

How does continuous venovenous hemofiltration theoretically expose (ex-vivo models) inpatients to diethylhexyladipate, a plasticizer of PVC medical devices?

Lise Bernard, Mélanie Bailleau, Teuta Eljezi, Philip Chennell, Bertrand Souweine, Alexandre Lautrette, Valérie Sautou

▶ To cite this version:

Lise Bernard, Mélanie Bailleau, Teuta Eljezi, Philip Chennell, Bertrand Souweine, et al.. How does continuous venovenous hemofiltration theoretically expose (ex-vivo models) inpatients to diethylhexyladipate, a plasticizer of PVC medical devices?. Chemosphere, 2020, 250, pp.126241. 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.126241. hal-02528010

HAL Id: hal-02528010 https://hal.science/hal-02528010v1

Submitted on 7 Mar 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1	How does Continuous Venovenous Hemofiltration theoretically expose (ex-vivo models) inpatients
2	to diethylhexyladipate, a plasticizer of PVC medical devices?
3	Lise Bernard ¹ , Mélanie Bailleau ² , Teuta Eljezi ¹ , Philip Chennell ¹ , Bertrand Souweine ³ , Alexandre
4	Lautrette ³ , Valérie Sautou ¹
5	
6 7	¹ Université Clermont Auvergne, CHU Clermont-Ferrand, CNRS, SIGMA Clermont, ICCF, f- 63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France
8 9	² CHU Clermont-Ferrand, Service de réanimation chirurgie cardio-vasculaire, f-63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France
10 11	³ Université Clermont Auvergne, CHU Clermont-Ferrand, CNRS, LMGE «Laboratoire Micro- organismes: Génome et Environnement», f-63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France
12	
13	
14	Corresponding author: Lise Bernard
15	E-mail: <u>L_bernard@chu-clermontferrand.fr</u>
16 17	Postal address: CHU Clermont-Ferrand, pôle Pharmacie, 58 rue Montalembert, 63000 Clermont- Ferrand Cedex 1
18	Phone: +33 4 73 75 17 69; Fax: +33 4 73 75 48 29
19	

20 Abstract

21 Continuous venovenous hemofiltration (CVVH) is widely used in intensive care units to treat patients 22 with acute kidney injury requiring renal replacement therapy. The medical devices (MD) used for 23 CVVH include a hemofilter and tubings made of plasticized PVC. Due to its known reprotoxicity, 24 diethylhexyl phthalate (DEHP) has been replaced by alternatives such as diethylhexyladipate (DEHA) 25 in some of these tubings. The migration of DEHA from hemofiltration systems has not been assessed 26 and thus the level of patient exposure to this DEHP-alternative remains unknown.

In this study, 2 CVVH models were used to evaluate the potential migration of DEHA from PVC tubings, allowing the determination of (1) the highest rates of DEHA able to migrate into a simulant flowing in a marketed adult CVVH circuit by disregarding any metabolisation and (2) the clinicalreflecting exposure of patients to this plasticizer and its metabolites by assessing their migration into blood.

In the first model, we showed that patients undergoing a CVVH procedure may be exposed to high rates of DEHA. Moreover, DEHA is continuously hydrolyzed into its primary metabolite MEHA (monoethylhexyladipate), which may reach cytotoxic level in the patients' blood.

When looking from a « safer » MD perspective, DEHA might not be the best alternative plasticizer for CVVH tubings. However, to reflect clinical conditions, this study should be completed by an *in-vivo* evaluation (biomonitoring) of the oxidized metabolites of DEHA in urines of inpatients undergoing CVVH.

39 Keywords

- 40 Continuous venovenous hemofiltration, medical devices, plasticizer, diethylhexyladipate
- 41
- 42 Highlights

43 - Tubings used for CVVH can be made of DEHA plasticized PVC

- 44 2 different but complementary *ex-vivo* models aimed to evaluate DEHA migration from these
 45 tubings
- 46 During CVVH, patients may be exposed to DEHA and to its primary metabolite MEHA
- 47 MEHA concentrations were high and match those that may induce effects *ex-vivo*
- 48 A biomonitoring study should be performed to confirm these exposure doses

49 **1. Introduction**

50 Continuous venovenous hemofiltration (CVVH), a highly specialized therapy, is widely used in 51 intensive care units to treat patients with acute kidney injury (1).

To perform a CVVH procedure, many medical devices (MD) are required, such as continuous renal replacement therapy (CCRT) machines and CCRT disposables (sets). A hemofilter set is made of a hemofilter and PVC tubings necessary to run the patient's blood through the filter and deliver a replacement fluid either upstream or downstream of the hemofilter.

56 Numerous studies have reported that patients undergoing Renal replacement therapy (RRT), including CVVH, may be exposed to different leachables, especially Bisphenol A (BPA) released from 57 58 components of the dialyzer itself, particularly polycarbonate housing and polysulfone dialysis 59 membrane (2–5). Moreover, most of the tubings used in dialysis are designed with plasticized PVC 60 for greater flexibility with the CVVH system. However, it is widely accepted that these plasticizers can 61 migrate from the PVC matrix into the blood of patients undergoing maintenance hemodialysis or 62 hemofiltration (6–13) and thus come into contact with the patient. Indeed, it has been demonstrated 63 that a non-negligible amount of diethylhexyl phthalate (DEHP) leaches from PVC into patients undergoing haemodialysis (6,7,14,15). 64

DEHP and BPA have been reported to be endocrine disrupting chemicals with effects on the 65 66 reproductive and thyroid systems (16-18). They have also been categorized as carcinogenic, 67 mutagenic or toxic for reproduction (CMR) 1B under the Classification Labeling and Packaging (CLP) 68 Regulation (19,20) due to their reprotoxicity suspected in humans. In the field of medical devices, 69 DEHP now must not exceed 0.1% by mass of plasticized material, as defined by the European 70 regulation (19), unless it is justified on the basis of an assessment of the risks related to potential 71 estimated exposure. Therefore, some alternative to DEHP plasticizers have been proposed, especially 72 for patient groups undergoing clinical procedures with high exposure, as recommended by the

Scenihr (20). For example, in some dialysis tubings, DEHP has been replaced by other plasticizers such
as diethylhexyladipate (DEHA) (21).

75 Unfortunately, and contrary to DEHP, DEHA migration from haemodialysis systems has not been 76 assessed and thus the level of patient exposure to this DEHP-alternative remains unknown. Even 77 worse, due to the contact with blood, plasticizers such as DEHA will be metabolized during the CVVH 78 procedure (22), and thus may expose patients to metabolites that are known to be cytotoxic (23) 79 and/or may have a biological activity (24). Indeed, as described by Nehring et al. (25), DEHA is rapidly hydrolyzed into MEHA that may be further metabolized (before urinary elimination) into adipic acid 80 81 and two main oxidative products, MEHHA (mono-2-ethylhydroxyhexyl adipate) and MEOHA (mono-82 2-ethyloxohexyl adipate) (figure 1). An evaluation of the risks posed by exposure to MEHA during 83 CVVH is therefore necessary.

84 To perform such a task, the risk exposure assessment for hospitalized patients needs the 85 development of models that reflect the real clinical conditions within which the MD are used. Based 86 on our previous methodology, used to build an infusion model (26) and an ECMO model (27), we 87 aimed to evaluate the potential migration of plasticizers by developing 2 CVVH models, allowing the 88 determination of (1) the highest rates of DEHA which could migrate into a simulant flowing in a 89 marketed adult CVVH circuit by disregarding any metabolisation and (2) the clinical-reflecting 90 exposure of patients to this plasticizer and its metabolites during the clinical procedure by assessing 91 their migration into blood.

92

93 2. Materials and methods

To assess the migration of DEHA during CVVH from PVC MD and the exposure to DEHA and its
metabolites synthetized during the contact with blood, two types of models were implemented (see
section 2.1)

97 *Medical devices used in the study:* 5 Both models were performed using the same CCRT machine and disposables (Prismaflex® system and
ST150 Prisimaflex set®, batch n°15J2802G, expiry date: 01/10/2017), graciously provided by Gambro
Industries. In this set, the hemofilter is an AN69® ST membrane (surface treated acrylonitrile and
sodium methallyl sulfonate copolymer membrane) and the tubings are made of DEHA plasticized
PVC.

103 *Plasticizers and metabolites used for analytical quantification:*

104 DEHA (CAS: 103-23-1) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich, France. The primary metabolite MEHA 105 (CAS: 4337-65-9) was synthesized and characterized by the UMR 990 team, Clermont-Ferrand, 106 France.

107

108 2.1 The models

109 2.1.1 CVVH model with ethanolic simulant

110 The aim of this model was to estimate the total leaching of DEHA from the PVC tubings during a 111 CVVH procedure, regardless the conversion of the plasticizer to its metabolite (MEHA), and thus to 112 estimate its exposition dose.

113 The model is presented in figure 2.

114 The model conditions established according to the clinical practice were the following ones:

Simulant: a mixture of absolute ethanol (Sigma Aldrich) and water (Versylène[®], Fresenius)
 50/50 v/v was chosen to simulate the blood due to its close but higher capacity to extract
 DEHP from PVC matrix than that of blood and the absence of the enzymes likely to
 metabolize DEHA. The simulant volume was 5L in order to correspond to the mean blood
 volume of a normal adult. It was contained in a closed 5L glass flask.

Flow rate: the flow rates were set up in accordance with the standard CVVH practice, i.e the
 blood flow rate was set up at 200 mL/min, the prefilter flow rate at 800 mL/h, and the
 replacement fluid flow rate at 1600 mL/h.

123 - Contact time: the experiment was performed during 72 hours.

124 The CVVH modelling was performed in a closed circuit without the hemofilter, because of the 125 degradation of the filter membrane by the ethanolic simulant. The modelling was performed in 126 triplicate.

127

128 2.1.2 CVVH model with blood simulant

129 The aim of this model was to reflect more closely the clinical conditions and to measure the real 130 exposure of patients to DEHA and its metabolites. To this end, the simulant used was blood.

131 The model is presented in figure 3.

132 The model conditions established according to the clinical practice were the following ones:

- Simulant: 10 UI/mL heparinized sheep blood provided by Fiebig Nährstofftechniik animal
- blood products. The volume of blood used was 500 mL and was regularly replaced with
- 135 312mL during the procedure to compensate the losses due to the samplings.
- Flow rate: the flow rates were set up in accordance with the standard CVVH practice , i.e the
- 137 blood flow rate was set up at 200 mL/min, the prefilter flow rate at 800 mL/h, and the
- 138 replacement fluid flow rate at 1600 mL/h
- 139 Contact time: the experiment was performed during 72 hours.

The CVVH modelling was performed in a closed circuit with the hemofilter and an effluent line. Themodelling was performed in triplicate.

142 2.2 Samples

143 In both models, ethanolic simulant and blood samples were collected at H0 (immediately after the 144 priming of the circuit) and after 1, 2, 4 and 8 hours and then every 8 hours during 72 hours. These 145 samples were collected in triplicate and stored in hemolysis tubes. The samples were stored at 4 ° C 146 until plasticizer quantification by GC-MS.

147 In the first model, 5 mL samples were withdrawn from the return line (post filter sampling site). In the 148 second model, there were 3 sampling sites: pre-filter, post-filter and effluent line. The samples were 149 immediately centrifuged at 3000 rpm during 6 minutes then stored at 4°C until plasticizer 150 quantification by GC-MS.

151

152 2.3 Analysis of DEHA and its primary metabolite

153 Different analyses were conducted:

154 - Quantification of DEHA:

in the PVC matrix of the medical devices: to obtain the initial amount of DEHA in MD
 before performing the migration assay according to the model

157 o in the ethanol/water simulant at each contact time within the first model

Quantification of DEHA and its metabolites in the sheep plasma from the blood collected at
 each contact time within the second model.

160

Plasticizer quantification was carried out by gas chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry
(GC-MS) in the simulant solution samples or after a chloroform extraction from the MD or from the
simulant (supplementary file no1, SF no1), according to the method published by Bourdeaux *et al.*(21). Briefly, plasticizer quantification was performed using a Clarus 500 (Perkin Elmer,USA) using
electronic impact ionization tuned to 70 eV and an Optima 5 Accent, 5% diphenyl 95%
dimethylpolysiloxane (30 m × 0.25 µm × 0.25 mmID) capillary column (Macherey-Nagel, Germany).
The oven temperature curve started at 200 °C for 1 min then rose to 300 °C at a rate of 20 °C/min.

The oven then remained at 300 °C for seven minutes. The total analysis time was 15 min (21 min
between two injections). The gas mobile phase was N55 helium , with a flow rate through the column
of 1.20 mL/min.

171

According to Silva *et a*l (22), in blood DEHA is quickly metabolized into the hydrolytic monoester mono-2-ethylhexyl adipate (MEHA), which is further metabolized into adipic acid and other oxidative products by liver microsomes.

We therefore assessed the rates of DEHA released into blood by the hemofilter system and the amounts of MEHA synthetized during the CVVH procedure.

177 The analyses of DEHA and its metabolite in the blood were also performed by GC-MS after an 178 extraction step described in SF no1. To measure the MEHA produced during the CVVH process, an 179 additional step of derivatization was necessary. To this end, 400 µL of ethyl acetate, 200 µL of 180 methanol and 100 µL of trimethylsilyl diazomethane (2 mol/L solution in hexane) were added to the 181 dry residue immediately after the extraction of the metabolites from the blood. After one hour of 182 contact, the solution was evaporated under nitrogen and the dry residue was dissolved in 1mL of a 2µg/mL BBP solution. Samples were then analyzed by GC-MS, according to the method published by 183 184 Bourdeaux et al (21).

For both DEHA and MEHA analysis, the method from Bourdeaux et al (21) was adapted in order to quantify them in a single run. To this end, the oven temperature curve started at 200°C for 1 min and rose to 300°C at a rate of 10°C/min. The oven then remained at 300°C for 2 minutes.

188 The validation parameters of this method were as follow :

189 - Calibration ranged from 0.1 to 7.5 μ g/mL for DEHA and from 1 to 7.5 μ g/mL for MEHA

190 - The limits of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) values were respectively 0.03 μ g/mL

and 0.1 μ g/mL for DEHA and 0.05 μ g/mL and 1 μ g/mL for MEHA. Estimation of the LOD was

191

performed based on ICH guidelines Q2R1 Validation of Analytical Procedures using the
 signal-to-noise methodology with a signal-to-noise ratio of 3:1.

194 - The mean inter-day precision values were all under 10% for DEHA and under 15% for MEHA.

- The accuracy of the method was comprised respectively between 2.02% and 11.31% for
 DEHA and 2.46% and 9.18% for MEHA.
- The extraction recovery was experimentally determined by individually spiking blank sheep
 blood samples with DEHA and MEHA and measuring the respective quantities of the
 plasticizer and its metabolite, on three different samples for three successive days. Mean
 recovery coefficients were of 101.13 and 102.02 % for respectively DEHA and MEHA.
- For the model 2 using sheep blood, the background levels of DEHA and MEHA were
 evaluated by passing samples of the sheep blood taken straight from their primary container
 (glass bottles), before any other use. No DEHA or MEHA was detected. The priming of the
 circuit was afterwards done directely from the glass bottles containing the sheep blood, so as
 to avoid any contaminations.
- 206
- 207 **3. Results**

208

209 3.1 DEHA in PVC MD

The hemofilter set is composed of 9 tubings and a PVC effluent bag. The amount of DEHA in the different tubings varied from 34.2 % to 46.2% (figure 4).

DEHA was not present in the effluent bag. This bag was plasticized with 31.8 % of DEHP, and is not used in this study because the plasticizer released does not come into contact with the patient's blood.

No other plasticizer than DEHA was present in the 9 tubings. Only a few traces of DEHP were
detected but they remained below the limit of quantification (LOQ)

218	3.2 Migration of DEHA into ethanolic simulant during CVVH
219	Figure 5 presents the concentrations of DEHA released from PVC hemofilter set in the first model.
220	The release of DEHA follows a linear release kinetic during the first 8 hours of contact and reaches a
221	plateau at about 230 μ g/mL, which corresponds to a quantity higher than 1200 mg of DEHA (in 5 L of
222	simulant) potentially received by the patient.
223	This quantity represents less than 1.5% of the total amount of DEHA contained in the hemofilter set.
224	
225	3.3 Analysis of DEHA released and MEHA produced into blood during CVVH
226	The figure 6 presents the concentrations of DEHA and MEHA in $\mu g/mL$ at the three sites of
227	sampling (pre-filter, post-filter and effluent line)
228	
229	DEHA and MEHA were found at T0 (immediately after priming) but not at the same sites: DEHA is
230	only present in the effluent line at a background level of 0.15±0.02 μ g/mL whereas 1.17±0.05
231	μ g/mL of MEHA is found at the post-filter site. DEHA and MEHA appear gradually into blood (pre-
232	and postfilter).
233	Indeed, both concentrations of DEHA and MEHA show a constant increase during the first 24
234	hours (figures 7 and 8). However, their kinetic profile is different: the slope of the regression line
235	of MEHA is more than twice higher than that of DEHA, both for pre-filter and post-filter sites. So,
236	MEHA is produced nearly as fast as DEHA is released into blood from PVC tubing during the first
237	24 hours of the CVVH procedure. Whereas the increase in DEHA concentration slowed down
238	during the experiment, MEHA shows a significant and constant increase over the perfusion time,

both before and after the filter. Concentrations of MEHA were still increasing at the end of the
perfusion, reaching a mean of 370 μg/mL at T72h.

Moreover, the mass of MEHA quantified in the blood was between two and three times higher of magnitude than that of DEHA. In fact, the concentration of MEHA doubles after the second hour of infusion time, is six fold after 8 hours and about 12 times higher at 24h, when compared to T0 concentrations.

- 245 No DEHA was found in the effluent line, whereas MEHA is present from the 24th hour, at a 246 quantity of 2.6% and 2.5% of the quantity found in the postfilter and prefilter samples.
- 247
- 248

249 **4. Discussion**

Due to the rapidly growing worldwide regulations to limit the use of DEHP, other plasticizers like
DEHA are more and more used as alternatives to soften the PVC of MD (28), such as dialysis tubings.
Patients in ICU undergoing hemofiltration are therefore potentially exposed to DEHA and its
metabolite MEHA, during CVVH procedures which may last 72 hours or more.

254 In our study, the high levels of DEHA and MEHA in the sheep blood demonstrate, for the first time, 255 that adult ICU patients could be continuously exposed to substantial levels of DEHA released from 256 the CVVH tubings. As shown by the first model, a patient could be exposed every day to more than 1 257 g of DEHA. In the field of extracorporeal circulation as treatment of ICU patients, the release of 258 plasticizers from PVC tubes has been evaluated exclusively with DEHP. In the works of Dine et al (15), 259 Kambia et al (14) and Faouzi et al (6), patients with chronic renal failure underwent dialysis for a 4 h-260 period three times a week. The authors showed that patients are exposed to 16.40 mg, 122.95 mg 261 and 75.26 mg respectively for 4h sessions 3 times a week, corresponding approximatively to 7.03 262 mg; 52.69 mg and 32.3 mg per day of DEHP. With ex-vivo hemodialysis models, quantities of DEHP

263 found in blood could reach 6.10 mg (10) and 7.80 mg (29) in standard conditions reflecting a 4 hours 264 hemodialysis session. In our study, patients hospitalized in ICU may potentially be exposed to high 265 quantities of DEHA, reaching 764 mg after 4 hours of CVVH procedure. This data has to be related to 266 the specific nature of CVVH, which differs from intermittent hemodialysis. Some specific parameters 267 related to CVVH are in favor of a higher release of plasticizer: the length of the tubing (30), the 268 contact time with blood of patients (31) and the flow rate (32). In our study the calculated 269 administered daily intake of DEHA for a normal adult of 70 kg is higher than 16 mg/kg/j, which could 270 be compared to the reference values of DNEL. Due to the lack of data related to the IV route, it 271 should be relevant to compare our estimated result (16 mg/kg/d) to an average DNEL of 6.23 272 mg/kg/d as proposed by Bui et al (24), rather than the limit of 1.3 mg/kd/d fixed by European 273 Regulation (33) for dietary exposure. However, the last review of DEHA toxicology provided by the 274 Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) reported a DNEL of 170 mg/kg/d in the general 275 population. Despite this new data, the risk related to DEHA exposure via CVVH can not be excluded, 276 because of the inherent cytoxicity of DEHA, as reported by Eljezi et al (23). The amounts of DEHA 277 released in the simulant exceed by far the limit of 0.1 mg/mL during all time of the CVVH procedure 278 (23).

279 Moreover, this may be a worrying situation because patients are also exposed to the metabolite 280 MEHA. Indeed, DEHA is converted continuously to MEHA, from the first hours of the perfusion since 281 MEHA appears in blood immediately after priming at a level of $1.17\pm0.05 \ \mu g/mL$ in the postfilter site. 282 Thus, due to the major contact between the tubings and patients' blood, patients undergoing CVVH 283 are exposed to DEHA but even more to MEHA, for which measured concentrations were higher than 284 those of DEHA. In this work, both studied models are complementary and showed that patients are 285 potentially exposed to a maximum of 1200mg of DEHA within one session of CVVH (model 1) and 286 that all this quantity will be transformed continuously into MEHA (model 2) which which could 287 intoxicate these same patients. Throughout our experiments, the amount of DEHA remains lower 288 than that of MEHA, with DEHA over MEHA ratios ranging from 15.4% to 32.7% in the prefilter site

289 and from 17.7% to 31.5% in the post-filter site. This reflects an important and fast first hydrolysis 290 happening in the blood and is of great concern because these high MEHA blood levels match the 291 concentrations that may induce effects ex-vivo. Indeed, from day 1, the concentrations of MEHA are 292 above the cytotoxicity limit of 0.1mg/mL reported by Eljezi et al (23). This result is far from that 293 obtained by Munch et al, who showed that the ratio between DEHP and MEHP in blood is constant 294 during the perfusion experiment with a DEHP ratio ranging between 95.8% and 97.6% and MEHP 295 ratio ranging between 2.4% and 4.2% (34). According to Melzak et al (35), plasticizers like DEHP 296 interact with albumin that is contained in blood. Due to their poor solubility in water, like DEHP, we 297 can hypothezise that DEHA and MEHA also interact with albumin contained in the sheep blood in the 298 second model and are transported by this protein. The presence of DEHA and MEHA in the postfilter 299 line and the absence of DEHA in the effluent line suggest that they are not filtered by the hemofilter 300 because of a higher cut-off of the hemofilter than the albumin size. Regarding the low concentrations 301 of MEHA found in the effluent line, we can hypothezise that the albumin binding sites are saturated 302 after many hours, providing small quantities of free MEHA (which molecular weight is lower). 303 Moreover, the effluent line is the only one not containing blood but a crystalloid solution (filtrated 304 through the hemofilter) that can extract less plasticizers than blood.

The early onset of MEHA into blood might be explained by a previous hydrolysis in the PVC matrix as demonstrated with DEHP by Münch et al (34). This effect could be attributed to impurities of the additives used or to a degradation process during storage of the tubing sets. Haned et al also showed that the contents of DEHP detected in the solutions in contact with out of date PVC bags were 10 times higher than the concentration detected in new PVC bags, confirming the origin of this compound from the plastic material (36). In our study, the presence of MEHA into the CVVH tubing before the start of the CVV procedure should not be excluded.

Finally, patients undergoing CVVH are not only exposed to DEHA during their entire management care. They can be exposed to BPA through dialysis tubing and BPA containing polysulfones in hemodialysers or hemofilters (4,5) which may contribute to BPA burden in patients on hemodialysis

315 (37), like the dialysate contamination of 22.7±15.6 ng/L on average presented by Bacle et al (38). BPA 316 is also an endocrine disruptor, linking them to infertility, developmental changes, cancer, and 317 changes in thyroid function (39,40). DEHA has not yet been shown to induce testicular toxicity or 318 antiandrogenic effects (41), but has been reported to cause disturbed estrous cycles and increased 319 atresia of ovarian follicles at high doses (42). Moreover, a recent in silico study revealed that DEHA 320 showed a high binding affinity with sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG), as BPA did, which may 321 hinder the availability of estrogens and androgens to target tissues resulting in organ dysfunction 322 (43) . Ghisari et al also showed that some plasticizers, including DEHA, elicited endocrine-disrupting 323 potential that can be mediated via interference with the estrogen and thyroid hormone systems (44). Secondly, critically ill patients admitted in ICU are subjected to numerous medical procedures, which 324 325 include many plasticized MD, and thus are major sources of exposure to plasticizers, with positive 326 correlation between the grade of exposure and blood levels of DEHP metabolites (12). Finally, renal 327 insufficiency may impair the excretion of plasticizers such as DEHA, causing a rise in the serum levels, 328 as it has been demonstrated by Yamakasi et al (5).

The design of our study allowed us to evaluate the exposure risk to DEHA for patients undergoingCVVH but has some limitations:

the first model was done in a closed-loop, which may explain the higher concentrations of
 DEHA released in ethanol than those released into blood. As the extraction ability of the
 water/ethanol mixture is higher than that of blood as demonstrated by Luo et al (45), the
 conditions of this first model may have led to an overestimation of the maximum exposure
 dose of DEHA.

- Contrary to the *ex-vivo* models of Lewis et al (10) or Haishima et al (29), we studied the concentrations of both the plasticizer DEHA and its metabolite MEHA, which helps understand and assess the real risk of exposure linked to their respective toxicities. However, to reflect the clinical conditions, this study should be completed by an *in-vivo* evaluation (biomonitoring) of the oxidized metabolites of DEHA in urines of inpatients undergoing CVVH. In the work of Bastiaensen et al,

341	metabolites of DEHA (5-OH-MEHA and 5-oxo-MEHA) were found with higher levels in the urines of
342	patients undergoing CVVH (or ECMO) than those measured in control patients (46). However, it is
343	difficult to assess the correlation of those levels with the intensity of their exposure to DEHA
344	because no information is available on the number and the composition of the medical devices used
345	for the two procedures (CVVH and ECMO). A global risk consideration is needed for such patients,
346	including the potential sources and factors influencing inside the ICU environment.

- 347
- 348

349 **5.** Conclusions

Due to the current concern about the replacement of DEHP leading to the use of «safer» MD, our study showed that DEHA might not be the best alternative as MD plasticizers for CVVH tubings. It has demonstrated that DEHA migration ability is higher compared to that of other plasticizers like DEHT or TOTM (47). Considering these issues, further research into the assessment of tubings made with plasticizers with less migration potential and into the clinical effects of the leaching from MD in ICU patients undergoing CVVH should be performed.

356

357

358 Acknowledgments

359 The authors wish to thank Baxter for the loan of the dialysis machine used for both studied models.

360

361

362 References

Rachoin J-S, Weisberg LS. Renal Replacement Therapy in the ICU. Critical Care Medicine 2019;
 47: 715–721.

2. 365 Krieter DH, Canaud B, Lemke H-D et al. Bisphenol A in chronic kidney disease. Artificial Organs 366 2013; 37: 283-290. 367 3. Shintani H. Determination of the endocrine disrupter bisphenol—A in the blood of uremia 368 patients treated by dialysis. Chromatographia 2001; 53: 331–333. Murakami K, Ohashi A, Hori H et al. Accumulation of bisphenol A in hemodialysis patients. 369 4. 370 Blood Purification 2007; 25: 290-294. Yamasaki H, Nagake Y, Makino H. Determination of bisphenol a in effluents of hemodialyzers. 371 5. 372 Nephron 2001; 88: 376-378. 373 Faouzi MA, Dine T, Gressier B et al. Exposure of hemodialysis patients to di-2-ethylhexyl 6. 374 phthalate. International Journal of Pharmaceutics 1999; 180: 113-121. 7. Pollack GM, Buchanan JF, Slaughter RL, Kohli RK, Shen DD. Circulating concentrations of di(2-375 376 ethylhexyl) phthalate and its de-esterified phthalic acid products following plasticizer exposure in patients receiving hemodialysis. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology 1985; 79: 257–267. 377 8. 378 Gibson TP, Briggs WA, Boone BJ. Delivery of di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate to patients during 379 hemodialysis. The Journal of Laboratory and Clinical Medicine 1976; 87: 519–524. 380 9. Flaminio LM, Bergia R, De Angelis L et al. The fate of leached di-(2-ethylhexyl)-phthalate (DEHP) in patients on chronic haemodialysis. The International Journal of Artificial Organs 1988; 11: 381 382 428-434. 383 10. Lewis LM, Flechtner TW, Kerkay J, Pearson KH, Nakamoto S. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate 384 concentrations in the serum of hemodialysis patients. Clinical Chemistry 1978; 24: 741–746. 11. Fayz S, Herbert R, Martin AM. The release of plasticizer from polyvinyl chloride haemodialysis 385 tubing. The Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology 1977; 29: 407–410. 386 387 12. Huygh J, Clotman K, Malarvannan G et al. Considerable exposure to the endocrine disrupting chemicals phthalates and bisphenol-A in intensive care unit (ICU) patients. Environment 388 389 International 2015; 81: 64-72. 390 13. Yakubovich M, Vienken J. Is there a need for plasticizer-free biomaterials in dialysis therapy? 391 Medical Device Technology 2000; 11: 12–13, 18–21. 392 Kambia K, Dine T, Azar R, Gressier B, Luyckx M, Brunet C. Comparative study of the leachability 14. 393 of di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate and tri(2-ethylhexyl) trimellitate from haemodialysis tubing. 394 International Journal of Pharmaceutics 2001; 229: 139–146. 395 15. Dine T, Luyckx M, Gressier B et al. A pharmacokinetic interpretation of increasing 396 concentrations of DEHP in haemodialysed patients. Medical Engineering & Physics 2000; 22: 397 157-165. Huang H-B, Chuang C-J, Su P-H et al. Prenatal and Childhood Exposure to Phthalate Diesters and 398 16. 399 Thyroid Function in a 9-Year Follow-up Birth Cohort Study: Taiwan Maternal and Infant Cohort 400 Study. Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass.) 2017; 28 Suppl 1: S10–S18. 401 17. Andrianou XD, Gängler S, Piciu A et al. Human Exposures to Bisphenol A, Bisphenol F and 402 Chlorinated Bisphenol A Derivatives and Thyroid Function. PloS One 2016; 11: e0155237.

- 403 18. Andra SS, Makris KC. Association between urinary levels of bisphenol A and its
 404 monochlorinated derivative and obesity. Journal of Environmental Science and Health. Part A,
 405 Toxic/Hazardous Substances & Environmental Engineering 2015; 50: 1169–1179.
- 406
 19. Regulation (EU) 2017/745 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 April 2017 on
 407 medical devices, amending Directive 2001/83/EC, Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 and Regulation
 408 (EC) No 1223/2009 and repealing Council Directives 90/385/EEC and 93/42/EEC (Text with EEA
 409 relevance.) [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2018 Aug 23] .Available from:
- 410 http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2017/745/oj/eng
- Testai E, Hartemann P, Rastogi SC et al. The safety of medical devices containing DEHP
 plasticized PVC or other plasticizers on neonates and other groups possibly at risk (2015
 update). Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology 2016; 76: 209–210.
- 414 21. Bourdeaux D, Yessaad M, Chennell P et al. Analysis of PVC plasticizers in medical devices and
 415 infused solutions by GC-MS. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis 2016; 118: 206–
 416 213.
- Silva MJ, Samandar E, Ye X, Calafat AM. In vitro metabolites of di-2-ethylhexyl adipate (DEHA)
 as biomarkers of exposure in human biomonitoring applications. Chemical Research in
 Toxicology 2013; 26: 1498–1502.
- 420 23. Eljezi T, Pinta P, Richard D et al. In vitro cytotoxic effects of DEHP-alternative plasticizers and
 421 their primary metabolites on a L929 cell line. Chemosphere 2017; 173: 452–459.
- 422 24. Bui TT, Giovanoulis G, Cousins AP, Magnér J, Cousins IT, de Wit CA. Human exposure, hazard
 423 and risk of alternative plasticizers to phthalate esters. The Science of the Total Environment
 424 2016; 541: 451–467.
- 425 25. Nehring A, Bury D, Ringbeck B et al. Metabolism and urinary excretion kinetics of di(2426 ethylhexyl) adipate (DEHA) in four human volunteers after a single oral dose. Toxicology Letters
 427 2019;
- 428 26. Bernard L, Cueff R, Chagnon M et al. Migration of plasticizers from PVC medical devices:
 429 Development of an infusion model. International Journal of Pharmaceutics 2015; 494: 136–145.
- 430 27. Fernandez-Canal C, Pinta P-G, Eljezi T et al. Patients' exposure to PVC plasticizers from ECMO
 431 circuits. Expert Review of Medical Devices 2018; 15: 377–383.
- 432 28. Gimeno P, Thomas S, Bousquet C et al. Identification and quantification of 14 phthalates and 5
 433 non-phthalate plasticizers in PVC medical devices by GC-MS. Journal of Chromatography. B,
 434 Analytical Technologies in the Biomedical and Life Sciences 2014; 949–950: 99–108.
- 435 29. Haishima Y, Matsuda R, Hayashi Y, Hasegawa C, Yagami T, Tsuchiya T. Risk assessment of di(2436 ethylhexyl)phthalate released from PVC blood circuits during hemodialysis and pump437 oxygenation therapy. International Journal of Pharmaceutics 2004; 274: 119–129.
- 30. Bagel-Boithias S, Sautou-Miranda V, Bourdeaux D, Tramier V, Boyer A, Chopineau J. Leaching of
 diethylhexyl phthalate from multilayer tubing into etoposide infusion solutions. American
 journal of health-system pharmacy: AJHP: official journal of the American Society of HealthSystem Pharmacists 2005; 62: 182–188.

- 442 31. Loff S, Kabs F, Subotic U, Schaible T, Reinecke F, Langbein M. Kinetics of diethylhexyl-phthalate
 443 extraction From polyvinylchloride-infusion lines. JPEN. Journal of parenteral and enteral
 444 nutrition 2002; 26: 305–309.
- Bernard L, Eljezi T, Clauson H et al. Effects of flow rate on the migration of different plasticizers
 from PVC infusion medical devices. PloS One 2018; 13: e0192369.
- 447 33. The Danish Environmental Protection Agency. Alternatives to classified phthalates in medical
 448 devices. 2014;
- 449 34. Münch F, Höllerer C, Klapproth A et al. Effect of phospholipid coating on the migration of
 450 plasticizers from PVC tubes. Chemosphere 2018; 202: 742–749.
- 451 35. Melzak KA, Uhlig S, Kirschhöfer F, Brenner-Weiss G, Bieback K. The Blood Bag Plasticizer Di-2452 Ethylhexylphthalate Causes Red Blood Cells to Form Stomatocytes, Possibly by Inducing Lipid
 453 Flip-Flop. Transfusion Medicine and Hemotherapy: Offizielles Organ Der Deutschen Gesellschaft
 454 Fur Transfusionsmedizin Und Immunhamatologie 2018; 45: 413–422.
- 455 36. Haned Z, Moulay S, Lacorte S. Migration of plasticizers from poly(vinyl chloride) and multilayer
 456 infusion bags using selective extraction and GC-MS. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical
 457 Analysis 2018; 156: 80–87.
- 458 37. Bosch-Panadero E, Mas S, Sanchez-Ospina D et al. The Choice of Hemodialysis Membrane
 459 Affects Bisphenol A Levels in Blood. Journal of the American Society of Nephrology: JASN 2016;
 460 27: 1566–1574.
- 38. Bacle A, Thevenot S, Grignon C et al. Determination of bisphenol A in water and the medical
 devices used in hemodialysis treatment. International Journal of Pharmaceutics 2016; 505:
 115–121.
- 464 39. Alonso-Magdalena P, Morimoto S, Ripoll C, Fuentes E, Nadal A. The estrogenic effect of
 465 bisphenol A disrupts pancreatic beta-cell function in vivo and induces insulin resistance.
 466 Environmental Health Perspectives 2006; 114: 106–112.
- 467 40. Lang IA, Galloway TS, Scarlett A et al. Association of urinary bisphenol A concentration with
 468 medical disorders and laboratory abnormalities in adults. JAMA 2008; 300: 1303–1310.
- 469 41. Borch J, Ladefoged O, Hass U, Vinggaard AM. Steroidogenesis in fetal male rats is reduced by
 470 DEHP and DINP, but endocrine effects of DEHP are not modulated by DEHA in fetal, prepubertal
 471 and adult male rats. Reproductive Toxicology (Elmsford, N.Y.) 2004; 18: 53–61.
- 472 42. Miyata K, Shiraishi K, Houshuyama S et al. Subacute oral toxicity study of di(2473 ethylhexyl)adipate based on the draft protocol for the "Enhanced OECD Test Guideline no.
 474 407." Archives of Toxicology 2006; 80: 181–186.
- 43. Sheikh IA, Beg MA. Structural characterization of potential endocrine disrupting activity of
 alternate plasticizers di-(2-ethylhexyl) adipate (DEHA), acetyl tributyl citrate (ATBC) and 2,2,4trimethyl 1,3-pentanediol diisobutyrate (TPIB) with human sex hormone-binding globulin.
 Reproductive Toxicology (Elmsford, N.Y.) 2019; 83: 46–53.
- 479 44. Ghisari M, Bonefeld-Jorgensen EC. Effects of plasticizers and their mixtures on estrogen
 480 receptor and thyroid hormone functions. Toxicology Letters 2009; 189: 67–77.

- 481 45. Luo H, Sun G, Shi Y, Shen Y, Xu K. Evaluation of the Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate released from
 482 polyvinyl chloride medical devices that contact blood. SpringerPlus 2014; 3: 58.
- 483 46. Bastiaensen M, Malarvannan G, Been F et al. Metabolites of phosphate flame retardants and
 484 alternative plasticizers in urine from intensive care patients. Chemosphere 2019; 233: 590–596.
- 485 47. Bernard L, Décaudin B, Lecoeur M et al. Analytical methods for the determination of DEHP
 486 plasticizer alternatives present in medical devices: a review. Talanta 2014; 129: 39–54.

489 Figures

- 490 Figure 1: Metabolism of DEHA in humans (reproduced from Nehring et al, (25))
- 491 Figure 2: Scheme of Continuous Venovenous Hemofiltration (CVVH)model with ethanolic simulant
- 492 Figure 3: Scheme of Continuous Venovenous Hemofiltration (CVVH) model with blood simulant
- Figure 4: Composition of the Prismaflex[®] set used in the study (DEHA % of plasticized PVC mass)
 (from ecatalog.baxter.com)
- 495 Figure 5: Quantity of DEHA released in the CVVH circuit (first model) at each contact time
- 496 (concentration in μ g/mL, quantity in mg) and amount in %, g per 100 g of PVC) (mean ± standard 497 deviation)
- 498 Figure 6: Concentrations of DEHA and MEHA (mean with confidence intervals) in blood during the499 assay with the second model
- 500 Figure 7: Pre- and postfilter concentrations of DEHA (mean with 95% confidence intervals) released
- 501 from Prismaflex[®] tubings versus the square root of the time for the first 24 hours of the Continuous
- 502 Venovenous Hemofiltration (CVVH) procedure
- 503 Figure 8: Pre- and postfilter concentrations of MEHA (mean with 95% confidence intervals) released

504 from Priimaflex[®] tubings versus the square root of the time for the first 24 hours of the Continuous

- 505 Venovenous Hemofiltration (CVVH) procedure
- 506



507

508 Figure 1 : Metabolism of DEHA in humans (reproduced from Nehring et al, (25))

509









Figure 3: Scheme of Continuous Venovenous Hemofiltration (CVVH) model with blood simulant



520 Figure 4: Composition of the Prismaflex[®] set used in the study (DEHA % of plasticized PVC mass) (from ecatalog.baxter.com)





Figure 5 : Quantity of DEHA released in the CVVH circuit (first model) at each contact time (concentration in μg/mL, quantity
 in mg) and amount in %, g per 100 g of PVC) (mean ± standard deviation)



Figure 6: Concentrations of DEHA and MEHA (mean with confidence intervals) in blood during the assay with the second model





versus the square root of the time for the first 24 hours of the Continuous Venovenous Hemofiltration (CVVH) procedure



538 Figure 8: Pre- and postfilter concentrations of MEHA (mean with 95% confidence intervals) released from Priimaflex®

tubings versus the square root of the time for the first 24 hours of the Continuous Venovenous Hemofiltration (CVVH)
 procedure