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#### Abstract

In the paper, the author investigates some properties, including analyticity, limits, monotonicity, complete monotonicity, and inequalities, of several functions involving the tri-, tetra-, and penta-gamma functions and originating from computation of the sectional curvature of the beta manifold.
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## 1 Motivations and main results

Let $M=\{(x, y): x, y>0\}$ denote the first quadrant on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Let

$$
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\psi^{\prime}(x) \mathrm{d} x^{2}+\psi^{\prime}(y) \mathrm{d} y^{2}-\psi^{\prime}(x+y)(\mathrm{d} x+\mathrm{d} y)^{2}
$$

be the Fisher metric and $M$ be equipped with $\mathrm{d} s^{2}$, where

$$
\psi(z)=[\ln \Gamma(z)]^{\prime}=\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(z)}{\Gamma(z)} \quad \text { and } \quad \Gamma(z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{z-1} e^{-t} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

for $\Re(z)>0$. In the literature [1, Section 6.4], the function $\Gamma(z)$ is known as the Euler gamma function, the functions $\psi(z), \psi^{\prime}(z), \psi^{\prime \prime}(z), \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(z)$, and $\psi^{(4)}(z)$ are known as the di-, tri-, tetra-, penta-, and hexa-gamma functions respectively, and, as a whole, all the derivatives $\psi^{(k)}(z)$ for $k \geq 0$ are known as the polygamma functions.

Proposition 3 in [8, Section 2.4] and Proposition 13 in $[9]$ read that the sectional curvature $K(x, y)$ of the Fisher metric $\mathrm{d} s^{2}$ on the beta manifold $\left(M, \mathrm{~d} s^{2}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(x, y)=\frac{1}{4} \frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(x) \psi^{\prime \prime}(y) \psi^{\prime \prime}(x+y)\left[\frac{\psi^{\prime}(x)}{\psi^{\prime \prime}(x)}+\frac{\psi^{\prime}(y)}{\psi^{\prime \prime}(y)}-\frac{\psi^{\prime}(x+y)}{\psi^{\prime \prime}(x+y)}\right]}{\left[\psi^{\prime}(x) \psi^{\prime}(x+y)+\psi^{\prime}(y) \psi^{\prime}(x+y)-\psi^{\prime}(x) \psi^{\prime}(y)\right]^{2}} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 4 in 8, Section 2.4] and Proposition 14 in [9] state that the asymptotic behavior of the sectional curvature $K(x, y)$ is given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} K(x, y)=\lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} K(y, x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{\psi^{\prime}(x) \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)}{\left[\psi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]^{2}}\right),  \tag{1.2}\\
\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} K(x, y)=\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} K(y, x)=\frac{\psi^{\prime}(x)+x \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)}{4\left[x \psi^{\prime}(x)-1\right]^{2}},  \tag{1.3}\\
\lim _{(x, y) \rightarrow\left(0^{+}, 0^{+}\right)} K(x, y)=0, \quad \lim _{(x, y) \rightarrow(\infty, \infty)} K(x, y)=-\frac{1}{2},  \tag{1.4}\\
\lim _{(x, y) \rightarrow\left(0^{+}, \infty\right)} K(x, y)=\lim _{(x, y) \rightarrow\left(\infty, 0^{+}\right)} K(x, y)=-\frac{1}{4} . \tag{1.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Recall from [12, Chapter XIII], [25, Chapter 1], and [26, Chapter IV] that, if a function $h(t)$ on an interval $I$ has derivatives of all orders on $I$ and $(-1)^{n} h^{(n)}(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in I$ and $n \in\{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$, then we call $h(t)$ a completely monotonic function on $I$. Theorem 12b in [26, p. 161] states that a necessary and sufficient condition for $h(t)$ to be completely monotonic on the infinite interval $(0, \infty)$ is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(t)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t s} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(s), \quad t \in(0, \infty), \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma(s)$ is non-decreasing and the integral in (1.6) converges for $t \in(0, \infty)$. The integral representation (1.6) means that a function $h(t)$ is completely monotonic
on the infinite interval $(0, \infty)$ if and only if it is a Laplace transform of a nondecreasing measure $\sigma(s)$ on the infinite interval $(0, \infty)$.

In this paper, we will show analyticity of the sectional curvature $K(x, y)$, recover the above limits in 1.2, (1.3, (1.4, and 1.5) by alternative approaches, present that the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{\psi^{\prime}(x) \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)}{\left[\psi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]^{2}}\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (1.2) is decreasing from $(0, \infty)$ onto $\left(-\frac{1}{4}, 0\right)$, reveal necessary and sufficient conditions on $\alpha$ for the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha}(x)=\psi^{\prime}(x)+x \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)+\alpha\left[x \psi^{\prime}(x)-1\right]^{2} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its negativity to be completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, and derive a sharp double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2<\frac{\psi^{\prime}(x)+x \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)}{\left[x \psi^{\prime}(x)-1\right]^{2}}<-1 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the sense that the constants -2 and -1 cannot be replaced by any bigger and smaller ones respectively.

## 2 Analyticity

In this section, we show analyticity of the sectional curvature $K(x, y)$ on the first quadrant $M$.

Theorem 1 The sectional curvature $K(x, y)$ is an analytic function of $(x, y)$ on the first quadrant $M$.

Proof Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{(n)}(z)=(-1)^{n+1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n}}{1-e^{-t}} e^{-z t} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\Re(z)>0$ and $n \geq 1$, see [1, p. 260, 6.4.1], the trigamma function $\psi^{\prime}(x)$ is positive, decreasing, and convex on $(0, \infty)$, with the limits $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \psi^{\prime}(x)=\infty$ and $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \psi^{\prime}(x)=0$. Applying the double inequality

$$
\frac{n-1}{n}<\frac{\left[\psi^{(n)}(x)\right]^{2}}{\psi^{(n-1)}(x) \psi^{(n+1)}(x)}<\frac{n}{n+1}
$$

for $n \geq 2$, see [4, Corollary 2.3], 18, Section 3.5], or [27, Eq. (1.4)], leads to

$$
\left[\frac{1}{\psi^{\prime}(x)}\right]^{\prime \prime}=\frac{2\left[\psi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]^{2}-\psi^{\prime}(x) \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)}{\left[\psi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{3}}>0
$$

A function $\varphi(x)$ is said to be sub-additive on an interval $I$ if $\varphi(x+y)<\varphi(x)+$ $\varphi(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in I$ with $x+y \in I$. If $\varphi(x+y)>\varphi(x)+\varphi(y)$, then the function $\varphi(x)$ is called super-additive on the interval $I$. A function $\varphi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ is said to be star-shaped if $\varphi(\nu t)<\nu \varphi(t)$ for $\nu \in[0,1]$ and $t \geq 0$. Between convex functions, star-shaped functions, and super-additive functions, there are the following relations:

1. if $\varphi$ is convex on $[0, \infty)$ with $\varphi(0) \leq 0$, then $\varphi$ is star-shaped;
2. if $\varphi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is star-shaped, then $\varphi$ is super-additive.

For more information on additive and star-shaped functions, please refer to 11 , Chapter 16], 13, Section 3.4], the papers [2, 3, 5, 7, 10, 22, 23, and closely related references therein. By these relations, we conclude that the reciprocal $\frac{1}{\psi^{\prime}(x)}$ is super-additive. Hence, the factor of the denominator in 1.1, which can be rearranged as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{\prime}(x) \psi^{\prime}(x+y)+\psi^{\prime}(y) & \psi^{\prime}(x+y)-\psi^{\prime}(x) \psi^{\prime}(y) \\
= & \psi^{\prime}(x) \psi^{\prime}(y) \psi^{\prime}(x+y)\left[\frac{1}{\psi^{\prime}(x)}+\frac{1}{\psi^{\prime}(y)}-\frac{1}{\psi^{\prime}(x+y)}\right]>0
\end{aligned}
$$

is positive on $(0, \infty)$.
Since $\psi^{(n)}(z)$ for $n \geq 0$ is a single valued analytic function over the entire complex plane save at the points $z=-m$ where it possesses poles of order $n+1$, see [1, p. 260, 6.4.1] or the paper [16], the denominator in (1.1) is positive and analytic on $(0, \infty)$. The numerator in (1.1) is also analytic on $(0, \infty)$. In conclusion, the sectional curvature $K(x, y)$ is analytic on the first quadrant $M$. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

## 3 Recoveries of limits

In this section, we recover the limits in (1.2), 1.3), 1.4), and 1.5 by alternative approaches.

Theorem 2 The sectional curvature $K(x, y)$ on the first quadrant $M$ has the limits

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} K(x, y) & =\frac{\psi^{\prime}(y)+y \psi^{\prime \prime}(y)}{4\left[1-y \psi^{\prime}(y)\right]^{2}},  \tag{3.1}\\
\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} K(x, y) & =\frac{\psi^{\prime}(x)+x \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)}{4\left[x \psi^{\prime}(x)-1\right]^{2}},  \tag{3.2}\\
\lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} K(x, y) & =-\frac{1}{4},  \tag{3.3}\\
\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} K(x, y) & =-\frac{1}{2}, \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} K(x, y) & =-\frac{1}{4}  \tag{3.5}\\
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} K(x, y) & =-\frac{1}{2}  \tag{3.6}\\
\lim _{(x, y) \rightarrow(\infty, \infty)} K(x, y) & =-\frac{1}{2} . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof The expression (1.1) for the sectional curvature $K(x, y)$ can be rewritten as

$$
K(x, y)=\frac{\left(\begin{array}{c}
{\left[x^{2} \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right] \psi^{\prime \prime}(y)\left[(x+y)^{2} \psi^{\prime \prime}(x+y)\right]}  \tag{3.8}\\
\times\left[\frac{\psi^{\prime}(x)}{\psi^{\prime \prime}(x)}-\frac{\psi^{\prime}(x+y)}{\psi^{\prime \prime}(x+y)}\right] \\
+\left[x^{2} \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right] \psi^{\prime}(y)\left[(x+y)^{2} \psi^{\prime \prime}(x+y)\right]
\end{array}\right)}{4\binom{\psi^{\prime}(y) x(x+y)\left[\psi^{\prime}(x+y)-\psi^{\prime}(x)\right]}{+\left[x \psi^{\prime}(x)\right]\left[(x+y) \psi^{\prime}(x+y)\right]}^{2}} .
$$

Making use of the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left[x^{k} \psi^{(k)}(x)\right]=(-1)^{k-1}(k-1)!, \quad k \geq 1 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

in 6, p. 9896, Eq. (13)], 19, p. 1689, Eq. (3.3)], 20, p. 286, Eq. (2.6)], and 21, p. 81, Eq. (41)], we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left[x^{2} \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left[(x+y)^{2} \psi^{\prime \prime}(x+y)\right]=-1 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left[x \psi^{\prime}(x)\right]=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left[(x+y) \psi^{\prime}(x+y)\right]=1 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Direct differentiating and utilizing the limit 3.9 lead to

$$
\left[\frac{\psi^{\prime}(x)}{\psi^{\prime \prime}(x)}\right]^{\prime}=1-\frac{\left[x \psi^{\prime}(x)\right]\left[x^{3} \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)\right]}{\left[x^{2} \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]^{2}} \rightarrow 1-\frac{0!2!}{(1!)^{2}}=-1
$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, by virtue of Lagrange's mean value theorem, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{\psi^{\prime}(x)}{\psi^{\prime \prime}(x)}-\frac{\psi^{\prime}(x+y)}{\psi^{\prime \prime}(x+y)}\right]=-y \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{\psi^{\prime}(t)}{\psi^{\prime \prime}(t)}\right]_{t=\xi}^{\prime}=y \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi \in(x, x+y)$.
Employing Lagrange's mean value theorem, simple differentiating, and utilizing the limit (3.9) yield

$$
x(x+y)\left[\psi^{\prime}(x+y)-\psi^{\prime}(x)\right]=x\left[(x+y) \psi^{\prime}(x+y)-x \psi^{\prime}(x)\right]-y\left[x \psi^{\prime}(x)\right]
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =x\left[\psi^{\prime}(\xi)+\xi \psi^{\prime \prime}(\xi)\right]-y\left[x \psi^{\prime}(x)\right], \quad \xi \in(x, x+y) \\
& =\frac{x}{\xi}\left[\xi \psi^{\prime}(\xi)+\xi^{2} \psi^{\prime \prime}(\xi)\right]-y\left[x \psi^{\prime}(x)\right], \quad \xi \in(x, x+y)  \tag{3.13}\\
& \rightarrow-y, \quad x, \xi \rightarrow \infty .
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the limits in (3.10), (3.11, (3.12), and (3.13) into (3.8) arrives at the limit (3.1).

For $\Re(z)>0$ and $k \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{(k-1)}(z+1)=\psi^{(k-1)}(z)+(-1)^{k-1} \frac{(k-1)!}{z^{k}} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

See 1, p. 260, 6.4.6]. From this, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left[x^{k} \psi^{(k-1)}(x)\right] & =\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\{x^{k}\left[\psi^{(k-1)}(x+1)-(-1)^{k-1} \frac{(k-1)!}{x^{k}}\right]\right\}  \tag{3.15}\\
& =(-1)^{k}(k-1)!
\end{align*}
$$

for $k \geq 1$. See [15, p. 260, (2.2)] or 27, p. 769]. From the limits 3.1) and 3.15, it follows that

$$
\lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} K(x, y)=\lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{y^{2} \psi^{\prime}(y)+y^{3} \psi^{\prime \prime}(y)}{4\left[y-y^{2} \psi^{\prime}(y)\right]^{2}}=\frac{1!-2!}{4(0-1!)}=-\frac{1}{4}
$$

The limit (3.3) is thus proved.
In 11, p. 260, 6.4.11], it was given that, for $|\arg z|<\pi$, as $z \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{(n)}(z) \sim(-1)^{n-1}\left[\frac{(n-1)!}{z^{n}}+\frac{n!}{2 z^{n+1}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{2 k} \frac{(2 k+n-1)!}{(2 k)!z^{2 k+n}}\right] \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

see also [24, where $B_{2 k}$ for $n \geq 0$ are known as the Bernoulli numbers which can be generated [14] by

$$
\frac{z}{e^{z}-1}=1-\frac{z}{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{2 k} \frac{z^{2 k}}{(2 k)!}, \quad|z|<2 \pi .
$$

Accordingly, we can derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty}\left(y^{2}\left[\psi^{\prime}(y)+y \psi^{\prime \prime}(y)\right]\right)= & \lim _{y \rightarrow \infty}\left\{y ^ { 2 } \left[\frac{1}{y}+\frac{1}{2 y^{2}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{2 k} \frac{(2 k)!}{(2 k)!y^{2 k+1}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-y\left(\frac{1}{y^{2}}+\frac{1}{y^{3}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{2 k} \frac{(2 k+1)!}{(2 k)!y^{2 k+2}}\right)\right]\right\} \\
= & \lim _{y \rightarrow \infty}\left[-\frac{1}{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{2 k} \frac{(2 k)!-(2 k+1)!}{(2 k)!y^{2 k-1}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=-\frac{1}{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty}\left(y\left[1-y \psi^{\prime}(y)\right]\right) & =\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty}\left\{y\left[1-y\left(\frac{1}{y}+\frac{1}{2 y^{2}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{2 k} \frac{(2 k)!}{(2 k)!y^{2 k+1}}\right)\right]\right\} \\
& =\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty}\left[-\frac{1}{2}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{2 k} \frac{(2 k)!}{(2 k)!y^{2 k-1}}\right] \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} K(x, y) & =\frac{1}{4} \lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi^{\prime}(y)+y \psi^{\prime \prime}(y)}{\left[1-y \psi^{\prime}(y)\right]^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y^{2}\left[\psi^{\prime}(y)+y \psi^{\prime \prime}(y)\right]}{y^{2}\left[1-y \psi^{\prime}(y)\right]^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \frac{\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty}\left(y^{2}\left[\psi^{\prime}(y)+y \psi^{\prime \prime}(y)\right]\right)}{\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty}\left(y\left[1-y \psi^{\prime}(y)\right]\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \frac{-1 / 2}{(-1 / 2)^{2}} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The limit (3.4) is thus proved.
Since $K(x, y)=K(y, x)$, repeating the above arguments acquires the limits (3.2), 3.5), and (3.6).

Since the sectional curvature $K(x, y)$ is analytic on the first quadrant $M$, see Theorem 1 in this paper, we have

$$
\lim _{(x, y) \rightarrow(\infty, \infty)} K(x, y)=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} K(x, y)=\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} K(x, y)=-\frac{1}{2} .
$$

The limit in (3.7) is thus proved. The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

Theorem 3 The sectional curvature $K(x, y)$ on the first quadrant $M$ has the limits

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} K(x, y) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{\psi^{\prime}(y) \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(y)}{\left[\psi^{\prime \prime}(y)\right]^{2}}\right),  \tag{3.17}\\
\lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} K(x, y) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{\psi^{\prime}(x) \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)}{\left[\psi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]^{2}}\right),  \tag{3.18}\\
\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} K(x, y) & =-\frac{1}{4},  \tag{3.19}\\
\lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} \lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} K(x, y) & =0, \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} K(x, y) & =-\frac{1}{4}  \tag{3.21}\\
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} K(x, y) & =0  \tag{3.22}\\
\lim _{(x, y) \rightarrow\left(0^{+}, 0^{+}\right)} K(x, y) & =0  \tag{3.23}\\
\lim _{(x, y) \rightarrow\left(0^{+}, \infty\right)} K(x, y) & =-\frac{1}{4}  \tag{3.24}\\
\lim _{(x, y) \rightarrow\left(\infty, 0^{+}\right)} K(x, y) & =-\frac{1}{4} \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof By virtue of the L'Hospital rule, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(x+y)}{\psi^{\prime}(x+y)}-\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(y)}{\psi^{\prime}(y)}}{x} & =\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}\left[\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(x+y)}{\psi^{\prime}(x+y)}\right] \\
& =\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\psi^{\prime}(x+y) \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(x+y)-\left[\psi^{\prime \prime}(x+y)\right]^{2}}{\left[\psi^{\prime}(x+y)\right]^{2}}  \tag{3.26}\\
& =\frac{\psi^{\prime}(y) \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(y)-\left[\psi^{\prime \prime}(y)\right]^{2}}{\left[\psi^{\prime}(y)\right]^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\psi^{\prime}(x+y)-\psi^{\prime}(y)}{x}=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \psi^{\prime \prime}(x+y)=\psi^{\prime \prime}(y) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the expression in (1.1) and the limits (3.15), (3.26), and (3.27) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
K(x, y) & =\frac{\left(\begin{array}{c}
{\left[x^{3} \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right] \psi^{\prime}(x+y) \psi^{\prime}(y) \frac{\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(x+y)}{\psi^{\prime}(x+y)}-\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(y)}{\psi^{\prime}(y)}}{+\left[x^{2} \psi^{\prime}(x)\right] \psi^{\prime \prime}(y) \psi^{\prime \prime}(x+y)}}
\end{array}\right)}{4\left(\left[x^{2} \psi^{\prime}(x)\right] \frac{\psi^{\prime}(x+y)-\psi^{\prime}(y)}{x}+x \psi^{\prime}(x+y) \psi^{\prime}(y)\right)^{2}} \\
& \rightarrow \frac{-2!\left[\psi^{\prime}(y)\right]^{2} \frac{\psi^{\prime}(y) \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(y)-\left[\psi^{\prime \prime}(y)\right]^{2}}{\left[\psi^{\prime}(y)\right]^{2}}+1!\left[\psi^{\prime \prime}(y)\right]^{2}}{4\left[1!\psi^{\prime \prime}(y)+0\right]^{2}} \\
& =\frac{3\left[\psi^{\prime \prime}(y)\right]^{2}-2 \psi^{\prime}(y) \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(y)}{4\left[\psi^{\prime \prime}(y)\right]^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

as $x \rightarrow 0^{+}$. The limit 3.17 is thus proved.
From the limits 3.9) and 3.17, it follows that

$$
\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} K(x, y)=\frac{3}{4}-\frac{1}{2} \lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y \psi^{\prime}(y) y^{3} \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(y)}{\left[y^{2} \psi^{\prime \prime}(y)\right]^{2}}=\frac{3}{4}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{0!2!}{(1!)^{2}}=-\frac{1}{4}
$$

The limit 3.19 is thus proved.
Utilizing the limit (3.15) gives

$$
\frac{3\left[\psi^{\prime \prime}(y)\right]^{2}-2 \psi^{\prime}(y) \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(y)}{\left[\psi^{\prime \prime}(y)\right]^{2}}=\frac{3\left[y^{3} \psi^{\prime \prime}(y)\right]^{2}-2\left[y^{2} \psi^{\prime}(y)\right]\left[y^{4} \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(y)\right]}{\left[y^{3} \psi^{\prime \prime}(y)\right]^{2}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rightarrow \frac{3(-2!)^{2}-2 \times 1!\times 3!}{(-2!)^{2}} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

as $y \rightarrow 0^{+}$. This means that the limit 3.20 is valid.
Since $K(x, y)=K(y, x)$, repeating the above proofs of the limits 3.17, 3.19), and (3.20), we can obtain the limits (3.18), (3.21), and (3.22) immediately.

Combining analyticity of the sectional curvature $K(x, y)$ on $M$, see Theorem 1 in this paper, with the limits $(3.20$ and $(3.22)$ leads to the limit 3.23$)$.

Combining analyticity of the sectional curvature $K(x, y)$ on the first quadrant $M$ with the limits (3.3), 3.5), (3.19), and (3.21) arrives at the limits 3.24) and (3.25). The proof of Theorem 3 is complete.

## 4 Boundness of the sectional curvature

As did in [8, Proposition 5] and its proof, basing on analyticity and limits recovered in the above two sections, we can deduce that the sectional curvature $K(x, y)$ is negative and bounded on the first quadrant $M$.

Judging from the graph of $K(x, y)$ in Figure 1 and basing on analyticity in


Fig. 1 The lower bound of $K(x, y)$ is conjectured to be $-\frac{1}{2}$

Theorem 11 and the limits in Theorems 2 and 3, the authors of the papers 8, 9 conjectured that $K(x, y)>-\frac{1}{2}$ on $M$. Till 9 September 2020, this conjecture has not been verified and is still open.

## 5 Complete monotonicity and a double inequality

In the is section, we demonstrate decreasing monotonicity of the function $\mathcal{H}(x)$ in 1.7), find necessary and sufficient conditions for the function $\pm \mathfrak{H}_{\alpha}(x)$ in 1.8) to be completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, and derive the double inequality 1.9 .

Theorem 4 The function $\mathcal{H}(x)$ in 1.7 is decreasing from $(0, \infty)$ onto $\left(-\frac{1}{4}, 0\right)$.
The function $\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha}(x)$ in 1.8 is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $\alpha \geq 2$, while the function $-\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha}(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $\alpha \leq 1$.

The double inequality (1.9) is valid and sharp in the sense that the constants -2 and -1 cannot be replaced by any bigger and smaller ones respectively.

Proof The decreasing monotonicity of the function $\mathcal{H}(x)$ in 1.7) follows from the fact that the ratio $\frac{\left[\psi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]^{2}}{\psi^{\prime}(x) \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)}$ is decreasing from $(0, \infty)$ onto $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}\right)$. This fact is a special case of 27. Theorem 2] which states that the function $\frac{\left[\psi^{(n+1)}(x)\right]^{2}}{\psi^{(n)}(x) \psi^{(n+2)}(x)}$ for $n \geq 1$ is decreasing from $(0, \infty)$ onto $\left(\frac{n}{n+1}, \frac{n+1}{n+2}\right)$.

Making use of the integral representation (2.1) and integrating by parts yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& x \psi^{\prime}(x)=x \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t}{1-e^{-t}} e^{-x t} \mathrm{~d} t \\
&=-\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t}{1-e^{-t}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} e^{-x t}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \mathrm{~d} t \\
&=-\left[\left.\frac{t e^{-x t}}{1-e^{-t}}\right|_{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} ^{t \rightarrow \infty}-\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{t}{1-e^{-t}}\right)^{\prime} e^{-x t} \mathrm{~d} t\right]  \tag{5.1}\\
&= \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{t}\left(e^{t}-1-t\right)}{\left(e^{t}-1\right)^{2}} e^{-x t} \mathrm{~d} t+1 \\
& \quad>1, \\
& x\left[x \psi^{\prime}(x)-1\right]= x \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{t}\left(e^{t}-1-t\right)}{\left(e^{t}-1\right)^{2}} e^{-x t} \mathrm{~d} t \\
&=-\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{t}\left(e^{t}-1-t\right)}{\left(e^{t}-1\right)^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} e^{-x t}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \mathrm{~d} t \\
&=-\left[\left.\frac{e^{t}\left(e^{t}-1-t\right) e^{-x t}}{\left(e^{t}-1\right)^{2}}\right|_{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} ^{t \rightarrow}-\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{e^{t}\left(e^{t}-1-t\right)}{\left(e^{t}-1\right)^{2}}\right]^{\prime} e^{-x t} \mathrm{~d} t\right]  \tag{5.2}\\
&= \frac{1}{2}+\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{t}\left[e^{t}(t-2)+t+2\right]}{\left(e^{t}-1\right)^{3}} e^{-x t} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty,
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
x \psi^{\prime \prime}(x) & =-x \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2}}{1-e^{-t}} e^{-x t} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2}}{1-e^{-t}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} e^{-x t}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& =\left[\left.\frac{t^{2} e^{-x t}}{1-e^{-t}}\right|_{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} ^{t \rightarrow \infty}-\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{t^{2}}{1-e^{-t}}\right)^{\prime} e^{-x t} \mathrm{~d} t\right]  \tag{5.3}\\
& =-\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{t}\left(2 e^{t}-t-2\right) t}{\left(e^{t}-1\right)^{2}} e^{-x t} \mathrm{~d} t
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, applying (2.1) for $n=1$ and (5.3) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}(x)+x \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)=-\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t\left(e^{t}-1-t\right) e^{t}}{\left(e^{t}-1\right)^{2}} e^{-x t} \mathrm{~d} t<0, \quad x \in(0, \infty) . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the function $\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha}(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, then its first derivative

$$
\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha}^{\prime}(x)=2 \alpha\left[x \psi^{\prime}(x)-1\right]\left[\psi^{\prime}(x)+x \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]+2 \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)+x \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(x) \leq 0
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha & \geq-\frac{2 \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)+x \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)}{2\left[x \psi^{\prime}(x)-1\right]\left[\psi^{\prime}(x)+x \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \frac{x^{3}\left[2 \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)+x \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)\right]}{\left(x\left[x \psi^{\prime}(x)-1\right]\right)\left(x^{2}\left[\psi^{\prime}(x)+x \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]\right)} \\
& \rightarrow-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left(x^{3}\left[2 \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)+x \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)\right]\right)}{\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left(x\left[x \psi^{\prime}(x)-1\right]\right) \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left(x^{2}\left[\psi^{\prime}(x)+x \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]\right)}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} \times\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \\
& =2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used (5.1), 5.2, 5.4, and the asymptotic expansion (3.16). Similarly, if the function $-H_{\alpha}(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha & \leq-\frac{2 \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)+x \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)}{2\left[x \psi^{\prime}(x)-1\right]\left[\psi^{\prime}(x)+x \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \frac{x^{3}\left[2 \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)+x \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)\right]}{\left(x\left[x \psi^{\prime}(x)-1\right]\right)\left(x^{2}\left[\psi^{\prime}(x)+x \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]\right)} \\
& \rightarrow-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(x^{3}\left[2 \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)+x \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)\right]\right)}{\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(x\left[x \psi^{\prime}(x)-1\right]\right) \lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(x^{2}\left[\psi^{\prime}(x)+x \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]\right)}, \quad x \rightarrow 0^{+} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{1 \times(-1)} \\
& =1,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used 5.1, (5.4), and the limit 3.15). In a word, the necessary condition for $H_{\alpha}(x)$ to be completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ is $\alpha \geq 2$, while the necessary condition for $-H_{\alpha}(x)$ to be completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ is $\alpha \leq 1$.

Using the recurrent formula (3.14) and straightforward computing result in

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{H}_{2}(x)-\mathfrak{H}_{2}(x+1)= & 4\left(\frac{1}{x^{2}}+\frac{2}{x}+2\right) \psi^{\prime}(x)-\frac{4 x^{3}+7 x^{2}+6 x+2}{x^{4}} \\
& -\psi^{\prime \prime}(x)-2(2 x+1)\left[\psi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $H_{1}(x)=\mathfrak{H}_{2}(x)-\mathfrak{H}_{2}(x+1)$. Then

$$
H_{1}(x)-H_{1}(x+1)=4\left[\psi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2}+\frac{\left[\begin{array}{c}
4 x^{6}+20 x^{5}+41 x^{4}+48 x^{3}+37 x^{2}+16 x+4 \\
-4(x+1)^{2}\left(2 x^{3}+5 x^{2}+4 x+2\right) x^{2} \psi^{\prime}(x)
\end{array}\right]}{x^{4}(x+1)^{4}}
$$

Let $H_{2}(x)=H_{1}(x)-H_{1}(x+1)$. Then

$$
H_{2}(x)-H_{2}(x+1)=\frac{4\left[\psi^{\prime}(x)-\frac{2 x^{4}+13 x^{3}+29 x^{2}+27 x+8}{2 x(x+1)^{2}(x+2)^{2}}\right]}{(x+1)^{2}(x+2)^{2}} .
$$

Let $H_{3}(x)=\frac{(x+1)^{2}(x+2)^{2}}{4}\left[H_{2}(x)-H_{2}(x+1)\right]$. Then, by virtue of 2.1) for $n=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{x^{r}}=\frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{r-1} e^{-x t} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in [1, p. 255, 6.1.1], we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{3}(x) & =\psi^{\prime}(x)-\left[\frac{1}{x}-\frac{1}{2(x+1)}+\frac{1}{2(x+1)^{2}}+\frac{1}{2(x+2)}+\frac{1}{2(x+2)^{2}}\right] \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{t}{1-e^{-t}}-\left(1-\frac{e^{-t}}{2}+\frac{t e^{-t}}{2}+\frac{e^{-2 t}}{2}+\frac{t e^{-2 t}}{2}\right)\right] e^{-x t} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{2(t-1) e^{3 t}-(t-3) e^{2 t}-2 e^{t}+t+1}{e^{t}-1} e^{-(x+2) t} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{2\left(3 \times 2^{k-2}-1\right)+(k-3)\left(2 \times 3^{k-1}-2^{k-1}\right)}{k!} t^{k}\right] \frac{e^{-(x+2) t}}{e^{t}-1} \mathrm{~d} t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, the function $H_{3}(x)$, and then the difference $H_{2}(x)-H_{2}(x+1)$, is a completely monotonic function on $(0, \infty)$. Hence, we have

$$
(-1)^{n}\left[H_{2}(x)-H_{2}(x+1)\right]^{(n)}=(-1)^{n}\left[H_{2}(x)\right]^{(n)}-(-1)^{n}\left[H_{2}(x+1)\right]^{(n)} \geq 0
$$

for $n \geq 0$. By induction, it follows that

$$
(-1)^{n}\left[H_{2}(x)\right]^{(n)} \geq(-1)^{n}\left[H_{2}(x+1)\right]^{(n)} \geq(-1)^{n}\left[H_{2}(x+2)\right]^{(n)} \geq \cdots
$$

$$
\geq(-1)^{n}\left[H_{2}(x+m)\right]^{(n)} \geq \cdots \geq \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}(-1)^{n}\left[H_{2}(x+m)\right]^{(n)}
$$

It is not difficult to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[H_{2}(x)\right]^{(n)}=} & 4\left(\left[\psi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2}\right)^{(n)}-\left[\frac{4\left(2 x^{3}+5 x^{2}+4 x+2\right)}{x^{2}(x+1)^{2}} \psi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{(n)} \\
& +\left[\frac{4 x^{6}+20 x^{5}+41 x^{4}+48 x^{3}+37 x^{2}+16 x+4}{x^{4}(x+1)^{4}}\right]^{(n)} \\
& \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$ for all $n \geq 0$. Accordingly, we obtain $(-1)^{n}\left[H_{2}(x)\right]^{(n)} \geq 0$ on $(0, \infty)$ for all $n \geq 0$, that is, the function $H_{2}(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. Hence, we have

$$
(-1)^{n}\left[H_{1}(x)-H_{1}(x+1)\right]^{(n)}=(-1)^{n}\left[H_{1}(x)\right]-(-1)^{n}\left[H_{1}(x+1)\right]^{(n)} \geq 0
$$

for $n \geq 0$. By induction, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{n}\left[H_{1}(x)\right]^{(n)} \geq & (-1)^{n}\left[H_{1}(x+1)\right]^{(n)} \geq(-1)^{n}\left[H_{1}(x+2)\right]^{(n)} \geq \cdots \\
& \geq(-1)^{n}\left[H_{1}(x+m)\right]^{(n)} \geq \cdots \geq \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}(-1)^{n}\left[H_{1}(x+m)\right]^{(n)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is not difficult to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[H_{1}(x)\right]^{(n)}=4\left[\left(\frac{1}{x^{2}}+\frac{2}{x}+2\right) \psi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{(n)}-\left(\frac{4 x^{3}+7 x^{2}+6 x+2}{x^{4}}\right)^{(n)}} \\
& \quad-\left[\psi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]^{(n)}- \begin{cases}2(2 x+1)\left[\psi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2} \rightarrow 0, & n=0 \\
2(2 x+1)\left(\left[\psi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2}\right)^{(n)}+4 n\left(\left[\psi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2}\right)^{(n-1)} \rightarrow 0, & n \geq 1\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. This means that $(-1)^{n}\left[H_{1}(x)\right]^{(n)} \geq 0$ on $(0, \infty)$ for all $n \geq 0$. In other words,

$$
(-1)^{n}\left[\mathfrak{H}_{2}(x)-\mathfrak{H}_{2}(x+1)\right]^{(n)}=(-1)^{n}\left[\mathfrak{H}_{2}(x)\right]^{(n)}-(-1)^{n}\left[\mathfrak{H}_{2}(x+1)\right]^{(n)} \geq 0
$$

which inductively reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{n}\left[\mathfrak{H}_{2}(x)\right]^{(n)} \geq(-1)^{n}\left[\mathfrak{H}_{2}(x+1)\right]^{(n)} \geq(-1)^{n}\left[\mathfrak{H}_{2}(x+2)\right]^{(n)} \geq \cdots \\
\geq(-1)^{n}\left[\mathfrak{H}_{2}(x+m)\right]^{(n)} \geq \cdots \geq \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}(-1)^{n}\left[\mathfrak{H}_{2}(x+m)\right]^{(n)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n \geq 0$. From the integral representation (2.1) and the formulas (5.1) and (5.3), it is immediate that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\mathfrak{H}_{2}(x)\right]^{(n)}=} & \psi^{(n+1)}(x)-(-1)^{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{t}\left(2 e^{t}-t-2\right) t^{n+1}}{\left(e^{t}-1\right)^{2}} e^{-x t} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& +2(-1)^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{t}\left(e^{t}-1-t\right) t^{k}}{\left(e^{t}-1\right)^{2}} e^{-x t} \mathrm{~d} t\right] \\
& \times\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{t}\left(e^{t}-1-t\right) t^{n-k}}{\left(e^{t}-1\right)^{2}} e^{-x t} \mathrm{~d} t\right] \\
& \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$ for all $n \geq 0$. This means that $(-1)^{n}\left[\mathfrak{H}_{2}(x)\right]^{(n)} \geq 0$ on $(0, \infty)$ for all $n \geq 0$. In other words, the function $\mathfrak{H}_{2}(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

When $\alpha>2$, since

$$
\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha}(x)=\mathfrak{H}_{2}(x)+(\alpha-2)\left[x \psi^{\prime}(x)-1\right]^{2}
$$

and, by virtue of (5.1),

$$
x \psi^{\prime}(x)-1=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{t}\left(e^{t}-1-t\right)}{\left(e^{t}-1\right)^{2}} e^{-x t} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, from the fact that the product of any finitely many completely monotonic functions is still completely monotonic, it follows that, when $\alpha>2$, the function $\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha}(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. As a result, the condition $\alpha \geq 2$ is sufficient for the function $\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha}(x)$ to be completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

The complete monotonicity of $\mathfrak{H}_{2}(x)$ implies $\mathfrak{H}_{2}(x)>0$, which is equivalent to the left hand side of the double inequality 1.9 , on $(0, \infty)$.

Employing the recurrent formula 3.14 and direct computing arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{H}_{1}(x+1)-\mathfrak{H}_{1}(x)= & \frac{1}{x^{4}}+\frac{4}{x^{3}}+\frac{4}{x^{2}}+\frac{2}{x}-2\left(\frac{1}{x^{2}}+\frac{2}{x}+2\right) \psi^{\prime}(x) \\
& +(2 x+1)\left[\psi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2}+\psi^{\prime \prime}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $G_{1}(x)=\mathfrak{H}_{1}(x+1)-\mathfrak{H}_{1}(x)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{1}(x)-G_{1}(x+1)= & \frac{2\left(2 x^{3}+5 x^{2}+4 x+2\right)}{x^{2}(x+1)^{2}} \psi^{\prime}(x)-2\left[\psi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2} \\
& -\frac{2 x^{6}+10 x^{5}+19 x^{4}+22 x^{3}+18 x^{2}+8 x+2}{x^{4}(x+1)^{4}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $G_{2}(x)=G_{1}(x)-G_{1}(x+1)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{2}(x)-G_{2}(x+1)= & \frac{2}{(x+1)^{2}(x+2)^{2}}\left[\frac{4}{x}+\frac{1}{x^{2}}-\frac{1}{x+1}+\frac{1}{2(x+1)^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{x+2}+\frac{1}{2(x+2)^{2}}-\psi^{\prime}(x)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $G_{3}(x)=\frac{(x+1)^{2}(x+2)^{2}}{2}\left[G_{2}(x)-G_{2}(x+1)\right]$. Then, by virtue of 2.1 for $n=1$ and the formula (5.5), we acquire

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{3}(x) & =\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(4+t-e^{-t}+\frac{t}{2} e^{-t}+e^{-2 t}+\frac{t}{2} e^{-2 t}-\frac{t}{1-e^{-t}}\right) e^{-x t} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{8 e^{3 t}-(t+10) e^{2 t}+4 e^{t}-t-2}{e^{t}-1} e^{-(x+2) t} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[3 t+2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2^{k+1}\left[\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{k}-\frac{k+5}{4}\right]+1}{k!} t^{k}\right] \frac{e^{-(x+2) t}}{e^{t}-1} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(3 t+8 t^{2}+\frac{32 t^{3}}{3}+\frac{115 t^{4}}{12}+\frac{129 t^{5}}{20}+\frac{139 t^{6}}{40}+\cdots\right) \frac{e^{-(x+2) t}}{e^{t}-1} \mathrm{~d} t .
\end{aligned}
$$

By calculus, we can easily verify that $\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{k}-\frac{k+5}{4}>0$ for $k \geq 2$. Therefore, the function $G_{3}(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. Hence, the difference $G_{2}(x)$ $G_{2}(x+1)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. As discussed on the functions $H_{2}(x)$, $H_{1}(x)$, and $\mathfrak{H}_{2}(x)$ above, we can turn out that the functions $G_{2}(x), G_{1}(x)$, and $-\mathfrak{H}_{1}(x)$ are all completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. Furthermore, as discussed on $\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha>2$ above, we can prove that the function $\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha}$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ for all $\alpha<1$. Consequently, the condition $\alpha \leq 1$ is sufficient for the function $-\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha}$ to be completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

The complete monotonicity of $-\mathfrak{H}_{1}(x)$ implies $\mathfrak{H}_{1}(x)<0$, which is equivalent to the right hand side of the double inequality $\sqrt{1.9}$ ), on $(0, \infty)$.

The sharpness of the double inequality 1.9 on $(0, \infty)$ is concluded from the limits 3.5 and 3.6 . The proof of Theorem 4 is complete.

Remark 1 This paper is a revised version of the electronic preprint 17 .
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