

# Investigation of swelling pressure of bentonite/claystone mixture in the full range of bentonite fraction

Zhixiong Zeng, Yu Jun Cui, Feng Zhang, Nathalie Conil, Jean Talandier

### ▶ To cite this version:

Zhixiong Zeng, Yu Jun Cui, Feng Zhang, Nathalie Conil, Jean Talandier. Investigation of swelling pressure of bentonite/claystone mixture in the full range of bentonite fraction. Applied Clay Science, 2019, 178, 25 p. 10.1016/j.clay.2019.105137 . hal-02499315

## HAL Id: hal-02499315 https://hal.science/hal-02499315v1

Submitted on 28 May 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Investigation of swelling pressure of bentonite/claystone mixture in the full range
2	of bentonite fraction
3	
4 5	Zhixiong Zeng <sup>1</sup> , Yu-Jun Cui <sup>1</sup> , Feng Zhang <sup>1</sup> , Nathalie Conil <sup>2</sup> , Jean Talandier <sup>2</sup>
6 7	1: Ecole des Ponts ParisTech, Laboratoire Navier/CERMES, 6 et 8 avenue Blaise Pascal, 77455 Marne La Vallée cedex 2, France
8 9	2: CMHM, Andra, RD 960, 55290 Bure, France
10	
11	
12 13	
14	Corresponding author
15	Professor Yu-Jun Cui
16	Ecole des Ponts ParisTech, Laboratoire Navier/CERMES, 6 – 8 av. Blaise Pascal, Cité Descartes,
17	Champs-sur-Marne, 77455 Marne – la – Vallée cedex 2, France
18	Tel.: +33 164153550
19	Fax: +33 164153562
20	E-mail address: yu-jun.cui@enpc.fr

21 Abstract: MX80 bentonite/Callovo-Oxfordian (COx) claystone mixture has been proposed as a 22 sealing/backfilling material in a deep geological repository of radioactive waste in France. A good understanding of the swelling behaviour of this mixture is essential when evaluating the 23 long-term performance of the repository. In this work, the swelling pressure of MX80 24 bentonite/COx claystone mixture was investigated by the constant-volume method for a full 25 26 range of bentonite fraction. Results show that the swelling of claystone in the mixture can be 27 inhibited by bentonite and its contribution to the global swelling pressure depends on the 28 bentonite fraction. For the mixture with more than 70% bentonite, claystone behaves as an inert 29 material, and its contribution to the global swelling pressure can be ignored. However, for the 30 mixture with less bentonite, the swelling of claystone will significantly contribute to the global swelling pressure. A method was proposed allowing the swelling pressure of bentonite/claystone 31 32 mixture to be predicted in the full range of bentonite fraction.

33

34 Keywords: bentonite/claystone mixture; swelling pressure; bentonite dry density; inhibition
35 effect; prediction method

#### 36 1 Introduction

Deep geological disposal has been adopted for radioactive waste in many countries such as China, 37 38 Belgium, France, Germany, Japan, Sweden, etc. (Gray et al., 1984; Dixon et al., 1985; Sellin and Leupin, 2013). To ensure the isolation of radioactive waste from the surrounding environment, 39 40 bentonite-based materials are often considered as possible sealing/backfilling materials because of 41 their favorable swelling characteristics and low permeability (Pusch, 1982; Dixon et al., 1985; 42 Komine and Ogata, 1994, 1999; Villar and Lloret, 2008). Once the disposal galleries are closed, pore water from the host rock will progressively infiltrate into the sealing/backfilling materials. They will 43 44 swell and seal the technological voids between the blocks of compacted bentonite-based materials or 45 between the blocks and the canisters/the host rock (Bian et al., 2018). Afterwards, swelling pressure 46 will develop (Pusch, 1982; Wang et al., 2012). This swelling pressure must be high enough to ensure 47 the good sealing performance, but lower than the in situ minor stress in the host rock (Saba et al., 48 2014). Therefore, the swelling pressure of bentonite-based materials becomes a key factor in the 49 design of deep geological repositories.

50 In the past decades, pure bentonite or bentonite/sand mixture was widely investigated as a sealing/backfilling material for the easy control of its swelling pressure (Komine and Ogata, 1994, 51 1999). A number of studies have been conducted on the swelling pressure of different compacted 52 53 bentonites and their mixture with sand, such as Kunigel-V1 bentonite (Sun et al., 2009), MX80 bentonite (Karnland et al., 2008; Saba et al., 2014), Calcigel bentonite (Agus and Schanz, 2008), 54 Tsukinuno bentonite (Komine and Ogata, 1999) and GMZ bentonite (Ye et al., 2007; Cui et al., 2012; 55 Sun et al., 2015, 2017). The swelling pressure of bentonite/sand mixture has been characterized 56 quantitatively based on the montmorillonite void ratio (Sun et al., 2009; Sun et al., 2015, 2017), dry 57

density of bentonite (Dixon et al., 1985; Lee et al., 1999; Agus and Schanz, 2008), effective dry
density of montmorillonite (Dixon et al., 2002; Powell et al., 2013), and initial degree of saturation of
montmorillonite (Rao and Ravi, 2015).

61 The French National Agency for Nuclear Waste Management (ANDRA) proposed to use a 62 mixture of bentonite and excavated Callovo-Oxfordian (COx) claystone as the sealing/backfilling 63 material. This aims to reduce the excavation waste and to better ensure the compatibility of chemistry with the host rock (Tang et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2012, 2014). Wang et al. (2012) worked 64 on a MX80 bentonite/crushed COx claystone mixture at a proportion of 70/30 in dry mass and found 65 66 that the unique relationship between the swelling pressure and bentonite dry density could be 67 extended to this mixture, the contribution of claystone to swelling pressure being negligible. However, the swelling mechanism of bentonite/claystone mixtures with bentonite fractions lower 68 69 than 70% has not been well understood.

In this study, the swelling pressure of MX80 bentonite/crushed COx claystone mixture with different dry densities and bentonite fractions was studied. The role of claystone in the development of swelling pressure of the mixture was analyzed, allowing an inhibition factor to be defined for describing the effect of bentonite on the swelling of claystone. A novel method was then proposed to predict the swelling pressure of bentonite/claystone mixture in the full range of bentonite fraction.

75 2 Materials and experimental methods

76 2.1 Materials

The commercial MX80 bentonite tested in this study was extracted from Wyoming, USA. Table 1 summarizes the basic physical and chemical properties of MX80 bentonite. The maximum grain size of the bentonite powder is 2 mm and the clay-size fraction (< 2 µm) represents 84%. The density of</p> bentonite grains was measured to be 2.00 Mg/m<sup>3</sup> by immersing clay powders into a non-aromatic
hydrocarbon fluid (Kerdane) using a pycnometer. The initial suction measured by hygrometer WP4
was 101 MPa.

The COx claystone was extracted at around 490 m depth from the Underground Research Laboratory (URL) at Bure, France. The claystone contains 40-45% clay minerals (mainly interstratified illite-smectite), 30% carbonates and 2-30% quartz and feldspar, with a specific gravity of 2.70 (Fouché et al., 2004). It was crushed into fine powders with grain size less than 2.0 mm. More than 30% grains were smaller than 2 µm. The density of the claystone grains is 2.31 Mg/m<sup>3</sup>. The initial suction measured by hygrometer WP4 was 27 MPa.

In this study, a synthetic water (see Table 2 for the receipt of preparation), which has the same chemical composition as the site groundwater from the URL in Bure, was used for hydration. The total mass of dissolved solids is 4.545 g/L, which corresponds to a salinity (ratio of salt mass to solution mass) lower than 0.5%.

93 2.2 Sample preparation

A series of tests were conducted on compacted claystone and MX80 bentonite/claystone mixtures with bentonite fractions of 10, 20, 30, 50, and 70% in dry mass. The bentonite and claystone, with initial water contents of 11.4% and 6.1%, respectively, were mixed for more than 10 min to reach a homogeneous state. Subsequently, samples were statically compacted in a cylindrical mold at a constant displacement rate of 0.05 mm/min to reach the target dry density (Table 3). This rate was chosen to ensure zero air over pressure in soil during compaction. After decompression, the samples had a diameter of 50 mm and a height of 10 mm.

101 2.3 Experimental methods

102 Twenty-five swelling pressure tests were carried out using a constant-volume cell at a temperature of 103 20±1°C. The details about the constant-volume cell can be found in Saba et al. (2014). The 104 compacted samples were pushed into the testing cell from the mold and placed between two porous 105 stones and filter papers. The top cap was locked by a screw. The samples were hydrated from bottom 106 through the water inlet connected to the synthetic water reservoir. The swelling pressure was 107 monitored by a force transducer mounted below the test cell and all data were collected by a data 108 logger. The maximum deformations of the apparatus and filter papers in this study were estimated to 109 be 0.0049 and 0.0169 mm, corresponding to a small reduction of 2.3% in maximum swelling 110 pressure. Thus, the volume change of samples throughout the water-uptake process was ignored.

#### 111 **3 Experimental results**

#### 112 3.1 Swelling pressure kinetics

113 The evolution of swelling pressure for samples with different bentonite fractions and dry densities is 114 presented in Fig. 1. On the whole, for the samples with high bentonite fractions (larger than 50%) and high dry densities (larger than 1.50 Mg/m<sup>3</sup>), the swelling pressure increased rapidly and then 115 116 reached stabilization. For the samples with low bentonite fractions (smaller than 20%) and low dry 117 densities (smaller than 1.78 Mg/m<sup>3</sup>), the swelling pressure started with a fast increase followed by a 118 peak value, a decrease and then reached stabilization. The presence of these peaks is related to the 119 collapse of macro-pores between soil grains (Pusch, 1982; Komine and Ogata, 1994). The lower the 120 dry density, the larger the volume of the macro-pores among grains (Lloret and Villar, 2007). At the same dry density, more macro-pores are expected in the samples with larger fractions of claystone, 121 because of the higher unit mass of claystone (2.31 Mg/m<sup>3</sup>) as compared with that of bentonite (2.00 122 123  $Mg/m^3$ ). It can also be observed that the time required to reach stabilization decreased with the decrease of dry density and bentonite fraction, which can be explained by the higher hydraulicconductivity of samples with a lower dry density and a larger claystone fraction.

#### 126 *3.2 Relationship between final swelling pressure and dry density of the mixture*

127 Fig. 2 depicts the changes of final swelling pressure  $(P_s)$  with the dry density of samples. The results of Karnland et al. (2008) on pure MX80 bentonite, Tang et al. (2011) on pure COx claystone, Wang 128 et al. (2012) and Zhang and Kröhn (2019) on MX80 bentonite/COx claystone mixture are also 129 130 presented. The swelling pressure values remarkably agree even though the tested materials were from 131 different batches, suggesting that the influence of MX80 bentonite and claystone batches was not 132 enough as to be relevant in the swelling pressure tests. For a given bentonite fraction, the final 133 swelling pressure increased with increasing dry density. At the same dry density, the final swelling 134 pressure of bentonite/claystone mixture after saturation was much lower than that of pure bentonite, 135 indicating that the addition of claystone reduced the global swelling capability.

#### 136 4 Interpretation and discussion

#### 137 *4.1 Contribution of claystone to swelling pressure*

As shown in Fig. 3a, each bentonite-based mixture after the full bentonite hydration process can be divided into four parts: bentonite, voids in bentonite, additive (crushed COx claystone or sand) and voids in additive (Wang et al., 2012; Deng et al., 2017). The bentonite dry density ( $\rho_{db}$ ) can be formulated by the following equation:

142 
$$\rho_{\rm db} = \frac{(B/100)\rho_{\rm m}G_{\rm sa}\rho_{\rm w}}{G_{\rm sa}\rho_{\rm w}(1+w_{\rm m}/100)-\rho_{\rm m}(1-B/100)(1+G_{\rm sa}w_{\rm a})} = \frac{(B/100)\rho_{\rm dm}G_{\rm sa}\rho_{\rm w}}{G_{\rm sa}\rho_{\rm w}-\rho_{\rm dm}(1-B/100)(1+G_{\rm sa}w_{\rm a})}$$
(1)

143 where  $\rho_m$  (Mg/m<sup>3</sup>) is the mixture density;  $\rho_{dm}$  (Mg/m<sup>3</sup>) is the dry density of the mixture;  $\rho_w$  is the 144 water unit mass; *B* (%) is the bentonite fraction (in dry mass) in the mixture;  $w_m$  is the water content 145 of the mixture;  $w_a$  is the water content of additive;  $G_{sa}$  is the specific gravity of additive. 146 For bentonite/sand mixtures, the water content of inactive sand is regarded as zero and the 147 bentonite dry density can be calculated directly using Eq. (1). A unique relationship has been 148 identified between swelling pressure and dry density of Avonseal (Gray et al., 1984), Calcigel (Agus 149 and Schanz, 2008), GMZ (Cui et al., 2012) and MX80 (Saba, 2013) bentonites regardless of the 150 bentonite fraction. For the MX80 bentonite/claystone mixture, the bentonite dry density of samples 151 cannot be calculated directly since the water content of claystone after saturation is unknown. In 152 terms of swelling pressure, the swelling capacity of pure bentonite is more than 100 times larger than 153 that of pure claystone at the same dry density (Fig. 3b) and the initial suction of pure bentonite is 154 about 4 times higher than that of pure claystone. During wetting, the bentonite in the mixture swelled 155 rapidly and came in full contact with the claystone while the volume change of the claystone was 156 influenced by the swelling pressure from bentonite. To determine the bentonite and claystone dry 157 densities, the final pressure at the interface of bentonite and claystone grains was assumed to be 158 equal to the global swelling pressure. This assumption was also adopted by Yang et al. (2002) when 159 investigating the consolidation behavior of lumpy granular soil under one-dimensional condition. In 160 this case, the bentonite dry density in the mixture can be estimated according to the relationship 161 between the swelling pressure of pure bentonite and its dry density (Fig. 3b):

$$P_{\rm s} = 1.652 \times 10^{-4} \exp^{6.781\rho_{\rm db}} \tag{2}$$

163 At a certain global swelling pressure  $P_s$ , the corresponding bentonite dry density  $\rho_{db}$  in the 164 bentonite/claystone mixture can be back-calculated through the unique relationship for pure 165 bentonite. The claystone dry density  $\rho_{dc}$  in the mixture, the ratio of solid mass of claystone to the 166 volume occupied by claystone (Fig. 3a), can be calculated using Eq. (3):

167 
$$\rho_{\rm dc} = \frac{m_{\rm sc}}{V - V_{\rm b}} = \frac{V \rho_{\rm dm} (1 - B/100)}{V - \frac{V \rho_{\rm dm} (B/100)}{\rho_{\rm db}}} = \frac{\rho_{\rm db} \rho_{\rm dm} (1 - B/100)}{\rho_{\rm db} - \rho_{\rm dm} (B/100)}$$
(3)

where  $m_{sc}$  is the solid mass of claystone; V is the total volume of the mixture;  $V_b$  is the volume of bentonite.

170 Then, the claystone void ratio  $e_c$  in the mixture can be deduced using Eq. (4):

171 
$$e_{\rm c} = \frac{G_{\rm sc}\rho_{\rm w}}{\rho_{\rm dc}} - 1 \tag{4}$$

172 where  $G_{sc}$  is the specific gravity of claystone. The calculated  $e_c$  is summarized in Table 3.

173 If the claystone can swell without the influence of bentonite, the expected claystone dry density 174  $\rho_{dc}^{e}$  needed to achieve the above swelling pressure  $P_{s}$  can be similarly obtained from the correlation 175 between the swelling pressure of pure claystone and its dry density (Fig. 3b): :

176 
$$P_{\rm s} = 2.208 \times 10^{-8} \exp^{8.802\rho_{\rm dc}^{\rm e}}$$
 (5)

177 Correspondingly, the expected claystone void ratio  $e_c^e$  can be deduced using Eq. (6):

178 
$$e_{\rm c}^{\rm e} = \frac{G_{\rm sc}\rho_{\rm w}}{\rho_{\rm dc}^{\rm e}} -1 \tag{6}$$

179 During wetting, the stress states of claystone grains in bentonite/claystone mixture and pure 180 claystone are different. In the former, the wetting of claystone is under the pressure imposed by the swelling of bentonite and the water absorption of claystone is restrained (Attom and Barakat, 2000). 181 182 By contrast, in the latter, the pressure between claystone grains gradually increases with wetting. 183 Therefore, at a given global swelling pressure,  $e_c$  in the bentonite/claystone mixture should be 184 smaller than  $e_c^e$ , which is corroborated by the calculated result in Table 3. The difference between  $e_c$ and  $e_c^e$  physically represents the inhibition degree of bentonite on the swelling of claystone. On the 185 186 whole, the larger the bentonite fraction, the larger the difference and the greater the inhibition effect.

187 For further analysis of the interaction between bentonite and claystone in swelling pressure

188 development, an inhibition factor  $\eta$  is defined as follows:

189

$$\eta = \frac{e_{\rm c}^{\rm e} - e_{\rm c}}{e_{\rm c}^{\rm e}} = 1 - \frac{e_{\rm c}}{e_{\rm c}^{\rm e}} \tag{7}$$

The calculated inhibition factor values for the samples tested are summarized in Table 3. Fig. 4a depicts the variation of  $\eta$  with bentonite fraction. For pure bentonite, the value of inhibition factor is 1; for pure claystone, it equals 0. The inhibition factor increases with the increasing bentonite fraction, following a nonlinear relationship. This relationship can be well described by the following expression with a squared correlation coefficient  $R^2 = 0.972$ :

195 
$$\eta = \frac{1}{1 + e^{10.664(0.595 - B/100)}}$$
(8)

From Fig. 4a, two inflection points of the sigmoidal curve can be identified, at approximately 40% and 70% bentonite fractions. The inhibition effect of bentonite on the swelling of claystone can be divided into three zones according to these two critical values, that is, Zone I,  $100 \ge B \ge 70$ ; Zone II,  $70 \ge B \ge 40$ ; Zone III,  $40 \ge B \ge 0$ . Fig. 4b shows the sketch of bentonite/claystone mixture before and after full saturation in the three zones.

In Zone I,  $100 \ge B \ge 70$ , the inhibition factor  $\eta$  is larger than 0.73. The fully swollen bentonite grains form a matrix and claystone grains disperse into the matrix. The claystone grains behave as an inert material and their swelling is almost completely inhibited by bentonite. After wetting, the claystone void ratio  $e_c$  in the mixture (Table 3) is even slightly less than the initial void ratio of claystone grain (0.17), due to the collapse of claystone under the swelling pressure induced by bentonite.

In Zone II,  $70>B \ge 40$ , the inhibition factor  $\eta$  is between 0.11 and 0.73. The swelling of claystone grains is partially restrained by bentonite and the claystone can swell in volume and fill up some voids in the mixture. In this case, partially swelling of the claystone will contribute to the 210 global swelling pressure.

In Zone III,  $40>B \ge 0$ , the inhibition factor  $\eta$  is smaller than 0.11. Both claystone and bentonite grains swell upon wetting. The fully swollen bentonite grains disperse in the skeleton formed by the swollen claystone. The claystone void ratio  $e_c$  in the mixture is very close to the expected claystone void ratio  $e_c^e$ , suggesting that the global swelling pressure is governed by claystone.

#### 215 *4.2 Estimation of swelling pressure of bentonite/claystone mixture*

According to the inhibition factor determined above and the relationships between swelling pressure and dry density for pure bentonite and claystone, the swelling pressure of samples with different bentonite fractions and dry densities can be computed following an iteration procedure. Fig. 5 is a flowchart showing how to predict the swelling pressure of MX80 bentonite/COx claystone mixture in the full range of bentonite fraction. The general outline of this procedure is summarized as follows: (a) to assign an initial value of swelling pressure  $P_0$ ;

- (b) to back-calculate the expected claystone dry density based on Eq. (5) and to determine thecorresponding expected claystone void ratio using Eq. (6);
- (c) to determine the inhibition factor using Eq. (8) and to compute the claystone void ratio in thebentonite/claystone mixture using Eq. (7);
- (d) to apply Eq. (4) to calculate the claystone dry density and to back-calculate the bentonite drydensity using Eq. (3);
- (e) to compute the swelling pressure  $P_1$  according to Eq. (2);
- 229 (f) to judge the absolute error between  $P_1$  and  $P_0$ : if  $|P_1-P_0|$  is smaller than a certain tolerance  $\alpha$
- 230 (0.0001 MPa in this study),  $P_1$  is the predicted value of swelling pressure; else, to assign  $P_0 = P_1$  and
- to go to step (a) for a new iteration.

The predicted and the measured swelling pressures are compared in Fig. 2, as a function of the dry density of the mixture, showing a good agreement. This agreement shows the performance of the proposed method as well as the relevance of the identified swelling mechanism.

235 **5 Conclusions** 

The swelling pressure of MX80 bentonite/COx claystone mixture with different bentonite fractions was investigated by carrying out constant-volume swelling pressure tests. The obtained results allow the following conclusions to be drawn:

239 The swelling of claystone in the mixture can be inhibited by bentonite and the contribution of 240 claystone to the global swelling pressure depends on the bentonite fraction (B). An inhibition factor 241 was introduced as a function of the bentonite fraction to describe the inhibition effect. According to 242 two inflection points (40% and 70%), the inhibition effect can be divided into three zones (Zone I, 243  $100 \ge B > 70$ ; Zone II,  $70 \ge B > 40$ ; Zone III,  $40 \ge B \ge 0$ ). In Zone I, the swelling of claystone is almost 244 totally inhibited by bentonite; in Zone II, the swelling of claystone grains is partially restrained by 245 bentonite and the claystone can swell and contribute to the global swelling pressure of the mixture; in 246 Zone III, claystone fully swells upon wetting and the claystone governs the global swelling pressure.

A predictive method was proposed, allowing the swelling pressure of the mixture with different bentonite fractions and dry densities to be calculated. The good agreement between the calculated and measured swelling pressure values showed the performance of the proposed method as well as the relevance of the identified swelling mechanism.

#### 251 Acknowledgments

The authors thank the China Scholarship Council (CSC). The support provided by the FrenchNational Agency for Nuclear Waste Management (ANDRA) is also greatly acknowledged.

#### 254 **References**

- Agus, S.S., Schanz, T., 2008. A method for predicting the swelling pressure of compacted bentonites.
  Acta Geotech. 3(2), 125.
- Attom, M.F., Barakat, S., 2000. Investigation of three methods for evaluating swelling pressure of
  soils. Environ. Eng. Geosci. 6(3), 293-299.
- Bian, X., Cui, Y.J., Li, X.Z., 2018. Voids effect on the swelling behaviour of compacted bentonite.
  Géotechnique, 1-13.
- Cui, S.L., Zhang, H.Y., Zhang, M., 2012. Swelling characteristics of compacted GMZ bentonite–
  sand mixtures as a buffer/backfill material in China. Eng. Geol. 141, 65-73.
- Deng, Y.F., Wu, Z.L., Cui, Y.J., Liu, S.Y., Wang, Q., 2017. Sand fraction effect on
  hydro-mechanical behavior of sand-clay mixture. Appl. Clay Sci. 135, 355-361.
- Dixon, D.A., Gray, M.N., Thomas, A.W., 1985. A study of the compaction properties of potential
  clays and buffer mixtures for use in nuclear fuel waste disposal. Eng. Geol. 21(3/4):247-255.
- 267 Dixon, D.A., Chandler, N.A., Baumgartner, P., 2002. The influence of groundwater salinity and
- 268 influences on the performance of potential backfill materials. Proceedings of the 6th
- 269 International Workshop on Design and Construction of Final Reposito- ries, Backfilling in
- 270 Radioactive Waste Disposal, Brussels, Belgium, 11-13 March 2002. ONDRAF/NIRAS,
- 271 Brussels, Belgium (Transactions, Session IV, paper 9).
- Gray, M.N., Cheung, S.C.H., Dixon, D.A., 1984. Swelling pressures of compacted bentonite/sand
  mixtures. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp, 44, 523-530.
- Fouché, O., Wright, H., Le Cléac'h, J.M., Pellenard, P., 2004. Fabric control on strain and rupture of
- heterogeneous shale samples by using a non-conventional mechanical test. Appl. Clay Sci.

276 26(1-4), 367-387.

- Karnland, O., Nilsson, U., Weber, H., Wersin, P., 2008. Sealing ability of Wyoming bentonite pellets
  foreseen as buffer material–laboratory results. Phys. Chem. Earth Parts A/B/C, 33, S472-S475.
- 279 Komine, H., Ogata, N., 1994. Experimental study on swelling characteristics of compacted bentonite.

280 Can. Geotech. J. 31(4), 478-490.

- Komine, H., Ogata, N., 1999. Experimental study on swelling characteristics of sand-bentonite
  mixture for nuclear waste disposal. Soils Found. 39(2), 83-97.
- Lee, J.O., Cho, W.J., Chun, K.S., 1999. Swelling pressures of a potential buffer material for
  high-level waste repository. J. Korean Nucl. Soc. 31(2), 139-150.
- Lloret, A., Villar, M.V., 2007. Advances on the knowledge of the thermo-hydro-mechanical
  behaviour of heavily compacted "FEBEX" bentonite. Phys. Chem. Earth Parts A/B/C 32(8-14),
  701-715.
- Powell, J.S., Siemens, G.A., Take, W.A., Remenda, V.H., 2013. Characterizing the swelling
  potential of Bearpaw clayshale. Eng. Geol. 158, 89-97.
- Pusch, R., 1982. Mineral–water interactions and their influence on the physical behavior of highly
  compacted Na bentonite. Can. Geotech. J. 19(3), 381-387.
- 292 Rao, S.M., Ravi, K., 2015. Influence of initial degree of saturation on swell pressures of compacted
- Barmer bentonite specimens. Ann. Nucl. Eng. 80, 303-311.
- Saba, S., 2013. Hydro-mechanical behaviour of bentonite-sand mixture used as sealing materials in
   radioactive waste disposal galleries (Doctoral dissertation, Université Paris-Est).
- Saba, S., Barnichon, J. D., Cui, Y. J., Tang, A. M., Delage, P., 2014. Microstructure and anisotropic
- swelling behaviour of compacted bentonite/sand mixture. J. Rock Mech. Geotech. Eng. 6(2),

298 126-132.

- Sellin, P., Leupin, O.X., 2013. The use of clay as an engineered barrier in radioactive-waste
  management–a review. Clays Clay Miner. 61(6), 477-498.
- 301 Sun, D.A., Cui, H., Sun, W.J., 2009. Swelling of compacted sand-bentonite mixtures. Appl. Clay Sci.
  302 43(3-4), 485-492.
- Sun, W.J., Wei, Z.F., Sun, Da., Liu, S.Q., Fatahi, B., Wang, X.Q., 2015. Evaluation of the swelling
  characteristics of bentonite-sand mixtures. Eng. Geol. 199, 1-11.
- 305 Sun, W.J., Zong, F.Y., Sun, D.A., Wei, Z.F., Schanz, T., Fatahi, B., 2017. Swelling prediction of
- bentonite-sand mixtures in the full range of sand content. Eng. Geol. 222, 146-155.
- 307 Tang, A.M., Cui, Y.J., Le, T.T., 2008. A study on the thermal conductivity of compacted bentonites.
  308 Appl. Clay Sci. 41 (3-4), 181–189.
- 309 Tang, C.S., Tang, A.M., Cui, Y.J., Delage, P., Schroeder, C., De Laure, E., 2011. Investigating the
- 310 pressure of compacted crushed-Callovo-Oxfordian claystone. Phys. Chem. Earth Parts A/B/C
- 311 36 (17-18), 1857-1866.
- Villar, M.V., Lloret, A., 2008. Influence of dry density and water content on the swelling of a
  compacted bentonite. Appl. Clay Sci. 39(1-2), 38-49.
- 314 Wang, Q., Tang, A.M., Cui, Y.J., Delage, P., Gatmiri, B., 2012. Experimental study on the swelling
- behaviour of bentonite/claystone mixture. Eng. Geol. 124, 59-66.
- 316 Wang, Q., Cui, Y.J., Tang, A.M., Delage, P., Gatmiri, B., Ye, W.M., 2014. Long-term effect of water
- 317 chemistry on the swelling pressure of a bentonite-based material. Appl. Clay Sci. 87, 157-162.
- 318 Yang, L.A., Tan, T.S., Tan, S.A., Leung, C.F., 2002. One-dimensional self-weight consolidation of a
- 319 lumpy clay fill. Géotechnique 52(10), 713-725.

320	Ye, W.M., Schanz, T., Qian, L.X., Wang, J., Arifin, Y., 2007. Characteristics of swelling pressure of
321	densely compacted Gaomiaozi bentonite GMZ01. Chin. J. Rock Mech. Eng. 26 (S2), 3861-
322	3865 (in Chinese).

- 323 Zhang, C.L., Kröhn, K.P., 2019. Sealing behaviour of crushed claystone-bentonite mixtures.
- 324 Geomech. Energy Environ. 17, 90-105.

- 326 List of Tables
- 327 Table 1 Physical and chemical properties of MX80 bentonite (data from Tang et al. (2008), Saba
- 328 (2013) and Wang et al. (2014))
- 329 Table 2 Receipt for preparing the synthetic water
- 330 Table 3 Test program and main results
- 331 List of Figures
- Fig. 1. Evolution of swelling pressure of bentonite/claystone mixture with bentonite fractions of (a)
- 333 70%; (b) 50%; (c) 20%; (d) 10%
- Fig. 2. Measured and predicted swelling pressures as function of the dry density of samples. Note:
- the legend indicates the bentonite fraction
- 336 Fig. 3. Composition of bentonite/additive mixture (a) and calculation of bentonite dry density and
- 337 expected claystone dry density of bentonite/claystone mixture (b)
- 338 Fig. 4. Evolution of inhibition factor with bentonite fraction (a) and swelling mechanism of
- bentonite/claystone mixture in three zones (b). Note: the zones for bentonite and claystone after full
  saturation include voids in them
- 341 Fig. 5. Flowchart for swelling pressure prediction of bentonite/claystone mixture in the full range of
- 342 bentonite fraction
- 343

Soil property	Description		
Specific gravity	2.76-2.77		
Consistency limit			
Liquid limit (%)	520-575		
Plastic limit (%)	42-53		
Plasticity index (%)	478-522		
Cation exchange capacity (CEC) (meq/100 g)	78-85		
Main exchangeable cations (meq/100 g)			
Na <sup>+</sup>	60-67		
K+	1		
$Mg^{2+}$	3-4		
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	5-8		
Main minerals			
Montmorillonite (%)	70-92		
Quartz (%)	3-15		

**Table 1** Physical and chemical properties of MX80 bentonite (data from Tang et al. (2008), Saba (2013) and Wang et al. (2014))

\_

346 Table 2 Receipt for preparing the synthetic water

Table 2 Receipt for preparing the synthetic water								
Components	NaCl	NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	KCl	CaSO <sub>4</sub> •2H <sub>2</sub> O	MgSO <sub>4</sub> •7H <sub>2</sub> 0	CaCl <sub>2</sub> •2H <sub>2</sub> O	$Na_2SO_4$	Total
Content (g/L)	1.950	0.130	0.035	0.630	1.020	0.080	0.700	4.545

Test No	Bentonite	Dry density	Initial water	Bentonite	Claystone	Expected	Inhibition	Final swelling
1050110.	(%)	$\rho_{\rm dm} ({\rm Mg/m^3})$	(%)	$\rho_{db}$ (Mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	ec	ratio $e_{\rm c}^{\rm e}$	factor $\eta$	(MPa)
01	70	1.38	9.8	1.15	0.08	0.42	0.81	0.43
02	70	1.50	9.8	1.29	0.13	0.34	0.60	1.11
03	70	1.63	9.8	1.42	0.07	0.28	0.74	2.53
04	70	1.71	9.8	1.48	0.02	0.25	0.91	3.94
05	50	1.27	8.8	0.98	0.49	0.52	0.06	0.13
06	50	1.56	8.8	1.26	0.30	0.36	0.16	0.85
07	50	1.73	8.8	1.39	0.18	0.29	0.38	2.10
08	50	1.76	8.8	1.44	0.19	0.27	0.28	2.91
09	30	1.50	7.7	1.06	0.47	0.47	0.00	0.22
10	30	1.60	7.7	1.16	0.41	0.41	0.00	0.46
11	30	1.68	7.7	1.24	0.36	0.37	0.02	0.78
12	30	1.79	7.7	1.35	0.30	0.31	0.06	1.59
13	30	1.89	7.7	1.43	0.23	0.28	0.18	2.72
14	30	1.99	7.7	1.52	0.18	0.23	0.23	5.23
15	20	1.60	7.2	1.08	0.49	0.46	-0.06	0.26
16	20	1.69	7.2	1.16	0.41	0.41	0.01	0.44
17	20	1.77	7.2	1.23	0.35	0.38	0.06	0.70
18	20	1.88	7.2	1.38	0.31	0.30	-0.02	1.93
19	10	1.61	6.6	0.98	0.56	0.52	-0.08	0.14
20	10	1.68	6.6	1.06	0.50	0.47	-0.07	0.23
21	10	1.78	6.6	1.14	0.42	0.42	0.01	0.39
22	10	1.90	6.6	1.29	0.35	0.34	-0.02	1.07
23	0	1.80	6.1	-	-	-	0	0.15
24	0	1.90	6.1	-	-	-	0	0.47
25	0	1.99	6.1	-	-	-	0	0.79

**Table 3** Test program and main results



Fig. 1. Evolution of swelling pressure of bentonite/claystone mixture with bentonite fractions of (a) 70%; (b) 50%; (c) 20%; (d) 10%



354 Fig. 2. Measured and predicted swelling pressures as function of the dry density of samples. Note: the legend indicates the bentonite

fraction



Fig. 3. Composition of bentonite/additive mixture (a) and calculation of bentonite dry density and expected claystone dry density of
 bentonite/claystone mixture (b)







Fig. 5. Flowchart for swelling pressure prediction for bentonite/claystone mixture in the full range of bentonite fraction