

# Addressing transdisciplinary and participation issues to cope with rapid changes shifting marine social ecological systems

Patrice Guillotreau, Brice Trouillet, Stéphanie Mahevas, Sophie Pardo

### ▶ To cite this version:

Patrice Guillotreau, Brice Trouillet, Stéphanie Mahevas, Sophie Pardo. Addressing transdisciplinary and participation issues to cope with rapid changes shifting marine social ecological systems. Marine Policy, 2020, 117, pp.103929. 10.1016/j.marpol.2020.103929 . hal-02514119

## HAL Id: hal-02514119 https://hal.science/hal-02514119v1

Submitted on 1 Jun 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### **Marine Policy**

journal homepage: http://www.elsevier.com/locate/marpol





## Addressing transdisciplinary and participation issues to cope with rapid changes shifting marine social ecological systems

Patrice Guillotreau<sup>a</sup>, Brice Trouillet<sup>b,\*</sup>, Stéphanie Mahévas<sup>c</sup>, Sophie Pardo<sup>a</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> Université de Nantes, LEMNA, Chemin de la Censive du Tertre, BP 52231, F-44000 Nantes, France
- <sup>b</sup> Université de Nantes, CNRS, UMR LETG, Chemin de la Censive du Tertre, BP 81227, F-44000 Nantes, France
- c IFREMER, Écologie et Modèles pour l'Halieutique, BP 21105, F-44000 Nantes, France

#### ARTICLE INFO

# Keywords: Marine research Coastal hazards Coastal flood Risks perception Citizen sciences Fisheries management

#### ABSTRACT

This special section is derived from an international transdisciplinary Conference (OCEANEXT) held in 2016. It aimed at contributing to explore new initiatives mixing up scientific expertise and a participatory approach of stakeholders. This conference also ended the COSELMAR project (2013–2017), having brought together almost 170 researchers and resulted in 360 publications and communications. In this special issue, a panel of few communications were converted into peer-reviewed contributions focusing on the need for effective monitoring ahead of potential outbreaks to enhance the adaptive conditions for coastal communities and for more participation of stakeholders in the governance of social ecological marine systems. These few examples witness that transdisciplinary research on marine social ecological systems brings sound outputs, if researchers are willing to go out of their disciplinary comfort zone so as to collaborate with other disciplines and if they are able to change the way research is done within society. As a result, funding big integrating projects such as COSELMAR is essential in a marine realm constantly facing new challenges.

#### 1. Introduction

There is a growing interest in marine sciences to develop 'trans-disciplinary research' on the adaptive strategies of coastal communities against global changes affecting marine social ecological systems [1]. This literature can be found in various research networks and projects, e. g., PICES, Future Ocean, IMBeR [2–5]. The seventeenth Sustainable Development Goals provides an ambitious shared framework to develop innovating knowledge and scenarios of marine social ecological systems at local and global scales [6].

This special issue is derived from an international transdisciplinary Conference (OCEANEXT¹), and rooted in this strand of literature and goal. It aims at contributing to it by exploring new initiatives mixing up scientific expertise and a participatory approach of stakeholders. Whatever the factors underpinning the detrimental consequences of global change for coastal communities (pathogens, storms, flooding, harmful algal blooms, population size, overexploitation etc.), social responses need to be fast and proportionate in magnitude. Societies must be prepared for surprises and unexpected disturbances, accepting to follow some basic principles to adapt themselves and to increase their

level of resilience [7]. Among other principles, a polycentric or collaborative governance and the participation of stakeholder is often considered a key factor of success [8,9].

## 2. Building transdisciplinary knowledge for social-ecological marine systems: the examples of COSELMAR and OCEANEXT

COSELMAR (2013–2017) was a research project gathering five research units of IFREMER, one laboratory of the University of Angers and 11 laboratories of the University of Nantes, in France, along with academic partners and national and international industries. In overall, 169 researchers were involved in the three scientific axes of the project which were: Biodiversity (particularly microalgae) in coastal environment, Adding value to marine resources (e.g., sea water treatment, processing of invasive species), New activities and risks in coastal areas (e.g. wind farms, flooding hazards, global change), to which a specific axis of research integration was added. The aim was to achieve a better understanding of the marine and coastal socio-ecosystems and the associated resources. The project also provided insights into risk management and prevention of natural events and anthropogenic impacts.

E-mail address: Brice.Trouillet@univ-nantes.fr (B. Trouillet).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Two OCEANEXT conferences were held in Nantes in summer 2016 and 2019. The contributions to this special issue were presented in the first edition.





<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

P. Guillotreau et al. Marine Policy 117 (2020) 103929

COSELMAR managed to integrate and promote the transdisciplinary scientific work in order to build a real expertise on potential risks of coastal and marine zones.

Although impossible to fully report in the present issue, the results of this five-year project have been summarized in a final document available on the project website.<sup>2</sup> The website enlights some of the results being presented in details as well. Nearly 360 publications and communications were produced out of the project and more results are still being publicized by the project members. Two summer schools were held in summer 2015, one on Marine Spatial Planning (in relation with the Marine Spatial Planning Research Network<sup>3</sup>) and the other one on the ecology of microalgae and molluscs. A transdisciplinary exercise of geo-foresight scenario-building was also developed as part of the integration axis scheme. Researchers were trained for this exercise, met to apply the foresight methodology to the issue of fishing governance, and created three contrasted scenarios of possible future for the fishing industry and the social-ecological system in the Bay of Biscay, increasingly affected by natural and anthropogenic changes. As an example, new uses are challenging the situation of long-standing sectors at sea (i.e., shipping, fishing, shellfish farming), but are also raising new research issues (e.g., multi-use of the sea, assessment of socioeconomic impacts). In particular, the settlement of offshore platforms and equipment (e.g., wind farms) requires specific attention and analysis by researchers about their spatial coverage and location, their design, the biocolonization by marine organisms, the organization of labour, the legal status of these new floating objects, etc.

Last and not least, the COSELMAR project coordinators (Sophie Pardo and Philipp Hess) organized a large international and multidisciplinary conference named OCEANEXT on June 8-10th 2016, gathering 220 participants coming from 18 different countries.<sup>4</sup> This conference was very unique of its kind by combining plenary sessions involving a broad community of marine researchers on topics as various as integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (Thierry Chopin, University of New Brunswick, Canada), the phytoplankton biodiversity at planetary scale (Chris Bowler, TARA-OCEANS, UK), transdisciplinary insights into integrated ecosystem assessments (Dorothy Dankel, University of Bergen, Norway), the role of foresight studies to integrate science and stakeholders (Luc Van Hoof, IMARES Wageningen, The Netherlands), and parallel sessions on oceans and human health, climate change and marine ecosystems, blue growth, marine renewable energy, marine spatial planning, risk perception and management, harmful algal blooms, etc. Such a diversity of topics and disciplines, having all in common to be sea-related key issues which require to create a transdisciplinary dialogue, made the originality of this research event. The meeting was so successful that a second edition of OCEANEXT took place in Nantes on July 3-5th 2019.5

In this special issue, some of the communications were converted into peer-reviewed contributions focusing on the need for effective monitoring ahead of potential outbreaks to enhance the adaptive conditions for coastal communities (part 3) and for more participation of stakeholders in the governance of social ecological marine systems (part 4).

# 3. New hazards need good monitoring systems and more evaluation of adaptive strategies

The means deployed by public and private institutions are sometimes not enough to cover a broad open space at sea and follow the slow variables [7,8] which may have great consequences for the seafood industry. R. Siano et al. (this issue) suggest to mobilize a large fringe of

citizens who can report on marine water discolorations caused by phytoplankton and prevent potential harmful algal blooms (HAB) crises for fishers and fish farmers. A significant number of warnings were given by this citizen approach (called Phenomer), which enabled to register many phenomena, such as red, brown or green tides, out of the area covered by the usual monitoring system. The benefits of the system should be evaluated before generalizing its use.

One way of assessing the system might be inspired by the value chain analysis developed by V. Le Bihan and M. Catalo (this issue) on the shellfish farming industry. These two authors observed in Southern Brittany (Western part of France) substantial changes coming both from environmental modifications and technological innovations which are revealed by their value chain. The frequency of outbreaks pushed some farmers to leave their offshore grounds for nearshore leaseholds and change their cultural practices to cope with this new state of the environment. However, the authors also showed that these adaptive behaviours can be out of reach for other farmers whose perception of risks or business conditions are not compatible with such a fundamental economic shift.

Far more detrimental are the consequences of environmental events for coastal populations when human casualties are caused by severe storms. This was the case of storm Xynthia which hit the French Atlantic coast in February 2010, causing the death of 41 persons [10]. For the first time in France, this dramatic event has resulted in the re-location of people, with no thorough assessment of alternative options. Créac'h et al. (this issue) have undertaken this comparative exercise of cost-effectiveness analysis for several adaptation strategies in a non-impacted area. They confirm that the most effective strategy is re-location, which is also the most costly operation. However, the authors also raise new questions about the way of measuring effectiveness.

## 4. How to involve further stakeholders in the governance of marine systems?

The right decisions for managing human uses can only be effective if the governing system is understood and well-accepted by populations [11], assuming good communication and permanent dialogue between the managers and stakeholders involved in marine social-ecological systems. This is what Tissière et al. (this issue) demonstrate by observing directly the nexus of exchanges between various actors socially embedded in a network of institutions involved in the management of demersal and benthic fisheries under the overarching umbrella of the Common Fisheries Policy (CFP). The authors adopted an ethnographic approach by participating as observers to a large set of meetings. They analysed the discursive content of these meetings to highlight the different perceptions and strategic roles of actors, and identify their action levers within the boundaries of the CFP legal framework.

Interestingly, Provost et al. (this issue) advocate for greater cooperation between fishers and scientists to improve governance as illustrated through a successful geo-foresight exercise. Scenarios of possible evolutions of the Bay of Biscay social ecological marine system are jointly created by these two groups and converted into quantitative inputs to be run by a bio-economic fisheries model, namely ISIS-Fish [12], applied to mixed demersal fisheries. The outcomes of the simulations are then discussed between fishers and scientists to share a common understanding of the fishing system. This demonstration shows how flexible and useful models can be, under specific circumstances, to set up a dialogue and greater convergence of stakeholders towards the objectives of sustainable mixed fisheries.

Le Duff et al. (this issue) contribute with an article about Ouvea island in the West Pacific ocean, where environmental changes have a more tangible reality because of sea level rise and major flooding risks for atolls. They study the struggling strategies against coastal erosion by combining the scientific expertise with local and customary knowledge of the Kanak population. A participatory coastline monitoring system is designed firstly to share the data collection burden and access, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> http://www.coselmar.fr.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> https://www.msprn.net/home.

<sup>4</sup> https://oceanext.sciencesconf.org/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> https://oceanext-2019.sciencesconf.org/.

secondly to increase the awareness of local populations about the risk of sea level rise.

#### 5. Conclusion

The COSELMAR project results published in this special issue highlight that participatory science in all its dimensions (e.g., participatory research, community based research) [13–15] and multidisciplinary collaborations make it possible to 1) build bridges between science and society facilitating the exchange of knowledge, 2) enrich scientific approaches 3) raise awareness among stakeholders of evolutions in marine social-ecological systems to enhance the adaptive conditions coping with hazards and to make informed political choices.

The COSELMAR adventure has revealed the successful story of the two bi-disciplinary approaches initiated in this project. Both scientific developments have been performed by PhD students supervised by pairs of senior scientists respectively in human science and in natural science: Créach et al. (this issue) mixing geography and economics and Tissière et al. (this issue) mixing geography and fishery sciences. One key to success of these bi-disciplinary PhD thesis, lies in the cross-cutting theme that is addressed. These kind of cross-cutting points of entry (e.g., risks, changes) remain the most obvious for engaging researchers in genuine transdisciplinary research and integrating the concepts of social systems and natural systems. These illustrations of transdisciplinary approaches should encourage more researchers to follow this track and go beyond two disciplinaries to address the complexity of social ecological systems.

Beyond the results presented in this special issue, the COSELMAR project has created broader knowledge on the complex social ecological system of the Bay of Biscay. It also gave the opportunity to open an arena between researchers from various disciplines through a research foresight exercise enabling to raise new questions dealing with marine social ecological systems. Two participatory and multidisciplinary research projects mixing science and art, currently in progress, have emerged from this foresight exercise, one on the imaginaries and representations of the sea and a second around scenarios and uncertainty.

This opportunity of mixing several disciplines to increase scientific knowledge on marine social and ecological systems and of creating links towards the stakeholders (including the civil society) relies on the management of a project with many partners, a significant budget dedicated to scientific dissemination and the willingness of researchers to go out of their disciplinary comfort zone so as to collaborate with other disciplines. It is therefore crucial to maintain the opportunities of funding big integrating projects such as COSELMAR and encouraging the development of management tools to facilitate the emergence of such projects.

#### Acknowledgments

The COSELMAR project was supported by grant from the Regional Council of the Pays de la Loire (Convention  $n^\circ 2012-09684,$  France). The

OCEANEXT 2016 Conference was sponsored by the COSELMAR Project as well as by IFREMER, University of Nantes, University of Angers, IUML, MSH Ange-Guépin, Code Lutin, Crédit maritime, FEDER (*L'Europe s'engage en Pays de la Loire*), Nantes Métropole, Université du Maine, Le Mans Métropole, Conseil Général of the Sarthe, World Ocean Day and European Maritime Day. On behalf of the organizers, we are also very grateful to all the participants to the OCEANEXT 2016 conference.

#### References

- [1] D.J. Lang, A. Wiek, M. Bergmann, M. Stauffacher, P. Martens, P. Moll, M. Swilling, C.J. Thomas, Transdisciplinary research in sustainability science: practice, principles, and challenges, Sustain. Sci. 7 (2012) 25–43, https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11625-011-0149-x
- [2] K. Van Assche, A.K. Hornidge, A. Schlüter, N. Vaidianu, Governance and the coastal condition: towards new modes of observation, adaptation and integration, Mar. Pol. 112 (2020), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2019.01.002.
- [3] S.J. Bograd, S. Kang, E. Di Lorenzo, T. Horii, O.N. Katugin, J.R. King, V.B. Lobanov, M. Makino, G. Na, R.I. Perry, F. Qiao, R.R. Rykaczewski, H. Saito, T.W. Therriault, S. Yoo, H. Batchelder, Developing a social–ecological–environmental system framework to address climate change impacts in the North Pacific, Front. Mar. Sci. 18 (2019), https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00333.
- [4] R.R. Krishnamurty, M.P. Jonathan, S. Srinivasalu, B. Glaeser (Eds.), Coastal Management: Global Challenges and Innovations, first ed., Academic Press, 2018, p. 546.
- [5] Global change in marine systems, Integrating societal and governing responses, in: P. Guillotreau, A. Bundy, R.I. Perry (Eds.), Routledge Studies in Environment, Culture and Society (RSECS) Series, Routledge, 2018, p. 330.
- [6] MDG Gap Task Force Report, Millennium development goal 8, in: Taking Stock of the Global Partnership for Development, United Nations publication, New-York, 2015, p. 75.
- [7] R. Biggs, M. Schlüter, M.L. Schoon (Eds.), Principles for Building Resilience: Sustainable Ecosystem Services in Social Ecological Systems, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2015.
- [8] C. Folke, S.R. Carpenter, B. Walker, M. Scheffer, T. Chapin, J. Rockström, Resilience thinking: integrating resilience, adaptability and transformability, Ecol. Soc. 15 (4) (2010) 20. http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol15/iss4/art20/.
- [9] F. Berkes, H. Ross, Community resilience: toward an integrated approach, Soc. Nat. Resour. 26 (1) (2013) 5–20. https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920.2012.736605.
- [10] A. Créach, S. Pardo, P. Guillotreau, D. Mercier, The use of a micro-scale index to identify potential death risk areas due to coastal flood surges: lessons from Storm Xynthia on the French Atlantic coast, Nat. Hazards 77 (2015) 1679–1710, https:// doi.org/10.1007/s11069-015-1669-y.
- [11] M. Bavinck, R. Chuenpagdee, S. Jentoft, J. Kooiman (Eds.), Governability of Fisheries and Aquaculture: Theory and Applications, vol. 7, Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2013, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-6107-0. Mare publication series.
- [12] S. Mahévas, D. Pelletier, ISIS-Fish, a generic and spatially-explicit simulation tool for evaluating the impact of management measures on fisheries dynamics, Ecol. Model. 171 (1-2) (2004) 65-84, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ecolmodel.2003.04.001.
- [13] F. Houllier, J.B. Merilhou-Goudard, Les sciences participatives en France. Etat des lieux, bonnes pratiques et recommandations. Rapport aux ministres en charge de l'Éducation nationale, de l'Enseignement supérieur et de la Recherche, vol. 122, 2016. http://www.sciences-participatives.com/Rapport.
- [14] B.A. Israel, A.J. Schulz, E.A. Parker, A.B. Becker, Review of community-based research: assessing partnership approaches to improve public health, Annu. Rev. Publ. Health 19 (1998) 173–202, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev. publhealth.19.1.173.
- [15] C.C. Conrad, K.G. Hilchey, A review of citizen science and community-based environmental monitoring: issues and opportunity, Environ. Monit. Assess. 176 (2011) 273–291, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-010-1582-5.