 70:708–715. doi: 835 10.1002/ps.3622 836 837 Thiery, Denis. 2008. "Les Tordeuses Nuisibles à La Vigne." Féret, Bordeaux, les ravageurs de la 838 vigne, 54. 839 840 Tilman D, Cassman KG, Matson PA, et al (2002) Agricultural sustainability and intensive production 841 practices. Nature 418:671-677. doi: 10.1038/nature01014 842 843 Tobin PC, Nagarkatti S, Loeb G, Saunders MC (2008) Historical and projected interactions between 844 climate change and insect voltinism in a multivoltine species. Glob Change Biol 14:951–957. doi: 845 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01561.x 846 847 Torres-Vila, LM, Rodriguez-Molina MC, Roehrich R, Stockel J (1999) Vine phenological stage 848 during larval feeding affects male and female reproductive output of Lobesia botrana (Lepidoptera: 849 Tortricidae). Bull Entomol Res 89:549-556 850 851 Touzeau J (1981) Modélisation de l'évolution de l'Eudemis de la vigne pour la region Midi-Pyrénées. 852 Boll Zool Agrar Bachic Ser II 16:26 853 854 Wang E, Engel T (1998) Simulation of phenological development of wheat crops. Agric Syst 58:1–24 855 856 Zhou X, Harrington R, Woiwod IP, et al (1995) Effects of temperature on aphid phenology. Glob 857 Change Biol 1:303–313 858