

Remark on the global null controllability for a viscous Burgers-particle system with particle supported control

Mythily Ramaswamy, Arnab Roy, Takéo Takahashi

▶ To cite this version:

Mythily Ramaswamy, Arnab Roy, Takéo Takahashi. Remark on the global null controllability for a viscous Burgers-particle system with particle supported control. Applied Mathematics Letters, 2020, 10.1016/j.aml.2020.106483. hal-02541031

HAL Id: hal-02541031 https://hal.science/hal-02541031v1

Submitted on 12 Apr 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Remark on the global null controllability for a viscous Burgers-particle system with particle supported control

Mythily Ramaswamy¹, Arnab Roy², and Takéo Takahashi³

 $^1\mathrm{Chennai}$ Mathematical Institute, H1, SIPCOT IT Park, Kelambakkam, Siruseri, Tamil Nadu603103

²Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Žitná 25, 115 67 Praha 1, Czech Republic

²Université de Lorraine, CNRS, Inria, IECL, F-54000 Nancy, France

April 12, 2020

Abstract

This paper is devoted to study the controllability of a one-dimensional fluid-particle interaction model where the fluid follows the viscous Burgers equation and the point mass obeys Newton's second law. We prove the null controllability for the velocity of the fluid and the particle and an approximate controllability for the position of the particle with a control variable acting only on the particle. One of the novelties of our work is the fact that we achieve this controllability result in a uniform time for all initial data and without any smallness assumptions on the initial data.

Keywords. Fluid-structure interaction, global controllability, viscous Burgers equation **AMS subject classifications.** 35Q35, 35D30, 35D35, 35R37, 35L10, 93D15, 93D20.

Contents

1	Introduction and main result	1
2	Preliminaries	3
3	Proof of Theorem 1.2	4
4	Burgers equation in a time varying domain	6

1 Introduction and main result

In this work, we analyse the global null controllability of a simplified one-dimensional model of fluid-particle interaction. Here the fluid is governed by the viscous Burgers equation and the particle follows the Newton law.

More precisely, we consider the following control problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{t}u(t,x) - \partial_{xx}u(t,x) + u(t,x)\partial_{x}u(t,x) = 0, & t \in (0,T), \ x \in (0,1) \setminus \{h(t)\}, \\ u(t,0) = 0 = u(t,1), & t \in (0,T), \\ u(t,h(t)) = h'(t), & t \in (0,T), \\ mh''(t) = [\![\partial_{x}u]\!](t,h(t)) + g(t), & t \in (0,T), \\ u(0,x) = u_{0}(x), & x \in (0,1), \\ h(0) = h_{0}, \ h'(0) = \ell_{0}. \end{cases}$$

$$(1.1)$$

In the above equation, u(t,x) denotes the fluid velocity and h(t) denotes the position of the particle at time t. The positive constant m stands for the mass of the particle. The control g(t) corresponds to a force acting only on the particle.

The symbol $[\![f]\!](x)$ refers to the jump of the function f at the point x, precisely

$$[f](x) = f(x^+) - f(x^-),$$

where $f(x^+)$ and $f(x^-)$ are the right and the left limits of the function.

This model can be seen as a one-dimensional simplified model for a fluid-structure interaction system. It was introduced by Vázquez and Zuazua in [18] (without control). The authors analyse in particular its large time behavior. In [19], the same authors extended their results in the case of a finite number of point particles by establishing that these solid particles never collide in finite time. The boundary controllability for this model had been first considered by Doubova and Fernández-Cara in [6]. They proved the local null controllability by using controls located at x=0 and x=1. Later, Liu, Takahashi and Tucsnak [10] established the local null controllability with only one control (located at one end of (0,1)). Recently, Imanuvilov obtained a similar result for the local controllability to trajectories in [8].

Note that the controllability of fluid-structure systems have been also considered in dimension larger than 1. In [13], Raymond and Vanninathan considered a simplified 2D model where the fluid equations are replaced by the Helmholtz equations and the structure is modeled by a harmonic oscillator. They established exact controllability results for this model with an internal control only in the fluid part. In [9], the authors established exact controllability of a 2D fluid-structure system by an internal control in the fluid equation where the fluid is modeled by the viscous, incompressible Navier-Stokes system and the body is a rigid ball. In [2], Boulakia and Osses obtained the same result but for a body of more general shape. In [1], Boulakia and Guerrero extended these results in dimension 3 of space and for a rigid body of general shape. Finally, the authors in [14] prove the local null controllability for a Boussinesq flow in interaction with a rigid body, in dimension 2 in space and by acting only on the temperature equation.

All the above works correspond to the case where the control acts on the fluid. Some articles have tackled the case where the control is supported by the structure. Let us mention the work of [12], where the structure is a deformable beam located at the boundary of the fluid domain. Here, the author obtains the local stabilization of the corresponding system. In [16], the authors consider the case of rigid ball moving into viscous incompressible fluid and obtain an open stabilization result by using for the control an external force of spring's type. Recently the same problem has been tackled for the case of a compressible viscous fluid in [15].

Finally, for the one-dimensional case and for a particle supported control, that is for the system (1.1) considered in this article, Cîndea et al. obtained in [4] a global result of controllability for the corresponding fluid-particle system:

Theorem 1.1 ([4]). Assume $\varepsilon > 0$, $h_0, h_T \in (0,1)$, $\ell_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u_0 \in L^2(0,1)$, there exist T > 0 and a control $g \in L^{\infty}(0,T)$ such that the weak solution of the system (1.1) satisfies

$$|h(T) - h_T| < \varepsilon$$
, $h'(T) = 0$, $u(T, \cdot) = 0$.

The main goal of this article is to show that in the above result, one can obtain the controllability for a uniform time T>0 with respect to the initial condition. More precisely, our main result is stated below:

Theorem 1.2. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and a final state $h_T \in (0,1)$, there exists T > 0 with the following property: for any $h_0 \in (0,1)$, $\ell_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u_0 \in L^2(0,1)$, there exists a control $g \in L^2(0,T)$ such that the weak solution of the system (1.1) satisfies

$$|h(T) - h_T| < \varepsilon, \quad h'(T) = 0, \quad u(T, \cdot) = 0.$$
 (1.2)

In order to prove Theorem 1.2, we are going to use the local controllability of the system (1.1) obtained in [4] (see Theorem 2.3 below). This part can be achieved in an arbitrary small time. Thus our aim is to lead the system to a state where the fluid and particle velocities are small and where the position of the particle is close to h_T . In [4], they obtain this step by using the same method as in [16], that is by a stabilization argument with a force on the particle acting as spring connecting h(t) to h_T . Here, we use instead a nice argument of [5] for the Burgers equation (without particle) related to the Oleinik inequality for the inviscid Burgers equation and this allows us to obtain a time T uniform with respect to the initial conditions.

A natural question is to know if one can take T arbitrarily small in Theorem 1.2. The problem is open for our fluid-structure interaction system, but let us mention that the case of the Burgers equation alone has been investigated by many authors for different control strategies: [7], [11], [3], etc.

The outline of the remaining part of this work is the following. In Section 2, we recall the definition of a solution to the system (1.1) and some other important results. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the main result Theorem 1.2 where we use an existence result for the viscous Burgers equation in moving domain that is stated and proved in Section 4.

2 Preliminaries

In Theorem 1.2 or in Theorem 1.1, we have used the notion of weak solutions to (1.1). We give here the precise definition of such solutions:

Definition 2.1. Given T > 0, $u_0 \in L^2(0,1)$, $h_0 \in (0,1)$, $\ell_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g \in L^2(0,T)$, we say that (h,u) is a weak solution of (1.1) if

$$h \in H^1(0,T), \quad u \in C^0([0,T]; L^2(0,1)) \cap L^2(0,T; H_0^1(0,1))$$

if

$$h(0) = h_0, \quad h'(t) = \ell(t) = u(t, h(t)), \quad h(t) \in (0, 1) \quad \text{for almost every } t \in [0, T]$$

and if

$$\int_{0}^{1} u(t,x)\psi(t,x) dx - \int_{0}^{1} u_{0}(x)\psi(0,x) dx - \int_{0}^{t} \ell(s)\xi'(s) ds + \ell(t)\xi(t) - \ell_{0}\xi(0) - \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} u(s,x)\psi'(s,x) dx ds + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} \partial_{x}u(s,x)\partial_{x}\psi(s,x) dx ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} u^{2}(s,x)\partial_{x}\psi(s,x) dx ds = \int_{0}^{t} g(s)\xi(s) ds,$$

holds for all $t \in [0, T]$ and for every (ξ, ψ) satisfying

$$\xi \in H^1(0,T), \ \psi \in H^1(0,T;L^2(0,1)) \cap L^2(0,T;H^1_0(0,1)),$$

 $\xi(t) = \psi(t,h(t)), \quad t \in [0,T].$

Let us mention that the Cauchy problem for system (1.1) is well-posed with the above definition of solutions (see for instance Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 5.1 in [4]):

Proposition 2.2. For any T > 0, $h_0 \in (0,1)$, $\ell_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $u_0 \in L^2(0,1)$ and $g \in L^2(0,T)$, there exists a unique solution (h,u) of (1.1) on $(0,T^*)$, where T^* is the minimum between T and the first time T_c of contact $(h(T_c) = 0)$ or $h(T_c) = 1$.

Theorem 1.2 is based on a local null-controllability result obtained in [4]. In fact, they prove that the fluid velocity and the particle velocity can be driven to rest whereas the particle position can be driven to any $h_1 \in \mathcal{S}$, where

$$S = \{a \in (0,1) ; \text{ a is an irrational algebraic number} \}.$$

More precisely, their result states as follows (see [4, Theorem 9.1]):

Theorem 2.3. Assume T > 0 and $h_1 \in \mathcal{S}$. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $u_0 \in L^2(0,1)$, $h_0 \in (0,1)$, $\ell_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$||u_0||_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + |\ell_0|^2 \le \delta^2, \quad |h_0 - h_1| < \delta,$$

there exists a control $g \in C^0([0,T])$ such that the weak solution of (1.1) satisfies

$$u(T) = 0$$
, $h'(T) = 0$, $h(T) = h_1$.

We recall that S is dense in (0,1). One can see this classical fact by using that $\sqrt{2} + \mathbb{Q}$ is dense in \mathbb{R} and that, for any $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, $\sqrt{2} + r$ is a root of $(X - r)^2 - 2$ showing that it is algebraic. Consequently, there exists $h_1 \in S$ such that

$$|h_1 - h_T| < \varepsilon. (2.1)$$

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

As explained in the previous section, in order to apply Theorem 2.3, we first consider $h_1 \in \mathcal{S}$ such that (2.1) holds and we are going to show that there exists a time T > 0 such that for any $h_0 \in (0,1)$, $\ell_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u_0 \in L^2(0,1)$, there exists a control $g \in L^2(0,T)$ such that the solution of the system (1.1) satisfies

$$h(T) = h_1, \quad h'(T) = 0, \quad u(T, \cdot) = 0.$$
 (3.1)

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.2:

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof is divided into several steps:

Step 1: Parabolic smoothing of (1.1) with g = 0. Using Proposition 2.2, for g = 0, there exists a weak solution on $[0, \tau)$ for some $\tau > 0$. In particular, there exists an arbitrary small $T_0 > 0$ such that

$$h(T_0) \in (0,1), \quad u(T_0,\cdot) \in H_0^1(0,1), \quad u(T_0,h(T_0)) = h'(T_0) = \ell(T_0).$$

Step 2: Particle at final position. We claim that for any $T_1 > T_0$, there is a control $g \in L^2(T_0, T_1)$ such that the corresponding weak solution (u, h) of (1.1), with initial conditions

$$h(T_0) \in (0,1), \quad u(T_0,\cdot) \in H_0^1(0,1), \quad h'(T_0) = \ell(T_0),$$

attains the final position $(u(T_1,\cdot),h(T_1))\in H^1_0(0,1)\times (0,1)$ satisfying

$$h(T_1) = h_1, \quad h'(T_1) = 0.$$

We prove this claim by controlling the position and the velocity of the particle. The control g during this step is given a posteriori (see (3.4)).

Observe that for any $T_1 > T_0$, there exists $h \in C^{\infty}([T_0, T_1]; (0, 1))$ such that

$$\widetilde{h}(T_0) = h(T_0), \quad \widetilde{h}'(T_0) = h'(T_0), \quad \widetilde{h}(T_1) = h_1, \quad \widetilde{h}'(T_1) = 0.$$
 (3.2)

Indeed, there exists a (unique) polynomial ϕ of order 3 such that

$$\phi(T_0) = \arcsin(2h(T_0) - 1), \quad \phi(T_1) = \arcsin(2h_1 - 1), \quad \phi'(T_0) = \frac{2h'(T_0)}{\sqrt{1 - (2h(T_0) - 1)^2}}, \quad \phi'(T_1) = 0.$$

Then we set

$$\widetilde{h}(t) = \frac{(1 + \sin \phi(t))}{2} \in (0, 1),$$

and we verify that \tilde{h} satisfies (3.2).

Using Theorem 4.1 stated and proved below in Section 4, we deduce the existence and uniqueness of

$$\widetilde{u} \in C^0([T_0, T_1]; H^1(0, 1)) \cap L^2(T_0, T_1; H^2((0, 1) \setminus {\widetilde{h}(t)})) \cap H^1(T_0, T_1; L^2(0, 1))$$

to the problem

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_t \widetilde{u}(t,x) - \partial_{xx} \widetilde{u}(t,x) + \widetilde{u}(t,x) \partial_x \widetilde{u}(t,x) = 0, & t \in (T_0, T_1), x \in (0,1) \setminus \{\widetilde{h}(t)\}, \\
\widetilde{u}(t,0) = \widetilde{u}(t,1) = 0, & t \in (T_0, T_1), \\
\widetilde{u}(t,\widetilde{h}(t)) = \widetilde{h}'(t), & t \in (T_0, T_1), \\
\widetilde{u}(T_0,x) = u(T_0,x), & x \in (0,1).
\end{cases}$$
(3.3)

Note that here we used that after Step 1, $u(T_0, \cdot) \in H_0^1(0, 1)$, and $u(T_0, h(T_0)) = \ell(T_0)$.

We can now define the control g of this step by the formula

$$g(t) := m\widetilde{h}''(t) - [\partial_x \widetilde{u}](t, \widetilde{h}(t)), \quad t \in (T_0, T_1), \tag{3.4}$$

we have $g \in L^2(T_0, T_1)$ and we see that the solution (h, u) of (1.1) associated with g is exactly $(\widetilde{h}, \widetilde{u})$. In particular, we have

$$h(T_1) = h_1, \quad h'(T_1) = 0, \quad u(T_1, \cdot) \in H_0^1((0, h_1) \cup (h_1, 1)).$$
 (3.5)

Step 3: Uniform decay of the fluid velocity u. We claim that there exists $T_2 > 0$ and a control $g \in L^2(T_1, T_2)$ such that in (T_1, T_2) , there is a weak solution of (1.1), with initial conditions

$$h(T_1) \in (0,1), \quad u(T_1,\cdot) \in H_0^1(0,1), \quad h'(T_1) = 0,$$

and final position as $(u(T_2,\cdot),h(T_2)) \in H_0^1(0,1) \times (0,1)$ satisfying

$$h(T_2) = h_1, \quad h'(T_2) = 0,$$

and the fluid velocity u sufficiently small.

This step is the only one where we need to take a time large enough. We consider the Burgers equation in the two intervals $(0, h_1)$ and $(h_1, 1)$:

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_t u(t,x) - \partial_{xx} u(t,x) + u(t,x) \partial_x u(t,x) = 0, & t \geqslant T_1, \ x \in (0,1) \setminus \{h_1\}, \\
u(t,0) = u(t,h_1) = u(t,1) = 0, & t \geqslant T_1,
\end{cases}$$
(3.6)

with the initial condition $u(T_1, \cdot)$ obtained at the previous step (see (3.5)). Classical results on the viscous Burgers equation show that there exists a unique strong solution

$$u \in C^0([T_1, \infty); H^1(0, 1)) \cap L^2(T_1, \infty; H^2((0, h_1) \cup (h_1, 1)) \cap H^1(T_1, \infty; L^2(0, 1)).$$

Moreover (see, for instance [5, Lemma 9]), we have that

$$-\frac{(1-x)}{t-T_1} \leqslant u(t,x) \leqslant \frac{x}{t-T_1}, \quad \forall t > T_1, \ x \in (0,1).$$
(3.7)

In particular, there exists $T_2 > T_1$ such that

$$||u(T_2,\cdot)||_{L^2(0,1)} \le \delta,$$
 (3.8)

where δ is the constant appearing in Theorem 2.3.

During this step, we take

$$g(t) = -[\![\partial_x u]\!](t, h_1), \quad \forall t \in (T_1, T_2)$$
(3.9)

so that

$$h(t) = h_1, \quad \forall t \in (T_1, T_2)$$

satisfies the fourth equation of (1.1). In particular, (h, u) is the weak solution of (1.1) associated with g in (T_1, T_2) .

Step 4. Local null controllability. We apply the local controllability result given by Theorem 2.3 and obtain a control g in (T_2, T) such that

$$h(T) = h_1, \quad h'(T) = 0, \quad u(T, \cdot) = 0.$$
 (3.10)

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

4 Burgers equation in a time varying domain

We recall in this section a standard result on the viscous Burgers equation in a moving domain since we use it in the proof of Theorem 1.2. In this section, we thus consider a given $h \in H^2(0,T;(0,1))$ and we consider the following Burgers system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{t}u(t,x) - \partial_{xx}u(t,x) + u(t,x)\partial_{x}u(t,x) = 0 & t \in (0,T), \ x \in (0,1) \setminus \{h(t)\}, \\ u(t,0) = u(t,1) = 0, & t \in (0,T), \\ u(t,h(t)) = h'(t), & t \in (0,T), \\ u(0,x) = u_{0}(x), & x \in (0,1). \end{cases}$$

$$(4.1)$$

Theorem 4.1. Let $h \in H^2(0,T;(0,1))$ and $u_0 \in H^1(0,1)$ with

$$u_0(h(0)) = h'(0).$$

Then, for any T > 0, the problem (4.1) admits a unique solution

$$u \in C^0([0,T]; H^1(0,1)) \cap L^2(0,T; H^2((0,1) \setminus \{h(t)\}) \cap H^1(0,T; L^2(0,1)).$$

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is standard: first we observe that it is sufficient to work on the Burgers equation written on (0, h(t)), the proof is the same for the other interval. Then we consider a lift of the boundary condition: we define for any $t \in (0, T)$:

$$v(t,x) = u(t,x) - h'(t)\frac{x}{h(t)}, \quad x \in (0,h(t)),$$

and

$$v_0(x) = u_0(x) - h'(0) \frac{x}{h(0)}, \quad x \in (0, h(0)),$$

so that v satisfies

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_t v - \partial_{xx} v + v \partial_x v + \frac{h'}{h} v + \frac{h'}{h} x \partial_x v + \frac{h''}{h} x = 0 & t \in (0, T), \ x \in (0, h(t)), \\
v(t, 0) = v(t, h(t)) = 0 & t \in (0, T), \\
v(0, x) = v_0(x) & x \in (0, h(0)).
\end{cases}$$
(4.2)

We set

$$h_0 = h(0).$$

Then we use a change of variables to write the above system in the cylinder $(0,T) \times (0,h_0)$:

$$y = \frac{h_0}{h(t)}x, \quad x = \frac{h(t)}{h_0}y, \quad V(t,y) = v\left(t, \frac{h(t)}{h_0}y\right), \quad v(t,x) = V\left(t, \frac{h_0}{h(t)}x\right).$$

Some calculation yields

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_t V - \left(\frac{h_0}{h}\right)^2 \partial_{yy} V + \frac{h_0}{h} V \partial_y V + \frac{h'}{h} V + \frac{h''}{h_0} y = 0 & t \in (0, T), \ y \in (0, h_0), \\
V(t, 0) = V(t, h_0) = 0 & t \in (0, T), \\
V(0, y) = v_0(y) & x \in (0, h_0).
\end{cases}$$
(4.3)

Then, the above system can be solved by the Galerkin method, using similar techniques as for the Navier-Stokes system (see for instance [17, pp.17-25]).

Acknowledgments.

AR and TT were partially supported by the ANR research project IFSMACS (ANR-15-CE40-0010). The three authors were partially supported by the IFCAM project "Analysis, Control and Homogenization of Complex Systems".

References

- [1] M. Boulakia and S. Guerrero. Local null controllability of a fluid-solid interaction problem in dimension 3. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), 15(3):825–856, 2013.
- [2] Muriel Boulakia and Axel Osses. Local null controllability of a two-dimensional fluid-structure interaction problem. ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var., 14(1):1–42, 2008.
- [3] Marianne Chapouly. Global controllability of nonviscous and viscous Burgers-type equations. SIAM J. Control Optim., 48(3):1567–1599, 2009.
- [4] Nicolae Cîndea, Sorin Micu, Ionel Rovenţa, and Marius Tucsnak. Particle supported control of a fluid-particle system. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 104(2):311–353, 2015.
- [5] Jean-Michel Coron. Some open problems on the control of nonlinear partial differential equations. In *Perspectives in nonlinear partial differential equations*, volume 446 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 215–243. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
- [6] Anna Doubova and Enrique Fernández-Cara. Some control results for simplified one-dimensional models of fluid-solid interaction. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 15(5):783–824, 2005.
- [7] S. Guerrero and O. Yu. Imanuvilov. Remarks on global controllability for the Burgers equation with two control forces. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 24(6):897–906, 2007.
- [8] O. Yu. Imanuvilov. Remark on controllability to trajectories of a simplified fluid-structure iteration model, 2018. https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.11225.
- [9] Oleg Imanuvilov and Takéo Takahashi. Exact controllability of a fluid-rigid body system. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 87(4):408–437, 2007.
- [10] Yuning Liu, Takéo Takahashi, and Marius Tucsnak. Single input controllability of a simplified fluid-structure interaction model. ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var., 19(1):20–42, 2013.

- [11] Frédéric Marbach. An obstruction to small-time local null controllability for a viscous Burgers' equation. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4), 51(5):1129–1177, 2018.
- [12] Jean-Pierre Raymond. Feedback stabilization of a fluid-structure model. SIAM J. Control Optim., 48(8):5398–5443, 2010.
- [13] Jean-Pierre Raymond and Muthusamy Vanninathan. Exact controllability in fluid-solid structure: the Helmholtz model. ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var., 11(2):180–203, 2005.
- [14] Arnab Roy and Takéo Takahashi. Local null controllability of a rigid body moving into a Boussinesq flow. *Math. Control Relat. Fields*, 9(4):793–836, 2019.
- [15] Arnab Roy and Takéo Takahashi. Stabilization of a rigid body moving in a compressible viscous fluid, 2019.
- [16] Takéo Takahashi, Marius Tucsnak, and George Weiss. Stabilization of a fluid-rigid body system. *J. Differential Equations*, 259(11):6459–6493, 2015.
- [17] Roger Temam. Navier-Stokes equations and nonlinear functional analysis, volume 66 of CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, second edition, 1995.
- [18] Juan Luis Vázquez and Enrique Zuazua. Large time behavior for a simplified 1D model of fluid-solid interaction. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 28(9-10):1705–1738, 2003.
- [19] Juan Luis Vázquez and Enrique Zuazua. Lack of collision in a simplified 1D model for fluid-solid interaction. Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., 16(5):637–678, 2006.