

Estimation of physical and physiological performances of blacklip pearl oyster larvae in view of DEB modeling and recruitment assessment

Nathanaël Sangare, Alain Lo-Yat, Gilles Le Moullac, Laure Pecquerie, Yoann Thomas, Benoit Beliaeff, Serge Andréfouët

► To cite this version:

Nathanaël Sangare, Alain Lo-Yat, Gilles Le Moullac, Laure Pecquerie, Yoann Thomas, et al.. Estimation of physical and physiological performances of blacklip pearl oyster larvae in view of DEB modeling and recruitment assessment. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 2019, 512, pp.42-50. 10.1016/j.jembe.2018.12.008. hal-02530665

HAL Id: hal-02530665 https://hal.science/hal-02530665

Submitted on 18 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Estimation of physical and physiological performances of blacklip pearl oyster larvae in view of DEB modeling and recruitment assessment

Sangare Nathanaël^{1,*}, Lo-Yat Alain¹, Le Moullac Gilles¹, Pecquerie Laure², Thomas Yoann², Beliaeff Benoît¹, Andréfouët Serge³

¹ Ifremer, UMR 241 Environnement Insulaire Océanien (EIO), Labex Corail, Centre du Pacifique, BP 49, Vairao 98719, French Polynesia

² Laboratoire des Sciences de l'Environnement Marin (LEMAR), UMR 6539 IRD/UBO/Ifremer/CNRS, BP70, 29280 Plouzané, France

³ UMR9220 ENTROPIE, IRD, Université de la Réunion, CNRS, B.P.A5, 98848 Nouméa, New Caledonia

* Corresponding author : Nathanaël Sangare, email address : nathanael.sangare@ifremer.fr

Abstract :

In French Polynesia black pearl farming represents one of the dominant business sectors. However, it still entirely relies on unpredictable Pinctada margaritifera spat collection success, which is itself conditioned by larval development completion. To assess the relationship between larval development and recruitment success, we studied under controlled conditions the effect of food concentration on development, growth, ingestion rate, survival and metabolic rate at the larval stage. Larvae were exposed to four different phytoplankton densities (2,5; 7,5; 15 and 30 cell.µL-1). Larvae survived equally all over the range of phytoplankton concentration with an average survival rate of 16% at the end of experiments. Food concentration significantly affected the larval physiology throughout its development from birth to metamorphosis. Growth and feeding were close to those reported by previous laboratory observations with young spat of 210 µm long obtained in 18 days of rearing at 28 °C for the highest food concentration. Differences in length at metamorphosis and cumulated energy ingested until settlement occurred according to trophic levels with a saturation threshold close to 0.0086 J.ind-1. This level was reached at the food concentration of 15 cell.µL-1. Larval development stages could be divided on the basis of the energy balance between feeding and respiration rates. An initial mixotrophic period with a lower and constant ingestion/respiration ratio over the first three days (from birth to Dveliger larva) was followed by an exotrophic phase characterized by a sharp increase in energy balance highly dependent of food concentration. Finally two sharp decreases of feeding rates were recorded during metamorphosis before umbonate and eyed stages. This study provided numerous new clues to establish a quasi-deterministic relationship between food condition and larval development. It highlights the major effect of food concentration and how energy intake through feeding as well as behavioral and physiological transitions can optimize larval development duration and minimize "the risky phase" of their life cycle. By taking into account the observed metabolic switches, the results provide a strong foundation for Dynamic Energy Budget model development and better description of the complex interactions between *P. margaritifera* physiology and environmental conditions.

Highlights

▶ Pinctada margaritifera larval phase is studied for DEB modeling. ▶ Food density highly impact physical traits of larvae such as age or size at settlement. ▶ Low food density is not lethal for pearl oyster larvae. ▶ Cumulated energy ingested until settlement differs according to trophic levels. ▶ Energetic balance reveals critical metabolic periods, especially during the metamorphosis phases.

Keywords : Bivalve larvae, Pinctada margaritifera, Physiology, Energetics, Dynamic energy budget theory, Pearl farming, Aquaculture

4/

48 **1. Introduction**

49

- 0

In marine species, questions regarding the origin of recruitment variability first 50 51 appeared for fish populations (Hiort, 1926) before it was extended by Thorson (1950) to all 52 species with a planktotrophic larval phase. The link between larval stage, recruitment and population dynamic is commonly described by the "supply-side ecology" hypothesis which 53 focus on the number of individuals surviving to recruit in habitats occupied by adults rather 54 55 than process causing death (Underwood and Fairweather, 1989; Grosberg and Levitan, 56 1992). Considered as a risky phase of the life cycle, the larval stage received a lot of attention. Very early on, Hjort (1926) already made the assumption of an excess of mortality 57 when larvae start to feed by themselves. Then, the so-called optimal window theory (Cury 58 59 and Roy, 1989) linked spawning output and recruitment success through larval development 60 and environmental conditions. This theory emphasized that "match-mismatch" between the 61 presence of trophic resource and period of larval development can explain recruitment 62 variations for bivalves species (Olson, 1989; Menge et al., 2009; Thomas et al., 2011). The 63 lack of a quasi-deterministic relationship between spawning and recruitment continues to 64 confound attempts to fully understand and predict population dynamics. In the case of 65 bivalve larvae, growth and survival are determined by complex interactions between their 66 physiology and the environmental conditions (Widdows, 1991; Hofmann et al., 2009) and 67 many studies have contributed to our understanding of how environmental variables 68 influence larval growth and development (Doroudi et al., 1999a; 2000; Rico-Villa et al., 2009). 69 Such studies were also instrumental in optimizing the hatcheries conditions for growth and 70 survival of bivalve larvae, for commercial cultivation.

In French Polynesia, pearl culture is based on the production of a single species: the black-lipped pearl oyster (*Pinctada margaritifera*, Linnaeus, 1758) (Andréfouët *et al.*, 2012). This activity has a major economic and social function since it employs about 1500 workers and represents the second income of the country right behind tourism (ISPF, 2016). The 75 supply of juvenile oysters to the farms is largely dependent on the natural collection of larvae on artificial substrates. This spat collection process takes place in 26 atolls and 4 islands (as 76 77 in 2017). For a given site, spat collection has proven to be very variable both spatially and 78 temporally, to the point that it may at time jeopardizes the steady supply of oysters to the 79 local demand. French Polynesian atoll lagoons have been described as stable and homogeneous environments due to the low variations of water column characteristics in 80 81 comparison to temperate semi-enclosed system (Charpy et al., 1997; Pouvreau et al., 2000a). However, at the intra-lagoon scale, fluctuations in temperature and food 82 concentration occur in the water column (Fournier et al., 2012; Pagano et al., 2017). This 83 spatial and temporal heterogeneity can impact larval development, survival and dispersal 84 85 and ultimately the success of spat capture (Moran and Manahan, 2004; O'Connor et al., 2007, Thomas et al., 2016). 86

Since bivalve growth is directly linked to its environment (Southgate and Lucas, 87 2011), energetic models have been developed the last two decades to determine the role of 88 biotic and abiotic parameters on growth (Hofmann et al., 2009; Pouvreau et al., 2006; Powell 89 et al., 2002). At the individual level, the Dynamic Energy Budget (DEB) theory (Kooijman, 90 2010) describes the processes of development, growth, maintenance, reproduction and 91 ageing for any kind of organism throughout its life cycle. A DEB model gives a representation 92 of the link between environment and physiological performances by describing the metabolic 93 rates, but it can also relate ecotoxicology to life traits for a given organism (Jager et al., 2010; 94 95 Jager and Zimmer, 2012). Such models were successfully applied to well-studied species such as Crassostrea gigas, an intensively cultivated bivalve (Rico-Villa et al., 2010). For P. 96 97 margaritifera, temperature and food concentrations are considered to be the primary 98 environmental factors affecting the oyster physiological processes (Southgate and Lucas, 2011), but their influence on the metabolic rates during development remain poorly 99 100 documented.

To assess how environment and P. margaritifera physiology could be linked, Fournier 101 102 (2011) and Thomas et al. (2011) built two different DEB models for larvae and adult stage 103 respectively, that were partially calibrated based on parameters from Crassostrea gigas. The 104 larval DEB model has already been used and coupled with an hydrodynamic model to 105 investigate recruitment variation in space and time in an atoll lagoon (Thomas et al., 2016). Sensitivity analyses pointed out the major effect of the broodstock population structure, the 106 larval mortality rate and inter-individual growth variability. However, to date, an integrative 107 model for the full life cycle is still missing. A possible reason for this lack of integration may 108 109 be that all available data thus far on this species have been collected independently for a 110 variety of topics (genetic, evolutionary or comparative physiology and experimental bioenergetics) that were not focused on informing a single model. To move forward, a DEB 111

model is currently in development to explicitly encapsulate all life stages into a single bioenergetic model, and further integrate broodstock population dynamic and larval performances (stage, mortality, fixation threshold) to the larval dispersal models initiated by (Thomas *et al.*, 2016).

The accuracy of a DEB model for any given target species depends on the availability 116 of a set of parameters specific to the modeled species. The "covariation method" developed 117 118 by Lika et al., (2011) provides specific sets of parameters which are estimated from standard empirical datasets. The method has formalized 10 qualitative levels of parameterization, 119 which are directly linked to the nature of the empirical datasets on which the accuracy of the 120 121 parameters will depend. As the literature now provides a wide range of relevant 122 datasets(Doroudi et al., 1999a; Doroudi et al., 1999b; Doroudi and Southgate, 2003a, 2000; Pouvreau et al., 2000a, 2000b; Pouvreau and Prasil, 2001), Pinctada margaritifera currently 123 ranks at the fourth completeness level which refers to fits of growth (curve), age, length and 124 weight at birth and puberty at several food levels. This apparently low level is nevertheless 125 an achievement as well studied species such as Magallana gigas also reach the same level 126 4. The highest levels are reached when fluxes and balances for energy and elements (C, H, 127 O and N) are characterized at several body sizes and food levels, but these fluxes remain 128 very difficult to measure. Progress on rearing methods have contributed to enhance the 129 knowledge of the parameters necessary for the bioenergetic models for various species. 130 Ultimately, performances at larval stages, mortality rates, and fixation thresholds according to 131 132 environmental conditions could be used to enhance larval dispersal models, however, specific ecophysiological data such as respiration rates remain rare for bivalve larvae 133 134 (Gerdes, 1983; Hamburger et al., 1983) and nonexistent for P. margaritifera larvae.

In this context, this study assesses the effects of food concentrations on development, growth, ingestion rate, survival and metabolic rate at the larval stage of *P. margaritifera*. The new experimental results ultimately provides specific data useful for the calibration of a DEB encompassing all life-stages of *P. margaritifera*. It also provides valuable information on the links between larval growth, mortality and fixation thresholds that are fundamental in the context of spat recruitment modeling.

141

142 **2. Material and Methods**

143

Experiments were conducted in hatchery at Ifremer facility (Vairao, Tahiti Island, French Polynesia) from January to early February 2018 during the austral summer. Larvae were reared from hatching to settlement over a range of four trophic levels.

147

148 2.1 Production of larvae

149

The spawning was obtained by thermal shock: seventy breeders (age: 6 years; mean 150 height ± SD: 140±10 mm) reared in the Vairao lagoon were progressively exposed from 26 to 151 18°C at 0.5°C per hour followed by a bath at 30°C. Four males and six females were 152 selected as genitors after microscopic observation of gametes morphology and sperm 153 154 motility. Fertilized eggs were stocked 24h in an aerated-seawater 500 L tank. Fertilization 155 and metamorphosis rates were measured then trochophore larvae were counted and measured using a coulter counter (Beckman Multisizer 3) before being distributed in twelve 156 157 18 L breeding sieves at a density of 60 larvae.mL⁻¹.

158

159 2.2 Experimental setup

160

161 The larval rearing structure was made up of 12 cylinders of 25 L (30 cm diameter, 35 162 cm height). Then, 40 μ m mesh screens were fitted to the cylinders bottom to prevent loss of 163 larvae. Each rearing sieve was placed in another larger cylinder (40 cm diameter, 40 cm 164 height), equipped with an output to allow water discharge (Figure 1). The flow-through 165 system was set at a renewal rate of 50%.h⁻¹ (220 mL.min⁻¹) of 1 μ m filtered and UV light 166 treated seawater. The water temperature was measured every hour with an accuracy of ± 0.1 167 °C using an iBcode 22L temperature sensor.

168

169 2.2.1 Food conditions

170

The 12 rearing sieves arranged in 4 rows corresponding to 4 trophic conditions reproduced in triplicate (Figure 1) were supplied continuously with a mixture of cultured algae in a 1:1 cells ratio of *Isochrysis lutea* (Ti \approx 30 µm³ volume diameter) and *Chaetoceros gracilis* (Cg \approx 60 µm³). The algae diets were mixed at 4 different phytoplankton concentrations: 112.5, 337.5, 675 and 1350 µm³.µl⁻¹ (\approx 2,5; 7,5; 15; 30 cell.µL⁻¹ TiCg equivalent diameter) corresponding hereafter to 4 trophic levels respectively named C1, C2, C3 and C4.

According to Fournier et al. (2012), chlorophyll-a concentration is an accurate proxy 177 to quantify the available food for pearl oysters. The cultured algae concentrations relative to 178 the trophic levels number C1 and C2 were calibrated from available in situ measurements of 179 average fluorescence carried out during the 2017 austral winter (C1) and summer (C2) in the 180 lagoon of Ahe atoll (Thomas et al., 2012, 2010). The trophic conditions C3 and C4 were 181 selected to follow the optimal breeding concentrations reported in the literature. According to 182 183 Doroudi and Southgate (2000), these concentrations represent the optimum ratio for larval rearing and development ratio for the first 8 days of larval phase. 184



Figure 1 Experimental design used to allow continuous algae supply at the four concentrations, in a flow through larval rearing system.

188

185

189 2.3 Quantification and analysis of larval development

190

The physical and physiological performances of the differently fed oysters were measured with similar time step until fixation for each trophic condition. One sample per tank was taken at days: 1; 3; 4; 5; 6; 8; 11; 13; 16; 18; 23; 27; 31 and 35.

Averages growth and mortality were obtained by measuring larval individual size (\pm 0.1 µm) and larval density. These measurements were carried out using the coulter counter and considering larvae as spherical item with 100 mL samples taken from each of the 12 rearing sieves after homogenization.

The average individual respiration rate was obtained for each trophic level from a 198 199 sample of 150 000 larvae. Individuals were sifted on a 40 µm mesh in order to eliminate microalgae and then collected in a hermetic DBO Winkler bottle of 300 mL. The 200 201 concentration of dissolved oxygen was measured with an optode (WTW inoLab® Multi 9310 IDS) and recorded every minute during 70 minutes. The individual hourly consumption was 202 203 computed from i) the decrease of dissolved oxygen concentration and ii) the count of larvae, 204 achieved with the coulter counter corrected by a coefficient of percentage of living individuals, which was estimated by counting a sub-sample of 300 individuals under a 205 206 microscope.

The feeding rate per larva was obtained by sampling twice a day the enriched filtered sea water at the inlet and outlet of each larval rearing cylinder (Figure 1). Thus, after controlling that the algae sedimentation rate was negligible in a reference sieve, microalgae concentrations were obtained from 20 mL samples analyzed with the coulter counter. The

211 difference of numbers of particles between the in- and outlet was calculated and divided by 212 the larval density. Then results were divided by the mean larval size to express feeding rate 213 in μ m³. μ m⁻¹.h⁻¹.

The age at settlement was assumed to be when at least 50% of the individuals were fixed on the sieves sides. For each sieve, the percentage of fixation was obtained from the ratio of swimming larvae density over total oyster density.

217 During oyster's development, the energetic balance calculation followed the scope for growth (SFG) concept (Bayne, 1976), with the exception of the assimilation efficiency 218 coefficient since faecation and pseudofaecation were not measured. Thereby, the algae 219 220 ingested and respiration were converted into energy values and the difference between both yielded the energetic balance. We used as conversion factors 3.81×10^{-9} J per μ m⁻³ of algae 221 mixture (González Araya et al., 2011; Yukihira et al., 2000) and 14.1 J for 1 mg O₂ (Bayne 222 and Newell, 1983; Gnaiger, 1983). In order to smooth the graphical representation of the 223 results, linear mixed models (see below) fitted on observation data were used to estimate the 224 missing values between observations. 225

Finally, the cumulated ingested energy at metamorphosis was estimated as the energetic value of the sum of the daily average number of cells ingested per individual until settlement. Despite the fact that algae consumption was recorded daily for each tanks, the density of larvae per tank was not continuously measured. Hence, linear mixed model results were used to fill the missing larval density data.

231

232 2.3.1 Statistical analysis

233

234 All analyses were performed with the software R v.3.4.1 (R Development Core Team, 2012). Considering our experimental set up; in order to assess the effects of the four different 235 trophic levels on the evolution of size, mortality and length-normalized respiration and 236 feeding rates as repeated measurement over time, mixed effects analyses using the 'Imer' 237 238 function of R package 'Ime4' (Bates et al., 2017) were performed. Relationships were tested using random intercept and slope linear mixed models. The fixed effects feed ration was 239 240 considered as a factor and time was a continuous numeric variable. The variable tank was 241 set as a random factor. The 'anova' function (default) in the 'car' package (Fox et al., 2012) 242 was used to compute the significance tests and provide *p* values. In addition, a one-way ANOVA was performed to investigate the effect of food concentration on the age at 243 settlement. 244

Before the mixed effects analyses, data were Box-Cox transformed to improve normality. Model predictions were back transformed to plot the results using the R packages 'MASS', 'car' and 'ggplot2' (Fox *et al.*, 2012; Ripley *et al.*, 2013; Wickham, 2010). After mixed effects analyses, pairwise comparison by post-hoc Tukey analysis were performed thanks to the 'multcomp' and 'Ismeans' packages *(*Hothorn *et al.*, 2017; Lenth, 2016) to determine how trophic levels differed from each other.

The outputs were printed thanks to the 'tab_model' function of the 'sjPlot' package (Lüdecke, 2015). In any case, the normality of the residuals was checked (Shapiro–Wilk test) and the homoscedasticity of the variance of errors was visually assessed.

Note that to focus the results on the larval stage, all mixed effects analyses were strictly applied on data recorded before settlement. Finally to avoid time vector length issues related to dissimilar ages at settlement, break points over time were visually assessed.

- 257
- 258 **3. Results**

259

- 260 3.1 Temperature profile
- 261

During the experiment, the recorded mean temperature was 28.1°C with daily fluctuations of up to 0.5 °C within 24h. A general decrease of daily mean temperature occurred from 28.9 to 27.6 °C between the first and the last day of rearing (see Supplementary Figure 1). A maximum of 29.6 °C and a minimum of 26.7 °C were recorded. They appeared the fifth and 25th day of the experiment respectively. No difference in temperature was measured between the different rearing tanks.

268

269 3.2 Effects of food concentration

270

Food condition, time and their interaction had significant effects on larval development variables (*p*-values < 0.05) for each statistical test performed, with the exception of the mortality rate for which only time was significant (Table 1).

274 Table 1 Summary of random intercept and slope linear mixed models fitted on larval performance 275 measurements recorded from birth until settlement. *p-values* numbers marked in bold indicate numbers 276 that are significant on the 90% confidence limit.

	-											
		Growth			Respiration rate			Feeding rate			Mortality rate	
Predictors	Estimates	CI	р	Estimates	CI	p	Estimates	CI	p	Estimates	CI	р
(Intercept)	0.99109	0.99076 - 0.99143	<0.001	-7.76069	-7.952167.56921	<0.001	0.92428	-0.09900 - 1.94755	0.105	-6.54297	-30.17951 - 17.09357	0.592
Time	0.00030	0.00028 - 0.00033	<0.001	0.10847	0.09867 - 0.11828	<0.001	0.14802	0.11158 - 0.18447	<0.001	9.26121	8.12855 - 10.39387	<0.001
Food condition 2	-0.00005	-0.00055 - 0.00046	0.862	0.43380	0.13985 - 0.72776	0.005	1.94855	0.48791 - 3.40919	0.024	19.16938	-14.25773 - 52.59649	0.272
Food condition 3	-0.00008	-0.00062 - 0.00045	0.759	0.37742	0.06804 - 0.68679	0.020	2.50133	1.02023 - 3.98242	0.006	36.30233	2.87521 - 69.72944	0.044
Food condition 4	-0.00108	-0.001610.00055	<0.001	0.23626	-0.07311 - 0.54564	0.139	1.12883	-0.35227 - 2.60992	0.161	49.13853	15.71141 - 82.56564	0.008
Time:Food.condition2	0.00009	0.00005 - 0.00013	<0.001	0.02688	0.00911 - 0.04464	0.004	0.01557	-0.04297 - 0.07410	0.603	-0.58612	-2.18795 - 1.01571	0.474
Time:Food.condition3	0.00016	0.00011 - 0.00021	<0.001	0.08092	0.05967 - 0.10216	<0.001	0.18553	0.11554 - 0.25551	<0.001	0.10257	-1.49925 - 1.70440	0.900
Time:Food.condition4	0.00024	0.00019 - 0.00029	<0.001	0.10102	0.07977 - 0.12227	<0.001	0.27397	0.20398 - 0.34395	<0.001	-1.10078	-2.70260 - 0.50105	0.180
Random Effects												
σ2	0.00			0.05			0.78			999.23		
τ ₀₀	0.00 _{Tank}			0.00 _{Tank}			0.63 _{Tank}			182.56 Tan	k	
ICC	0.00 Tank			0.00 Tank			0.44 Tank			0.15 Tank		
Observations	144			72			129			180		
Marginal R2 / Conditional R2	NA			NA			0.765/0.	869		0.824/0.8	51	

278

The post-hoc Tukey analysis exhibited different levels of significance depending on
 the tested physiological performance and the pair of tested trophic levels (Table 2).
 Table 2 Simultaneous tests for general linear hypotheses with Tukey contrasts multiple comparisons of
 means, fitted on linear mixed models of food concentration and time effect on larval size, respiration,

feeding and mortality and the ANOVA relating the food concentration effect on the age at settlement. *p*-

values numbers marked in **bold** indicate numbers that are significant on the 90% confidence limit.

Physiological performance	Compared conditions	C1 - C2	C1 - C3	C1 - C4	C2 - C3	C2 - C4	C3 - C4
Growth	Estimate	-0.0007438	-0.0013655	-0.0010864	-0.0006217	-0.0003427	0.0002790
	Pr(> t)	0.00594	< 0.001	<0.001	0.02419	0.26089	0.44649
Respiration rate	Estimate	-0.80558	-1.49674	-1.63372	-0.69117	-0.82814	-0.13698
Tate	Pr(> t)	< 0.001	<0.001	< 0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.441
Feeding rate	Estimate	-2.0952	-4.2487	-3.7092	-2.1536	-1.6141	0.5395
	Pr(> t)	0.06244	0.00107	0.00287	0.05667	0.16898	0.86254
Mortality	Estimate	-11.16	-34.09	-37.70	-26.55	-22.93	3.61
	Pr(> t)	0.822	0.110	0.074	0.244	0.348	0.992
Age at settlement	Estimate	-0.3813	-0.6089	-0.7167	-0.2276	-0.3355	-0.1078
	Pr(> t)	0.13909	0.01779	0.00721	0.49144	0.20906	0.89429

286







295

Figure 2 (A) Evolution of the percentage of dead oysters across time under different feeding conditions. (B) Mean age at settlement according to food concentration. The continuous curves are predictions from the models that were fitted to the observations (symbols), with the standard errors (vertical lines). The vertical dotted lines mark the age at settlement for each trophic level.

Regardless of the time dynamic, larval survival was low on day 22, with mortality \approx 78% corresponding to a drop from 60 to 10 ind.mL⁻¹ (Figure 2A) and no significant difference between conditions (ANOVA, p = 0.201). During the rearing, different dynamics occurred between trophic levels. On day 12, larval mortality ranged from 28% to 43% at the lowest food concentrations (C1 and C2) and from 64% to 68% for the highest food concentration C3 and C4, with significant differences between contrasted food conditions C1 and C4 (Table 2).

The age at settlement increased exponentially with the diminution of the food concentration. From the lowest to the highest trophic level, the age at settlement was 31, 23, 19 and 18 respectively (Figure 2B), with significant differences only between the lowest and the two highest food conditions (Table 2).

307 3.2.2 Growth

308

306

Significant differences in growth rate between food levels were recorded mainly from day-12. Differences were significant between diets, excepted for the comparison of the conditions C2 versus C4 and C3 versus C4 (Table 2). In addition to growth speed variations related to the food concentration, the size at settlement varied with the diet, reaching an average size of 210, 200, 190, and 180 µm at the trophic levels C4, C3, C2 and C1, respectively. After settlement, growth increased sharply. For instance, for the highest food level C4, the size went from 67 to 210 μ m during the first 18 days and from 210 to 950 μ m between the following 9 days (Figure 3). Despite the apparent reciprocity between growth and food concentration, it appeared that larvae grew faster within the first 8 days at C3 (675 μ m³. μ l⁻¹) than C4 (1350 μ m³. μ l⁻¹). This trend changed when larvae reached a mean size of 100 μ m after which the fastest growth was recorded for the highest trophic level.

320



Figure 3 Oyster growth (log scale) over time for the different food conditions. The continuous curves show predictions from the linear mixed models that were fitted to the observations (symbols) with the standard error (vertical lines), for each food condition. Vertical dotted lines represent the age at settlement. The values after settlement are not taken into account in the models.

326





329

Figure 4 Oyster algae consumption per hour as a function of age for different food conditions. The feeding rate is scaled by unit of length. The continuous curves show predictions from the linear mixed models that were fitted to the observations (symbols) with the standard error (vertical lines). Vertical dotted lines represent the age at settlement for each trophic level. The values after settlement are not taken into account in the models.

335

Feeding rate was highly dependent on food concentration and could be described 336 337 visually by three regimes. For high food concentration, standard deviations were important 338 and statistically no significant differences were reported between the trophic levels C3 versus C4 and C2 versus C4 (Table 2). At low food concentration, the increased consumption was 339 closely related to phytoplankton density. At high food concentration, feeding rate was higher 340 at 675 μ m³. μ l⁻¹ (C3) than 1350 μ m³. μ l⁻¹ (C4) within the first 8 days of the rearing then this 341 trend switched and the feeding rate increased faster at high food concentration. A microalgae 342 uptake decrease corresponding to settlement was thereafter observed when the feeding rate 343 was length-normalized (Figure 4) but this trend did not occur if the feeding was expressed as 344 hourly uptake per individual (not shown). Moreover, independently of the size, a slight 345 decrease in the feeding rate also appeared during the transition in the umbo and eyed 346 stages, respectively close to days 7/8 and 17/19 for conditions C4 and C3. At low food level 347 (conditions C1 and C2) this trend is less obvious for the umbo stage but clearly appeared few 348 349 days before the metamorphosis of eyed larvae. Finally, a generalized drop of food intake 350 clearly appeared on day 15 which matched the main peak of mortality.







Figure 5 Oyster respiration rate according to their age under different feeding conditions. The respiration rate is scaled by unit of length. The continuous curves show predictions from the linear mixed models that were fitted to the observations (symbols) with the standard error (vertical lines). Vertical dotted lines represent the age at settlement for each trophic level. The values after settlement are not taken into account in the models.

361

Over time, the length-normalized respiration varied significantly between trophic levels except for the food concentrations C3 and C4 (Figure 5). There was an exponential increase in respiration rates with time with a marked decrease in metabolic rate after fixation of the eyed larvae. This decrease in breathing was apparent when the respiration was not length normalized and coincided with the cessation of the active search for food. Indeed, this is the moment when individuals stopped swimming and attached themselves to a substrate from which they started filtering the surrounding water.

During the first 3 days, the balance between energy consumed and ingested was 369 close to 0 ($\approx 4 \times 10^{-6}$ J.day⁻¹) (Figure 6A). Then, it slowly increased at food concentrations C3 370 and C4 while it remained in a 2×10^{-5} to 1.3×10^{-4} J.day⁻¹ range during the entire larval phase 371 372 at low food concentrations (C1 and C2). Similar to the feeding rate dynamic, drops in energy 373 balance occurred at time of the switches to the umbo and eyed stages as well as during 374 major mortality events. This resulted in possible peak or plateau of negative energetic 375 balance. The extent of these periods depended on the time required by the whole batch to 376 fully move toward the next larval development stage.

The cumulated ingested energy at settlement increased with food concentration but a saturation threshold close to 0.0086 J.ind⁻¹ is observed at C3 concentration (675 μ m³ μ l⁻¹ \approx 15 cell μ L⁻¹ TiCg equivalent diameter) (Figure 6B).



Figure 6. (A) Energy balance between food intake and respiration over time, vertical dotted lines represent the age at settlement for each trophic level. (B) Cumulated energy consumed at metamorphosis against food concentration. The continuous curve shows a Holling type II functional response fitted against the observations. Vertical bars are standard errors (A & B).

386

387 4. Discussion

388

The present study aimed to assess, in view of DEB modeling, the effect of food concentration on *Pinctada margaritifera* larval performances and development efficiency. This study also incidentally identified metabolic traits that can be explained by behavioral and physiological changes at key moment of larval development. These new observations are fundamental in view of recruitment modelling.

394

395 4.1. Effects of food density on larval development

396

A close relationship between performances and feeding regime was demonstrated during larval development within the range of tested food densities (Table 1). During the larval phase, the amount of food consumed is an essential factor in successful settlement as larvae must accumulate sufficient reserves to meet the energy demands required during metamorphosis (Strugnell and Southgate, 2003). The dynamic of the cumulated energy ingested at settlement was in accordance with previous studies that reported differences in post settlement size and biochemical composition of bivalves raised at different trophic levels
(Holland and Spencer, 1973; Pechenik, 1990). These physical dissimilarities were closely
related to the different ages at settlement that ranged in our case from 18 to 31 days. Our
observations substantially differed from those observed by Doroudi and Southgate, (2003a)
for the maximum age at settlement who reported settlement from 16 to 21 days in laboratory
at 28.1 °C, but they agree with the 29 days reported by Thomas *et al.*, (2011) during *in situ*larval survey, which corresponds to our low food level conditions.

Here, larval growth was directly correlated with food density up to 20 cells µL⁻¹ and 410 the optimal algal ration remained within a range of 4.5–11.5 and 15–32 cells μL^{-1} for 7- and 411 20-day-old larvae respectively. These results are in agreement with Doroudi et al., (1999b) 412 and Doroudi and Southgate, (2000). Similarly, the recorded feeding rates per individual were 413 in the range of those reported by Doroudi et al., (2003b): 8.7 to 165 cells h⁻¹ larvae⁻¹ 414 respectively for larvae with shell length of 89 and 188 µm. Here feeding rates were highly 415 dependent of the shell length and varied from 11.4 to 279.1 cells h⁻¹ larvae⁻¹ from birth to 416 417 settlement for well-fed larvae.

The respiration rates measured here (Figure 5) add to the rather shortlist available data reported for bivalve larvae. Our range of respiration rates was consistent with the rates measured for well fed *Crassostrea gigas* larvae (Gerdes, 1983) which rank from 0.4 to 6.1 $nIO_2 h^{-1} larva^{-1}$. Here, during larval development, *P. margaritifera* larvae respiration rate increased from 0.1 to 4.5 ngO₂ h⁻¹ larva⁻¹. The DEB theory (Kooijman, 2010) itself does not use respiration rate as a primary variable, however, this information can be used to test in fine the model parameters accuracy.

425 The energetic balance calculation, with the exception of the assimilation efficiency coefficient, was based on the scope for growth (SFG) concept (Bayne, 1976) and the 426 427 respiration-energy equivalent is directly subtracted from the energy derived from assimilated 428 food. The energy left remains available for growth. Such calculations remain inexistent for 429 bivalve larvae in the literature so far, respiration rates were measured over short periods and 430 the interpolation of missing value may not be suitable for such calculation so these data have 431 to be used meagerly. Moreover, discrepancies can arise because subtracting from the 432 ingested food energy the energy-equivalent from respiration is only an approximation as respiration rates should compensate for both metabolic and growth costs (Kooijman, 2010). 433

The survival rates obtained here partially also agreed with the early studies (Doroudi et al., 1999b; Doroudi and Southgate, 2000). We observed high mortality rates up to 7.5 cells μL^{-1} ($\approx 337.5 \ \mu m^3 \ \mu L^{-1}$) at day 12 but no significant differences occurred between the four tested trophic levels within 22 days of rearing. Moreover, mortality showed important standard deviation corresponding to large differences of mortality episode within the replicates of one trophic level. As suggested by Asmani *et al.* (2017), mortalities may be due

to increased microbial activity or reduced water quality associated with unconsumed food
decomposition and larvae excretion. The synchronicity between mortality and feeding
decreases suggests that mortality episodes may relies on exogenous factors such as
microbial infection accompanied by a non-feeding behavior

444

445 4.2 Influence of behavioral and ecological breaks

446

As reported in the literature, development and metamorphosis were closely related to 447 the trophic levels, nonetheless this study suggests several behavioral and physiological 448 449 particularities, or breaks, that occurred sooner or later depending on food concentrations. 450 This is apparent here with the decrease of food consumption before settlement, confirming that metamorphosis is a metabolic singularity within larval development. As for embryonic 451 development, metamorphosis strictly rely on reserve storage, for which environmental food 452 concentration and reserve accumulation are required for an optimized larval period duration 453 (Doroudi and Southgate, 2003). It appeared that reserve accumulation was directly linked to 454 455 food concentration, and as a consequence, the eyed stage could occur at different ages and sizes depending on food availability. Therefore, well fed larvae will settle earlier and at a 456 bigger size than underfed larvae, and with differences of accumulated total energy ingested 457 until settlement. This energetic pattern agreed with the assumptions described by the DEB 458 theory (Kooijman, 2010) and the influence of available energy on delayed metamorphosis 459 460 (Doroudi and Southgate, 2003; Holland and Spencer, 1973; Pechenik, 1990) It can be stated that larval development for a given temperature relies primarily in the available energetic flux, 461 462 and thus food quality/quantity, rather than genetic or other exogenous factors. Note that 463 temperature may delay metamorphosis but this is also dependent on the energetic flow since no difference in size and cumulated ingested energy at settlement occurred for bivalve larvae 464 reared at different temperatures but with similar food density (Doroudi et al., 1999a; Rico-465 466 Villa et al., 2009).

467 Settlement marks the end of the planktonic phase and comes with profound physiological changes. The post settlement metabolic rate drop can be related to the 468 469 behavioral and morphological changes undergone by the pediveliger larvae. Indeed, when 470 the competences for metamorphosis are reached, pediveliger larvae enhance their crawling behavior using their foot to find a suitable substrate to settle on, hence reducing their filtration 471 activity (Cole, 1937). Movement and feeding are also inhibited because the velum is 472 absorbed and replaced by the gills (Cole, 1938) and further developments need to rely on 473 474 previously accumulated endogenous reserves (Holland and Spencer, 1973). Once the larvae are fixed, these drastic anatomic changes may cause the decrease in respiration rate 475 observed at the end of the larval life. In other words, whilst the growth accelerates, the 476

respiration rate decreases at the same time. In fact, by stopping swimming, the part of the
energy allowed to growth can increase. This suggests that settlement allow oysters reducing
their global metabolic activity.

Breaks in metabolic rates are not visible only at the end of the larval stage. During the 480 481 first 2 days, while shell length was increasing, feeding rates were relatively low and independent from the food concentration. This observation suggests that the development of 482 483 newly released P. margaritifera larvae relies not only on exogenous source of food but also 484 on maternal reserves and so on initial gamete guality. This is in agreement with Ehteshami et 485 al., (2011) who showed that diet during broodstock conditioning was influencing greatly 486 gonad composition, reproductive output and embryonic development. This mixotrophic phase 487 could therefore explain the low ingestion activity observed in the first days.

From day 2 to day 8, feeding was correlated with microalgae concentration except at 488 the 675 (C3) and 1350 (C4) μ m³ μ L⁻¹concentrations, where growth and respiration rate of 489 larvae in C3 condition showed higher performance than in C4. This result agreed with 490 previous studies (Doroudi et al., 1999a; Doroudi and Southgate, 2000) and could be 491 explained by a temporary increase of microbial activity due to the decomposition of 492 493 unconsumed algae. This may lower the larvae performances. This hypothesis is confirmed 494 by the fact that at the same period mortality increased with the rise of phytoplankton concentration. Nevertheless, after 8 days of rearing, when larvae reached a length up to 100 495 496 µm, growth and feeding rates correlated with food density again.

Finally, after settlement, the decrease of the length-normalized feeding rate may just come from the increasing growth rather than behavioral or morphological changes, since the feeding rate per individual increases continuously. This behavior may also be reinforced by some physiological adaptation that allows oysters to support easily low food conditions that they cannot offset if they actively research food in the water column.

We suggest, as future perspectives, that all these behavioral patterns should be investigated in details by conducting similar experiences focusing on the oyster response to environmental possible constraint by measuring metabolic changes at settlement and minimal reserve dynamic. In addition, weight measurements and vibrio activity control should be added to assess mortality causes and metamorphosis reserve requirements.

507

508 4.3 Implications for spat collection

509

510 The behavioral and physiological patterns observed here provide new clues to 511 interpret spat collecting success in tropical atoll lagoons, like it is commonly practiced in 512 French Polynesia by the pearl farming industry. Commonly described as environmentally 513 homogenous, the semi enclosed atoll lagoons in fact present relatively low temperature

variations (3 °C during the year), but not negligible food concentration variations in space 514 and time (Thomas et al., 2016, 2010). Food variability is the main driving factor for larval 515 development success. First it affects broodstock gonad composition and reproductive output 516 that sets egg size and quality (Ehteshami et al., 2011); then it determines the success of the 517 mixotropphic phase, the period of larval development (Rico-Villa et al., 2009) and the size at 518 settlement. Low food condition is not lethal for larvae but highly impact growth speed, which 519 520 delays settlement and ultimately increases in situ mortality rate by predation (Marshall et al., 2009). Furthermore, after settlement the individual size might represent a competitive 521 advantage in term of accessibility to the trophic resource. Spat survival may also depends on 522 523 its size at settlement and its ability to redirect the energy allowed to the swim for the benefit 524 of the growth speed. Thus, spat collecting effectiveness depends on a suite of processes that can be easily affected by a disruption of food supply as our experiments suggest. It also 525 indirectly confirms pearl farmers empirical observations who have reported better spat 526 collecting during the austral summer, a period generally with more favorable environmental 527 528 conditions with suitable food concentrations.

- 529
- 530 4.4 Implications for DEB modeling
- 531

In this study, the two trophic levels C1 and C2 were calibrated to match extremes in 532 situ food conditions reported from the field (in atoll lagoons). The results matched field data 533 recorded by Thomas et al., (2011) with a larval size of 180 µm reached in 20 days at 29.4 °C 534 against 190 µm in 23 days at 28.1 (C2) in this laboratory experiment. Despite expected 535 536 differences in term of food quality between field and laboratory, chlorophyll-a is confirmed as 537 a good proxy to model the growth of pearl oyster larvae. Furthermore, this contribution 538 creates a tangible basis for a future *P. margaritifera* DEB model able to represent the full life cycle of the black lipped pearl oyster, with better parameterization of the larval stages. The 539 DEB will be much more robust after detailing, like here, physiological processes directly 540 541 relevant for Dynamic Energy Budget model parameterization (e.g., through the maximum surface-area-specific ingestion rate or the half saturation coefficient) and by providing data 542 543 sets to accurately estimate values for the parameters controlling processes such as growth 544 and respiration rate.

545

546 **5. Conclusion**

547

548 This work highlighted the effect of food concentration on the processes related to 549 pearl oyster population recruitment success. Supported by previous laboratory observations, 550 it demonstrates how energy intake through feeding as well as behavioral and physiological

transitions allow optimizing the larval development duration and minimizing "the risky phase" of their life cycle. This study provided numerous new clues to establish a quasi-deterministic relationship between temperature and food condition in one hand and larval development and recruitment success in the other hand. By taking into account the metabolic switches that we could characterize, this study provides a strong foundation for DEB modeling development and for a better description of the complex interactions between *P. margaritifera* physiology and environmental conditions.

558

559 Acknowledgements

560

This study was co-funded by the Institut français de recherche pour l'exploitation de la mer (IFREMER) and the Direction des Ressources Marines et Minières de Polynésie française (DRMM). Support was also provided through the ANR-16-CE32-0004 MANA (Management of Atolls) project. The authors thank the IFREMER and DRMM teams who participated in the experiments conducted at Vairao and thank Elke Zimmer for her helpful comments on experimental design, Quentin Schull for his conceptual and technical support in R code development and Claude Soyez for drawing the Figure 1.

569 References	\$
----------------	----

- 570
- 571 Andréfouët, S., Charpy, L., Lo-Yat, A., Lo, C., 2012. Recent research for pearl oyster aquaculture 572 management in French Polynesia.

Asmani, K., Petton, B., Le Grand, J., Mounier, J., Robert, R., Nicolas, J.-L., 2017. Determination of
stocking density limits for Crassostrea gigas larvae reared in flow-through and recirculating
aquaculture systems and interaction between larval density and biofilm formation. Aquat. Living
Resour. 30, 29.

- 577 Bates, D., Bolker, B., Walker, S., Singmann, H., Dai, B., Scheipl, F., Grothendiech, G., Green, P.,
 578 2017. Package 'Ime4.' https://doi.org/10.2307/2533043>
- 579 Bayne, B.L., 1976. Marine Mussels: Their Ecology and Physiology. Cambridge University Press.

580 Bayne, B.L., Newell, R.C., 1983. Physiological energetics of marine molluscs, in: The Mollusca,

581 Volume 4. Elsevier, pp. 407–515.

- 582 Charpy, L., Dufour, P., Garcia, N., 1997. Particulate organic matter in sixteen Tuamotu atoll lagoons
 583 (French Polynesia). Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 55–65.
- Cole, H.A., 1938. The fate of the larval organs in the metamorphosis of Ostrea edulis. J. Mar. Biol.
 Assoc. United Kingdom 22, 469–484.
- 586 Cole, H.A., 1937. Metamorphosis of the larva of Ostrea edulis. Nature 139, 413.
- 587 Cury, P., Roy, C., 1989. Optimal environmental window and pelagic fish recruitment success in
 588 upwelling areas. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 46, 670–680.
- 589 Doroudi, M.S., Southgate, P.C., 2003. Embryonic and larval development of Pinctada margaritifera
 590 (Linnaeus, 1758). Molluscan Res. 23, 101–107.
- 591 Doroudi, M.S., Southgate, P.C., 2000. The influence of algal ration and larval density on growth and 592 survival of blacklip pearl oyster Pinctada margaritifera (L.) larvae. Aquac. Res. 31, 621–626.
- 593 Doroudi, M.S., Southgate, P.C., Lucas, J.S., 2003. Variation in clearance and ingestion rates by larvae
 594 of the black□lip pearl oyster (Pinctada margaritifera, L.) feeding on various microalgae. Aquac.
 595 Nutr. 9, 11–16.
- Doroudi, M.S., Southgate, P.C., Mayer, R.J., 1999. The combined effects of temperature and salinity
 on embryos and larvae of the black lip pearl oyster, Pinctada margaritifera (L.). Aquac. Res. 30,
 271–277.
- Doroudi, M.S., Southgate, P.C., Mayer, R.J., 1999. Growth and survival of blackslip pearl oyster larvae
 fed different densities of microalgae. Aquac. Int. 7, 179–187.
- Ehteshami, F., Christianus, A., Rameshi, H., Harmin, S.A., Saad, C.R., 2011. The effects of dietary
 supplements of polyunsaturated fatty acid on pearl oyster, Pinctada margaritifera L., gonad
 composition and reproductive output. Aquac. Res. 42, 613–622.
- 604 Fournier, J., 2011. Alimentation et déterminisme environnemental de la reproduction des huîtres
- 605 perlières P. margaritifera sur l'atoll d'Ahe (Archipel des Tuamotu, Polynésie française), Diet and
- 606 environmental déterminism of reproduction of pearl oysters P. margaritifera on A.
- Fournier, J., Dupuy, C., Bouvy, M., Couraudon-Réale, M., Charpy, L., Pouvreau, S., Le Moullac, G., Le
 Pennec, M., Cochard, J.-C., 2012. Pearl oysters Pinctada margaritifera grazing on natural

- plankton in Ahe atoll lagoon (Tuamotu archipelago, French Polynesia). Mar. Pollut. Bull. 65, 490–499.
- Fox, J., Weisberg, S., Adler, D., Bates, D., Baud-Bovy, G., Ellison, S., Firth, D., Friendly, M., Gorjanc,
 G., Graves, S., 2012. Package 'car.' Vienna R Found. Stat. Comput.
- 613 Gerdes, D., 1983. The Pacific oyster Crassostrea gigas: Part II. Oxygen consumption of larvae and
 614 adults. Aquaculture 31, 221–231.
- Gnaiger, E., 1983. Heat dissipation and energetic efficiency in animal anoxibiosis: economy contra
 power. J. Exp. Zool. 228, 471–490.
- González Araya, R., Quéau, I., Quéré, C., Moal, J., Robert, R., 2011. A physiological and
 biochemical approach to selecting the ideal diet for Ostrea edulis (L.) broodstock conditioning
 (part A). Aquac. Res. 42, 710–726.
- Grosberg, R.K., Levitan, D.R., 1992. For adults only? Supply-side ecology and the history of larval
 biology. Trends Ecol. Evol. 7, 130–133.
- Hamburger, K., Møhlenberg, F., Randløv, A., Riisgård, H.U., 1983. Size, oxygen consumption and
 growth in the mussel Mytilus edulis. Mar. Biol. 75, 303–306.
- Hjort, J., 1926. Fluctuations in the year classes of important food fishes. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 1, 5–38.
- Hofmann, E., Bushek, D., Ford, S., Guo, X., Haidvogel, D., Hedgecock, D., Klinck, J., Milbury, C.,
 Narvaez, D., Powell, E., Wang, Y., Wang, Z., Wilkin, J., Zhang, L., 2009. Understanding how
 disease and environment combine to structure resistance in estuarine bivalve populations.
 Oceanography 22, 212–231.
- Holland, D.L., Spencer, B.E., 1973. Biochemical changes in fed and starved oysters, Ostrea edulis L.
 during larval development, metamorphosis and early spat growth. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. United
 Kingdom 53, 287–298.
- Hothorn, T., Bretz, F., Westfall, P., Heiberger, R.M., Schuetzenmeister, A., Scheibe, S., Hothorn, M.T.,
 2017. Package 'multcomp.' Obtenido de http://cran. statsfu.
- 634 ca/web/packages/multcomp/multcomp.
- 635 ISPF, 2016. Le bilan de la perles en 2016. [WWW Document].
- Jager, T., Vandenbrouck, T., Baas, J., De Coen, W.M., Kooijman, S.A.L.M., 2010. A biology-based
 approach for mixture toxicity of multiple endpoints over the life cycle. Ecotoxicology 19, 351–361.
- Jager, T., Zimmer, E.I., 2012. Simplified dynamic energy budget model for analysing ecotoxicity data.
 Ecol. Modell. 225, 74–81.
- Kooijman, S., 2010. Dynamic energy budget theory for metabolic organisation. Cambridge universitypress.
- Lenth, R. V, 2016. Least-squares means: the R package Ismeans. J. Stat. Softw. 69, 1–33.
- Lika, K., Kearney, M.R., Freitas, V., van der Veer, H.W., van der Meer, J., Wijsman, J.W.M.,
- Pecquerie, L., Kooijman, S.A.L.M., 2011. The "covariation method" for estimating the parameters
 of the standard Dynamic Energy Budget model I: Philosophy and approach. J. Sea Res. 66,
 270–277.
- 647 Lüdecke, M.D., 2015. Package 'sjPlot.'
- Marshall, D.J., Styan, C., McQuaid, C.D., 2009. Larval supply and dispersal, in: Marine Hard Bottom

649 Communities. Springer, pp. 165–176.

- Menge, B.A., Chan, F., Nielsen, K.J., Lorenzo, E. Di, Lubchenco, J., 2009. Climatic variation alters
 supply side ecology: impact of climate patterns on phytoplankton and mussel recruitment. Ecol.
 Monogr. 79, 379–395.
- Moran, A.L., Manahan, D.T., 2004. Physiological recovery from prolonged 'starvation'in larvae of the
 Pacific oyster Crassostrea gigas. J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 306, 17–36.
- O'Connor, M.I., Bruno, J.F., Gaines, S.D., Halpern, B.S., Lester, S.E., Kinlan, B.P., Weiss, J.M., 2007.
 Temperature control of larval dispersal and the implications for marine ecology, evolution, and
 conservation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 104, 1266–1271.
- Olson, R.R., Olson, M.H., 1989. Food limitation of planktotrophic marine invertebrate larvae: does it
 control recruitment success? Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 20, 225–247.
- Pagano, M., Rodier, M., Guillaumot, C., Thomas, Y., Henry, K., Andréfouët, S., 2017. Ocean-lagoon
 water and plankton exchanges in a semi-closed pearl farming atoll lagoon (Ahe, Tuamotu
 archipelago, French Polynesia). Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 191, 60–73.
- Pechenik, J.A., 1990. Delayed metamorphosis by larvae of benthic marine invertebrates: does it
 occur? Is there a price to pay? Ophelia 32, 63–94.
- Pouvreau, S., Bodoy, A., Buestel, D., 2000. In situ suspension feeding behaviour of the pearl oyster,
 Pinctada margaritifera: combined effects of body size and weather-related seston composition.
 Aquaculture 181, 91–113.
- Pouvreau, S., Bourles, Y., Lefebvre, S., Gangnery, A., Alunno-Bruscia, M., 2006. Application of a
 dynamic energy budget model to the Pacific oyster, Crassostrea gigas, reared under various
 environmental conditions. J. Sea Res. 56, 156–167.
- Pouvreau, S., Prasil, V., 2001. Growth of the black-lip pearl oyster, Pinctada margaritifera, at nine
 culture sites of French Polynesia: synthesis of several sampling designs conducted between
 1994 and 1999. Aquat. Living Resour. 14, 155–163.
- Pouvreau, S., Tiapari, J., Gangnery, A., Lagarde, F., Garnier, M., Teissier, H., Haumani, G., Buestel,
 D., Bodoy, A., 2000. Growth of the black-lip pearl oyster, Pinctada margaritifera, in suspended
 culture under hydrobiological conditions of Takapoto lagoon (French Polynesia). Aquaculture
 184, 133–154.
- Powell, E.N., Bochenek, E.A., Klinck, J.M., Hofmann, E.E., 2002. Influence of food quality and quantity
 on the growth and development of Crassostrea gigas larvae: a modeling approach. Aquaculture
 210, 89–117.
- Rico-Villa, B., Bernard, I., Robert, R., Pouvreau, S., 2010. A Dynamic Energy Budget (DEB) growth
 model for Pacific oyster larvae, Crassostrea gigas. Aquaculture 305, 84–94.
- Rico-Villa, B., Pouvreau, S., Robert, R., 2009. Influence of food density and temperature on ingestion,
 growth and settlement of Pacific oyster larvae, Crassostrea gigas. Aquaculture 287, 395–401.
- Ripley, B., Venables, B., Bates, D.M., Hornik, K., Gebhardt, A., Firth, D., Ripley, M.B., 2013. Package
 'mass.' CRAN Repos. Httpcran R-Proj. OrgwebpackagesMASSMASS Pdf.
- 687 Southgate, P., Lucas, J., 2011. The pearl oyster. Elsevier.
- 688 Strugnell, J.M., Southgate, P.C., 2003. Changes in tissue composition during larval development of

- the blacklip pearl oyster, Pinctada margaritifera (L.). Molluscan Res. 23, 179–183.
- Thomas, Y., Dumas, F., Andréfouët, S., 2016. Larval connectivity of pearl oyster through biophysical
 modelling; evidence of food limitation and broodstock effect. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 182, 283–
 293.
- Thomas, Y., Garen, P., Bennett, A., Le Pennec, M., Clavier, J., 2012. Multi-scale distribution and
 dynamics of bivalve larvae in a deep atoll lagoon (Ahe, French Polynesia). Mar. Pollut. Bull. 65,
 453–462.
- Thomas, Y., Garen, P., Courties, C., Charpy, L., 2010. Spatial and temporal variability of the pico-and
 nanophytoplankton and bacterioplankton in a deep Polynesian atoll lagoon. Aquat. Microb. Ecol.
 59, 89–101.
- Thomas, Y., Garen, P., Pouvreau, S., 2011. Application of a bioenergetic growth model to larvae of the
 pearl oyster Pinctada margaritifera L. J. sea Res. 66, 331–339.
- Thorson, G., 1950. Reproductive and larval ecology of marine bottom invertebrates. Biol. Rev. 25, 1–
 45.
- 703 Underwood, A.J., Fairweather, P.G., 1989. Supply-side ecology and benthic marine assemblages.
 704 Trends Ecol. Evol. 4, 16–20.
- 705 Wickham, H., 2010. ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis. J Stat Softw.
- Widdows, J., 1991. Physiological ecology of mussel larvae. Aquaculture 94, 147–163.
- Yukihira, H., Lucas, J.S., Klumpp, D.W., 2000. Comparative effects of temperature on suspension
 feeding and energy budgets of the pearl oysters Pinctada margaritifera and P. maxima. Mar.
 Ecol. Prog. Ser. 195, 179–188.
- 710
- 711

712 Supplementary material



715 Figure 1:Temperature profiles across time for each feeding condition