

# VEGFR1 domain 2 covalent labeling with horseradish peroxidase: Development of a displacement assay on VEGF

Marie Reille-Seroussi Reille-Seroussi, Jean-François Gaucher, Laure-Anne Cussac, Isabelle Broutin, Michel Vidal, Sylvain Broussy

### ▶ To cite this version:

Marie Reille-Seroussi Reille-Seroussi, Jean-François Gaucher, Laure-Anne Cussac, Isabelle Broutin, Michel Vidal, et al.. VEGFR1 domain 2 covalent labeling with horseradish peroxidase: Development of a displacement assay on VEGF. Analytical Biochemistry, 2017, 530, pp.107-112. 10.1016/j.ab.2017.05.004. hal-02519565

# HAL Id: hal-02519565 https://hal.science/hal-02519565

Submitted on 29 May 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## VEGFR1 domain 2 covalent labeling with horseradish peroxidase: Development of a displacement assay on VEGF

Marie Reille-Seroussi <sup>a, 1</sup>, Jean-François Gaucher <sup>b, \*, 1</sup>, Laure-Anne Cussac <sup>a</sup>, Isabelle Broutin <sup>b</sup>, Michel Vidal <sup>a, c</sup>, Sylvain Broussy <sup>a, \*\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> UMR 8638 CNRS Université Paris Descartes, Faculté de Pharmacie de Paris, Sorbonne Paris Cité, 4 Avenue de l'Observatoire, Paris 75006, France <sup>b</sup> UMR 8015 CNRS Université Paris Descartes, Faculté de Pharmacie de Paris, Sorbonne Paris Cité, 4 Avenue de l'Observatoire, Paris 75006, France <sup>c</sup> UF Pharmacocinétique et Pharmacochimie, Hôpital Cochin, AP-HP, 27 rue du Faubourg Saint Jacques, Paris 75014, France

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 December 2016 Received in revised form 8 March 2017 Accepted 2 May 2017 Available online 3 May 2017

Keywords: Angiogenesis VEGF Covalent labeling HRP Displacement assay

#### Introduction

# ABSTRACT

The VEGFR1 has been shown to play a role in the regulation of angiogenesis, and has therefore been associated to several pathologies. In order to extend our toolbox of screening methods for the identification of compounds disrupting the VEGF receptor 1/VEGF interaction, we developed a fast and accurate displacement assay, in which VEGF receptor 1 domain 2 is directly labeled with an enzyme, bypassing the classical streptavidin-biotin interaction system. A description of this straightforward strategy is provided here, including its advantages and disadvantages. Optimization of the reagents preparation, purification and conservation, and displacement assay with known molecular entities are presented.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier Inc.

The deregulation of angiogenesis is involved in cancer and inflammatory diseases like atherosclerosis, rheumatoid arthritis, and age-related macular degeneration (AMD) [1,2]. The development of molecules able to control angiogenesis is therefore the subject of intense research [3]. Vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGF) is the main pro-angiogenic factor, which interacts with the extracellular domain (ECD) of two cellular receptors (VEGFR1 and VEGFR2) [4]. Among the strategies developed to stop abnormal angiogenesis, disrupting the interaction of the VEGF with its receptors has led to the clinical use of the VEGF<sub>1-165</sub> targeting antibodies bevacizumab (Avastin) and ranibizumab (Lucentis) for the treatment of cancer and AMD, respectively [5]. Therefore, the identification of peptides, peptidomimetics and small molecules able to block these protein/ protein interactions, either through binding to the receptor or to the VEGF, has been actively pursued [6-10]. For this purpose,

Both authors contributed equally to this work.

several biochemical assays have been employed for an *in vitro* evaluation of molecules. A classical assav format was based on radioactive labeled VEGF [6.11.12]. Another homogeneous assav used fluorescent peptides competing for the VEGF<sub>1-165</sub> at the receptor binding site and detection by fluorescence polarization [13]. Displacement assays with chemiluminescence-based detection systems for the screening of compounds targeting the VEGFR1/ VEGF interaction have been widely employed in our group using both the seven Ig-like structural domains constituting the ECD (d1d7) or the d1-d3 domains [7,9,14–20]. Genentech, Inc. has also described two assays for the specific evaluation of the second domain of the VEGFR1<sub>ECD</sub> (VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>), which is the main VEGF binding site. The first one used biotinylated VEGF (btVEGF<sub>8-109</sub>) and a coated anti-VEGF monoclonal antibody that competes with VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> binding [11]. The second one used the biotinylated domain d2 of the VEGFR1 (btVEGFR1d2) and coated VEGF8-109, but few details on the experimental procedures have been disclosed [21]. This assay holds some interesting features. First, it allows for the identification of compounds that targets the VEGF/VEGFR1d2 binding site, either to the  $VEGF_{8-109}$  or to the  $VEGFR1_{d2}$  domain. It is therefore complementary to the previously described fluorescence polarization assay [13], which only detects compounds binding to the VEGF<sub>1-165</sub>. Moreover, it is complementary to the VEGFR1<sub>d1-d3</sub> or

Corresponding author.

Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: jean-francois.gaucher@parisdescartes.fr (J.-F. Gaucher), sylvain.broussy@parisdescartes.fr (S. Broussy).

VEGFR1<sub>ECD</sub>/*bt*VEGF<sub>1-165</sub> assays [7,9,14–20], which may detect compounds binding outside the targeted interface, on the whole d1-d3 or ECD surface. In order to develop a similar method, we tried to bind the VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> onto a 96-well plate and to incubate with *bt*VEGF<sub>1-165</sub>. Unfortunately, random and weak signal was obtained instead of the expected saturation binding curve. We hypothesized that the VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> interacted with the high binding surface of the plates through its hydrophobic VEGF binding area, therefore preventing further *bt*VEGF<sub>1-165</sub> fixation.

Interestingly, inspection of the VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>/VEGF<sub>8-109</sub> crystal structure [11] showed that all six lysine residues of the VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> were located outside the binding interface. This encouraged us to try a direct chemical labeling of VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> with the horseradish peroxidase (HRP). The conjugate, named VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP, was used as ligand of the VEGF and as enzyme-reporter. Therefore we developed a VEGF binding assay, in which the VEGF<sub>95</sub> (residues 13–107) was coated onto plates. After an incubation step with the VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP followed by a washing step, the remaining VEGF-bound VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP, was quantified by a chemiluminescence reaction. The assay may be used for competitive binding in two different ways: the competitors may be ligands of the VEGF or of the VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> (Fig. 1). We provide here a detailed description of the procedures required to perform the assay, and of its usefulness and limitations.

#### Material and methods

#### Protein expression, purification and refolding

The human VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> protein (residues 132–226, calculated molecular mass = 11006 g mol<sup>-1</sup> and  $\varepsilon_{275}$  = 11150 L mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained as previously described [18] and stored at -20 °C as 1 mg dry aliquots (protein content based on UV absorption at 280 nm) lyophilized from a 5 mM HEPES/NaOH pH 6.8 solution.

VEGF expression and purification were adapted from Ref. [22]. The synthetic gene of the human VEGF95 homodimer (residues 13–107, calculated molecular mass = 23056 g mol<sup>-1</sup> and  $\varepsilon_{280} = 12920 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) was cloned into pet22b (+) expression vector (Novagen, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and transformed in E. coli Rosetta 2 bacterial strain (Novagen, Darmstadt, Germany). Cultures were grown at 37 °C in LB supplemented with 150 µg/mL ampicillin, and protein expression was induced by 0.5 mM isopropyl  $\beta$ -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside. VEGF<sub>95</sub> was expressed as an insoluble protein. Cells were lysed by ultrasonic disruption in Tris/ Hepes buffer (50 mM/50 mM pH 8.1 containing 0.1% (w/v) Triton X-100). Inclusion bodies were isolated by centrifugation at 9500 g for 20 min. The pellet was further purified by three successive steps of sonication, washing (Tris/Hepes 50 mM/50 mM pH 8.0, NaCl 1 M) and centrifugations at 40000 g for 20 min. Typically, 160 mg of protein were solubilized in 125 mL of buffer containing urea 8 M, DTT 4 mM, Tris/HCl 12.5 mM pH 8.1, NaCl 250 mM, imidazole 5 mM and dialyzed overnight in 20 vol of Na<sub>3</sub> citrate 50 mM, cysteine 1 mM at 4 °C. Then it was dialyzed successively in 20 vol Tris/HCl 20 mM pH 8.1, CuSO<sub>4</sub> 1 mM and 20 vol Tris/HCl 20 mM pH 8.1 NaCl 250 mM. Misfolded protein was discarded by centrifugation at 40000 g during 20 min, and the supernatant was dialyzed two times against Tris/HCl 20 mM pH 8.1. Refolded protein was purified by anion-exchange chromatography on a Source 15Q column (Amersham-GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) in Tris/HCl 20 mM pH 8.1 and eluted with a 1 M NaCl gradient in the same buffer. The main elution peak corresponded to refolded VEGF<sub>95</sub>. Purity and homogeneity of disulfide bonding were checked by SDS-PAGE, with and without thiol reduction. The protein was eluted as a unique peak of 20.3 kDa apparent mass on analytical size exclusion

chromatography (SEC) (Superdex<sup>TM</sup> 75 HR 10/30 Amersham-GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK). It was dialyzed three times in pure water, concentrated by ultrafiltration, lyophilized and stored at -80 °C.

#### VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP conjugation and purification

The coupling procedure was adapted from the recommended method provided by the HRP maleimide activated supplier (Sigma Aldrich), using SATA (S-acetylthioglycolic acid N-hydroxysuccinimide ester) to introduce an additional thiol functional group [23]. One VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> aliquot (91 nmol, 1 mg) was dissolved in 100 µL water and further diluted to 1 mL with KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> 50 mM pH 7.5 with 1 mM EDTA. To the resulting 90  $\mu$ M VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> solution was added a 100 mM solution of SATA in DMF (typically 1.8 µL for 2 equivalents SATA). Some precipitate was observed and its quantity increased for higher SATA to VEGFR1d2 ratios. After 10 min at room temperature, the protein was separated from small molecules by SEC into the reaction buffer on an Econo-Pac<sup>®</sup> 10DG column (Bio-Rad). Fractions containing the protein were identified by UV, pooled and concentrated to 1 mL by ultrafiltration (MWCO 3000, Millipore). The thiol groups were deprotected by addition of 100  $\mu$ L of a hydroxylamine solution (prepared from 50 mmol of H<sub>2</sub>NOH · HCl and 2.5 mmol of EDTA in 100 mL of water, with the pH adjusted to 7.5 with solid Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>) [23]. After 1 h at room temperature in the dark, thiol content was titrated by Ellman analysis using  $\varepsilon_{412} = 14150 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} [24,25]$  and total protein content was determined by UV at 280 nm (see Table S1 for an optimization study of the ratio SATA/VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>). Typically, about 30% of recovered protein functionalized with 1.0-1.2 thiol group per protein was obtained with 2 equivalents of SATA. Solid HRP maleimide activated (1 equivalent corresponding to the measured thiol content, 25 nmol, 1.0 mg) was added and the reaction mixture was kept in the dark at room temperature for 3 h.  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol was then added to a final concentration of 1.5 mM, and after 15 min at room temperature, N-ethyl maleimide was added to a final concentration of 3.0 mM. After 15 additional minutes, the reaction was stopped by removing excess reagents on an Econo-Pac® 10DG column equilibrated with the reaction buffer.

Because several lysine side-chains of the VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> may be functionalized, we obtained a mixture of VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> covalently linked to several HRP, herein named VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-(HRP)<sub>n</sub> (n: number of HRP molecules bound to one VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> molecule). The VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-(HRP)<sub>n</sub> conjugates were further purified by SEC on a Superdex<sup>TM</sup> 75 HR 10/30 (Amersham) with isocratic elution in NaCl 250 mM, NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/NaOH 50 mM pH 7.2 buffer (4 °C). Fractions containing the desired product (as determined by the elution profile and SDS-PAGE analysis) were pooled and concentrated (fractions f to i in supporting Fig. S1). For long-term storage, an equal volume of glycerol was added and the VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP solution was stored at -20 °C.

The proportions of VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-(HRP)<sub>2</sub>, VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP (for n = 1) and HRP in pooled fractions were estimated by densitometry of SDS-PAGE (LAS 4000 imager and Multigauge 2000 software, <u>Fuji-film</u>) (supporting Fig. S2). The molar proportions of each species were VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-(HRP)<sub>2</sub>:  $4 \pm 1\%$ , VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP:  $59 \pm 6\%$  and HRP:  $37 \pm 7\%$ , allowing to calculate a ([VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP]+[VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-(HRP)<sub>2</sub>])/[HRP]<sub>total</sub> molar ratio of 0.61. This molar ratio corresponds to the effective concentration of conjugate and was used in the binding assay to correct the [HRP]<sub>total</sub> concentration measured by UV at 403 nm ( $\epsilon_{403}$  (HRP) = 100000 L mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>). In addition, the quantitative comparison of the UV/visible absorptions at 275 and 403 nm agreed with the proportions obtained using the densitometry method (supporting Fig. S3).



Fig. 1. Schematic drawing of the binding (A) and displacement assays using competing ligands (B and C).

Binding of VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP to coated VEGF<sub>95</sub>, and displacement assays

Tween 20 and bovine serum albumin fraction IV (BSA) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.

#### Binding assay of the VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP conjugate with coated VEGF<sub>95</sub>

A white high-binding 96-well plate (Costar) was coated overnight at 4 °C with VEGF95 (10, 20 or 40 ng/well) in 100 µL PBS (10 mM, pH 7.4, 137 mM NaCl, and 2.7 mM KCl) per well. The plate was washed 3 times with PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20 (v/v, buffer A) and 200  $\mu$ L of 3% BSA in PBS (w/v) were added. The plates were washed 3 times with buffer A after 1.5 h incubation at 37 °C. Increasing concentrations of the VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP conjugate were added to the wells (100 µL final volume). After 1 h incubation at 37 °C, the plate was washed 4 times with buffer A and 100  $\mu$ L SuperSignal West Pico Substrate (Pierce) were added. The plate chemiluminescence (as relative lights units, RLU) was rapidly read on a Perkin Elmer Victor 2 spectrophotometer. Saturation curves corresponding to the specific signal (total signal - non-specific signal) of VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP bound to coated VEGF<sub>95</sub> were analyzed with the software Graphpad Prism. Non-specific signal was obtained without coated VEGF95.

#### Displacement assay using VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> and VEGF<sub>95</sub> ligands

Transition metals and DMSO were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Peptides used as VEGF ligands were synthetized as previously described (see the supporting information) [9].

The displacement assay was carried out following the binding procedure above with 40 ng/well VEGF<sub>95</sub>, but with addition of ligands at twice the desired final concentration in 50  $\mu$ L of PBS with or without 2% DMSO. If desired, a pre-incubation period could be

inserted at this point. Then, a solution of VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP at twice the desired final concentration (typically 2 nM) in 50  $\mu$ L PBS was added to each well and the resulting solutions were mixed with 2 micropipette actions.

The percentages of displacement of the VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> by the different ligands were calculated using the formula: % displacement =  $100 \times [1 - (S - NS)/(MS - NS)]$ , where S is the measured signal, NS and MS are non-specific (no VEGF<sub>95</sub> coated) and maximum (no ligand) signals, respectively. Data were analyzed with the software Graphpad Prism.

#### **Results and discussion**

#### VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP conjugation

The VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> was covalently linked to commercially available horseradish peroxidase (HRP). The chemical coupling procedure followed a known two-steps method for the preparation of conjugates for immunoassay [23]. It was mediated through the introduction of a protected thiol group onto one of the six lysine residues of the domain 2, by the N-hydroxysuccinimide ester of S-acetylthioglycolic acid (SATA). After removal of the thiol protecting group, the resulting functionalized protein was covalently conjugated to maleimide activated HRP. Interestingly, as observed by SDS-PAGE, the mono-conjugated adduct VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP was obtained as the major product at approximately 55 kDa. Other bands were attributed to excess HRP (44 kDa) and VEGFR1d2 (11 kDa), as well as some poly-conjugation products VEGFR1d2-(HRP)n (99 kDa for n = 2, supporting Fig. S1). To optimize the reaction conditions, several runs have been carried out with 1, 2 and 4 equivalents of SATA for 1 equivalent of VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>. The best compromise appeared to be 2 equivalents of SATA, giving a labeling of 1.1 thiol groups per protein molecule and a low quantity of poly-conjugated products, while keeping the unreacted VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> and the total protein recovery to acceptable levels (see the supporting information for details). Although the crude mixture obtained at this point was suitable for binding and displacement assays, we purified further the conjugate by size exclusion chromatography, to remove the unreacted VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> and most of the poly-conjugated VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-(HRP)<sub>n</sub>. Finally, the VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP conjugate containing a small amount of VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-(HRP)<sub>2</sub> ( $4\pm$  1%) and some HRP ( $37\pm$  7%) was obtained. A further purification of the conjugated product resulted in very low yield because the commercial HRP-maleimide is a mixture of isozymes with different physicochemical properties (isoelectric point, molecular weight and hydrophobicity). So we decided to build our assay on the semi-purified product.

#### Binding assay of the VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP conjugate with coated VEGF<sub>95</sub>

In order to measure the affinity of the VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP conjugate for the VEGF<sub>95</sub>, the following assay was carried out (Fig. 1-A). The VEGF<sub>95</sub> was coated onto white high binding 96-well plates following established procedures (at 10, 20 and 40 ng/well), and increasing concentrations of the conjugate were added to the wells. We obtained sigmoidal saturation curves in semi-log plot, for which luminescence was proportional to the remaining ligand (VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP) bound to the coated VEGF<sub>95</sub>. [VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP] at half-saturation corresponded to an apparent K<sub>d</sub> of 15 nM (95% confidence interval 13-20 nM, Fig. 2-A and supporting Fig. S4). Note that at 40 ng/well VEGF95, its concentration was high compared to the K<sub>d</sub> value. Therefore, the usual assumption that the free ligand concentration [VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP] was equal to the total ligand concentration was not true anymore, because a significant fraction was bound to the coated VEGF<sub>95</sub>. The resulting ligand depletion was taken into account for the K<sub>d</sub> calculations according to references [26] and [27] (supporting Fig. S5 and Table S2). The obtained K<sub>d</sub> value showed the high affinity of the VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP conjugate for the VEGF<sub>95</sub> and was compatible with previously reported K<sub>d</sub> and IC<sub>50</sub> values, in the low nanomolar range, for the binding of VEGF to monomeric VEGFR1d2. Kd values were determined by surface plasmon resonance assays using VEGF1-165 (K\_d = 2.9  $\pm$  0.2 nM [28,29], and K\_d = 19.8 nM [30]), and IC\_{50} values were measured by two ligand binding assays: the first assay used biotinylated VEGF<sub>8-109</sub> and coated anti-VEGF monoclonal antibody that competes with VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> binding (IC<sub>50</sub> =  $1.4 \pm 0.4$  nM [11]), and the second assay used a biotinylated VEGFR1d2 and coated VEGF<sub>8-109</sub> (IC<sub>50</sub> =  $10 \pm 1 \text{ nM}$  [21]). As a control experiment of our displacement assay, we verified that free HRP at concentrations up to 100 nM did not interact with coated VEGF<sub>95</sub>. Finally, the effect of incubation time on signal intensity was evaluated. It appeared that with 1 nM VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP and 40 ng per well of coated VEGF<sub>95</sub>, at 37 °C, the maximum signal was achieved after 30 min and was stable for at least 1 h. A slight decrease was observed after 2 h. Therefore, an incubation time of 45 min was chosen for the subsequent displacement experiments, to ensure equilibrium conditions and maximum signal. Conveniently, we observed that the activity of the VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP conjugate was conserved during a period of one year, when stored at -20 °C in 50% glycerol and PBS buffer (v:v).

#### Displacement assay using VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> and VEGF<sub>95</sub> competing ligands

Displacement assays were carried out using a sub-saturating concentration of VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP (typically 1 nM) in the presence of competing ligands.

#### Validation of the competition assay with VEGF<sub>95</sub> ligands

We evaluated the assay efficiency using several VEGF ligands: VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> and a series of peptides able to bind the VEGF at the VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> interacting surface (Fig. 1-B, Fig. 2-B and Table 1). The representative member was the peptide v114\* (VEPNCDIHV<sup>n</sup>LWE-WECFERL-NH<sub>2</sub>, with the two cysteine residues involved in a disulfide bond), identified by Genentech, Inc., of which we recently synthesized and assayed a variety of derivatives [9,31,32] (peptides numbering is given according to reference [9]).

The effects of incubation and pre-incubation time were investigated for the VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP/VEGF<sub>95</sub> interaction displacement by peptide (**1**) (supporting Figs. S6—A and Table S3). The correlation coefficient was poor at 15 min incubation, due to a steeper Hills slope (1.8 for the best fit with a variable slope analysis), probably because the equilibrium between VEGF<sub>95</sub>/VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP had not been reached. The IC<sub>50</sub> values increased slightly from 15 min to 45 min, and remained constant after 45 min incubation. Moreover, we observed for peptide **1** that an additional pre-incubation time of 45 min in the absence of VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP had no effect on the IC<sub>50</sub> in the optimized conditions used (supporting Figs. S6—B).

An IC<sub>50</sub> of 58 nM was obtained for unlabeled VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>. This value is higher than expected. Indeed, in this competitive displacement assay, according to the Cheng - Prusoff equation [33], the IC<sub>50</sub> value should be close to the K<sub>d</sub> value, because [VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP] = 1 nM and K<sub>d</sub> = 15 nM. We hypothesize that the hydrophobic surface of VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> that constitutes the VEGF binding site adsorbs non-specifically onto the remaining space on the plate, which increases the IC<sub>50</sub> value. This can be correlated with our observation that the direct coating of the VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> domain prevents VEGF binding (see above).

However, the IC<sub>50</sub> obtained here for v114<sup>\*</sup> and the modified peptide **1** were in good qualitative agreement with both the IC<sub>50</sub> values measured in the VEGFR1<sub>ECD</sub>/*bt*VEGF<sub>1-165</sub> displacement assay and the K<sub>d</sub> values determined by ITC (Table 1). Importantly, for peptides **10**, **11** and **12**, a good relative correlation was obtained with K<sub>d</sub> values determined by ITC, whereas the VEGFR1<sub>ECD</sub>/*bt*VEGF<sub>1-165</sub> displacement assay provided misleading results.

### Validation of the displacement assay with VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> ligands

Ligands binding the VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP conjugate should also induce a displacement of the interaction (Fig. 1-C, Fig. 2-C and Table 1), which was confirmed with the VEGF<sub>95</sub> ( $IC_{50} = 45$  nM). Moreover, some peptides have been described to bind the VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> at the VEGF binding site. Unfortunately, for the cyclic peptide c [YYDEGLEE]-NH2 [7,14], no activity was detected up to 100  $\mu$ M. This synthetic peptide was also inactive in our hands when assayed with the VEGFR1<sub>ECD</sub>/btVEGF<sub>1-165</sub> displacement assay [15]. We recently discovered that some divalent metal cations can bind the VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> and displace its interaction with the VEGF [34]. This observation combined with the variability of the displacement activity among batches of the same peptide prompted us to test metal complexes, which may be present as trace amounts and lead to false positive hits.  $Pd(OAc)_2$  was a potent inhibitor with an  $IC_{50}$  of 47 nM. CuSO<sub>4</sub> had also some activity, but did not reach 100% displacement, even above 30 µM. This may be due to the low solubility of copper hydroxide at pH 7.4. CoCl<sub>2</sub> and FeSO<sub>4</sub> were not able to displace the interaction. These results correlate fairly well with those obtained with the VEGFR1<sub>ECD</sub>/btVEGF<sub>1-165</sub> assay [34]. Finally, we verified that the displacement observed was not due to interference of the metal cations with the HRP detection system at the low concentrations used (see the supporting information). A detailed structural investigation of the transition metal mediated inhibition of the VEGF/VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> interaction is reported elsewhere [34].



**Fig. 2.** A: Binding assay of the VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP conjugate with coated VEGF<sub>95</sub>. The analysis was performed with the software GraphPad Prism using a "one site-accounting for ligand depletion" non-linear regression [26,27]. B and C: displacement curves obtained for the indicated competitors (VEGF<sub>95</sub> ligands in B and VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP (1 nM) and coated VEGF<sub>95</sub> (40 ng/well). Data are presented as percentages of displacement of VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP. The analysis was performed with the software GraphPad Prism using a "log [inhibitor] vs. response" non-linear regression, with bottom and top values fixed at 0 and 100% respectively (excepted for CuSO<sub>4</sub> where the top value was not fixed). Mean numbers from at least triplicate wells are plotted. Error bars represent standard deviations. The assay was performed in the presence of 1% DMSO for the metals and the peptides.

#### Table 1

IC<sub>50</sub> and K<sub>d</sub> values determined for ligands disrupting the VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>/VEGF<sub>95</sub> interaction.

	Competing ligands	$IC_{50} \ VEGFR1_{d2} \ (\mu M)^a$	$IC_{50} ECD (\mu M)^b$	$K_d (\mu M)^c$
VEGF ligands	VEGFR1 <sub>d2</sub> v114* 1 10 11 12	0.058 [0.053; 0.064] 0.52 [0.45; 0.61] 2.7 [2.2; 3.3] 6.5 [4.7; 8.9] 45 [35; 58] >100	0.70 1.8 [1.1; 3.0] 5.2 [3.6; 7.5] 12 [10; 15] 7.8 [5.9; 11] 7.3 [5.4; 10]	0.020 [0.006; 0.044] 0.028 [0.022; 0.035] 0.15 [0.10; 0.19] 0.29 [0.27; 0.33] 2.3 [2.1; 2.4] 20 [17; 24]
VEGFR1 <sub>d2</sub> ligands	VEGF <sub>95</sub> Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub> CuSO <sub>4</sub> CoCl <sub>2</sub> FeSO <sub>4</sub>	0.045 [0.037; 0.054] 0.047 [0.032; 0.070] 0.15 [0.091; 0.25] >100 >100	0.012 0.36 [0.27; 0.48] 0.97 [0.63; 1.5] 47 [35; 63] >100	0.020 [0.006; 0.044] Nd Nd Nd Nd Nd

95% confidence intervals are given in brackets.

Nd: not determined.

<sup>a</sup> "IC<sub>50</sub> VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>" refers to the IC<sub>50</sub> values measured in the herein described VEGF<sub>95</sub>/VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP displacement assay.

<sup>b</sup> "IC<sub>50</sub> ECD" refers to the IC<sub>50</sub> values measured in the VEGFR1<sub>ECD</sub>/btVEGF<sub>1-165</sub> displacement assay [9].

<sup>c</sup> K<sub>d</sub> values for VEGF<sub>95</sub> determined by ITC at 293 K [9], and unpublished results.

#### Conclusions

The direct chemical modification of the VEGFR1d2 domain through one of its 6 lysine residues produces a conjugate which retains a high affinity for the VEGF. The conjugate can be used in a displacement assay, which bypasses the widely used antibodies or the streptavidin-biotin interaction system. It accelerates the process by avoiding some incubation steps. The optimized assay can be carried out in only 2.5 h after the VEGF coating step. The displacement assay can be used to identify ligands of either VEGFR1d2 or VEGF. Some potential disadvantages exist. In particular, the assay may lead to false positive for molecules that bind tightly to HRP and inhibits it in spite of the washing steps. However, such molecules have not been found during the course of the optimization study. In any case, adventitious HRP inhibition can be checked afterwards in separate wells with the substrate and plate reader required for the assay. Finally, the functional VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub>-HRP conjugate described herein may also be used for the screening of peptides and small molecules attached to various types of solid supports, for the identification of VEGFR1<sub>d2</sub> ligands.

#### Acknowledgement

Financial support from the ANR (ANR-2010-BLAN-1533-03), the University Paris Descartes, the CNRS ("Chaire de Partenariat CNRS" to S. B.) and the ARC (grant DOC2013 0606849 to M. R.-S.) is acknowledged. We thank the Dr. D. Scherman for the use of a chemiluminescence plate reader.

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2017.05.004.

#### References

[1] D. Hanahan, R.A. Weinberg, Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation, Cell 144

(2011) 646-674.

- [2] P. Carmeliet, R.K. Jain, Angiogenesis in cancer and other diseases, Nature 407 (2000) 249–257.
- [3] P. Carmeliet, R.K. Jain, Molecular mechanisms and clinical applications of angiogenesis, Nature 473 (2011) 298–307.
- [4] N. Ferrara, Vascular endothelial growth factor, Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 29 (2009) 789–791.
- [5] Catt Research Group, D.F. Martin, M.G. Maguire, G.S. Ying, J.E. Grunwald, S.L. Fine, G.J. Jaffe, Ranibizumab and bevacizumab for neovascular age-related macular degeneration, N. Engl. J. Med. 364 (2011) 1897–1908.
- [6] L.D. D'Andrea, G. Iaccarino, R. Fattorusso, D. Sorriento, C. Carannante, D. Capasso, B. Trimarco, C. Pedone, Targeting angiogenesis: structural characterization and biological properties of a de novo engineered VEGF mimicking peptide, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 102 (2005) 14215–14220.
- [7] B. Gautier, V. Goncalves, D. Diana, R. Di Stasi, F. Teillet, C. Lenoir, F. Huguenot, C. Garbay, R. Fattorusso, L.D. D'Andrea, M. Vidal, N. Inguimbert, Biochemical and structural analysis of the binding determinants of a vascular endothelial growth factor receptor peptidic antagonist, J. Med. Chem. 53 (2010) 4428–4440.
- [8] K. Mandal, M. Uppalapati, D. Ault-Riché, J. Kenney, J. Lowitz, S.S. Sidhu, S.B.H. Kent, Chemical synthesis and X-ray structure of a heterochiral {Dprotein antagonist plus vascular endothelial growth factor} protein complex by racemic crystallography, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 109 (2012) 14779–14784.
- [9] M. Reille-Seroussi, J.-F. Gaucher, C. Desole, N. Gagey-Eilstein, F. Brachet, I. Broutin, M. Vidal, S. Broussy, Vascular endothelial growth factor peptide ligands explored by competition assay and isothermal titration calorimetry, Biochemistry 54 (2015) 5147–5156.
- [10] J.W. Checco, D.F. Kreitler, N.C. Thomas, D.G. Belair, N.J. Rettko, W.L. Murphy, K.T. Forest, S.H. Gellman, Targeting diverse protein–protein interaction interfaces with α/β-peptides derived from the Z-domain scaffold, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 112 (2015) 4552–4557.
- [11] C. Wiesmann, G. Fuh, H.W. Christinger, C. Eigenbrot, J.A. Wells, A.M. de Vos, Crystal structure at 1.7 A resolution of VEGF in complex with domain 2 of the Flt-1 receptor, Cell 91 (1997) 695–704.
- [12] B. Barleon, F. Totzke, C. Herzog, S. Blanke, E. Kremmer, G. Siemeister, D. Marme, G. Martiny-Baron, Mapping of the sites for ligand binding and receptor dimerization at the extracellular domain of the vascular endothelial growth factor receptor FLT-1, J. Biol. Chem. 272 (1997) 10382–10388.
- [13] K.J. Peterson, J.D. Sadowsky, E.A. Scheef, S. Pal, K.D. Kourentzi, R.C. Willson, E.H. Bresnick, N. Sheibani, S.H. Gellman, A fluorescence polarization assay for identifying ligands that bind to vascular endothelial growth factor, Anal. Biochem. 378 (2008) 8–14.
- [14] V. Goncalves, B. Gautier, P. Coric, S. Bouaziz, C. Lenoir, C. Garbay, M. Vidal, N. Inguimbert, Rational design, structure, and biological evaluation of cyclic peptides mimicking the vascular endothelial growth factor, J. Med. Chem. 50 (2007) 5135–5146.
- [15] V. Goncalves, B. Gautier, C. Garbay, M. Vidal, N. Inguimbert, Development of a chemiluminescent screening assay for detection of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 1 ligands, Anal. Biochem. 366 (2007) 108–110.
- [16] V. Goncalves, B. Gautier, A. Regazzetti, P. Coric, S. Bouaziz, C. Garbay, M. Vidal, N. Inguimbert, On-resin cyclization of peptide ligands of the vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 1 by copper(I)-catalyzed 1,3-dipolar azidealkyne cycloaddition, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 17 (2007) 5590–5594.
- [17] V. Goncalves, B. Gautier, C. Garbay, M. Vidal, N. Inguimbert, Structure-based design of a bicyclic peptide antagonist of the vascular endothelial growth factor receptors, J. Pept. Sci. 14 (2008) 767–772.

- [18] B. Gautier, M.A. Miteva, V. Goncalves, F. Huguenot, P. Coric, S. Bouaziz, B. Seijo, J.-F. Gaucher, I. Broutin, C. Garbay, A. Lesnard, S. Rault, N. Inguimbert, B.O. Villoutreix, M. Vidal, Targeting the proangiogenic VEGF-VEGFR proteinprotein interface with drug-like compounds by in silico and in vitro screening, Chem. Biol. 18 (2011) 1631–1639.
- [19] M.I. García-Aranda, S. González-López, C.M. Santiveri, N. Gagey-Eilstein, M. Reille-Seroussi, M. Martín-Martínez, N. Inguimbert, M. Vidal, M.T. García-López, M.A. Jiménez, R. González-Muñiz, M.J. Pérez de Vega, Helical peptides from VEGF and Vammin hotspots for modulating the VEGF–VEGFR interaction, Org. Biomol. Chem. 11 (2013) 1896–1905.
- [20] L. Wang, N. Gagey-Eilstein, S. Broussy, M. Reille-Seroussi, F. Huguenot, M. Vidal, W.-Q. Liu, Design and synthesis of C-terminal modified cyclic peptides as VEGFR1 antagonists, Molecules 19 (2014) 15391–15407.
- [21] B. Pan, B. Li, S.J. Russell, J.Y.K. Tom, A.G. Cochran, W.J. Fairbrother, Solution structure of a phage-derived peptide antagonist in complex with vascular endothelial growth factor, J. Mol. Biol. 316 (2002) 769–787.
- [22] H.W. Christinger, Y.A. Muller, L.T. Berleau, B.A. Keyt, B.C. Cunningham, N. Ferrara, A.M. de Vos, Crystallization of the receptor binding domain of vascular endothelial growth factor, Proteins 26 (1996) 353–357.
- [23] R.J.S. Duncan, P.D. Weston, R. Wrigglesworth, A new reagent which may be used to introduce sulfhydryl groups into proteins, and its use in the preparation of conjugates for immunoassay, Anal. Biochem. 132 (1983) 68–73.
- [24] G.L. Ellman, Tissue sulfhydryl groups, Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 82 (1959) 70-77.
- [25] P.W. Riddles, R.L. Blakeley, B. Zerner, Ellman's reagent: 5,5'-dithiobis(2nitrobenzoic acid) - a reexamination, Anal. Biochem. 94 (1979) 75–81.
- [26] S. Swillens, Interpretation of binding curves obtained with high receptor concentrations: practical aid for computer analysis, Mol. Pharmacol. 47 (1995) 1197–1203.
- [27] H. Motulsky, The GraphPad Guide to Analyzing Radioligand Data, GraphPad Software, Inc, San Diego, CA, 1996.
- [28] H.W. Christinger, G. Fuh, A.M. de Vos, C. Wiesmann, The crystal structure of placental growth factor in complex with domain 2 of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1, J. Biol. Chem. 279 (2004) 10382–10388.
- [29] R. Di Stasi, D. Diana, D. Capasso, R. Palumbo, A. Romanelli, C. Pedone, R. Fattorusso, L.D. D'Andrea, VEGFR1<sub>D2</sub> in drug discovery: expression and molecular characterization, Biopolym. Pept. Sci. 94 (2010) 800–809.
- [30] J.E. Stefano, J. Bird, J. Kyazike, A.W.-M. Cheng, E. Boudanova, M. Dwyer, L. Hou, H. Qiu, G. Matthews, M. O'Callaghan, C.Q. Pan, High-affinity VEGF antagonists by oligomerization of a minimal sequence VEGF-binding domain, Bioconjugate Chem. 23 (2012) 2354–2364.
- [31] W.J. Fairbrother, H.W. Christinger, A.G. Cochran, G. Fuh, C.J. Keenan, C. Quan, S.K. Shriver, J.Y.K. Tom, J.A. Wells, B.C. Cunningham, Novel peptides selected to bind vascular endothelial growth factor target the receptor-binding site, Biochemistry 37 (1998) 17754–17764.
- [32] H.S. Haase, K.J. Peterson-Kaufman, S.K. Lan Levengood, J.W. Checco, W.L. Murphy, S.H. Gellman, Extending foldamer design beyond α-helix mimicry: α/β-peptide inhibitors of Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor signaling, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134 (2012) 7652–7655.
- [33] Y.-C. Cheng, W.H. Prusoff, Relationship between the inhibition constant (K<sub>i</sub>) and the concentration of inhibitor which causes 50 per cent inhibition (I<sub>50</sub>) of an enzymatic reaction, Biochem. Pharmacol. 22 (1973) 3099–3108.
- [34] J.-F. Gaucher, M. Reille-Seroussi, N. Gagey-Eilstein, S. Broussy, P. Coric, B. Seijo, M.-B. Lascombe, B. Gautier, W.-Q. Liu, F. Huguenot, N. Inguimbert, S. Bouaziz, M. Vidal, I. Broutin, Biophysical studies of the induced dimerization of human VEGF receptor 1 binding domain by divalent metals competing with VEGF-A, PLoS One 11 (2016) e0167755.