

The effect of deer browsing and understory light availability on stump mortality and sprout growth capacity in sessile oak

Anders Mårell, Jean-Pierre Hamard, Thomas Perot, Sandrine Perret, Nathalie Korboulewsky

► To cite this version:

Anders Mårell, Jean-Pierre Hamard, Thomas Perot, Sandrine Perret, Nathalie Korboulewsky. The effect of deer browsing and understory light availability on stump mortality and sprout growth capacity in sessile oak. Forest Ecology and Management, 2018, 430, pp.134-142. 10.1016/j.foreco.2018.08.015 . hal-02499909

HAL Id: hal-02499909 https://hal.science/hal-02499909

Submitted on 5 Mar 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Author-produced version of the article published in Forest Ecology and Management, 2018, 430, 134-142. The original publication is available at : https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378112718310223 doi : 10.1016/j.foreco.2018.08.015 ; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.08.015 ©. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

In successful for an and for all starts						
Journal: Forest Ecology a	and Management					
Type of article: Regular paper						
Title: The effect of deer	browsing and understory light availability on stump mortality and sprout					
growth capacity in sessil	e oak					
Version: 2018-08-06						
Authors: Anders Mårell ^a	*, Jean-Pierre Hamard ^a , Thomas Pérot ^a , Sandrine Perret ^a , Nathalie					
Korboulewsky ^a						
^a lrstea, UR EFNO, F-4529	00 Nogent-sur-Vernisson, France					
E-mail: anders.marell@i	rstea.fr, jean-pierre.hamard@irstea.fr, sandrine.perret@irstea.fr,					
thomas.perot@irstea.fr,	nathalie.korboulewsky@irstea.fr					
Manuscript:	10294 words					
Abstract:	308 words					
Number of tables:	4					
Number of figures:	4					
Number of references:	86					
*Corresponding author:	Irstea, UR EFNO, Domaine des Barres, F-45290 Nogent-sur-Vernisson,					
France. Tel: 02.38.95.04	.53. E-mail: anders.marell@irstea.fr. ORCID ID: 0000-0002-3328-4834					
	Journal: Forest Ecology a Type of article: Regular Title: The effect of deer growth capacity in sessil Version: 2018-08-06 Authors: Anders Mårell ^a Korboulewsky ^a ^a Irstea, UR EFNO, F-4529 E-mail: anders.marell@i thomas.perot@irstea.fr, Manuscript: Abstract: Number of tables: Number of figures: Number of references: Number of references: France. Tel: 02.38.95.04					

23 Abstract (max 400 words)

24 Coppice forestry is a conventional silvicultural practice that takes advantage of a tree's capacity to 25 respond to disturbances by sprouting. Sprouting capacity is determined by many intrinsic and 26 extrinsic factors such as parent tree age/size, understory light availability and deer browsing, which, 27 under closed canopy conditions are important limiting factors for stump survival or sprout growth. 28 However, the combined effect of potentially confounding abiotic and biotic factors on stump survival 29 and sprout growth remains elusive, even more so under closed canopy conditions. This study aims to 30 quantify the effect of deer browsing on stump mortality and sprout growth under closed-canopy 31 conditions and to compare this effect with other known determinants. Here we show that stump 32 survival and sprout growth in sessile oak (Quercus petraea Matt.) depend on deer browsing, 33 understory light availability and the diameter of the parent tree. By studying paired fenced-unfenced 34 plots, we confirmed that deer browsing decreased stump survival and inhibited sprout growth. 35 Furthermore, by taking advantage of a gradient in understory light availability in monospecific and 36 mixed stands of sessile oak and Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.), we showed a clear positive linear 37 relationship between sprout growth and light availability. This relationship explained the observed 38 differences among stand composition types. Finally, we found that increased understory light 39 availability did not compensate for losses due to deer browsing. In the absence of deer browsing, our 40 results demonstrate that sessile oak stumps regenerate well under closed-canopy conditions and 41 maintain a moderate sprouting capacity at least until the age of 70-80 years old. Partial thinning 42 could therefore be a potential tool to renew light-demanding tree species such as sessile oak in mixed high-forest stands. Nevertheless, we do not recommend coppicing sessile oak under closed 43 44 canopies unless the oak stumps are protected from deer browsing and understory light availability is 45 optimized as much as possible despite closed-canopy-management objectives.

Keywords (max 6): Coppice, Deer impact, Ecological threshold, Mixed forest, Quercus petraea, Pinus
sylvestris

48

49 1 Introduction

Deer populations have increased in numerous regions in the Northern Hemisphere (Apollonio *et al.*,
2010; Kaji *et al.*, 2010; Hewitt, 2011), where they have become locally overabundant. Several studies
report damage to forest dynamics and biodiversity. For example, high browsing pressure has led to
the biotic impoverishment and homogenization of forest understory plant communities (Rooney,
2009; Martin *et al.*, 2010; Boulanger *et al.*, 2018), and forest managers are finding it harder to
regenerate the forest (Gill, 1992; Putman and Moore, 1998; Danell *et al.*, 2003; Tremblay *et al.*,
2007).

57

58 Silvicultural systems where trees regenerate vegetatively by producing stump sprouts and where the 59 rotation is comparatively short are known as coppice forestry (Sjölund and Jump, 2013), and were 60 once quite common in many parts of Western and Central Europe in lowland temperate broadleaf forests (Hédl et al., 2010). In some parts of Europe, coppice forestry has recently been revived in 61 62 response to demands for nature conservation and renewable energy (Rydberg, 2000; Sjölund and 63 Jump, 2013). Classical forms of coppicing are based on clear felling at regular intervals, varying from 64 7 to 40 years (Müllerová et al., 2016). Coppicing under closed canopy cover has also been 65 traditionally used in mountain regions as a protection against soil erosion (Nocentini, 2009).

66

Recently, other forms of coppicing have been developed to take advantage of sprouting after 67 68 thinning or partial cutting, this allows trees to regenerate under circumstances where the objectives 69 are to maintain a closed canopy for conservation purposes and to preserve mixed tree species 70 assemblages with minimal intervention (Götmark, 2013; Sjölund and Jump, 2013; Leonardsson and 71 Götmark, 2015). In this context, coppicing is seen as a way to renew and preserve light-demanding 72 tree species, such as oak, in association with more shade-tolerant tree species while maintaining a 73 continuous cover of mixed forests. Oaks are of particular interest as they are known to have strong 74 sprouting capacity and to maintain this ability well into old age (Del Tredici, 2001). The regeneration

of oaks in temperate forests is subject to much controversy among forest researchers and
practitioners (Götmark, 2007; Dey *et al.*, 2012; Bobiec *et al.*, 2018). Several factors have been
proposed to explain the regeneration failure of oaks such as low light levels associated with the
competition with shade-tolerant undergrowth (Kelly, 2002; Oliver *et al.*, 2005), browsing by large
herbivores (Buckley *et al.*, 1998; Kuiters and Slim, 2002; Götmark *et al.*, 2005) and large-scale
disturbances (Bobiec *et al.*, 2011; Dey *et al.*, 2017).

81

82 Many tree species respond to disturbances by sprouting to develop secondary replacement trunks 83 (sensu Del Tredici, 2001), thus rapidly regaining lost biomass and occupying space immediately after 84 the disturbance (Bond and Midgley, 2001). The ability to sprout is fairly common among adult trees 85 in all forest biomes (Vesk and Westoby, 2004) and plays an important role in driving forest dynamics 86 (Van Bloem et al., 2007; Dietze and Clark, 2008; Edenius et al., 2011; Marzano et al., 2012). The 87 capacity of tree stumps to survive and sprout after cutting is influenced by multiple intrinsic and 88 extrinsic factors including the age and size of the parent tree (Del Tredici, 2001; Weigel and Peng, 89 2002; Matula et al., 2012; Splichalova et al., 2012), harvesting parameters such as cutting height and 90 season (Harrington, 1984; Xue et al., 2013), the density of living residual trees and neighboring 91 stumps (Matula et al., 2012; Svatek and Matula, 2015), thinning intensity and understory light availability (Ducrey and Boisserie, 1992; O'Hara and Berrill, 2010) and damage by deer browsing or 92 93 pathogens (Kay, 1993; Cooke and Lakhani, 1996; Pyttel et al., 2013; Forrester et al., 2014; Marcais 94 and Desprez-Loustau, 2014). Most of these factors can be controlled by the forest manager in order 95 to optimize sprouting response after thinning or partial cutting, but the outcomes are uncertain as 96 results on sprouting responses are contradictory.

97

98 This is particularly true for the oak genus (*Quercus* sp.), where results diverge among studies. On the 99 one-hand, several studies of oaks have revealed negative relationships between parent tree 100 diameter and stump survival and sprout growth (Khan and Tripathi, 1986; Weigel and Peng, 2002;

101	Matula et al., 2012; Splichalova et al., 2012). On the other hand, other studies have found the
102	opposite relationship (Xue et al., 2013) or no relationship at all (Leonardsson and Götmark, 2015).
103	Likewise, the effect of thinning intensity remains elusive. Thinning intensity affected stump survival
104	and sprout growth for Quercus nigra L. (Gardiner and Helmig, 1997), whereas a study on Quercus
105	pagoda Raf. found no or very little effect (Lockhart and Chambers, 2007). This indicates that
106	sprouting responses are either species-specific or interact with other factors not controlled for in the
107	studies, such as deer browsing, pathogens, competing understory vegetation or forest stand history.
108	
109	The present study aimed to simultaneously take into account the presence of deer browsing, light
110	availability and neighboring species (stand composition) to study sprout survival and growth, and to
111	assess their magnitude. From a management point of view, the main goal of the study was to
112	evaluate whether partial thinning and the subsequent regrowth from cut stumps could be a potential
113	method of forest renewal for a light-demanding tree species, in this case sessile oak (Quercus
114	petraea Matt.), in high forest stands subjected to light to moderate deer browsing pressure. The
115	objectives of the study were two-fold:
116	1) First, we compared sessile oak stump mortality and sprout growth in the presence and absence of
117	deer in mono-specific and mixed stands of sessile oak and Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.). We
118	hypothesized a negative impact of deer browsing on stump survival and sprout growth (H1), and no
119	effect of stand composition on these parameters (H2).
120	2) Second, we compared the explanatory power of deer browsing in relation to other intrinsic and
121	extrinsic environmental factors potentially affecting stump mortality and sprout growth. We
122	hypothesized that a large parent-tree diameter would increase stump mortality (H3), while increased
123	light, small parent-tree diameters and low plant cover for neighboring vegetation would increase
124	sprout growth (H4).

126 2 Materials and Methods

127 2.1 Study site and sampling design

128 The study took place in the center of France, in the Orleans National Forest (France, 47°49' N, 2°29' 129 E) where elevation ranges from 107 m to 174 m above sea level. Throughout the forest, the soil is 130 relatively poor and acidic with a sandy clay-loam texture, and is classified as a planosol (IUSS Working 131 Group WRB, 2015). Superimposed layers of clay and sand lead to a temporary perched water table in 132 winter, but the low soil water storage capacity reduces available water for plants in summer. The 133 area has a temperate continental climate with an oceanic influence: mean annual temperature is 134 10.8 °C and mean annual rainfall is 729 mm based on 1981-2010 data from the SAFRAN and the ISBA 135 analytical platforms provided by Météo-France (Durand et al., 1993).

136

137 Roe deer (Capreolus capreolus L.) and red deer (Cervus elaphus L.) are the main large herbivores, 138 while large predators are absent from the study area. Wild boar (Sus scrofa L.) is also present, but is 139 not known to cause any damage to oak sprouts, nor did we observe any damage that could be 140 attributed to wild boar browsing during the study period. During the 2013/2014 hunting season, 141 hunters killed on average 1.5 roe and 2.0 red deer individuals per square kilometer, indicating that 142 the population densities of roe and red deer are low to moderate (Supplementary Figure 1). The 143 study sites were equipped with camera traps (Moultrie 80XT) that were used to estimate local site 144 use (hereafter 'local density') (Supplementary Figure 1). The estimated local density integrates 145 habitat use and is not be confused with estimated absolute population density, as the camera traps 146 were set up in particular forest habitats and not randomly throughout the home range of the deer 147 population. We used a random encounter model (REM) to estimate local densities (Rowcliffe et al., 148 2008; Lucas et al., 2015). The average local density at the study plots was estimated as 0.041±0.013 (mean ± SE; n=6) and 0.11±0.032 (n=6) individuals per square kilometer for red and roe deer, 149 150 respectively. The large discrepancy between these estimates of local densities and the hunting

statistics is mainly due to habitat selection. Our study plots were situated in mature forest stands
with relatively sparse understory vegetation. These habitats are often avoided by deer, who prefer
young dense forest stands, forest edges or open habitats (Latham *et al.*, 1996).

154

155 Six sites with paired fenced-unfenced 0.5-ha plots were set up in stands of sessile oak and Scots pine 156 in the framework of the OPTMix experiment (Korboulewsky et al., 2015). Fences were erected in the 157 winter of 2013/2014 to exclude large wild ungulates; the fences are approximately 2.0 m tall with a 158 mesh size of about 15 cm. Our selected stands were even-aged stands between 70 and 80 years old 159 with a dominant height of between 18 and 21 m for oaks and between 19 and 24 m for pines. The 12 160 plots, including the buffer zone (20 m wide), are all being managed under the same silvicultural 161 treatments and they have similar forest stand characteristics (Table 1). The stands are relatively low 162 density as a result of a dynamic management strategy which allows for understory regrowth from 163 coppice stumps, similar to a coppice-with-standards (CWS) silvicultural system. The CWS system is 164 composed of a two-story forest structure with a coppice understory and scattered taller single-165 stemmed trees as an overstory (Sjölund and Jump, 2013).

166

Table 1. Stand characteristics in 2015 of the paired fenced-unfenced study plots in even-aged monospecific stands of sessile oak (*Quercus petraea* Matt.) and Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.), and in evenaged mixed stands of sessile oak and Scots pine at the six study sites (O12, O214,O57, O216, O83,
O200). N = number of stems per hectare; G = basal area per hectare at 1.3 m height; DBH = diameter
at 1.3 m height; PACL_{OBS} = percentage of observed above-canopy light at five random spots and at 2
m in height

	0	ak	Mix	ed	Pir	Pine		
	012	0214	057	O216	O83	O200		
Unfenced								
N _{oak} (stems/ha)	392	370	252	215	-	-		
G _{oak} (m²/ha)	14.5	14.7	9.9	8.1	-	-		
DBH _{oak} (cm)	20.9 (6.0)	21.7 (5.8)	21.1 (7.4)	21.1 (5.9)	-	-		

N _{pine} (ind/ha)	-	-	86	112	290	200
G _{pine} (m²/ha)	-	-	10.6	9.3	25.4	19.5
DBH _{pine} (cm)	-	-	39.2 (6.1)	31.8 (6.1)	32.9 (5.5)	34.9 (4.9)
PACL _{OBS} (%)	21.4 (2.6)	19.8 (2.2)	29.8 (3.6)	30.2 (4.0)	36.3 (1.9)	34.3 (7.8)
Fenced						
N _{oak} (ind/ha)	354	294	194	170	-	-
G _{oak} (m²/ha)	15.3	14.0	8.5	7.1	-	-
DBH _{oak} (cm)	22.6 (6.3)	24.0 (5.6)	22.5 (7.3)	21.8 (7.8)	-	-
N _{pine} (ind/ha)	-	-	94	116	239	202
G _{pine} (m²/ha)	-	-	11.7	9.8	24.6	19.4
DBH _{pine} (cm)	-	-	39.3 (6.6)	32.0 (7.5)	35.8 (5.3)	34.7 (4.4)
PACL _{OBS} (%)	20.7 (3.7)	17.2 (4.2)	28.8 (4.8)	28.4 (3.9)	35.1 (4.2)	33.4 (1.7)

174 2.2 Stump mortality and sprout growth

175 The study plots were thinned during the 2012-2013 and 2013-2014 winter seasons (Table 2) in order 176 to reach targeted stand tree composition (monospecific and mixed stands) and densities (low 177 density). Cut stems were monitored in March 2015 and 2016, just before the start of the plant 178 growing season. A stump was considered dead if it had no living buds or shoots at the time of the 179 inventories. For all living stumps, the vertical height of the tallest shoot was measured as well as the 180 length of the five most vigorous shoots. Vertical shoot height was defined as the vertical distance 181 between the ground and the tip of the shoot and shoot length was defined as the distance following 182 the curvature of the stem from the base to the tip of the shoot. The five most vigorous shoots were 183 tagged in 2015 and re-measured in 2016. Sprout growth in the 2015 growing season was estimated 184 by calculating the difference in height/length of the shoots between the two measuring campaigns; 185 this figure was used in subsequent analyses on sprout growth capacity. Signs of deer browsing (twigs 186 showing a ragged tear or splintered cut on one side) and fraying (bark rubbed off by the antlers) or 187 other types of damages by rodents (twigs showing sharp and inclined scissor-like cuts, bark gnaws 188 and peeling), gall formations (abnormal outgrowths), plant tissue losses due to insect herbivory 189 (ragged leaf edges, leaves perforated, skeletonization, leaf mining patterns), fungal attacks

(discoloration, wilting, fruiting bodies), climatic events (dead tissue, wilting) and damage of otherorigins were recorded at the stump level.

192

193	In all, 301 oak stumps were monitored for two years (2015 and 2016) of which 148 (49.2%) were still
194	alive at the end of the study. All stumps in the pure oak stands originated from single-stemmed
195	parent trees, while 15-20% of the stumps in the pure pine and mixed stands originated from multi-
196	stemmed parent trees. Multi-stemmed parent trees likely originated from former coppices, contrary
197	to the single-stemmed parent trees which probably grew from seeds through natural regeneration or
198	had been planted as seedlings. Furthermore, all the parent trees in the pure pine stands were
199	subordinate oak trees before thinning, whereas in the mixed pine / oak and pure oak stands,
200	respectively 92-96% and 75-77% of the parent trees were subordinate. Six hundred and sixty-six
201	shoots were tagged in 2015, of which 563 shoots were re-measured in 2016 to calculate annual
202	growth in length. The other 103 shoots had died. The overall average DBH _{parent} of cut trees was 15.9
203	cm (SD = 4.7 cm, range 7-25.2 cm, n = 301).

204

Table 2. Date of thinning events and the number of oak stumps monitored at the six study sites in thepaired fenced-unfenced 0.5-ha plots

	0	ak	Mix	ked	Pi	Pine		
	012	0214	057	0216	083	O200		
Thinning								
Date	Dec 2012	Jan 2013	Dec 2012	Nov 2013	Nov 2013	Feb 2014		
Number of oak s	stumps							
Unfenced	29	30	27	30	16	21		
Fenced	30	30	21	30	16	21		

207

208 2.3 Covariates

209 For each of the 12 plots, all trees with a diameter above 7.5 cm DBH were inventoried and mapped

210 before and after thinning. We used diameter at breast height of the parent tree (DBH_{parent}) as a

211 potential explanatory variable and as a proxy for tree size.

218

Understory light availability at oak stump locations was estimated by a model based on the BeerLambert law, which predicts from data on basal area the percent of above-canopy light (PACL) that
reaches the understory vegetation (Sonohat *et al.*, 2004; Balandier *et al.*, 2006). The model had been
calibrated for mono-specific and mixed stands of sessile oak and Scots pine and its predictive ability
evaluated for the plots concerned (see Perot *et al.*, 2017). As proposed by Perot et al. (2017), a single

219
$$PACL_i = e^{(-b_{oak}G_{oak} - b_{pine}G_{pine})} + \varepsilon_i$$

model was applied to both mono-specific and mixed stands as follows:

where *PACL_i* is the percent of above-canopy light at point *i*, *G* is the local basal area per hectare at point *i*, *b* is the coefficient of extinction and ε_i is the residual part of the model. Parameters and variables associated to sessile oak are annotated with the *oak* index and those associated with Scots pine are annotated with the *pine* index. PACL is defined as the ratio between below-canopy and above-canopy photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), which is the equivalent of the solar radiation transmittance of the tree canopy. Model predictions of PACL are hereafter referred to as PACL_{EST}.

226

227 Competition with the surrounding vegetation was determined within a radius of two meters 228 centered on the stumps by visually estimating the vertical projection of plant cover of the most 229 common and most dominant understory plant species (Molinia caerulea L., Calluna vulgaris L., 230 Pteridium aquilinum L., Rubus fruticosus agg. L.). Seven classes were used: absence, cover <1%; 1% < 231 cover < 5%; 5% < cover < 20%; 20% < cover < 50%; 50% < cover < 75%; and 75% < cover < 100%. We 232 pooled the cover data before all subsequent analyses and assumed the independence of the spatial 233 distribution of plant individuals. We applied the method developed by Fischer (2015) to estimate 234 overall plant cover for the understory vegetation layer. The pooled plant cover was bounded 235 between 0-100%.

237 2.4 Statistical analyses

238 Generalized mixed effects models (GLMM) were used to test the effect of deer browsing and stand 239 composition on oak stump mortality and sprout growth capacity. A random intercept model was 240 fitted as reference model to test for differences related to the experimental design, where stand 241 composition and herbivore exclusion and their interaction were fixed terms. For analyses at the 242 stump level, the random terms were composed of site and study plot nested within site in order to 243 take into account the nested sampling design of paired fenced-unfenced plots. For analyses at the 244 shoot level, stump was added as an additional random effect nested within plot. Then, in order to 245 see whether DBH_{parent}, PACL_{EST} and plant cover of competing vegetation–potential covariates– 246 improved model fitting or could replace one of the fixed terms in the reference model, they were 247 added to the model which was then compared to the reference model. Finally, a minimal adequate 248 model was selected corresponding to the most parsimonious model. Model selection was based on 249 the Akaike information criterion adjusted for small sample size (AICc) (Burnham and Anderson, 250 1998). When the difference between the minimum AICc value and the AICc values of the other 251 possible models was less than 2, we judged the models to be of equivalent explanatory power and 252 retained the most parsimonious one.

253

We used the maximum likelihood (ML) estimation method to compare and fit the models because we were comparing models that had different fixed effects (Pinheiro and Bates, 2000). The final selected models were fitted with the restricted maximum likelihood (REML) method in order to better estimate the variance components (Pinheiro and Bates, 2000). Model efficiency (EF), which can be used as a proxy for the proportion of variation explained in non-linear models (Mayer and Butler, 1993), was calculated as follows:

260
$$EF = 1 - \frac{\sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{\sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2}$$

261	where y_i is the observed value, \hat{y}_i is the fitted value and \bar{y} is the mean of the observed values. <i>EF</i> was
262	computed both with and without random effects, which allowed us to evaluate the proportions
263	explained by the fixed and the random part of the model.

265 For stump mortality, which is a binary variable (dead or alive), we used a binomial error distribution.

266 For shoot growth, which is a continuous variable, we used a normal error distribution. Statistical

267 analyses were performed with the R software, version 3.1.0 (R Development Core Team, 2011).

268 Mixed effects models were run with the glmer function of the lme4 package for data with a binomial

error distribution (Bates et al., 2014) and with the lme function of the nlme package for data with a

270 normal error distribution (Pinheiro *et al.*, 2011).

271

272 3 Results

273 3.1 Stump survival

Oak stump survival after 2-3 growing seasons in late winter 2016 was on average 1.6 times higher in fenced than in unfenced plots (Figure 1). Adding DBH_{parent} enhanced model predictions (Table 3) and showed a negative linear correlation between survival rate and DBH_{parent} (Figure 2). Although stand composition and its interaction with herbivory were not retained in our most parsimonious model, we observed a lower survival rate (P_{oak}=0.03 and P_{pine}=0.02) of oak stumps inside fenced plots in mixed oak-pine stands (see Supplementary Figure 3).





correspond to the predictions of the most parsimonious model (Table 3) and error bars represent the

284 95% confidence interval around the model predictions, without taking random effects into account.

285



286

Figure 2. Observed survival (alive or dead) in 2016 of 301 oak stumps in relation to the diameter at breast height of the parent tree, DBH_{parent}, as well as the predicted survival rates for stumps in fenced (solid line) and unfenced (dotted line) plots taken from the most parsimonious GLMM_{binom}. For comparison, the results obtained by Splichalova *et al.* (2012) for equivalent tree ages and diameters are shown as a shaded gray interval.

293 Table 3. Summary of the statistics for the models predicting the survival rate (SURV) of sessile oak

294 stumps in mono-specific and mixed sessile oak/Scots pine stands

Model§	Ν	df	σ_{site}	σ_{plot}	AICc	EF_{full}	EF_{fix}
Null model							
SURV = INTERCEPT	301	3	<0.001	0.80	399.4	0.16	-
Reference model (experimental design)							
$SURV = C + H + C \times H$	301	8	0.32	<0.001	396.5	0.15	0.10
SURV = H	301	4	0.37	0.21	397.8	0.16	0.037
Diameter at breast height of the parent tree (DBH $_{ hoter}$	arent) as	соvа	riate				
SURV = C + H + C \times H + DBH _{parent}	301	9	0.30	<0.001	393.1	0.17	0.12
$SURV = C + H + C \times H + DBH_{parent} + H \times DBH_{parent}$	301	10	0.31	<0.001	394.2	0.17	0.12
SURV = H + DBH _{parent}	301	5	0.19	0.42	394.6	0.18	0.051

SA first model was fitted that tested for differences related to the experimental design (reference model), where stand composition (C), herbivore exclusion (H) and their interaction were fitted as fixed terms. The random term was composed of site and study plot nested within site in order to take into account the nested sampling design (paired fenced-unfenced plots). DBH_{parent} was added as a covariate (including interaction terms) in order to see whether this variable improved model fitting or could replace one of the fixed terms in the first model. Model selection was based on the Akaike information criterion adjusted for small sample size (AICc). Only the best models, with a difference in AICc of less than 2, are displayed. The most parsimonious models are shown in bold.

302

303 3.2 Sprout growth

304 The annual maximum height growth on oak stumps was greater in fenced than in unfenced plots

- 305 (Figure 3a), the latter showing on average an annual height growth equal to zero in all stand
- 306 compositions (Figure 3a). In fenced plots, sprout growth differed among stand compositions (Table
- 4). Growth tended to be the strongest for oak stumps in mono-specific pine stands (mean ± Cl;
- 308 62.5±22.3 cm), intermediate in mixed oak-pine stands (31.8±16.9 cm) and the weakest in mono-

specific oak stands (22.0±9.1 cm). The annual growth in length of the five most vigorous shoots per
stump showed a similar pattern (Figure 3b).

311





Figure 3. Predicted annual growth in (a) sprout height and (b) length of the five most vigorous shoots from oak stumps during the 2015 growing season in fenced and unfenced plots in mono-specific and mixed sessile oak/Scots pine stands. Error bars represent the 95% confidence interval around the model predictions.

317

318 Further, we found that annual height growth of shoots from oak stumps was equally well explained 319 by a model where composition was replaced by PACL_{EST} (percent of above-canopy light). Although 320 the model with PACL_{EST} had a slightly higher AICc (Δ = 0.61) than the model with composition and 321 explained less of the variability (49% versus 51%) (Table 4), we gained in model simplicity. That is, the 322 model had fewer parameters because a continuous variable replaced a categorical variable with 323 three levels. Indeed, PACL_{EST} differed at stump locations among stand compositions (Figure 4). 324 PACL_{EST} was the greatest for stumps in pine stands (mean = 43%, min = 35%, max = 51%, SD = 4.1%, n 325 = 35), intermediate in mixed stands (mean = 33%, min = 24%, max = 45%, SD = 4.4%, n = 57) and the 326 least in oak stands (mean = 24%, min = 19%, max = 36%, SD = 3.3%, n = 71). In fenced plots, the

model predicted a 14.4 cm increase in annual height growth for every 10% increase in PACL_{EST}, while
 in unfenced plots the growth was not significantly different from zero irrespective of PACL_{EST} (Figure
 4).

330

Adding DBH_{parent} or total plant cover as covariates did not improve model fitting for either annual
sprout growth in height or annual growth in length of the five most vigorous shoots from oak stumps
(Table 4 and Supplementary Table 1).





Figure 4. Observed annual growth in (a) sprout height and (b) length of the five most vigorous shoots
from oak stumps during the 2015 growing season in fenced and unfenced plots in mono-specific and
mixed sessile oak/Scots pine stands in relation to the percent of above-canopy light (PACL_{EST}).
GLMM_{normal} model predictions for shoots in fenced (solid line) and unfenced (dotted line) plots are

340 displayed.

341

335

Table 4. Summary of statistics for the models predicting annual height growth (HEIGHT) of sprouts on



Model [§]	Ν	df	σ_{site}	σ_{plot}	$\sigma_{\text{resid.}}$	AICc	EF_{full}	EF_{fix}

Null model								
HEIGHT = INTERCEPT	301	4	<0.001	554.5	507.2	1355.7	0.54	
Reference model (experimental design)								
HEIGHT = C + H + C × H	301	14	<0.001	<0.001	541.0	1291.6	0.51	0.51
Diameter at breast height of the parent tree (DBH _{pare}	_{ent}) as a	covariate					
$HEIGHT = C + H + C \times H + DBH_{parent}$	301	15	<0.001	<0.001	540.4	1294.1	0.51	0.51
$HEIGHT = C + H + C \times H + DBH_{parent} + H \times DBH_{parent}$	301	16	<0.001	<0.001	534.4	1295.5	0.51	0.51
Percentage of above-canopy light (PACL _{EST}) as	s covari	ate						
HEIGHT = H + PACL _{EST} + H × PACL _{EST}	301	12	<0.001	<0.001	503.1	1292.2	0.49	0.49
$HEIGHT = C + H + PACL_{EST} + H \times PACL_{EST}$	301	14	<0.001	<0.001	506.6	1293.4	0.50	0.50
Total plant cover (COVER _{TOT}) as covariate								
HEIGHT = C + H + C × H + COVER _{TOT}	301	15	<0.001	<0.001	543.0	1294.0	0.51	0.51
$HEIGHT = C + H + C \times H + COVER_{TOT} + H \times COVER_{TOT}$	301	16	<0.001	<0.001	533.6	1295.8	0.51	0.51
$HEIGHT = C + H + C \times H + COVER_{TOT} + C \times COVER_{TOT}$	301	17	<0.001	<0.001	545.7	1295.8	0.51	0.51

344 [§]A first model was fitted to test for differences related to the experimental design (reference model), where stand

composition (C), herbivore exclusion (H) and their interaction were fixed terms. The random term was composed of site and
study plot nested within site in order to take into account the nested sampling design (paired fenced-unfenced plots).
Covariates were added to the model to see whether they improved model fitting or could replace one of the fixed terms in
the first model. Model selection was based on the Akaike information criterion adjusted for small sample size (AICc). Only
the best models with a difference in AICc of less than 2 are displayed. The most parsimonious models are shown in bold.

351 3.3 Shoot damage

All the stumps (100%) in the unfenced plots were browsed by deer and showed few signs of other types of damage (13.0% in 2015 and 4.1% in 2016). Although no browsing by deer was observed inside fenced plots, damage did occur: in 2015 and 2016, respectively, only 16.3% and 6.9% of stumps and 49.1% and 66.2% of tagged shoots were undamaged in the fenced plots. Some damage in the fenced plots was unidentified but we were able to determine most causes: powdery mildew attacks (36.9%₂₀₁₅ and 21.6%₂₀₁₆, though frost damage could not be excluded as plausible alternative 358 cause), browsing by hares and small rodents (<1%2015 and 4.0%2016), and parasitic damage mostly due 359 to gall formation and plant tissue losses through insect herbivory (<1%). Competing vegetation 360 hindered growth for less than <1% of shoots in 2015and 3.1% in 2016. Oak shoots in pure pine stands 361 had less damage in 2015 (corresponding to the 2014 growing season) than the shoots in 362 monospecific oak and mixed stands (Supplementary Figure 2). Powdery mildew attacks (or possibly 363 frost damage) were less common in pine stands during the entire study period and less common in 364 mixed stands in 2016 (corresponding to the 2015 growing season) (Supplementary Figure 2b). An 365 increase in damage caused by rodents and lagomorphs as well as hindered growth by vegetation 366 competition was observed in monospecific pine and mixed stands in 2016 (Supplementary Figure 367 2c,d). Some parasitic attacks on oak shoots in monospecific oak stands were also observed in 2016, 368 but not in monospecific pine and mixed stands. Finally, concerning repeated seasonal damage, in 369 unfenced plots, the shoots tagged in 2015 that were found dead in 2016 had all but one been 370 browsed at the time of measurement during previous growing season (65 shoots). In fenced plots, 371 three quarters of the dead shoots had shown signs of damage by powdery mildew/frost (61%) and to 372 a lesser extent by rodent/lagomorph browsing (11%) during the previous growing season; one 373 quarter of the dead shoots had shown no signs of damage when measurements were taken during 374 the previous growing season.

375

376 **4** Discussion

We examined the effect of deer browsing on oak stump survival and sprout growth capacity. In accordance with H1, deer browsing negatively affected both the survival and growth of stump sprouts. However, contrary to H2, oak sprout growth differed among the three stand composition types (mono-specific and mixed oak/pine stands). In agreement with H4, we showed that these differences were partly due to differences in understory light availability among stand composition types. Contrary to H4, we found no effect on growth of total competing vegetation cover or diameter

at breast height of the parent tree (DBH_{parent}). Although DBH_{parent} had no effect on sprout growth, it
 did have a relatively strong negative effect on stump survival, in agreement with H3.

385

386 4.1 Effects of deer browsing

387 Oak stumps experienced high browsing pressure: all the stumps in the unfenced plots were browsed 388 despite low to moderate deer population densities. Taking into account data from the camera trap 389 survey, local densities could even be considered very low. The high browsing pressure observed was 390 probably due palatability; stump sprouts are highly-preferred browse for deer, more than shoots 391 from seedlings and saplings that originate from seeds (Moore and Johnson, 1967). Consequently, and 392 in agreement with previous studies (Cooke and Lakhani, 1996; Espelta et al., 2006; Cutini et al., 2011; 393 Pyttel et al., 2013; Forrester et al., 2014; Royo et al., 2016), we showed that deer browsing reduced 394 the height growth of oak stump shoots. Although stump sprouts are highly resilient to herbivory due 395 to their carbohydrate reserves (Bond and Midgley, 2001; Nzunda et al., 2014), we showed that 396 sprout growth can still be totally inhibited. The mean maximum sprout height only reached the lower 397 limit of the browsing zone ('molar zone'; 0.2-1.8 m; Waller and Alverson, 1997; Frerker et al., 2013). 398 In a short-term perspective, this is in line with the 'browsing lawn' concept (Cromsigt and Kuijper, 399 2011), in which intense browsing leads to increased resource availability (leaves and shoots within 400 the browsing height) and a proportional increase of palatable resources (oak sprouts) in the patch. 401 However, in a long-term perspective, it is likely that the positive effect of the immediate increase in 402 palatable food resources due to browsing will quickly fade away as stump mortality caused by the 403 same browsing increases (see below). Likewise, Cooke and Lakhani (1996) showed that ash sprouts 404 ceased to grow in height in unfenced areas that experienced high browsing pressure by muntjac 405 deer. However, forage preferences vary with tree species, and deer densities can differ greatly 406 among sites. This means that browsing pressure on sprouts can vary widely among forest areas (Royo 407 et al., 2016). In other studies, the effect of deer browsing was less important, or even negligible, for 408 less palatable tree species than oak or in areas with apparently low deer population densities (Cutini

et al., 2011; Royo *et al.*, 2016). These findings corroborate the idea that deer population densities do
not linearly translate into equivalent deer browsing impacts. Rather, they suggest that browsing
impact is context-dependent and depends on many factors other than deer density alone.

412

413 In line with the results obtained by Pyttel et al. (2013), we observed that oak stump mortality was 414 higher in unfenced plots. Probably the high browsing pressure on shoots and the repeated 415 consumption of sprouts in the unfenced areas increased stump mortality, similarly to what has been 416 shown for shrubs sprouting from below-ground organs (Moreno and Oechel, 1991). It is therefore 417 likely that repeated deer browsing depletes the plant's stored reserves (Paula and Ojeda, 2011; 418 Schutz et al., 2011) and subsequently causes the plant to die (Canadell and Lopez-Soria, 1998). Royo 419 et al. (2016), on the other hand, found no relationship between deer browsing and tree stump 420 sprouting probability. None of the tested covariates or the correlations among covariates was able to 421 explain the difference in survival rate we found between mixed and mono-specific stands. Most 422 likely, the higher mortality in fenced mixed stands was due to higher attack rates of powdery mildew 423 (Supplementary Figure 2). Here it seems as if the oak stumps in pure pine stands benefited from the 424 absence of adult oak trees in the canopy which could be a local contamination source; much fewer 425 powdery mildew attacks were observed in pure pine stands. Powdery mildew infections are known 426 to be particularly severe on oak coppicing, but also to be present in mature trees where it is an 427 aggravating factor after insect defoliation (Marcais and Desprez-Loustau, 2014). It is also possible 428 that the observed lower survival rates were due to unknown differences in forest stand history such 429 as ancient forest fires or previous coppice treatments. However, we would then have expected a 430 lower survival rate in both the fenced and unfenced plots in the mixed stands, which was not the 431 case. Indeed, the survival rate in the unfenced mixed plots and the unfenced pure stands was similar 432 to the low rate in fenced mixed plots. Unknown covariates such as belowground interactions, 433 including competitive interactions, and fungal infections could also account for the observed 434 differences.

436 4.2 Effects of understory light availability

437 The quantity of light that reaches the forest floor is a strong determinant of growth, density and 438 species composition of trees, shrubs and herbaceous plants under closed canopy conditions (Kobe et 439 al., 1995; Decocq et al., 2004; Bartels and Chen, 2010). Not surprisingly, we found a positive linear 440 relationship between understory light availability and sprout height growth for oak stumps protected 441 from deer in the fenced plots. This is in agreement with other studies on oak stumps that have found 442 shoot height growth to be higher in heavily thinned stands than in lightly thinned ones (Ducrey and 443 Boisserie, 1992; Gardiner and Helmig, 1997). However, Lockhart and Chambers (2007) did not find 444 any significant differences between lightly and heavily thinned stands. In our unfenced plots, we 445 found that high understory light availability did not compensate for the impacts of deer browsing. 446 Height growth was nil irrespective of light levels, even at the highest observed light availability. We 447 believe that this was due to repeated browsing of shoots, which constantly kept them at the lower 448 limit of the browsing zone.

449

450 4.3 Effects of diameter at breast height of the parent tree

451 Tree age and size is a strong determinant of forest dynamics; inequalities in tree size affect tree 452 responses to disturbances (Merlin et al., 2015), competitive interactions among neighbors 453 (Cordonnier and Kunstler, 2015) and productivity (Bourdier et al., 2016). We showed that stump mortality increased with increasing size of the parent tree (DBH_{parent}). These results are in line with 454 455 North American (Dey and Jensen, 2002; Weigel and Peng, 2002; Sands and Abrams, 2009) and other 456 European (Matula et al., 2012; Splichalova et al., 2012) studies of oak species. The predicted 457 decrease in stump survival between the smallest and the largest trees in our sample set (25.1%) was 458 equivalent to the observed drop in stump survival between fenced and unfenced plots (23.2%). From 459 a management perspective, these results imply that the sprouting probability is acceptable for small

sessile oak trees in the absence of deer browsing, while sprouting is unacceptably low for larger trees
in the presence of deer browsing. Compared to other European tree species, the survival rate of
sessile oak stumps seems to be intermediate, lying between strongly-sprouting tree species such as
the small-leaved lime (*Tilia cordata* Mill.) and European hornbeam (*Carpinus betulus* L.), and weaklysprouting tree species such as silver and downy birch (*Betula pendula* L. and *B. pubescens* L.) and
European beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) (Rydberg, 2000; Matula *et al.*, 2012; Leonardsson and Götmark,
2015).

467

468 5 Conclusion

469 Our results show that deer browsing was the most important determinant of sprouting capacity with 470 strong effects on both stump survival and sprout growth. Diameter at breast height of the parent tree (DBH_{parent}, a proxy for tree size) was as important as deer browsing with regard to stump 471 472 survival. For sprout growth, understory light availability was of lesser importance than deer 473 browsing. We confirmed the results of other studies that deer browsing increases stump mortality 474 and can even totally inhibit the height growth of coppice shoots under closed-canopy conditions, 475 even at low deer population densities. We conclude that deer browsing is an important factor 476 potentially responsible for explaining vegetative regeneration failures of oaks in temperate forests 477 and forest managers should therefore carefully consider the presence of deer when coppicing is the 478 desired management system. Furthermore, we do not recommend coppicing under closed canopy 479 cover as a silvicultural practice unless stumps are protected from deer browsing. Even at relatively 480 high light availabilities (PACL = 50%) corresponding to relatively large canopy openings, light was not 481 sufficient to compensate for the losses due to deer browsing.

482

We also showed that understory light availability is a determinant for sprout growth, but that it did
not seem to have any major effect on stump survival. On the other hand, DBH_{parent} did have a

relatively strong negative effect on stump survival, though our results confirm that sessile oak
maintains an acceptably sprouting capacity into older age in the absence of deer browsing. These
results imply that partial thinning could be useful to renew sessile oak under closed canopy
conditions and to preserve light-demanding tree species in association with more shade-tolerant tree
species in mixed high-forest stands. However, one should interpret our results cautiously as our
study only spans two to three growing seasons, and does not take into consideration the long-term
survival of re-sprouts under closed canopy conditions.

492

493 Additionally, we showed that the effect of a covariate (in our case PACL) on a response variable 494 (sprout growth) might depend on another explanatory variable (deer browsing). These kinds of 495 interactions may explain why some previous studies have found contradictory results. More 496 specifically, in our study, browsing pressure cancelled or neutralized the effect of understory light 497 availability (PACL_{EST}) and might explain some previous results related to the effect of thinning 498 intensity. We therefore recommend that confounding factors be carefully taken into account and 499 that deer browsing should systematically be controlled for in the experimental design of future 500 studies on tree re-sprouting capacity.

501

502 6 Acknowledgements

This work was carried out at the OPTMix (Oak Pine Tree Mixture, http://optmix.irstea.fr/)
experimental site, managed by Irstea and supported by the Centre-Val de Loire Region and the
French National Forest Office (ONF). The work was financed by the French Ministry of the
Environment through a grant to AM (Contract DEB-Irstea "Gestion des milieux et biodiversité" 20162017, action number 6). The experimental site belongs to the SOERE F-ORE-T network which is
supported annually by Ecofor, Allenvi and the French national research infrastructure ANAEE-F
(http://www.anaee-france.fr/fr/). We thank all the members of the OPTMix team for their technical

510 help. We are grateful to the ONF and the French National Agency for Wildlife (ONCFS) for providing

511 hunting statistics for the study site. We also address special thanks to Kamel Zouaydia and Jessica

512 Maurize for their field work. We thank Victoria Moore for her assistance in correcting the English

513 version of the manuscript.

514

515 7 References

- Apollonio, M., Andersen, R., Putman, R., 2010. European ungulates and their management in the 21st
 century. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- 518 Balandier, P., Sonohat, G., Sinoquet, H., Varlet-Grancher, C., Dumas, Y., 2006. Characterisation,
- 519 prediction and relationships between different wavebands of solar radiation transmitted in
- 520 the understorey of even-aged oak (*Quercus petraea*, *Q. robur*) stands. Trees 20, 363-370.
- 521 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-006-0049-3
- 522 Bartels, S.F., Chen, H.Y.H., 2010. Is understory plant species diversity driven by resource quantity or

523 resource heterogeneity? Ecology 91, 1931-1938. https://doi.org/10.1890/09-1376.1

524 Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S., 2014. Ime4: Linear mixed-effects models using Eigen

525 and S4. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=Ime4

526 Bobiec, A., Jaszcz, E., Wojtunik, K., 2011. Oak (*Quercus robur* L.) regeneration as a response to natural

527 dynamics of stands in European hemiboreal zone. Eur. J. For. Res. 130, 785-797.

528 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-010-0471-3

529 Bobiec, A., Reif, A., Öllerer, K., 2018. Seeing the oakscape beyond the forest: a landscape approach to 530 the oak regeneration in Europe. Landsc. Ecol. 33, 513-528. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-

531 018-0619-y

Bond, W.J., Midgley, J.J., 2001. Ecology of sprouting in woody plants: the persistence niche. Trends
Ecol. Evol. 16, 45-51. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(00)02033-4

534	Boulanger, V., Dupouey, JL., Archaux, F., Badeau, V., Baltzinger, C., Chevalier, R., Corcket, E., Dumas,
535	Y., Forgeard, F., Mårell, A., Montpied, P., Paillet, Y., Picard, JF., Saïd, S., Ulrich, E., 2018.
536	Ungulates increase forest plant species richness to the benefit of non-forest specialists. Glob.
537	Chang. Biol. 24, e485-e495. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13899
538	Bourdier, T., Cordonnier, T., Kunstler, G., Piedallu, C., Lagarrigues, G., Courbaud, B., 2016. Tree size
539	inequality reduces forest productivity: An analysis combining inventory data for ten
540	european species and a light competition model. PLoS ONE 11, e0151852.
541	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0151852

- 542 Buckley, D.S., Sharik, T.L., Isebrands, J.G., 1998. Regeneration of northern red oak: Positive and
- 543 negative effects of competitor removal. Ecology 79, 65-78. https://doi.org/10.2307/176865
- 544 Burnham, K.P., Anderson, D.R., 1998. Model selection and interference: a pratical information-

545 theoretic approach. Springer-Verlag, New York.

546 Canadell, J., Lopez-Soria, L., 1998. Lignotuber reserves support regrowth following clipping of two

547 Mediterranean shrubs. Funct. Ecol. 12, 31-38. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-

548 2435.1998.00154.x

- 549 Cooke, A.S., Lakhani, K.H., 1996. Damage to coppice regrowth by muntjac deer Muntiacus reevesi and
- 550
 protectin with electric fencing. Biol. Conserv. 75, 231-238. https://doi.org/10.1016/0006

 551
 3207(95)00070-4
- Cordonnier, T., Kunstler, G., 2015. The Gini index brings asymmetric competition to light. Perspect.
 Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst. 17, 107-115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2015.01.001

554 Cromsigt, J.P.G.M., Kuijper, D.P.J., 2011. Revisiting the browsing lawn concept: Evolutionary

555 Interactions or pruning herbivores? Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst. 13, 207-215.

556 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2011.04.004

557 Cutini, A., Bongi, P., Chianucci, F., Pagon, N., Grignolio, S., Amorini, E., Apollonio, M., 2011. Roe deer

558 (*Capreolus capreolus* L.) browsing effects and use of chestnut and Turkey oak coppiced areas.

559 Ann. For. Sci. 68, 667-674. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-011-0072-4

- 560 Danell, K., Bergström, R., Edenius, L., Ericsson, G., 2003. Ungulates as drivers of tree population
- 561 dynamics at module and genet levels. For. Ecol. Manage. 181, 67-76.

562 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(03)00116-6

- 563 Decocq, G., Aubert, M., Dupont, F., Alard, D., Saguez, R., Wattez-Franger, A., Foucault, B.D., Delelis-
- 564 Dusollier, A., Bardat, J., 2004. Plant diversity in a managed temperate deciduous forest:
- 565 understorey response to two silvicultural systems. J. Appl. Ecol. 41, 1065-1079.
- 566 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0021-8901.2004.00960.x
- 567 Del Tredici, P., 2001. Sprouting in temperate trees: A morphological and ecological review. Bot. Rev.
- 568 67, 121-140. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02858075
- 569 Dey, D.C., Gardiner, E.S., Schweitzer, C.J., Kabrick, J.M., Jacobs, D.F., 2012. Underplanting to sustain
- 570 future stocking of oak (*Quercus*) in temperate deciduous forests. New For. 43, 955-978.
- 571 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11056-012-9330-z
- 572 Dey, D.C., Jensen, R.G., 2002. Stump sprouting potential of oaks in Missouri Ozark forests managed
- 573 by even- and uneven-aged silviculture. in: Shifley, S.R., Kabrick, J.M. (Eds.), Proceedings of
- 574 the Second Missouri Ozark Forest Ecosystem Project Symposium: Post-treatment Results of
- 575 the Landscape Experiment, St. Paul, MN: U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Forest Service, North
- 576 Central Forest Experiment Station, pp. 102-113.
- 577 Dey, D.C., Kabrick, J.M., Schweitzer, C.J., 2017. Silviculture to restore oak savannas and woodlands. J.

578 For. 115, 202-211. https://doi.org/10.5849/jof.15-152

- 579 Dietze, M.C., Clark, J.S., 2008. Changing the gap dynamics paradigm: Vegetative regeneration control
- 580 on forest response to disturbance. Ecol. Monogr. 78, 331-347. https://doi.org/10.1890/07-
- 581 0271.1
- Ducrey, M., Boisserie, M., 1992. Natural regrowth of holm oak coppice (*Quercus ilex* L.) following
 partial cuts. Ann. sci. for. 49, 91-109. https://doi.org/10.1051/forest:19920202

584	Durand, Y., Brun, E., Merindol, L., Guyomarc'h, G., Lesaffre, B., Martin, E., 1993. A meteorological
585	estimation of relevant parameters for snow models. Ann. Glaciol. 18, 65-71.
586	https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260305500011277
587	Edenius, L., Ericsson, G., Kempe, G., Bergström, R., Danell, K., 2011. The effects of changing land use

and browsing on aspen abundance and regeneration: A 50-year perspective from Sweden. J.

589 Appl. Ecol. 48, 301-309. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2010.01923.x

- 590 Espelta, J.M., Habrouk, A., Retana, J., 2006. Response to natural and simulated browsing of two
- 591 Mediterranean oaks with contrasting leaf habit after a wildfire. Ann. For. Sci. 63, 441-447.
- 592 https://doi.org/10.1051/forest:2006024
- 593 Fischer, H.S., 2015. On the combination of species cover values from different vegetation layers.
- 594 Appl. Veg. Sci. 18, 169-170. https://doi.org/10.1111/avsc.12130
- 595 Forrester, J.A., Lorimer, C.G., Dyer, J.H., Gower, S.T., Mladenoff, D.J., 2014. Response of tree
- 596 regeneration to experimental gap creation and deer herbivory in north temperate forests.

597 For. Ecol. Manage. 329, 137-147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2014.06.025

- 598 Frerker, K., Sonnier, G., Waller, D.M., 2013. Browsing rates and ratios provide reliable indices of
- 599 ungulate impacts on forest plant communities. For. Ecol. Manage. 291, 55-64.
- 600 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.11.041
- 601 Gardiner, E.S., Helmig, L.M., 1997. Development of water oak stump sprouts under a partial

602 overstory. New For. 14, 55-62. https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1006502107495

- 603 Gill, R.M.A., 1992. A review of damage by mammals in north temperate forests: 3. Impact on trees
- 604 and forests. Forestry (Oxf.) 65, 363-388. https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/65.4.363-a
- 605 Götmark, F., 2007. Careful partial harvesting in conservation stands and retention of large oaks
- favour oak regeneration. Biol. Conserv. 140, 349-358.
- 607 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2007.08.018

- 608 Götmark, F., 2013. Habitat management alternatives for conservation forests in the temperate zone:
- 609 Review, synthesis, and implications. For. Ecol. Manage. 306, 292-307.
- 610 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2013.06.014
- 611 Götmark, F., Berglund, Å., Wiklander, K., 2005. Browsing damage on broadleaved trees in semi-
- 612 natural temperate forest in Sweden, with a focus on oak regeneration. Scand. J. For. Res. 20,
- 613 223-234. https://doi.org/10.1080/02827580510008383
- Harrington, C.A., 1984. Factors influencing initial sprouting of red alder. Can. J. For. Res. 14, 357-361.
- 615 https://doi.org/10.1139/x84-065
- 616 Hédl, R., Kopecký, M., Komárek, J., 2010. Half a century of succession in a temperate oakwood: from
- 617 species-rich community to mesic forest. Divers. Distrib. 16, 267-276.
- 618 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2010.00637.x
- Hewitt, D.G., 2011. Biology and management of white-tailed deer. CRC Press Taylor & Francis Group,
 New York.
- 621 IUSS Working Group WRB, 2015. World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2014, update 2015.
- 622 International soil classification system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps.
- 623 World Soil Resources Reports No. 106. FAO, Rome, p. 192.
- 624 Kaji, K., Saitoh, T., Uno, H., Matsuda, H., Yamamura, K., 2010. Adaptive management of sika deer
- 625 populations in Hokkaido, Japan: theory and practice. Popul. Ecol. 52, 373-387.
- 626 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10144-010-0219-4
- 627 Kay, S., 1993. Factors affecting severity of deer browsing damage within coppiced woodlands in the
- 628 south of england. Biol. Conserv. 63, 217-222. https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-3207(93)90715-d
- 629 Kelly, D.L., 2002. The regeneration of *Quercus petraea* (sessile oak) in southwest Ireland: a 25-year
- 630 experimental study. For. Ecol. Manage. 166, 207-226. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-
- 631 1127(01)00670-3

- 632 Khan, M.L., Tripathi, R.S., 1986. Tree regeneration in a disturbed sub-tropical wet hill forest of north-
- 633 east India: Effect of stump diameter and height on sprouting of four tree species. For. Ecol.

634 Manage. 17, 199-209. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1127(86)90112-X

635 Kobe, R.K., Pacala, S.W., Silander, J.A., Canham, C.D., 1995. Juvenile tree survivorship as a component

636 of shade tolerance. Ecol. Appl. 5, 517-532. https://doi.org/10.2307/1942040

- 637 Korboulewsky, N., Pérot, T., Balandier, P., Ballon, P., Barrier, R., Boscardin, Y., Emmanuelle, D.-R.,
- 638 Dumas, Y., Ginisty, C., Gosselin, M., Hamard, J.-P., Laurent, L., Mårell, A., NDiaye, A., Perret,
- 639 S., Rocquencourt, A., Seigner, V., Vallet, P., 2015. OPTMix: Dispositif expérimental de suivi à
- 640 long terme du fonctionnement de la forêt mélangée. Rendez-vous techniques 47, 60-70.
- 641 Kuiters, A.T., Slim, P.A., 2002. Regeneration of mixed deciduous forest in a Dutch forest-heathland,
- 642 following a reduction of ungulate densities. Biol. Conserv. 105, 65-74.
- 643 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207(01)00204-X
- Latham, J., Staines, B.W., Gorman, M.L., 1996. The relative densities of red (Cervus elaphus) and roe
- 645 (*Capreolus capreolus*) deer and their relationship in Scottish plantation forests. J. Zool. 240,

646 285-299. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1996.tb05285.x

- 647 Leonardsson, J., Götmark, F., 2015. Differential survival and growth of stumps in 14 woody species
- 648 after conservation thinning in mixed oak-rich temperate forests. Eur. J. For. Res. 134, 199-
- 649 209. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-014-0843-1
- 650 Lockhart, B.R., Chambers, J.L., 2007. Cherrybark oak stump sprout survival and development five
- 651 years following plantation thinning in the lower Mississippi alluvial valley, USA. New For. 33,
- 652 183-192. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11056-006-9022-7
- Lucas, T.C.D., Moorcroft, E.A., Freeman, R., Rowcliffe, J.M., Jones, K.E., 2015. A generalised random
- 654 encounter model for estimating animal density with remote sensor data. Methods Ecol. Evol.
- 655 6, 500-509. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12346

- Marcais, B., Desprez-Loustau, M.L., 2014. European oak powdery mildew: impact on trees, effects of
 environmental factors, and potential effects of climate change. Ann. For. Sci. 71, 633-642.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-012-0252-x
- 659 Martin, J.-L., Stockton, S., Allombert, S., Gaston, A., 2010. Top-down and bottom-up consequences of
- 660 unchecked ungulate browsing on plant and animal diversity in temperate forests: lessons
- 661 from a deer introduction. Biol. Invasions 12, 353-371. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-009-662 9628-8
- Marzano, R., Lingua, E., Garbarino, M., 2012. Post-fire effects and short-term regeneration dynamics
 following high-severity crown fires in a Mediterranean forest. iForest 5, 93-100.
- 665 https://doi.org/10.3832ifor0612-005
- 666 Matula, R., Svatek, M., Kurova, J., Uradnicek, L., Kadavy, J., Kneifl, M., 2012. The sprouting ability of
- 667 the main tree species in Central European coppices: implications for coppice restoration. Eur.

668 J. For. Res. 131, 1501-1511. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-012-0618-5

- Mayer, D.G., Butler, D.G., 1993. Statistical validation. Ecol. Model. 68, 21-32.
- 670 https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3800(93)90105-2
- 671 Merlin, M., Perot, T., Perret, S., Korboulewsky, N., Vallet, P., 2015. Effects of stand composition and
- tree size on resistance and resilience to drought in sessile oak and Scots pine. For. Ecol.
- 673 Manage. 339, 22-33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2014.11.032
- Moore, W.H., Johnson, F.M., 1967. Nature of deer browsing on hardwood seedlings and sprouts. J.

675 Wildl. Manag. 31, 351-353. https://doi.org/10.2307/3798330

- 676 Moreno, J.M., Oechel, W.C., 1991. Fire intensity and herbivory effects on postfire resprouting of
- adenostoma-fasciculatum in southern california chaparral. Oecologia 85, 429-433.
- 678 https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00320621
- 679 Müllerová, J., Pejcha, V., Altman, J., Plener, T., Dörner, P., Doležal, J., 2016. Detecting coppice legacies
- from tree growth. PLoS ONE 11, e0147205. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0147205

- 681 Nocentini, S., 2009. Structure and management of beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) forests in Italy. iForest 2,
- 682 105-113. https://doi.org/10.3832ifor0499-002
- 683 Nzunda, E.F., Griffiths, M.E., Lawes, M.J., 2014. Resource allocation and storage relative to
- 684 resprouting ability in wind disturbed coastal forest trees. Evol. Ecol. 28, 735-749.
- 685 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10682-014-9698-7
- 686 O'Hara, K.L., Berrill, J.P., 2010. Dynamics of coast redwood sprout clump development in variable
 687 light environments. J. For. Res. 15, 131-139. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10310-009-0166-0
- 688 Oliver, C.D., Burkhardt, E.C., Skojac, D.A., 2005. The increasing scarcity of red oaks in Mississippi River
- floodplain forests: Influence of the residual overstory. For. Ecol. Manage. 210, 393-414.
- 690 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2005.02.036
- 691 Paula, S., Ojeda, F., 2011. Response to recurrent disturbance in two co-occurring resprouter heath
- species: the ecological consequences of withstanding herbivores. Plant Ecol. 212, 2035-2045.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-011-9927-x
- 694 Perot, T., Mårell, A., Korboulewsky, N., Seigner, V., Balandier, P., 2017. Modeling and predicting solar
- radiation transmittance in mixed forests at a within-stand scale from tree species basal area.

696 For. Ecol. Manage. 390, 127-136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2017.01.023

- Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D., R Development Core Team, 2011. nlme: Linear and
 Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme
- 699 Pinheiro, J.C., Bates, D.M., 2000. Mixed-Effects Models in S and S-PLUS. Springer, New York.
- 700 Putman, R.J., Moore, N.P., 1998. Impact of deer in lowland Britain on agriculture, forestry and
- 701 conservation habitats. Mammal. Rev. 28, 141-163. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-
- 702 2907.1998.00031.x
- 703 Pyttel, P.L., Fischer, U.F., Suchomel, C., Gärtner, S.M., Bauhus, J., 2013. The effect of harvesting on
- stump mortality and re-sprouting in aged oak coppice forests. For. Ecol. Manage. 289, 18-27.
- 705 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.09.046

- 706 R Development Core Team, 2011. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- 707 http://www.R-project.org/
- Rooney, T., 2009. High white-tailed deer densities benefit graminoids and contribute to biotic
- homogenization of forest ground-layer vegetation. Plant Ecol. 202, 103-111.
- 710 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-008-9489-8
- Rowcliffe, J.M., Field, J., Turvey, S.T., Carbone, C., 2008. Estimating animal density using camera traps
- without the need for individual recognition. J. Appl. Ecol. 45, 1228-1236.
- 713 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2008.01473.x
- Royo, A.A., Kramer, D.W., Miller, K.V., Nibbelink, N.P., Stout, S.L., 2016. The canary in the coal mine:
- 715 Sprouts as a rapid indicator of browse impact in managed forests. Ecol. Indic. 69, 269-275.
- 716 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.04.030
- 717 Rydberg, D., 2000. Initial sprouting, growth and mortality of European aspen and birch after selective
- 718 coppicing in central Sweden. For. Ecol. Manage. 130, 27-35. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-
- 719 1127(99)00187-5
- 720 Sands, B.A., Abrams, M.D., 2009. Effects of stump diameter on sprout number and size for three oak
- 721 species in a pennsylvania clearcut. North. J. Appl. For. 26, 122-125.
- 722 https://doi.org/10.1093/njaf/26.3.122
- 723 Schutz, A.E.N., Bond, W.J., Cramer, M.D., 2011. Defoliation depletes the carbohydrate reserves of
- resprouting *Acacia* saplings in an African savanna. Plant Ecol. 212, 2047-2055.
- 725 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-010-9883-x
- 526 Sjölund, M.J., Jump, A.S., 2013. The benefits and hazards of exploiting vegetative regeneration for
- forest conservation management in a warming world. Forestry (Oxf.) 86, 503-513.
- 728 https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/cpt030
- Sonohat, G., Balandier, P., Ruchaud, F., 2004. Predicting solar radiation transmittance in the
- vunderstory of even-aged coniferous stands in temperate forests. Ann. For. Sci. 61, 629-641.
- 731 https://doi.org/10.1051/forest:2004061

- 732 Splichalova, M., Adamec, Z., Kadavy, J., Kneifl, M., 2012. Probability model of sessile oak (Quercus
- 733 petraea (Matt.) Liebl.) stump sprouting in the Czech Republic. Eur. J. For. Res. 131, 1611-

734 1618. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-012-0628-3

- 735 Svatek, M., Matula, R., 2015. Fine-scale spatial patterns in oak sprouting and mortality in a newly
- restored coppice. For. Ecol. Manage. 348, 117-123.
- 737 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2015.03.048
- Tremblay, J.P., Huot, J., Potvin, F., 2007. Density-related effects of deer browsing on the regeneration
 dynamics of boreal forests. J. Appl. Ecol. 44, 552-562. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
- 740 2664.2007.01290.x
- Van Bloem, S.J., Murphy, P.G., Lugo, A.E., 2007. A link between hurricane-induced tree sprouting,
- high stem density and short canopy in tropical dry forest. Tree Physiol. 27, 475-480.
- 743 https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/27.3.475
- Vesk, P.A., Westoby, M., 2004. Sprouting ability across diverse disturbances and vegetation types
 worldwide. J. Ecol. 92, 310-320. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-0477.2004.00871.x
- 746 Waller, D.M., Alverson, W.S., 1997. The white-tailed deer: a keystone herbivore. Wildl. Soc. Bull. 25,
- 747 217-226.
- Weigel, D.R., Peng, C.-Y.J., 2002. Predicting stump sprouting and competitive success of five oak
 species in southern Indiana. Can. J. For. Res. 32, 703-712. https://doi.org/10.1139/x02-042
- 750 Xue, Y., Zhang, W., Zhou, J., Ma, C., Ma, L., 2013. Effects of stump diameter, stump height, and
- 751 cutting season on *Quercus variabilis* stump sprouting. Scand. J. For. Res. 28, 223-231.
- 752 https://doi.org/10.1080/02827581.2012.723742





- hunting pressure corresponds to a harvesting level that has maintained a relatively stable deer
- population for the last ten years.



Supplementary Figure 2. Observed damage other than deer browsing on tagged shoots in fenced
plots: (a) all types of damage excluding deer browsing, (b) powdery mildew or frost damage, (c)
browsing by rodents or lagomorphs, (d) parasitic attacks such as gall formation and plant tissue
losses due to insect herbivory and (e) vegetation competition. All shoots in the unfenced plots were
browsed by deer and other types of damages were negligible.



782 Supplementary Figure 3. Survival rate of oak stumps in late winter 2016 in fenced and unfenced plots

based on observed values in monospecific and mixed sessile oak/Scots pine stands.



Supplementary Figure 4. Observed correlation pattern between total plant cover and PACL_{EST}. Total
plant cover is continuous data bounded between 0% and 100%. We therefore used a Beta error
distribution (Chen et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2008; Damgaard, 2014) belonging to the glmmadmb
function of the glmmADMB package (Fournier et al. 2012, Skaug et al. 2016).

791 Supplementary Table 1. Summary statistics of models predicting annual growth in length (LENGTH) of

the five most vigorous shoots per sessile oak stump in mono-specific and mixed sessile oak/Scots

793 pine stands

N	df	σ_{site}	σ_{plot}	σ_{stump}	$\sigma_{\text{resid.}}$	AICc	EF_{full}	EF_{fix}
563	10	<0.001	381.9	38.4	473.4	4961.8	0.48	
sign)								
563	15	<0.001	17.9	37.0	474.2	4934.8	0.47	0.37
arent tr	ee (DE	3H _{parent}) as	covariat	e				
563	17	<0.001	26.4	34.7	471.3	4934.5	0.47	0.38
PACLES	r) as co	ovariate						
563	17	<0.001	11.5	40.0	470.8	4932.5	0.48	0.38
563	19	<0.001	11.4	39.8	471.7	4933.7	0.48	0.38
variate								
563	16	<0.001	13.8	37.4	476.0	4934.7	0.47	0.37
563	18	<0.001	12.4	35.5	475.3	4934.8	0.46	0.37
563	17	<0.001	17.1	37.3	474.5	4935.5	0.47	0.37
563	21	<0.001	10.5	36.4	476.4	4936.1	0.47	0.38
	N 563 sign) 563 arent tr 563 (PACL _{EST} 563 563 variate 563 563 563	N df 563 10 sign) 563 15 563 15 15 563 17 15 (PACL _{EST}) as color 563 563 17 563 563 19 19 variate 563 16 563 18 563 563 17 563	N df σ_{site} 563 10 <0.001	N df σ_{site} σ_{plot} 563 10 <0.001	N df σ_{site} σ_{plot} σ_{stump} 563 10 <0.001	N df σ _{site} σ _{plot} σ _{stump} σ _{resid.} 563 10 <0.001	Ndf σ_{site} σ_{plot} σ_{stump} $\sigma_{resid.}$ AICC56310<0.001	Ndf σ_{site} σ_{plot} σ_{stump} $\sigma_{resid.}$ AICCEFfull56310<0.001

composition (C), herbivore exclusion (H) and their interaction were fixed terms. The random term was composed of site,

study plot nested within site and stump nested within study plot in order to take into account the nested sampling design

797 (paired fenced-unfenced plots). Covariates were added to the model to see whether they improved model fitting or could

replace one of the fixed terms in the first model. Model selection was based on the Akaike information criterion adjusted

for small sample size (AICc). Only the best models with a difference in AICc of less than 2 are displayed. The most

800 parsimonious models are shown in bold.

801 Supplementary references

- Chen, J., Shiyomi, M., Bonham, C.D., Yasuda, T., Hori, Y., Yamamura, Y., 2008. Plant cover estimation
 based on the beta distribution in grassland vegetation. Ecol. Res. 23, 813-819.
- 804 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11284-007-0443-3
- 805 Chen, J., Shiyomi, M., Yamamura, Y., Hori, Y., 2006. Distribution model and spatial variation of cover
- in grassland vegetation. Grassl. Sci. 52, 167-173. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-
- 807 697X.2006.00065.x
- Damgaard, C., 2014. Estimating mean plant cover from different types of cover data: a coherent
 statistical framework. Ecosphere 5:1-7. https://doi.org/10.1890/ES13-00300.1
- Fournier, D.A., Skaug, H.J., Ancheta, J., Ianelli, J., Magnusson, A., Maunder, M., Nielsen, A. and Sibert,
- 811 J. 2012. AD Model Builder: using automatic differentiation for statistical inference of highly
- 812 parameterized complex nonlinear models. Optim. Methods Softw. 27, 233-249.
- 813 https://doi.org/10.1080/10556788.2011.597854.
- Lucas, T.C.D., Moorcroft, E.A., Freeman, R., Rowcliffe, J.M., Jones, K.E., 2015. A generalised random
- 815 encounter model for estimating animal density with remote sensor data. Methods Ecol. Evol.
- 816 6, 500-509. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12346
- 817 Pellerin, M., Picard, M., Saïd, S., Baubet, E., Baltzinger, C., 2016. Complementary endozoochorous
- 818 long-distance seed dispersal by three native herbivorous ungulates in Europe. Basic Appl. Ecol.
- 819 17, 321-332. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2016.01.005
- 820 Rowcliffe, J.M., Field, J., Turvey, S.T., Carbone, C., 2008. Estimating animal density using camera traps
- without the need for individual recognition. J. Appl. Ecol. 45, 1228-1236.
- 822 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2008.01473.x
- 823 Skaug, H., Fournier, D., Bolker, B., Magnusson, A., Nielsen, A. 2016. Generalized Linear Mixed Models
- using 'AD Model Builder'. R package version 0.8.3.3.