

# Droplet jumping induced by coalescence of a moving droplet and a static one: Effect of initial velocity

Shaokang Li, Fuqiang Chu, Jun Zhang, David Brutin, Dongsheng Wen

# ▶ To cite this version:

Shaokang Li, Fuqiang Chu, Jun Zhang, David Brutin, Dongsheng Wen. Droplet jumping induced by coalescence of a moving droplet and a static one: Effect of initial velocity. Chemical Engineering Science, 2020, 211, pp.115252. 10.1016/j.ces.2019.115252 . hal-02538125

# HAL Id: hal-02538125 https://hal.science/hal-02538125v1

Submitted on 29 Jan 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Droplet jumping induced by coalescence of a moving droplet and a

# static one: Effect of initial velocity

Shaokang Li<sup>a</sup>, Fuqiang Chu<sup>a,\*</sup>, Jun Zhang<sup>a</sup>, David Brutin<sup>b</sup>, Dongsheng Wen<sup>a,c,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Aeronautic Science and Engineering, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China

<sup>b</sup> Aix-Marseille University, IUSTI UMR CNRS 7343, Marseille, France

<sup>c</sup> School of Chemical and Process Engineering, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

\*Corresponding authors. E-mail addresses: chu\_fuqiang@126.com (F. Chu), d.wen@buaa.edu.cn (D. Wen)

## Abstract

The phenomenon of coalescence-induced droplet jumping on superhydrophobic surfaces has a wide range of applications in the fields of chemical engineering, such as surface self-cleaning, heat transfer enhancement and anti-icing. Previous experimental and numerical studies mainly focused on the coalescence of static droplets with varying droplet properties and substrate structures. However, in practice, it is more common to see a moving droplet hit a stationary one, which leads to a coalesced droplet jumping from the surface. To explore the effect of initial velocity on the jumping behavior of coalesced droplet, we performed simulations using the volume of fluid method with a dynamic contact angle model, and validated the simulation results against our experiments. We analyzed the morphology evolutions, velocity variations and energy conversion rates during the jumping process. The results show that the initial velocity of the moving droplet accelerates the droplet deformation during jumping, resulting in a unique departure feature. Droplet departs at different stages under different initial velocities, and the droplet departure velocity in the vertical direction is approximately constant at the first stage and then increases with increasing initial velocity. Unlike the vertical departure velocity, the horizontal departure velocity is proportional to the initial velocity. The initial velocity of the moving droplet also affects the energy conversion during the droplet jumping greatly, especially for the conversion from the total driving energy to the vertical jumping kinetic energy. This work shall bring new insights into the droplet jumping regulation and promote the application of droplet jumping in related fields.

Keywords: droplet jumping; initial velocity; morphology; departure velocity; energy conversion rate



## **Graphical Abstract**

# **1. Introduction**

Owing to its great water repellency, a superhydrophobic surface has various applications in chemical engineering fields such as self-cleaning and anti-corrosion [1, 2]. Inspired by nature, many fabrication methods have

been developed over the past few years, including chemical etching method, electrostatic spinning method and sol-gel method [3]. In 2009, Boreyko and Chen first observed a unique droplet jumping phenomenon induced by the coalescence of condensed droplets on superhydrophobic surfaces [4], and following that, many experimental investigations [5-10] and numerical simulations [11-17] have been performed. Since the droplet jumping is a spontaneous behavior which means no external energy is required, it further broadens the application range of the superhydrophobic surface, such as hotspot cooling, heat transfer enhancement, anti-icing and defrosting [18-26].

Coalescence-induced droplets jumping is triggered by the surface energy released during the merging process, and the dynamics of the coalescent droplet is governed by an equilibrium balance of surface energy, kinetic energy, and the viscous dissipation [15, 27]. In actual situation, the viscous dissipation inside the droplet, as well as the work of adhesion between the droplet and the surface, blocks the movement of coalescent droplet which causes only a small part of excess surface energy converting into jumping kinetic energy [11]. Jumping velocity is an important parameter that represents dynamic characteristics in the process. Lecointre *et al.* and Mouterde *et al.* gave a modified equation for jumping velocity as [28, 29],

$$v_{\text{depar}} \approx \frac{u_{\text{ci}}}{4} [\alpha - 4Oh] \quad (1)$$

where  $u_{ci}$  is the capillary-inertia velocity, *i.e.*,  $u_{ci} = (\sigma/\rho_1 r_0)^{1/2}$ ,  $\sigma$  is water surface tension,  $\rho_1$  is water density,  $r_0$  is the droplet radius; *Oh* is the Ohnesorge number that can be written as  $Oh = \eta_1/(\rho_1 \sigma r_0)^{1/2}$ ,  $\eta_1$  is the viscosity of water;  $\alpha$  is a numerical coefficient close to unity at large surface contact angle; and  $v_{depar}$  is the velocity at which the droplet leaves the surface.

In addition to the above studies, many influencing factors on the jumping phenomenon have been investigated such as the droplet itself and surface properties, leading to different droplet morphology, jumping velocity, and energy conversion characteristics. For coalescence-induced jumping of two equal-sized droplets, Cheng *et al.* [15, 16] developed 2D and 3D Lattice Boltzmann methods (LBM) and established a relationship between droplet jumping velocity and droplet radius. Liu *et al.* [11, 30] investigated the mechanism of self-propelled jumping on a non-wetting surface by both experimental and numerical methods. The whole process was divided into four stages and the trend of jumping velocity under different *Oh* numbers was analyzed. Nam *et al.* [31] reported changes in various energies for droplet jumping with a full 3D numerical model supported by experiment results. Their results showed that half of the released surface energy could transfer into the kinetic energy before droplet departure. Based on the results about equal size droplets, research on droplets with different radii ratios is also constantly evolving. Mouterde *et al.* [28] investigated the jumping velocity both symmetric and asymmetric coalescence in experiments, proposing that the jumping velocity of asymmetric coalescence depended on the smaller droplets. Exploiting that

finding, the takeoff velocity was predicted quantitatively in the case of ignoring adhesion. Wang *et al.* [32] focused on the effects of the initial droplet size radio. For the size range of the larger droplet is between 1  $\mu$ m to 100  $\mu$ m, droplet jumping does not occur when the radius ratio is less than 0.56. Wasserfall *et al.* [33] investigated the effects of droplet radio, viscosity and contact angle on the jumping process induced by unequal-sized droplet merging. Multidroplet-coalescence induced jumping has also been studied. Chu *et al.* [34] studied the influences about the number of droplets and the arrangement of three droplets. The variation tendency of surface energy and velocity under different conditions as well as the energy conversion rate were obtained. Wang *et al.* [35] studied the jumping of three droplets, and set two arrangements: (i) three droplets in contact with each other in initial, and (ii) two droplets are in contact initially and are in contact with the third droplet during the merging process.

Besides these discussions about droplet size and number, surface property is also an important factor affecting the jumping behavior. Cheng *et al.* [16] used a 3D Lattice Boltzmann method to investigate the effects of textured surface, and proposed that there was an optimum spacing of the microstructures for the maximum jumping velocity. Attarzadeh *et al.* [36] used the volume of fluid (VOF) method to simulate the effects of heterogeneous surface on this phenomenon and showed that the heterogeneous surface increased the adhesion of the droplets and reduced the jumping velocity and jumping height. Designing special structures to enhance jumping is also a hot topic in this field. Both Wang *et al.* [37] and Vahabi *et al.* [38] set ridges between two droplets on a smooth superhydrophobic surface, and concluded that the droplet jumping height as well as the energy conversion rate increased significantly comparing with jumping on a superhydrophobic surface without a ridge. Li *et al.* [39] developed a new theoretical model for two unequal-sized droplets coalescence on hydrophobic fibers, and revealed the effects of fiber radius and contact angle on the droplet jumping.

It shall be noted that all these studies were about static droplets. As for moving droplets, Liu *et al.* [30] and Chen *et al.* [13] studied a process of two-droplet-coalescence-jumping with the same approaching velocity, and showed that the takeoff speed remained constant when the approach velocities were low, but the takeoff speed increased significantly after the velocity greater than 1 m/s. However, in the process of condensation, it is more common to see a moving droplet impact on another stationary droplet at a certain initial velocity, resulting in a jumping phenomenon from the surface. It appears that controlling the initial velocity of moving droplets could be used to regulate the movement of droplets. In this work, detailed droplet jumping phenomenon induced by the coalescence of a moving droplet and a static one is studied numerically by the VOF method to understand the effects of the initial velocity of the moving droplet, which could be of fundamental interest to a number of applications.

# 2. Methodology

#### 2.1 Numerical model

In this work, we employed interFoam solver in Open Source Field Operation and Manipulation (OpenFOAM) C++ libraries [40] to perform numerical simulations. This solver assigns a volume fraction ( $\varphi$ ) in each computation cell to trace the interface [41-43], the volume fraction ( $\varphi$ ) has a value range of 0-1 ( $\varphi$ =0 represents the gas phase,  $\varphi$ =1 represents the liquid phase, and 0< $\varphi$ <1 corresponds to the interface area). The fluid properties, such as the weighted-average density and viscosity in each cell can be expressed as,

$$\rho = \varphi \rho_{\rm l} + (1 - \varphi) \rho_{\rm g} \quad (2)$$

$$\eta = \varphi \eta_1 + (1 - \varphi) \eta_g \quad (3)$$

The governing equations within interFoam solver for the continuity and momentum equations are shown as following.

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \left( \rho \times U \right) = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho U) + \nabla (\rho U \otimes U) = \nabla \tau - \nabla p + f \quad (5)$$

where U is the velocity vector, t is the time, p is the pressure,  $\tau$  is the viscous stress tensor. f is the surface tension force and can be calculated following the continuum surface force (CSF) model,

$$f = \sigma \kappa \nabla \varphi$$
 (6)

where  $\sigma$  is the surface tension and  $\kappa$  is the mean curvature of the free surface,

$$\boldsymbol{\kappa} = -\nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\nabla \varphi}{|\nabla \varphi|} \right) \quad (7)$$

For continuous two-phase flow with constant densities, the volume fraction  $\varphi$  satisfies the advection equation which can be expressed as,

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + \nabla \left( \varphi \otimes U \right) = 0 \quad (8)$$

The dynamic contact angle is also considered with the Kistler's model selected in this simulation [44]. The algorithm will be used for every time step, the formulas are shown in the below.

$$\theta_{\rm d} = f_{\rm H} \left( Ca + f_{\rm H}^{-1} \left( \theta_{\rm e} \right) \right)$$
(9)

where  $f_{\rm H}^{-1}$  is the inverse function of the "Hoffman's" empirical function, the equation is shown below.

$$f_{\rm H} = \arccos\left\{1 - 2\tanh\left[5.16\left[\frac{x}{1 + 1.31x^{0.99}}\right]^{0.706}\right]\right\}$$
(10)

$$Ca = \frac{\eta v_{\rm cl}}{\sigma} \quad (11)$$

where  $v_{cl}$  is the velocity of contact line.

In the equation, the dynamic contact angle is related to the velocity of contact line [45, 46]. We modified the interFoam solver that added the dynamic contact angle as a boundary condition into it [47]. During the simulation, the value of advancing contact angle and receding contact angle are set according to the surface properties.

#### 2.2 Computational domain and dimensionless analysis

Figure 1(a) is the schematic of the droplet jumping induced by coalescence of a moving droplet and a static one that we investigated in this work. Left droplet with an initial velocity moves to the right droplet then jumps together in the oblique direction. Figure 1(b) is the 3D simulation model of the process. To save computation resources, we placed the two droplets contact with each other in the beginning and set a determined velocity on the left droplet to investigate the influences of the initial velocity. In Fig. 1(b), the size of computation domain is 1.5 mm × 1.5 mm × 1.5 mm, and the radius of droplet is 150 µm. The bottom surface is set as a no-slip wall with the other boundaries as pressure outlet boundaries [11, 14, 34]. The distance between droplets and the surrounding boundary is large enough which ensures the pressure boundaries have a minimal effect for the process. The equilibrium contact angle of the bottom surface,  $\theta$ , is 160° with an advancing contact angle,  $\theta_A$ , of 165° and a receding contact angle,  $\theta_R$ , of 155°. In this simulation, we chose the physical properties of the water droplet and air at 20°C (shown in Table 1) to calculate the fluid properties.

$\sigma$ (N/m)	$\rho_1 (\text{kg/m}^3)$	$\eta_1$ (Pa·s)	$\rho_{\rm g} (\rm kg/m^3)$	$\eta_{\rm g} ({\rm Pa}{\cdot}{\rm s})$
0.07275	998	0.001005	1.293	1.8×10 <sup>-5</sup>

Table 1. Physical properties of water and air at 20°C

For the case that the initial droplet velocity is 0.5 m/s, we set up four kinds of meshes, whose densities are  $100 \times 100 \times 100$ ,  $150 \times 150 \times 150$ ,  $200 \times 200 \times 200$ , and  $250 \times 250 \times 250$ , to do the grid independence verification. Every time we increased the mesh density, we compared the relative changes of the vertical velocity curves ( $u_{jump}$ ) before the droplet departure. The results show that, when the mesh density is increased from  $100 \times 100 \times 100 \times 100 \times 100$  to  $150 \times 150 \times 150$ , the vertical velocity changes 14.2%, while the changes are 3.8% and 3.7% when the mesh densities are increased from  $150 \times 150 \times 150 \times 150$  to  $200 \times 200 \times 200$  and from  $200 \times 200 \times 200 \times 250 \times 250$ , respectively. Thus, to save computing resources while ensuring computational accuracy, we chose the  $150 \times 150 \times 150 \times 150$  mesh for calculation.



Fig. 1. (a) Schematic diagram of droplet jumping induced by coalescence of a moving droplet and a static one.The left droplet has a velocity which moves toward the stationary droplet and then they jump together after merge on the superhydrophobic surface. (b) 3D model of the simulation. At initial time, two droplets contact with each other. We set an initial velocity on the left droplet.

Since the left droplet is exerted with velocity, we focused on the vertical velocity  $u_{jump}$  and the horizontal velocity  $u_x$  throughout the jumping process, where the two velocities are calculated as the mass-average velocity.

$$u_{jump} = \frac{\int_{V} \rho_{l} w dV}{\int_{V} \rho_{l} dV} \quad (12)$$
$$u_{x} = \frac{\int_{V} \rho_{l} u dV}{\int_{V} \rho_{l} dV} \quad (13)$$

where *V* is the computation domain, *u* is the velocity in x-axis, *v* is the velocity in y-axis and *w* is the velocity in z-axis for a unit mesh respectively. The characteristic time scale is  $\tau_{ci} = (\rho_1 r_0^3 / \sigma)^{1/2}$ . Based on  $u_{ci}$  and  $\tau_{ci}$ , all velocities and time were non-dimensionalized as shown in Table 2.

Tuble 2. The dimensionless parameters				
Non-dimensional	Non-dimensional	Non-dimensional		
jumping velocity	X-axis velocity	time scale		
$u_{jump}^* = rac{u_{jump}}{u_{ci}}$	$u_{\rm x}^* = \frac{u_{\rm x}}{u_{\rm ci}}$	$t^* = \frac{t}{\tau_{\rm ci}}$		

Table 2. The dimensionless parameters

During the merging of the droplets on the superhydrophobic surface, excess surface energy is converted into kinetic energy, which allows the droplet jumping from the surface. In this process, the conversion rate is very low (less than 6%) because the excess surface energy is mostly dissipated by viscosity according to previous researches [11, 30, 34]. It should be noted that, in all previous studies, the excess surface energy is the only driving energy. In this work, we applied an initial velocity on the left droplet, which means both initial kinetic energy and excess

surface energy have an impact on the jumping process. So, various energy changes and energy conversion rates during the jumping process are also concerned in this work.

# 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Numerical validation and morphological analysis

To validate our model, droplet jumping experiments were conducted. The experimental superhydrophobic surface, fabricated by the chemical deposition-etching method reported in our previous work [48], has an apparent contact angle of  $160.2\pm1.5^{\circ}$ . A micropipettor was used to locate two small droplets on the superhydrophobic surface. After that, we produced tiny air current with the help of a capillary tube, driving one droplet to move to another. Time-lapse images of the whole process were recorded by a high-speed camera.

Figure 2 shows the comparison of the droplet jumping process between the experimental result and the numerical one. In the experiments, the radius of left droplet is 736  $\mu$ m, the right is 758  $\mu$ m. Their ratio of radius is 0.97, so we can regard the droplets in the experiment as equal droplets. Five pictures before the droplet contact were selected and the average velocity during this period was calculated as the initial velocity of the moving droplet. The initial velocity of the left droplet in our experiment is 0.3 m/s. In the simulation, the initial velocity of the moving droplet is also set to 0.3 m/s.

As seen in Fig. 2, the variations of droplet morphologies from experiments and simulations correspond well which validates the correctness of our numerical method. At  $t^*=0$ , the moving droplet is in contact with the stationary droplet, then two droplets begin to merge. The merging process is accompanied with the expansion of the liquid bridge and the movement of the droplets. As shown in image at  $t^*=0.38$ , the liquid bridge is expanding. Since the left side droplet has an initial velocity, the shape of the liquid bridge is asymmetrical, and the deformation of the left part is faster ( $t^*=0.76$ ). At  $t^*=1.53$ , the liquid bridge completes the expansion, but the droplet still oscillates in the horizontal direction asymmetrically, resulting in an asymmetrical droplet shape ( $t^*=1.90$ ). Because the liquid bridge impinging on the substrate produces reaction force, the droplet moves upward and finally departs from substrate before  $t^*=3.81$ . After leaving the substrate, the droplet has both horizontal and vertical velocities, which indicates an oblique movement of the droplet.



Fig. 2 Comparison of the droplet jumping process between experimental results and numerical one. For each row, the upper pictures are experimental results and the lower are simulation results. The initial velocity of the left droplet in the experiment is 0.3 m/s and the initial velocity of the left droplet in the simulation is set to 0.3 m/s. The dimensionless time is marked under the pictures in each row.

We also compared two simulation results with and without initial velocities to discuss the effects of initial velocities on droplet morphology (shown in Fig. 5). The initial velocity of the upper case is 0 m/s, lower is 0.5 m/s. With the initial velocity input, the morphology evolution of the droplet is accelerated, and the degree of droplet asymmetry is increased. According to the simulation results, we demonstrated that the input of initial velocity accelerates liquid bridge expansion speed, leading the liquid bridge to impinge on the substrate earlier. In addition, as the initial velocity increases, the velocity of the coalescent droplet in the horizontal direction increases, which yields more displacement in horizontal direction. We will discuss more details about the velocity below.

#### 3.2 Velocity analysis

Figure 3(a) shows the relationship between dimensionless jumping velocity and dimensionless time in the jumping process. Curves obtained at different initial velocities are distinguished by different symbols. In details, there are mainly two differences between the results of each curve. First, as the initial velocity increases, the time spend on the liquid bridge expansion and droplet shrinkage phases is significantly reduced. Before the two

droplets are fully merged, the left droplet always has a relative velocity to the right, which accelerates the merging process. Second, the jumping velocity after droplet departure for each case is different. After the droplet leaves the surface, the curves of the first three results ( $V_0=0$  m/s, 0.1 m/s, and 0.3 m/s) are close. But when the initial velocity increases to 0.5 m/s, the jumping velocity is significantly higher than the other cases. To explain this phenomenon, we counted the droplet departure velocity in the vertical direction,  $V_{depar,z}^*=V_{depar,z}/u_{ci}$ , and the departure time,  $t_{depar}^*=t_{depar}/\tau_{ci}$ , as shown in Fig. 3(b).



Fig.3 (a) Relationship between dimensionless jumping velocity and dimensionless time. The figure shows the tendency of the jumping speed under four different initial velocities: 0 m/s, 0.1 m/s, 0.3 m/s, 0.5 m/s, respectively. (b) Relationship between vertical departure velocity and departure time with respect to various initial velocities.

Figure 3(b) shows the relationship between vertical departure velocity and departure time with respect to various initial velocities. In the beginning, when the initial velocity is little, the vertical departure velocity in each case is very close. But when the initial velocity increases above 0.35 m/s, the vertical departure velocity begins to increase obviously. The change trend of the departure time is similar with that of the vertical departure velocity. If considering the relationship between the vertical departure velocity and the departure time, we can conclude that the two parameters are highly related. Close departure time produces close vertical departure velocity, and if the departure time is shortened, the vertical departure velocity increases.

In order to explain the variation tendency of the vertical departure velocity, we extracted the horizontal length of the droplet during the jumping process and defined the dimensionless length as  $L^*$ ,  $L^*=L/L_0$ . Figure 4 shows the relationship between the dimensionless length and the dimensionless time. We chose three initial velocities, 0 m/s, 0.3 m/s, and 0.5 m/s as examples. From the results, the changing trend of the droplet length in the horizontal direction is similar regardless of the initial velocity. So, we explained the change of droplet length by taking  $V_0$ =0.5 m/s as an example and divided the whole jumping process into four stages according to the curve changing in Fig. 4. For easy understanding, we also provided the droplet morphology evolutions at each stage, as shown in Fig. 5. In stage a, droplets begin to merge, and the dimensionless length continues to reduce until the liquid bridge touches the substrate. Then, the droplet has a rapid expansion and the length increases. The second stage starts at  $t^*=1.02$  when the droplet begins to shrink. The dimensionless length of the droplet decreases rapidly and until it reaches a local minimum at  $t^*=1.86$ . Then, stage c (from  $t^*=1.86$  to 2.60) starts, during which the droplet oscillates slightly (first expands and then shrinks). We further divided the stage c into two parts, *i.e.* stage ci (expanding) and stage cii (shrinking). The last part is stage d, when the droplet experiences a relative long-time expansion before next round oscillation in air. The stage demarcations are similar when the initial velocities are 0 m/s and 0.3 m/s, except that the demarcation times between stages are different.

We marked the departure points for the three initial velocities in Fig. 4. As seen, the departure point is in stage ci when the initial velocity is 0.5 m/s, while for the other two cases ( $V_0=0$  m/s and 0.3 m/s) the departure points are in stage d. Figure 5 also shows these results visually. From the above discussion, we have shown that the increasing in the initial velocity can accelerate the deformation of the droplet, so that the liquid bridge has a faster expansion velocity with a larger reaction force obtained from the substrate. But if the initial velocity is not large enough to help the droplet depart from the surface during expansion in stage ci, the droplet will enter stage cii and shrink again, and finally departs from the surface during the next expansion in stage d. Based on the simulation results, those droplets which can't depart in the stage ci finally depart from the surface in stage d. Departure at different stages result in different viscous dissipation. The earlier the departure time, the less the viscous dissipation before droplet departure, and the larger the vertical departure velocity.



Fig. 4 Relationship between dimensionless horizontal length of droplets and dimensionless time under different initial velocities. The departure points for each case have marked on the figure.



Fig. 5 Time-lapse images of the simulated droplet jumping. The initial velocity at the upper row is 0 m/s, the lower is 0.5 m/s, and the dimensionless time is marked under each row. Four stages are divided in the figure and distinguished in different background colors.

Figure 6(a) shows the droplet horizontal velocity variations during jumping with time. We selected three cases with different initial velocities to explain the variation of horizontal velocity. Three curves have the same trend. When the droplets begin to contact, there is a sharply drop in horizontal velocity. Subsequence, as the merging droplet oscillates on the substrate, the horizontal velocity of the droplet is fluctuant decreasing. After the droplet departs from the surface, the horizontal velocity decreases approximately linear. We also extracted the departure velocity in the horizontal direction denoting as  $V_{depar,x}$ , and showed the tendency under different initial velocities in Fig. 6(b). Unlike the vertical departure velocity, the horizontal departure velocity is not affected by the departure stage. It is proportional to the initial velocity with a relation of  $V_{depar,x}=0.4V_0$ .



Fig. 6 (a) Variations of dimensionless horizontal velocity with time during jumping under different initial velocities. (b) Relationship between horizontal departure velocity and initial velocity of the moving droplet.

#### 3.3 Energy analysis

When two static droplets merge into a large droplet, the surface area decreases which results in the decline of the surface energy. Part of the reduced surface energy is converted into jumping kinetic energy, which allows the droplet to jump out from the surface. However, the conversion rate is very low, most of the energy is dissipated by the viscosity. According to previous researches, the conversion rate of the surface energy to the jumping kinetic energy is less than 6% [11, 34]. For the droplet jumping induced by the coalescence of a moving droplet and a static one, in addition to the excess surface energy, the initial kinetic energy of the moving droplet also acts as the driving energy. Therefore, considering the initial moment and the droplet departure moment, the energy conservation satisfies,

$$\Delta E_{\text{surf}} + E_{\text{k,ini}} = E_{\text{vis}} + E_{\text{k,deparx}} + E_{\text{k,deparz}} \quad (14)$$

where  $\Delta E_{\text{surf}}$  is the excess surface energy at the droplet departure moment,  $E_{\text{k,ini}}$  is the initial kinetic energy of the moving droplet;  $E_{\text{vis}}$  is the total viscous dissipation from the initial to the droplet departure,  $E_{\text{k,deparx}}$  is the jumping kinetic energy in the horizontal direction and  $E_{\text{k,deparz}}$  is the jumping kinetic energy in the vertical direction at the droplet departure moment. Figure 7(a) shows these energy changes under different initial velocities of the moving droplet. As the initial velocity increases,  $\Delta E_{\text{surf}}$  is first constant and then decreases, while  $E_{\text{k,deparz}}$  is constant at first and then has a slightly increase. The turning point of the two curves is the same, around 0.35 m/s. Through the above discussion on the jumping velocity, we have demonstrated that when the initial velocity increases above 0.35 m/s, the droplet departs from the surface at stage ci instead of stage d with a shortened departure time and an increased departure velocity ( $V_{\text{depar,z}}$ ), resulting in a decreased  $\Delta E_{\text{surf}}$  and increased  $E_{\text{k,deparz}}$ . Since the departure velocity in the horizontal direction is proportional to the initial velocity (Fig. 6(b)),  $E_{\text{k,deparx}}$  is not affected by the departure stage and the departure time, and always increases quadratically with the increase of the initial velocity.

To analyze the energy conversion rate during jumping induced by the coalescence of a moving droplet and a static one, the total energy conversion rate ( $\varepsilon_{total}$ ), which indicates the ratio of the total jumping kinetic energy to the total driving energy including the excess surface energy and the initial kinetic energy of the moving droplet, is defined by Eq. (15). The energy conversion rates from the total driving energy to the horizontal and vertical jumping kinetic energy are also defined as  $\varepsilon_x$  and  $\varepsilon_z$  by Eq. (16) and Eq. (17), respectively.

$$\varepsilon_{\text{total}} = \frac{E_{\text{k,deparx}} + E_{\text{k,deparz}}}{\Delta E_{\text{surf}} + E_{\text{k,ini}}} \quad (15)$$

$$\varepsilon_{x} = \frac{E_{k, depar}}{\Delta E_{sur} + E_{k}} \quad (16)$$

$$\varepsilon_{z} = \frac{E_{k,deparz}}{\Delta E_{surf} + E_{k,ini}} \quad (17)$$

Figure 7(b) shows these energy conversion rates at different initial velocities. As the initial velocity increases,  $\varepsilon_{\text{total}}$  increases monotonously though the increasing rate is not constant. When the initial velocity increases above 0.5 m/s,  $\varepsilon_{\text{total}}$  even exceeds 20%. This is mainly owing to the increase of  $E_{\text{k,deparx}}$  while the contribution of  $E_{\text{k,deparz}}$  is not so much. Actually, when the initial velocity of the moving droplet is 0.5 m/s, the Weber number

 $(We=\rho_1V_0^2 d_0/\sigma)$ , measuring the relative importance of the fluid inertia compared to its surface tension) gets close to one, indicating the effect of inertia is comparable to the surface tension. If the initial velocity continues to increase, the effect of inertia will exceed the surface tension gradually during the droplet jumping induced by the coalescence of a moving droplet and a static one. Figure 7(a) shows the evidences, when the initial velocity is larger than 0.5 m/s, as the initial velocity further increases,  $E_{k,ini}$  exceeds  $\Delta E_{surf}$ , and  $E_{k,deparx}$  exceeds  $E_{k,deparz}$ .

The variation of  $\varepsilon_z$  is more complicated. As the initial velocity increases,  $\varepsilon_z$  first decreases slightly, because  $E_{k,deparz}$  and  $\Delta E_{surf}$  are almost constant in this period but  $E_{k,ini}$  increases. Then  $\varepsilon_z$  has a rapid increase when the initial velocity is larger than 0.35 m/s mainly due to the increase of  $E_{k,deparz}$ . The maximum value of  $\varepsilon_z$ , which appears at an initial velocity of 0.5 m/s, is about 6.7%. After that,  $\varepsilon_z$  decreases again because the inertia effect becomes more and more important and the horizontal movement of the coalesced droplet dominates.



Fig. 7 Variations in (a) various energies and (b) energy conversion rates with different initial velocities at the droplet departure moment.

# 4. Conclusions

In summary, we used the VOF method with a dynamic contact angle model to investigate the droplet jumping phenomenon induced by the coalescence of a static droplet and a moving one with different initial velocities. The simulation results showed good agreement with the experimental results. We analyzed the droplet morphology evolution, velocity variation and energy conversion rate during the jumping process, and revealed the differences to that of static droplets. The main conclusions are summarized in below.

(i) The droplet morphology evolution during jumping induced by the coalescence of a moving droplet and a static one is similar to that of static droplets, except that the initial velocity of the moving droplet promotes the liquid bridge expansion, and accelerates the droplet deformation, leading to the droplet shape asymmetry.

(ii) For the droplet jumping induced by the coalescence of a moving droplet and a static one, the vertical departure velocity is similar at small initial velocity of the moving droplet. With further increasing of the initial

velocity, *i.e.*, >0.35 m/s, the droplet vertical departure velocity becomes significantly larger due to the departure at an earlier stage of the morphology evolution (stage ci). In the horizontal direction, the departure velocity is proportional to the initial velocity as  $V_{\text{depar},x}=0.4V_0$ .

(iii) The initial velocity of the moving droplet affects the energy conversion before droplet departure greatly, especially for the conversion rate from the total driving energy to the vertical jumping kinetic energy ( $\varepsilon_z$ ). As the initial velocity increases,  $\varepsilon_z$  first decreases and then has a rapid increase when the initial velocity is larger than 0.35 m/s. When the initial velocity is about 0.5 m/s,  $\varepsilon_z$  reaches a maximum value of 6.7% and then decreases again.

# Acknowledgement

This work is supported by the National Postdoctoral Program for Innovative Talents (No. BX20180024), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2019M650444), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11772034).

# **Conflict of interest statement**

We declare no any interest conflict.

## References

[1] C.-L. Xu, F. Song, X.-L. Wang, Y.-Z. Wang, Surface modification with hierarchical CuO arrays toward a flexible, durable superhydrophobic and self-cleaning material, Chem. Eng. J., 313 (2017) 1328-1334.

[2] D.-W. Li, H.-Y. Wang, Y. Liu, D.-S. Wei, Z.-X. Zhao, Large-scale fabrication of durable and robust super-hydrophobic spray coatings with excellent repairable and anti-corrosion performance, Chem. Eng. J., 367 (2019) 169-179.

[3] N.J. Shirtcliffe, G. McHale, S. Atherton, M.I. Newton, An introduction to superhydrophobicity, Adv. Colloid Interface Sci., 161 (1-2) (2010) 124-138.

[4] J.B. Boreyko, C.-H. Chen, Self-propelled dropwise condensate on superhydrophobic surfaces, Phys. Rev. Lett., 103 (18) (2009) 184501.

[5] G.S. Watson, M. Gellender, J.A. Watson, Self-propulsion of dew drops on lotus leaves: a potential mechanism for self cleaning, Biofouling, 30 (4) (2014) 427-434.

[6] X. Yan, L. Zhang, S. Sett, L. Feng, C. Zhao, Z. Huang, H. Vahabi, A.K. Kota, F. Chen, N. Miljkovic, Droplet Jumping: Effects of droplet size, surface structure, pinning, and liquid properties, ACS Nano, 13 (2) (2019) 1309-1323.

[7] M.D. Mulroe, B.R. Srijanto, S.F. Ahmadi, C.P. Collier, J.B. Boreyko, Tuning superhydrophobic nanostructures to

enhance jumping-droplet condensation, ACS nano, 11 (8) (2017) 8499-8510.

[8] H. Vahabi, W. Wang, S. Davies, J.M. Mabry, A.K. Kota, Coalescence-induced self-propulsion of droplets on superomniphobic surfaces, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 9 (34) (2017) 29328-29336.

[9] F. Chu, X. Wu, B. Zhu, X. Zhang, Self-propelled droplet behavior during condensation on superhydrophobic surfaces, Appl. Phys. Lett., 108 (19) (2016) 194103.

[10] H. Cha, C.Y. Xu, J. Sotelo, J.M. Chun, Y. Yokoyama, R. Enright, N. Miljkovic, Coalescence-induced nanodroplet jumping, Phys. Rev. Fluids, 1 (6) (2016) 064102.

[11] F.J. Liu, G. Ghigliotti, J.J. Feng, C.H. Chen, Numerical simulations of self-propelled jumping upon drop coalescence on non-wetting surfaces, J. Fluid Mech., 752 (2014) 39-65.

[12] Y.P. Cheng, J.L. Xu, Y. Sui, Numerical investigation of coalescence-induced droplet jumping on superhydrophobic surfaces for efficient dropwise condensation heat transfer, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 95 (2016) 506-516.

[13] Y. Chen, Y. Lian, Numerical investigation of coalescence-induced self-propelled behavior of droplets on non-wetting surfaces, Phys. Fluids, 30 (11) (2018) 112102.

[14] Z. Yuan, R. Wu, X. Wu, Numerical simulations of multi-hop jumping on superhydrophobic surfaces, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 135 (2019) 345-353.

[15] X. Liu, P. Cheng, X. Quan, Lattice Boltzmann simulations for self-propelled jumping of droplets after coalescence on a superhydrophobic surface, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 73 (2014) 195-200.

[16] X. Liu, P. Cheng, 3D multiphase lattice Boltzmann simulations for morphological effects on self-propelled jumping of droplets on textured superhydrophobic surfaces, Int. Commun. Heat and Mass Transfer, 64 (2015) 7-13.

[17] R. Enright, N. Miljkovic, J. Sprittles, K. Nolan, R. Mitchell, E.N. Wang, How coalescing droplets jump, ACS nano, 8 (10) (2014) 10352-10362.

[18] J.B. Boreyko, Y. Zhao, C.-H. Chen, Planar jumping-drop thermal diodes, Appl. Phys. Lett., 99 (23) (2011) 234105.

[19] K.F. Wiedenheft, H.A. Guo, X.P. Qu, J.B. Boreyko, F.J. Liu, K.G. Zhang, F. Eid, A. Choudhury, Z.H. Li, C.-H. Chen, Hotspot cooling with jumping-drop vapor chambers, Appl. Phys. Lett., 110 (14) (2017) 141601.

[20] C. Dietz, K. Rykaczewski, A.G. Fedorov, Y. Joshi, Visualization of droplet departure on a superhydrophobic

surface and implications to heat transfer enhancement during dropwise condensation, Appl. Phys. Lett., 97 (3) (2010).

[21] N. Miljkovic, R. Enright, Y. Nam, K. Lopez, N. Dou, J. Sack, E.N. Wang, Jumping-droplet-enhanced condensation on scalable superhydrophobic nanostructured surfaces, Nano Lett., 13 (1) (2013) 179-187.

[22] F. Chu, X. Wu, L. Wang, Meltwater evolution during defrosting on superhydrophobic surfaces, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 10 (1) (2018) 1415-1421.

[23] Q. Zhang, M. He, J. Chen, J. Wang, Y. Song, L. Jiang, Anti-icing surfaces based on enhanced self-propelled

jumping of condensed water microdroplets, Chem. Commun., 49 (40) (2013) 4516-4518.

[24] J.B. Boreyko, C.P. Collier, Delayed frost growth on jumping-drop superhydrophobic surfaces, ACS nano, 7 (2)(2013) 1618-1627.

[25] F. Chu, D. Wen, X. Wu, Frost self-removal mechanism during defrosting on vertical superhydrophobic surfaces: peeling off or jumping off, Langmuir, 34 (48) (2018) 14562-14569.

[26] Y. Shen, M. Jin, X. Wu, J. Tao, X. Luo, H. Chen, Y. Lu, Y. Xie, Understanding the frosting and defrosting mechanism on the superhydrophobic surfaces with hierarchical structures for enhancing anti-frosting performance, Appl. Therm. Eng., 156 (2019) 111-118.

[27] F.-C. Wang, F. Yang, Y.-P. Zhao, Size effect on the coalescence-induced self-propelled droplet, Appl. Phys. Lett., 98(5) (2011) 053112.

[28] T. Mouterde, T.-V. Nguyen, H. Takahashi, C. Clanet, I. Shimoyama, D. Quéré, How merging droplets jump off a superhydrophobic surface: Measurements and model, Phys. Rev. Fluids, 2 (11) (2017) 112001.

[29] P. Lecointre, T. Mouterde, A. Checco, C.T. Black, A. Rahman, C. Clanet, D. Quéré, Ballistics of self-jumping microdroplets, Phys. Rev. Fluids, 4 (1) (2019) 013601.

[30] F. Liu, G. Ghigliotti, J.J. Feng, C.-H. Chen, Self-propelled jumping upon drop coalescence on Leidenfrost surfaces,J. Fluid Mech., 752 (2014) 22-38.

[31] Y. Nam, H. Kim, S. Shin, Energy and hydrodynamic analyses of coalescence-induced jumping droplets, Appl. Phys. Lett., 103 (16) (2013) 161601.

[32] K. Wang, R.X. Li, Q.Q. Liang, R. Jiang, Y. Zheng, Z. Lan, X.H. Ma, Critical size ratio for coalescence-induced droplet jumping on superhydrophobic surfaces, Appl. Phys. Lett., 111 (6) (2017) 061603.

[33] J. Wasserfall, P. Figueiredo, R. Kneer, W. Rohlfs, P. Pischke, Coalescence-induced droplet jumping on superhydrophobic surfaces: Effects of droplet mismatch, Phys. Rev. Fluids, 2 (12) (2017) 123601.

[34] F. Chu, Z. Yuan, X. Zhang, X. Wu, Energy analysis of droplet jumping induced by multi-droplet coalescence: The influences of droplet number and droplet location, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 121 (2018) 315-320.

[35] K. Wang, Q.Q. Liang, R. Jiang, Y. Zheng, Z. Lan, X.H. Ma, Numerical simulation of coalescence-induced jumping of multidroplets on superhydrophobic surfaces: initial droplet arrangement effect, Langmuir, 33 (25) (2017) 6258-6268.

[36] R. Attarzadeh, A. Dolatabadi, Coalescence-induced jumping of micro-droplets on heterogeneous superhydrophobic surfaces, Phys. Fluids, 29 (1) (2017) 012104.

[37] K. Wang, Q. Liang, R. Jiang, Y. Zheng, Z. Lan, X. Ma, Self-enhancement of droplet jumping velocity: the interaction of liquid bridge and surface texture, RSC Adv., 6 (101) (2016) 99314-99321.

[38] H. Vahabi, W. Wang, J.M. Mabry, A.K. Kota, Coalescence-induced jumping of droplets on superomniphobic

surfaces with macrotexture, Sci. Adv., 4 (11) (2018) euaa3488.

[39] B. Li, F. Xin, G. Zhu, W. Tan, Asymmetric coalescence-induced droplet jumping on hydrophobic fibers, Chem. Eng. Sci., 201 (2019) 298-308.

[40] H.G. Weller, G. Tabor, H. Jasak, C. Fureby, A tensorial approach to computational continuum mechanics using object-oriented techniques, Comput. in Phys., 12 (6) (1998) 620-631.

[41] J. Zhang, M.K. Borg, J.M. Reese, Multiscale simulation of dynamic wetting, Int. J. Heat Mass Tranfer, 115 (2017) 886-896.

[42] M. Renardy, Y. Renardy, J. Li, Numerical Simulation of moving contact line problems using a volume-of-fluid method, J. Comput. Phys., 171 (1) (2001) 243-263.

[43] N. Nikolopoulos, A. Theodorakakos, G. Bergeles, A numerical investigation of the evaporation process of a liquid droplet impinging onto a hot substrate, Int. J. Heat Mass Tranfer, 50 (1-2) (2007) 303-319.

[44] S. F. Kistler, Hydrodynamics of wetting, in Wettability, edited by J. C. Berg (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1993),311.

[45] I.V. Roisman, L. Opfer, C. Tropea, M. Raessi, J. Mostaghimi, S. Chandra, Drop impact onto a dry surface: Role of the dynamic contact angle, Colloids Surf., A, 322 (1-3) (2008) 183-191.

[46] I. Malgarinos, N. Nikolopoulos, M. Marengo, C. Antonini, M. Gavaises, VOF simulations of the contact angle dynamics during the drop spreading: standard models and a new wetting force model, Adv. Colloid Interface Sci., 212 (2014) 1-20.

[47] S. Afkhami, S. Zaleski, M. Bussmann, A mesh-dependent model for applying dynamic contact angles to VOF simulations, J. Comput. Phys., 228 (15) (2009) 5370-5389.

[48] F. Chu, X. Wu, Fabrication and condensation characteristics of metallic superhydrophobic surface with hierarchical micro-nano structures, Appl. Surf. Sci., 371 (2016) 322-328.

## **Declaration of interests**

 $\boxtimes$  The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

□The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: