



HAL
open science

Disentangling the role of social cognition processes at early steps of alcohol abuse: The influence of affective theory of mind

Séverine Lannoy, Fanny Gilles, Farid Benzerouk, Audrey Henry, Ali Oker, Delphine Raucher-Chéné, Chrystel Besche-Richard, Fabien Gierski

► To cite this version:

Séverine Lannoy, Fanny Gilles, Farid Benzerouk, Audrey Henry, Ali Oker, et al.. Disentangling the role of social cognition processes at early steps of alcohol abuse: The influence of affective theory of mind. *Addictive Behaviors*, 2020, 102, pp.106187. 10.1016/j.addbeh.2019.106187 . hal-02508004

HAL Id: hal-02508004

<https://hal.science/hal-02508004>

Submitted on 21 Jul 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 **Disentangling the role of social cognition processes at early steps**
2 **of alcohol abuse: the influence of affective theory of mind**

3 Séverine Lannoy ^{a,b}, Fanny Gilles ^a, Farid Benzerouk ^{a,c}, Audrey Henry ^{a,c}, Ali Oker ^a,
4 Delphine Raucher-Chéné ^{a,c}, Chrystel Besche-Richard ^a, & Fabien Gierski ^{a,c,d}

5
6 ^a *Cognition Health and Society Laboratory (EA 6291), Université de Reims*
7 *Champagne-Ardenne, 57 rue Pierre Taittinger - 51571 Reims, France.*

8 ^b *Laboratory for Experimental Psychopathology (LEP), Psychological Science*
9 *Research Institute, Université catholique de Louvain, 10 Place Cardinal Mercier,*
10 *Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium.*

11 ^c *Pôle Universitaire de Psychiatrie, EPSM Marne, 8 Rue Roger Aubry, Reims,*
12 *France.*

13 ^d *INSERM U1247 GRAP, Research Group on Alcohol and Drugs, Université de*
14 *Picardie Jules Verne, Chemin du Thil, Amiens, France.*

15
16 Email addresses: severine.lannoy.phd@gmail.com, gilles.fanny@gmail.com,
17 benzeroukf@epsm-marne.fr, audrey.henry@univ-reims.fr, ali.oker@univ-reims.fr,
18 delphine.raucher-chene@mail.mcgill.ca, chrystel.besche@univ-reims.fr,
19 fabien.gierski@univ-reims.fr.

20 Corresponding author: Séverine Lannoy, PhD, Laboratoire C2S (EA6291)
21 Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, Maison de la Recherche
22 57, rue Pierre Taittinger - 51096 Reims Cedex - France

1 Email: severine.lannoy.phd@gmail.com

2 **Abstract**

3 Excessive alcohol use among adolescents has become a pressing challenge among
4 Western societies. Accordingly, one of the current research objectives is to identify
5 the processes associated with this harmful habit. Although numerous studies have
6 underlined the role of executive and motivational processes, few have explored
7 emotional and interpersonal abilities at early steps of alcohol abuse. The present
8 study evaluated the role of two social cognition processes, namely theory of mind
9 (ability to infer others' mental states at cognitive and affective levels) and empathy
10 (ability to understand others' feelings at cognitive and affective levels) in adolescents'
11 alcohol consumption. Two hundred and two adolescents (13-20 years old) performed
12 a behavioral task evaluating theory of mind and filled in questionnaires measuring
13 personal data, empathy, alcohol consumption (alcohol abuse and specific binge
14 drinking), as well as depressive and anxiety symptoms. Findings showed that
15 cognitive and affective empathy were negatively associated with alcohol consumption
16 in youth whereas affective theory of mind was related to specific binge drinking.
17 Importantly, affective theory of mind predicted binge drinking in adolescents, even
18 after controlling for age, gender, and education level. These results emphasized the
19 role of social cognition in early alcohol abuse and showed that, beyond inhibition,
20 interpersonal abilities might precipitate excessive drinking in youth.

21 *Keywords:* Binge drinking; AUDIT-C; Theory of Mind; Empathy; Affective

22

1 1. Introduction

2 Alcohol-related disorders are considered a major public health concern (Rehm
3 et al., 2009), related to consequences at physical (Nutt, King, & Phillips, 2010),
4 cognitive (Stavro, Pelletier, & Potvin, 2013), and cerebral (Bühler & Mann, 2011)
5 levels. Numerous studies have then been conducted to improve the understanding of
6 these disorders and propose prevention and intervention programs. In the two last
7 decades, new research topics have emerged about excessive alcohol use in young
8 people and suggested that this issue may lead to a better conceptualization of
9 alcohol use disorders (e.g., Knight et al., 2002). In particular, binge drinking is a
10 widespread alcohol consumption pattern in youth (ESPAD, 2016; Kanny, Liu, Brewer,
11 & Lu, 2013), characterized by important alcohol intakes and rapid intoxications
12 together with abstinence periods (Courtney & Polich, 2009). It has been proposed
13 (e.g., Stephens & Duka, 2008) that binge drinking shares some common features
14 with severe alcohol use disorders (i.e. brain alterations, cognitive and affective
15 impairments). Nowadays, several studies have been conducted among young people
16 presenting binge drinking habits and results globally confirm that binge drinking is
17 related to cognitive deficits (memory and executive functions; see Carbia, López-
18 Caneda, Corral, & Cadaveira, 2018 for a systematic review) and cerebral alterations
19 (see Hermens et al., 2013; Maurage et al., 2013a for reviews). More recently, studies
20 have also shown that binge drinkers exhibit difficulties to recognize and categorize
21 emotions (e.g., Lannoy et al., 2018a). Current results thus clearly identify binge
22 drinking as a hazardous behavior related to various impairments. However, alongside
23 these findings, there is still a critical issue concerning the factors that predict
24 excessive alcohol consumption in young people.

25

1 Numerous studies have been interested in the factors predicting binge drinking
2 habits in adolescence. On the one hand, epidemiological studies have underlined the
3 importance to consider age and gender in binge drinking research. Indeed, an
4 elevated binge drinking prevalence has been observed among men in most countries
5 and was strongly and positively related to age (World Health Organization, 2014). In
6 Europe, gender differences were supported among adolescents, with an increased
7 prevalence in men in half of the countries (e.g., France; ESPAD, 2016). On the other
8 hand, psychological studies have indicated impulsivity as an important process to
9 understand binge drinking in youth, particularly positive and negative urgency
10 (Tomko, Prisciandaro, Falls, & Magid, 2016), namely the tendency to act rashly face
11 to intense emotions. This result has been supported thanks to behavioral measures,
12 showing that the difficulty to inhibit prepotent response predicts the initiation of the
13 first alcohol drink while working memory impairments predict the first binge drinking
14 episode in adolescents who did not drink alcohol two years before (Peeters et al.,
15 2015). Beyond impulsivity, processes related to affective tendencies towards alcohol
16 have also been identified (e.g., implicit attitude; Thush & Wiers, 2007). Among these
17 processes, drinking motives appear as strong predictors of binge drinking in
18 adolescence (in particular coping; Laghi et al., 2016; and enhancement; Lannoy,
19 Dormal, Billieux, & Maurage, 2019). These results thus bring important insights but it
20 is disappointing to realize that few studies investigate the predictive role of emotional
21 and interpersonal processes in binge drinking. Indeed, these processes have been
22 recognized as important for the understanding of adolescents' substance use
23 (Fluharty, Heron, & Munafò, 2018) and are clearly considered as critical risk factors
24 in severe alcohol use disorders (e.g., Uekermann & Daum, 2008).

1 Actually, research targeting severe alcohol use disorders emphasizes that,
2 beyond memory and executive functions, patients also exhibit deficits for social
3 cognition processes, particularly emotion recognition, theory of mind (ToM) (see Bora
4 & Zorlu, 2017 for a meta-analysis), and empathy (see Massey, Newmark, &
5 Wakschlag, 2018 for a review); these processes being identified as robust predictors
6 of relapse (Rupp, Derntl, Osthaus, Kemmler, & Fleischhacker, 2017; Uekermann &
7 Daum, 2008). Social cognition is based on the ability to build representations from
8 the relationships with others (Adolphs, 2001) and encompasses processes aiming to
9 manage social behaviors (e.g., emotion recognition, ToM, empathy). ToM is defined
10 as the ability to infer knowledges, needs, intentions, beliefs, and feelings from others
11 at first (understand someone) and second (understand what someone believes about
12 what someone else thinks) orders (Shamay-Tsoory & Aharon-Peretz, 2007).
13 Scholars have also proposed a distinction between cognitive (i.e. infer others'
14 knowledges, needs, intentions, and beliefs) and affective (i.e. infer others' feelings
15 and emotions) traits in ToM. Empathy is the ability to understand the feelings of
16 others and to share emotions (Shamay-Tsoory, 2011). Empathy has also been
17 divided into two components, cognitive (i.e. refer to the cognitive understanding of
18 others' emotions) and affective (i.e. refer to emotional feeling and sharing) empathy
19 (Reniers, Corcoran, Drake, Shryane, & Völlm, 2011).

20

21 In youth, few studies have investigated the relationship between excessive
22 alcohol use and social cognition. The current literature shows brain alterations in
23 association with the processing of emotional cues in binge drinking, particularly
24 reduced electrophysiological activity during the processing of negative emotional
25 images (Connell, Patton, & McKillop, 2015; Huang, Holcomb, Cruz, & Marinkovic,

1 2018) and increased, potentially compensatory, brain activity during the decoding of
2 emotional faces and voices (Lannoy et al., 2018b; Maurage, Bestelmeyer, Rouger,
3 Charest, & Belin, 2013). Moreover, at the behavioral level, a global difficulty to
4 recognize facial emotional expressions was observed in binge drinkers (Lannoy et
5 al., 2018a). It has also been shown that this difficulty to recognize emotions predicted
6 binge drinking in young adults (Lannoy et al., 2018a). Besides, emotional states,
7 such as depressive or anxiety symptoms, has been related to binge drinking
8 (Kachadourian, Pilver, & Potenza, 2014; Mushquash et al., 2013). These results are
9 in line with previous findings, showing the importance of drinking motives to deal with
10 negative emotions (Laghi et al., 2016) and poor emotion regulation in youth's alcohol
11 consumption (Laghi, Liga, & Pompili, 2018; Laghi, Bianchi, Lonigro, Pompili, &
12 Baiocco, 2019). These results thus underline that impairments in emotional and
13 interpersonal processes are already observed at early steps of alcohol abuse and
14 reinforce the need to explore the role of social cognition. Actually, recent studies
15 have investigated the role of social cognition processes, especially empathy and ToM
16 in adolescents binge drinking. First, no direct associations were observed between
17 ToM and binge drinking but it appeared that conformity motives (i.e. drinking alcohol
18 to avoid the negative judgment of others) moderated the relationship between ToM
19 and binge drinking (Laghi, Bianchi, Pompili, Lonigro, & Baiocco, 2019a). Second, it
20 has been shown that poor affective empathy predicted binge drinking (Laghi, Bianchi,
21 Pompili, Lonigro, & Baiocco, 2019b). Nevertheless, it remains unclear to what extent
22 both ToM and empathy may directly and specifically predict binge drinking in youth.

23

24 The current study explores the conjoint role of two social cognition processes,
25 ToM and empathy, in alcohol use among adolescents. In particular, the specific role

1 of these processes in binge drinking was assessed by performing separate analyses
2 to predict alcohol abuse on the one hand and specific binge drinking pattern on the
3 other hand. In view of previous findings (ESPAD, 2016; Mushquash et al., 2013),
4 these analyses were controlled for age, gender, depressive and anxiety symptoms
5 when statistically appropriated. According to earlier literature (e.g., Maurage et al.,
6 2016), showing that severe alcohol use disorders were associated with a specific
7 difficulty to detect emotional cues and correctly understand/infer other people's
8 feelings and intention, with a preserved ability to use cognitive cues to
9 understand/infer these states, we hypothesize a dissociation between the cognitive
10 and affective components of these processes in adolescents' drinkers: affective ToM
11 and empathy would be related to alcohol consumption while cognitive ToM and
12 empathy would not.

13

14 **2. Materials and Methods**

15 ***2.1. Participants and Procedure***

16 Two hundred and twenty-four adolescents were recruited from French
17 secondary schools to participate in an online survey (provided using LimeSurvey®)
18 evaluating alcohol consumption. All the participants were native French speakers.
19 They performed a behavioral task evaluating ToM and filled in questionnaires
20 assessing age, gender, education level (number of years), empathy, alcohol
21 consumption, as well as anxiety and depressive symptoms. The study was approved
22 by the ethics committee of the University and conducted according to the Declaration
23 of Helsinki and its subsequent amendments. Personal consents were obtained for all
24 the participants. They were reminded that they had no obligation to participate and

1 that they were to engage only with the consent of their parents or legal guardians.
2 Finally, it was stated that they could interrupt their participation at any time without
3 any consequences or need for justification. No identifying data, such as name, IP
4 Address, e-mail or date of birth were collected to ensure their anonymity.

5

6 **2.2. Measures**

7 *2.2.1. Theory of Mind*

8 ToM was evaluated thanks to the Yoni's task (Shamay-Tsoory & Aharon-Peretz,
9 2007), which considered cognitive and affective ToM. This task measured the ability
10 to infer mental states based on eye gaze cues, it included 55 trials in which the
11 outline face of a character (named Yoni) was presented together with either (1) four
12 colored pictures (first-order part; e.g., an animal) or (2) four faces related to four
13 colored pictures (second-order part; e.g., a toy). Stimuli were displayed as follows:
14 Yoni's face at the center of the computer screen and the four pictures/faces at each
15 corner of the screen. In each trial, a sentence appeared on the top screen (e.g., first-
16 order part: "Yoni is thinking of..."; second-order part: "Yoni is thinking about the toy
17 that... wants") and participants had to correctly identify the picture/face to which Yoni
18 is directed to. Two conditions were assessed: cognitive ToM (19 trials) and affective
19 ToM (21 trials). In the cognitive condition, both Yoni's facial expression and the
20 sentence were emotionally neutral (e.g., a neutral face, "Yoni thinks"). In the affective
21 condition, both stimuli referred to emotional information (e.g., a happy face, "Yoni
22 loves"). The 14 other trials presented a "physical condition", constituting a control
23 evaluation in which participants can answer without referring to the eye gaze of the
24 character (i.e. Yoni being positioned near to the object rather than at the center of the

1 screen). The internal consistency of this task has been evaluated by reliability
2 coefficients (correlations between experimental blocks; Rosenthal & Rosnow, 1991)
3 and supported in the current sample (i.e. for first-order part: $r = .32$, $p < .001$ and
4 second-order part: $r = .63$, $p < .001$). Accuracy score (percentage of correct
5 responses) was recorded as the main dependent variable.

6 *2.2.2. Empathy*

7 Empathy was evaluated by the Basic Empathy Scale (D'Ambrosio et al., 2009; Jolliffe
8 and Farrington, 2006), a self-reported questionnaire developed among adolescents
9 to assess cognitive and affective empathy. The scale included 20 items, 9 evaluating
10 cognitive empathy (e.g., "I can understand my friend's happiness when s/he does
11 well at something") and 11 evaluating affective empathy (e.g., "My friend's emotions
12 don't affect me much"). Answers were given on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1
13 "strongly disagree" to 5 "strongly agree". Higher scores reflect elevated levels of
14 empathy. The reliability of this questionnaire has been supported in the current
15 sample for the affective subscale (Cronbach's $\alpha = .77$). However, the cognitive
16 subscale had a poor internal consistency ($\alpha = .39$).

17 *2.2.3. Alcohol consumption*

18 Alcohol consumption was assessed by two validated tools aiming to qualify
19 excessive alcohol use: (1) the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test-Consumption
20 (AUDIT-C; Bush et al., 1998), measuring alcohol consumption frequency, intensity,
21 and the number of drinking episodes in which participants drank more than six
22 alcohol units in one occasion. The AUDIT-C has been found as reliable to capture
23 alcohol abuse and alcohol use problems among adolescents (Liskola et al., 2018);
24 (2) the binge drinking score (BD score), based on three specific items evaluating

1 binge drinking habits, namely the consumption speed (i.e. number of alcohol units
2 consumed per hour), the frequency of drunkenness episodes, and the percentage of
3 drunkenness episodes compared to the total number of drinking occasions in the last
4 six months. These items were computed as follows: [(4*consumption speed) +
5 drunkenness frequency + (0.2*drunkenness percentage)]. The BD score has been
6 proposed in addition to the AUDIT-C, as it reflects drinking pattern rather than alcohol
7 consumption (Townshend & Duka, 2002). The usefulness and validity of this score
8 have been supported in numerous studies (e.g., Bø, Aker, Billieux, & Landrø, 2016;
9 Laghi et al., 2016).

10 *2.2.4. Depressive and anxiety symptoms*

11 Mood-related symptoms were controlled for by using the Beck Depression Inventory
12 (BDI-short form; Reynolds & Gould, 1981), a 13-item self-reported questionnaire
13 assessing the intensity of depressive symptoms based on a Likert scale (from 0 to 3),
14 and the Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI; Spielberger, Gorsuch, Lushene, Vagg, Jacobs,
15 1983), a 20-item self-reported questionnaire assessing various anxiety symptoms
16 (Likert scale from 1 “no” to 4 “yes”). The reliability of these questionnaires has been
17 supported in the current sample by very good Cronbach’s alphas ($\alpha = .87$ for the BDI-
18 short and $\alpha=.89$ for the STAI).

19

20 **2.3. Data reduction**

21 Before computing statistical analyses, the current data have been carefully
22 checked to exclude outliers (i.e. more than three standard deviations): two
23 participants with extreme/aberrant BD scores, one participant with lower cognitive
24 empathy score and four with extreme ones. No aberrant data were recorded for

1 affective empathy. Regarding ToM, we proceeded in accordance with previous
2 studies (Shamay-Tsoory & Aharon-Peretz, 2007) and excluded nine individuals
3 presenting scores lower than 50% at the control physical conditions. The final sample
4 was therefore composed of 202 adolescents (62.4% of girls), between 13 and 20
5 years old ($M=16.77$; $SD=1.31$).

6

7 **2.4. Statistical Analyses**

8 Analyses were conducted with IBM SPSS Statistics 25®. The relationships
9 between alcohol consumption (alcohol abuse, binge drinking) and social cognition
10 (ToM, empathy) were explored through correlational analyses. In the same vein,
11 correlations were performed between alcohol consumption and all variables of
12 interest such as age, gender (1=boy; 2=girls), education level, depressive symptoms,
13 and trait anxiety. The variables significantly related to alcohol consumption in this
14 second analysis were added as control variables in the following analyses. Indeed, to
15 test our main hypothesis, two hierarchical linear models were computed to predict
16 alcohol consumption (i.e. alcohol abuse, as reflected by the AUDIT-C score and
17 specific binge drinking, as reflected by the BD score) from social cognition processes
18 (cognitive and affective ToM, cognitive and affective empathy). The absence of
19 multicollinearity between the predictors was supported by the Variance Inflation
20 Factors, all values were below 3.63 (Allison, 1999). The significance level was set at
21 $p < .05$.

22

1 3. Results

2 The description of the sample was reported in *Table 1*.

3 **Table 1.** Characteristics of the sample and performance in Yoni's task ($N = 202$).

	Mean (SD)	Range
Age	16.77 (1.31)	13 – 20
Education level (years)	11.37 (1.19)	7 – 14
AUDIT-C score	4.00 (2.60)	0 – 12
Binge drinking score	20.27 (16.49)	0 – 84
Consumption speed (drinks/hour)	2.37 (1.65)	0 – 7
Drunkenness episodes (number/6 months)	4.34 (8.20)	0 – 60
Percentage of drunkenness (%; 6 months)	31.19 (31.14)	0 – 100
BDI score	8.98 (6.83)	1 – 39
STAI-Trait score	48.62 (10.57)	22 – 70
BES Cognitive empathy score	34.84 (3.13)	25 – 42
BES Affective empathy score	40.14 (6.42)	20 – 54
Yoni Physical condition (total score; %)	93.32 (10.77)	50 – 100
Yoni Cognitive ToM 1 st order (%)	99.08 (3.79)	71.43 – 100
Yoni Affective ToM, 1 st order (%)	99.17 (3.31)	77.78 – 100
Yoni Cognitive ToM 2 nd order (%)	77.27 (18.42)	25.00 – 100
Yoni Affective ToM 2 nd order (%)	82.18 (17.99)	16.67 – 100

4 *Note.* AUDIT = Alcohol Use Disorders Inventory Test; BDI = Beck Depression Inventory; STAI = State-
5 Trait Anxiety Inventory; BES = Basic Empathy Scale; ToM = Theory of Mind.

6

7 3.1. Correlational Analyses

8 All correlations were reported in *Table 2*. Regarding the main variables of
9 interest, results showed that alcohol consumption was significantly and negatively
10 related to both cognitive (AUDIT-C score: $r = -.14$, $p = .042$; BD score: $r = -.19$, $p =$
11 $.006$) and affective (AUDIT-C score: $r = -.22$, $p = .002$; BD score: $r = -.14$, $p = .047$)
12 empathy and that binge drinking was also negatively associated with second-order
13 affective ToM (BD score: $r = -.16$, $p = .026$).

1 **Table 2.** Correlations between alcohol consumption (AUDIT-C and BD scores) and age, gender, depression and anxiety symptoms,
 2 empathy, theory of mind ($N = 202$).

Variable	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
1. Age	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2. Gender	-.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3. Education level	.84**	-.06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4. Binge drinking score	.36**	-.22*	.31**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. AUDIT-C score	.39**	-.29**	.26**	.74**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6. BDI	-.15*	.19*	-.10	.02	-.06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7. STAI-Trait	-.15*	.19*	-.08	.05	.02	.73**	-	-	-	-	-	-
8. BES Cognitive empathy	-.21**	.03	-.23**	-.19*	-.14*	-.09	-.07	-	-	-	-	-
9. BES Affective empathy	-.18*	.39**	-.13	-.14*	-.22**	.07	.19**	.26**	-	-	-	-
10. Yoni Cognitive ToM 1 st order	-.10	-.08	-.07	.05	.08	-.02	.03	-.04	-.01	-	-	-
11. Yoni Affective ToM 1 st order	-.15*	-.13	-.08	.03	-.02	-.03	.06	.07	.03	.32**	-	-
12. Yoni Cognitive ToM 2 nd order	.03	-.14	-.03	-.04	.04	-.12	-.06	.09	.09	-.03	-.01	-
13. Yoni Affective ToM 2 nd order	.05	.01	.02	-.16*	-.10	-.13	-.05	.08	.12	.04	.04	.63**

3 *Note.* BDI = Beck Depression Inventory; STAI = State-Trait Anxiety Inventory; BES = Basic Empathy Scale; ToM = Theory of Mind; * = $p < .05$; ** = $p < .01$.

4

1 3.2. Regression

2 The regression models were presented in *Table 3* and accounted for 25%
3 (AUDIT-C score) and 18% (BD score) of the variance. In view of the results found in
4 correlational analyses, age, gender, and education level were first introduced in the
5 regression model (Step 1), followed by social cognition processes (cognitive and
6 affective ToM, cognitive and affective empathy; Step 2). In the first step, age and
7 gender were identified as significant predictors in both models but in the prediction of
8 AUDIT-C score, education level was also found as a significant factor. In the second
9 step, after controlling for these variables, second-order affective ToM was identified
10 as a significant predictor of alcohol abuse (AUDIT-C score: $B = -.19$, $p = .020$) and
11 specific binge drinking (BD score: $B = -.22$, $p = .009$) in adolescents. However,
12 whereas the adding of social cognition processes, particularly affective ToM,
13 significantly increased the explanatory variance to predict binge drinking, it failed to
14 enhance the prediction model of alcohol abuse (see *Table 3*).

15

1 **Table 3.** Hierarchical multiple regression analyses predicting alcohol abuse (AUDIT-

		AUDIT-C score						2 C
<i>Predictors</i>		<i>Bêta</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>P value</i>	<i>Adj. R²</i>	<i>ΔR²</i>	3 score;
1	Age	.57	1.13	.23	<.001	.231	.242 ^{***}	4 first
	Gender	-.27	-1.44	.33	<.001			
	Education level	-.23	-.52	.25	.041			
2	Age	.58	1.15	.23	<.001	.246	.057	5 part)
	Gender	-.23	-1.25	.37	.001			6 and
	Education level	-.24	-.52	.25	.040			
	BES Cognitive empathy	-.05	-.04	.05	.423			7 specifi
	BES Affective empathy	-.03	-.01	.03	.662			
	Yoni Cognitive ToM 1 st order	.11	.08	.05	.081			8 c
	Yoni Affective ToM, 1 st order	-.01	-.01	.05	.945			
	Yoni Cognitive ToM 2 nd order	.11	.02	.01	.194			9 binge
	Yoni Affective ToM 2 nd order	-.19	-.03	.01	.020			
		BD Score						10 drinkin
<i>Predictors</i>		<i>Bêta</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>P-value</i>	<i>Adj. R²</i>	<i>ΔR²</i>	11 g (BD
1	Age	.34	4.26	1.51	.005	.155	.168 ^{***}	
	Gender	-.19	-6.51	2.21	.004			
	Education level	.01	.17	1.67	.917			
2	Age	.38	4.72	1.53	.002	.184	.052 [*]	12 score;
	Gender	-.18	-6.24	2.44	.011			
	Education level	-.02	-.33	1.67	.844			13 secon
	BES Cognitive empathy	-.12	-.61	.36	.094			
	BES Affective empathy	.04	.11	.19	.564			14 d
	Yoni Cognitive ToM 1 st order	.06	.27	.30	.368			
	Yoni Affective ToM, 1 st order	.05	.27	.34	.430			15 part).
	Yoni Cognitive ToM 2 nd order	.07	.06	.08	.412			
	Yoni Affective ToM 2 nd order	-.22	-.20	.08	.009			

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

Note. BES = Basic Empathy Scale; ToM = Theory of Mind; *N* = 202.

4. Discussion

The current literature has mainly identified cognitive and motivational processes as significant predictors of excessive alcohol use in youth. However, recent studies have shown that emotional and interpersonal processes can also be impaired in youth with patterns of binge drinking. The purpose of this study was therefore to explore whether these processes can predict early alcohol abuse in adolescence. In particular, we focused on two social cognition processes, only recently highlighted in youth’s alcohol consumption (Laghi et al., 2019a; 2019b), and identified as central in severe alcohol use disorders, namely ToM and empathy. Accordingly, we specifically explore the conjoint and direct role of these processes in alcohol abuse and specific binge drinking pattern.

Findings of the present study underline the importance of age, gender, and education level whereas depressive and anxiety symptoms do not seem related to alcohol consumption in the current sample. The associations with age and education level are consistent with previous studies (e.g., Kuntsche, Kuntsche, Thrul, & Gmel, 2017; Moure-Rodríguez et al., 2016), showing an increased prevalence of excessive alcohol use at the end of adolescence and in early adulthood (20–25 years old) and in populations with high education level. However, the influence of gender in adolescents binge drinking is less pronounced nowadays (e.g., Laghi et al., 2019b)

1 and appears related to other factors such as the country of origin. Accordingly, by
2 indicating an association between being a men and binge drinking, our results are in
3 line with those previously reported in France (ESPAD, 2016). Regarding the absence
4 of relation with depressive and anxiety symptoms, our results may be understood
5 through the population. Indeed, in contrast with previous studies (e.g., Kachadourian
6 et al., 2013), we do not recruit adolescents with specific predispositions (e.g., trauma)
7 or motives to drink but rather include youth from the community. Accordingly, it can
8 be hypothesized that, in the general population, the association between excessive
9 alcohol use and psychopathological symptoms appears later in the developmental
10 trajectory. In the current study, the role of social cognition has thus been highlighted
11 without the association with depression and anxiety symptoms.

12 Second, results support the relationships between alcohol consumption,
13 empathy, and ToM. These two processes, widely described in the field of severe
14 alcohol use disorders (Bora & Zorlu, 2017; Massey et al., 2018), are thus identified
15 as significant processes at early steps of excessive alcohol use in adolescents.
16 Especially, it is observed that alcohol abuse is associated with cognitive and affective
17 empathy and predicted by second-order affective ToM. However, the relation with
18 ToM does not appear sufficiently robust to significantly increase the variance of the
19 prediction model when we control for age, gender, and education level. In contrast,
20 binge drinking is related to both empathy scores, second-order affective ToM, and is
21 significantly predicted by this subdimension of affective ToM, even after controlling
22 for personal variables.

23 Compared to studies conducted among patients (e.g., Maurage et al., 2011)
24 and adolescent binge drinkers (Laghi et al., 2019), these results support a difficulty to
25 feel and answer appropriately to emotions from others but also to cognitively

1 understand the emotions of others. The current study thus endorses the role of
2 affective empathy and shows the significance of cognitive one, previously found as
3 preserved (Laghi et al., 2019). Actually, our findings are not totally comparable to
4 those of Laghi and colleagues (2019), as we do not use the same measure.
5 Moreover, it has to be noted that, in line with our findings, results in severe alcohol
6 use disorders were recently contrasted by showing cognitive and affective empathy
7 impairments by means of a computerized test of empathy (Grynberg, Maurage, &
8 Nandrino, 2017). The current results might thus be consistent with recent studies.
9 However, it is worth noting that reliability analyses in the current sample underlined a
10 poor internal consistency of the cognitive empathy subscale. Therefore, this result
11 should be confirmed in future studies with self-reported and behavioral measures.

12

13 Regarding ToM, these results reinforce previous ones (Laghi et al., 2019a) by
14 showing a dissociation between cognitive and affective ToM and a direct relationship
15 between binge drinking and second-order affective ToM. The current findings are
16 thus in line with those observed in severe alcohol use disorders (Maurage et al.,
17 2016). Importantly, results appear quite stable, as they remain significant to predict
18 binge drinking in a hierarchical regression model after controlling for personal
19 variables. Accordingly, it could be suggested that the relationship with social
20 cognition processes is “pattern-specific”, in line with the proposal that binge drinking
21 is a particular harmful habit (Stephens & Duka, 2008). Nevertheless, this should be
22 supported by other studies comparing binge drinking with general alcohol
23 consumption (as the AUDIT-C used in the current study contains an item referring to
24 the consumption of large alcohol quantities in one occasion). In particular, the current
25 findings show that second-order affective ToM predicts binge drinking whereas first-

1 order processes do not seem associated with excessive drinking. It therefore
2 appears that only complex ToM abilities are related to binge drinking, as second-
3 order processing requires to correctly understand others' internal states but also to
4 make the difference between the first individual's interpretation and the second
5 individual's intention (Shamay-Tsoory & Aharon-Peretz, 2007). This result is quite
6 consistent with the population, as we recruited adolescents who do not report other
7 psychopathological disorders. However, it could be hypothesized that both first and
8 second-order ToM processes would become impaired in later stages of alcohol-
9 related disorders, notably due to the alcohol effects on the prefrontal cortex
10 (Uekermann & Daum, 2008).

11 As a whole, the main finding of this paper is that alcohol abuse and specific
12 binge drinking in youth are predicted by age and gender, consistently with previous
13 studies (e.g., Degenhardt et al., 2008), but that binge drinking is also predicted by
14 affective ToM. Beyond cognitive and alcohol-related processes, interpersonal abilities
15 are thus also involved in the emergence of excessive drinking among adolescents.
16 More precisely, besides the ability to feel emotions from others, binge drinking is
17 predicted by a global difficulty to infer the feelings of others. This result reinforces
18 that adolescents with poor interpersonal abilities tend to drink alcohol excessively to
19 be more comfortable in social contexts (Laghi et al., 2019b). Especially, poor abilities
20 to understand what others infer about someone's feelings and emotions might lead to
21 awkward social experience or even social distress and precipitate excessive alcohol
22 use, as a maladaptive coping strategy. This hypothesis is strengthened by previous
23 results, showing the crucial role of coping motives and emotional impulsivity to
24 predict adolescents' binge drinking (Laghi et al., 2016; Tomko et al., 2016) and

1 supports the high social involvement described in this population (Kuntsche et al.,
2 2014).

3

4 This study is among the first exploring the predictive value of ToM and
5 empathy in adolescents with excessive alcohol habits (see also Laghi et al., 2019a;
6 2019b), findings therefore open new research perspectives. Accordingly, future
7 studies should further explore the role of various social cognition processes (e.g.,
8 emotions recognition, ToM, empathy, social perception and knowledge) in general
9 alcohol use and specific binge drinking. This exploration has to be conducted by
10 using validated and reliable self-reported and behavioral measures. Indeed, our
11 results underlined that, despite the selection of validated tools, the questionnaire
12 used to assess empathy exhibited a poor reliability in the current sample (i.e. for the
13 cognitive empathy subscale). This association should thus be further explored.
14 Moreover, to support the genuine predictive role of these processes, subsequent
15 research has to explore this question with longitudinal approaches. Indeed, a
16 limitation of the current research is the cross-sectional nature of the data, hampering
17 strong inferences regarding the cause-effect relationships between social cognition
18 and alcohol consumption. In future studies, the potential role of alcohol use history
19 (e.g., age at first drink, age at first drunkenness episode) have to be took into
20 account. Moreover, the current study exclusively focused on social cognition
21 processes while controlling for anxiety and depression. Subsequent research should
22 also consider the role of social cognition together with other well-identified predictive
23 factors of binge drinking such as impulsivity (Tomko et al., 2016). Nevertheless, the
24 current study also has several implications for the understanding of binge drinking
25 and alcohol use disorders. First, it shows that interpersonal difficulties already play

1 an important role at primary steps of alcohol-related disorders. Second, it highlights
2 the specific role of emotional and interpersonal processes in excessive drinking in
3 youth, in addition to the existing literature regarding executive functions. It reinforces
4 the need to consider binge drinking as a specific, complex, and harmful behavior,
5 explained by various and potentially interacting processes, and clearly not more as a
6 general and recreational alcohol use pattern. Finally, these findings may help to the
7 building of adapted prevention and intervention programs, not only targeting
8 motivational factors (Wurdak, Wolstein, & Kuntsche, 2016) but also focusing on
9 interpersonal (e.g., skills' improvement to infer the feelings from others) and
10 emotional (e.g., learning of adapted coping strategies in social context) abilities.

1 **Declarations of interest**

2 None.

1 **References**

- 2 Adolphs, R. (2001). The neurobiology of social cognition. *Current Opinion in*
3 *Neurobiology*, 11(2), 231-239. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4388\(00\)00202-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4388(00)00202-6)
4 6
- 5 Allison, P. D. (1999). Logistic regression using the SAS system: Theory and
6 application. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.
- 7 Bensalah, L., Caillies, S., & Anduze, M. (2016). Links among cognitive empathy,
8 theory of mind, and affective perspective taking by young children. *The*
9 *Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 177(1), 17-31.
10 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00221325.2015.1106438>
- 11 Bø, R., Aker, M., Billieux, J., & Landrø, N. I. (2016). Binge drinkers are fast, able to
12 stop – but they fail to adjust. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological*
13 *Society*, 22(01), 38-46. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1355617715001204>
- 14 Bora, E., & Zorlu, N. (2017). Social cognition in alcohol use disorder: A meta-
15 analysis. *Addiction*, 112(1), 40-48. <https://doi.org/10.1111/add.13486>
- 16 Bühler, M., & Mann, K. (2011). Alcohol and the human brain: A systematic review of
17 different neuroimaging methods. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental*
18 *Research*, 35(10), 1771-1793. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-0277.2011.01540.x)
19 0277.2011.01540.x
- 20 Bush, K. (1998). The AUDIT alcohol consumption questions (AUDIT-C). An effective
21 brief screening test for problem drinking. *Archives of Internal Medicine*,
22 158(16), 1789. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.158.16.1789>
- 23 Carbia, C., López-Caneda, E., Corral, M., & Cadaveira, F. (2018). A systematic
24 review of neuropsychological studies involving young binge drinkers.

1 *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 90, 332-349.
2 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2018.04.013>

3 Connell, A. M., Patton, E., & McKillop, H. (2015). Binge drinking, depression, and
4 electrocortical responses to emotional images. *Psychology of Addictive*
5 *Behaviors*, 29(3), 673-682. <https://doi.org/10.1037/adb0000071>

6 Courtney, K. E., & Polich, J. (2009). Binge drinking in young adults : Data, definitions,
7 and determinants. *Psychological Bulletin*, 135(1), 142-156.
8 <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0014414>

9 D'Ambrosio, F., Olivier, M., Didon, D., & Besche, C. (2009). The basic empathy
10 scale : A French validation of a measure of empathy in youth. *Personality and*
11 *Individual Differences*, 46(2), 160-165.
12 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2008.09.020>

13 Degenhardt, L., Chiu, W.-T., Sampson, N., Kessler, R. C., Anthony, J. C.,
14 Angermeyer, M., ... Wells, J. E. (2008). Toward a Global View of Alcohol,
15 Tobacco, Cannabis, and Cocaine Use : Findings from the WHO World Mental
16 Health Surveys. *PLoS Medicine*, 5(7), e141.
17 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0050141>

18 ESPAD Group (2016). ESPAD Report 2015: *Results from the European School*
19 *Survey Project on Alcohol and other Drugs*. Lisbon: European Monitoring
20 Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA). Retrieved from:
21 http://www.espad.org/sites/espad.org/files/ESPAD_report_2015.pdf.

22 Fluharty, M. E., Heron, J., & Munafò, M. R. (2018). Longitudinal associations of social
23 cognition and substance use in childhood and early adolescence : Findings
24 from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. *European Child &*

1 *Adolescent Psychiatry*, 27(6), 739-752. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-017->
2 1068-x

3 Grynberg, D., Maurage, P., & Nandrino, J.-L. (2017). Preserved Affective Sharing But
4 Impaired Decoding of Contextual Complex Emotions in Alcohol Dependence.
5 *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*, 41(4), 779-785.
6 <https://doi.org/10.1111/acer.13330>

7 Hermens, D. F., Lagopoulos, J., Tobias-Webb, J., De Regt, T., Dore, G., Juckes, L.,
8 ... Hickie, I. B. (2013). Pathways to alcohol-induced brain impairment in young
9 people : A review. *Cortex*, 49(1), 3-17.
10 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2012.05.021>

11 Huang, S., Holcomb, L. A., Cruz, S. M., & Marinkovic, K. (2018). Altered oscillatory
12 brain dynamics of emotional processing in young binge drinkers. *Cognitive,*
13 *Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience*, 18(1), 43–57.
14 <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13415-017-0551-7>

15 Johansson Nolaker, E., Murray, K., Happé, F., & Charlton, R. A. (2018). Cognitive
16 and affective associations with an ecologically valid test of theory of mind
17 across the lifespan. *Neuropsychology*, 32(6), 754-763.
18 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/neu0000464>

19 Jolliffe, D., & Farrington, D. P. (2006). Development and validation of the Basic
20 Empathy Scale. *Journal of Adolescence*, 29(4), 589-611.
21 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2005.08.010>

22 Kachadourian, L. K., Pilver, C. E., & Potenza, M. N. (2014). Trauma, PTSD, and
23 binge and hazardous drinking among women and men : Findings from a
24 national study. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 55, 35-43.
25 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2014.04.018>

- 1 Kanny, D., Liu, Y., Brewer, R. D., & Lu, H. (2013). Binge drinking - United States,
2 2011. *National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion*,
3 62(3), 77-80.
- 4 Knight, J. R., Wechsler, H., Kuo, M., Seibring, M., Weitzman, E. R., & Schuckit, M. A.
5 (2002). Alcohol abuse and dependence among U.S. college students. *Journal*
6 *of Studies on Alcohol*, 63(3), 263-270.
7 <https://doi.org/10.15288/jsa.2002.63.263>
- 8 Kuntsche, E., Gabhainn, S. N., Roberts, C., Windlin, B., Vieno, A., Bendtsen, P., ...
9 others. (2014). Drinking motives and links to alcohol use in 13 European
10 countries. *Journal of studies on alcohol and drugs*, 75(3), 428–437.
11 <https://doi.org/10.15288/jsad.2014.75.428>
- 12 Kuntsche, E., Kuntsche, S., Thrul, J., & Gmel, G. (2017). Binge drinking : Health
13 impact, prevalence, correlates and interventions. *Psychology & Health*, 32(8),
14 976-1017. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08870446.2017.1325889>
- 15 Laghi, F., Baumgartner, E., Baiocco, R., Kotzalidis, G. D., Piacentino, D., Girardi, P.,
16 & Angeletti, G. (2016). Alcohol intake and binge drinking among Italian
17 adolescents : The role of drinking motives. *Journal of Addictive Diseases*,
18 35(2), 119-127. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10550887.2015.1129703>
- 19 Laghi, F., Bianchi, D., Lonigro, A., Pompili, S., & Baiocco, R. (2019). Emotion
20 regulation and alcohol abuse in second-generation immigrant adolescents :
21 The protective role of cognitive reappraisal. *Journal of Health Psychology*,
22 135910531882071. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105318820715>
- 23 Laghi, F., Bianchi, D., Pompili, S., Lonigro, A., & Baiocco, R. (2019a). Cognitive and
24 affective empathy in binge drinking adolescents : Does empathy moderate the

1 effect of self-efficacy in resisting peer pressure to drink? *Addictive Behaviors*,
2 89, 229-235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2018.10.015>

3 Laghi, F., Bianchi, D., Pompili, S., Lonigro, A., & Baiocco, R. (2019b). Heavy episodic
4 drinking in late adolescents: The role of theory of mind and conformity
5 drinking motives. *Addictive Behaviors*, 96, 18-25.
6 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2019.04.011>

7 Laghi, F., Liga, F., & Pompili, S. (2018). Adolescents who binge eat and drink: The
8 role of emotion regulation. *Journal of Addictive Diseases*, 37(1-2), 77-86.
9 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10550887.2018.1553458>

10 Lannoy, S., D'Hondt, F., Dormal, V., Blanco, M., Brion, M., Billieux, J., ... Maurage,
11 P. (2018). Electrophysiological correlates of emotional crossmodal processing
12 in binge drinking. *Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience*, 18(6), 1076
13 -1088. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13415-018-0623-3>

14 Lannoy, S., Dormal, V., Billieux, J., & Maurage, P. (2019). Enhancement motivation
15 to drink predicts binge drinking in adolescence: A longitudinal study in a
16 community sample. *The American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*, 45(3),
17 304-312. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00952990.2018.1550089>

18 Lannoy, S., Dormal, V., Brion, M., Gaudelus, B., Billieux, J., & Maurage, P. (2018).
19 Affective impairments in binge drinking: Investigation through emotional facial
20 expression decoding. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 83, 59-63.
21 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comppsy.2018.03.004>

22 Liskola, J., Haravuori, H., Lindberg, N., Niemelä, S., Karlsson, L., Kiviruusu, O., &
23 Marttunen, M. (2018). AUDIT and AUDIT-C as screening instruments for
24 alcohol problem use in adolescents. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 188,
25 266-273. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2018.04.015>

- 1 Massey, S. H., Newmark, R. L., & Wakschlag, L. S. (2018). Explicating the role of
2 empathic processes in substance use disorders : A conceptual framework and
3 research agenda: Empathic processes and substance use. *Drug and Alcohol*
4 *Review*, 37(3), 316-332. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dar.12548>
- 5 Maurage, P., Bestelmeyer, P. E. G., Rouger, J., Charest, I., & Belin, P. (2013). Binge
6 drinking influences the cerebral processing of vocal affective bursts in young
7 adults. *NeuroImage: Clinical*, 3, 218-225.
8 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2013.08.010>
- 9 Maurage, P., D'Hondt, F., de Timary, P., Mary, C., Franck, N., & Peyroux, E. (2016).
10 Dissociating affective and cognitive theory of mind in recently detoxified
11 alcohol-dependent individuals. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental*
12 *Research*, 40(9), 1926-1934. <https://doi.org/10.1111/acer.13155>
- 13 Maurage, P., Grynberg, D., Noël, X., Joassin, F., Philippot, P., Hanak, C., ...
14 Campanella, S. (2011). Dissociation between affective and cognitive empathy
15 in alcoholism : A specific deficit for the emotional dimension. *Alcoholism:*
16 *Clinical and Experimental Research*, 35(9), 1662-1668.
17 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-0277.2011.01512.x>
- 18 Maurage, P., Petit, G., & Campanella, S. (2013). Pathways to alcohol-induced brain
19 impairment in young people : A review by Hermens et al., 2013. *Cortex*, 49(4),
20 1155-1159. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2012.12.015>
- 21 Moselhy, H. F. (2001). Frontal lobe changes in alcoholism : A review of the literature.
22 *Alcohol and Alcoholism*, 36(5), 357-368.
23 <https://doi.org/10.1093/alcalc/36.5.357>
- 24 Moure-Rodríguez, L., Piñeiro, M., Corral Varela, M., Rodríguez-Holguín, S.,
25 Cadaveira, F., & Caamaño-Isorna, F. (2016). Identifying Predictors and

1 Prevalence of Alcohol Consumption among University Students : Nine Years
2 of Follow-Up. PLOS ONE, 11(11), e0165514.
3 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0165514>

4 Mushquash, A. R., Stewart, S. H., Sherry, S. B., Sherry, D. L., Mushquash, C. J., &
5 MacKinnon, A. L. (2013). Depressive symptoms are a vulnerability factor for
6 heavy episodic drinking : A short-term, four-wave longitudinal study of
7 undergraduate women. *Addictive Behaviors*, 38(5), 2180-2186.
8 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2012.11.008>

9 Nutt, D. J., King, L. A., & Phillips, L. D. (2010). Drug harms in the UK : A multicriteria
10 decision analysis. *The Lancet*, 376(9752), 1558–1565.

11 Peeters, M., Janssen, T., Monshouwer, K., Boendermaker, W., Pronk, T., Wiers, R.,
12 & Vollebergh, W. (2015). Weaknesses in executive functioning predict the
13 initiating of adolescents' alcohol use. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*,
14 16, 139-146. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2015.04.003>

15 Rehm, J., Mathers, C., Popova, S., Thavorncharoensap, M., Teerawattananon, Y., &
16 Patra, J. (2009). Global burden of disease and injury and economic cost
17 attributable to alcohol use and alcohol-use disorders. *The Lancet*, 373(9682),
18 2223–2233.

19 Reniers, R. L. E. P., Corcoran, R., Drake, R., Shryane, N. M., & Völlm, B. A. (2011).
20 The QCAE : A Questionnaire of Cognitive and Affective Empathy. *Journal of*
21 *Personality Assessment*, 93(1), 84-95.
22 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00223891.2010.528484>

23 Reynolds, W. M., & Gould, J. W. (1981). A psychometric investigation of the standard
24 and short form Beck Depression Inventory. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical*
25 *Psychology*, 49(2), 306-307. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.49.2.306>

- 1 Rosenthal, R., & Rosnow, R.L. (1991). Essentials of behavioral research: Methods
2 and data analysis, 2nd ed. McGraw-Hill Publishing Company.
- 3 Rupp, C. I., Derntl, B., Osthaus, F., Kemmler, G., & Fleischhacker, W. W. (2017).
4 Impact of Social Cognition on Alcohol Dependence Treatment Outcome :
5 Poorer Facial Emotion Recognition Predicts Relapse/Dropout. *Alcoholism:
6 Clinical and Experimental Research*, 41(12), 2197-2206.
7 <https://doi.org/10.1111/acer.13522>
- 8 Shamay-Tsoory, S. G. (2011). The neural bases for empathy. *The Neuroscientist*,
9 17(1), 18-24. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1073858410379268>
- 10 Shamay-Tsoory, S. G., & Aharon-Peretz, J. (2007). Dissociable prefrontal networks
11 for cognitive and affective theory of mind : A lesion study. *Neuropsychologia*,
12 45(13), 3054-3067. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2007.05.021>
- 13 Stavro, K., Pelletier, J., & Potvin, S. (2013). Widespread and sustained cognitive
14 deficits in alcoholism : A meta-analysis: Alcoholism and cognition. *Addiction
15 Biology*, 18(2), 203-213. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1369-1600.2011.00418.x>
- 16 Spielberger, D. C., Gorsuch, R. L., Lushene, R., Vagg, P. R., & Jacobs, G. A. (1983).
17 *Manual for the state-trait anxiety inventory*. Consulting Psychology Press. Palo
18 Alto.
- 19 Stephens, D. N., & Duka, T. (2008). Cognitive and emotional consequences of binge
20 drinking : Role of amygdala and prefrontal cortex. *Philosophical Transactions
21 of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 363(1507), 3169-3179.
22 <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0097>
- 23 Thush, C., & Wiers, R. W. (2007). Explicit and implicit alcohol-related cognitions and
24 the prediction of future drinking in adolescents. *Addictive Behaviors*, 32(7),
25 1367-1383. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2006.09.011>

- 1 Tomko, R. L., Prisciandaro, J. J., Falls, S. K., & Magid, V. (2016). The structure of the
2 UPPS-R-Child impulsivity scale and its relations with substance use outcomes
3 among treatment-seeking adolescents. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 161,
4 276-283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2016.02.010>
- 5 Townshend, J. M, & Duka, T. (2002). Patterns of alcohol drinking in a population of
6 young social drinkers: A comparison of questionnaire and diary measures.
7 *Alcohol and Alcoholism*, 37,187–192. <https://doi.org/10.1093/alcalc/37.2.187>
- 8 Uekermann, J., & Daum, I. (2008). Social cognition in alcoholism : A link to prefrontal
9 cortex dysfunction? *Addiction*, 103(5), 726-735. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360->
10 0443.2008.02157.x
- 11 World Health Organization. (2014). Global status report on alcohol and health.
12 Retrieved from
13 http://www.who.int/substance_abuse/publications/global_alcohol_report/en/
- 14 Wurdak, M., Wolstein, J., & Kuntsche, E. (2016). Effectiveness of a drinking-motive-
15 tailored emergency-room intervention among adolescents admitted to hospital
16 due to acute alcohol intoxication — A randomized controlled trial. *Preventive*
17 *Medicine Reports*, 3, 83-89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2015.12.009>