



**HAL**  
open science

# Estimation of pore pressure and phase transitions of water confined in nanopores with non-local density functional theory

Christelle Miqueu, David Grégoire

► **To cite this version:**

Christelle Miqueu, David Grégoire. Estimation of pore pressure and phase transitions of water confined in nanopores with non-local density functional theory. *Molecular Physics*, 2020, 10.1080/00268976.2020.1742935 . hal-02519071

**HAL Id: hal-02519071**

**<https://hal.science/hal-02519071>**

Submitted on 6 Oct 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted manuscript in Molecular Physics. DOI: 10.1080/00268976.2020.1742935

The final publication is available at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00268976.2020.1742935>

1  
2  
3 **Estimation of pore pressure and phase transitions of water confined in**  
4 **nanopores with Non-Local Density Functional Theory**  
5  
6  
7

8 Christelle Miqueu\* and David Grégoire  
9

10  
11 *Univ Pau & Pays Adour / E2S UPPA, Laboratoire des Fluides Complexes et leurs*

12 *Réservoirs – IPRA, UMR5150, 64600, Anglet, France.*  
13  
14

15 \*Christelle Miqueu : [christelle.miqueu@univ-pau.fr](mailto:christelle.miqueu@univ-pau.fr)  
16  
17

18 ORCID-ID : C. Miqueu : 0000-0002-0924-4437

19 D. Grégoire : 0000-0003-4313-460X  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

# Pore pressure and phase transitions of water confined in nanopores with Non-Local Density Functional Theory

In this paper, the non-local density functional theory is used in combination with SAFT-VR, to investigate the pore pressure behaviour of water confined in various nanopores. Due to the efficiency and low computational cost of the method, many configurations and thermodynamic conditions are explored. In particular, capillary condensation and evaporation of water, their impact on the pore pressure, and the effect of surface activation are evaluated. Successive first-order phase transitions of ultra-confined water monolayer are also highlighted.

Keywords: density functional theory; SAFT-VR; adsorption; phase transitions ; nanopores ; confinement.

## 1. Introduction

The static and dynamic physical properties of confined water are significantly different from their equivalent in bulk phase [1]. As an example, novel phases of ice have been discovered recently in carbon nanotubes by Koga and coworkers [2,3] and in other structures such as graphene slit pores [4]. Han *et al.* [5] have shown that water may freeze by means of both first-order and continuous phase transitions in hydrophobic nanopores. Ruiz-Barragan *et al.* [4] have already noticed the peculiar behaviour of highly confined water and found that its properties drastically change at the level of both H-bonding and electronic structure thanks to ab initio molecular dynamics simulations. In a recent review dedicated to the molecular simulation studies of water confined in slit geometries, Zangi [6] have summarized the major part of the recent works showing that, in that case, water stratifies into layers and exhibits an oscillatory stress response according to pore size. More recently, Bampoulis *et al.* [1] have reviewed the current knowledge of the structure and the dynamics of water in many confinement situations. It has thus been evidenced

1  
2  
3 that water behaviour is extremely sensitive to the specific confinement conditions. The  
4  
5 major part of the current knowledge about confined water has been obtained from  
6  
7 simulations (molecular dynamics, *ab initio* and molecular simulations) [3–5, 7–11] and  
8  
9 from some experiments [12–15]. In this paper, we propose an alternative approach by  
10  
11 using a molecular density functional theory (DFT). Indeed, it has already been  
12  
13 demonstrated that non-local DFT could be as accurate as molecular simulations to  
14  
15 estimate the thermodynamic properties of confined model or real fluids [16–21] but with  
16  
17 two interesting key features: i) a much lower computational cost enabling to explore many  
18  
19 configurations, ii) a continuum framework that could be coupled with other continuum  
20  
21 theories, as for instance poromechanics to understand the effect of adsorption on  
22  
23 macroscopic deformation of porous materials. The efficiency of DFT in modelling  
24  
25 confined real fluids is controlled on the one hand by the non-local treatment of the  
26  
27 repulsive and short-range interactions. To that extent, the Modified Fundamental Measure  
28  
29 Theory [11,12], whose superiority over other treatments is unanimous, is used in the  
30  
31 present study. On the other hand, DFT must be based on an efficient description of the  
32  
33 bulk equilibrium properties and thus on the governing molecular interactions of the  
34  
35 considered fluid. To do so for water, NLDFT is used in this work in combination with the  
36  
37 SAFT framework with the original parametrization proposed by Clark *et al.* [24] for  
38  
39 water. The efficiency of the proposed NLDFT/SAFT-VR coupling in predicting confined  
40  
41 water density has already been assessed in a previous work [25] by comparison with  
42  
43 molecular simulations performed with the same water molecular model. The principal  
44  
45 objective of this study is to pursue the analysis of confined water with this thermodynamic  
46  
47 model by investigating the pore pressure behaviour of water confined in various  
48  
49 nanopores. Even if NLDFT is now widely used for simple fluids and especially for the  
50  
51 characterization of porous materials [26–28], its application to water – or at least to hard  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 associating fluids [29–34] – is scarce because the inhomogeneous free energy related to  
4 hydrogen bonding is far from easy to build. Solely very recently, Trejos *et al.* [35] have  
5 used DFT to study the solvation force of water-like fluids in slit-like pores, which is the  
6 only work related to the pore pressure induced by adsorption of water within a DFT  
7 framework. The SAFT/DFT used by Trejos and his coworkers is similar to the one  
8 proposed here for the terms related to the hard-sphere contributions but are different for  
9 the attractive ones. They study the influence of parameters such as the range of the square-  
10 well potential or the gas-solid potential depth on the solvation force, in the case of  
11 supercritical water or liquid water at room temperature. Here, the application of DFT for  
12 the computation of water pore pressure is essentially focused on subcritical water in order  
13 to focus on capillary phase transitions. The effect of surface activation is also analysed,  
14 which constitutes the other innovative point of this paper.

## 34 2. Theoretical Section

### 37 2.1. Brief overview of NLDFT-SAFT coupling for inhomogeneous water

38 The principal equations concerning the version of the coupled NLDFT-SAFT-VR model  
39 used in this work to obtain the microstructure of confined water have been detailed  
40 elsewhere [25]. Therefore, the discussion on this theory will be limited to its most  
41 significant features.

42 In the DFT framework [7,8], the grand thermodynamic potential  $\Omega$  of an inhomogeneous  
43 fluid in the presence of an external potential  $V_{ext}$ , in the grand canonical ensemble  $(\mu, V, T)$   
44 is assumed to be a functional of the molecular density  $\rho(\vec{r})$  as

$$45 \Omega[\rho(\vec{r})] = \mathcal{F}[\rho(\vec{r})] + \int \{V_{ext}(\vec{r}) - \mu\}(\vec{r}) d\vec{r} \quad (1)$$

46 where  $\mathcal{F}[\rho(\vec{r})]$  is the Helmholtz free energy of the fluid.

The equilibrium density distribution is calculated through the minimization of the grand potential by solving the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\frac{\delta\Omega[\rho(\vec{r})]}{\delta\rho(\vec{r})} = \frac{\delta\mathcal{F}[\rho(\vec{r})]}{\delta\rho(\vec{r})} + V_{ext}(\vec{r}) - \mu = 0 \quad (2)$$

As in the bulk SAFT-VR framework, water is considered as one sphere with four identical associating sites placed in a tetrahedral geometry [24]. In order to describe this inhomogeneous spherical associative monomer, the Helmholtz free energy functional is separated in the following contributions

$$\mathcal{F}[\rho(\vec{r})] = \mathcal{F}^{ideal}[\rho(\vec{r})] + \mathcal{F}^{HS}[\rho(\vec{r})] + \mathcal{F}_1^{sr}[\rho(\vec{r})] + \mathcal{F}_2[\rho(\vec{r})] + \mathcal{F}^{att}[\rho(\vec{r})] + \mathcal{F}^{assoc}[\rho(\vec{r})] \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}^{ideal}[\rho(\vec{r})]$  is the ideal free energy [17]. The interaction between monomers is described by [20]: (i) the hard-sphere contribution  $\mathcal{F}^{HS}[\rho(\vec{r})]$  of the Modified Fundamental Measure Theory [11,12]; (ii) a Barker-Henderson high-temperature perturbation expansion treated in a mean-field approximation where  $\mathcal{F}_1^{sr}[\rho(\vec{r})]$  is the first-order short-range contribution,  $\mathcal{F}_2[\rho(\vec{r})]$  the second-order contribution and  $\mathcal{F}^{att}[\rho(\vec{r})]$  the classical attractive term in the DFT formalism.

The associating functional  $\mathcal{F}^{asso}[\rho(\vec{r})]$  is [6,13] :

$$\mathcal{F}^{asso}[\rho(\vec{r})] = 4k_B T \int d\vec{r} n_0(\vec{r}) \zeta(\vec{r}) \left( \ln X(\vec{r}) - \frac{X(\vec{r})}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (4)$$

where  $n_0(\vec{r})$  is one of the weighted densities of the MFMT formalism,  $\zeta(\vec{r})$  is a proportional factor proposed by Yu *et al.* [32] to extend the bulk association free energy to inhomogeneous system.  $X(\vec{r})$  is the fraction of not-bonded sites as introduced in Wertheim's theory [14,15] and is computed as proposed by Malheiro *et al.* [25].

In order to apply the NLDFT formalism, the external potential  $V_{ext}$  applied on the fluid must be specified. Here, water confined in slit-like pores is considered. Thus, the external potential applied to the fluid, due to the presence of the solid, is modeled by the “10-4-3” Steele’s potential [39] from both surfaces separated by the distance  $L$  :

$$V_{ext}(z) = V_{sf}(z) + V_{sf}(L - z) \quad (5)$$

with

$$V_{sf}(z) = 2\pi\rho_s\varepsilon_{sf}\sigma_{sf}^2\Delta\left[\frac{2}{5}\left(\frac{\sigma_{sf}}{z}\right)^{10} - \left(\frac{\sigma_{sf}}{z}\right)^4 - \frac{\sigma_{sf}^4}{3\Delta(z+0.61\Delta)^3}\right] \quad (6)$$

where  $\rho_s$  is the density of the solid,  $\Delta$  is the spacing between two parallel layers of the molecules constituting the solid,  $\sigma_y$  and  $\varepsilon_y$  are the interaction parameters determined by the Lorentz-Berthelot rules:  $\sigma_y = (\sigma_{ss} + \sigma_{ff})/2$  and  $\varepsilon_y = (\varepsilon_{ss}\varepsilon_{ff})^{1/2}$  where the subscript  $ss$  refers to the solid while the subscript  $ff$  refers to the fluid.

In order to mimic the case of a surface containing adsorption sites, an integrated potential can be added to the previous external potential, following the same philosophy that transforms the Lennard-Jones intermolecular potential to the Steele’s one when integrated over the carbon planes. Thus, considering a virtual homogenous surface  $S$  with a number of sites per area  $\rho_{site}$  placed at a distance  $z_{site}$  inside the pore, the potential  $\phi^{HB}$  resulting from this surface is given by

$$\phi^{HB} = \int_S \rho_{site} U_{O-H} dS \quad (7)$$

This potential  $\phi^{HB}$  is the same SW intermolecular potential that describes hydrogen bond between water molecules in the fluid:

$$U_{O-H} = \begin{cases} -\varepsilon_{HB} & \text{if } r < \sigma_{HB} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where we have defined  $\sigma_{HB}$ , from the site-site bonding volume  $\kappa_{HB}$  of SAFT-VR [24], by

$$\sigma_{HB} = \left( \frac{3}{4\pi} \kappa_{HB} \right)^{1/3} \quad (9)$$

Integration of Equation (7) gives

$$\phi^{HB} = \begin{cases} -\pi\rho_S\varepsilon_{HB}[\sigma_{HB}^2 - (z - z_{site})^2] & \text{if } |z - z_{site}| < \sigma_{HB} \\ 0 & \text{if } |z - z_{site}| > \sigma_{HB} \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

## 2.2. Pore pressure from NLDFT formalism

The pore pressure  $\Pi_f$  can be obtained from the grand thermodynamic potential [40] as:

$$\Pi_f = -\frac{1}{A_0} \left( \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial L} \right)_{T, A_0, \mu} \quad (11)$$

where  $A_0$  is the surface of one wall constituting the pore.

In a previous work [41], we had demonstrated that in the case of a Steele-type external potential, the pore pressure becomes :

$$\Pi_f = -\int_0^L \rho(z) \frac{\partial V^{Steele}}{\partial z}(z) dz \quad (12)$$

Here, the extension to activated surfaces modelled with the potential defined in Equation (10) is proposed. The pore pressure is, in that case, made of two contributions as :

$$\Pi_f = -\int_0^L \rho(z) \frac{\partial V^{Steele}}{\partial z}(z) dz - 2\pi\varepsilon_{HB}\rho_S \int_0^L \rho(z) (z - z_{site}) dz \quad (13)$$



### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Pore pressure of water confined in graphitic micropores

Let us first consider water confined between two parallel graphitic surfaces separated by a distance  $L$  from carbon-center to carbon-center. The interaction parameters of the solid [42] are  $\sigma_s = 0.34$  nm and  $\varepsilon_s/k_b = 28$  K. The density of graphite is  $\rho_s = 114$  molecules/m<sup>3</sup> and the spacing between two parallel layers of graphite molecules constituting the wall is  $\Delta = 0.335$  nm. The water interaction parameters :  $\sigma = 0.3303$  nm,  $\varepsilon/k_b = 300.43$  K,  $\lambda = 1.718$ ,  $\varepsilon_{hb}/k_b = 1336.9$  K and  $\kappa_{hb} = 0.89369 \cdot 10^{-3}$  nm<sup>3</sup> are the ones obtained by Clark *et al.* [24]. At thermodynamic conditions corresponding to bulk liquid water (*i.e.* here  $T = 425$  K and  $P = 0.52$  MPa), both the average density and pore pressure oscillate as a function of pore width for graphitic slit micropores as shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Average pore density and pore pressure as a function of pore size for water confined in slit graphitic pores at 425 K.

1  
2  
3 The global variation and oscillatory behaviour of the average density of water in slit  
4 micropores shown in Figure 1 is not surprising. It has already been described for other  
5 non-polar and polar fluids with molecular simulations [20, 21] and DFT calculations [36].  
6  
7 As a consequence of the layered structure, the pore pressure oscillates as a function of the  
8 distance between the solid walls with a periodicity approximately equal to the molecular  
9 diameter. It can be positive (effect of swelling due to repulsive forces) or negative (effect  
10 of shrinkage due to attractive forces) as a function of pore size; *i.e.* the fluid oscillates  
11 between alternative stable and instable configurations. The same behaviour has already  
12 been observed for supercritical methane with NLDFT calculation [41]. As summarised in  
13 Zangi's review [6], both experimental, molecular simulations and theoretical works have  
14 evidenced the oscillatory nature of the solvation forces of water confined between  
15 molecularly smooth surfaces. The NLDFT/SAFT-VR coupling is able to reproduce such  
16 an oscillatory behaviour with a period comparable to the one observed with transverse  
17 dynamic force microscopy [13].  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

### 3.2. Capillary condensation, hysteresis and their effect on pore pressure

38  
39  
40 Interesting pore pressure behaviour can also be observed when capillary condensation  
41 occurs. As an example, Figure 2 depicts the capillary condensation and evaporation of  
42 water in a slit graphitic pore of width  $L = 0.9$  nm at 425 K, and the corresponding pore  
43 pressure behaviour. As seen in Figure 2, water average pore density increases with  
44 pressure upon adsorption until a capillary condensation due to confinement occurs at  
45 nearly half the saturation pressure. After this phase change, density of water saturated  
46 inside the pore continues increasing until bulk condensation at the bulk saturation  
47 pressure. The average density behaviour is monotonic and in agreement with molecular  
48 simulations. It can be well understood by looking at the density profiles plotted in Figure  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

3 for selected pressures. In all cases, the density profiles reveal the stratification of the  
 4 confined water perpendicular to the graphitic walls. The stratified structure and the high  
 5 densities of the water layers are consistent with results obtained with molecular dynamics  
 6 confined water perpendicular to the graphitic walls. The stratified structure and the high  
 7 densities of the water layers are consistent with results obtained with molecular dynamics  
 8 simulations for water/graphene systems [4, 24].




Figure 2. Average pore density and pore pressure as a function of bulk pressure for  
 water confined in a slit graphitic pore of width 0.9 nm at 425 K. Solid lines: adsorption.  
 Dashed lines: desorption. Vertical dotted line shows bulk saturation pressure.








Figure 3. Water density profiles corresponding to some configurations of Fig 2.

1  
2  
3 Unlike the density, the pore pressure variation is different before and after the capillary  
4 phase transition, with negative decreasing values before condensation (suction effect on  
5 the solid), and positive increasing values after capillary condensation (“pushing” effect  
6 on the solid), and positive increasing values after capillary condensation (“pushing” effect  
7 on the solid walls). This sudden change of pore pressure at condensation is interesting to  
8 be evaluated as its intensity is directly linked to the capillary forces that can deform the  
9 porous structure. The same analysis can also be performed during desorption. This time,  
10 density in the pore is decreasing with decreasing bulk pressure until capillary evaporation.  
11 This behaviour was already observed in a previous study [25]. The same kind of  
12 hysteresis is observed for pore pressure, in relation with pore density, but with a change  
13 in sign corresponding to the transition between repulsive and attractive configurations.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

26 The computational low-cost of NLDFT/SAFT-VR coupling allows exploring  
27 many configurations. As observed in Figures 4a to 4d, detailed maps of density and pore  
28 pressure can be drawn. They allow to notice that the pore pressure behaviour is much  
29 more complex than the pore density one, passing from negative to positive values  
30 according to pore size, bulk pressure and adsorption or desorption. This leads us to say  
31 that, combined with water adsorption measurements, a measurable solvation pressure of  
32 water could be used as a good *probe* for pore size distribution determination.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41





1  
2  
3 Figure 4. Adsorption: average pore density (a) and pore pressure (b) as a function of  
4 bulk pressure for water confined in slit graphitic micropores at 425 K. Adsorption +  
5 desorption for the same configurations (c & d): Solid lines: adsorption. Dashed lines:  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 desorption.

### 11 12 13 14 15 *3.3. Influence of surface activation on water pore pressure*

16  
17 The ability of the NLDFT/SAFT-VR coupling used in this work to capture the adsorption  
18 of water in activated pores thanks to the modified external potential (see Equation (7))  
19 has been demonstrated in a previous work [25]. Here, the effect of surface activation on  
20 pore pressure is illustrated in Figure 5 in a graphitic slit pore of width 1.4 nm, with and  
21 without activation sites. For this pore width, there is no capillary condensation for water  
22 when the surfaces correspond to pure graphite. The pore pressure is always negative as  
23 this pore size can accommodate two distinct layers of water whose global contribution is  
24 attractive. When the surfaces of the pore are doped with active sites (surface sites density  
25 of  $2 \text{ nm}^{-2}$ ), capillary condensation occurs at 2.85 bar and is accompanied by an abrupt  
26 change in pressure sign leading to a repulsive configuration. This means that surface  
27 activation can have a drastic effect on water pore pressure and thus on the porous material  
28 deformation. Otherwise, this result shows that, if possible, pore pressure or swelling  
29 measurement could be used as an indirect measure of surface activation.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60





Figure 5. Average pore density (circles) and pore pressure (squares) as a function of bulk pressure for water confined in a slit micropore ( $L=1.4$  nm) at 425 K. Solid lines: without activation. Dashed lines: with active sites (surface sites density of  $2$  nm $^{-2}$ ).

### 3.4. Successive capillary phase transitions

NLDFT allows to explore in detail the global phase diagram of confined water with small pressure and temperature steps and find interesting behaviours that occur in tight P-T ranges. As an example, a part of the phase diagram of water confined in a slit-slit graphitic ultramicropore of width 0.66 nm, able to accommodate only one monolayer of water, is presented in Figure 6.






Figure 6. Average pore density (a) and pore pressure (b) as a function of bulk pressure for water confined in a slit graphitic micropore of width 0.66 nm for different temperatures.

One can see in this figure that ultra-confined water undergoes two successive first-order phase transitions for  $T > 400$  K. The values of densities for the phase transitions are consistent with the phase diagram obtained by Han *et al.* [5] with MD simulations (TIP5P model for water). As NLDFT is a continuous formalism that does not allow access to the position of the molecules, it is difficult to know the *nature* of the intermediate phase obtained at these conditions. Indeed, the radial distribution function could be an efficient mean to distinguish between a solid-like or a liquid-like phase but here only one layer of water is accommodated within the pore and thus it is not possible to compute the long-ranged order that will enable us to distinguish between an ordered or a disordered phase. However, the calculation of the fraction of not-bonded sites  $X$  that corresponds actually to the fraction of free hydrogen bonding in water can give some insights into the organisation of confined water. Before the first transition, approximately 80% of the HB sites of water are not-bonded, which is a typical value of a gas phase for bulk water [24]. After the second transition, this value is nearly 60 %, this time in agreement with bulk



1  
2  
3 liquid values [24]. The value for the intermediate phase (*i.e.* between the two transitions)  
4  
5 is lower, corresponding to a degree of association of approximately 50 %, signing a more  
6  
7 organised phase, such as an ice-like monolayer as already observed by several studies  
8  
9 dedicated to the freezing of confined water. As an example, in a recent study, Agrawal *et*  
10  
11 *al.* [7] have measured such freezing transition of water in carbon nanotubes at  
12  
13 temperatures as high as 420 K with Raman spectroscopy. Klein and E. Kumacheva [46]  
14  
15 observed with a surface force balance that the water monolayer undergoes an abrupt  
16  
17 transition “to become solid-like” and Jinesh and Frenken [12] have observed with a high-  
18  
19 resolution friction force microscope, at room temperature, that water confined between a  
20  
21 tungsten tip and a graphite surface behaves like a solid. Mashl *et al.* [47] have shown with  
22  
23 molecular dynamics simulations that water confined into carbon nanotubes under ambient  
24  
25 conditions undergoes a transition into a state having an ice-like mobility. On the contrary,  
26  
27 Zangi and Mark [8] have shown with molecular simulations that, for this confinement,  
28  
29 water does not crystallize but forms a disordered liquid layer, which is also the conclusion  
30  
31 of Bianco and Franzese [9] who observed a liquid-liquid phase transition ending in a  
32  
33 critical point in the universality class of the two-dimensional Ising model.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

40 To conclude with these capillary transitions, Brovchenko *et al.* [48] have made  
41  
42 molecular simulations for TIP4P water confined in various hydrophobic pores. For a slit-  
43  
44 like pore of 0.6 nm width, they have found a critical point at  $T = 402.5 \pm 2.5$  K, in perfect  
45  
46 agreement with the value obtained here with the NLDFT/SAFT-VR model.  
47  
48  
49

## 50 **Conclusions**

51  
52  
53 In this paper, we have used the non-local density functional theory, in combination with  
54  
55 the SAFT-VR, to compute the pore pressure of water in various nanopores. We have  
56  
57 shown that NLDFT is a relevant and tractable tool to characterize confinement effects in  
58  
59 that case as it allows computing many configurations (simple or activated surfaces) at  
60

1  
2  
3 various thermodynamic conditions. It was shown that the pore pressure behavior of water  
4 in graphitic slit pores is much more complex than pore density, passing from negative to  
5 positive values according to pore size, bulk pressure and adsorption or desorption. This  
6  
7  
8 may have significant consequences at the macroscale such as volumetric swelling or  
9  
10 shrinkage of nanoporous materials upon water adsorption/desorption. The capillary  
11  
12 condensation and evaporation of water in nanopores and its impact on the pore pressure  
13  
14 was also evaluated.  
15  
16  
17

18  
19 Finally, the NLDFT/SAFT-VR coupling has allowed to highlight successive first-  
20 order phase transitions of ultraconfined water monolayer, in agreement with recent  
21  
22 experimental and simulations works.  
23  
24

#### 25 26 27 **Disclosure statement**

28  
29 The authors reported no potential conflict of interest.  
30  
31

#### 32 33 **Acknowledgements**

34  
35 D. Grégoire is fellow of the *Institut Universitaire de France*.  
36  
37

#### 38 39 **References**

- 40  
41 [1] Bampoulis, P.; Sotthewes, K.; Dollekamp, E.; Poelsema, B. Water Confined in  
42 Two-Dimensions: Fundamentals and Applications. *Surf. Sci. Rep.*, **2018**, 73 (6),  
43 233–264. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfrep.2018.09.001>.  
44  
45  
46  
47 [2] Takaiwa, D.; Hatano, I.; Koga, K.; Tanaka, H. Phase Diagram of Water in  
48 Carbon Nanotubes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **2008**, 105 (1), 39 LP – 43.  
49  
50 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0707917105>.  
51  
52  
53 [3] Koga, K.; Gao, G. T.; Tanaka, H.; Zeng, X. C. Formation of Ordered Ice  
54 Nanotubes inside Carbon Nanotubes. *Nature*, **2001**, 412 (6849), 802–805.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60 <https://doi.org/10.1038/35090532>.

- 1  
2  
3 [4] Ruiz-Barragan, S.; Muñoz-Santiburcio, D.; Marx, D. Nanoconfined Water within  
4 Graphene Slit Pores Adopts Distinct Confinement-Dependent Regimes. *J. Phys.*  
5 *Chem. Lett.*, **2019**, *10* (3), 329–334. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcelett.8b03530>.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 [5] Han, S.; Choi, M. Y.; Kumar, P.; Stanley, H. E. Phase Transitions in Confined  
11 Water Nanofilms. *Nat. Phys.*, **2010**, *6*, 685.  
12  
13 [6] Zangi, R. Water Confined to a Slab Geometry: A Review of Recent Computer  
14 Simulation Studies. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter*, **2004**, *16* (45), S5371–S5388.  
15 <https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/16/45/005>.  
16  
17  
18  
19 [7] Agrawal, K. V.; Shimizu, S.; Draushuk, L. W.; Kilcoyne, D.; Strano, M. S.  
20 Observation of Extreme Phase Transition Temperatures of Water Confined inside  
21 Isolated Carbon Nanotubes. *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, **2016**, *12*, 267.  
22  
23 [8] Zangi, R.; Mark, A. E. Monolayer Ice. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **2003**, *91* (2), 25502.  
24 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.025502>.  
25  
26  
27 [9] Bianco, V.; Franzese, G. Critical Behavior of a Water Monolayer under  
28 Hydrophobic Confinement. *Sci. Rep.*, **2014**, *4*, 4440.  
29  
30 [10] Kolesnikov, A. I.; Loong, C.-K.; de Souza, N. R.; Burnham, C. J.; Moravsky, A.  
31 P. Anomalous Soft Dynamics of Water in Carbon Nanotubes. *Phys. B Condens.*  
32 *Matter*, **2006**, *385–386*, 272–274. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PHYSB.2006.05.065>.  
33  
34 [11] Koga, K.; Tanaka, H.; Zeng, X. C. First-Order Transition in Confined Water  
35 between High-Density Liquid and Low-Density Amorphous Phases. *Nature*,  
36 **2000**, *408* (6812), 564–567. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35046035>.  
37  
38 [12] Jinesh, K. B.; Frenken, J. W. M. Capillary Condensation in Atomic Scale  
39 Friction: How Water Acts like a Glue. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **2006**, *96* (16), 166103.  
40 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.166103>.  
41  
42  
43 [13] Antognozzi, M.; Humphris, A. D. L.; Miles, M. J. Observation of Molecular  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Layering in a Confined Water Film and Study of the Layers Viscoelastic  
4  
5 Properties. *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **2001**, 78 (3), 300–302.  
6  
7 <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1339997>.  
8  
9  
10 [14] Binggeli, M.; Mate, C. M. Influence of Capillary Condensation of Water on  
11  
12 Nanotribology Studied by Force Microscopy. *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **1994**, 65 (4),  
13  
14 415–417. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.113020>.  
15  
16  
17 [15] Choi, E.-M.; Yoon, Y.-H.; Lee, S.; Kang, H. Freezing Transition of Interfacial  
18  
19 Water at Room Temperature under Electric Fields. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **2005**, 95 (8),  
20  
21 85701. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.085701>.  
22  
23  
24 [16] Davis, H. T. *Statistical Mechanics of Phases, Interfaces, and Thin Films*; VCH  
25  
26 New York, 1996.  
27  
28 [17] Evans, R. Density Functionals in the Theory of Nonuniform Fluids. In  
29  
30 *Fundamentals of Inhomogeneous Fluids*; Henderson, D., Ed.; Marcel Dekker  
31  
32 Inc.: New York, NY, 1992; pp 85–176.  
33  
34  
35 [18] Emborsky, C. P.; Feng, Z.; Cox, K. R.; Chapman, W. G. Recent Advances in  
36  
37 Classical Density Functional Theory for Associating and Polyatomic Molecules.  
38  
39 *Fluid Phase Equilib.*, **2011**, 306 (1), 15–30.  
40  
41 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fluid.2011.02.007>.  
42  
43  
44 [19] Dominik, A.; Tripathi, S.; Chapman, W. G. Bulk and Interfacial Properties of  
45  
46 Polymers from Interfacial SAFT Density Functional Theory. *Ind. Eng. Chem.*  
47  
48 *Res.*, **2006**, 45 (20), 6785–6792. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ie060329e>.  
49  
50  
51 [20] Malheiro, C.; Mendiboure, B.; Plantier, F.; Blas, F. J.; Miqueu, C. Density  
52  
53 Functional Theory for the Description of Spherical Non-Associating Monomers  
54  
55 in Confined Media Using the SAFT-VR Equation of State and Weighted Density  
56  
57 Approximations. *J. Chem. Phys.*, **2014**, 140 (13), 134707.  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4869996>.
- 4  
5 [21] Bernet, T.; Piñeiro, M. M.; Plantier, F.; Miqueu, C. Effect of Structural  
6  
7 Considerations on the Development of Free Energy Functionals for the Square-  
8  
9 Well Fluid. *Mol. Phys.*, **2018**. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00268976.2018.1438677>.
- 10  
11 [22] Hansen-Goos, H.; Roth, R. Density Functional Theory for Hard-Sphere Mixtures:  
12  
13 The White Bear Version Mark II. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter*, **2006**, *18* (37), 8413–  
14  
15 8425. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/18/37/002>.
- 16  
17 [23] Roth, R.; Evans, R.; Lang, a; Kahl, G. Fundamental Measure Theory for Hard-  
18  
19 Sphere Mixtures Revisited: The White Bear Version. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter*,  
20  
21 **2002**, *14* (46), 12063–12078. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/14/46/313>.
- 22  
23 [24] Clark, G. N. I.; Haslam, A. J.; Galindo, A.; Jackson, G. Developing Optimal  
24  
25 Wertheim-like Models of Water for Use in Statistical Associating Fluid Theory  
26  
27 (SAFT) and Related Approaches. *Mol. Phys.*, **2006**, *104* (22–24), 3561–3581.  
28  
29 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00268970601081475>.
- 30  
31 [25] Malheiro, C.; Mendiboure, B.; Míguez, J.-M. J.-M.; Piñeiro, M. M.; Miqueu, C.  
32  
33 Nonlocal Density Functional Theory and Grand Canonical Monte Carlo  
34  
35 Molecular Simulations of Water Adsorption in Confined Media. *J. Phys. Chem.*  
36  
37 *C*, **2014**, *118* (43), 24905–24914. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp505239e>.
- 38  
39 [26] Lowell, S.; Shields, J. E.; Thomas, M. A.; Thommes, M. *Characterization of*  
40  
41 *Porous Solids and Powders: Surface Area, Pore Size and Density*, Particle T.;  
42  
43 Springer: Dordrecht, 2010.
- 44  
45 [27] Neimark, A. V; Lin, Y.; Ravikovitch, P. I.; Thommes, M. Quenched Solid  
46  
47 Density Functional Theory and Pore Size Analysis of Micro-Mesoporous  
48  
49 Carbons. *Carbon N. Y.*, **2009**, *47* (7), 1617–1628.
- 50  
51 [28] Jagiello, J.; Thommes, M. Comparison of DFT Characterization Methods Based  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 on N<sub>2</sub>, Ar, CO<sub>2</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub> Adsorption Applied to Carbons with Various Pore Size  
4  
5 Distributions. *Carbon N. Y.*, **2004**, *42* (7), 1227–1232.  
6  
7 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2004.01.022>.  
8  
9  
10 [29] Sokolowski, S.; Fischer, J. The Role of Attractive Intermolecular Forces in the  
11  
12 Density Functional Theory of Inhomogeneous Fluids. **1992**, *96* (April), 5441–  
13  
14 5447.  
15  
16 [30] Bymaster, A.; Dominik, A.; Chapman, W. G. Hydration Structure and Interfacial  
17  
18 Properties of Water near a Hydrophobic Solute from a Fundamental Measure  
19  
20 Density Functional Theory. *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2007**, *111* (43), 15823–15831.  
21  
22 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp073762q>.  
23  
24 [31] Segura, C. J.; Chapman, W. G. Associating Fluids with Four Bonding Sites  
25  
26 against Solid Surfaces: Monte Carlo Simulations. *Mol. Phys.*, **1995**, *86* (3), 415–  
27  
28 442. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00268979509413622>.  
29  
30 [32] Yu, Y.-X.; Wu, J. A Fundamental-Measure Theory for Inhomogeneous  
31  
32 Associating Fluids. *J. Chem. Phys.*, **2002**, *116* (16), 7094.  
33  
34 <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1463435>.  
35  
36 [33] Hughes, J.; Krebs, E. J.; Roundy, D. A Classical Density-Functional Theory for  
37  
38 Describing Water Interfaces. *J. Chem. Phys.*, **2013**, *138* (2), 024509.  
39  
40 <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4774155>.  
41  
42 [34] Trejos, V. M.; Sokołowski, S.; Pizio, O. Adsorption and Phase Behavior of  
43  
44 Water-like Fluid Models with Square-Well Attraction and Site-Site Association  
45  
46 in Slit-like Pores: Density Functional Approach. *J. Chem. Phys.*, **2018**, *149* (13),  
47  
48 134701.  
49  
50 [35] Trejos, V. M.; Sokołowski, S.; Pizio, O. On the Solvation Force of Water-like  
51  
52 Fluid Models with Square-Well Attraction and Site–Site Association in Slit-like  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Pores: Density Functional Approach. *Mol. Phys.*, **2019**, 1–10.  
4  
5 [36] Davis, H. T. *Statistical Mechanics of Phases, Interfaces and Thin Films.*; Wiley-  
6 VCH: New-York, 1998.  
7  
8 [37] Wertheim, M. S. Fluids with Highly Directional Attractive Forces. I. Statistical  
9  
10 Thermodynamics. *J. Stat. Phys.*, **1984**, 35 (1–2), 19–34.  
11  
12 [38] Wertheim, M. S. Fluids with Highly Directional Attractive Forces. III. Multiple  
13  
14 Attraction Sites. *J. Stat. Phys.*, **1986**, 42 (3), 459–476.  
15  
16 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01127721>.  
17  
18 [39] Steele, W. A. The Physical Interaction of Gases with Crystalline Solids. I. Gas-  
19  
20 Solid Energies and Properties of Isolated Adsorbed Atoms. *Surf. Sci.*, **1973**, 36  
21  
22 (1), 317–352.  
23  
24 [40] Hansen, J.-P.; McDonald, I. R. *Theory of Simple Liquids*; 2006.  
25  
26 [41] Grégoire, D.; Malheiro, C.; Miqueu, C. Estimation of Adsorption-Induced Pore  
27  
28 Pressure and Confinement in a Nanoscopic Slit Pore by a Density Functional  
29  
30 Theory. *Contin. Mech. Thermodyn.*, **2017**. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00161-017-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00161-017-0602-x)  
31  
32 0602-x.  
33  
34 [42] Tan, Z.; Gubbins, K. E. Adsorption in Carbon Micropores at Supercritical  
35  
36 Temperatures. *J. Phys. Chem.*, **1990**, 94 (15), 6061–6069.  
37  
38 [43] Diao, R.; Fan, C.; Do, D. D.; Nicholson, D. Monte Carlo Simulation of  
39  
40 Adsorption-Induced Deformation in Finite Graphitic Slit Pores. *J. Phys. Chem.*  
41  
42 *C*, **2016**, 120 (51), 29272–29282. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.6b10135>.  
43  
44 [44] Do, D. D.; Nicholson, D.; Do, H. D. Effects of Adsorbent Deformation on the  
45  
46 Adsorption of Gases in Slitlike Graphitic Pores: A Computer Simulation Study.  
47  
48 *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2008**, 112 (36), 14075–14089.  
49  
50 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp8032269>.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 [45] Cicero, G.; Grossman, J. C.; Schwegler, E.; Gygi, F.; Galli, G. Water Confined in  
4  
5 Nanotubes and between Graphene Sheets: A First Principle Study. *J. Am. Chem.*  
6  
7 *Soc.*, **2008**, *130* (6), 1871–1878. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja074418+>.  
8  
9  
10 [46] Klein, J.; Kumacheva, E. Simple Liquids Confined to Molecularly Thin Layers. I.  
11  
12 Confinement-Induced Liquid-to-Solid Phase Transitions. *J. Chem. Phys.*, **1998**,  
13  
14 *108* (16), 6996–7009. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.476114>.  
15  
16  
17 [47] Mashl, R. J.; Joseph, S.; Aluru, N. R.; Jakobsson, E. Anomalously Immobilized  
18  
19 Water: A New Water Phase Induced by Confinement in Nanotubes. *Nano Lett.*,  
20  
21 **2003**, *3* (5), 589–592. <https://doi.org/10.1021/nl0340226>.  
22  
23  
24 [48] Brovchenko, I.; Geiger, A.; Oleinikova, A. Water in Nanopores: II. The Liquid–  
25  
26 Vapour Phase Transition near Hydrophobic Surfaces. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter*,  
27  
28 **2004**, *16* (45), S5345–S5370. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/16/45/004>.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60