



The (non-)existence of perfect codes in Lucas cubes

Michel Mollard

► To cite this version:

Michel Mollard. The (non-)existence of perfect codes in Lucas cubes. *Ars Mathematica Contemporanea*, 2022, 22, pp.3-10. 10.26493/1855-3974.2308.de6 . hal-02539710

HAL Id: hal-02539710

<https://hal.science/hal-02539710>

Submitted on 20 Apr 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

The (non-)existence of perfect codes in Lucas cubes

Michel Mollard*

April 20, 2020

Abstract

The *Fibonacci cube* of dimension n , denoted as Γ_n , is the subgraph of the n -cube Q_n induced by vertices with no consecutive 1's. Ashrafi and his co-authors proved the non-existence of perfect codes in Γ_n for $n \geq 4$. As an open problem the authors suggest to consider the existence of perfect codes in generalizations of Fibonacci cubes. The most direct generalization is the family $\Gamma_n(1^s)$ of subgraphs induced by strings without 1^s as a substring where $s \geq 2$ is a given integer. In a precedent work we proved the existence of a perfect code in $\Gamma_n(1^s)$ for $n = 2^p - 1$ and $s \geq 3 \cdot 2^{p-2}$ for any integer $p \geq 2$.

The Lucas cube Λ_n is obtained from Γ_n by removing vertices that start and end with 1. Very often the same problems are studied on Fibonacci cubes and Lucas cube. In this note we prove the non-existence of perfect codes in Λ_n for $n \geq 4$ and prove the existence of perfect codes in some generalized Lucas cube $\Lambda_n(1^s)$.

Keywords: Error correcting codes, perfect code, Fibonacci cube.

AMS Subj. Class. : 94B5, 0C69

1 Introduction and notations

An interconnection topology can be represented by a graph $G = (V, E)$, where V denotes the processors and E the communication links. The hypercube Q_n is a popular interconnection network because of its structural properties.

The Fibonacci cube was introduced in [8] as a new interconnection network. This graph is an isometric subgraph of the hypercube which is inspired in the Fibonacci numbers. It has attractive recurrent structures such as its decomposition into two subgraphs which are also Fibonacci cubes by themselves. Structural properties of these graphs were more extensively studied afterwards. See [11] for a survey.

Lucas cubes, introduced in [16], have attracted the attention as well due to the fact that these cubes are closely related to the Fibonacci cubes. They have also been widely

*Institut Fourier, CNRS, Université Grenoble Alpes, France email: michel.mollard@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

studied [6, 17, 3, 13, 4, 12].

We will next define some concepts needed in this paper. Let G be a connected graph. The *open neighbourhood* of a vertex u is $N_G(u)$ the set of vertices adjacent to u . The *closed neighbourhood* of u is $N_G[u] = N_G(u) \cup \{u\}$. The *distance* between two vertices noted $d_G(x, y)$ is the length of a shortest path between x and y . We have thus $N_G[u] = \{v \in V(G); d_G(u, v) \leq 1\}$. We will use the notations $d(x, y)$ and $N[u]$ when the graph is unambiguous.

A *dominating set* D of G is a set of vertices such that every vertex of G belongs to the closed neighbourhood of at least one vertex of D . In [2], Biggs initiated the study of perfect codes in graphs a generalization of classical 1-error perfect correcting codes. A *code* C in G is a set of vertices C such that for every pair of distinct vertices c, c' of C we have $N_G[c] \cap N_G[c'] = \emptyset$ or equivalently such that $d_G(c, c') \geq 3$.

A *perfect code* of a graph G is both a dominating set and a code. It is thus a set of vertices C such that every vertex of G belongs to the closed neighbourhood of exactly one vertex of C . A perfect code is also known as an efficient dominating set. The existence or non-existence of perfect codes have been considered for many graphs. See the introduction of [1] for some references.

The vertex set of the n -cube Q_n is the set \mathbb{B}_n of binary strings of length n , two vertices being adjacent if they differ in precisely one position. Classical 1-error correcting codes and perfect codes are codes and perfect codes in the graph Q_n . The *weight* of a binary string is the number of 1's. The concatenation of strings \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} is denoted $\mathbf{x}||\mathbf{y}$ or just \mathbf{xy} when there is no ambiguity. A string \mathbf{f} is a *substring* of a string \mathbf{s} if there exist strings \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , may be empty, such that $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{xfy}$.

A *Fibonacci string* of length n is a binary string $\mathbf{b} = b_1 \dots b_n$ with $b_i \cdot b_{i+1} = 0$ for $1 \leq i < n$. In other words a Fibonacci string is a binary string without 11 as substring. The *Fibonacci cube* Γ_n ($n \geq 1$) is the subgraph of Q_n induced by the Fibonacci strings of length n . Adjacent vertices in Γ_n differ in one bit. Because of the empty string, $\Gamma_0 = K_1$.

A Fibonacci string of length n is a *Lucas string* if $b_1 \cdot b_n \neq 1$. That is, a Lucas string has no two consecutive 1's including the first and the last elements of the string. The *Lucas cube* Λ_n is the subgraph of Q_n induced by the Lucas strings of length n . We have $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda_1 = K_1$.

Let \mathcal{F}_n and \mathcal{L}_n be the set of strings of Fibonacci strings and Lucas strings of length n . By $\Gamma_{n,k}$ and $\Lambda_{n,k}$ we denote the vertices of weight k in respectively Γ_n and Λ_n

Since

$$\mathcal{L}_n = \{0\mathbf{s}; \mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\} \cup \{10\mathbf{s}0; \mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{F}_{n-3}\}$$

and

$$|\Gamma_{n,k}| = \binom{n-k+1}{k}$$




Figure 1: $\Gamma_2 = \Lambda_2$, Λ_3 , Λ_4 and Λ_5

it is immediate to derive the following classical result.

Proposition 1.1 *Let $n \geq 1$. The number of vertices of weight $k \leq n$ in Λ_n is*

$$|\Lambda_{n,k}| = \binom{n-k}{k} + \binom{n-k-1}{k-1}.$$

It will be convenient to consider the binary strings of length n as vectors of \mathbb{F}^n the vector space of dimension n over the field $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}_2$ thus to associate to a string $x_1x_2 \dots x_n$ the vector $\theta(x_1x_2 \dots x_n) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. The *Hamming distance* between two vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}^n$, $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is the number of coordinates in which they differ. By the correspondence θ we can define the binary sum $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$ and the Hamming distance $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ of strings in \mathbb{B}_n . Note that the Hamming distance is the usual graph distance in Q_n .

We will first recall some basic results about perfect codes in Q_n . Since Q_n is a regular graph of degree n the existence of a perfect code of cardinality $|C|$ implies $|C|(n+1) = 2^n$ thus a necessary condition of existence is that $n+1$ is a power of 2 thus that $n = 2^p - 1$ for some integer p .

For any integer p Hamming [7] constructed, a linear subspace of \mathbb{F}^{2^p-1} which is a perfect code. It is easy to prove that all linear perfect codes are Hamming codes. Notice that 1^n belongs to the Hamming code of length n .

In 1961 Vasilev [20], and later many authors, see [5, 19] for a survey, constructed perfect codes which are not linear codes.

In a recent work [1] Ashrafi and his co-authors proved the non-existence of perfect codes in Γ_n for $n \geq 4$. As an open problem the authors suggest to consider the existence of perfect codes in generalizations of Fibonacci cubes. The most complete generalization proposed in [9] is, for a given string \mathbf{f} , to consider $\Gamma_n(\mathbf{f})$ the subgraph of Q_n induced by strings that do not contain \mathbf{f} as substring. Since Fibonacci cubes are $\Gamma_n(11)$ the most immediate generalization [14, 18] is to consider $\Gamma_n(1^s)$ for a given integer s . In [15] we proved the existence of a perfect code in $\Gamma_n(1^s)$ for $n = 2^p - 1$ and $s \geq 3 \cdot 2^{p-2}$ for any integer $p \geq 2$.

In the next section we will prove the main result of this note.

Theorem 1.2 *The Lucas cube $\Lambda_n, n \geq 0$, admits a perfect code if and only if $n \leq 3$.*

2 Perfect codes in Lucas cube

It can be easily checked by hand that $\{0^n\}$ is a perfect code of Λ_n for $n \leq 3$ and that Λ_4 or Λ_5 does not contain a perfect code (Figure 1). Assume thus $n \geq 6$.

Note first that from Proposition 1.1 we have

$$|\Lambda_{n,2}| = \frac{n(n-3)}{2} \text{ and } |\Lambda_{n,3}| = \frac{n(n-4)(n-5)}{6}$$

Therefore $\Lambda_{n,2}$ and $\Lambda_{n,3}$ are none empty.

Let $\Lambda_{n,k}^1$ be the vertices of $\Lambda_{n,k}$ that start with 1. Since $\mathcal{L}_n = \{0s; s \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\} \cup \{10s0; s \in \mathcal{F}_{n-3}\}$ the number of vertices in $\Lambda_{n,k}^1$ is

$$|\Lambda_{n,k}^1| = |\Gamma_{n-3,k-1}| = \binom{n-1-k}{k-1}$$

Lemma 2.1 *If $n \geq 6$ and C is a perfect code of Λ_n then $0^n \in C$.*

Proof.

Suppose on the contrary that $0^n \notin C$. Since 0^n must be dominated there exists a vertex in $\Lambda_{n,1} \cap C$. This vertex is unique and because of the circular symmetry of Λ_n we can assume $10^{n-1} \in C$.

Since $0^n \notin C$ the other vertices of $\Lambda_{n,1}$ must be dominated by vertices in $\Lambda_{n,2}$. But a vertex in $\Lambda_{n,2}$ has precisely two neighbors in $\Lambda_{n,1}$ thus n must be odd and

$$|\Lambda_{n,2} \cap C| = \frac{n-1}{2}.$$

The unique vertex 10^{n-1} in $\Lambda_{n,1} \cap C$ has exactly $n-3$ neighbors in $\Lambda_{n,2}$. Let D be the vertices of $\Lambda_{n,2}$ not in C and not dominated by 10^{n-1} . Vertices in D must be dominated by vertices in $\Lambda_{n,3} \cap C$. Each vertex of $\Lambda_{n,3} \cap C$ has exactly three neighbors in $\Lambda_{n,2}$. Thus 3 divides the number of vertices in D . This number is

$$|D| = |\Lambda_{n,2}| - (n-3) - \frac{n-1}{2} = \frac{n^2 - 6n + 7}{2}.$$

This is not possible since there exists no odd integer n such that 6 divides $n^2 + 1$. Indeed since n is odd, 6 does not divide n thus divides $(n+1)(n-1) = n^2 - 1$ or

$(n+2)(n-2) = n^2 - 4$ or $(n+3)(n-3) = n^2 - 9$ thus cannot divide $n^2 + 1$. \square

Let $n \geq 6$ and C be a perfect code. Since $0^n \in C$ all vertices of $\Lambda_{n,1}$ are dominated by 0^n and thus $\Lambda_{n,2} \cap C = \Lambda_{n,1} \cap C = \emptyset$. Consequently, each vertex of $\Lambda_{n,2}$ must be dominated by a vertex in $\Lambda_{n,3}$. Since each vertex in $\Lambda_{n,3}$ has precisely three neighbors in $\Lambda_{n,2}$ we obtain that

$$|\Lambda_{n,3} \cap C| = \frac{|\Lambda_{n,2}|}{3}.$$

This number must be an integer thus 3 divides $|\Lambda_{n,2}| = \frac{n(n-3)}{2}$ and therefore 3 divides $n(n-3)$. This is only possible if n is a multiple of 3.

Each vertex of $\Lambda_{n,2}^1$ must be dominated by a vertex in $\Lambda_{n,3}^1$. Furthermore a vertex in $\Lambda_{n,3}^1$ has precisely two neighbors in $\Lambda_{n,2}^1$. Therefore $|\Lambda_{n,2}^1| = n-3$ must be even and thus $n = 6p+3$ for some integer $p \geq 1$.

Let E be the set of vertices of $\Lambda_{n,3}$ not in C . Vertices in E must be dominated by a vertex in $\Lambda_{n,4}$. Furthermore each vertex in $\Lambda_{n,4}$ has precisely four neighbors in $\Lambda_{n,3}$. Therefore 4 divides $|E|$ with

$$|E| = |\Lambda_{n,3}| - |\Lambda_{n,3} \cap C| = \frac{n(n-4)(n-5)}{6} - \frac{n(n-3)}{6} = \frac{n(n^2 - 10n + 23)}{6}.$$

Replacing n by $6p+3$ we obtain that 4 divides the odd number $(2p+1)(18p^2 - 12p + 1)$. This contradiction prove the Theorem. \square

3 Perfect codes in generalized Lucas cube

The analogous of the generalisation of Fibonacci cube $\Gamma_n(1^s)$ for Lucas cube is the family $\Lambda_n(1^s)$ of subgraphs of Q_n induced by strings without 1^s as a substring in a circular manner where $s \geq 2$ is a given integer. More formally [10] for any binary strings $b_1b_2 \dots b_n$ and each $1 \leq i \leq n$, call $b_i b_{i+1} \dots b_n b_1 \dots b_{i-1}$ the i -th circulation of $b_1b_2 \dots b_n$. The *generalized Lucas cube* $\Lambda_n(1^s)$ is the subgraph of Q_n induced by strings without a circulation containing 1^s as a substring.

In [15] the existence of a perfect code in $\Gamma_n(1^s)$ is proved for $n = 2^p - 1$ and $s \geq 3 \cdot 2^{p-2}$ for any integer $p \geq 2$.

The strategy used in this construction is to build a perfect code C in Q_n such that no vertex of C contains 1^s as substring. The set C is also a perfect code in $\Gamma_n(1^s)$ since each vertex of $\Gamma_n(1^s)$ belongs to the unique closed neighbourhood in Q_n thus in $\Gamma_n(1^s)$ of a vertex in C . Because of the following proposition we cannot use the same idea for $\Lambda_n(1^s)$ and $s \leq n-1$.

Proposition 3.1 *Let n an integer and $2 \leq s \leq n-1$. There exist no perfect code C in Q_n such that the vertices of C are without a circulation containing 1^s as a substring.*

Proof.

Let C be a such a perfect code in Q_n then $1^n \notin C$. Thus 1^n must be neighbour of a vertex c in C . Since $c = 1^i 0 1^{n-1-i}$ for some integer i the $i + 1$ th-circulation of c is $1^{n-1} 0$. We can complete this proposition by the two following results

Proposition 3.2 *Let $p \geq 2$ and $n = 2^p - 1$ then there exists a perfect code in $\Lambda_n(1^n)$ of order $|C| = \frac{2^n}{n+1}$.*

Proof. Let D be a Hamming code of length n and $C = \{d + (0^{n-1}1); d \in D\}$. Since $1^n \in D$ C is a perfect code of Q_n such that $1^n \notin C$. Since $\Lambda_n(1^n)$ is obtained from Q_n by the deletion of 1^n every vertex of $\Lambda_n(1^n)$ is in the closed neighbourhood of exactly one vertex of C .

Proposition 3.3 *Let $p \geq 2$ and $n = 2^p - 1$ then there exists a perfect code in $\Lambda_n(1^{n-1})$ and in $\Lambda_n(1^{n-2})$ of order $|C| = \frac{2^n}{n+1} - 1$.*

Proof. Let D be a Hamming code of length n . Then D is a perfect code of Q_n such that $1^n \in D$. Since $\Lambda_n(1^{n-1})$ is obtained from Q_n by the deletion of the closed neighbourhood of 1^n every vertex of $\Lambda_n(1^{n-1})$ is in the closed neighbourhood of exactly one vertex of $C = D - \{1^n\}$. Furthermore since $1^n \in D$ there is no vertex of weight $n - 2$ in D . Let u be a vertex of $\Lambda_n(1^{n-2})$ and $f(u)$ be the vertex in D such that $u \in N_{Q_n}[u]$. Since there is no vertex in D with weight $n - 1$ or $n - 2$ there is no circulation of $f(u)$ containing 1^{n-2} as a substring. Therefore $f(u)$ is a vertex of $\Lambda_n(1^{n-2})$ and $u \in N_{\Lambda_n(1^{n-2})}[f(u)]$. Since a code in Q_n is a code in each of its subgraph C is a perfect code of $\Lambda_n(1^{n-2})$.

References

- [1] Ali Reza Ashrafi, Jernej Azarija, Azam Babai, Khadijeh Fathalikhani, and Sandi Klavžar. The (non-)existence of perfect codes in fibonacci cubes. *Information Processing Letters*, 116(5):387 – 390, 2016.
- [2] Norman Biggs. Perfect codes in graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 15(3):289 – 296, 1973.
- [3] Aline Castro, Sandi Klavžar, Michel Mollard, and Yoomi Rho. On the domination number and the 2-packing number of fibonacci cubes and lucas cubes. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 61(9):2655 – 2660, 2011.
- [4] Aline Castro and Michel Mollard. The eccentricity sequences of fibonacci and lucas cubes. *Discrete Mathematics*, 312(5):1025 – 1037, 2012.
- [5] Gérard Cohen, Iiro Honkala, Simon Litsyn, and Antoine Lobstein. *Covering Codes Chapter 11*, volume 54 of *North-Holland Mathematical Library*. Elsevier, 1997.

- [6] Ernesto Dedó, Damiano Torri, and Norma Zagaglia Salvi. The observability of the fibonacci and the lucas cubes. *Discrete Mathematics*, 255(1):55 – 63, 2002.
- [7] R. W. Hamming. Error detecting and error correcting codes. *The Bell System Technical Journal*, 29(2):147–160, 1950.
- [8] W.-J. Hsu. Fibonacci cubes-a new interconnection topology. *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, 4(1):3–12, 1993.
- [9] Aleksandar Ilić, Sandi Klavžar, and Yoomi Rho. Generalized fibonacci cubes. *Discrete Mathematics*, 312(1):2 – 11, 2012. Algebraic Graph Theory A Volume Dedicated to Gert Sabidussi on the Occasion of His 80th Birthday.
- [10] Aleksandar Ilić, Sandi Klavžar, and Yoomi Rho. Generalized lucas cubes. *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math*, 6:82–94, 04 2012.
- [11] Sandi Klavžar. Structure of fibonacci cubes: a survey. *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization*, 25:505–522, 2013.
- [12] Sandi Klavžar and Michel Mollard. Cube polynomial of fibonacci and lucas cubes. *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, 117, 02 2012.
- [13] Sandi Klavžar, Michel Mollard, and Marko Petkovek. The degree sequence of fibonacci and lucas cubes. *Discrete Mathematics*, 311(14):1310 – 1322, 2011.
- [14] J. Liu and W.-J. Hsu. Distributed algorithms for shortest-path, deadlock-free routing and broadcasting in a class of interconnection topologies. In *Proceedings Sixth International Parallel Processing Symposium*, pages 589–596, 1992.
- [15] Michel Mollard. The existence of perfect codes in a family of generalized fibonacci cubes. *Information Processing Letters*, 140:1 – 3, 2018.
- [16] Emanuele Munarini, Claudio Perelli Cippo, and Norma Salvi. On the lucas cubes. *The Fibonacci Quarterly*, 39, 02 2001.
- [17] Mark Ramras. Congestion-free routing of linear permutations on fibonacci and lucas cubes. *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, 60:1–10, 01 2014.
- [18] Norma Salvi. On the existence of cycles of every even length on generalized fibonacci cubes. *Le Matematiche*, 51, 01 2010.
- [19] Faina I. Soloveva. On perfect binary codes. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 156(9):1488 – 1498, 2008. General Theory of Information Transfer and Combinatorics.
- [20] Y.L. Vasil’ev. On nongroup close-packed codes. *Probl. Kibern.*, 8:337–339, 01 1962.