

Sarcomas in patients over 90: Natural history and treatment-A nationwide study over 6 years

Clémence Basse, Antoine Italiano, Nicolas Penel, Olivier Mir, Claire Chemin, Maud Toulmonde, Florence Duffaud, Axel Le Cesne, Christine Chevreau, Carlos Maynou, et al.

► To cite this version:

Clémence Basse, Antoine Italiano, Nicolas Penel, Olivier Mir, Claire Chemin, et al.. Sarcomas in patients over 90: Natural history and treatment-A nationwide study over 6 years. International Journal of Cancer, 2019, 145 (8), pp.2135-2143. 10.1002/ijc.32307. hal-02504786

HAL Id: hal-02504786 https://hal.science/hal-02504786v1

Submitted on 19 Sep 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





Sarcomas in patients over 90: Natural history and treatment—A nationwide study over 6 years

Clémence Basse¹, Antoine Italiano ², Nicolas Penel³, Olivier Mir⁴, Claire Chemin¹, Maud Toulmonde², Florence Duffaud⁵, Axel Le Cesne⁶, Christine Chevreau⁷, Carlos Maynou³, Philippe Anract⁸, François Gouin⁹, Maria Rios¹⁰, Nelly Firmin¹¹, Jean-Emmanuel Kurtz¹², Pierre Kerbrat¹³, Sophie Piperno-Neumann¹⁴, François Bertucci¹⁵, Philippe Rosset¹⁶, Nicolas Isambert¹⁷, Emmanuelle Bompas¹⁸, Pascale Dubray-Longeras¹⁹, Fabrice Fiorenza²⁰, Christine Le Maignan²¹, Loïc Chaigneau²², Antoine Thyss²³, Olivier Bouché²⁴, Jean-Christophe Eymard²⁴, Corinne Delcambre Lair²⁵, Julien Adam⁵, Marie Karanian¹, Céleste Lebbé²¹, Aurélien Dupré¹, Pierre Meeus¹, Mehdi Brahmi¹, Armelle Dufresne¹, Françoise Ducimetière¹, Isabelle Ray-Coquard¹ and Jean-Yves Blay ¹⁰

¹Centre Léon Bérard, University Claude Bernard Lyon I, Lyon, France

²Department of Medical Oncology, Institut Bergonié, Bordeaux, France

³Department of Medical Oncology, Centre Oscar Lambret, CHRU, Lille, France

⁴Department of Orthopedic and Traumatology Surgery, University Hospital, Lille, France

- ⁵Department of Medical Oncology, Timone University Hospital, Marseille, France
- ⁶Department of Medicine and Surgery, Gustave Roussy Cancer Campus, Paris, France
- ⁷Medical Oncology, University Institute of Cancerology, Toulouse, France
- ⁸Orthopaedic Department, Cochin University Hospital, Paris, France
- ⁹Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Nantes University Hospital, Nantes, France
- ¹⁰Department of Oncology, Institut de Cancérologie de Lorraine, Vandoeuvre-Lès-Nancy, France
- ¹¹Department of Medicine, Val d'Aurelle Institute, Montpellier, France
- ¹²Medical Oncology & Orthopedy Department, Strasbourg University Hospital, Rennes, France
- ¹³Centre Eugène Marquis, Medical Oncology, Rennes, France
- ¹⁴Department of Medical Oncology, Institut Curie, Paris, France
- ¹⁵Département of Medical Oncology, Institut Paoli-Calmettes, Marseille, France
- ¹⁶Department of Orthopedic and Traumatology Surgery, Tours University Hospital, Tours, France
- ¹⁷Centre Georges-Francois Leclerc, Dijon, France
- ¹⁸Medical Oncology Department, René Gauducheau, Saint-Herblain, France
- ¹⁹Centre Jean Perrin, Clermont-Ferrand, France
- ²⁰Department of Orthopedics Surgery and Traumatology, Limoges University Hospital, Limoges, France
- ²¹Department of Dermatology and INSERM Unité 976, Saint Louis University Hospital, Paris, France
- ²²Department of Medical Oncology, Jean Minjoz University Hospital, Besançon, France
- ²³Department of Medical Oncology, Centre Antoine Lacassagne, Nice, France
- ²⁴Institut Jean Godinot & Reims University Hospital, Reims, France
- ²⁵Department of Medicine, François-Baclesse Institute, Caen, France

Key words: sarcomas, registry, survival, relapse, progression, oncogeriatry, elderly patients, NETSARC

Abbreviations: LMS: leiomyosarcomas; MDT: multidisciplinary team; MFS: myxofibrosarcomas; PFS: progression-free survival; STS: soft tissue sarcomas; UPS: undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcomas

Conflict of interests: Dr JEK reports travel support and honoraria from Pharmamar, travel support and advisory board for Astra-Zeneca, travel support and advisory board for Tesaro, and advisory board for Clovis; Dr OM has acted as consultant for Amgen, Astra-Zeneca, Bayer, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Blueprint medicines, Eli-Lilly, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, Janssen, Servier, and Vifor Pharma. All other co-authors report no competing interests or conflict of interests.

Grant sponsor: Agence Nationale de la Recherche (LabEx DevweCAN); Grant number: ANR-10-LABX-0061; Grant sponsor: Association DAM's; Grant sponsor: Ensemble contre le GIST; Grant sponsor: European Union project; Grant number: EURACAN 739521;

Grant sponsor: Fondation ARC pour la Recherche sur le Cancer; Grant sponsor: FP7 Health (EuroSARC); Grant number: FP7-278742; Grant sponsor: Infosarcome; Grant sponsor: Institut National Du Cancer (NetSARC, RREPS, InterSARC); Grant numbers: LYRICAN INCa_INSERM_DGOS_12563, LYric DGOS-INCa-4664; Grant sponsor: Ligue de l'Ain contre le Cancer DOI: 10.1002/ijc.32307

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. **History:** Received 19 Oct 2018; Accepted 11 Mar 2019; Online 28 Mar 2019.

Correspondence to: Jean-Yves Blay, Department of Medical Oncology, Centre Léon Bérard, 28 Rue Laennec, 69008 Lyon, France, Tel.: +04-78-78-27-57, E-mail: jean-yves.blay@lyon.unicancer.fr

Soft tissue sarcomas (STS) are rare tumors accounting for less than 1% of human cancers. While the highest incidence of sarcomas is observed in elderly, this population is often excluded or poorly represented in clinical trials. The present study reports on clinicopathological presentation, and outcome of sarcoma patients over 90 recorded in the Netsarc.org French national database. NETSARC (netsarc.org) is a network of 26 reference sarcoma centers with specialized multidisciplinary tumor board (MDTB), funded by the French National Cancer Institute to improve the outcome of sarcoma patients. Since 2010, presentation to an MDTB, second pathological review, and collection of sarcoma patient characteristics and follow-up are collected in a database Information of patients registered from January 1, 2010, to December 31, 2016, in NETSARC were collected, analyzed and compared to the younger population. Patients with sarcomas aged >90 have almost exclusively sarcomas with complex genomics (92.0% vs. 66.3%), are less frequently metastatic (5.3% vs. 14.7%) at diagnosis, have more often superficial tumors (39.8% vs. 14.7%), as well as limbs and head and neck sites (75.2% vs. 38.7%) (all p < 0.001). Optimal diagnostic procedures and surgery were less frequently performed in patients over 90 (p < 0.001). These patients were less frequently operated in NETSARC centers, as compared to those of younger age groups including aged 80-90. However, local relapse-free survival, metastatic relapse-free survival and relapse-free survival were not significantly different from those of younger patients, in the whole cohort, as well as in the subgroup of operated patients. As expected overall survival was worse in patients over 90 (p < 0.001). Patients over 90 who were not operated had worse overall survival than younger patients (9.9 vs. 27.3 months, p < 0.001). Patients with STS diagnosed after 90 have distinct clinicopathological features. but comparable relapse-free survival, unless clinical practice guidelines recommendations are not applied. Standard management should be proposed to these patients if oncogeriatric status allows.

What's new?

While the highest incidence of soft-tissue sarcoma (STS) is observed in the elderly, this population is often excluded or poorly represented in clinical trials. Therefore, little is known about the characteristics, treatment, and outcomes of STS in these patients. In this study, the authors analyzed numerous clinical characteristics of patients with sarcoma diagnosed at age 91 or older. They conclude that standard STS management and clinical practice guidelines should be followed for these patients if possible.

Introduction

Soft tissue sarcomas (STS) are rare tumors accounting for less than 1% of human cancers, with a yearly incidence close to 5.9/100,000.^{1–5} Death due to tumor is frequent in this group of diseases.⁵ While the highest incidence of sarcomas is observed in patients aged between 75 and 84 years with a rate of 16%,^{1,5} elderly patients are often excluded or very poorly represented in clinical trials.⁶ Therefore, little is known about characteristics, treatment and outcomes concerning patients aged over 65, in particular for those over 90 years with STS.^{6,7}

Sarcomas represent a heterogeneous group with over 80 different pathological subtypes.⁸ Sarcoma with "simple genomics" include those with specific translocations, well-differentiated liposarcomas with 12q amplicon and GIST with tyrosine kinase mutation accounting for 12–20% of cases each; those with "complex genomic" profiles (e.g., undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcomas [UPS], leiomyosarcomas [LMS] and myxofibrosarcomas [MFS]) account for 50% of all STS.^{9–11}

The objective of this work was to analyze the presentation and outcome of sarcoma patients over 90 years, a group of patients unreported in the literature, with the aim of providing data which could guide the management of the general elderly patient population with sarcomas.

Patients and Methods NETSARC network

NETSARC is the French reference network for the management of soft tissue and visceral sarcomas, collecting clinical, centrally reviewed pathology, therapeutics and outcome of all sarcoma patients in 26 centers. The registry was approved in October 2009 by the INCa (Institut National du Cancer) and the competent authorities (CNIL) in 2010.⁵ These databases have been approved by the French Ethics Committee and Agency in charge of noninterventional trials: Comité consultatif sur le traitement de l'information en matière de recherche dans le domaine de la Santé (CCTIRS: number of approval 09.594) and Commission Nationale Informatique et Liberté (CNIL: number of approval 909,510). In the present work, we used information of patients registered from January 1, 2010, to December 2016. Mean follow-up of this series is 17 months. Patient's data (gender, histology, grade, depth, size, localization, treatment, relapse and survival) were collected from the NETSARC database (https://netsarc.org).

Statistical analysis

Collected data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 20 (IBM, Paris, France). Chi-square and Fisher exact tests were

Table 1. Patient characteristics

	Age at diagnosis		
	Diagnosis at ≤90 n (%) n = 12,722 (99.4%)	Diagnosis at >90 n (%) n = 113 (0.6%)	p
Age at diagnosis (years)			
Median	62	92	
Range	0–90	91-101	
Sex			NS ¹
Female	6,283 (49·4%)	62 (54.9%)	
Male	6,439 (50.6%)	51 (45.1%)	
Localization at diagnosis			
Trunk	6,706 (52.7%)	25 (22·1%)	≤0.001 ¹
Limb	4,218 (33·2%)	67 (59·3%)	
Superior limb	1,092 (8.6%)	22 (19.5%)	
Inferior limb	3,126 (2.6%)	45 <i>(</i> 3·8% <i>)</i>	
Head & neck	710 (5.5%)	18 (15.9%)	
Unknown	1,088 (8.6%)	3 (2.7%)	
Histology			
Complex genomics	8,431 (66·3%)	104 <i>(92</i> ·0%)	≤0.001 ¹
UPS	1,569 (12·3%)	40 (35·4%)	
LMS	2,762 (21.7%)	16 (14·2%)	
Myxofibrosarcoma	804 (6.3%)	14 (12·4%)	
Angiosarcoma	666 (5·2%)	12 (10.6%)	
DDLPS	1,506 (11.8%)	11 (9.7%)	
Undifferentiated sarcoma	768 (6.0%)	10 (8.8%)	
Rhabdomyosarcoma	98 (0.7%)	1 (0.9%)	
Fibrosarcoma	44 (0.3%)	0 (0%)	
Liposarcoma pleomorphic	174 (1.4%)	0 (0%)	
Osteosarcoma	40 (0%)	0 (0%)	
GIST	1,490 (11.7%)	6 (5·3%)	
Translocation sarcoma	2,801 (22.0%)	3 (2.7%)	
Ewing	769 (6.0%)	1 (0.9%)	
Myxoid LPS	484 (3.8%)	1 (0.9%)	
Synovial sarcoma	635 (5.0%)	1 (0.9%)	
ESS	273 (2·1%)	0 (0%)	
Epithelioid hemangioendothelioma	100 (0.9%)	0 (0%)	
EMC	89 (0.7%)	0 (0%)	
SFT	451 (3·5%)	0 (0%)	
Grade			
1	1,086 (8.5%)	6 (5·3%)	NS ¹
2	3,133 (24.6%)	24 (2·2%)	
3	3,800 (29.9%)	39 (34.5%)	
Unknown	4,703 (36·9%)	44 (38·9%)	
Depth			<0.001 ¹
Superficial	1873 (14.7%)	45 (39.8%)	
Deep	8,636 (67.9%)	50 (44.2%)	
Superficial + deep	806 (6.3%)	13 (11.5%)	
Unknown	1,407 (11.1%)	5 (4.4%)	1
Size			NS ¹
<50 mm	1,620 (12.7%)	18 (15.9%)	

(Continues)

Int. J. Cancer: **145**, 2135–2143 (2019) © 2019 The Authors. *International Journal of Cancer* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of UICC

Table 1. Patient characteristics (Continued)

	Age at diagnosis		
	Diagnosis at ≤90 n (%) n = 12,722 (99.4%)	Diagnosis at >90 n (%) n = 113 (0.6%)	p
≥50 mm	3,924 (30.8%)	29 (25.7%)	
Unknown	7,178 (56.4%)	66 (58.4%)	
Previous history of radiotherapy			NS ¹
No	12,388 (97.7%)	110 (97.3%)	
Yes	294 (2·3%)	3 (2.7%)	
Previous history of cancer			NS ¹
No	8,563 (67.3%)	68 (60.2%)	
Yes	1,741 (13.7%)	20 (17.7%)	
Unknown	2,418 (19.0%)	25 (22.1%)	
Known genetic predisposition			NS ¹
No	10,181 (80.0%)	88 (77.9%)	
Yes	123 (1.0%)	0 (0%)	
Unknown	2,418 (19.0%)	25 (2.1%)	
Metastatic at diagnosis			0.009 ¹
Yes	1865 (14.7%)	6 (5.3%)	
No	9,664 (76.0%)	89 (78.8%)	
Unknown	1,193 (9.4%)	18 (15·9%)	

Italics refer to molecular subtypes of sarcomas.

¹Chi² test.

performed to analyze the data sets of the different age groups. Survival was plotted according to the Kaplan–Meier method, compared to the log-rank test. Cox model was used for multivariate analysis of prognostic factors.

Results

Population

A total of 12,835 incident patients with sarcomas, with 113 (0.9%) patients aged >90 were registered in the national NETSARC sarcoma database between January 1, 2010, and December 31, 2016. Patients' characteristics are described in Table 1. Sarcomas with complex genomics were overrepresented in patients over 90 when compared to patients under 90 (92.0% *vs.* 66.3%, p < 0.001). Undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma (UPS), LMS and MFS were the most represented histological subtypes in patients over 90 (Table 1). Limb and head and neck sites, and superficial locations were overrepresented in patients over 90 ($p \le 0.001$). Male patients were overrepresented in patients aged >90 while representing close to 25% of French citizen aged >90.

(https://www.insee.fr/fr/statistiques/1892086?sommaire=19 12926), male patients represented 45% of the patients in this age group. Patients >90 were also less frequently metastatic at initial diagnosis (p = 0.009), even when considering only patients who had a CT scan (data not shown). Prior history of cancers and radiotherapy were no more frequent in patients aged over 90 *vs.* younger patients (Table 1). Genetic predisposition was observed in none of the 113 patients over 90 *vs.* 123 reported in the remaining population.

Patient management

The adherence to ESMO clinical practice guidelines (2,3) was then analyzed (Table 2). While biopsy rate was similar, patients aged over 90 had less frequently appropriate pretreatment imaging than patients under 90 (56.6% *vs.* 75.1%, $p \le 0.001$). This was true also when comparing patients aged >90 to the group of 60–80 or 80–90 (Table 3).

Surgery was less frequently performed in patients over 90 years (60.2% *vs.* 78.3%, $p \le 0.001$); they also had less frequently neoadjuvant treatment. This did not result in significant differences in terms of resection margins at first or at second surgery (Table 2). Resection rates (Table 2) and final result of the surgical removal (Table 3) were also not significantly different when comparing only patients for whom the R criterion was documented.

Patients over 90 as compared to other age groups

Table 3 presents an analytic description of histologies, clinical presentations and management within different age subgroups. As expected, histological subtypes were extremely different across age groups, in particular across the extremes. It is interesting to note that differences were also observed in the three elder groups, on histologies, depth, grade and metastasis. As compared to the 80–90 years group, histotypes, depth, metastasis at diagnosis and gender of patient with sarcoma aged >90 were different (Table 3). Regarding patient management, compliance to CPGs was the lowest in patients aged >90, together with the final quality of surgery (lowest R0 rate, highest R2 rate), with fewer patients operated in reference centers. Overall a significant trend

	Age at diagnosis		
	Diagnosis at ≤90 n (%) n = 12,712	Diagnosis at >90 n (%) n = 113	p
Disease management		·	
Biopsy performed befor	e surgery		NS^1
Yes	8,213 (64.6%)	72 (63.7%)	
No	3,552 (27.9%)	32 (28.3%)	
Unknown	957 (7.5%)	9 (8.0%)	
Imaging performed befo	ore surgery		≤0.001 ¹
Yes	9,552 (75.1%)	64 (56.6%)	
No	700 (5.5%)	14 (12.4%)	
Unknown	2,470 (19.4%)	35 (31.0%)	
Neoadjuvant treatment	before surgery		0.045 ¹
Yes	835/3565 (23·4%)	3/34 (8.8%)	
No	2730/3565 (76·6%)	31/34 (91·2%)	
Total	3,565	34	
Surgery performed			≤0.001 ¹
Yes	9,988 (78.5%)	68 (60·2%)	
No	1,014 (8.0%)	23 (20.4%)	
Unknown	1,720 (13.5%)	22 (19·5%)	
Excision margins of firs	t surgery		0.09 ¹
RO	3,910 (30.7%)	26 (23%)	
R1	2,608 (20.5%)	20 (17.7%)	
R2	990 (7.8%)	9 (8%)	
Unknown	5,214 (41.0%)	58 (51·3%)	
Reexcision after first su	rgery		NS^1
No	11,380 (89.5%)	105 (92.9%)	
Yes	1,342 (10.5%)	8 (7.1%)	
Excision margins at sec	ond surgery		NS^1
RO	993 (7.8%)	5 (4.4%)	
R1	213 (1.7%)	2 (1.8%)	
R2	39 (0.3%)	0	
Unknown	97/1342	1/8	

Table 2. Disease management in patients aged above and under 90

of decrease of compliance to guidelines, management in reference centers and final quality of surgery over age groups was observed, from the children and adolescent/young adults to the

Relapse and survival

older age group (Table 3).

¹Chi-square or Fisher's exact test.

Local progression-free survival (PFS), metastatic PFS and PFS were not significantly different in the two populations (Figs. 1a-1c). Relapse-free survival of patients aged over 90 vs. those aged 90 or under was also similar when the analysis was conducted only with operated patients (Fig. 1*d*). No difference was observed either for local relapse-free survival not for metastatic relapse-free survival for operated patients (data not

shown). As expected, overall survival was worse in patients >90 (Fig. 1*e*). Importantly, the overall survival of patients who were not operated was significantly worse in patients aged over 90 *vs.* younger patients (9.9 *vs.* 27.1 months, p < 0.001) with no patient alive at 3 years in the older group *vs.* 40% in the younger one (Fig. 1*f*). Using Cox model, with grade, size, depth, site, gender, presentation to a multidisciplinary team (MDT) prior to treatment⁵ and surgery in expert center¹² and age >90 as tested variables, age >90 was not identified as an independent prognostic factor for either relapse-free survival or PFS (data not shown).

Discussion

This analysis of a 6-year nationwide registry of incident sarcoma patients includes over 12,000 patients with 113 (0.9%) patients aged >90. It identifies for the first time-specific pathological and clinical characteristics of sarcomas diagnosed in patients over 90: these are almost exclusively sarcomas with complex genomics, more frequently superficial tumors, from limb sites or head and neck sites. Despite an obvious difference in life duration, sarcoma patients aged over 90 do not have a higher frequency of previous radiotherapy, previous cancers, and none genetic predisposing condition. Patients aged over 90 are also less frequently metastatic at initial presentation than the younger sarcoma patient population and relative to the sex ratio at this age in the French population, more frequently males. These clinicopathological and clinical specificities of sarcomas occurring at an older age are unexpected and had not been previously recognized to our knowledge. It is interesting to observe that these characteristics are not equally shared by the groups aged 60-80 or 80-90, but quite characteristic of this age group. Sarcomas occurring in higher age may result in more from accumulation of external oncogenic events over the lifetime; limb and head and neck sites may suggest exposure to the sun as risk factors to be tested. It is particularly notable that less than 3% of sarcoma in this population was translocation-related sarcomas.

Less adequate management is offered to patients with STS over 90, in particular regarding pretreatment imaging and surgery. Of note, oncogeriatric assessment¹³ is not part of the NETSARC data set, and it is likely that coexisting conditions have largely contributed to these differences with younger patients. However, when patients over 90 are treated with surgery according to clinical practice guidelines (within NETSARC, the ESMO guidelines are used as reference),^{2,3} relapse-free survival and PFS remain similar to that of the younger population in univariate and also multivariate analysis where classical prognostic factors are introduced.^{2,3,5} This points to the need not to undertreat patients, including those aged over 90, and to adopt the classical CPGs for sarcoma management in patients at all age when oncogeriatric status allows. As expected, the overall survival of sarcoma patients aged over 90 is shorter than that of younger patients. Median overall survival is 25 months in this population of patients over 90 (whose median age is 92), an outcome which

E I	
Ĕ	
E .	
Se l	
ē	
5	
و و	
p	
al	
\mathbf{N}	
đ	
L3	
e	
2	
-	
S	
ă	
, re	

management across age groups	
and	
characteristics	
patient	
Sarcoma	
e.	
Table	

.

.

.

	Age at diagnosis ('	% of the age groups wi	thin the series)				
Age	0-17 n = 367 (2.9%)	18–30 n = 772 (6.0%)	31-60 <i>n</i> = 4,451 (34.7%)	61–79 <i>n</i> = 5,789 (45.1%)	80-90 <i>n</i> = 1,343 (10.5%)	>90 <i>n</i> = 113 (0.6%)	p value ¹
Histology							
Complex genomics							
NPS	2 (0.5%)	23 (2.9%)	427 (9.6%)	774 (13.4%)	273 (20.3%)	40 (35.4%)	<0.001
LMS	7 (1.9%)	44 (5.7%)	1,038 (23.3%)	1,359 (23.5%)	314 (23.4%)	16 (14·2%)	¢0.001
Myxofibrosarcoma	2 (0.5%)	8 (1.0%)	214 (4.8%)	445 (7.7%)	135 (10.1%)	14 (12.4%)	<0.001
Angiosarcoma	8 (2.2%)	35 (4.5%)	194 (4.4%)	324 (5.6%)	105 (7.8%)	12 (10.6%)	<0.001
DDLPS	0 (0%)	10 (1.3%)	412 (9.3%)	892 (15.4%)	192 (14.3%)	11 (9.7%)	¢0.001
Undifferentiated sarcoma	11 (2.9%)	40 (5.2%)	245 (13.2%)	369 (6.4%)	103 (7.7%)	10 (8.8%)	0.002
Pleomorphic RMS	0 (0.0%)	6 (0.8%)	23 (0.7%)	32 (0.5%)	6 (0.4%)	1 (0.9%)	0.64
GIST	2 (0.5%)	20 (2.6%)	496 (11.1%)	859 (14.8%)	113 (8.4%)	6 (5.3%)	¢0.001
Translocation sarcoma							
Ewing	85 (23.2%)	94 (12.2%)	93 (2.1%)	34 (0.5%)	5 (0.4%)	1 (0.9%)	<0.001
Myxoid LPS	7 (1.9%)	61 (7.9%)	307 (6.8%)	99 (1.7%)	10 (0.7%)	1 (0.9%)	<0.001
Synovial sarcoma	39 (10.6%)	144 (18.6%)	322 (7.2%)	114 (1.9%)	16 (1.2%)	1 (0.9%)	<0.001
Other/not specified	203 (55.3%)	287 (37.2%)	1,080 (24.2%)	488 (8.4%)	71 (5.3%)	0 (%0) 0	<0.001
Gender							
Female	153 (41.7%)	362 (46.9%)	2,355 (52.9%)	2,759 (47.7%)	654 (48.7%)	62 (54.9%)	<0.001
Male	214 (58.3%)	410 (51.3%)	2096 (47.1%)	3,030 (52.3%)	689 (51.3%)	51 (45.1%)	
Grade							
1	9 (2.5%)	68 (8.8%)	513 (11.5%)	418 (7.2%)	78 (5.8%)	6 (5.3%)	<0.001
2	28 (7.6%)	130 (16.8%)	1,081 (24.3%)	1,541 (26.6%)	353 (26.3%)	24 (21.2%)	
c	136 (37.1%)	195 (25.3%)	1,219 (27.4%)	1,735 (30.0%)	515 (38.3%)	39 (34.5%)	
UNK/NA	194 (52.8%)	379 (49.1%)	1,638 (36.8%)	2095 (36.2%)	397 (29.6)	44 (38.9%)	
Depth							
Deep	176 (47.9%)	488 (63.2%)	3,523 (79.2%)	4,361 (75.3%)	894 (66.5%)	63 (55.7%)	<0.001
Superficial	15 (4.1%)	70 (9.1%)	543 (12.2%)	896 (15.5%)	349 (26.0%)	45 (39.8%)	
Unknown	176 (48.0%)	214 (27.7%)	385 (8.6%)	532 (9.2%)	240 (17.8%)	5 (4.4%)	
Size in mm (median)	69.1	72.7	70.2	75.5	69.7	8.0	0.002
Metastatic at diagnosis							
Yes	96 (26.1%)	155 (20.1%)	658 (14.7%)	831 (14.3%)	146 (10.8%)	6 (5.3%)	<0.001
No	233 (63.5%)	557 (72.2%)	3,406 (76.5%)	4,430 (76.5%)	1,038 (77.3%)	89 (78.8%)	
Unknown	38 (10.4%)	60 (7.8%)	387 (8.7%)	528 (9.1%)	159 (11.8%)	18 (15.9%)	
							(Continues)

Table 3. Sarcoma patient char	acteristics and manag	ement across age grou	ps (Continued)				
	Age at diagnosis (%	6 of the age groups wi	thin the series)				
Age	0-17 n = 367 (2.9%)	18–30 n = 772 (6.0%)	31-60 <i>n</i> = 4,451 (34.7%)	61-79 <i>n</i> = 5,789 (45.1%)	80-90 <i>n</i> = 1,343 (10.5%)	>90 <i>n</i> = 113 (0.6%)	p value ¹
Biopsy before							
Yes	299 (81.5%)	555 (71.9%)	763 (62.1%)	3,690 (63.7%)	906 (87.5%)	72 (63.7%)	¢0.001
No	35 (9.5%)	162 (21.0%)	1,365 (30.7%)	1,644 (28.4%)	346 (25.8%)	32 (28.3%)	
Unknown	33(9.0%)	55 (7.1%)	323 (7.3%)	455 (7.9%)	91 (6.8%)	9 (8.0%)	
Imaging < surgery							
Yes	311 (84.7%)	639 (82.8%)	3,406 (76.5%)	4,281 (74.0%)	915 (68.1%)	64 (66.6%)	<0.001
No	8 (2.2%)	29 (3.8%)	199 (4.5%)	326 (5.8%)	128 (9.5%)	14 (12.4%)	
Unknown	48 (13.1%)	104 (13.5%)	846 (19.0%)	1,172 (20.2%)	300 (22.3%)	35 (31.0%)	
Neoadjuvant treat							
Yes	82 (22.3%)	82 (10.6%)	310 (7.0%)	325 (5.6%)	36 (2.7%)	3 (2.7%)	<0.001
No	25 (6.8%)	139 (18.0%)	981 (22.0%)	1,308 (22.6%)	277 (20.6%)	31 (27.4%)	
Total	260 (70.8%)	551 (71.4%)	3,160 (71.0%)	4,156 (71.8%)	1,030 (76.7%)	79 (69.9%)	
Surgery in NETSARC							
Yes	146 (39.8%)	291 (37.7%)	1,529 (34.4%)	1849 (31.9%)	351 (26.1%)	16 (14.2%)	<0.001
No/No surgery/UNK	221 (60.2%)	481 (62.3%)	2,922 (65.6%)	3,940 (68.1%)	992 (73.9%)	97 (85.8%)	
Excision margins of last surgery							
RO	122 (33.2%)	307 (39.8%)	1,799 (40.4%)	2,229 (38.5%)	392 (29.2%)	29 (25.7%)	¢0.001
R1	47 (12.5%)	105 (13.6%)	721 (16.2%)	997 (17.2%)	254 (18.9%)	18 (15.9%)	
R2	9 (2.5%)	42 (5.4%)	197 (4.4%)	235 (4.1%)	58 (4.3%)	7 (6.2%)	
Other/Unknown	189 (51.5%)	318 (41.2%)	1,734 (38.9%)	2,328 (40.2%)	639 (47.6%)	59 (52.2%)	
Bold indicates significant p valu ¹ Chi ² test.	e (<i>p</i> < 0.05).						

Int. J. Cancer: 145, 2135–2143 (2019) © 2019 The Authors. International Journal of Cancer published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of UICC



Figure 1. Progression, relapse and survival in sarcoma patients aged over or under 90. (*a*) Local progression-free survival of patients aged over 90 (green) and younger (blue). (*b*) Metastatic progression-free survival of patients aged over 90 (green) and younger (blue). (*c*) Progression-free survival of patients aged over 90 (green) and younger (blue). (*d*) Relapse-free survival of operated patients aged over 90 (green) and younger (blue). (*e*) Overall survival of patients aged over 90 (green) and younger (blue). (*f*) Overall survival of nonoperated patients aged over 90 (green) and younger (blue). (*c*) For figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

must be compared to the 50 months life expectancy for the general French population at the age of $90.^{14}$

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first series reporting on the different biology and natural history of patients with sarcomas occurring at a very high age. Sarcoma occurring after 90 has a specific biology and natural history, but not intrinsically a worse cancer-specific prognosis. If fit according to geriatric assessment, this patient population, should be treated according to the general CPGs for sarcomas. It is reasonable to infer a similar statement for younger geriatric sarcoma patients.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the teams and leaders of the French National Cancer Institute (INCa) for the continuous support to the project.

References

- Ducimetiere F, Lurkin A, Ranchere-Vincre D, et al. Incidence of sarcoma histotypes and molecular subtypes in a prospective epidemiological study with central pathology review and molecular testing. *PLoS One* 2011;6:e20294.
- ESMO/European Sarcoma Network Working Group. Soft tissue and visceral sarcomas: ESMO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol* 2014;25(Suppl 3): iii102–12.
- ESMO/European Sarcoma Network Working Group. Gastrointestinal stromal tumours: ESMO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann Oncol 2014;25 (Suppl 3):iii21–6.
- von Mehren M, Randall RL, Benjamin RS, et al. Soft tissue sarcoma, version 2.2016, NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2016;14:758–86.

- Blay JY, Soibinet P, Penel N, et al. Improved survival using specialized multidisciplinary board in sarcoma patients. *Ann Oncol* 2017;28:2852–9.
- Hutchins LF, Unger JM, Crowley JJ, et al. Underrepresentation of patients 65 years of age or older in cancer-treatment trials. *N Engl J Med* 1999;341: 2061–7.
- Garbay D, Maki RG, Blay JY, et al. Advanced soft-tissue sarcoma in elderly patients: patterns of care and survival. *Ann Oncol* 2013;24:1924–30.
- Fletcher CDM, Bridge JA, Hogendoorn PCW, et al. Pathology and genetics of tumours of soft tissue and bone. Lyon: World Health Organization, IARC Press, 2013.
- Chibon F, Lagarde P, Salas S, et al. Validated prediction of clinical outcome in sarcomas and multiple types of cancer on the basis of a gene expression signature related to genome complexity. *Nat Med* 2010;16:781–7.

- Gibault L, Perot G, Chibon F, et al. New insights in sarcoma oncogenesis: a comprehensive analysis of a large series of 160 soft tissue sarcomas with complex genomics. J Pathol 2011;223:64–71.
- Taylor BS, Barretina J, Maki RG, et al. Advances in sarcoma genomics and new therapeutic targets. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2011;11:541–57.
- Blay J, Stoeckle E, Italiano A, et al. Improved overall and progression free survival after surgery in expert sites for sarcoma patients: a nationwide study of FSG-GETO/NETSARC. Ann Oncol 2017; 28:v521–38.
- Owusu C, Berger NA. Comprehensive geriatric assessment in the older cancer patient: coming of age in clinical cancer care. *Clin Pract (London, England)* 2014;11:749–62.
- Mesle F. Esperance de vie et mortalité aux âges élevés, vol. 2. Paris: La Documentation Française: Retraite et Société, 2005. 89–113.