

### Cerebrospinal Fluid and Plasma Biomarkers do not Differ in the Presenile and Late-Onset Behavioral Variants of Frontotemporal Dementia

Nicolas Menjot de Champfleur, Aleksandra Maleska, Cecilia Marelli, Claire Hourregue, Laure-Anne Gutierrez, Claire Paquet, Nicolas Menjot De champfleur, Delphine de Verbizier, Melissa Jacob, Jonathan Dubois, et al.

### ▶ To cite this version:

Nicolas Menjot de Champfleur, Aleksandra Maleska, Cecilia Marelli, Claire Hourregue, Laure-Anne Gutierrez, et al.. Cerebrospinal Fluid and Plasma Biomarkers do not Differ in the Presenile and Late-Onset Behavioral Variants of Frontotemporal Dementia. Journal of Alzheimer's Disease, 2020, pp.1-9. 10.3233/JAD-190378. hal-02505372

HAL Id: hal-02505372

https://hal.science/hal-02505372

Submitted on 11 Mar 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



### Cerebrospinal Fluid and Plasma Biomarkers do not Differ in the Presenile and Late-Onset Behavioral Variants of Frontotemporal Dementia

Nicolas Menjot de Champfleur, Aleksandra Maleska, Cecilia Marelli, Claire Hourregue, Laure-Anne Gutierrez, Claire Paquet, Nicolas Menjot De champfleur, Delphine de Verbizier, Melissa Jacob, Jonathan Dubois, et al.

### ▶ To cite this version:

Nicolas Menjot de Champfleur, Aleksandra Maleska, Cecilia Marelli, Claire Hourregue, Laure-Anne Gutierrez, et al.. Cerebrospinal Fluid and Plasma Biomarkers do not Differ in the Presenile and Late-Onset Behavioral Variants of Frontotemporal Dementia. Journal of Alzheimer's Disease, IOS Press, 2020, pp.1-9. 10.3233/JAD-190378. hal-02505372

# HAL Id: hal-02505372 https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02505372

Submitted on 11 Mar 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Cerebrospinal Fluid and Plasma

# Biomarkers do not Differ in the Presenile

## and Late-Onset Behavioral Variants

# of Frontotemporal Dementia

- Cecilia Marelli<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Claire Hourregue<sup>a,1</sup>, Laure-Anne Gutierrez<sup>a,c</sup>, Claire Paquet<sup>d</sup>, Nicolas Menjot
- de Champfleur<sup>e,f,g</sup>, Delphine De Verbizier<sup>h</sup>, Melissa Jacob<sup>a</sup>, Jonathan Dubois<sup>c</sup>, Aleksandra Maceski
- Maleska<sup>i</sup>, Christophe Hirtz<sup>i</sup>, Sophie Navucet<sup>a</sup>, Karim Bennys<sup>a</sup>, Julien Dumurgier<sup>d</sup>,
- Emmanuel Cognat<sup>d</sup>, Claudine Berr<sup>a,c</sup>, Eloi Magnin<sup>j</sup>, Sylvain Lehmann<sup>i</sup> and Audrey Gabelle<sup>a,c</sup>
- <sup>a</sup>Memory Research and Resources Alzheimer Center, Department of Neurology, University Hospital Center,
- Gui de Chauliac Hospital, Montpellier, France
- bInserm U1198 MMDN, Montpellier, France; EPHE, Paris, France, Montpellier, France
- <sup>c</sup>INSERM U1061, Univ Montpellier, Neuropsychiatry: Epidemiological and Clinical Research, Montpellier,
- 13 France
- dCenter of Cognitive Neurology, Lariboisière Fernand-Widal Hospital, APHP, University of Paris Diderot,
- 15 Paris, France
- <sup>e</sup>Institut d'Imagerie Fonctionnelle Humaine, 12FH, University Hospital Center, Gui de Chauliac Hospital,
- 17 Montpellier, France
- <sup>f</sup>Department of Neuroradiology, University Hospital Center, Gui de Chauliac Hospital, Montpellier, France
- <sup>19</sup> Team "Plasticity of Central Nervous System, Stem Cells and Glial Tumors," Institut National de la Santé et
- de la Recherche Médicale Unité 583, Institut of Neurosciences of Montpellier, Saint Eloi Hospital, Montpellier,
- 21 France
- <sup>h</sup>Department of Nuclear Medicine, University Hospital Center, Gui de Chauliac Hospital, Montpellier, France
- <sup>1</sup>Laboratoire de Biochimie Protéomique Clinique, INSERM-UM 1183, University Hospital Center,
- 24 University of Montpellier, Montpellier, France
- <sup>j</sup>Department of Neurology, Centre Mémoire Ressources Recherche Besançon Franche-Comté, CHU de Besançon,
- 26 Besançon, France
- 27 Handling Associate Editor: Barbara Borroni

Accepted 27 January 2020

- 28 Abstract.
- Background: Memory troubles and hippocampal atrophy are considered more frequent and focal atrophy less severe in
- late-onset (>65 years) than in presentile behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia (bvFTD).

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Current address: Center of Cognitive Neurology, Lariboisière Fernand-Widal Hospital, APHP, University Paris Diderot, Paris, France

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence to: Dr. Cecilia Marelli, Department of Neurology and Memory Clinic, University Hospital Center, Gui de

Objective: To compare cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and plasma biomarkers in late-onset and presentile bvFTD.

Methods: Multicentric retrospective study (2007-2017) on patients with clinical diagnosis of bvFTD.

**Results:** This study included 44 patients (67%) with presenile and 22 (33%) with late-onset bvFTD (comparable mean disease duration; n = 11 with causal mutations). Hippocampal atrophy was more frequent (80% versus 25.8%) and severe in late-onset bvFTD (median Scheltens score: 3 [0–4] versus 1 [0–3]), without difference after adjustment for age. Lobar atrophy and focal hypometabolism/hypoperfusion were not different between groups. The median CSF A $\beta_{1-42}$  and phosphorylated tau (P-tau) concentrations were in the normal range and comparable between groups. Axonal neurodegeneration biomarkers were within the normal range (CSF T-tau; plasma T-tau in late-onset bvFTD) or higher (plasma neurofilament light chain (NFL); plasma T-tau in presenile bvFTD) than the normal values, but globally not different between bvFTD groups. Plasma glial fibrillary acid protein (GFAP) was strongly increased in both bvFTD groups compared with the values in controls of the same age.

**Conclusion:** The CSF and plasma biomarker profiles did not suggest a more aggressive neurodegeneration in the presentle group (comparable T-tau, NFL, and GFAP levels) or the co-existence of Alzheimer's disease in the late-onset group (comparable and within normal range CSF  $A\beta_{1-42}$  and P-tau). The severity of the neurodegenerative process seems comparable in presentle and late-onset bvFTD.

Keywords: Biomarkers, cerebrospinal fluid, frontotemporal dementia, glial fibrillary acid protein, late-onset, neurofilament light chain

#### INTRODUCTION

The in vivo diagnosis of the behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia (bvFTD) is based on clinical and radiological features [1]. Age at onset is usually younger than 65 years, but late-onset (after 65 years of age) bvFTD is not rare and could represent up to 40% of all bvFTD cases [2, 3]. Late-onset bvFTD is characterized by more severe memory loss and hippocampal atrophy, and less frontal and temporal lobe atrophy compared with the classical presenile bvFTD [2, 4, 5, 6, 7]; however, some studies suggested no difference between early-onset and late-onset bvFTD in terms of neuropsychological evaluation and functional outcomes [3]. These clinical and radiological differences might be due to a more aggressive disease in presenile onset byFTD, suggested by exacerbated focal atrophy, and/or to co-existent amyloid-β (Aβ) deposits in late-onset bvFTD, suggested by more frequent episodic memory alteration and hippocampal atrophy.

Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and plasma biomarkers had never been compared in presenile and late-onset bvFTD. In frontotemporal dementia, biomarkers of axonal damage, such as tau and neurofilament light chain (NFL), are associated with poor survival, active disease, and conversion from pre-symptomatic to symptomatic stages [8, 9, 10]. In case of co-existing Alzheimer's disease (AD) pathology, biomarkers analysis should show a decreased  $A\beta_{1-42}$  and an increased phosphorylated P-tau (P-tau) (two markers of AD pathology) in the late-onset bvFTD group.

Therefore, in this study, we wanted to precisely determine the clinical, radiological, and biological features (particularly CSF and plasma biomarkers) of presentile and late-onset bvFTD.

### **METHODS**

Study sample

This retrospective (2007–2017) multicentric (Montpellier, Paris, and Besancon Clinical Memory Centers) study included all patients with a possible, probable, or definite diagnosis of bvFTD [1] with available clinical data and CSF samples. Patients were then classified as having presentle or late-onset bvFTD in function of their age at disease onset ( $\leq$  and >65 years of age, respectively).

All patients signed a written informed consent approved by the Local Ethics Committee (registered DC-2008-417). To limit possible confounding factors and misdiagnosis, patients with psychiatric conditions that could explain the cognitive and behavioral alterations or with radiological evidence of severe vascular burden (Fazekas scale score ≥3 on brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), or severe vascular leucoencephalopathy on brain computed tomography (CT)) [11, 12] were excluded.

Laboratory, neuropsychological, and neuroimaging data at the initial evaluation were retrospectively collected; as lumbar puncture is generally part of the initial work-up of patients with possible bvFTD, the day of lumbar puncture was considered as the

reference time for the initial evaluation. All clinical, neuropsychological, and radiological evaluations were performed on the same day or within 6 months after lumbar puncture; plasma and CSF samples were collected on the same day.

Q1

The presence of memory or cognitive symptoms and of psychiatric, behavioral, and language problems was evaluated using the patients' clinical notes, reviewed by one of the authors (CM). Hippocampal memory dysfunction was objectively assessed using the Free and Cued Selective Reminding Test [13]. Clinical parkinsonism was considered as present when signs of extrapyramidal syndrome (bradykinesia, hypokinesia, rigidity, tremor) were clearly mentioned in the clinical notes; the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale-III score was not available.

Control blood samples for T-Tau, NFL, and glial fibrillary acid protein (GFAP) were from participants in a dementia prevention program who did not show any evidence of cognitive impairment or degenerative process after at least 2 years of follow-up following the first evaluation (including neuropsychological examination, cerebral MRI, and lumbar puncture). Controls were separated in group 1 (N = 19; median age at plasma sample: 60 years) and group 2 (N = 18, median age at plasma sample: 72 years), matched with the presenile and late-onset bvFTD groups, respectively, on the basis of the median age at plasma sampling.

#### Biomarker measurements

CSF was collected in polypropylene tubes using a standardized procedure [14]. CSF  $A\beta_{1-42}$ , total tau (T-tau), and P-tau on threonine 181 were simultaneously measured in each sample using the standardized commercial Innotest<sup>®</sup> sandwich ELISA according to the manufacturer's instructions (Fujirebio Ghent Belgium). The Innotest Amyloid Tau Index (IATI) [15], the modified  $A\beta_{1-42}$ /T-tau ratio currently used in clinical practice, was also calculated. The cut-off values were determined according to the study on harmonization of collection tubes for AD diagnosis performed by Lehmann and colleagues (i.e., 700 pg/mL for  $A\beta_{1-42}$ , 400 pg/mL for T-tau, 60 pg/mL for P-tau) [16].

Blood samples were collected in K2-EDTA vacuum tubes on the morning of lumbar puncture day. Tubes were transported at room temperature to the laboratory within 4 h and centrifuged at 2,000 g for 10 min. After centrifugation, each supernatant was

divided in 500 μL aliquots and stored at –80°C until use. Plasma T-tau, NFL, and GFAP were measured with a commercial multiplex assay from Quanterix, USA (Simoa-HD1). The lower limits of quantification for T-tau, NFL, and GFAP were 0.10 pg/mL, 0.24 pg/mL, and 0.47 pg/mL, respectively. Quality control samples with high and low values were analyzed in triplicate using five consecutive series of kit batch 501715 and four consecutive series of kit batch 501797. Overall, the inter-assay coefficients of variation (CV) for plasma T-tau, NFL, and GFAP were lower than 13%, 12%, and 5% and the intra- assay CV were lower than 6%, 10%, and 4%, respectively.

Control plasma samples were collected following the same procedure and were stored at the Neurocognition Biobank - CHU Montpellier.

#### Brain MRI data

Brain MRI data were reviewed by a senior neuroradiologist (NMC). The presence of hippocampal atrophy (Scheltens score  $\geq 2$ ) [17], global or focal atrophy, parietal atrophy (Koedam score) [18], and vascular white matter hyper-intensities (Fazekas and Schmidt score) were quantified with the indicated scores [11, 12]. The presence of global or frontal atrophy was evaluated visually, without any quantification or volumetric analysis. If brain MRI was not available, brain CT data were used to determine the presence of global or focal atrophy and of vascular hypodensities. Functional studies were performed with technetium-99 m hexamethylpropylene amine oxime (99mTc-HMPAO) single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) or fluorine-18 fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (<sup>18</sup>F-FDG-PET) and visually reviewed by a senior nuclear radiologist (DDV) to evaluate the presence of focal frontotemporal hypometabolism or hypoperfusion. As this is a retrospective study, not all participants underwent structural and functional imaging.

#### Statistical analysis

For descriptive purposes, quantitative variables were expressed as mean and standard deviation (normal distribution) or as median, minimum, and maximum (non-normal distribution). Qualitative variables were expressed as percentages. Clinical, biological, and neuroradiological characteristics were compared between groups (presenile versus late-onset bvFTD) using multivariate logistic

regression models adjusted for age at lumbar puncture. For quantitative variables, linearity was assessed. Age at disease onset was compared with the Mann-Whitney test because the logistic model did not converge due to complete separation of data distribution. Statistical analyses were performed with the SAS software, version 9.4 (SAS Institute).

#### RESULTS

#### Study population

This study included 66 patients with a clinical diagnosis of bvFTD [1] (Table 1) among whom 44 (67%) had the early onset (presenile bvFTD) and 22 (33%) the late onset form (late-onset bvFTD). The diagnosis was possible bvFTD in 14 (21%; n=8 in the presenile bvFTD and n=6 in the late-onset bvFTD group), probable bvFTD in 41 (67%; n=27 in the presenile bvFTD and n=14 in the late-onset bvFTD group), and definite bvFTD with confirmed genetic mutation

in 11 patients (17%; n=9 in the presenile bvFTD and n=2 in the late-onset bvFTD group). The distribution of possible, probable, and definite bvFTD diagnoses was comparable between groups (Table 1; p=0.44). The diagnosis of definite bvFTD tended to be more frequent, although not significantly, in the presenile bvFTD than in the late-onset bvFTD group (20.5%, n=9 versus 9%, n=2; p=0.2). Mutations in the C9ORF72 gene were found in 10 patients, and a mutation in GRN (granulin) in one patient; no patient carried mutations in the MAPT (microtubule associated protein tau) gene (data not shown).

The median age at disease onset was 59 [39–65] years in the presenile bvFTD and 69 [66–77] years in late-onset bvFTD group. The median age at lumbar puncture was significantly younger in the presenile than late-onset bvFTD group (62 [41–69] years versus 71.5 [66–80] years; p = 0.004). Sex distribution (59% versus 54.55% of men, p = 0.72), disease duration at lumbar puncture (median = 3 [0–19] versus 2 [0–10] years; p = 0.28), and total follow-up duration (median = 5 [1–19] versus 3 [1–12] years; p = 0.23)

Table 1
Demographic and clinical features

Variables	Presenile bvFTD	Late-onset bvFTD	Crude	Model adjusted for
	$(onset \le 65 \text{ years})$	(onset > 65 years)	model	age at lumbar
	(N = 44, 67%)	(N = 22, 33%)	p	puncture p
Diagnosis confidence level		,		
– Possible bvFTD, N (%)	8 (18.2 %)	6 (27.3%)	0.44	0.40
– Probable bvFTD, N (%)	27 (61.4%)	14 (63.6%)		
– Definite bvFTD, N (%)	9 (20.5%)	2 (9.1 %)		
Sex: M	26 (59.1%)	12 (54.6%)	0.72	0.18
Age at onset (y), median [min–max]	59 [39–65]	69 [66–77]	*<0.0001	NA
Age at lumbar puncture day (y), median [min-max]	62 [41–69]	71.5 [66-80]	0.004	NA
Disease duration at lumbar puncture day (y), median [min-max]	3 [0–19]	2 [0-10]	0.28	0.11
Total follow-up (y), median [min–max]	5 [1–19]	3 [1–12]	0.23	0.07
First symptoms (each patient could have one or more symptoms)	/ )			
– Memory deficit, N (%)	12 (27.3%)	11 (50%)	0.07	0.75
– Cognition deficit, other, N (%)	3 (6.8%)	2 (9.1%)	0.74	0.30
– Behavior alterations, N (%)	26 (59.1%)	10 (45.5%)	0.29	0.27
– Psychiatric troubles, N (%)	13 (29.5%)	3 (13.6%)	0.17	0.80
– Language difficulties, N (%)	3 (6.8%)	5 (22.7%)	0.08	0.18
Neuropsychological evaluation				
Hippocampal memory dysfunction (Free and Cued	11 (27.5%)	11 (50%)	0.08	0.94
Selective Reminding Test), N (%)				
Clinical parkinsonism, N (%)	8 (18.2%)	10 (45.4%)	0.02	0.28
Mattis DSR, mean (std)	118 (19)	116 (14)	0.74	0.32
MMSE, median [min-max]	25 [3-30]	20 [14-27]	0.37	0.29
Cognitive and behavioral symptoms				
<ul> <li>Perseverations/Stereotyped behavior, N (%)</li> </ul>	34 (89.5%)	12 (80%)	0.37	0.36
– Apathy, N (%)	30 (73.2%)	16 (84.2%)	0.35	0.73
– Hyperorality, N (%)	17 (54.8%)	4 (36.4%)	0.30	0.48
<ul> <li>Executive dysfunction relatively sparing memory, N (%)</li> </ul>	37 (92.5%)	18 (81.8%)	0.22	0.74
– Indifference, N (%)	28 (80%)	13 (86.7%)	0.58	0.94
<ul> <li>Disinhibited /Aggressive behavior, N (%)</li> </ul>	31 (86.1%)	13 (81.2%)	0.65	0.36

bvFTD, behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia; DSR, Dementia Rating Scale; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; std, standard deviation. \*Mann-Whitney test.

were comparable between groups (presentile versus late-onset bvFTD) (Table 1).

Concerning the initial clinical presentation (Table 1), the percentage of patients with memory or cognitive troubles, and with psychiatric, behavioral, or language problems was not significantly different between groups. Memory complaints (50% versus 27.3%; p=0.07) and hippocampal memory dysfunction (50% versus 27.5%; p=0.08) tended to be more frequent (not significant) in the late-onset than presenile bvFTD group. The Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) (25 [3–30] versus 20 [14–27], p=0.74) and Mattis Dementia Rating Scale (Mattis DRS) (118  $\pm$  19 versus 116  $\pm$  14, p=0.58) median and mean scores, respectively, at lumbar puncture were not significantly different between patients with presenile and late-onset bvFTD (Table 1).

#### Brain imaging data

Cerebral MRI was performed in 47 patients and CT in 10. 99mTc-HMPAO-SPECT or <sup>18</sup>F-FDG-PET data were available for 48 patients (73%). A DaTSCAN study to assess dopamine deficiency was available for 19 patients (29%).

Clinical parkinsonism was more frequent in the late-onset bvFTD group (45.4%) than in the presenile bvFTD group (18.2%; p = 0.02) and pathological DaTSCAN results tended to be more frequent in the late-onset bvFTD group (83.3% versus 30.8%; p = 0.05) (Tables 1 and 2). These differences were no longer significant after adjustment for age.

Lobar frontotemporal atrophy was detected only in 53% of patients and the frequency was not different between groups (59% versus 47.1%; p=0.41). Frontotemporal hypometabolism ( $^{18}$ F-FDG-PET) or hypoperfusion (99mTc-HMPAO- SPECT) was detected in 79.5% of patients, but without difference

between groups (78.1% versus 81.2% of patients with presentle bvFTD and late-onset bvFTD, respectively; p = 0.80) (Table 2).

Conversely, hippocampal atrophy (i.e., mean Scheltens score  $\geq$ 2) was more frequent in the late-onset bvFTD group (80% versus 25.8%; crude model p = 0.001), and the median of the mean Scheltens score [(Right Scheltens + Left Scheltens)/2] was higher in the late-onset than in the presentle bvFTD group (3 [0–4] versus 1 [0–3]; crude model p = 0.001) (Table 2). These differences were not significant after adjustment for age.

#### Plasma and CSF biomarkers

CSF analysis showed that the mean  $A\beta_{1-42}$ , T-tau, P-tau, and IATI values were within the normal limits and without significant differences between bvFTD groups (Table 3). According to the A/T/N descriptive classification [19], no patient with byFTD had a CSF profile highly suggestive of AD pathophysiological process (i.e.,  $A+/T+/N+=low A\beta_{1-42}/high$ P-tau/high T-tau levels). However, 22 patients (33%) presented some abnormalities in CSF biomarkers. Specifically, isolated low  $A\beta_{1-42}$  (A+/T-/N- profile) was detected in four patients with presenile bvFTD and in three with late-onset bvFTD. Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> was decreased and T-tau was increased (A+/T-/N+ profile) in one patient with definite diagnosis of late bvFTD due to a C9ORF72 mutation. T-tau and P-tau (A-/T+/N+ profile) were increased in one patient with presentle bvFTD and in three with late-onset bvFTD (one with definite diagnosis of lateonset by FTD due to a C9ORF72 mutation). P-tau was increased (A-/T+/N- profile) in four patients with presenile bvFTD, and T-tau was increased (A-/T-/N+ profile) in two patients with late-onset bvFTD and in four patients with presentle bvFTD

Table 2 Imaging data

Variables	Presenile bvFTD (onset ≤65 years)	Late-onset bvFTD (onset >65 years)	Crude model	Model adjusted for age at lumbar
			p	puncture p
Hippocampal atrophy (MRI), n (%)	8 (25.8%)	12 (80%)	0.001	0.10
Mean Scheltens score = (Right + Left/2), median [min-max]	1 [0-3]	3 [0-4]	0.001	0.16
Lobar frontotemporal atrophy (MRI), N (%)	23 (59%)	8 (47.1%)	0.41	0.21
Pathological DaTSCAN, N (%)	4 (30.8%)	5 (83.3%)	0.05	0.72
Frontotemporal hypometabolism/perfusion	25 (78.1%)	13 (81.2%)	0.8	0.18
([18F] FDG-PET/99mTc-HMPAO-SPECT), N (%)				

bvFTD, behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia; [<sup>18</sup>F] FDG-PET, Fluorine-18 Fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; std, standard deviation; 99mTc-HMPAO-SPECT, technetium-99 m hexamethylpropylene amine oxime single photon emission computed tomography.

301

302

303

305

306

307

308

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

331

332

333

334

335

337

338

339

340

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

(two with definite presentile by FTD due to *GRN* and *C90RF72* mutations) (data not shown).

The results of T-tau, NFL, and GFAP quantification in plasma samples were available for 26 patients (39%; 14 in the present and 12 in the late-onset bvFTD group).

Plasma NFL and GFAP concentrations increased with age, and were significantly higher in patients with presenile and late-onset bvFTD than in healthy controls with matched median age at blood sampling (Table 4). Compared with healthy controls, plasma T-tau concentration was higher in the presenile bvFTD but not in the late-onset bvFTD group (Table 4). No significant difference was found in plasma NFL, GFAP, and T-tau concentration between bvFTD groups (Table 3).

#### DISCUSSION

Here, we presented and compared clinical, radiological, and biological data of patients with presenile and late-onset bvFTD, by focusing particularly on CSF and plasma biomarkers. For the definition of presenile and late-onset bvFTD, we chose an age cut-off of 65 years, in agreement with most literature data [4]. BvFTD is a rare disease, and the late-onset variant is even rarer. Therefore, a strength of our study is the inclusion of clinically well-characterized patients and the measurements of CSF and plasma biomarkers in most of them. We confirmed that hippocampal atrophy is more frequent in the late-onset group and also found a tendency towards more episodic memory alterations in this group of patients. The other clinical and radiological parameters were not different between groups. Previous anatomopathological series [4] reported comparable frequency of frontal and temporal atrophy in patients with presenile and late-onset bvFTD, although atrophy was more severe in the presentle group. In our series, <sup>18</sup>F-FDG-PET and 99mTc-HMPAO- SPECT were more sensitive than cerebral MRI in detecting cortical focal involvement, without differences between presenile and late-onset bvFTD; however, these techniques did not allow quantifying and comparing the cortical atrophy severity in the two groups. Moreover, the clinical criteria used for the diagnosis of bvFTD in our study might not have allowed including patients with more atypical late-onset disease [20, 21]. Nevertheless, the percentage of patients with late-onset bvFTD in our series (about 30%) is comparable to what reported in the literature [21].

Comparison of the CSF and plasma biomarkers did not highlight any evidence of more aggressive disease in the presentle or late-onset byFTD group. Indeed, plasma NFL concentration was comparable between presenile and late-onset patients and was higher than in age-matched controls in both bvFTD groups. Plasma T-tau was higher than in age-matched controls only in the presenile bvFTD group and was not significantly different between bvFTD groups. Conversely, CSF T-tau level was within the normal limit and not different between byFTD groups. This is different from other neurodegenerative diseases, for instance AD, where CSF T-tau and P-tau levels are much higher in the early-onset form than in the classical late-onset form [22, 23, 24]. Unfortunately, the available data did not allow comparing disease progression in the two bvFTD groups (missing information). Previous anatomopathological studies showed a comparable or slightly shorter disease duration (from onset to death) in patients with late-onset bvFTD compared with those with the presenile variant [4, 5].

340

350

351

354

355

356

357

361

362

363

364

366

367

368

369

371

372

373

374

375

377

378

379

380

381

383

385

386

387

390

391

392

395

396

397

398

399

400

The glial marker GFAP was strongly increased in both bvFTD groups compared with controls. Although the number of patients with data on plasma biomarkers was low, these results are in line with some recent studies showing that CSF GFAP concentration is increased in patients with neurodegenerative diseases, particularly FTD. The reasons of the increase of this glial marker remain elusive [25, 26].

The mean values of the CSF biomarkers of AD (Aβ<sub>1-42</sub>, T-tau, and P-tau) were normal and not different between bvFTD groups, suggesting that the presence of hippocampal atrophy and episodic memory problems might not be explained by coexistent AD. The increased frequency and severity of hippocampal atrophy in the late-onset group could simply be due to age. Indeed, the differences between presenile and late-onset bvFTD were no longer significant after adjustment for age. However, according to our criteria, hippocampal atrophy was considered as present only when severe (Scheltens score >2), and it does not seem likely that its severity is only age-related [27]. Alternatively, hippocampal atrophy could be part of bvFTD pathological process and linked to hippocampal sclerosis, as suggested also by anatomopathological series showing that hippocampal sclerosis is present in FTD, affects all anatomopathological subtypes, and is significantly more common in late-onset cases [5]. A future study in which patients with bvFTD are compared with age-

Table 3 CSF and plasma biomarkers data

CSF	Presenile bvFTD (onset ≤ 65 years) (N = 44)	Late-onset bvFTD (onset > 65 years) (N = 22)	Crude model p	Model adjusted for age at lumbar puncture
Aβ1-42 (pg/mL) (nv >700 pg/mL), mean (std)	1026.1 (243.1)	995.2 (323.9)	0.66	0.28
T-tau (pg/mL) (nv <400 pg/mL), median [min–max] P-tau (pg/mL) (nv <60 pg/mL), median [min–max]	252.5 [80–620] 41 [19–78]	226.33 [104.89–827.56] 39 [15–88]	0.29 0.73	0.30 0.44
IATI (nv >0.8), mean (std)	1.9 (0.6)	1.8 (0.7)	0.35	0.31
Plasma (multiplex assay)	Presenile bvFTD (onset $\leq$ 65 years) (N = 14)	Late onset bvFTD (onset > 65 years) (N = 12)	Crude model p	Model adjusted for age at lumbar puncture
NFL (pg/mL), median [min–max]	24.99 [8.25-112.59]	30.88 [9.76-75.29]	0.87	0.54
Tau (pg/mL), median [min-max]	0.53 [0.31-1.03]	0.38 [0.20-1.27]	0.45	0.31
GFAP (pg/ml), median [min-max]	176.6 [72.4–460.3]	212.27 [86.86–612.77]	0.27	0.24

bvFTD, behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia; IATI, Innotest Amyloid Tau Index; nv, normal value; std, standard deviation; NFL, neurofilament light chain; GFAP, glial fibrillary acid protein.

Table 4
Plasma NFL, tau, and GFAP in controls and in patients with presentle and late-onset by FTD

Variable	Controls (N = 19)	Presenile bvFTD (N = 14)	p	Controls (N = 18)	Late-onset bvFTD (N = 12)	p
Age at blood sampling (y), median [min-max]	60 [59–61]	62 [41–69]	0.09	72 [68–73]	71.5 [66–80]	0.9
NFL (pg/mL), median [min-max]	9.36 [5.86–36.83]	24.99 [8.25–112.59]	0.0003	11.1 [6.75–21.03]	30.88 [9.76–75.29]	<0.0001
Tau (pg/mL), median [min–max]	0.39 [0.15–2.45]	0.53 [0.31–1.03]	0.02	0.36 [0.04–0.56]	0.38 [0.2–1.27]	0.23
GFAP (pg/ml), median [min-max]	41.64 [12.14–81.29]	176.6 [72.24–460.3]	<0.0001	72.94 [34.39–121.6]	212.27 [86.86–612.87]	<0.0001

bvFTD, behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia; std, standard deviation; NFL, neurofilament light chain; GFAP, glial fibrillary acid protein.

matched healthy controls might bring some insights into this issue.

The lack of anatomopathological data, the possible disease heterogeneity, the absence of quantitative assessment of frontotemporal atrophy, and the relatively small number of patients are some limits of this study, and might explain the absence of differences between groups.

In conclusion, our findings might contribute to better understand bvFTD physiopathology and facilitate the diagnosis of late-onset forms. We confirmed that clinical and radiological hippocampal alterations are an important feature of bvFTD, and they might be more frequent in the late-onset form. We cannot rule out a role of aging to explain this finding, whereas we excluded the role of co-existent AD pathology in late-onset bvFTD. Indeed, although the clinical presentation could be confusing, CSF and plasma biomarker quantification should allow the reliably differentiation between late-onset bvFTD and AD [28]. Moreover, unlike T-tau, other biomarkers of neurodegeneration, such as NFL and GFAP, seem to

be increased in bvFTD compared with controls, but without any biological evidence of a more aggressive neurodegeneration in presentle compared with late-onset bvFTD.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We would like to thank all patients who participated this study and their families. We also thank Mrs. Diana Ban for help in selecting controls for plasma biomarkers.

Authors' disclosures available online (https://www.j-alz.com/manuscript-disclosures/19-0378r2).

#### REFERENCES

[1] Rascovsky K, Hodges JR, Knopman D, Mendez MF, Kramer JH, Neuhaus J, van Swieten JC, Seelaar H, Dopper EGP, Onyike CU, Hillis AE, Josephs KA, Boeve BF, Kertesz A, Seeley WW, Rankin KP, Johnson JK, Gorno-Tempini M-L, Rosen H, Prioleau-Latham CE, Lee A, Kipps CM, Lillo P, Piguet O, Rohrer JD, Rossor MN, Warren JD, Fox NC, Galasko D, Salmon DP, Black SE, Mesulam M, Weintraub

443

444

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

492

403

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

- S, Dickerson BC, Diehl-Schmid J, Pasquier F, Deramecourt V, Lebert F, Pijnenburg Y, Chow TW, Manes F, Grafman J, Cappa SF, Freedman M, Grossman M, Miller BL (2011) Sensitivity of revised diagnostic criteria for the behavioural variant of frontotemporal dementia. *Brain* 134, 2456–2477.
- [2] Shinagawa S, Toyota Y, Ishikawa T, Fukuhara R, Hokoishi K, Komori K, Tanimukai S, Ikeda M (2008) Cognitive function and psychiatric symptoms in early- and late-onset frontotemporal dementia. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* 25, 439–444.
- [3] Borroni B, Agosti C, Bellelli G, Padovani A (2008) Is earlyonset clinically different from late-onset frontotemporal dementia? Eur J Neurol 15, 1412–1415.
- [4] Baborie A, Griffiths TD, Jaros E, Momeni P, McKeith IG, Burn DJ, Keir G, Larner AJ, Mann DM, Perry R (2012) Frontotemporal dementia in elderly individuals. *Arch Neurol* 69, 1052–1060.
- [5] Baborie A, Griffiths TD, Jaros E, McKeith IG, Burn DJ, Richardson A, Ferrari R, Moreno J, Momeni P, Duplessis D, Pal P, Rollinson S, Pickering-Brown S, Thompson JC, Neary D, Snowden JS, Perry R, Mann DMA (2011) Pathological correlates of frontotemporal lobar degeneration in the elderly. *Acta Neuropathol (Berl)* 121, 365–371.
- [6] Baborie A, Griffiths TD, Jaros E, Momeni P, McKeith IG, Burn DJ, Keir G, Larner AJ, Mann DM, Perry R (2013) Elderly individuals with FTLD. JAMA Neurol 70, 412–413.
- [7] Ye BS, Choi SH, Han S-H, Kim S, Yang D-W, Park KH, Han HJ, Youn YC, Choi B-O, Kim SH, Woo S-Y, Na DL, Kim E-J (2015) Clinical and neuropsychological comparisons of early-onset versus late-onset frontotemporal dementia: A CREDOS FTD Study. J Alzheimers Dis 45, 599-608.
- [8] Meeter LH, Dopper EG, Jiskoot LC, Sanchez-Valle R, Graff C, Benussi L, Ghidoni R, Pijnenburg YA, Borroni B, Galimberti D, Laforce RJ, Masellis M, Vandenberghe R, Ber IL, Otto M, van Minkelen R, Papma JM, Rombouts SA, Balasa M, Öijerstedt L, Jelic V, Dick KM, Cash DM, Harding SR, Jorge Cardoso M, Ourselin S, Rossor MN, Padovani A, Scarpini E, Fenoglio C, Tartaglia MC, Lamari F, Barro C, Kuhle J, Rohrer JD, Teunissen CE, van Swieten JC (2016) Neurofilament light chain: A biomarker for genetic frontotemporal dementia. Ann Clin Transl Neurol 3, 623–636.
- [9] Meeter LHH, Vijverberg EG, Del Campo M, Rozemuller AJM, Donker Kaat L, de Jong FJ, van der Flier WM, Teunissen CE, van Swieten JC, Pijnenburg YAL (2018) Clinical value of neurofilament and phospho-tau/tau ratio in the frontotemporal dementia spectrum. *Neurology* 90, e1231–e1239.
- [10] Rohrer JD, Woollacott IOC, Dick KM, Brotherhood E, Gordon E, Fellows A, Toombs J, Druyeh R, Cardoso MJ, Ourselin S, Nicholas JM, Norgren N, Mead S, Andreasson U, Blennow K, Schott JM, Fox NC, Warren JD, Zetterberg H (2016) Serum neurofilament light chain protein is a measure of disease intensity in frontotemporal dementia. *Neurology* 87, 1329–1336.
- [11] Fazekas F, Chawluk JB, Alavi A, Hurtig HI, Zimmerman RA (1987) MR signal abnormalities at 1.5 T in Alzheimer's dementia and normal aging. AJR Am J Roentgenol 149, 351–356.
- [12] Schmidt R, Fazekas F, Kleinert G, Offenbacher H, Gindl K, Payer F, Freidl W, Niederkorn K, Lechner H (1992) Magnetic resonance imaging signal hyperintensities in the deep and subcortical white matter. A comparative study between stroke patients and normal volunteers. Arch Neurol 49, 825–827.

[13] Grober E, Buschke H, Crystal H, Bang S, Dresner R (1988) Screening for dementia by memory testing. *Neurology* 38, 900–903.

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

539

540

541

542

543

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

- [14] Teunissen CE, Verwey NA, Kester MI, van Uffelen K, Blankenstein MA (2010) Standardization of assay procedures for analysis of the CSF biomarkers amyloid β((1-42)), tau, and phosphorylated tau in Alzheimer's disease: Report of an international workshop. *Int J Alzheimers Dis* 2010, 635053.
- [15] Hulstaert F, Blennow K, Ivanoiu A, Schoonderwaldt HC, Riemenschneider M, De Deyn PP, Bancher C, Cras P, Wiltfang J, Mehta PD, Iqbal K, Pottel H, Vanmechelen E, Vanderstichele H (1999) Improved discrimination of AD patients using beta-amyloid(1-42) and tau levels in CSF. Neurology 52, 1555–1562.
- [16] Lehmann S, Schraen S, Quadrio I, Paquet C, Bombois S, Delaby C, Dorey A, Dumurgier J, Hirtz C, Krolak-Salmon P, Laplanche J-L, Moreaud O, Peoc'h K, Rouaud O, Sablonnière B, Thouvenot E, Touchon J, Vercruysse O, Hugon J, Gabelle A, Pasquier F, Perret-Liaudet A (2014) Impact of harmonization of collection tubes on Alzheimer's disease diagnosis. Alzheimers Dement 10, S390-S394.e2.
- [17] Scheltens P, Leys D, Barkhof F, Huglo D, Weinstein HC, Vermersch P, Kuiper M, Steinling M, Wolters EC, Valk J (1992) Atrophy of medial temporal lobes on MRI in "probable" Alzheimer's disease and normal ageing: Diagnostic value and neuropsychological correlates. *J Neurol Neuro*surg Psychiatry 55, 967–972.
- [18] Koedam ELGE, Lehmann M, van der Flier WM, Scheltens P, Pijnenburg YAL, Fox N, Barkhof F, Wattjes MP (2011) Visual assessment of posterior atrophy development of a MRI rating scale. *Eur Radiol* 21, 2618–2625.
- [19] Jack CR, Bennett DA, Blennow K, Carrillo MC, Feldman HH, Frisoni GB, Hampel H, Jagust WJ, Johnson KA, Knopman DS, Petersen RC, Scheltens P, Sperling RA, Dubois B (2016) A/T/N: An unbiased descriptive classification scheme for Alzheimer disease biomarkers. *Neurology* 87, 539–547.
- [20] Balasa M, Gelpi E, Martin I, Antonell A, Rey M, Grau-Rivera O, Molinuevo J, Sánchez-Valle R, Lladó A, Catalan collaborative Study Group for FTLD (2015) Diagnostic accuracy of FTDC behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia criteria in a clinicopathological cohort. Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol 41, 882-892.
- [21] Harris JM, Gall C, Thompson JC, Richardson AMT, Neary D, du Plessis D, Pal P, Mann DMA, Snowden JS, Jones M (2013) Sensitivity and specificity of FTDC criteria for behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia. *Neurology* 80, 1881–1887.
- [22] Dumurgier J, Gabelle A, Vercruysse O, Bombois S, Laplanche J-L, Peoc'h K, Schraen S, Sablonnière B, Pasquier F, Touchon J, Lehmann S, Hugon J, Paquet C (2013) Exacerbated CSF abnormalities in younger patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Dis* 54, 486–491.
- [23] Cho H, Jeon S, Kang SJ, Lee J-M, Lee J-H, Kim GH, Shin JS, Kim CH, Noh Y, Im K, Kim ST, Chin J, Seo SW, Na DL (2013) Longitudinal changes of cortical thickness in early-versus late-onset Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* 34, 1921.e9-1921.e15.
- 24] Wallon D, Rousseau S, Rovelet-Lecrux A, Quillard-Muraine M, Guyant-Maréchal L, Martinaud O, Pariente J, Puel M, Rollin-Sillaire A, Pasquier F, Le Ber I, Sarazin M, Croisile B, Boutoleau-Bretonnière C, Thomas-Antérion C, Paquet C, Moreaud O, Gabelle A, Sellal F, Sauvée

- M, Laquerrière A, Duyckaerts C, Delisle M-B, Streichenberger N, Lannes B, Frebourg T, Hannequin D, Campion D, collaborators of GMAJ project (2012) The French series of autosomal dominant early onset Alzheimer's disease cases: Mutation spectrum and cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers. *J Alzheimers Dis* 30, 847–856.
- [25] Ishiki A, Kamada M, Kawamura Y, Terao C, Shimoda F, Tomita N, Arai H, Furukawa K (2016) Glial fibrillar acidic protein in the cerebrospinal fluid of Alzheimer's disease, dementia with Lewy bodies, and frontotemporal lobar degeneration. J Neurochem 136, 258–261.
- [26] Olsson B, Lautner R, Andreasson U, Öhrfelt A, Portelius E, Bjerke M, Hölttä M, Rosén C, Olsson C, Strobel G, Wu E,

- Dakin K, Petzold M, Blennow K, Zetterberg H (2016) CSF and blood biomarkers for the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Neurol* **15**, 673–684.
- [27] Desgranges B, Kalpouzos G, Eustache F (2008) [Cerebral imaging in healthy aging: Contrast with Alzheimer disease]. *Rev Neurol (Paris)* 164 Suppl 3, S102-107.
- [28] Marelli C, Gutierrez L-A, Menjot de Champfleur N, Charroud C, De Verbizier D, Touchon J, Douillet P, Berr C, Lehmann S, Gabelle A (2015) Late-onset behavioral variant of frontotemporal lobar degeneration versus Alzheimer's disease: Interest of cerebrospinal fluid biomarker ratios. Alzheimers Dement (Amst) 1, 371–379.