

Theoretical study of a high-permittivity dielectric ring resonator for Magnetic Resonance Microscopy applications

Marine A.C. Moussu, Stanislav Glybovski, Luisa Ciobanu, Ivan Voznyuk, Redha Abdeddaim, Stefan Enoch

▶ To cite this version:

Marine A.C. Moussu, Stanislav Glybovski, Luisa Ciobanu, Ivan Voznyuk, Redha Abdeddaim, et al.. Theoretical study of a high-permittivity dielectric ring resonator for Magnetic Resonance Microscopy applications. Metamaterials, Sep 2019, Rome, Italy. hal-02516223

HAL Id: hal-02516223 https://hal.science/hal-02516223

Submitted on 23 Mar 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Theoretical study of a high-permittivity dielectric ring resonator for Magnetic Resonance Microscopy applications

M. A.C. Moussu^{1,2}, S. Glybovski³, L. Ciobanu⁴, I. Voznyuk¹, R. Abdeddaim², and S. Enoch²

March 23, 2020

¹Multiwave Innovation, 13453 Marseille, France

²Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, Institut Fresnel, UMR 7249, 13013 Marseille, France
³Department of Nanophotonics and Metamaterials, ITMO University, St. Petersburg 197101, Russia
⁴2DRF/I2BM/Neurospin/UNIRS, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France
marine.moussu@fresnel.fr

inarine inicussu e nesilenn

Abstract – A novel type of probe for Magnetic Resonance Microscopy, based on high-permittivity and low-loss ceramic ring resonators, is investigated from a theoretical point of view. An analytical study of the first Transverse Electric eigenmode of such resonator is provided to confirm the relevance of the corresponding magnetic field distribution in terms of signal-to-noise ratio.

I. INTRODUCTION

The goal of Magnetic Resonance Microscopy (MRM) is to image tiny biological samples by analyzing the NMR signal of hydrogen atoms [1]. The sample is placed in a static magnetic field B_0 to initiate spins precession around the B_0 axis at the Larmor frequency, resulting in a net magnetization. A radiofrequency excitation field B_1 is then applied within the sample volume to flip the magnetization in the transverse plane. The excitation field must be orthogonal to the static magnetic field at the Larmor frequency f_L , which is proportional to B_0 . After spins relaxation, the decay of the transverse magnetization is processed to evaluate the local protons environment. The highest resolution attainable is limited, in practice, by the Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) defined as the ratio of the magnetic field amplitude in the sample over the noise induced by the sample and the probe. The solenoid coil is the reference volumetric detector for MRM. The work of Minard and Wind [2, 3] provides detailed guidelines for solenoid microcoil design. The main contributions to the SNR limitation are metal losses induced by the winding and dielectric losses due to interactions of the conservative electric field with the sample [4]. An alternative design of microscopy probe was proposed to overcome these limitations. This design is based on the resonance of dielectric materials [5], which are in practice ferroelectric ceramics with a high relative permittivity and low dielectric losses [6]. Such a probe was more recently designed, built and experimentally tested in [7] for MR microscopy at 17.2 T ($f_L \approx 730$ MHz) and it consists of two coupled high-permittivity ring dielectric resonators (DRs). The first coupled mode is adjusted to f_L by changing the distance between the resonators. In this paper, the first transverse electric mode of one DR is studied from an analytical point of view in order to pave the way to further dielectric losses estimation and then SNR comparison with the reference probe. Each DR has an outer radius a = 9 mm, an inner radius b = 2.8 mm, a height L = 10 mm, and as electromagnetic properties, a relative permittivity ϵ_r equal to 530 and loss tangent $\tan \delta = 8.10^{-4}$.

II. $TE_{01\delta}$ mode of a high-permittivity ring dielectric resonator

The first transverse electric eigenmode of a high permittivity disk resonator, represented on Fig. 1 is the so-called $TE_{01\delta}$ mode [8, 9]. In the center of the disk, the magnetic field is parallel to the disk axis and its magnitude reaches its maximum. This orientation along one direction enables the excitation field B_1 to be orthogonal to the static field B_0 . On the other hand the centre of the disk resonator in its first transverse electric mode is associated with low electric field values. As a result, dielectric losses in this region are limited. The corresponding ring resonator (disk resonator with a hole in the centre) also has a resonant mode classified as $TE_{01\delta}[8]$ which field distribution is



Fig. 1: $TE_{01\delta}$ mode: (a) schematic of the field lines in the disk resonator; (b) space subdivision for field distribution computation in the ring resonator.

very similar to that of the disk. A semi-analytical method, involving to numerically solve transcendental equations accounting for boundary conditions, is proposed to compute the field distribution of the ring resonator.

The TE_{01 δ} eigenmode has a cylindrical symmetry and is represented by three nonzero field components H_y, H_{ρ} and E_{θ} . Due to the high permittivity of the considered dielectric materials, the electromagnetic field is mainly confined within it. However, it does not vanish on the boundaries and the field leakages should be considered. These leakages are included in the method used to evaluate the field distribution, based on the work of [11] and illustrated on Fig. 2(a). This configuration involves Perfect Electric Conductor boundary conditions, the so-called conducting plates on Fig. 2, above and under the resonator. The distance h between those plates is taken equal to the MR device bore diameter. Region entitled II is the dielectric material of real relative permittivity ϵ_r , while region I represents the sample of real relative permittivity 50. In the field distribution estimation, losses due to the imaginary part of the complex permittivity are not considered. However the materials conductivity is involved in the dielectric losses computation (not presented in this paper). Eqs. 1 provide analytical expressions for the axial component of the H-field [8]. Other nonzero field components are deduced from Eq. 1 through Helmholz equation [10]. J₀ and Y₀ are the Bessel functions of the first and second kinds, and K₀ the modified Bessel function of the second kind, of zero order. $a_{I \to IX}$ represent relative field amplitudes in regions I \to IX, $\alpha_{I \to II}$ the propagative radial wavenumbers, $\nu_{\rm III}$ the evanescent radial wavenumber, and β and $\gamma_{1,2}$ the propagative and evanescent axial wavenumbers, respectively. $y_{1,2}$ and ξ are constants depending on the distance between the resonators and the conducting plates. These variables are related to each others through the dispersion equations and the boundary conditions. More specifically, the wavenumbers are computed by numerically solving equations of tangential field continuity at the boundaries II-V, I-II and II-III.

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{H}_{y}^{\mathrm{I}} &= a_{\mathrm{I}} \mathbf{J}_{0} \left(\alpha_{\mathrm{I}} \rho \right) \cos \left(\beta y \right) \\ \mathbf{H}_{y}^{\mathrm{II}} &= a_{\mathrm{II}} \left[\mathbf{J}_{0} \left(\alpha_{\mathrm{II}} \rho \right) + \xi \mathbf{Y}_{0} \left(\alpha_{\mathrm{II}} \rho \right) \right] \cos \left(\beta y \right) \\ \mathbf{H}_{y}^{\mathrm{III}} &= a_{\mathrm{III}} \mathbf{K}_{0} \left(\nu_{\mathrm{III}} \rho \right) \cos \left(\beta y \right) \\ \mathbf{H}_{y}^{\mathrm{VI}} &= a_{\mathrm{IV}} \mathbf{J}_{0} \left(\alpha_{\mathrm{II}} \rho \right) \sin \left[\gamma_{1} \left(y - y_{1} \right) \right] \\ \mathbf{H}_{y}^{\mathrm{VI}} &= a_{\mathrm{VI}} \mathbf{J}_{0} \left(\alpha_{\mathrm{II}} \rho \right) \sin \left[\gamma_{1} \left(y - y_{1} \right) \right] \\ \mathbf{H}_{y}^{\mathrm{VI}} &= a_{\mathrm{VII}} \mathbf{J}_{0} \left(\alpha_{\mathrm{II}} \rho \right) + \xi \mathbf{Y}_{0} \left(\alpha_{\mathrm{II}} \rho \right) \right] \sinh \left[\gamma_{1} \left(y - y_{1} \right) \right] \\ \mathbf{H}_{y}^{\mathrm{VII}} &= a_{\mathrm{VII}} \mathbf{I}_{0} \left(\alpha_{\mathrm{II}} \rho \right) + \xi \mathbf{Y}_{0} \left(\alpha_{\mathrm{II}} \rho \right) \right] \sinh \left[\gamma_{1} \left(y - y_{1} \right) \right] \\ \mathbf{H}_{y}^{\mathrm{VII}} &= a_{\mathrm{VII}} \mathbf{I}_{0} \left(\alpha_{\mathrm{II}} \rho \right) + \xi \mathbf{Y}_{0} \left(\alpha_{\mathrm{II}} \rho \right) \right] \sinh \left[\gamma_{1} \left(y - y_{1} \right) \right] \\ \mathbf{H}_{y}^{\mathrm{VII}} &= a_{\mathrm{VII}} \mathbf{K}_{0} \left(\nu_{\mathrm{III}} \rho \right) \sinh \left[-\gamma_{2} \left(y - y_{2} \right) \right] \\ \mathbf{H}_{y}^{\mathrm{VII}} &= a_{\mathrm{VII}} \mathbf{K}_{0} \left(\nu_{\mathrm{III}} \rho \right) \sinh \left[-\gamma_{2} \left(y - y_{2} \right) \right] \\ \mathbf{H}_{y}^{\mathrm{VII}} &= a_{\mathrm{VII}} \mathbf{K}_{0} \left(\nu_{\mathrm{III}} \rho \right) \sinh \left[-\gamma_{2} \left(y - y_{2} \right) \right] \\ \mathbf{H}_{y}^{\mathrm{VII}} &= a_{\mathrm{VII}} \mathbf{K}_{0} \left(\nu_{\mathrm{III}} \rho \right) \sinh \left[-\gamma_{2} \left(y - y_{2} \right) \right] \\ \mathbf{H}_{y}^{\mathrm{VII}} &= a_{\mathrm{VII}} \mathbf{K}_{0} \left(\nu_{\mathrm{III}} \rho \right) \sinh \left[-\gamma_{2} \left(y - y_{2} \right) \right] \\ \mathbf{H}_{y}^{\mathrm{VII}} &= a_{\mathrm{VII}} \mathbf{K}_{0} \left(\nu_{\mathrm{III}} \rho \right) \sinh \left[-\gamma_{2} \left(y - y_{2} \right) \right] \\ \mathbf{H}_{y}^{\mathrm{VII}} &= a_{\mathrm{VII}} \mathbf{K}_{0} \left(\nu_{\mathrm{VII}} \rho \right) \sinh \left[-\gamma_{2} \left(y - y_{2} \right) \right] \\ \mathbf{H}_{y}^{\mathrm{VII}} &= a_{\mathrm{VII}} \mathbf{K}_{0} \left(\nu_{\mathrm{VII}} \rho \right) \sinh \left[-\gamma_{2} \left(y - y_{2} \right) \right] \\ \mathbf{H}_{y}^{\mathrm{VII}} &= a_{\mathrm{VII}} \mathbf{K}_{0} \left(\nu_{\mathrm{VII}} \rho \right) \sinh \left[-\gamma_{2} \left(y - y_{2} \right) \right] \\ \mathbf{H}_{y}^{\mathrm{VII}} &= a_{\mathrm{VII}} \mathbf{K}_{0} \left(\nu_{\mathrm{VII}} \rho \right) \sinh \left[-\gamma_{2} \left(y - y_{2} \right) \right] \\ \mathbf{H}_{y}^{\mathrm{VII}} &= a_{\mathrm{VII}} \mathbf{K}_{0} \left(\nu_{\mathrm{VII}} \rho \right) \sinh \left[-\gamma_{1} \left(\nu_{1} + \nu_{2} \right) \right] \\ \mathbf{H}_{y}^{\mathrm{VII}} &= a_{\mathrm{VII} \mathbf{K}_{0} \left(\nu_{1} + \nu_{2} \right) \left[\mathbf{K}_{0} \left(\nu_{1} + \nu_{2} \right) \left[\nu_{1} + \nu_{2} \left(\nu_{1} + \nu_{2} \right) \right] \\ \mathbf{H}_{y}^{\mathrm{VII}} &= a_{\mathrm{VII}} \mathbf{K}_{0} \left(\nu_{1} + \nu_{2} \right) \left[\nu_{1} + \nu_{2}$$

The analytically calculated electromagnetic field is represented in Fig. 2 (b, c) in the case of the studied probe with a sample of relative permittivity 50. The magnetic field decreases within the sample volume but remains strong compared to its distribution within the dielectric material. In the meantime the electric field has low values within the sample, which is of great interest in the framework of imaging as noise in the acquisition chain is, among others, caused by electric field - sample interactions. The obtained electromagnetic field maps were compared to numerical simulations performed with the eigenmode solver of CST Microwave Studio. As represented on Figs. 2(c,d), the analytical and computed field distributions demonstrated similar patterns especially within the sample, and also in the dielectric material despite underestimated leakages at the boundaries with the analytical method.

III. CONCLUSION

The $TE_{01\delta}$ mode of disk resonators has been extensively addressed in the literature, either through accurate numerical methods or approximated approaches. This abstract focuses on the study of ring resonators' first transverse



Fig. 2: Analytical field distribution: normalized (a) H-field and (b) E-field distributions (YZ plane) filled with a sample of relative permittivity 50 and diameter 5.6 mm. White arrows on the H-field map illustrate the magnetic field lines (the E-field is normal to the YZ plane). (c) and (d): comparison of analytical and numerical (CST Microwave Studio) field distributions along z = 0 and $\rho = 0$ lines respectively.

electric mode. A semi-analytical method is proposed to estimate the field distribution which is exploited as excitation source and reception probe in the context of MRM. This work enables further characterization of dielectric resonators used as microscopy probes through the possibility to estimate the induced dielectric power losses and then compare the SNR to the reference probe. This perspective is very promising as both the low-loss ceramics and the possibility of tuning with no electronical components ensure lower losses than in the case of the solenoid.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 736937. Numerical simulations were supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (project No. 14.587.21.0041 with the unique identifier RFMEFI58717X0041).

References

- [1] P. Callaghan. "Principles of nuclear magnetic resonance microscopy." Clarendon Press, 1991.
- [2] K. R. Minard and R. A. Wind. "Solenoidal microcoil design. Part I: optimizing RF homogeneity and coil dimensions." Concepts in Magnetic Resonance: An Educational Journal, vol. 13.2, p. 128-142, 2001.
- [3] K. R. Minard and R. A. Wind. "Solenoidal microcoil design. Part II: Optimizing winding parameters for maximum signalto-noise performance." *Concepts in Magnetic Resonance Part A*, vol. 13.3, p. 190-210, 2001.
- [4] BS. Park et al. "A method to separate conservative and magnetically-induced electric fields in calculations for MRI and MRS in electrically-small samples." *Journal of Magnetic Resonance*, vol. 199.2, p. 233-237, 2009.
- [5] Neuberger, T., et al. "Design of a ceramic dielectric resonator for NMR microimaging at 14.1 tesla." Concepts in Magnetic Resonance Part B: Magnetic Resonance Engineering: An Educational Journal, vol. 33.2, p. 109-114, 2008.
- [6] Nenasheva, E. A., et al. "Low loss microwave ferroelectric ceramics for high power tunable devices." *Journal of the European Ceramic Society*, vol. 30.2, p. 395-400, 2010.
- [7] S. Kurdjumov, S. Glybovski et al. "A mechanically tunable and efficient ceramic probe for MR-microscopy at 17 Tesla." *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2017.
- [8] D. Kajfez and P. Guillon. "Dielectric resonators." Norwood, MA, Artech House, Inc., 1986.
- [9] A. G. Webb. "Dielectric materials in magnetic resonance." *Concepts in magnetic resonance part A*, vol. 38.4, p. 148-184, 2011.
- [10] Pozar, David M. "Microwave engineering." John Wiley & Sons, 2009.
- [11] J. Sheen. "A dielectric resonator method of measuring dielectric properties of low loss materials in the microwave region." *Measurement Science and Technology*, vol. 19.5, 2008.