CORRIGENDUM

Corrigendum: Determining dose enhancement factors of high-Z nanoparticles from simulations where lateral secondary particle disequilibrium exists (2019 *Phys. Med. Biol.* <u>64</u> 155016)

To cite this article: Hans Rabus et al 2020 Phys. Med. Biol. 65 159501

View the article online for updates and enhancements.



You may also like

- Determining dose enhancement factors of high-Z nanoparticles from simulations where lateral secondary particle disequilibrium exists Hans Rabus, Elisabetta Gargioni, Wei Bo Li et al.
- <u>Refinements of the Weyl tensor</u> <u>classification in five dimensions</u> Alan Coley, Sigbjørn Hervik, Marcello Ortaggio et al.
- Algebraic classification of five-dimensional spacetimes using scalar invariants A A Coley, S Hervik, M N Durkee et al.

SunCHECK® Powering Quality Management in Radiation Therapy

See why 1,600+ users have chosen SunCHECK for automated, integrated Patient QA and Machine QA.

Learn more >





This content was downloaded from IP address 81.194.12.17 on 19/06/2023 at 08:09

CORRIGENDUM

PEM Institute or Filyalos and Engineering in Medicine

Physics in Medicine & Biology



RECEIVED 23 June 2020

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION 26 June 2020 PUBLISHED

31 July 2020

Corrigendum: Determining dose enhancement factors of high-Z nanoparticles from simulations where lateral secondary particle disequilibrium exists (2019 *Phys. Med. Biol.* 64 155016)

Hans Rabus¹, Elisabetta Gargioni², Wei Bo Li³, Heidi Nettelbeck⁴ and Carmen Villagrasa⁵

- ¹ Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB), 10587 Berlin, Germany
- ² University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, 20251 Hamburg, Germany
- ³ German Research Center for Environmental Health, 85764 Neuherberg, Germany
- ⁴ Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB), 38116 Braunschweig, Germany
- ⁵ Institut de Radioprotection et Sureté Nucleaire (IRSN), 92262 Fontenay-aux-Roses, France

E-mail: hans.rabus@ptb.de

In our paper (Rabus *et al* 2019) we presented a procedure to determine the dose enhancement factors (DEFs) for gold nanoparticles (GNPs) for an extended photon radiation field from simulations with narrow beam geometries. In the context of different work, it has become evident that there has been an error in the ROOT software routine used for processing the simulation data for the purpose of our article. More precisely, in the command line where the deposited energy obtained from the simulation was converted to the energy density in the spherical shells used for scoring, the volume of the spherical shells was implemented as

 $(4/3)^* 3.1416^* \left((rmax^*rmax^*rmax) - (rmin^*rmin^*rmin) \right)$

Using integers in the factor 4/3 in the first bracket leads to an integer output, i.e. 1 instead of 1.3333... In consequence, the values shown as simulation results in figure 5 of our paper were enhanced by a factor of 4/3 and so were the values for the dose enhancement factors for an extended photon field in figures 6 and 7. The corrected figures are presented below.

Furthermore, we noted the following typos in the article:

• A wrong sign in the denominator on the right-hand side of equation (4). The correct expression is

$$x_{Au} = \frac{\rho_{Au}}{\rho_w} \times \frac{r_{np}^3}{r_{sp}^3 + \left(\frac{\rho_{Au}}{\rho_w} - 1\right) r_{np}^3}$$
(4)

In producing the data for figures 7 and 9, the correct equation as given above was used, however.

- In the paragraph on page 7 below equation (12), it should read '... is on the order of $\frac{1}{3} (\mu r)^2 \dots z$ in equation (11) ... '
- In the Conclusion, the second recommendation should read '... as given in equation (8). ...'

Correcting the identified data processing error leads to a reduction of the predicted DEF for GNPs irradiated with an extended field of diagnostic x-rays by a factor of ³/₄. In consequence, the conclusions of our publication (regarding the magnitude of the DEFs for an extended beam and the limited radial range where significant DEFs occur) remain.



Figure 5. Dose per fluence as a function of the radial distance from a gold nanoparticle (NP) of (a) 50 nm and (b) 100 nm diameter surrounded by water that is centrally irradiated by a 100 kVp photon beam with diameter of NP diameter + 10 nm for the case that the NP volume is filled with water (dark-grey squares) or gold (light-grey diamonds). The solid line is the value obtained for the absorbed dose in water estimated by taking into account only the primary photons and assuming secondary electron equilibrium (SEE) conditions. The light-grey circles indicate the estimated absorbed dose per fluence in the presence of the gold NP.







Figure 7. Variation of the average dose enhancement factor in a spherical water shell around a gold nanoparticle (NP) with the outer radius of the spherical shell. The data apply to the 100 kVp photon energy spectrum and are calculated from the radial distribution of imparted energy (cf figure 5). The diamonds represent the simulation results for circular photon beams of 60 nm and 110 nm diameter for the 50 nm and 100 nm diameter spherical gold NPs, respectively. The circles show the results obtained for a larger photon field ensuring secondary electron equilibrium (SEE), where the absorbed dose to water was estimated using equation (13) in Rabus *et al* (2019) (solid line in figure 5). The solid lines are the upper limits according to equation (8) in Rabus *et al* (2019).

ORCID iDs

Hans Rabus (a) https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7700-9845 Elisabetta Gargioni (a) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8204-0609 Wei Bo Li (b) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9802-4400

Reference

Rabus H, Gargioni E, Li W B, Nettelbeck H and Villagrasa C 2019 Determining dose enhancement factors of high-Z nanoparticles from simulations where lateral secondary particle disequilibrium exists *Phys. Med. Biol.* **64** 155016