

## Interictal stereotactic-EEG functional connectivity in refractory focal epilepsies

Stanislas Lagarde, Nicolas Roehri, Isabelle Lambert, Agnès Trébuchon, Aileen Mcgonigal, Romain Carron, Didier Scavarda, Mathieu Milh, Francesca Pizzo, Bruno Colombet, et al.

### ▶ To cite this version:

Stanislas Lagarde, Nicolas Roehri, Isabelle Lambert, Agnès Trébuchon, Aileen Mcgonigal, et al.. Interictal stereotactic-EEG functional connectivity in refractory focal epilepsies. Brain - A Journal of Neurology , 2018, 141 (10), pp.2966-2980. 10.1093/brain/awy214 . hal-02513961

## HAL Id: hal-02513961 https://hal.science/hal-02513961

Submitted on 6 Apr 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. A JOURNAL OF NEUROLOGY



## Interictal stereotactic-EEG functional connectivity in refractory focal epilepsies

Stanislas Lagarde,<sup>1,2</sup> Nicolas Roehri,<sup>2</sup> Isabelle Lambert,<sup>1,2</sup> Agnès Trebuchon,<sup>1,2</sup> Aileen McGonigal,<sup>1,2</sup> Romain Carron,<sup>2,3</sup> Didier Scavarda,<sup>4</sup> Mathieu Milh,<sup>5</sup> Francesca Pizzo,<sup>2</sup> Bruno Colombet,<sup>2</sup> Bernard Giusiano,<sup>2</sup> Samuel Medina Villalon,<sup>1,2</sup> Maxime Guye,<sup>1,6,7</sup> Christian-G Bénar<sup>2,\*</sup> and Fabrice Bartolomei<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

\*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Drug-refractory focal epilepsies are network diseases associated with functional connectivity alterations both during ictal and interictal periods. A large majority of studies on the interictal/resting state have focused on functional MRI-based functional connectivity. Few studies have used electrophysiology, despite its high temporal capacities. In particular, stereotactic-EEG is highly suitable to study functional connectivity because it permits direct intracranial electrophysiological recordings with relative large-scale sampling. Most previous studies in stereotactic-EEG have been directed towards temporal lobe epilepsy, which does not represent the whole spectrum of drug-refractory epilepsies. The present study aims at filling this gap, investigating interictal functional connectivity alterations behind cortical epileptic organization and its association with post-surgical prognosis. To this purpose, we studied a large cohort of 59 patients with malformation of cortical development explored by stereotactic-EEG with a wide spatial sampling (76 distinct brain areas were recorded, median of 13.2 per patient). We computed functional connectivity using non-linear correlation. We focused on three zones defined by stereotactic-EEG ictal activity: the epileptogenic zone, the propagation zone and the non-involved zone. First, we compared within-zone and between-zones functional connectivity. Second, we analysed the directionality of functional connectivity between these zones. Third, we measured the associations between functional connectivity measures and clinical variables, especially post-surgical prognosis. Our study confirms that functional connectivity differs according to the zone under investigation. We found: (i) a gradual decrease of the within-zone functional connectivity with higher values for epileptogenic zone and propagation zone, and lower for non-involved zones; (ii) preferential coupling between structures of the epileptogenic zone; (iii) preferential coupling between epileptogenic zone and propagation zone; and (iv) poorer post-surgical outcome in patients with higher functional connectivity of non-involved zone (within- non-involved zone, between non-involved zone and propagation zone functional connectivity). Our work suggests that, even during the interictal state, functional connectivity is reinforced within epileptic cortices (epileptogenic zone and propagation zone) with a gradual organization. Moreover, larger functional connectivity alterations, suggesting more diffuse disease, are associated with poorer post-surgical prognosis. This is consistent with computational studies suggesting that connectivity is crucial in order to model the spatiotemporal dynamics of seizures.

- 1 APHM, Timone Hospital, Clinical Neurophysiology, Marseille, France
- 2 Aix Marseille Univ, INSERM, INS, Inst Neurosci Syst, Marseille, France
- 3 APHM, Timone Hospital, Stereotactic and Functional Neurosurgery, Marseille, France
- 4 APHM, Timone Hospital, Paediatric Neurosurgery, Marseille, France
- 5 APHM, Timone Hospital, Paediatric Neurology, Marseille, France
- 6 Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, CRMBM, Marseille, France
- 7 APHM, Timone Hospital, CEMEREM, Marseille, France

Received August 24, 2017. Revised May 27, 2018. Accepted June 25, 2018. Advance Access publication August 9, 2018 © The Author(s) (2018). Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Guarantors of Brain. All rights reserved. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com

Correspondence to: Pr Fabrice Bartolomei

Hopital Timone, Service de Neurophysiologie Clinique, 264 Rue Saint-Pierre, 13385 Marseille, France E-mail: fabrice.bartolomei@ap-hm.fr

Correspondence may also be addressed to: Dr Christian Benar Institut de Neurosciences des Systèmes, Inserm UMR1106, Aix-Marseille Université, Faculté de Médecine, 27, Boulevard Jean Moulin, 13005 Marseille, France E-mail: christian.benar@univ-amu.fr

**Keywords:** epilepsy; malformation of cortical development; stereotactic-EEG; functional connectivity; network **Abbreviations:** EZ = epileptogenic zone; FCD = focal cortical dysplasia; NIZ = non-involved zone; PZ = propagation zone

## Introduction

The human brain is a complex network connecting billions of neurons organized over several spatial scales and interacting over several time scales (Fornito *et al.*, 2015). Consequently, brain connectivity analyses coupled with graph-theory principles have attracted much effort in neuroscience research, leading to unprecedented understanding of normal brain network organization (Bullmore and Sporns, 2009; Stam, 2014). Recently, these approaches have been successfully applied to the study of neurological diseases such as epilepsy (van Diessen *et al.*, 2013).

Epilepsy is a serious and highly prevalent neurological disease, affecting 1% of the population worldwide (Fiest et al., 2016). Pharmacoresistance to anticonvulsant therapy remains a major issue for about one-third of patients, who may benefit from epilepsy surgery when epilepsy is focal (Ryvlin et al., 2014). Focal epilepsy is increasingly conceptualized as a network disorder with regards to spatial organization of epileptic cortices, pattern of seizure propagation, and brain network alterations (Bartolomei et al., 2017). While numerous studies have shown modified brain synchrony during seizures, cerebral connectivity is also notably altered during interictal and resting states. These studies used structural or functional connectivity, MRI or electrophysiological recordings [scalp EEG, intracranial EEG, magnetoencephalography (MEG)], and in some cases used graph-theory analyses (Bernhardt et al., 2013; Coito et al., 2015; Englot et al., 2015; Bartolomei et al., 2017; Besson et al., 2017). Even focal epilepsies are thus associated with large-scale connectivity alterations during interictal/resting state, possibly accounting for comorbidities such as cognitive dysfunction. Furthermore, conceptualization of the epileptic brain in terms of pathological networks could help identify the anatomical distribution of the epileptogenic process and help estimate efficiency of surgery. For example, a recent connectivity-based personalized brain model demonstrated good prediction of seizure propagation correlating with surgical outcomes (Hutchings et al., 2015; Goodfellow et al., 2016; Proix et al., 2017; Sinha et al., 2017; Steimer et al., 2017).

Nevertheless, despite numerous studies on the subject, network organization in epilepsy remains incompletely understood (Richardson, 2012; Bernhardt *et al.*, 2013; Duncan *et al.*, 2016; Bartolomei *et al.*, 2017). A large

majority of literature has focused on MRI extracted connectivity (Bernhardt et al., 2013; Duncan et al., 2016) although the relationship between functional MRI and electrophysiological data is still incompletely understood (Bénar et al., 2006; Bettus et al., 2011; Coan et al., 2016; Ridley et al., 2017). Other studies used electrophysiological recordings (intracranial or not) to compute functional connectivity (Kramer et al., 2011; Chu et al., 2012; Kramer and Cash, 2012; van Diessen et al., 2013; Yaffe et al., 2015). These studies are essentially limited to temporal lobe epilepsy, although extra-temporal epilepsies are highly prevalent and represent complex cases in epilepsy surgery. Moreover, invasive recordings are mostly based on electrocorticography (ECoG) with some limitations compared to stereotactic-EEG. Stereotactic-EEG is a direct intracranial recording avoiding methodological issues in terms of source connectivity analysis, contrary to MEG or EEG that require an ill-posed inverse problem and are prone to source leakage (Schoffelen and Gross, 2009). Moreover, it is now clear that stereotactic-EEG and functional MRI data are not highly correlated (Bettus et al., 2011; Ridley et al., 2017). Despite the clear interest of stereotactic-EEG, stereotactic-EEG-extracted functional connectivity data from large cohorts of patients with extensive extra-temporal sampling remain scarce. One study included 10 patients with focal cortical dysplasia type II and showed in the gamma sub-band higher out-density and betweenness centrality within the lesion than in other brain areas (Varotto et al., 2012). The present study aims at filling this gap and more specifically to correlate functional connectivity data with post-surgical outcome.

To this end, we investigated a cohort of 59 consecutive patients with malformations of cortical development using stereotactic-EEG. We chose this 'model' of focal epilepsy for three reasons: (i) malformation of cortical development is a common aetiology of intractable focal epilepsy (~30% of patients referred for presurgical evaluation) (Guerrini *et al.*, 2015; Cloppenborg *et al.*, 2016); (ii) malformation of cortical development lesions are known to be intrinsically epileptogenic (Aubert *et al.*, 2009; Guerrini *et al.*, 2015); and (iii) malformation of cortical development may be observed in different brain structures across patients (leading to wide brain sampling in our cohort). Based on stereotactic-EEG recordings, we defined three zones of interest: the epileptogenic zone (EZ), propagation zone (PZ) and the non-involved zone (NIZ). We then computed functional connectivity from stereotactic-EEG signals across several frequency bands. We used non-linear correlation to calculate directed functional connectivity (Pijn and da Silva, 1993; Wendling *et al.*, 2010). Finally, we addressed four questions: (i) does the within-zone functional connectivity differ according to the zones? (ii) is there a particular pattern of functional connectivity coupling between zones? (iii) do certain zones drive others in term of directionality? and (iv) is there an association between functional connectivity and clinical variables, in particular post-surgical prognosis?

## Materials and methods

Figure 1 summarizes the methods that were used in this study.

#### **Patient selection**

Among all patients (children and adults) who underwent stereotactic-EEG in our department from 2000 to 2016, we

reviewed 75 consecutive patients with pathologically proven focal cortical dysplasia (FCD) or neurodevelopmental tumours. An expert neuropathologist reviewed data and established the pathological diagnosis according to International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE) (Blümcke *et al.*, 2011) and WHO classifications (Louis *et al.*, 2016) according to a previous study (Lagarde *et al.*, 2016). We included patients with isolated FCD type I or type II, and patients with neurodevelopmental tumours. We excluded 16 patients: one patient in whom no seizure was recorded during stereotactic-EEG and 15 patients not fulfilling resting state stereotactic-EEG quality criteria. Thus, 59 patients were analysed. The institutional review board of the French Institute of Health (IRB15226) approved this study and written patient consent was obtained.

All patients had detailed evaluation including medical history, neurological examination, cognitive testing, cerebral <sup>18</sup>F-fluorodeoxyglucose-PET and MRI. In this study, all patients required invasive recordings after the non-invasive phase and stereotactic-EEG exploration was carried out as part of patients' usual clinical care. Stereotactic-EEG was indicated when the EZ was suspected to be larger than the lesion; and/or when extra-temporal structures were suspected to be involved in temporal lobe seizures; and/or when bilateralism was suspected; and/or when an eloquent area was suspected to be involved (Jayakar *et al.*, 2016). The placement



**Figure 1** Summary of the analysis pipeline. For details see the 'Materials and methods' section. MCD = malformations of cortical development; Op = operculum; pmL = premotor lateral cortex; STG = superior temporal gyrus.

of electrodes was defined in each patient based on non-invasive information providing hypotheses about the potential localization of the EZ.

#### Stereotactic-EEG recordings

Long-term video-stereotactic-EEG monitoring was performed to record several of the patient's usual seizures, following complete or partial withdrawal of antiepileptic drugs. The stereotactic-EEG exploration was performed using intracerebral multiple-contact electrodes (Dixi Medical or Alcis), consisting of 10-15 contacts with length 2 mm, diameter 0.8 mm, spaced by 1.5 mm; for details see Bartolomei et al. (2008). Signals were recorded on a 128- or 256-channel Natus system depending on the year of recording. They were sampled at 256, 512, or 1024 Hz, depending on the year of recording, and recorded on a hard disk (16 bits/sample) using no digital filter. Two hardware filters were present in the acquisition procedure: a high-pass filter (cut-off frequency = 0.16 Hz at -3 dB), and an anti-aliasing low-pass filter (cut-off frequency = 97 Hz for 256 Hz sampling rate, 170 Hz for 512 Hz sampling rate, or 340 Hz for 1024 Hz sampling rate).

The surgical outcome was assessed regularly during postoperative follow-up and coded according to Engel's classification (Engel, 1993). For analysis, we used the surgical outcome score at the latest available follow-up.

#### **Definition of the three zones**

Based on ictal activity, we labelled stereotactic-EEG contacts as belonging to the EZ, PZ or NIZ, according to previous studies and models (Bartolomei et al., 2017; Besson et al., 2017). We used the epileptogenicity index to define the EZ. The epileptogenicity index is a semi-automatic method to quantify the dynamic of the fast-activity genesis at the beginning of seizure. The epileptogenicity index is based on two important features of the transition from pre-ictal to ictal activity: (i) the redistribution of signal energy from lower frequency bands (theta, alpha) toward higher frequency bands (beta, gamma); and (ii) the delay of appearance of these high-frequencies. The epileptogenicity index (EI) is the energy ratio (ER) (averaged over time just after the detection by Page-Hinkley algorithm of the beginning of a rapid discharge) in signal  $s_i$  of a structure Si, divided by the delay  $\Delta i$ of involvement of this structure with respect to time  $N_0$  of the first detection of a rapid discharge among all channels:

$$EI_{i} = \frac{1}{N_{di} - N_{0} + \tau} \sum_{n=N_{di}}^{N_{di} + H} ER[n], \tau > 0$$
(1)

Where  $N_{di}$  is the detection time in signal  $s_i$  recorded from structure  $S_i$ ,  $ER = (E_\beta + E_\gamma)/(E_\theta + E_\alpha)$  (where *E* is the energy spectral density), and *H* is the duration over which ER[n] is integrated. From this equation, it can be observed that: the sooner structure  $S_i$  becomes involved in the seizure, and the faster its ictal discharge, the higher its epileptogenicity index Finally, to obtain normalized values per patient, ranging from 0 (no epileptogenicity) to 1 (maximal epileptogenicity), epileptogenicity index values were divided by the maximal value obtained in each patient (for more details regarding methodology see Bartolomei *et al.*, 2008; Marchi *et al.*, 2016). We defined the EZ as all brain areas with epileptogenicity index >0.3. This cut-off was chosen pragmatically because it allows the best separation between epileptogenic and non-epileptogenic areas, as demonstrated in previous reports (Bartolomei *et al.*, 2008; Marchi *et al.*, 2016). The PZ was defined by visual analysis as brain areas with an epileptogenicity index <0.3 but with sustained discharge during the seizure course (including rhythmic discharges with propagation delay and/or low frequency) (Proix *et al.*, 2017). The NIZ was defined as all other brain structures.

#### **Functional connectivity analysis**

We first selected a subset of all stereotactic-EEG channels. To this purpose, we co-registered pre-implantation MRI and postimplantation CT (using the maximization of normalized mutual information and trilinear interpolation) (Medina Villalon et al., 2018) and visually defined the anatomical area using the Mai et al. (2015) atlas. First, we selected only the contacts within the grey matter, because the exact origin of the signal recorded in white matter is not well understood. Second, we chose only one bipolar channel (from two adjacent contacts) for one specific brain area, to limit volume conduction effects and to study only the relation between distant brain areas. Third, in case of more than two channels within the same brain area, we selected the channel without artefact and with the higher amplitude. To limit the influence of not completely inactive common reference and biases due to volume conduction effects, we choose a bipolar re-referencing (obtained from subtraction of monopolar signals recorded on two adjacent contacts in the structure) rather than monopolar signals (Bettus et al., 2008; van Mierlo et al., 2014).

The analysed stereotactic-EEG recordings corresponded to periods of time in which patients were instructed to stay at rest and awake (15 min, eyes closed, resting state, recording performed between 07:00 and 12:30). We selected interictal recordings that were temporally distant from the preceding and the following seizure by at least 2 h. In addition, recordings were chosen at least 2 days after the electrode implantation surgical procedure to limit possible effects of general anaesthesia (Bettus *et al.*, 2008). We visually inspected and selected only artefact-free periods.

As neuronal networks dynamic includes non-linear properties, especially in epilepsy (Jirsa et al., 2014), we chose to estimate functional connectivity using a non-linear regression:  $h^2$ (Pijn and da Silva, 1993; Wendling et al., 2001).  $h^2$  is a nonparametric analysis aiming at quantifying the amplitude correlation of a signal Y on a signal X, independently of the type of the relation between the two signals. This technique has been shown to be particularly suitable for the analysis of EEG/stereotactic-EEG signals in the context of epilepsy (review in Bartolomei et al., 2017). In a sliding window, a piecewise linear regression is performed between each pair of signals. The  $h^2$  is the coefficient of determination, which measures the goodness of fit of the regression (equivalent to the  $r^2$ used in linear regression). The  $h^2$  is bounded between 0 (no correlation) and 1 (maximal correlation) and is asymmetric. For each step, we shifted the time windows to obtain the time lag maximizing the  $h^2$ . This time lag can be used to estimate the time delay between the two signals (for methodological details, see Wendling et al., 2001). To optimize functional connectivity estimation (Wang et al., 2014), we used the following parameters for  $h^2$  analysis: 8 s sliding window, steps 4s and a maximum lag of 0.1s. We performed



**Figure 2** Example of connectivity results in a patient with FCD. 3D representation of the stereotactic-EEG electrodes in one patient with left post-central FCD. (**A**) Coronal view; (**B**) axial view; (**C**) sagittal view; and (**D**) view of the position of the electrodes superimposed on axial MRI. LE' explored the lesion; FeF' explored the premotor cortex; SC' explored the superior central cortex; PC' explored the inferior central cortex; PI' explored precuneus and inferior parietal lobule; PP' explored precuneus and superior parietal lobule; and CU' explored the cuneus and lateral occipital cortex. Red large spheres represent structures within-EZ and smaller orange spheres represent structures within-PZ, the other contacts belong to the NIZ. The coloured lines represent the functional connectivity between two structures. The arrows represent the directionality of these connections. Note that the highest non-linear correlation was within-EZ (L' and PC') and that medium non-linear correlation were within-PZ or between the EZ and PZ.

 $h^2$  using the AnyWave open-source software (Colombet *et al.*, 2015) (available at http://meg.univ-amu.fr/wiki/AnyWave). In the present study,  $h^2$  values were computed on broadband signals (providing a global estimation of non-linear interdependencies and used for statistical tests). For exploratory purposes, we also computed  $h^2$  values on raw signals filtered in classically defined EEG sub-bands, namely delta (0.5–3.4 Hz), theta (3.4–7.4 Hz), alpha (7.4–12.4 Hz), beta (12.4–24 Hz) and gamma (24–80 Hz) (Bettus *et al.*, 2008). We applied a second-order Butterworth filter forward and backward to ensure zero-phase response (Colombet *et al.*, 2015). Figure 2 illustrates one example of connectivity graphs in a given patient.

To obtain the weighted connectivity matrix, we computed the median values across all time windows of  $h^2$  values for a given pair of nodes (which we will refer to as 'node x' and 'node y' hereafter). As the  $h^2$  measure is not symmetric  $(h_{x\to y}^2 \neq h_{y\to x}^2)$ , we took the higher median value across directions  $(x \to y \text{ or } y \to x)$ .

Then, we computed the median of the connection weights linking all nodes of a same zone, resulting in a within-zone functional connectivity (i.e. EZ, PZ and NIZ functional connectivity); and between all the nodes linking two zones: interzone functional connectivity (i.e. EZ–PZ, EZ–NIZ and PZ– NIZ functional connectivity). We also studied the directionality of the coupling between two zones, taking advantage of the time delay given by the  $h^2$ computation. Since the time-delay matrix is asymmetric, we analysed the time delay of the edge whose median  $h^2$  was higher. First, we performed a sign test on the time delays across all temporal windows for all selected edges to retain only links with consistent timing of coupling (null hypothesis of a median equal to 0 and threshold of P < 0.05). We then defined, only using edges with significant sign-test, the directionality as the percentage of edges between two zones going in one direction (e.g. EZ to PZ) minus the percentage of links going in the opposite direction (e.g. PZ to EZ).

Since distance between two channels may be a potential factor influencing the results of functional connectivity analysis (Warren *et al.*, 2010) we estimated the distances between all bipolar channels selected for functional connectivity analysis. We calculated the Euclidian distance between the centre of the two selected contacts of each bipolar channels (using the co-ordinates extracted from our co-registration tool).

#### Statistical analyses

To test the significance of directionality, we used a Wilcoxon signed rank test to examine if the proportion of links between

two zones was preferentially directed toward one direction (i to j) or the opposite direction (j to i). To take the effect of the distance between regions into account, we used an ANOVA with type of functional connectivity (e.g. within-EZ, between PZ–NIZ) as regressors of interest, controlling distance as covariate.

To estimate the association with clinical variables, we used a bivariate Pearson correlation test (for quantitative data such as epilepsy duration, age at stereotactic-EEG, age of epilepsy onset), Mann-Whitney U-test for two independent samples and a Kruskal-Wallis test for multiple independent samples (for qualitative data such as post-surgical outcome, histology, gender, visible lesion on MRI). For the post-surgical outcome, we compared the functional connectivity measures of the seizure-free patients (Engel class Ia) to those of the non-seizure-free patients (all others Engel classes). Wherever possible we reported statistical testing of data computed from broadband signals. The results of frequency sub-bands were reported only in case of significant variation according to the frequency band (otherwise see Supplementary material). We corrected significance for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction.

Statistical tests were performed with the software Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) for Mac, version 22.

#### Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, upon reasonable request.

## Results

#### **Patient characteristics**

Fifty-nine patients (23 females, 36 males) were analysed in this study; their clinical features are summarized in Table 1. Mean age at epilepsy onset was 7 years (range 0-30); mean age at stereotactic-EEG was 24 years (range 2.75-56) and mean epilepsy duration before stereotactic-EEG was 16.9 years (range 1-56). The pathological diagnoses were the following: 14 FCD type I, 31 FCD type II, 14 neurodevelopmental tumours. Fifty-one patients had a visible lesion on MRI (20 in frontal lobe, 20 in temporal lobe, seven in parietal lobe, three in occipital lobe, and one with a frontoparieto-insulo-occipital lesion) and eight were considered to be MRI-negative. The EZ was localized in the right hemisphere in 33 patients (55.9%). The surgical outcome was: Engel class I for 34 patients (57.6%), Engel class II for 10 patients (16.9%), Engel class III for four patients (6.8%), and Engel class IV for 11 patients (18.6%). The median follow-up duration after surgery was 10 years (ranging from 3 to 17 years).

A total of 76 distinct brain areas were sampled with a median of 13.2 (min-max: 6-25) per patient. All brain lobes were sampled including frontal (302 selected channels; 38.9% of all selected channels), temporal (256; 33%), parietal (126; 16.2%), occipital (48; 6.2%), insula (37; 4.8%) and thalamus was also sampled in seven patients (0.9%, more details about spatial sampling are available in Supplementary Table 1).

#### Table | Summary of patients' clinical features

Patients' clinical features	
Gender, female/male	23/36
Visible MRI lesion, n (%)	51 (86.4)
Median age at epilepsy onset, years (min–max)	7 (0–30)
Median age at SEEG, years (min–max)	24 (2.75–56)
Median epilepsy duration, years (min–max)	16.9 (I-56)
Lesion localization	
Frontal	20
Temporal	20
Parietal	7
Occipital	3
Hemispheric	I.
Epileptogenic zone lateralization, right/left	33/26
Histological type	
FCD I	14
FCD II	31
NDT	14
Engel classes (%)	
I	34 (57.6)
II	10 (16.9)
III	4 (6.8)
IV	( 8.6)
Median follow-up post-surgery (years, min-max)	4 (0.25–15)

SEEG = stereotactic-EEG.

#### **Functional connectivity**

There was a significant relationship between the distance and the functional connectivity value (P < 0.001, ANOVA), justifying taking into account this parameter in our statistical analysis. We found a significant difference in functional connectivity within-zone functional connectivity with a gradual decrease of the median functional connectivity within-zone functional connectivity from EZ and PZ to NIZ (Fig. 3). Within-EZ functional connectivity was significantly higher than within-NIZ functional connectivity and functional connectivity within-PZ was significantly higher than within-NIZ (P < 0.001, ANOVA, after Bonferroni correction). There was no significant difference between the functional connectivity within-EZ and within-PZ.

Second, we found that structures of EZ had higher functional connectivity within them than with NIZ (P < 0.001, ANOVA, after Bonferroni correction); and with-PZ than with-NIZ (P < 0.001, ANOVA, after Bonferroni correction) (Fig. 3).

Additionally, the inter-patient variability of the functional connectivity was high. The analysis in frequency sub-bands showed gradual decrease of functional connectivity values with the increase in frequency, and similar variation of functional connectivity within and between-zone in all frequency sub-bands (Supplementary Fig. 1).

#### Directionality

After statistical testing, no connection between zones had significant directionality as computed on broadband (Fig. 4A).



**Figure 3** Within-zone and between-zone functional connectivity. (A) Plot showing within-zone and between-zone functional connectivity, as computed on broadband signals. (B) Plot showing within-zone and between-zone functional connectivity, as computed on broadband signals, according to subgroups of interchannel distances. The violin plots represent the kernel density plot (shape), the median (white circle), the interquartile range (inner grey line), and all individual values (median  $h^2$  per patient in **A**, and  $h^2$  per links in **B**, coloured circles). \*Significant differences (P < 0.05, ANOVA). Functional connectivity was significantly higher within-EZ than within NIZ and between EZ-NIZ; within-PZ than within-NIZ; between EZ-PZ than between EZ-PZ = connections between structures of EZ and PZ; EZ-NIZ = connections between structures of PZ and NIZ.

Notably, the directionality varied according to the frequency band under consideration (Fig. 4B). We found that the EZ was a significant driver of the NIZ in alpha and beta bands (P = 0.001 and P < 0.001, respectively, Wilcoxon signed rank test with Bonferroni correction for multiple tests), and that EZ was a driver of PZ in the betaband only (P = 0.001, Wilcoxon signed rank test with Bonferroni correction for multiple tests). All other connections and frequency bands were not significant.

#### Association with clinical variables

There was a difference in the functional connectivity within-PZ according to the histological type (P = 0.016, Kruskal-Wallis

test) with higher functional connectivity for FCD I (Supplementary Fig. 2).

We did not find significant correlation or association between age at epilepsy onset, age at stereotactic-EEG, epilepsy duration, sex, or visible MRI lesion and functional connectivity measures (within-zone functional connectivity, inter-zones functional connectivity and directionality).

# Association with post-surgical prognosis

There was no statistical difference in term of follow-up duration between seizure-free and non-seizure-free patients ( $P \approx 1$ , Mann-Whitney independent samples U-test).



**Figure 4 Plot showing the directionality in the connections between zones.** Violin plots represent the kernel density plot (shape), the median (white circle), the interquartile range (inner grey line), and all individual values (coloured circles). Positive values indicate that the majority of links come from EZ to PZ (EZ drives PZ), or EZ to NIZ (EZ drives NIZ), or PZ to NIZ (PZ drives NIZ) (negative values mean the opposite, 0 value mean no drive). \*Statistically significant (Wilcoxon signed rank test, Bonferroni's correction, P < 0.002). (**A**) In broad-band no directionality was statistically significant. (**B**) In alpha and beta, the EZ significantly drives NIZ; in beta, the EZ significantly drives PZ.

There was a difference between seizure-free versus nonseizure-free patients concerning the functional connectivity within the NIZ, and between NIZ-PZ (P = 0.006 for both, Mann-Whitney independent samples U-test with Bonferroni's correction for multiple test; Fig. 5). There was no significant difference in the other functional connectivity according to the post-surgical outcome (Supplementary Fig. 3).

## Discussion

In this study, we used stereotactic-EEG data to perform largescale functional connectivity analysis on the interictal period in patients with malformation of cortical development. We focused on FCD and neurodevelopmental tumours, which are major causes of refractory focal epilepsies. Our study confirms that functional connectivity differs according to the studied zone (epileptogenic, propagation or non-involved). Figure 6 summarizes our significant findings, indicating that: (i) functional connectivity is higher within-EZ and within-PZ compared to within-NIZ; and (ii) a gradient of coupling is seen, with structures belonging to the EZ showing preferential coupling between them, followed by a lesser degree of coupling between the EZ and PZ.

Moreover, we found that the post-surgical outcome is linked to functional connectivity value of the NIZ



Figure 5 Plot showing functional connectivity within and from NIZ according to the post-surgical outcome, as computed on broadband. Violin plots represent the kernel density plot (shape), the median (white circle), the interquartile range (inner grey line), and all individual values (coloured circles). \*Significant in the Mann-Whitney U-test after Bonferroni correction. Functional connectivity within-NIZ and between PZ-NIZ was significantly lower in seizure-free than non-seizure-free patients.



**Figure 6** Summary of changes in functional connectivity (broadband analysis). Lines between small circles represent the functional connectivity within structures of a zone. The lines between large-circles represent the functional connectivity between zones. Plain lines represent significantly higher functional connectivity and the dashed lines represent lower functional connectivity. Concerning within-zone functional connectivity, the EZ and PZ have significantly higher functional connectivity between them than with the NIZ. Concerning between-zone functional connectivity, the EZ and PZ have significantly higher functional connectivity between them than with the NIZ. The absence of arrows in the lines shows the lack of significant directionality in the functional connectivity between zones.

(within-NIZ functional connectivity, between NIZ-PZ functional connectivity).

#### Epileptogenic and propagation zones have higher interictal functional connectivity

It is now recognized that 'focal' epilepsies involve networks of varying scales across multiple brain areas (Bartolomei et al., 2017). Hence, there is evidence of functional connectivity alteration during the interictal state. The majority of studies based on electrophysiological recordings used ECoG and found clusters of elevated local synchrony between areas of the EZ/SOZ (Arnhold et al., 1999; Schevon et al., 2007; Dauwels et al., 2009), suggesting that increased short-range functional connectivity may be a marker of epileptogenic cortex. Furthermore, epileptogenic structures are functionally isolated from surrounding brain structures (Warren et al., 2010). Nevertheless, these studies explored structures that were relatively spatially limited and thus addressed very local aspects of functional connectivity. In contrast, stereotactic-EEG allows for large-scale sampling including base of sulci (a preferential localization of FCD) as well as deep grey matter structures such as insular cortices and thalamus (known for its importance as a hub in brain network analysis) (Bartolomei et al., 1999; Nieuwenhuys, 2012; Gonzalez-Martinez et al., 2013; Nakajima and Halassa, 2017). A previous study from our group based on a limited number of patients also used stereotactic-EEG, and confirmed that temporal lobe structures exhibit higher interictal functional connectivity when they are involved during seizures than when they are not (Bettus et al., 2008, 2011). In the particular case of malformation of cortical development, only one study using stereotactic-EEG confirmed that FCD type II exhibits higher functional connectivity (higher out-degree between 30 and 80 Hz) than other structures involved in the seizure (Varotto et al., 2012). Importantly, our data highlight that not only the EZ but also the PZ exhibits increased functional connectivity in comparison with the NIZ; indeed, no difference was shown between the functional connectivity within the EZ and PZ. In summary, structures within either the EZ or PZ have short-range (as shown in ECoG studies) but may also have long-range increased functional connectivity (as shown in our stereotactic-EEG study).

Our data are in agreement with results in a rat kindling model, in which increase of functional connectivity was observed (Blumenfeld *et al.*, 2007), and with a model of cortical neuronal network in which greater connectivity among neurons without alteration of neuronal excitability was sufficient to confer an epileptogenic state (Traub *et al.*, 2001). A pathophysiological potential substrate of such functional connectivity alterations could be synaptic alterations as observed during secondary epileptogenesis (Khalilov *et al.*, 2003). Moreover, this particular network configuration could facilitate seizure occurrence and early propagation (Wendling *et al.*, 2010; Proix *et al.*, 2014), since a reinforcement of couplings was observed during the pre-ictal state (Bartolomei *et al.*, 2004; Courtens *et al.*, 2016). Thus, reinforcement of functional connectivity during inter-ictal state in the EZ and PZ could be both the consequences (kindling) and the cause of the recurrence of seizure.

#### Structures of the epileptogenic zone have preferential coupling first between them, and second with the propagation zone

A previous study failed to find significant differences in the coupling between EZ and other zones in temporal lobe epilepsies (Bettus *et al.*, 2011). Moreover, a study on FCD type II showed no preferential connection of the lesional structures: between them or with other zones involved or not during ictal discharge (Varotto *et al.*, 2012). One study, comparing epileptic to non-epileptic patients, showed that structures within the seizure-onset zone were functionally disconnected from their neighbouring structures (Warren *et al.*, 2010). Our work goes further, showing that structures of the EZ present a unique pattern of connectivity, with higher coupling between them than with the structures outside the EZ.

On the other hand, we also found that EZ displays preferential coupling with the PZ. This is particularly interesting, knowing that such coupling is crucial in seizure propagation. Indeed, recent analyses of brain network models have shown that the propagation of seizure depends crucially on this coupling (Proix *et al.*, 2014). A clear and practical example is the good prediction of seizure propagation using individualized brain models based on structural connectivity (Proix *et al.*, 2017). This is also suggested by other studies, where brain connectivity, estimated with cortico-cortical evoked potentials, differs according to both structure epileptogenicity (Buser and Bancaud, 1983) and timing of seizure propagation (Lega *et al.*, 2015).

#### Directionality

If 'undirected' functional connectivity infers whether two brain areas are communicating or not, 'directed' functional connectivity estimates the directionality of this communication. Here, we analysed the directionality of coupling between zones, using time delay from non-linear correlation.

Previous studies have shown strong influence of the EZ on the NIZ in temporal lobe epilepsies using both stereotactic-EEG and functional MRI modalities (Bettus *et al.*, 2011). Moreover, in FCD II, the lesional zone also has a leading role (high out-degrees) over other zones (Varotto *et al.*, 2012). Here, we did not find significant directionality on broadband analysis (and low frequencies), but we showed a drive from EZ to NIZ and PZ on alpha and beta frequency bands. Furthermore, Courtens *et al.*  (2016) have shown also that the OUT strength during the pre-ictal state in the 15–40 Hz frequency band is a good marker of the structure's epileptogenicity.

#### Comparison with MRI data

There are two main aspects in comparing our results (as well as other functional connectivity data extracted from electrophysiological recordings) with data from MRI studies: (i) the relation with structural connectivity studies; and (ii) the relation with functional connectivity extracted from functional MRI.

Structural connectivity analysis in temporal lobe epilepsies have shown widely distributed structural connectivity disruption extending well beyond areas generating seizures (Bonilha et al., 2012; Bernhardt et al., 2013; Besson et al., 2014) including large-scale networks such as default mode, salience and fronto-parietal networks (Besson et al., 2017). Further studies suggested a predictive value of these structural connectivity alterations on surgical outcomes (Bonilha et al., 2013; Munsell et al., 2015; Keller et al., 2017). Recently, our group focused on the link between the structural connectivity alterations according to the brain network involved during seizure as defined by stereotactic-EEG. We found that structural connectivity was significantly preserved within epileptic zones (epileptogenic and propagation zones) and decreased beyond epileptic structures (Besson et al., 2017). The large-scale disruption of structural connectivity remote from seizure onset zone and the relatively normal structural connectivity within epileptic structures may actually indicate that these structures are pathologically hyperconnected at the expense of connectivity with the remainder of the brain (Taylor et al., 2015; Besson et al., 2017). We can summarize these structural connectivity MRI data with two main statements: (i) areas involved in seizure genesis and propagation (e.g. thalamus in temporal lobe epilepsy) have preserved structural connectivity (Bonilha et al., 2012; Dinkelacker et al., 2015, Besson et al., 2017); and (ii) in addition, other remote areas have widely decreased structural connectivity (Besson et al., 2014). This pattern of local 'hyperconnectivity' within epileptic structures combined with widespread 'hypoconnectivity' outside these areas is concordant with our findings and other studies on functional connectivity extracted from electrophysiological recording (Bettus et al., 2008, 2011; Englot et al., 2015). This similarity confirms both the tight relationships between structural and functional connectivity known to exist in healthy conditions (Honey et al., 2007; Goni et al., 2014), and the increased structural-functional correlation in epilepsy (Wirsich et al., 2016).

The relationship between functional connectivity extracted from functional MRI and electrophysiological recordings is more complex. While some studies have confirmed similar network disruption on large-scale analysis, others have found an opposite pattern with functional connectivity alteration locally within epileptic zones. On the one hand, several studies found various, widespread and complex patterns of increased and decreased functional connectivity, affecting key features of large scale networks such as the default mode (Pittau et al., 2012; Voets et al., 2012; Wirsich et al., 2016) and salience networks (de Campos et al., 2016). The functional connectivity from functional MRI has been described as locally decreased within the epileptogenic temporal lobe (Waites et al., 2006; Bettus et al., 2009). Moreover, the same patients explored by stereotactic-EEG and functional MRI (at different times) exhibit increased functional connectivity in stereotactic-EEG but decreased functional connectivity using BOLD signals within epileptic structures (Bettus et al., 2011). A recent study confirmed this discrepancy in simultaneous recordings of functional MRI and stereotactic-EEG, demonstrating that intermodal connectivity correlation exists in non-epileptic structures but is altered in the epileptic zones (Ridley et al., 2017). Thus, whereas increased EEG connectivity could be related to pathologically reinforced links between hyperexcitable structures, decreased functional MRI connectivity could be more likely related to metabolic/perfusion alterations (Bettus et al., 2009). This view tends to support the complementarity of information from both modalities, rather than true discrepancy.

#### The extension of increased functional connectivity is associated with poorer prognosis

Clearly, the main factor influencing the post-surgical outcome is the completeness of the resection of the EZ (including the lesion and the area primarily involved during seizures). Some studies have also suggested a relation between the complete resection of areas exhibiting high local synchrony and surgical outcome (Schevon et al., 2007; Antony et al., 2013). Our findings showed similar results with higher functional connectivity within-NIZ, and from-NIZ being associated with a poorer surgical prognosis. This could reflect a wider extension of the epileptic process with failure to correctly identify 'epileptic' structures based only on seizure activity. Furthermore, several recent studies showed that connectivity data can help better predict post-surgical outcome (Bonilha et al., 2013; Baker et al., 2015; Munsell et al., 2015; He et al., 2017; Keller et al., 2017; Proix et al., 2017), notably using computational modelling (Hutchings et al., 2015; Goodfellow et al., 2016; Proix et al., 2017; Sinha et al., 2017; Steimer et al., 2017).

These results demonstrate that the boundaries of epileptogenic zone in the brain are not easy to determine. A hierarchy of brain structures disclosing gradual decrease of epileptogenicity from the most epileptogenic (EZ) to the least epileptogenic (NIZ) has been proposed (Bartolomei *et al.*, 2008, 2017). This model clearly differs from the classical 'split' vision of these zones in focal epilepsies and is fully justified by modelling approaches showing that epileptogenicity is a complex feature depending on excitability and connectivity (Proix *et al.*, 2014). In recognition of this, recent analyses of brain network models based on both epileptogenicity and coupling between structures have shown good performance in simulating the seizure course in a 'Virtual Brain' (Jirsa *et al.*, 2017; Proix *et al.*, 2017).

## Methodological aspects and limitations

Stereotactic-EEG recordings are advantageous in the present study because this method allows sampling of distant brain areas including mesial structures better than ECoG, thus connections long-range allowing relatively analysis. Nevertheless, the sampled areas depend on the clinical hypotheses that determine electrode placement, with multiple areas of the brain necessarily remaining unsampled. Stereotactic-EEG does not guarantee in all patients a comprehensive spatial coverage of all the networks affected by epilepsy (in contrast with MEG or MRI studies for example). However, stereotactic-EEG permits direct intracerebral recording of electrophysiological signals, avoiding potential biases in the source-based connectivity reconstruction encountered in MEG or EEG studies (Malinowska et al., 2014; Coito et al., 2015, 2016). Moreover, as described above, functional MRI-based functional connectivity does not necessarily match stereotactic-EEG-based functional connectivity. In our study, placement and degree of spatial coverage of stereotactic-EEG electrodes were motivated by clinical indications, permitting to sample many brain areas across patients but leading to heterogeneity in our sample and variation in the distance within/between zones. To limit this bias, we performed paired statistical testing to compare functional connectivity according to the zones within each patient, and we included the distance between selected channels as a covariate of statistical analysis.

In our study, the definition of the EZ was based on the epileptogenicity index. This is a semi-automatic method built to help the interpretation of the spatio-temporal organization of seizures. The main advantage of epileptogenicity index is to estimate the epileptogenicity of structure within the seizure onset zone in a quantitative manner. The epileptogenicity index proved a good concordance and consistency compared to visual analysis (Gollwitzer et al., 2016). Nevertheless, the epileptogenicity index has some limitations linked to the morphology of the seizure onset pattern (i.e. some very low amplitude fast activity could result in relatively low value of the epileptogenicity index), visual preselection of suitable seizures and channels is prerequisite, and good performance of the method requires some (semi-automatic) adjustments of parameters, requiring user expertise. Indeed, our EZ and PZ could overlap with the lesional and peri-lesional areas, but electrophysiological definitions did not limit these zones to the visible lesion and its surroundings, since more remote

structures were also included (such as an area involved in propagation, which could be many centimetres away from the lesion).

For the estimation of interictal functional connectivity we tried to control some factors influencing the resting state (proximity of general anaesthesia and/or seizures), nevertheless the effect of the anti-epileptic drugs tapering during the monitoring period is obviously variable across patients. As some studies demonstrated the effect of anti-epileptic drugs on functional connectivity (Wandschneider *et al.*, 2017), it could be a confounding variable. Moreover, the reproducibility of connectivity values across larger time-scales (e.g. days) is still unknown.

During the past decades, numerous methods (both linear and non-linear) have been developed for computing functional connectivity. Although results may differ from one method to another, comparative studies have shown that results are qualitatively similar (Ansari-Asl et al., 2006) and that most methods could correctly reconstruct simulated connectivity (Wang et al., 2014). In this study, we used non-linear correlation, a method known for its good performance in the computation of functional connectivity from intracranial EEG signal (Wendling et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2014) and which has proved its usefulness in numerous epilepsy studies (reviewed in Bartolomei et al., 2017). Advantageously, this method does not require assumptions with regards to the linear or non-linear character of the relationships between signals (Lopes da Silva et al., 1989). Nevertheless, as all functional connectivity methods, non-linear correlation also has its weakness: requirement of longer time series, some variation in performance according to the coupling strength, lower performance in case of distinct noise across studied signals and high computational time cost (Wang et al., 2014). Notably, we chose our analysis parameters in accordance with recommendations from a systematic benchmark study (Wang et al., 2014). Moreover, the presence of spikes has some impact in non-linear correlation estimation of inter-ictal functional connectivity. A previous study demonstrated that non-linear correlation value of background stereotactic-EEG decrease by  $\sim 5\%$  after spikes removal, but without change in the difference of functional connectivity within epileptic versus within non-epileptic zones (Bettus et al., 2008).

Graph theory is a powerful tool to capture the complexity of brain networks (Fornito *et al.*, 2015). Nevertheless, we did not use graph theory metrics (such as centrality, small-worldness, efficiencies) evaluation in our study to avoid over-interpretation. This was due to two factors: limited spatial sampling (less than 25 brain areas) hampering topology and centrality analyses, and variation in the structures sampled across patients preventing the comparison of the same areas/network involved or not in the EZ/PZ. Finally, we analysed directionality between pairs with a delay significantly different from zero, thus excluding zero-lag correlations (sign test in our methodology). This renders measures more robust to volume conduction effects. It is also an important point because the behaviour of brain network (coupling oscillatory large-scale systems) is crucially determined by both connection strengths and signal transmission delays (Petkoski *et al.*, 2016).

## Acknowledgements

We thank Prof Henry Dufour, Prof Jean Regis and Prof Jean-Claude Peragut for surgical procedures in some of the selected patients. We thank Prof Patrick Chauvel, Prof Martine Gavaret, Dr Francesca Bonini, Dr Lisa Vaugier, Dr Sandrine Aubert, Dr Geraldine Daquin, Dr Costanza Dalvit, Dr Nathalie Villeneuve and Dr Anne Lepine in the clinical management of some included patients. We thank Prof Dominique Figarella-Branger for the analysis of histological data.

## Funding

This work has been carried out within the FHU EPINEXT with the support of the A\*MIDEX project (ANR-11-IDEX-0001-02) funded by the 'Investissements d'Avenir' French Governement program managed by the French National Research Agency (ANR) Part of this work was funded by a joint Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) and Direction Génerale de l'Offre de Santé (DGOS) under grant 'VIBRATIONS' ANR-13-PRTS-0011-01.

## **Competing interests**

The authors report no competing interests.

## Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at Brain online.

## References

- Ansari-Asl K, Senhadji L, Bellanger J-J, Wendling F. Quantitative evaluation of linear and nonlinear methods characterizing interdependencies between brain signals. Phys Rev E 2006; 74: 031916.
- Antony AR, Alexopoulos AV, González-Martínez JA, Mosher JC, Jehi L, Burgess RC, et al. Functional connectivity estimated from intracranial eeg predicts surgical outcome in intractable temporal lobe epilepsy. PLoS One 2013; 8: e77916.
- Arnhold J, Grassberger P, Lehnertz K, Elger CE. A robust method for detecting interdependences: application to intracranially recorded EEG. Phys D 1999; 134: 419–30.
- Aubert S, Wendling F, Regis J, McGonigal A, Figarella-Branger D, Peragut JC, et al. Local and remote epileptogenicity in focal cortical dysplasias and neurodevelopmental tumours. Brain 2009; 132: 3072–86.
- Baker NL, Nesland T, Drane DL, Bonilha L, Breedlove J, Lin JJ, et al. The brain connectome as a personalized biomarker of seizure outcomes after temporal lobectomy. Neurology 2015: 84: 1846–53.

- Bartolomei F, Chauvel P, Wendling F. Epileptogenicity of brain structures in human temporal lobe epilepsy: a quantified study from intracerebral EEG. Brain 2008; 131: 1818–30.
- Bartolomei F, Lagarde S, Wendling F, McGonigal A, Jirsa V, Guye M, et al. Defining epileptogenic networks: contribution of SEEG and signal analysis. Epilepsia 2017; 58: 1131–47.
- Bartolomei F, Wendling F, Régis J, Gavaret M, Guye M, Chauvel P. Pre-ictal synchronicity in limbic networks of mesial temporal lobe epilepsy. Epilepsy Res 2004; 61: 89–104.
- Bartolomei F, Wendling F, Vignal JP, Kochen S, Bellanger JJ, Badier JM, et al. Seizures of temporal lobe epilepsy: identification of subtypes by coherence analysis using stereo-electro-encephalography. Clin Neurophysiol 1999; 110: 1741–54.
- Bénar C-G, Grova C, Kobayashi E, Bagshaw AP, Aghakhani Y, Dubeau F, et al. EEG–fMRI of epileptic spikes: concordance with EEG source localization and intracranial EEG. Neuroimage 2006; 30: 1161–70.
- Bernhardt BC, Hong S, Bernasconi A, Bernasconi N. Imaging structural and functional brain networks in temporal lobe epilepsy. Front Hum Neurosci 2013; 7: 624.
- Besson P, Bandt SK, Proix T, Lagarde S, Jirsa VK, Ranjeva JP, et al. Anatomic consistencies across epilepsies: a stereotactic-EEG informed high-resolution structural connectivity study. Brain 2017; 140: 2639–52.
- Besson P, Dinkelacker V, Valabregue R, Thivard L, Leclerc X, Baulac M, et al. Structural connectivity differences in left and right temporal lobe epilepsy. Neuroimage 2014; 100: 135–44.
- Bettus G, Guedj E, Joyeux F, Confort-Gouny S, Soulier E, Laguitton V, et al. Decreased basal fMRI functional connectivity in epileptogenic networks and contralateral compensatory mechanisms. Hum Brain Mapp 2009; 30: 1580–91.
- Bettus G, Ranjeva JP, Wendling F, Bénar CG, Confort-Gouny S, Régis J, et al. Interictal functional connectivity of human epileptic networks assessed by intracerebral EEG and BOLD signal fluctuations. PLoS One 2011; 6: e20071.
- Bettus G, Wendling F, Guye M, Valton L, Régis J, Chauvel P, et al. Enhanced EEG functional connectivity in mesial temporal lobe epilepsy. Epilepsy Res 2008; 81: 58–68.
- Blümcke I, Thom M, Aronica E, Armstrong DD, Vinters HV, Palmini A, et al. The clinicopathologic spectrum of focal cortical dysplasias: a consensus classification proposed by an ad hoc Task Force of the ILAE Diagnostic Methods Commission. Epilepsia 2011; 52: 158–74.
- Blumenfeld H, Rivera M, Vasquez JG, Shah A, Ismail D, Enev M, et al. Neocortical and thalamic spread of amygdala kindled seizures. Epilepsia 2007; 48: 254–62.
- Bonilha L, Helpern JA, Sainju R, Nesland T, Edwards JC, Glazier SS, et al. Presurgical connectome and postsurgical seizure control in temporal lobe epilepsy. Neurology 2013; 81: 1704–10.
- Bonilha L, Nesland T, Martz GU, Joseph JE, Spampinato MV, Edwards JC, et al. Medial temporal lobe epilepsy is associated with neuronal fibre loss and paradoxical increase in structural connectivity of limbic structures. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2012; 83: 903–9.
- Bullmore E, Sporns O. Complex brain networks: graph theoretical analysis of structural and functional systems. Nat Rev Neurosci 2009; 10: 312.
- Buser P, Bancaud J. Unilateral connections between amygdala and hippocampus in man. A study of epileptic patients with depth electrodes. Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol 1983; 55: 1–12.
- Chu CJ, Kramer MA, Pathmanathan J, Bianchi MT, Westover MB, Wizon L, et al. Emergence of stable functional networks in longterm human electroencephalography. J Neurosci 2012; 32: 2703–13.
- Cloppenborg T, May TW, Blümcke I, Grewe P, Hopf LJ, Kalbhenn T, et al. Trends in epilepsy surgery: stable surgical numbers despite increasing presurgical volumes. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2016; 87: 1322–9.
- Coan AC, Chaudhary UJ, Grouiller Frédéric, de Campos BM, Perani S, De Ciantis A, et al. EEG-fMRI in the presurgical evaluation of

temporal lobe epilepsy. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2016; 87: 642-9.

- Coito A, Genetti M, Pittau F, Iannotti GR, Thomschewski A, Höller Y, et al. Altered directed functional connectivity in temporal lobe epilepsy in the absence of interictal spikes: a high density EEG study. Epilepsia 2016; 57: 402–11.
- Coito A, Plomp G, Genetti M, Abela E, Wiest R, Seeck M, et al. Dynamic directed interictal connectivity in left and right temporal lobe epilepsy. Epilepsia 2015; 56: 207–17.
- Colombet B, Woodman M, Badier JM, Bénar CG. AnyWave: a crossplatform and modular software for visualizing and processing electrophysiological signals. J Neurosci Methods 2015; 242: 118–26.
- Courtens S, Colombet B, Trébuchon A, Brovelli A, Bartolomei F, Bénar CG. Graph measures of node strength for characterizing preictal synchrony in partial epilepsy. Brain Connect 2016; 6: 530–9.
- Dauwels J, Eskandar E, Cash S. Localization of seizure onset area from intracranial non-seizure EEG by exploiting locally enhanced synchrony. Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc 2009; 2009: 2180–3.
- de Campos BM, Coan AC, Lin Yasuda C, Casseb RF, Cendes F. Large-scale brain networks are distinctly affected in right and left mesial temporal lobe epilepsy. Hum Brain Mapp 2016; 37: 3137–52.
- Dinkelacker V, Valabregue R, Thivard L, Lehéricy S, Baulac M, Samson S, et al. Hippocampal-thalamic wiring in medial temporal lobe epilepsy: enhanced connectivity per hippocampal voxel. Epilepsia 2015; 56: 1217–26.
- Duncan JS, Winston GP, Koepp MJ, Ourselin S. Brain imaging in the assessment for epilepsy surgery. Lancet Neurol 2016; 15: 420–33.
- Engel J. Update on surgical treatment of the epilepsies. Summary of the Second International Palm Desert Conference on the Surgical Treatment of the Epilepsies (1992). Neurology 1993; 43: 1612–17.
- Englot DJ, Hinkley LB, Kort NS, Imber BS, Mizuiri D, Honma SM, et al. Global and regional functional connectivity maps of neural oscillations in focal epilepsy. Brain 2015; 138: 2249–62.
- Fiest KM, Jetté N, Roberts JI, Maxwell CJ, Smith EE, Black SE, et al. The prevalence and incidence of epilepsy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Neurology 2016; 43: S3–50.
- Fornito A, Zalesky A, Bullmore ET. Fundamentals of brain network analysis. Academic Press; 2015.
- Gollwitzer S, Valente I, Rodionov R, Scott C, Ritter LM, Wehner T, et al. Visual and semiautomated evaluation of epileptogenicity in focal cortical dysplasias—an intracranial EEG study. Epilepsy Behav 2016; 58: 69–75.
- Goni J, van den Heuvel MP, Avena-Koenigsberger A, Velez de Mendizabal N, Betzel RF, Griffa A, et al. Resting-brain functional connectivity predicted by analytic measures of network communication. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2014; 111: 833–8.
- Gonzalez-Martinez J, Bulacio J, Alexopoulos A, Jehi L, Bingaman W, Najm I. Stereoelectroencephalography in the 'difficult to localize' refractory focal epilepsy: early experience from a North American Epilepsy Center. Epilepsia 2013; 54: 323–30.
- Goodfellow M, Rummel C, Abela E, Richardson MP, Schindler K, Terry JR. Estimation of brain network ictogenicity predicts outcome from epilepsy surgery. Sci Rep 2016; 6: 29215.
- Guerrini R, Duchowny M, Jayakar P, Krsek P, Kahane P, Tassi L, et al. Diagnostic methods and treatment options for focal cortical dysplasia. Epilepsia 2015; 56: 1669–86.
- He X, Pustina D, Sperling MR. Presurgical thalamic 'hubness' predicts surgical outcome in temporal lobe epilepsy. Neurology 2017: 88: 2285–93.
- Honey CJ, Kotter R, Breakspear M, Sporns O. Network structure of cerebral cortex shapes functional connectivity on multiple time scales. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2007; 104: 10240–5.
- Hutchings F, Han CE, Keller SS, Weber B, Taylor PN, Kaiser M. Predicting surgery targets in temporal lobe epilepsy through structural connectome based simulations. PLoS Comput Biol 2015; 11: 1–24.

- Jayakar P, Gotman J, Harvey AS, Palmini A, Tassi L, Schomer D, et al. Diagnostic utility of invasive EEG for epilepsy surgery: indications, modalities, and techniques. Epilepsia 2016; 57: 1735–47.
- Jirsa VK, Proix T, Perdikis D, Woodman MM, Wang H, Bernard C, et al. The virtual epileptic patient: individualized whole-brain models of epilepsy spread. Neuroimage 2017; 145: 377–88.
- Jirsa VK, Stacey WC, Quilichini PP, Ivanov AI, Bernard C. On the nature of seizure dynamics. Brain 2014; 137: 2210–30.
- Keller SS, Glenn GR, Weber B, Kreilkamp BA, Jensen JH, Helpern JA, et al. Preoperative automated fibre quantification predicts postoperative seizure outcome in temporal lobe epilepsy. Brain 2017; 140: 68– 82.
- Khalilov I, Holmes GL, Ben-Ari Y. In vitro formation of a secondary epileptogenic mirror focus by interhippocampal propagation of seizures. Nat Neurosci 2003; 6: 1079–85.
- Kramer MA, Cash SS. Epilepsy as a disorder of cortical network organization. Neuroscience 2012; 18: 360–72.
- Kramer MA, Eden UT, Lepage KQ, Kolaczyk ED, Bianchi MT, Cash SS. Emergence of persistent networks in long-term intracranial EEG recordings. J Neurosci 2011; 31: 15757–67.
- Lagarde S, Bonini F, McGonigal A, Chauvel P, Gavaret M, Scavarda D, et al. Seizure-onset patterns in focal cortical dysplasia and neurodevelopmental tumors: relationship with surgical prognosis and neuropathologic subtypes. Epilepsia 2016; 57: 1426–35.
- Lega B, Dionisio S, Flanigan P, Bingaman W, Najm I, Nair D, et al. Cortico-cortical evoked potentials for sites of early versus late seizure spread in stereoelectroencephalography. Epilepsy Res 2015; 115: 17–29.
- Lopes da Silva F, Pijn JP, Boeijinga P. Interdependence of EEG signals: Linear vs. nonlinear associations and the significance of time delays and phase shifts. Brain Topogr 1989; 2: 9–18.
- Louis DN, Perry A, Reifenberger G, von Deimling A, Figarella-Branger D, Cavenee WK, et al. The 2016 World Health Organization classification of tumors of the central nervous system: a summary. Acta Neuropathol 2016; 131: 803–20.
- Mai JK, Majtanik M, Paxinos G. Atlas of the human brain. London: Academic Press; 2015.
- Malinowska U, Badier JM, Gavaret M, Bartolomei F, Chauvel P, Bénar CG. Interictal networks in magnetoencephalography. Hum Brain Mapp 2014; 35: 2789–805.
- Marchi A, Bonini F, Lagarde S, McGonigal A, Gavaret M, Scavarda D, et al. Occipital and occipital 'plus' epilepsies: a study of involved epileptogenic networks through SEEG quantification. Epilepsy Behav 2016; 62: 104–14.
- Medina Villalon S, Paz R, Roehri N, Lagarde S, Pizzo F, Colombet B, et al. EpiTools, A software suite for presurgical brain mapping in epilepsy: Intracerebral EEG. J Neurosci Methods 2018; 303: 7–15.
- Munsell BC, Wee C-Y, Keller SS, Weber B, Elger C, da Silva LAT, et al. Evaluation of machine learning algorithms for treatment outcome prediction in patients with epilepsy based on structural connectome data. Neuroimage 2015; 118: 219–30.
- Nakajima M, Halassa MM. Thalamic control of functional cortical connectivity. Curr Opin Neurobiol 2017; 44: 127–31.
- Nieuwenhuys R. The insular cortex: a review. Prog Brain Res 2012; 195: 123-63.
- Petkoski S, Spiegler A, Proix T, Aram P, Temprado JJ, Jirsa VK. Heterogeneity of time delays determines synchronization of coupled oscillators. Phys Rev E 2016; 94: 012209.
- Pijn JP, da Silva F. Propagation of electrical activity: nonlinear associations and time delays between EEG signals. In: Zschocke S, Speckmann E-J, editors. Basic mechanisms of the EEG. Boston, MA: Birkh{ä}user; 1993. p. 41–61.
- Pittau F, Grova C, Moeller F, Dubeau F, Gotman J. Patterns of altered functional connectivity in mesial temporal lobe epilepsy. Epilepsia 2012; 53: 1013–23.
- Proix T, Bartolomei F, Chauvel P, Bernard C, Jirsa VK. Permittivity coupling across brain regions determines seizure recruitment in partial epilepsy. J Neurosci 2014; 34: 15009–21.

S. Lagarde et al.

- Proix T, Bartolomei F, Guye M, Jirsa VK. Individual brain structure and modelling predict seizure propagation. Brain 2017; 140: 641– 54.
- Richardson MP. Large scale brain models of epilepsy: dynamics meets connectomics. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2012; 83: 1238–48.
- Ridley B, Wirsich J, Bettus G, Rodionov R, Murta T, Chaudhary U, et al. Simultaneous intracranial EEG-fMRI shows inter-modality correlation in time-resolved connectivity within normal areas but not within epileptic regions. Brain Topogr 2017; 30: 639–55.
- Ryvlin P, Cross JH, Rheims S. Epilepsy surgery in children and adults. Lancet Neurol 2014; 13: 1114–26.
- Schevon CA, Cappell J, Emerson R, Isler J, Grieve P, Goodman R, et al. Cortical abnormalities in epilepsy revealed by local EEG synchrony. Neuroimage 2007; 35: 140–8.
- Schoffelen JM, Gross J. Source connectivity analysis with MEG and EEG. Hum Brain Mapp 2009; 30: 1857–65.
- Sinha N, Dauwels J, Kaiser M, Cash SS, Westover MB, Wang Y, et al. Predicting neurosurgical outcomes in focal epilepsy patients using computational modelling. Brain 2017; 140: 319–32.
- Stam CJ. Modern network science of neurological disorders. Nat Rev Neurosci 2014; 15: 683–95.
- Steimer A, Müller M, Schindler K, Steimer A, Michael M. Predictive modeling of EEG time series for evaluating surgery targets in epilepsy patients. Hum Brain Mapp 2017; 38: 2509–31.
- Taylor PN, Han CE, Schoene-Bake JC, Weber B, Kaiser M. Structural connectivity changes in temporal lobe epilepsy: spatial features contribute more than topological measures. Neuroimage Clin 2015; 8: 322–8.
- Traub RD, Whittington MA, Buhl EH, LeBeau FEN, Bibbig A, Boyd S, et al. A possible role for gap junctions in generation of very fast EEG oscillations preceding the onset of, and perhaps initiating, seizures. Epilepsia 2001; 42: 153–70.
- van Diessen E, Diederen SJH, Braun KPJ, Jansen FE, Stam CJ. Functional and structural brain networks in epilepsy: what have we learned? Epilepsia 2013; 54: 1855–65.
- van Mierlo P, Papadopoulou M, Carrette E, Boon P, Vandenberghe S, Vonck K, et al. Functional brain connectivity from EEG in epilepsy:

seizure prediction and epileptogenic focus localization. Prog Neurobiol 2014; 121: 19–35.

- Varotto G, Tassi L, Franceschetti S, Spreafico R, Panzica F. Epileptogenic networks of type II focal cortical dysplasia: a stereo-EEG study. Neuroimage 2012; 61: 591–8.
- Voets NL, Beckmann CF, Cole DM, Hong S, Bernasconi A, Bernasconi N. Structural substrates for resting network disruption in temporal lobe epilepsy. Brain 2012; 135: 2350–7.
- Waites AB, Briellmann RS, Saling MM, Abbott DF, Jackson GD. Functional connectivity networks are disrupted in left temporal lobe epilepsy. Ann Neurol 2006; 59: 335–43.
- Wandschneider B, Burdett J, Townsend L, Hill A, Thompson PJ, Duncan JS, et al. Effect of topiramate and zonisamide on fMRI cognitive networks. Neurology 2017; 88: 1165–71.
- Wang HE, Bénar CG, Quilichini PP, Friston KJ, Jirsa VK, Bernard C. A systematic framework for functional connectivity measures. Front Neurosci 2014; 8: 1–22.
- Warren CP, Hu S, Stead M, Brinkmann BH, Bower MR, Worrell GA. Synchrony in normal and focal epileptic brain: the seizure onset zone is functionally disconnected. J Neurophysiol 2010; 104: 3530–9.
- Wendling F, Ansari-Asl K, Bartolomei F, Senhadji L. From EEG signals to brain connectivity: A model-based evaluation of interdependence measures. J Neurosci Methods 2009; 183: 9–18.
- Wendling F, Bartolomei F, Bellanger JJ, Chauvel P. Interpretation of interdependencies in epileptic signals using a macroscopic physiological model of the EEG. Clin Neurophysiol 2001; 112: 1201–18.
- Wendling F, Chauvel P, Biraben A, Bartolomei F. From intracerebral EEG signals to brain connectivity: identification of epileptogenic networks in partial epilepsy. Front Syst Neurosci 2010; 4: 1–13.
- Wirsich J, Perry A, Ridley B, Proix T, Golos M, Bénar C, et al. Wholebrain analytic measures of network communication reveal increased structure-function correlation in right temporal lobe epilepsy. Neuroimage Clin 2016; 11: 707–18.
- Yaffe RB, Borger P, Megevand P, Groppe DM, Kramer MA, Chu CJ, et al. Physiology of functional and effective networks in epilepsy. Clin Neurophysiol 2015; 126: 227–36.