

Postruminal infusions of amino acids or glucose affect metabolisms of splanchnic, mammary, and other peripheral tissues and drive amino acid use in dairy cows

Cléo Omphalius, Sophie Lemosquet, Daniel R. Ouellet, Lahlou Bahloul,

Hélène Lapierre

▶ To cite this version:

Cléo Omphalius, Sophie Lemosquet, Daniel R. Ouellet, Lahlou Bahloul, Hélène Lapierre. Postruminal infusions of amino acids or glucose affect metabolisms of splanchnic, mammary, and other peripheral tissues and drive amino acid use in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science, 2020, 103 (3), pp.2233-2254. 10.3168/jds.2019-17249. hal-02496278

HAL Id: hal-02496278 https://hal.science/hal-02496278

Submitted on 26 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License



Post-ruminal infusions of amino acids or glucose affect splanchnic, mammary and other peripheral tissue metabolisms and drive amino acid usage in dairy cows

Journal:	Journal of Dairy Science
Manuscript ID	JDS.2019-17249.R2
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Omphalius, Cléo; PEGASE, INRA, AGROCAMPUS OUEST, ; Adisseo France SAS, Lemosquet, Sophie; INRA, UMR1348, PEGASE,; Agrocampus Ouest, UMR1348, PEGASE Ouellet, Daniel; Research and Development Centre, Agriculture and Agri- Food Bahlou, Lahlou; Adisseo France SAS Lapierre, Helene; Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Research and Development Centre of Sherbrooke
Key Words:	net flux, efficiency, protein, energy



Page 1 of 63

Journal of Dairy Science

1 Infusions of amino acids and glucose affect coordination of splanchnic, mammary and 2 other peripheral tissue amino acid metabolisms. By Omphalius et al. In dairy cows, better 3 knowledge of utilization of amino acids to synthesize all proteins by different tissues is required 4 to improve the efficiency of utilization of protein, i.e., the proportion of digested proteins 5 recovered in exported proteins. Various dietary protein and energy conditions changed the 6 coordination of different tissues involved in the use of amino acids suggesting that amino acid 7 fate within each tissue should be considered to better predict the efficiency of use of amino 8 acids. 9 10 **Running head** 11 12 SPLANCHNIC AND MAMMARY USAGE OF AMINO ACIDS 13 Post-ruminal infusions of amino acids or glucose affect splanchnic, mammary and other 14 15 peripheral tissue metabolisms and drive amino acid usage in dairy cows 16 C. Omphalius,** S. Lemosquet,* D.R. Ouellet,* L. Bahloul,* and H. Lapierre*1 17 18 19 *PEGASE, INRA, AGROCAMPUS OUEST, 35590 Saint Gilles, France 20 [†]Adisseo France S.A.S., 10, Place du General de Gaulle, 92160 Antony, France *Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Sherbrooke, QC, Canada J1M 0C8 21 22 ¹Corresponding author: Hélène Lapierre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, 2000 College, 23 Sherbrooke, QC, Canada J1M 0C8, Tel: (819) 780-7234, Email: helene.lapierre@canada.ca 24

1

25

ABSTRACT

26 Effects of AA and glucose infusions on efficiency of utilization of essential AA (EAA) were studied according to a 2×2 factorial using 5 multicatherized cows in a 4×4 Latin Square plus 27 28 one cow with 2-wk periods. The diet provided 87% of energy and 70% of metabolizable protein 29 requirements and the 4 treatments were abomasal infusions of 1) water, 2) AA mixture with a 30 casein profile (695 g/d), 3) glucose (1454 g/d) or 4) a combination of AA and glucose infusions. 31 Milk samples were collected on the last 6 milkings. On day 14, 6 blood samples were collected 32 from arterial, and portal, hepatic and mammary venous vessels. Splanchnic plasma flow was calculated by dilution of p-aminohippurate and mammary flow by the Fick principle using 33 34 Phe+Tyr. The net flux of AA across tissues [splanchnic, i.e., portal drained viscera (PDV) + liver, and mammary gland] was calculated as the efflux minus the influx across that tissue. The 35 efficiency of EAA was calculated as the sum of exported true proteins [milk protein yield 36 37 (MPY), scurf and metabolic fecal protein (MFP)] multiplied by their respective AA profile and 38 divided by the predicted AA supply minus AA endogenous urinary loss. In addition, catabolism 39 was estimated for each tissue: AA supply - (portal net flux + MFP) for the PDV; -hepatic net 40 flux for the liver; splanchnic net flux - (-mammary net flux + scurf) for the other peripheral tissues; and -mammary net flux - milk for the mammary gland. The MIXED procedure (SAS) 41 42 was used with cow as random effect. There was no AA \times glucose interaction on most of the 43 measured parameters. With infusions of AA and glucose, MPY increased by 17 and 14% 44 respectively. The decreased efficiency of EAA-N with AA infusion resulted from increased EAA-N in MPY smaller than the increased EAA-N supply and was accompanied by increased 45 46 liver catabolism of His+Met+Phe (representing Group 1 AA) and increased mammary and PDV catabolisms of Group 2 AA-N (Ile, Leu, Lys and Val). In contrast, the increased efficiency of 47 48 EAA-N with glucose infusion, resulting from increased EAA-N in MPY with no change in 49 EAA-N supply, was accompanied by decreased mammary catabolism of Group 2 AA-N and

Comment citer is clocument (434) 964 4100 Omphalius, C., Lemosquet, S., Ouellet, D., Bahloui, L., Lapierre, H. (2020). Postruminal infusions of amino acids or glucose affect metabolisms of splanchnic, mammary, and other peripheral tissues and drive amino acid use in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science, 103 (3), 2233-2254.

hepatic catabolism of His+Met+Phe. There was no mammary catabolism of His, Met and Phe in all treatments, as indicated by the mammary uptake to milk output ratio close to 1 for these EAA. Therefore, the mammary gland contributes significantly to variations of efficiency of Group 2 AA-N through variations of AA catabolism, in response to both AA and glucose supplies, whereas additional PDV catabolism was observed with increased AA supply. Partition of AA usage between tissues allows to delineate their anabolic or catabolic fate across tissues and better understand changes of efficiency of EAA in response to protein and energy supplies.

58 Key Words: protein, energy, net flux, catabolism, efficiency

59

60

INTRODUCTION

61 To face the challenge of increasing dietary N efficiency (milk N/N intake) in lactating 62 dairy cows without a detrimental effect on milk true protein yield (MPY), a number of options have already been identified. Balancing diets for AA (Hague et al., 2012 and 2015; Lee et al., 63 64 2012), increasing NE_L supply (Raggio et al., 2006b; Rius et al., 2010b) or changing the source 65 of energy (Cantalapiedra-Hijar et al., 2014b; Nichols, 2019) increased the efficiency of utilization of MP, referred to as efficiency through the text. Although variations of efficiency 66 67 of MP under various nutritional conditions have been characterized, variations of efficiency of 68 individual EAA have not been yet thoroughly examined in response to changes of energy 69 supply, combined or not with alteration of AA supply. A meta-analysis reported a decreased 70 efficiency of EAA with increased AA supply with variations of efficiency of EAA amongst AA 71 (Doepel et al., 2004). Similarly, increasing Lys or Met supply by feeding a rumen-protected form, decreased their respective efficiency of utilization for milk protein secretion (Lee et al., 72 73 2015). Nevertheless, effect of NE_L or the interaction between NE_L and AA supplies on

efficiency of EAA need to be determined in order to better balance dairy rations for individualEAA.

Indeed, NE_L supply may affect AA metabolism due to the energy cost of protein 76 77 synthesis (Lobley, 1990). It was also proposed that energy supply might affect the AA 78 utilization for gluconeogenesis (Cant et al., 1993). In fact, post-rumen infusion of glucose or 79 rumen infusion of propionate increased efficiency of Group 1 AA (i.e., His, Met, Phe and Tyr) 80 with increased mammary uptake and secretion in milk protein and no change in AA supply 81 (Lemosquet et al., 2010a). In dairy cows fed 3 levels of MP, efficiency of EAA, calculated as 82 the ratio of AA in MPY relative to net portal absorption, decreased with increased MP supply 83 (Raggio et al., 2004). For Met, Phe and Tyr, the decreased efficiency was linked to increased 84 hepatic removal whereas for Group 2 AA (i.e., Ile, Leu, Lys and Val), it was related to an increased excess of mammary uptake relative to MPY, indicating an increased mammary use 85 86 of these AA not linked to their direct incorporation into MPY. Moreover, abomasal glucose 87 infusion (Huhtanen et al., 2002; Nichols et al., 2016) decreased branched-chain AA (BCAA) 88 plasma concentration with no effect on their mammary uptake, suggesting increased utilization 89 of the BCAA by other peripheral tissues such as muscle or splanchnic tissues, or both.

90 These observations indicate a different partition of AA utilization between tissues and 91 an alteration between anabolic or catabolic fate within tissue in response to changes in protein 92 or energy supplies. This raises the following questions: how altering supplies of AA or energy 93 (through glucose infusion), or both, affects the coordination of AA utilization by splanchnic, 94 mammary, and other peripheral tissues for anabolic or catabolic purposes and how these 95 variations affect whole body efficiency of EAA? We hypothesized that the decreased efficiency of EAA observed with increased AA supply and increased efficiency of EAA with glucose 96 97 supply could be explained through different mechanisms, affecting differently the partition of 98 groups of AA between tissues and the partition between anabolism and catabolism within tissue.

99 To our knowledge, there is no studies yet reporting the effect of variations of levels of protein 100 and energy supplies on splanchnic and mammary net fluxes of AA and relating these measured 101 fluxes to predicted AA supply in lactating dairy cows. Consequently, this knowledge on the 102 utilization (export or catabolism) of AA by various tissues under different nutritional conditions 103 will help to determine factors affecting the efficiency of EAA and therefore improve prediction 104 of individual efficiency of EAA. This information could be used in feeding systems to either 105 improve, for a given diet, the prediction of MPY or to identify which AA are potentially in short 106 supply.

107

108

109

MATERIALS AND METHODS

110 Cows and Surgery

111 The trial was carried out at the Sherbrooke Research and Development Center of 112 Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (QC, Canada). Five Holstein cows in second lactation had 113 been fitted before calving with a rumen cannula (Galindo et al., 2011). Approximately 8 wk 114 after calving, the cows were surgically implanted with catheters: 1 in the abomasum (Doepel et 115 al., 2006), 1 in a mesenteric artery, 2 in mesenteric veins, 1 in the portal vein and 1 in an hepatic 116 vein (Huntington et al., 1989). During the surgery, the right carotid artery was also raised to a 117 subcutaneous position to provide access to arterial blood if necessary.

At the initiation of the study, the cows averaged 158 ± 13 DIM and 655 ± 53 kg BW. Before and throughout the study, cows were housed in individual tie stalls and had access to fresh water. The experimental protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care Committee of the Sherbrooke Research and Development Center and animals were treated according to the Canadian Council on Animal Care guidelines (1993).

123

124 Experimental Design, Treatments, Feeding and Milking

125 Throughout the study, cows received the same experimental diet (Table 1). Based on 126 the cows' performance the week prior to the initiation of the project (30.8 kg milk/d at 3.2% 127 CP and 3.7% fat and an average DMI of 18.0 kg/d), the experimental diet was designed to 128 provide 87% of NE_L and 70% of MP requirements (NRC, 2001). Two factors, infusion of AA 129 or infusion of glucose, were tested in a 2×2 factorial arrangement. The 4 treatments were 130 continuous abomasal infusion of 1) water (Ctrl), 2) AA mixture with a casein profile (AA_{CN}; 131 695 g/d, Table 2), 3) glucose (E_{Glc}; 1600 g/d of glucose monohydrate, yielding 1454 g/d of glucose) and 4) a combination of AA mixture and glucose at the same doses ($AA_{CN} + E_{Glc}$). 132 133 The rates of infusion of AA or glucose were selected in order that the diet plus the infusions 134 would meet MP or NE_L requirements, respectively (NRC, 2001). The cows received the 4 treatments in a 4×4 Latin Square design, balanced for residual effect, plus one cow, with 2-135 136 wk experimental periods. The 4 treatments were delivered (6 kg/d) into the abomasum by means of peristaltic pumps. The AA solutions were diluted daily from a stock solution prepared every 137 138 2 or 3 d whereas the glucose solutions were prepared daily. Two cows received treatments 139 through an abomasal tube installed via the rumen cannula (Gressley et al., 2006) because the 140 abomasal catheters did not function well.

To minimize refusals, cows were offered 98% of ad libitum intake measured the week prior to the initiation of the project. The quantity of the ration offered and orts (when present) was weighed every day. To minimize post-prandial variations of metabolite concentrations and trans-organ fluxes, feed was supplied every 2 h in equal portions on even hours from automated feeders to cows milked twice daily at 0630 h and 1830 h. Milk production was recorded at each milking.

147

148 Sampling and Laboratory Analyses

Journal of Dairy Science

149 Feed and Milk. The concentrate was made in one batch prior to the initiation of the trial. 150 Samples of ingredients used for the concentrate were then collected. Samples of dry hav and 151 concentrate were collected every week to determine the DM concentration, by drying at 80°C 152 for 48 h and pooled by period to be analyzed for chemical composition: CP, ADF, NDF, lignin 153 as described by Martineau et al. (2007). The analyses of the pooled samples of concentrate were 154 used to confirm the values obtained for the raw material and values for individual feed 155 ingredients were used to calculate the feed value of the diet (NRC, 2001). Milk samples were 156 collected on the last 6 milkings of each period and analyzed for total N, NPN and non-casein N 157 according to Raggio et al. (2004).

158 **Blood.** On last day of each period, from 0800 to the end of the sampling period, sodium 159 p-aminohippurate (pAH; 100 g/L) was continuously infused using a syringe pump into one 160 mesenteric vein, at 12 g/h, to determine splanchnic plasma flow by dilution. Six sets of blood 161 samples were collected at 0900, 0940, 1020, 1145, 1225 and 1305 h from each cow 162 simultaneously from arterial, portal and hepatic venous catheters. Right after each set of 163 splanchnic sampling, a blood sample was taken from one mammary vein by venipuncture, 164 alternating right and left side at each sampling. Blood was immediately put on ice and 165 centrifuged (12 min, $1,800 \times g$) to yield plasma. Fresh plasma sub-samples were immediately 166 used to determine urea-N concentrations, using the diacetyl monoxime method on an automatic 167 analyzer (Technicon Autoanalyzer II, Technicon Instruments Corporation, NY) according to 168 Huntington (1984). In addition, 1 g of fresh plasma was added to 0.2 g of an internal standard 169 solution of labelled AA, as described in Doepel and Lapierre (2011). The processed samples 170 were stored at -80°C until analyzed for AA concentrations, determined by isotope dilution using 171 gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (model GC 6890-MS 5973, Agilent Technologies, 172 Wilmington, DE) as previously described by Calder et al. (1999) and Doepel and Lapierre 173 (2011). The remainder of the plasma was stored at -20°C. Concentrations of pAH on arterial,

portal and hepatic samples, were analyzed after deacetylation of pAH as described by Lobleyet al. (1995).

176

177 Calculations and Statistical Analyses

178 Calculations. The dietary EAA supply was calculated from the flow of digestible EAA 179 predicted by NRC (2001) multiplied by correction factors to take into account the incomplete 180 recovery of AA after 24-h hydrolysis of a protein (Lapierre et al., 2019; Table 3). Moreover, 181 we removed from the predicted MP supply and the corrected digestible flow of individual EAA 182 the contribution from duodenal endogenous flow, included in the predicted MP supply and AA 183 digestible flows (NRC, 2001). The contribution of the endogenous duodenal flow to MP supply 184 or AA digestible flow was estimated as: $96.1 + 7.45 \times DMI_{kg/d}$ (g CP/d; Lapierre et al., 2016) 185 multiplied by 0.8 to account for intestinal digestibility (NRC, 2001); this flow was then either 186 mulitplied by the ratio of TP/CP of gut endogenous proteins of 0.73 (Lapierre et al., 2019b) or 187 multiplied by its AA composition (Table 3). Therefore, total supply of individual EAA (diet + 188 infusion, Table 4) was the sum of the predicted digestible flow corrected for incomplete 189 recovery with 24-h hydrolysis minus duodenal endogenous contribution plus the rate of AA 190 infusion when applicable; the digestibility of infused AA was assumed to be 100%. The supply 191 of EAA was expressed in their hydrated form. Because the sum of the hydrated AA supply is 192 roughly 15% higher than when expressed as protein, as each AA is losing one molecule of water 193 for each peptide bond during protein synthesis, total MP supply (Table 4) was calculated as 194 predicted MP supply (NRC, 2001) minus duodenal endogenous contribution to MP, as detailed 195 above, plus the rate of AA infusion (expressed in their anhydrous form, equivalent to 600 g/d 196 of MP). From herein to the end of the text, supply of MP or AA digestible flow will refer to 197 their respective supply corrected as defined above. The NEAA supply, not predicted by NRC 198 (2001), was calculated by difference between MP supply expressed in hydrated form of AA,

)I:10.3168/ids.2019-1

Journal of Dairy Science

i.e., MP × 1.15, and total EAA supply. The total NE_L supply (diet + infusion, Table 4) was estimated by adding dietary NE_L supply predicted by NRC (2001) and NE_L from infusion of Glc [2.75 Mcal/kg of infused glucose (Armstrong et al., 1961) = 4.0 Mcal/d]. Using INRA feeding system (2018), the experimental diet supplied 84 ± 0.4 g/kg DM of protein digestible in small intestine (PDI, equivalent to MP) and 1.58 ± 0.01 Mcal/kg DM of NE_L

204 The AA composition of the milk protein (Table 3) was estimated for each $cow \times period$ 205 from the measured proportions of CN and whey proteins relative to true protein (TP), using the 206 AA composition of each family of protein reported by Farrell et al. (2004). Adapted from 207 Lapierre et al. (2019b), the following milk protein fractions were assumed to be constant (% 208 TP): lactoferrin 0.21%, albumin 1.04%, IgG1 1.64%, IgGA 0.04%, IgG2 0.18% and IgM 209 0.33%. Total CN and whey protein fractions (% of TP) measured for each cow × period were used assuming 1) the following CN distribution (% of CN): 42.7% as₁-casein, 9.2% as₂-casein, 210 211 37.5% β-casein and 10.5% κ-casein and 2) the following distribution within the remaining whey 212 protein once the fixed contribution of lactoferrin + albumin + Ig was excluded: 72.8% α -213 lactalbumin and 27.2% β-lactoglobulin. Blood-borne proteins (BBP) were the sum of albumin 214 and Ig and represented 3.23% of milk TP. Milk protein output was estimated using MPY 215 measured during the last 6 milkings of each period and the AA composition calculated as 216 previously described. The AA composition of milk used to calculate mammary plasma flow 217 excluded BBP to consider only proteins synthesized in the mammary gland whereas the AA 218 composition of milk used to calculate whole body efficiency included all protein fractions 219 (Table 3).

Mammary plasma flow was determined using the Fick principle based on Phe + Tyr as internal markers (Cant et al., 1993), assuming no mammary oxidation of Phe + Tyr (Lemosquet et al., 2010b). Portal and total splanchnic plasma flows were calculated as infusion rate of pAH divided by average portal- or hepatic-arterial concentration difference of pAH. Arterial hepatic

9

224 plasma flow was calculated as splanchnic minus portal plasma flow. Hepatic influx of AA was 225 calculated as (portal plasma flow \times portal concentration) + (arterial plasma flow \times arterial 226 concentration). The net fluxes of AA metabolites across portal drained-viscera (PDV), 227 splanchnic tissues and mammary gland were calculated by multiplying the average veno-228 arterial plasma concentration difference by their respective plasma flow. The net flux of urea 229 was calculated similarly but using plasma water concentration [plasma concentration / (1 - DM)230 of plasma)] and blood water flow, assuming 7% and 12% DM in plasma and blood, 231 respectively. as previously described (Doepel et al., 2007). The hepatic net flux was calculated 232 as splanchnic minus portal net flux. Negative values of net flux indicate net removal whereas 233 positive values indicate net release across the studied tissue. When a net removal was observed 234 across the liver or the mammary gland, fractional removal was calculated as – (net flux divided 235 by total influx).

Whole body efficiency of MP assigned the same efficiency to all proteins exported, excluding non-protein endogenous urinary losses (EUL) to which an efficiency of 1 is assigned (Lapierre et al., 2019b; INRA 2018). The efficiency of MP was therefore estimated as follows:

efficiency of MP =
$$\frac{MPY + MFP + Scurf}{MPsupply - EUL}$$

240 where MP supply is as previously detailed, MPY was observed and metabolic fecal protein

241 (MFP), scurf proteins and EUL were calculated as described in Lapierre et al. (2019b).

242 Individual EAA efficiencies were calculated following the same pattern:

243 efficiency of EAA =
$$\frac{MPY \times [EAA]milk + MFP \times [EAA]MFP + Scurf \times [EAA]Scurf}{EAA digestible flow - EUL \times [EAA]EUL}$$

where MPY, MFP, and scurf are as described for efficiency of MP and AA composition as
detailed in Lapierre et al. (2019b) except for milk which was calculated as described above
(Table 3). Both equations assume no protein accretion in conceptus or other tissues. For EUL,
briefly, the EAA export is related only to endogenous urea excretion using AA composition of

239

Journal of Dairy Science

248 empty body, plus 3-methyl-His excretion added for His, and creatinine and creatine excretion 249 added for Arg. The NEAA-N in protein exported were estimated as the difference between TP 250 ×1.15 and EAA-N exported and the efficiency of NEAA-N was estimated as the ratio of NEAA-251 N in proteins exported and NEAA-N supply previously described minus NEAA-N in EUL. 252 Potential catabolism of MP and groups of AA by different tissues were calculated based 253 on predicted supply, proteins or AA exported (observed or predicted) and measured net fluxes 254 across tissues. First, the estimated digestible flow not used to support MFP and not recovered 255 into portal circulation was considered as catabolism by PDV. Therefore, 256 PDV catabolism = digestible flow - (portal net flux + MFP). 257 Then, the hepatic net removal was considered as catabolism when values were negative. 258 Although it is recognized that part of the hepatic removal of AA is used for the synthesis of liver export proteins (Raggio et al., 2007) and therefore not catabolized, the equivalent of this 259 260 synthesis must be catabolized somewhere or returned to the liver as peptides. However very 261 limited data are available on the degradation and use of plasma proteins; therefore, we decided 262 to include their catabolism in hepatic catabolism. 263 Post-liver supply of AA not captured by the mammary gland or secreted into scurf proteins was considered as potential catabolism by other peripheral tissues. Therefore, 264 265 other peripheral tissue catabolism = splanchnic net flux - (-mammary net flux + scurf). 266 And finally, the mammary uptake not secreted into milk protein was considered as 267 mammary catabolism. Therefore, mammary catabolism = -mammary net flux - milk AA. 268 Statistical Analyses. The average intake and milk production and composition data from 269 the last 3 d of each period were used for the statistical analyses whereas the daily means of the 270 6 blood samples collected on the last day were used for plasma concentrations and net flux data. 271 One cow had vaginal infection during her third period (E_{Glc} treatment) and consequently data from this cow were deleted for this period. Therefore, n = 5 for Ctrl, AA_{CN} and $AA_{CN} + E_{Glc}$ 272

infusions of amino acids or glucose affect metabolisms of splanchnic, mammary, and other peripheral tissues and drive amino acid use in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science, 103 (3), 2233-2254.

and n = 4 for E_{Glc} . The data were analyzed using the MIXED procedure of SAS (v 9.4, SAS Institute Inc., 2004) according to the following statistical model:

 $Y_{ijkl} = \mu + cow_i + period_j + AA_k + Glc_l + (AA \times Glc)_{kl} + \varepsilon_{ijkl}$

276 where μ was the mean and Y_{iikl} was the variable dependent on the fixed effects of period_i, AA_k 277 (effect of AA infusion), Glc₁ (effect of glucose infusion) and their interaction, ε_{iikl} was the 278 residual error which associated ijkl observations. Cow was treated as random effect. The results 279 are expressed as least squares means with the highest standard error of the means reported. 280 Homogeneity of residues was verified. The significance level was set to $P \le 0.05$ and the tendency to $0.05 < P \le 0.10$. When the AA and glucose interaction (AA × Glc) was significant, 281 282 the SLICE procedures of SAS (v 9.4, SAS Institute Inc., 2004) was used to test the effect of 283 one factor within the other factor. Student's t-test were used to determine if efficiency of MP, 284 efficiency of EAA and uptake to milk output ratios were different from 1 and catabolisms 285 different from 0. In addition, we tested if the efficiencies were different between EAA, 286 including the factor "EAA" as a repeated measurement. Because the interaction treatment × 287 EAA was highly significant (P < 0.001), the effect of EAA was tested within each treatment 288 and means separated using adjusted Tukey test.

289

290

RESULTS

291

292 DMI, Energy and Protein Supplies

The DMI was not affected by treatments ($P \ge 0.28$; Table 4). Also, as planned, AA infusion increased (P < 0.01) MP supply (diet + infusion) by 43% as well as the predicted digestible flow of individual EAA. There were AA × Glc interactions on the predicted digestible flows of a few EAA. However, we considered that these interactions on predicted flows were statistically significant only because of the very limited variations on predicted flows: predictions (NRC, 2001) were based on DMI and there were minimal alterations of DMI: we therefore assumed that predicted variations of ± 1 g of AA/d were not biologically relevant.

300

301 Milk Production and Composition

There was no AA × Glc interaction ($P \ge 0.11$; Table 5) on any of the milk parameters measured. Milk yield increased (P = 0.04) with AA infusion whereas glucose infusion tended to increase (P = 0.07) it. Milk TP yield and concentration increased (P < 0.01) with infusions of AA or glucose. Milk CP concentration increased (P < 0.01) with infusions of AA or glucose whereas the proportion of NPN relative to CP increased (P < 0.01) with AA infusion but decreased (P = 0.02) with glucose infusion. The proportion of CN relative to TP tended (P =0.08) to decrease with AA infusion.

309

Version postprint

310 MP and EAA Whole Body Efficiencies

The estimated efficiency of MP decreased (P < 0.01) with AA infusion and increased 311 312 with glucose infusion (P < 0.01) with similar trends for Groups of AA (Table 6). The individual 313 estimated EAA efficiencies decreased (P < 0.01) with AA infusion and marked differences 314 among EAA were observed: Phe and Met estimated efficiencies decreased by 42 and 32% 315 respectively whereas Val, Ile and Thr estimated efficiencies decreased by 21, 21 and 19% 316 respectively. Contrary to AA infusion effect, individual EAA efficiencies increased ($P \le 0.03$) 317 with glucose infusion in similar proportions, from 8 to 11%. No significant interaction was 318 observed between AA and glucose infusions on estimated efficiencies. Across all treatments. 319 the efficiency of Arg was the highest, followed by His and Met whereas the efficiency of Phe 320 and Thr were the lowest. Indeed, efficiency of Arg were higher than 1 in Ctrl and E_{Glc} treatments whereas the efficiency of His tended to be higher than 1 in E_{Glc} treatment. All other EAA 321

Comment citer ise discument it. (434) 964 4100 Omphalius, C., Lemosquet, S., Ouellet, D., Banloui, L., Laplerre, H. (2020). Postruminal infusions of amino acids or glucose affect metabolisms of splanchnic, mammary, and other peripheral 322 efficiencies were significantly lower than 1, except for His and Met in Ctrl treatment and for

323 Lys and Met in E_{Glc} treatment which were not different (P > 0.10) from 1.

324

325 Plasma Arterial Concentrations of Individual AA and Urea

326 There was no AA \times Glc interaction (P > 0.10; Table 7) on the arterial concentrations of 327 any AA. Infusions of AA increased the arterial concentrations of all EAA ($P \le 0.02$), with the exception of a tendency for Trp (P = 0.06) and no effect for Thr (P = 0.17). Increases averaged 328 329 from 22% (Arg) to 69% (Phe) of Ctrl values. For the NEAA, the AA infusion increased (P <330 0.01) arterial concentrations of Cit, Orn and Pro, decreased (P = 0.01) Gly and tended ($P \le$ 331 0.09) to decrease arterial concentrations of Ala and Tyr. Overall, the plasma concentrations of TAA-N, EAA-N, Group 1 AA-N (sum of His, Met, Phe, Trp and Tyr; Lapierre et al., 2012), 332 333 HMP-N (sum of His, Met and Phe) and Group 2 AA-N (sum of Lys, Ile, Leu and Val; Mepham, 334 1982) and BCAA-N (sum of Ile, Leu and Val) increased (P < 0.01) with AA infusion whereas 335 arterial concentration of NEAA-N was not affected by AA infusion (P = 0.32). In contrast, on 336 an individual basis, glucose infusion decreased ($P \le 0.05$) Arg, Ile, Leu, Lys, Phe, Val and Orn 337 arterial concentrations, tended ($P \le 0.10$) to decrease Ala and Cys arterial concentrations, but increased ($P \le 0.03$) arterial concentrations of Gly and Ser. Glucose infusion decreased ($P \le$ 338 339 0.04) the arterial concentrations of TAA-N, EAA-N, Group 2 AA-N and BCAA-N. The arterial 340 concentration of urea increased with AA infusion (+ 126%; P < 0.01) and decreased with 341 glucose infusion (- 24%; P < 0.01).

342

343 Net Fluxes of Individual AA and Urea across Tissues

344 *Splanchnic Fluxes.* Portal and hepatic plasma flows were not altered by AA infusion 345 but tended (P = 0.06; Table 8) to increase with glucose infusion. The net fluxes of individual 346 AA are detailed in Table 9. The portal net fluxes of all individual AA increased ($P \le 0.05$) with

Journal of Dairy Science

AA infusion with the exception of a tendency (P = 0.06) for Asn and no effect for Asp, Cit and Tyr. The portal net fluxes of AA were not affected by glucose infusion, except for Gln which decreased (P < 0.01). The portal net flux of Cit had a tendency for an AA × Glc interaction (P= 0.08) but differences did not reach significance (P > 0.10) when tested within type of infusions. The portal net flux of urea decreased (P < 0.01) with AA infusion and was not affected by glucose infusion.

Infusions of AA increased ($P \le 0.03$) hepatic removals of Arg, His, Lys, Met, Phe and Thr and of Ala, Cys, Gln, Gly, Pro and Ser and tended (P = 0.08) to increase hepatic removal of Asn. Among individual EAA, hepatic removals of His, Met, Phe and Thr decreased ($P \le$ 0.04) and those of Arg and Lys tended ($P \le 0.08$) to decrease with glucose infusion. The hepatic removal of Ala decreased (P < 0.01) with glucose infusion. Hepatic release of Glu decreased (P = 0.02) with AA infusion but increased (P < 0.01) with glucose infusion. Net hepatic release of urea increased with AA infusion (P < 0.01) and decreased with glucose infusion (P = 0.01).

360 Among individual EAA, only the splanchnic net flux of AA from Group 2 AA increased 361 $(P \le 0.03)$ whereas those of Met and Thr tended $(P \le 0.10)$ to increase with AA infusion. The 362 splanchnic net flux of Pro was the only NEAA to increase (P < 0.01) with AA infusion but the 363 splanchnic net flux of Asn also tended (P = 0.06) to increase whereas splanchnic net flux decreased (P = 0.05) for Glu or tended (P = 0.09) to decrease for Ala. The splanchnic net flux 364 365 of His increased (P = 0.02) with glucose infusion. For individual NEAA, splanchnic net fluxes 366 of Ala and Glu increased ($P \le 0.01$) with glucose infusion. The net splanchnic removal of Cys 367 tended to decrease (P = 0.07) with glucose infusion. An AA \times Glc interaction was significant 368 for splanchnic net flux of Cit (P = 0.03): AA infusion increased it only without glucose infusion 369 (P < 0.01) and glucose infusion increased it only without AA infusion (P = 0.03). The 370 splanchnic net flux of urea increased with AA infusion (P < 0.01) and was not affected by 371 glucose infusion.

372 Fractional removals of individual EAA removed by the liver, except Trp, increased (P 373 < 0.01) with AA infusion whereas fractional removals of His. Phe and Thr decreased (P < 0.05) 374 or tended to decrease ($P \le 0.07$) for Arg, Lys and Met with glucose infusion (Table 10). For the 375 NEAA, fractional removals increased ($P \le 0.05$) with AA infusion for Ala, Asp, Cys, Gln, Gly, 376 Pro and Ser. Fractional hepatic removals of His, Phe, Thr, Ala, Gln and Gly decreased ($P \leq$ 0.03) or tended to decrease ($P \le 0.03$) for Arg, Lys and Met with glucose infusion. 377

378	Mammary Uptake of Individual AA and AA in Milk. Mammary plasma flow did not
379	change with AA infusion but increased ($P < 0.01$; Table 8) with glucose infusion. The net
380	mammary uptakes of all individual EAA increased ($P \le 0.02$; Table 9) with AA infusion, except
381	for a tendency to increase for Arg and Trp ($P \le 0.10$). However, we observed a tendency for an
382	AA \times Glc interaction ($P = 0.06$) in His mammary uptake: the increment was significant only
383	when glucose was infused ($P < 0.01$). Among NEAA, mammary uptake of Cys increased ($P \le$
384	0.05) with AA infusion whereas mammary uptake of Ala decreased ($P = 0.05$). Glucose
385	infusion increased ($P \le 0.02$) mammary uptakes of Arg, Met, Phe, and Thr. As previously
386	mentioned, we had a tendency for an AA \times Glc interaction ($P = 0.06$) for His mammary uptake:
387	glucose infusion was significant only with AA infusion ($P < 0.01$). Among NEAA, Ala and Glu
388	mammary uptakes increased ($P \le 0.01$) with glucose infusion whereas increased Ser and Tyr
389	mammary uptakes only reached tendency ($P \le 0.09$). We observed also a tendency for an AA
390	\times Glc interaction (P = 0.09) for Pro mammary uptake: AA infusion increased more Pro
391	mammary uptake with glucose infusion and glucose infusion were significant only with AA
392	infusion (<i>P</i> < 0.01).

Mammary fractional removals of individual AA decreased ($P \le 0.05$, Table 10) with 393 394 AA infusion for His, Leu, Lys, Phe, Ala, Glu and Pro, tended to decrease (P = 0.06) for Val 395 and increased (P = 0.05) for Cys. On the other hand, glucose infusion increased (P < 0.01) fractional removals of Ile, Leu, Val, Ala and Glu, tended to increase (P = 0.06) fractional 396

399 Similar to MPY, AA or glucose infusion increased all individual AA exported into milk 400 protein (P < 0.01; Table 9). The ratios of mammary uptake relative to milk protein output (U:O 401 ratio, Table 11) of Ile, Leu, Lys, Phe and Pro increased ($P \le 0.03$) with AA infusion whereas those of Val tended to increase (P = 0.08). In contrast, AA infusion decreased ($P \le 0.04$) the 402 U:O ratios of Ala, Glu and Tyr and tended to decrease the Gln U:O ratio (P = 0.10). With 403 404 glucose infusion, the U:O ratios of Ile, Leu and Lys decreased ($P \le 0.03$) whereas we observed 405 only a tendency to decrease for Val (P = 0.07). The U:O ratio of Ala increased (P < 0.01) with 406 glucose infusion.

407

Version postprint

408 Metabolic Fates of Groups of AA by Tissue

409 The metabolism of EAA will be followed by groups and not as total EAA, because of 410 the large dissimilarity between the pattern of utilization of Group 1 vs. Group 2 EAA. However, 411 because we wanted to integrate measurements of net fluxes with predicted digestible flows, the 412 HMP-N group, i.e., the sum of His, Met and Phe, was created to replace Group 1 AA-N because 413 NRC (2001) does not predict Trp and Tyr digestible flows. It is acknowledged that the 414 metabolism of NEAA also differs greatly amongst NEAA, but because there is no prediction 415 of individual NEAA digestible flows in the NRC (2001), these were estimated as a group by 416 difference between TAA-N and EAA-N and will therefore be considered as a single group.

417 *Net Fluxes of Groups of AA.* The net fluxes of groups of AA-N are reported in Table 418 12. The portal net fluxes of HMP-N, Group 2 AA-N and NEAA-N increased (P < 0.01) with 419 AA infusion, and did not change with glucose infusion. The splanchnic net flux of Group 2 AA-420 N increased (P = 0.01) and those of HMP-N tended to increase (P = 0.08) with AA infusion. 421 The splanchnic net flux of HMP-N increased (P = 0.05) with glucose infusion. Hepatic 422 fractional removal of HMP-N increased ($P \le 0.01$, Table 10) with AA infusion and decreased 423 (P = 0.03) with glucose infusion. The mammary uptake of Group 2 AA-N increased (P < 0.05). 424 Table 12) with AA infusion. The mammary uptake of NEAA-N increased (P < 0.05) with 425 glucose infusion. An AA \times Glc interaction (P = 0.05) for HMP-N mammary uptake was 426 observed: AA and glucose infusions increased more HMP-N mammary uptake with glucose 427 infusion and AA infusion respectively. Mammary fractional removal of HMP-N and Group 2 428 AA-N decreased ($P \le 0.02$) with AA infusion. Glucose infusion had no effect on HMP-N 429 mammary fractional removal but increased (P < 0.01) fractional removal of Group 2 AA-N. 430 Fractional removal of NEAA-N was not affected by treatments (Table 10). Following MPY 431 pattern, groups of AA in MPY increased (P < 0.05) with AA or glucose infusion. Moreover, TAA-N in MPY (329, 372, 364, 436 \pm 31 mmol N/h in Ctrl, AA_{CN}, E_{Glc} and AA_{CN} + E_{Glc} 432 treatments respectively) was close to MPY. Overall, the TAA-N U:O ratio was not different 433 434 from unity (P > 0.10; Table 11). There was no effect of AA and glucose infusions on the U:O 435 ratio of Group 1 AA-N. The Group 2 AA-N and BCAA-N U:O ratios increased (P = 0.03) with 436 AA infusion, whereas these ratios decreased ($P \le 0.03$) with glucose infusion. With AA infusion, 437 the NEAA-N U:O ratio decreased (P = 0.02) and those of TAA-N tended to decrease (P = 0.09) whereas these ratios were no affected by glucose infusion. 438

439 Sites of catabolism of groups of AA. Catabolism of HMP-N was only different from 0 440 across the liver in Ctrl, AA_{CN} and $AA_{CN} + E_{Glc}$ treatments and was not different from 0 across 441 the PDV, the other peripheral tissues and the mammary gland. In contrast, there was no significant hepatic removal of Group 2 AA-N whereas catabolisms by the PDV and other 442 443 peripheral tissues were different from 0 with AA infusion and mammary catabolism was higher 444 than 0 for all treatments. Finally, for NEAA-N, catabolism across the PDV was higher than 0 445 for the 4 treatments. A "negative" catabolism of the NEAA-N across the mammary gland for all 4 treatments and by other peripheral tissues, only significant in Ctrl treatment, indicates de 446

459

460

novo synthesis of the NEAA by these tissues. There was hepatic removal of NEAA-N in all
treatments, but it was not significant when glucose was infused.

449 The catabolism by the PDV of Group 2 AA-N tended (P = 0.07) to increase with AA 450 infusion. The hepatic removal of HMP-N and NEAA-N increased with AA infusion suggesting 451 an increased catabolism and decreased ($P \le 0.01$) with glucose infusion suggesting a decreased 452 catabolism. The tendency (P = 0.06) for a decreased hepatic release for Group 2 AA-N with 453 AA infusion must be interpreted with caution because it was not significantly different from 0, 454 except a tendency in E_{Glc} treatment. The catabolism by other peripheral tissues was not affected by treatments. The mammary catabolism of Group 2 AA-N increased (P < 0.01) with AA 455 456 infusion and decreased (P = 0.01) with glucose infusion. The "negative" mammary catabolism 457 of NEAA-N increased (P < 0.01) with AA infusion, indicating increased *de novo* synthesis of 458 NEAA-N.

DISCUSSION

461 Nutrient Supplies

462 The DMI were similar between treatments; consequently, AA and glucose infusions created substantial variations in MP (+ 43%) and NE_L (+ 14%) supplies, respectively, with no 463 AA × Glc interaction. The increased digestible flow of individual EAA with AA infusion did 464 465 not rely on the ration formulation model because it is directly related to the infusion. There was 466 however, large variation of relative increments between EAA explained by the CN profile 467 different from the dietary MP profile. For example Thr digestible flow increased by 41%, 468 whereas Met digestible flow increased by 72%; Phe digestible flow had the largest increment 469 (102%) due to fact that Phe replaced Tyr in the infusate.

470

471 Milk Yield and Composition

472 Infusing AA or glucose increased milk yield by 6 and 5%, respectively, although the 473 latter only reached the tendency level; there was no AA × Glc interaction, the effects being 474 therefore additive. Also, similarly to milk yield, infusing AA or glucose increased MPY, with 475 no AA \times Glc interaction, the effects being also additive, as previously reported when the effects 476 of protein and energy supplies have been tested in a factorial arrangement (e.g. Clark et al., 477 1977; Vanhatalo et al., 2003a; Raggio et al., 2006a). In a recent meta-analysis, Daniel et al. 478 (2016) also concluded that there was no interaction between MP and energy supplies. 479 Interaction between energy and protein supplies was, however, reported by Brun-Lafleur et al. 480 (2010) using a specific experimental design with many levels of energy and protein supplies. 481 This discrepancy indicates the need to conduct studies thoroughly designed to test the energy \times 482 protein interaction on milk yield and MPY.

483 The MPY response to AA infusion (+ 17%) was similar to what was usually reported 484 with a marginal recovery of infused AA into MPY averaging 0.23, similar to marginal protein 485 efficiency reported by Hanigan et al. (1998) and Martineau et al. (2017) of 0.21 and 0.26, 486 respectively, in response to post-ruminal CN infusions. In contrast, the MPY response to 487 glucose infusion (+ 14%) was slightly larger than the response usually reported with increased 488 energy supply. For example, Curtis et al. (2018), who also infused glucose, reported a 8% 489 increment whereas many studies reported just a tendency for increased MPY with infusions of 490 glucose or other energy sources (Rulquin et al., 2004; Raggio et al., 2006a) or no effect of 491 energy infusion (Rius et al., 2010a; Curtis et al., 2014; Nichols et al., 2016). These different 492 effects of energy infusions could be due to the supplementary NE_L provided by infusions: 493 Raggio et al. (2006a) infused 3.73 Mcal/d of NE_L from propionate, close to our glucose infusion; 494 in contrast, Curtis et al. (2014) and Nichols et al. (2016) infused only 2.5 and 2.8 Mcal/d 495 respectively. Moreover, NE_L supply of their control treatments were greater than ours (32.1 and

496 28.4 Mcal/d respectively for Curtis et al. (2014) and Nichols et al. (2016) vs. 27.4 Mcal/d in our study). These differences could explain our marked MPY response to glucose infusion. 497

498

499 Efficiency of Utilization of MP and EAA

500 The decreased efficiency of MP with AA infusion (- 27%) was the consequence of an increase of MPY smaller than the increase of MP supply, consistent with previous observations 501 502 (Doepel et al., 2004; Metcalf et al., 2008). In contrast, efficiency of MP increased with glucose 503 infusion by 10%: this increment with glucose infusion was due to an increased MPY with no 504 change in MP supply. In the current context where MP supply was largely increased with AA 505 infusion, the magnitude of the variation of efficiency of MP was less important with increased 506 energy supply than with decreased protein supply as already observed by Omphalius et al. 507 (2019). Moreover, there was no $AA \times Glc$ interaction on efficiency of MP, as previously 508 reported for efficiency of utilization of N (Broderick, 2003; Rius et al., 2010b).

509 The highest efficiency of EAA occurred in E_{Glc} treatment, suggesting very limited 510 catabolism of EAA whereas the lowest efficiencies observed in AA_{CN} treatment suggested 511 increased catabolism. Efficiencies of individual EAA varied following a pattern similar to 512 efficiency of MP but with different ranges of variation. For example, with AA infusion, Phe 513 had the largest variations of efficiency whereas Thr showed the smallest variations. These 514 different variations of efficiency of EAA were linked to the relative variations of EAA supplies, 515 due to CN profile infused relative to AA supplied from the diet. Efficiencies of some EAA were 516 not different or even higher than 1; this was the case for Arg, His, Lys and Met under Ctrl and E_{GLC} treatments. An efficiency higher than 1 may be explained by either an overestimation of 517 518 EUL or MFP, an underestimation of the digestible flows of these AA or an unaccounted source 519 of endogenous supply. The last assumption would involve different metabolic pathways for the 520 3 AA with the highest efficiency. For Arg, it is acknowledged that there is significant de novo

521 synthesis in dairy cows, which could account for up to 30% of the digestible flow (Doepel et 522 al., 2004). For His, a possible endogenous source could be from depletion of muscular 523 intracellular pools of the dipeptides carnosine and anserine or of blood hemoglobin rich in His. 524 For Lys, protein mobilisation would need to be assumed and this route would also provide 525 endogenous supply of all AA. Although we do not have measurements to assess if protein 526 mobilisation occurred when those high efficiencies were observed, splanchnic fluxes greater 527 than mammary uptake would suggest that protein mobilisation did not occur in our study. 528 Similarly, Lee et al. (2015) also reported that, with a low protein diet (13.7% CP), His and Met 529 had the highest efficiency of utilization, although their calculation was only varying the 530 efficiency of lactation as the efficiency for maintenance was assumed to be fixed. They also reported a decreased efficiency of Lys and Met when their respective supply was increased. 531 532 Altogether these observations suggest that His, Met and Lys were potentially in short supply, 533 especially under Ctrl and E_{GLC} treatments.

534 In line with previous findings on the effect of AA supply (Hanigan et al., 2004; Raggio 535 et al., 2006b; Nichols et al., 2016), the pattern of plasma urea concentrations was the inverse of 536 estimated efficiencies of MP and EAA-N. A large increase of urea concentration with AA 537 infusion would indicate increased AA catabolism whereas in contrast, the decreased plasma 538 urea concentrations with glucose infusion, as already observed (Raggio et al., 2006b), is 539 consistent with increased estimated efficiencies suggesting a reduction of AA catabolism. 540 However, a decreased urea concentration was not observed in Nichols et al. (2016) but the rate 541 of glucose infusion was smaller than in our experiment (1.0 kg/d vs. 1.5 kg/d in our study). 542 Variations of efficiency of MP and efficiency of EAA-N are indicators of whole body

542 variations of efficiency of while efficiency of utilization of AA. We wanted to understand better the mechanisms underlying 544 their variations by studying the coordination of utilization of AA by splanchnic tissues, other

Comment citer ce document. Omphalius, C., Lemosquet, S., Ouellet, D., Bahlou, L., Lapierre, H. (2020). Postruminal infusions of amino acids or glucose affect metabolisms of splanchnic, mammary, and other peripheral tissues and drive amino acid use in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science, 103 (3), 2233-2254. 22

545 peripheral tissues and the mammary gland, for anabolic or catabolic purposes in response to 546 variations in protein and energy supplies.

547

548 Splanchnic and Mammary Net Fluxes Varied among EAA

549 The portal net fluxes of all EAA infused increased with AA infusion, as usually 550 observed with a post-rumen infusion of a mixture of free AA in cows (Larsen et al., 2015) or 551 of CN in sheep (El-Kadi et al., 2006). The apparent recovery of the infused AA reaching portal 552 circulation averaged 109, 78, 69, 66, 77, 89, 83, 86, 100 and 50% for the EAA Arg, His, Ile, 553 Leu, Lys, Met, Phe, Thr, Trp, and Val respectively and 203, 99, 22, 133, 99, 12, 129, 75 and 554 98% for the NEAA Ala, Asn, Asp, Cys, Gln, Glu, Gly, Pro and Ser, respectively. Amongst the 555 EAA, the BCAA showed the lowest recovery rate, as also observed in cows in early lactation (Larsen et al., 2015). Also, in sheep, amongst the EAA, only the BCAA had a slope of portal 556 557 recovery relative to infusion rate of AA (CN) lower than unity (El-Kadi et al., 2006). Low portal 558 recoveries of BCAA suggest that these AA are catabolized by the PDV when supply is 559 increased. Indeed, direct measurements have shown oxidation of Leu across the PDV in 560 lactating dairy cows (Lapierre et al., 2002) and in sheep (Lobley et al., 2003). Although no 561 statistical effect could be detected, we noted an increase in PDV recovery with glucose infusion $(E_{Glc} \text{ and } AA_{CN} + E_{Glc} \text{ vs. Ctrl and } AA_{CN})$ by 43, 32 and 58% for Ile, Leu and Val suggesting 562 563 that increased glucose supply might have decreased PDV metabolism of BCAA. Across the 564 NEAA, as also reported in cows (Larsen et al., 2015) and in sheep (El-Kadi et al., 2006), Ala 565 portal net flux increment, greater than infusion rate, suggests increased transamination of 566 pyruvate with increased AA supply. Moreover, Asp and Glu low recovery rates indicate 567 intensive catabolism of these 2 AA by the PDV, in agreement with their large catabolism by 568 the PDV observed in sheep and non-ruminant species (Wolff et al., 1972; Windmueller et al., 569 1974; Ball, 2002).

570 The net hepatic removals of His, Lys, Met, Phe and Thr increased with AA infusion as 571 usually observed with increased protein or AA supply in lactating dairy cows (Raggio et al., 572 2004; Cantalapiedra-Hijar et al., 2014a; Larsen et al. 2015). It was initially proposed that net 573 hepatic removal of EAA would be driven by the portal net flux (Reynolds, 2006). Indeed, 574 usually, increased portal net flux of EAA leads to increased circulating plasma concentrations. 575 However, a situation where increased net PDV absorption was accompanied by decreased AA 576 concentrations, e.g. when comparing cows pre- and post-calving (Doepel et al., 2009), clearly 577 demonstrated that net hepatic removal was not related to portal net flux. Another proposed 578 option was that total hepatic AA influx would drive the hepatic removal (Hanigan, 2005; 579 Lapierre et al., 2005). However, the effect of both AA and glucose infusions on hepatic 580 fractional removal for HMP-N indicates that there was no linear relationship between net hepatic removal and hepatic influx: therefore other factors than mass action must have an 581 582 impact on hepatic AA removal. As previously reported in dairy cows (Raggio et al., 2004; 583 Cantalapiedra-Hijar et al., 2014a), hepatic removals of BCAA were not different from 0, but 584 this observation is not yet explained.

585 Overall, the TAA-N mammary uptake to milk output ratio did not differ from 1, but the 586 mammary gland response to AA or glucose infusion differed amongst groups of AA. On the 587 one hand, the mammary uptake of most EAA increased with AA infusion through increased 588 mammary VA differences (data not shown) with no change in mammary plasma flow as 589 observed previously with increased AA supply [Raggio et al. (2006a) with CN infusion; Nichols 590 et al. (2016) with EAA infusion; Omphalius et al. (2019) with dietary changes] although 591 mammary plasma flow was reported to decrease with increased MP supply (review: Lapierre 592 et al., 2012). For His, Met and Trp, the increment was just sufficient to cover the increased 593 MPY, as shown by no effect of AA infusion on the U:O ratio. In contrast, the U:O ratio of 594 Group 2 AA-N increased with AA infusion, indicating that the mammary uptake increased at a

Journal of Dairy Science

595 greater rate than the increased MPY response to AA infusion. On the other hand, the mammary 596 uptake responses to glucose infusion occurred differently between EAA. First, for most of the 597 Group 1 AA (especially His, Met and Phe) and Tyr, mammary uptake increased with glucose 598 infusion with unchanged VA differences coupled to an increased mammary plasma flow. 599 Second, glucose infusion did not affect mammary uptake of Group 2 AA-N resultant of the 2 600 components of uptake calculations varying in opposite directions: the VA difference decreased 601 (data not shown) whereas mammary plasma flow increased. In fact, to accompany an increased 602 MPY, an increased mammary uptake of Group 1 AA-N is obligatory if there is a stoichiometric 603 transfer of their uptake to MPY; however, for Group 2 AA-N, as their mammary uptake is in 604 excess of MPY, their uptake does not need to increase to support an increment of MPY. This 605 indicates that mammary catabolism of Group 2 AA-N is not obligatory, or at least, it can 606 decrease without a negative effect on MPY. Finally, mammary uptake increased with glucose 607 infusion for many NEAA (Ala, Glu Pro, Ser and Tyr). The increased Ala and Glu uptakes were 608 already reported by Lemosquet et al. (2010a). Nevertheless, the uptakes were not sufficient to 609 cover the milk output in protein, with Gln being the only NEAA for which the U:O ratio is 610 never lower than 1. With AA infusion, i.e., when AA supply was in excess, decreased U:O 611 ratios of Ala, Glu and Tyr indicate increased mammary *de novo* synthesis. So, overall, although 612 it is acknowledged that AA infusion increases rate of appearance of glucose (Lapierre et al., 613 2010; Galindo et al., 2011), MPY response to AA infusion was likely not driven by potential 614 increment in glucose availability as MPY increased through different intra-mammary 615 mechanisms in response to AA or glucose infusion.

616 Hepatic and mammary fractional removals responded differently to treatments. Infusion 617 of AA infusion increased HMP-N liver fractional removal but decreased their mammary 618 fractional removal, clearly indicating that the liver was coping with increased influx by 619 increasing its removal. At the opposite, infusion of glucose had no effect on mammary

620 fractional removal of HMP-N but decreased their hepatic fractional removal. As mentioned 621 previously, this might suggest that the liver in not only responding to mass action, but might 622 also have an active role in decreasing HMP-N catabolism.

623

624 *Coordination between Tissues for Utilization of AA in Response to Changes in AA and* 625 *Energy Supplies.*

626 As each tissue uses AA for both anabolic and catabolic purposes, it is interesting to 627 analyze the coordinated changes between tissues in response to alteration in MP or energy supplies. Indeed, the efficiency of EAA-N increased with glucose infusion and decreased with 628 629 AA infusion but the tissue where the inefficiency occurred varied between AA, i.e., different 630 sites of catabolism could be identified. First, catabolism by the PDV of HMP-N did not differ 631 from 0 for the 4 treatments whereas for Group 2 AA-N, we noted a significant PDV catabolism 632 for all treatments. This latter catabolism, linked to low net PDV recoveries, represented between one third and half of whole body catabolism, as already observed for Leu in dairy cows 633 634 (Lapierre et al., 2002). The PDV catabolism tended to increase with AA infusion, similarly to 635 increased Leu oxidation by the PDV with increased MP supply (Lapierre et al., 2002). Second, 636 the hepatic removal of HMP-N decreased with glucose infusion and increased with AA infusion 637 linked to increased and decreased efficiency of HMP-N with glucose and AA infusions, 638 respectively. Liver is the major site of catabolism for HMP group and represented from 85 to 639 95% of whole body catabolism, but plays a very minor role in catabolism of Group 2 AA. Third, 640 the fact that mammary catabolism of HMP-N was not different from 0 agrees with the U:O ratio 641 equal to unity reported in previous studies, which did not change with variations of energy or 642 protein supplies (Clark et al., 1977; Raggio et al., 2006a; Omphalius et al., 2019). This indicates 643 no mammary catabolism as, on a net basis, net HMP-N uptake was transferred to MPY. 644 Consequently, for HMP-N, the variations of efficiency of utilization were the result of

Journal of Dairy Science

645 coordinated and opposite actions of the mammary gland and the liver. For example, in response 646 to glucose infusion, increased efficiency of HMP-N resulted from increased mammary uptake 647 to support MPY and decreased hepatic catabolism. The same pattern of U:O ratio and hepatic 648 removal could be observed on 2 EAA, often limiting in dairy rations, His and Met. In contrast, 649 mammary catabolism of Group 2 AA-N was present and decreased with glucose infusion. The 650 observed decreased mammary catabolism of BCAA-N with glucose infusion is consistent with 651 the fact that BCAA could provide 3-carbon intermediates and acetyl-CoA as demonstrated by 652 Raggio et al. (2006a) who directly measured Leu oxidation or by Bequette et al. (2006) who 653 incubated bovine mammary explants to study AA catabolized through the Krebs cycle for 654 energetic purposes. In Ctrl treatment, we noted that Group 2 AA-N were mainly catabolized in 655 the mammary gland which had a catabolism different from 0. Nevertheless, with AA infusion, 656 other tissues seemed to be involved in catabolism of Group 2 AA-N given observed PDV and 657 peripheral tissue catabolisms different from 0 in these treatments. With AA infusion, mammary 658 catabolism increased but its proportion relative to total catabolism decreased from 43% to 25% 659 in Ctrl vs. AA_{CN} and AA_{CN} + E_{Glc} treatments respectively. Consequently, for this group of AA, 660 mammary catabolism and also PDV catabolism could explain the decreased efficiency of 661 utilization with AA infusion. The data presented indicate that the positive response of MPY to 662 glucose infusion was accompanied by a decreased hepatic removal of HMP-N in the same range 663 than the increased mammary uptake and MPY whereas for Group 2 AA-N, as there was no net 664 liver removal, the decreased catabolism occurred mainly within the mammary gland. However, 665 our net flux measurements do not allow us to delineate if these decreased catabolisms of EAA 666 are a response to a stimulation of MPY from extra energy supply or if the increased MPY is the 667 result of "sparing" these EAA by different tissues.

- 668
- 669

CONCLUSION

Comment citer ce document. (434) 964 4100 Omphalius, C., Lemosquet, S., Ouellet, D., Bahloui, L., Lapierre, H. (2020). Postruminal infusions of amino acids or glucose affect metabolisms of splanchnic, mammary, and other peripheral tissues and drive amino acid use in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science, 103 (3), 2233-2254. , DOL: 10.3168/ids.2019-17249 27

670 Studying the fate of AA clearly showed that the response of tissue usage of AA differs 671 amongst tissues and the response to changes in AA or glucose supplies is coordinated and explains variations of efficiency of EAA-N. These variations are explained by different 672 673 anabolic and catabolic fates among groups of AA according the nutrient supplies. With AA 674 infusion, efficiency of EAA-N decreased because EAA-N in MPY increased to a lesser extent 675 than the increased EAA-N supply. The decreased efficiency of EAA-N was related to increased 676 PDV and mammary catabolisms of Group 2 AA-N and increased hepatic removal of Group 1 677 AA-N. In contrast, with glucose infusion, increased efficiency of EAA-N was explained by an 678 increased MPY, supported by a similar increased mammary uptake of HMP-N, and a decreased 679 hepatic catabolism. In parallel, mammary catabolism of Group 2 AA-N decreased, linked to the 680 increased mammary uptake of NEAA-N which supported the increment of NEAA-N in MPY. 681 This study clearly delineates the coordinated tissue utilization of AA, between anabolic or 682 catabolic purpose, in response to variations of AA or glucose supplies. This knowledge could 683 improve the prediction of efficiency of EAA and this information could be used in feeding 684 systems to either improve, for a given diet, the prediction of MPY or to identify which AA are 685 potentially in short supply. 686

688

687

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This experiment was supported by Sherbrooke Research and Development Center of Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (QC, Canada) and the Dairy Farmers of Canada; the C. Omphalius CIFRE Ph.D. grant is supported by ADISSEO S.A.S. France. The authors express sincere appreciation to P. Dubreuil and M. Babkine (Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Montreal, St-Hyacinthe, QC, Canada) for animal surgeries; D. Bournival, M. Leonard, L. Marier, and J. Renaud (Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Sherbrooke, QC, Canada) for their

695	dedicated technical help; the barn staff for animal care during the experiment; and S. Methot
696	for his help in the statistical analyses (Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Sherbrooke, QC,
697	Canada).
698	
699	REFERENCES
700	Armstrong, D. and K. Blaxter. 1961. The utilization of the energy of carbohydrate by ruminants.
701	in Proc. Proc. 2nd Symp. Energy Metab. Eur. Assoc. Anim. Prod. Wagenningen, The
702	Netherlands.
703	Ball, R. 2002. Definition of amino acid requirements in pigs: partitioning between gut and
704	muscle. Pages 17-26 in Proc. Amino acids: Meat, milk and more!, Québec, Canada.
705	Broderick, G. 2003. Effects of varying dietary protein and energy levels on the production of
706	lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 86:1370-1381. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-
707	<u>0302(03)73721-7</u> .
708	Brun-Lafleur, L., L. Delaby, F. Husson, and P. Faverdin. 2010. Predicting energy x protein
709	interaction on milk yield and milk composition in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 93:4128-4143.
710	https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2009-2669.
711	Calder, A. G., K. E. Garden, S. E. Anderson, and G. E. Lobley. 1999. Quantitation of blood and
712	plasma amino acids using isotope dilution electron impact gas chromatography/mass
713	spectrometry with U-C-13 amino acids as internal standards. Rapid Commun. Mass
714	Spectrom. 13:2080-2083. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-
715	<u>0231(19991115)13:21<2080::AID-RCM755>3.0.CO;2-O</u> .
716	Canadian Council on Animal Care. 1993. Guide to the care and use of experimental animals.
717	Vol. 1. No. 2. Olfert, D., Cross, M., McWilliam, A.

Comment citer ce clocument : (434) 964 4100 Omphalius, C., Lemosquet, S., Ouellet, D., Bahloui, L., Lapierie, H. (2020). Postruminal infusions of amino acids or glucose affect metabolisms of splanchnic, mammary, and other peripheral tissues and drive amino acid use in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science, 103 (3), 2233-2254. , DOI : 10.3168/ids.2019-17249

- Cant, J., E. DePeters, and R. Baldwin. 1993. Mammary amino acid utilization in dairy cows fed
 fat and its relationship to milk protein depression. J. Dairy Sci. 76:762-774.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(93)77400-7</u>.
- Cantalapiedra-Hijar, G., S. Lemosquet, J. M. Rodriguez-Lopez, F. Messad, and I. OrtiguesMarty. 2014a. Diets rich in starch increase the posthepatic availability of amino acids in
 dairy cows fed diets at low and normal protein levels. J. Dairy Sci. 97:5151-5166.
 https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2014-8019.
- Cantalapiedra-Hijar, G., J. P. Peyraud, S. Lemosquet, E. Molina-Alcaide, H. Boudra, P.
 Nozière, and I. Ortigues-Marty. 2014b. Dietary carbohydrate composition modifies the
 milk N efficiency in late lactation cows fed low crude protein diets. Animal 8:275-285.
 https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731113002012.
- Clark, J. H., H. R. Spires, R. G. Derrig, and M. R. Bennink. 1977. Milk production, nitrogen utilization and glucose synthesis in lactating cows infused postruminally with sodium
 caseinate and glucose. The Journal of nutrition 107:631-644.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/107.4.631.
- Curtis, R., J. Kim, D. Bajramaj, J. Doelman, V. Osborne, and J. Cant. 2014. Decline in
 mammary translational capacity during intravenous glucose infusion into lactating dairy
 cows. J. Dairy Sci. 97:430-438. <u>https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2013-7252</u>.
- Curtis, R. V., J. J. Kim, J. Doelman, and J. P. Cant. 2018. Maintenance of plasma branchedchain amino acid concentrations during glucose infusion directs essential amino acids to
 extra-mammary tissues in lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 101:4542-4553.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-13236</u>.
- 740 Daniel, J.-B., Friggens, N., Chapoutot P., Van Laar, H., Sauvant, D. 2016. Milk yield and
- milk composition responses to change in predicted net energy and metabolizable protein:
- 742 a meta-analysis. Animal 10:12:1975-1985. 10.1017/S1751731116001245.

- Doepel, L., D. Pacheco, J. Kennelly, M. Hanigan, I. Lopez, and H. Lapierre. 2004. Milk protein
 synthesis as a function of amino acid supply. J. Dairy Sci. 87:1279-1297.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(04)73278-6</u>.
- 746 Doepel, L., M. Lessard, N. Gagnon, G. Lobley, J. Bernier, P. Dubreuil, and H. Lapierre. 2006.
- 747 Effect of postruminal glutamine supplementation on immune response and milk
 748 production in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 89:3107-3121. <u>https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-</u>
 749 0302(06)72585-1.
- Doepel, L., G. E. Lobley, J. F. Bernier, P. Dubreuil, and H. Lapierre. 2007. Effect of glutamine
 supplementation on splanchnic metabolism in lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 90:43254333.
- Doepel, L., G. Lobley, J. Bernier, P. Dubreuil, and H. Lapierre. 2009. Differences in splanchnic
 metabolism between late gestation and early lactation dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 92:32333243. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2008-1595.
- Doepel, L. and H. Lapierre. 2011. Deletion of arginine from an abomasal infusion of amino
 acids does not decrease milk protein yield in Holstein cows. J. Dairy Sci. 94:864-873.
- El-Kadi, S. W., R. L. Baldwin, N. E. Sunny, S. L. Owens, and B. J. Bequette. 2006. Intestinal
 protein supply alters amino acid, but not glucose, metabolism by the sheep
 gastrointestinal tract. The Journal of nutrition 136:1261-1269.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/136.5.1261.
- Farrell, H., R. Jimenez-Flores, G. Bleck, E. Brown, J. Butler, L. Creamer, C. Hicks, C. Hollar,
 K. Ng-Kwai-Hang, and H. Swaisgood. 2004. Nomenclature of the proteins of cows'
 milk—sixth revision. J. Dairy Sci. 87:1641-1674. <u>https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-</u>
 0302(04)73319-6.
- Galindo, C., D. R. Ouellet, D. Pellerin, S. Lemosquet, I. Ortigues-Marty, and H. Lapierre. 2011.
- Effect of amino acid or casein supply on whole-body, splanchnic, and mammary glucose

- kinetics in lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 94:5558-5568.
 https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2014-8791.
- 770 Gressley, T. F., S. M. Reynal, J. J. Olmos Colmenero, G. A. Broderick, and L. E. Armentano.
- 2006. Technical note: Development of a tool to insert abomasal infusion lines into dairy
 cows. J. Dairy Sci. 89:3965–3967.
- Hanigan, M., J. Cant, D. Weakley, and J. Beckett. 1998. An evaluation of postabsorptive protein
- and amino acid metabolism in the lactating dairy cow. J. Dairy Sci. 81:3385-3401.
 https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(98)75903-X.
- Hanigan, M., C. Reynolds, D. Humphries, B. Lupoli, and J. Sutton. 2004. A model of net amino
- acid absorption and utilization by the portal-drained viscera of the lactating dairy cow. J.
- 778 Dairy Sci. 87:4247-4268. <u>https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(04)73570-5</u>.
- Hanigan, M. D. 2005. Quantitative aspects of ruminant splanchnic metabolism as related to
 predicting animal performance. Animal Science 80:23-32.
- Haque, M. N., H. Rulquin, A. Andrade, P. Faverdin, J. L. Peyraud, and S. Lemosquet. 2012.
 Milk protein synthesis in response to the provision of an "ideal" amino acid profile at 2
 levels of metabolizable protein supply in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 95:5876-5887.
 https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2011-5230.
- Haque, M. N., J. Guinard-Flament, P. Lamberton, C. Mustiere, and S. Lemosquet. 2015.
 Changes in mammary metabolism in response to the provision of an ideal amino acid
 profile at 2 levels of metabolizable protein supply in dairy cows: Consequences on
 efficiency. J. Dairy Sci. 98:3951-3968. <u>https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2014-8656</u>.
 Huntington, G. B. 1984. Net absorption of glucose and nitrogenous compounds by lactating
- 790 Holstein cows. J. Dairy Sci. 67:1919-1927. <u>https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-</u>
- 791 <u>0302(84)81525-8</u>.

Comment citer ce document : Omphalius, C., Lemosquet, S., Ouellet, D., Banoul, L., Lapierre, H. (2020). Postruminal infusions of amino acids or glucose affect metabolisms of splanchnic, mammary, and other peripheral tissues and drive amino acid use in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science, 103 (3), 2233-2254.

- 792 Huntington, G. B., C. K. Reynolds, and B. H. Stroud. 1989. Techniques for Measuring Blood 793 Flow in Splanchnic Tissues Cattle. J. Dairy Sci. 72:1583-1595. of 794 https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(89)79270-5.
- 795 Lapierre, H., J. Blouin, J. Bernier, C. Reynolds, P. Dubreuil, and G. Lobley. 2002. Effect of 796 supply of metabolizable protein on whole body and splanchnic leucine metabolism in 797 lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 85:2631-2641. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-798 0302(02)74348-8.
- 799 Lapierre, H., R. Berthiaume, G. Raggio, M. C. Thivierge, L. Doepel, D. Pacheco, P. Dubreuil, 800 and G. E. Lobley. 2005. The route of absorbed nitrogen into milk protein. Animal Science 801 80:11-22.
- 802 Lapierre, H., C. E. Galindo, S. Lemosquet, I. Ortigues-Marty, L. Doepel, and D. R. Ouellet. 803 2010. Protein supply, glucose kinetics and milk yield in dairy cows. Pages 277-288 in 804 Energy and protein metabolism and nutrition. EAAP publication No.127. Ed. C.M. 805 Crovetto. Wageningen Academic Publishers. The Netherlands.
- 806 Lapierre, H., M. Hanigan, and D. R. Ouellet. 2016. Estimation of duodenal endogenous protein 807 flow in dairy cattle: a regression approach. Pages 131-132 in Proc. Energy and protein 808 metabolism and nutrition. Wageningen Academic Publishers, Krakow, Poland.
- 809 Lapierre, H., S. Binggeli, M. Sok, D. Pellerin, and D. R. Ouellet. 2019a. Estimation of 810 correction factors to determine the true amino acid concentration of protein after a 24-811 hour hydrolysis. J. Dairy Sci. 102:1205-1212. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2018-15392.
- 812 Lapierre, H., R. Martineau, M. D. Hanigan, H. J. van Lingen, E. Kebreab, J.W. Spek, and D.R.
- 813 Ouellet. 2019b. Review: Impact of protein and energy supply on the fate of amino acids 814 from absorption to milk protein in dairy cows. Animal. Accepted. August 13, 2019.
- 815 Larsen, M., C. Galindo, D. R. Ouellet, G. Maxin, N. Kristensen, and H. Lapierre. 2015.
- 816 Abomasal amino acid infusion in postpartum dairy cows: Effect on whole-body,

splanchnic, and mammary amino acid metabolism. J. Dairy Sci. 98:7944-7961.
https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2015-9439.

- Lee, C., A. N. Hristov, K. S. Heyler, T. W. Cassidy, H. Lapierre, G. A. Varga, and C. Parys.
 2012. Effects of metabolizable protein supply and amino acid supplementation on
 nitrogen utilization, milk production, and ammonia emissions from manure in dairy cows.
- 822 J. Dairy Sci. 95:5253-5268. <u>https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2012-5366</u>.
- 823 Lee, C., F. Giallongo, A. Hristov, H. Lapierre, T. Cassidy, K. Heyler, G. Varga, and C. Parys.
- 824 2015. Effect of dietary protein level and rumen-protected amino acid supplementation on
- amino acid utilization for milk protein in lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 98:1885-1902.
 https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2014-8496.
- Lemosquet, S., J. Guinard-Flament, G. Raggio, C. Hurtaud, J. Van Milgen, and H. Lapierre.
 2010a. How does increasing protein supply or glucogenic nutrients modify mammary
 metabolism in lactating dairy cows? Pages 175-186 in Energy and protein metabolism
 and nutrition. Wageningen Academic Publishers, Wageningen, the Netherlands.
- 831 Lemosquet, S., G. Lobley, R. Koopman, L. Van Loon, A. Kies, H. Lapierre, and C. Crovetto.
- 832 2010b. A large supply of phenylalanine is not oxidised by the mammary gland of dairy
 833 cows. Energy and protein metabolism and nutrition:137.
- Lobley, G. 1990. Energy metabolism reactions in ruminant muscle: responses to age, nutrition
 and hormonal status. Reprod. Nutr. Dev. 30:13-34.
- 836 Lobley, G., A. Connell, M. A. Lomax, D. S. Brown, E. Milne, A. G. Calder, and D. Farningham.
- 837 1995. Hepatic detoxification of ammonia in the ovine liver: possible consequences for
 838 amino acid catabolism. Br. J. Nutr. 73:667-685. https://doi.org/10.1079/BJN19950072.
- 839 Lobley, G. E. and H. Lapierre. 2003. Post-absorptive metabolism of amino acids. Pages 737-
- 840 756 in Progress in Research on Energy and Protein Metabolism. W. B. Souffrant and C.
- 841 C. Metges, ed. Wageningen Academic Publishers, Wageningen.

- Martineau, R., C. Benchaar, H. V. Petit, H. Lapierre, D. R. Ouellet, D. Pellerin, and R.
 Berthiaume. 2007. Effects of Lasalocid or Monensin Supplementation on Digestion,
 Ruminal Fermentation, Blood Metabolites, and Milk Production of Lactating Dairy
 Cows1. J. Dairy Sci. 90:5714-5725. <u>https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2007-0368</u>.
- Martineau, R., D. R. Ouellet, E. Kebreab, R. White, and H. Lapierre. 2017. Relationships
 between postruminal casein infusion and milk production, and concentrations of plasma
 amino acids and blood urea in dairy cows: A multilevel mixed-effects meta-analysis. J.
 Dairy Sci. 100:8053-8071. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2016-11813.
- Metcalf, J., R. Mansbridge, J. Blake, J. Oldham, and J. Newbold. 2008. The efficiency of
 conversion of metabolisable protein into milk true protein over a range of metabolisable
 protein intakes. Animal 2:1193-1202. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731108002140</u>.
- Nichols, K. 2019. Whole-body and mammary gland metabolism in dairy cattle. Impact of
 postabsorptive energetic substrates and amino acids profiles. Page 286. Vol. PhD Thesis.
 Wageningen University & Research, Wageningen.
- 856 Nichols, K., J. J. M. Kim, M. Carson, J. A. Metcalf, J. P. Cant, and J. Doelman. 2016. Glucose
- 857 supplementation stimulates peripheral branched-chain amino acid catabolism in lactating
- dairy cows during essential amino acid infusions. J. Dairy Sci. 99:1145-1160.
 https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2015-9912.
- 860 Nichols, K., H. van Laar, A. Bannink, and J. Dijkstra. 2019. Mammary gland utilization of 861 amino acids and energy metabolites differs when dairy cow rations are isoenergetically 862 supplemented with protein and fat. J. Dairy Sci. 102:1160-1175. 863 https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2018-15125.
- 864 NRC. 2001. Nutrient Requirements of Dairy Cattle: Seventh Revised Edition. The National
 865 Academies Press, Washington, DC.

866 Omphalius, C., H. Lapierre, J. Guinard-Flament, P. Lamberton, L. Bahloul, and S. Lemosquet.

- 867 2019. Amino acid efficiencies of utilization vary by different mechanisms in response to
- 868 energy and protein supplies in dairy cows: study at mammary gland and whole-body
 869 levels. J. Dairy Sci. Accepted. July 10, 2019.
- 870 Raggio, G., D. Pacheco, R. Berthiaume, G. Lobley, D. Pellerin, G. Allard, P. Dubreuil, and H.
- 871 Lapierre. 2004. Effect of level of metabolizable protein on splanchnic flux of amino acids
- 872 in lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 87:3461-3472. <u>https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-</u>
- 873 <u>0302(04)73481-5</u>.
- Raggio, G., S. Lemosquet, G. Lobley, H. Rulquin, and H. Lapierre. 2006a. Effect of casein and
 propionate supply on mammary protein metabolism in lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci.
 876 89:4340-4351. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(06)72481-X.
- Raggio, G., G. Lobley, S. Lemosquet, H. Rulquin, and H. Lapierre. 2006b. Effect of casein and
 propionate supply on whole body protein metabolism in lactating dairy cows. Can. J.
 Anim. Sci. 86:81-89. https://doi.org/10.4141/A05-055.
- Raggio, G., G.E. Lobley, R. Berthiaume, D. Pellerin, G. Allard, P. Dubreuil and H. Lapierre.
 2007. Effect of protein supply on hepatic synthesis of plasma and constitutive proteins in
 lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 90: 352-359.
- Reynolds, C. 2006. Splanchnic amino acid metabolism in ruminants. Pages 225-248 in
 Ruminant Physiology: Digestion, Metabolism and Impact of Nutrition on Gene
 Expression, Immunology and Stress. K. Sejrsen, Hvelplund, T., Nielsen, M.O.,, ed. ed.
 Wageningen Academic Publichers, Wageningen, the Netherlands.
- 887 Rius, A., J. Appuhamy, J. Cyriac, D. Kirovski, O. Becvar, J. Escobar, M. McGilliard, B.
- 888 Bequette, R. Akers, and M. Hanigan. 2010a. Regulation of protein synthesis in mammary
- glands of lactating dairy cows by starch and amino acids. J. Dairy Sci. 93:3114-3127.
- 890 <u>https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2009-2743</u>.

Comment citer (26 clocument); (434) 964 4100 Omphalius, C., Lemosquet, S., Ouellet, D., Bahiotil, L., Lapletre, H. (2020). Postruminal infusions of amino acids or glucose affect metabolisms of splanchnic, mammary, and other peripheral tissues and drive amino acid use in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science, 103 (3), 2233-2254.

- Rius, A., M. McGilliard, C. Umberger, and M. Hanigan. 2010b. Interactions of energy and
 predicted metabolizable protein in determining nitrogen efficiency in the lactating dairy
 cow. J. Dairy Sci. 93:2034-2043. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2008-1777.
- 894 Rulquin, H., S. Rigout, S. Lemosquet, and A. Bach. 2004. Infusion of glucose directs circulating
- amino acids to the mammary gland in well-fed dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 87:340-349.
 https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(04)73173-2.
- Sauvant, D., G. Cantalapiedra-Hijar, L. Delaby, J.-B. Daniel, P. Faverdin, and P. Nozière. 2015.
 Actualisation des besoins protéiques des ruminants et détermination des réponses des femelles laitières aux apports de protéines digestibles dans l'intestin. Prod. Anim 28:347368.
- Vanhatalo, A., T. Varvikko, and P. Huhtanen. 2003a. Effects of casein and glucose on responses
 of cows fed diets based on restrictively fermented grass silage. J. Dairy Sci. 86:32603270. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(03)73929-0.
- Vanhatalo, A., T. Varvikko, and P. Huhtanen. 2003b. Effects of various glucogenic sources on
 production and metabolic responses of dairy cows fed grass silage-based diets. J. Dairy
 Sci. 86:3249-3259. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(03)73928-9.
- Windmueller, H. G. and A. E. Spaeth. 1974. Uptake and metabolism of plasma glutamine bythe small intestine. J. Biol. Chem. 249:5070-5079.
- 909 Wolff, J. and E. Bergman. 1972. Metabolism and interconversions of five plasma amino acids
- by tissues of the sheep. American Journal of Physiology-Legacy Content 223:447-454.
- 911 https://doi.org/10.1152/ajplegacy.1972.223.2.447.

913	Table 1. Composition	of the experimental	diet and chemical	l composition of th	e feed
-----	----------------------	---------------------	-------------------	---------------------	--------

914 ingredients

			Ingr	redients			
	Grass hav	Barley	Corn	Beet	Wheat	Souhean	Experimental
	mature	grain	grain	sugar pulp	middlings	meal	diet
	mature	ground	ground	dried	maanings	mear	ulet
Composition of the	50.6	183	94	8 5	74	25	_
experimental diet, % DM ¹	50.0	10.5	2.1	0.5	/.1	2.5	
Analysis, g/kg DM							
CP	87	128	92	96	195	530	129
ADF	309	56	26	244	137	32	201
NDF	594	175	102	392	421	65	408
Lignin	42	12	7	27	30	5	42
¹ The diet also contained a r	nineral and v	ritamin prer	nix (2.6% I	DM) and urea	(0.6% DM)	•	
915							

Comment citer i ce document : (434) 964 4100 Omphalius, C., Lemosquet, S., Ouellet, D., Banloui, L., Laplerre, H. (2020). Postruminal infusions of amino acids or glucose affect metabolisms of splanchnic, mammary, and other peripheral tissues and drive amino acid use in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science, 103 (3), 2233-2254. , DOI : 10.3168/ids.2019-17249

AA	g/d	
EAA		
Arg	25.0	
His	18.6	
Ile	33.7	
Leu	63.0	
Lys	52.9	
Met	19.6	
Phe	72.0	
Thr	29.3	
Trp	8.1	
Val	38.3	
NEAA		
Ala	20.8	
Asp	20.8	
Asn	25.7	
Cys	2.7	
Glu	51.6	
Gln	89.5	
Gly	12.6	
Pro	71.7	
Ser	38.6	

Comment citer ce document : (434) 964 4100 Omphalius, C., Lemosquet, S., Ouellet, D., Bahloul, L., Lapierre, H. (2020). Postruminal infusions of amino acids or glucose affect metabolisms of splanchnic, mammary, and other peripheral tissues and drive amino acid use in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science, 103 (3), 2233-2254. , DOI : 10.3168/ids.2019-17249

	9]

Table	Table 3. Correction factors and AA composition of proteins used in the calculation of the individual AA supplies and efficiencies											
	Correction	g AA _{corrected} / kg CP ²	g AA	Acorrected / kg of tru	ue protein ²	$g AA_{calculated} / kg of true protein^3$						
Item	factors ¹	Duodenal endogenous	Scurf	Endogenous urinary urea	Metabolic fecal protein	Milk with BBP ⁴	Milk without BBP ⁵					
Arg	1.06	46.1	96.0	82.0	59.0	37.7 ± 0.3	36.9 ± 0.3					
His	1.07	29.0	17.5	30.4	35.4	29.3 ± 0.2	29.3 ± 0.2					
Ile	1.12	40.9	29.6	36.9	53.9	62.4 ± 0.1	63.1 ± 0.2					
Leu	1.06	76.7	69.3	82.7	91.9	104.0 ± 0.9	103.6 ± 0.9					
Lys	1.07	62.3	56.4	79.0	76.2	87.5 ± 0.7	87.5 ± 0.7					
Met	1.05	12.6	14.0	23.7	17.3	30.2 ± 0.1	30.6 ± 0.1					
Phe	1.06	39.8	36.1	44.1	52.8	52.6 ± 0.3	52.4 ± 0.3					
Thr	1.07	51.8	40.1	48.4	73.6	46.0 ± 0.2	45.3 ± 0.2					
Trp	1.06	12.9	7.3	10.5	17.9	16.3 ± 0.4	15.7 ± 0.4					
Val	1.10	52.9	46.6	51.5	70.1	69.8 ± 0.3	69.9 ± 0.2					

8

¹Corrections factors used to account for incomplete recovery of AA with 24-h hydrolysis of proteins, from Lapierre et al. (2019a). ²From Lapierre et al. (2019b).

³Average of 19 milk compositions (cow \times period): see text for calculation details. Tel:en

⁴Including blood-borne proteins.

⁵Excluding blood-borne proteins.

	Treatments ¹				<i>P</i> -value			es ²
Item	Ctrl	AA _{CN}	E _{Glc}	$AA_{CN} + E_{Glc}$	SEM ³	AA	Glc	AA×Glc
DMI, kg/d	17.9	17.9	17.9	17.9	0.83	0.28	0.82	0.47
NE _L supply ⁴ , Mcal/d	27.4	27.4	31.4	31.3	1.11	0.23	< 0.01	0.56
N intake ⁵ , g/d	365	462	367	463	17.0	< 0.01	0.41	0.81
MP supply ⁶ , g/d	1,302	1,901	1,304	1,899	176.1	< 0.01	0.78	0.30
EAA digestible flow ⁷ , g/d								
Arg	65	91	66	90	3.1	< 0.01	0.22	0.03
<mark>H</mark> is	29	48	30	48	1.4	< 0.01	0.62	0.34
Ile	74	107	74	108	3.4	< 0.01	0.06	0.07
Leu	118	181	118	180	5.6	< 0.01	0.23	0.21
Lys	94	147	95	147	4.2	< 0.01	0.55	0.01
Met	27	47	27	47	1.2	< 0.01	0.55	0.15
Phe	70	142	70	142	3.4	< 0.01	0.34	0.67
<mark>T</mark> hr	71	100	71	100	3.2	< 0.01	0.66	0.24
<mark>V</mark> al	82	121	82	120	3.7	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
NEAA digestible flow ⁸ , g/d	866	1,202	866	1,201	97.4	<0.0	0.66	0.70

920 **Table 4.** Protein, AA and energy supplies from the experimental diet and infusions

¹Ctrl: water infusion; AA_{CN}: 695 g/d of AA mixture (casein profile) infusion; E_{Glc}: 1,454 g/d of glucose infusion; $AA_{CN} + E_{Glc}$: combination of AA mixture and glucose infusion.

²Probability values correspond to the effect of AA (without vs. with AA infusion), Glc (without vs. with glucose infusion) and AA×Glc interaction.

³SEM given for n = 4.

 ${}^{4}\text{NE}_{\text{L}}$ supply from NRC (2001) + glucose infusion, see text for detail.

⁵N ingested + N infused.

⁶MP supply from NRC (2001) - MP from endogenous origin + AA infusion, see text for detail.

⁷Digestive EAA flow estimated from NRC (2001) corrected for incomplete recovery with a 24-h hydrolysis – AA from MP duodenal endogenous flow + AA infusion, expressed in hydrated form, see text for detail.

⁸Digestive NEAA flow estimated by difference between MP and EAA supplies, expressed in hydrated form, see text for detail.

921

		Treatments ²				<i>P</i> -values ³		
Item	Ctrl	AA _{CN}	E_{Glc}	$AA_{CN} + E_{Glc}$	SEM ⁴	AA	Glc	AA×Glc
Milk yield, kg/d	21.4	22.3	22.1	24.0	1.75	0.04	0.07	0.41
True protein yield, g/d	722	815	797	956	68.1	< 0.01	0.01	0.27
True protein concentration, g/kg	33.9	36.7	36.3	40.0	0.87	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.57
Casein, % of true protein	81.5	80.3	81.1	81.1	0.87	0.08	0.48	0.11
CP concentration ⁵ , g/kg	35.2	38.5	37.6	41.6	0.87	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.58
NPN, % of CP	3.8	4.6	3.5	4.0	0.19	< 0.01	0.02	0.50

922 **Table 5.** Effects of AA and glucose infusions on milk production and composition¹

¹Average of the last 6 milkings.

²Ctrl: water infusion; AA_{CN}: 695 g/d of AA mixture with casein profile infusion; E_{Glc} : 1,454 g/d of glucose infusion; AA_{CN} + E_{Glc} : combination of AA mixture and glucose infusion.

³Probability values correspond to the effect of AA (without vs. with AA infusion), Glc (without vs. with glucose infusion) and AA×Glc interaction.

Peer Review

⁴SEM given for n = 4.

 ${}^{5}CP = total N milk \times 6.34$, as proposed by Karman and van Boekel (1986).

923

Comment citer ce clocument : (434) 964 4100 Omphalius, C., Lemosquet, S., Ouellet, D., Bahloui, L., Lapierre, H. (2020). Postruminal infusions of amino acids or glucose affect metabolisms of splanchnic, mammary, and other peripheral tissues and drive amino acid use in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science, 103 (3), 2233-2254. , DOI : 10.3168/ids.2019-17249

			P-values	s ²			
Ctrl	AA _{CN}	E_{Glc}	$AA_{CN} + E_{Glc}$	SEM ³	AA	Glc	AA×Glc
1.42 ^a *	0.82 ^a *	1.49 ^a *	0.92 ^a *	0.035	< 0.01	0.03	0.62
1.04 ^b	0.68 ^b *	1.10 ^b †	0.77 ^b *	0.046	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.58
0.79 ^{d,e*}	0.60 ^e *	0.85 ^{e,f*}	0.68°*	0.040	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.52
0.84 ^{c,d} *	0.60 ^{d,e} *	0.90 ^{d,e} *	0.68°*	0.041	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.61
0.88°*	0.62°*	0.95 ^{c,d}	0.71°*	0.043	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.60
0.99 ^b	0.63 ^{c,d} *	1.06 ^{b,c}	0.72°*	0.054	< 0.01	0.02	0.72
0.73 ^e *	0.40^{g*}	0.78 ^f *	0.45 ^e *	0.033	< 0.01	0.02	0.97
0.73 ^e *	0.56 ^f *	0.77 ^f *	0.62 ^d *	0.032	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.50
0.83 ^{c,d} *	0.62°*	0.89 ^{d,e} *	0.70 ^c *	0.042	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.52
0.87*	0.62*	0.04	0.71*	0.038	<0.01	<0.01	0.50
0.07*	0.02*	0.94	0.71°	0.038	<0.01	<0.01 0.01	0.59
0.917	0.62*	0.98	0.71*	0.040	<0.01	0.01	0.59
0.92†	0.56*	0.98	0.63*	0.042	< 0.01	0.01	0.75
0.85*	0.61*	0.91†	0.70*	0.042	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.57
0.75*	0.56*	0.80*	0.64*	0.034	< 0.01	0.01	0.54
-	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Ctrl \\ \hline 1.42^{a*} \\ \hline 1.04^{b} \\ \hline 0.79^{d,e*} \\ \hline 0.84^{c,d*} \\ \hline 0.88^{c*} \\ \hline 0.99^{b} \\ \hline 0.73^{e*} \\ \hline 0.73^{e*} \\ \hline 0.83^{c,d*} \\ \hline 0.83^{c,d*} \\ \hline 0.87^{*} \\ \hline 0.91^{\dagger} \\ \hline 0.92^{\dagger} \\ \hline 0.85^{*} \\ \hline 0.75^{*} \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Treate \\ \hline Ctrl & AA_{CN} \\ \hline 1.42^{a*} & 0.82^{a*} \\ \hline 1.04^b & 0.68^{b*} \\ \hline 0.79^{d,e*} & 0.60^{e*} \\ \hline 0.84^{c,d*} & 0.60^{d,e*} \\ \hline 0.88^{c*} & 0.62^{c*} \\ \hline 0.99^b & 0.63^{c,d*} \\ \hline 0.73^{e*} & 0.40^{g*} \\ \hline 0.73^{e*} & 0.56^{f*} \\ \hline 0.83^{c,d*} & 0.62^{c*} \\ \hline 0.81^{c} & 0.62^{c*}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Treatements^1 \\ \hline Ctrl & AA_{CN} & E_{Glc} \\ \hline 1.42^{a*} & 0.82^{a*} & 1.49^{a*} \\ \hline 1.04^b & 0.68^{b*} & 1.10^{b} \\ \hline 0.79^{d,e*} & 0.60^{e*} & 0.85^{e,f*} \\ \hline 0.84^{c,d*} & 0.60^{d,e*} & 0.90^{d,e*} \\ \hline 0.88^{c*} & 0.62^{c*} & 0.95^{c,d} \\ \hline 0.99^b & 0.63^{c,d*} & 1.06^{b,c} \\ \hline 0.73^{e*} & 0.40^{g*} & 0.78^{f*} \\ \hline 0.73^{e*} & 0.56^{f*} & 0.77^{f*} \\ \hline 0.83^{c,d*} & 0.62^{c*} & 0.89^{d,e*} \\ \hline \hline 0.87^* & 0.62^{c*} & 0.94 \\ \hline 0.91^{\dagger} & 0.62^{*} & 0.98 \\ \hline 0.92^{\dagger} & 0.56^{*} & 0.91^{\dagger} \\ \hline 0.75^{*} & 0.56^{*} & 0.80^{*} \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline Treatements^1 & \hline Ctrl & AA_{CN} & E_{Glc} & AA_{CN} + E_{Glc} \\ \hline 1.42^{a*} & 0.82^{a*} & 1.49^{a*} & 0.92^{a*} \\ \hline 1.04^b & 0.68^{b*} & 1.10^{b} \\ \hline 0.79^{d,e*} & 0.60^{e*} & 0.85^{e,f*} & 0.68^{c*} \\ \hline 0.84^{c,d*} & 0.60^{d,e*} & 0.90^{d,e*} & 0.68^{c*} \\ \hline 0.88^{c*} & 0.62^{c*} & 0.95^{c,d} & 0.71^{c*} \\ \hline 0.99^b & 0.63^{c,d*} & 1.06^{b,c} & 0.72^{c*} \\ \hline 0.73^{c*} & 0.40^{g*} & 0.78^{f*} & 0.45^{e*} \\ \hline 0.73^{e*} & 0.56^{f*} & 0.77^{f*} & 0.62^{d*} \\ \hline 0.83^{c,d*} & 0.62^{c*} & 0.98 & 0.71^{*} \\ \hline 0.87^{*} & 0.62^{*} & 0.98 & 0.71^{*} \\ \hline 0.91^{\dagger} & 0.62^{*} & 0.98 & 0.63^{*} \\ \hline 0.85^{*} & 0.61^{*} & 0.91^{\dagger} & 0.70^{*} \\ \hline 0.75^{*} & 0.56^{*} & 0.80^{*} & 0.64^{*} \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

Table 6. Effects of AA and glucose infusions on the efficiency of utilization of individual
 EAA, MP and groups of AA

¹Ctrl: water infusion; AA_{CN}: 695 g/d of AA mixture with casein profile infusion; E_{Glc} : 1,454 g/d of glucose infusion; AA_{CN} + E_{Glc} : combination of AA mixture and glucose infusion.

²Probability values correspond to the effect of AA (without vs. with AA infusion), Glc (without vs. with glucose infusion) and AA×Glc interaction.

³SEM given for n = 4.

⁴EAA-N: Sum of Arg, His, Ile, Leu, Lys, Met, Phe, Thr and Val.

⁵HMP-N: Sum of His, Met, Phe, used in Table 12.

⁶Group2 AA-N: Sum of Ile, Leu, Lys and Val.

⁷NEAA-N: obtained by difference between MP and EAA-N.

^{a,b,c,d,e,f,g}Within a column, values with a different superscript differ; only tested within individual EAA.

*Different from 1 at $P \le 0.05$ and \dagger at $0.05 < P \le 0.10$.

926

Comment citer ce document : Omphalius, C., Lemosquet, S., Ouellet, D., Banloui, L., Laplerre, H. (2020). Postruminal infusions of amino acids or glucose affect metabolisms of splanchnic, mammary, and other peripheral tissues and drive amino acid use in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science, 103 (3), 2233-2254. , DOL: 10.3168/ids.2019-17249

92/ Table	1. Effects of AA	and glucose inf	usions on plasm	na arterial concel	ntrations	(μM)		
		Treat	tments ¹			ź	P-value	es^2
Item	Ctrl	AA _{CN}	E _{Glc}	$AA_{CN} + E_{Glc}$	SEM ³	AA	Glc	AA×Glc
EAA								
Arg	79	89	63	84	6.5	0.01	0.04	0.24
His	50	65	41	68	7.1	< 0.01	0.43	0.13
Ile	113	139	62	87	10.2	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.98
Leu	122	195	69	116	15.2	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.19
Lys	70	95	49	74	8.7	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.91
Met	20	23	18	24	2.3	0.02	0.83	0.53
Phe	46	79	42	70	2.6	< 0.01	0.01	0.32
Thr	86	99	90	101	9.7	0.17	0.70	0.93
Trp	38	40	35	40	2.8	0.06	0.48	0.32
Val	186	269	116	166	19.9	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.20
NEAA								
Ala	207	170	174	167	11.0	0.06	0.10	0.15
Asn	33	46	48	40	5.0	0.56	0.40	0.20
Asp	37	34	26	29	8.4	0.95	0.21	0.62
Cit	87	106	65	112	11.0	< 0.01	0.34	0.10
Cys	126	118	117	117	5.9	0.16	0.09	0.23
Gln	220	234	245	265	26.4	0.31	0.11	0.87
Glu	52	51	54	47	3.6	0.30	0.78	0.35
Gly	188	153	255	199	13.2	0.01	< 0.01	0.43
Orn	31	59	24	47	6.8	< 0.01	0.05	0.53
Pro	69	161	61	143	8.2	< 0.01	0.12	0.53
Ser	77	77	90	91	5.9	0.90	0.03	0.93
Tyr	46	41	46	40	3.5	0.09	0.81	0.95
TAA-N ⁴	2,498	2,900	2,252	2,699	163.6	< 0.01	0.05	0.82
EAA-N ⁵	1,180	1,546	868	1,255	105.5	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.86
Group1 AA-N ⁶	⁵ 300	378	264	378	28.3	< 0.01	0.28	0.26
HMP-N ⁷	216	297	183	298	23.5	< 0.01	0.24	0.21
Group2 AA-N ⁸	³ 561	793	344	518	60.3	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.38
BCAA-N ⁹	421	603	247	369	44.5	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.29
NEAA-N ¹⁰	1,241	1,274	1,315	1,364	78.1	0.50	0.19	0.89
Urea-N (m <i>M</i>)	4.0	8.3	2.6	6.7	0.30	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.62

¹Ctrl: water infusion; AA_{CN}: 695 g/d of AA mixture with casein profile infusion; E_{Glc}: 1,454 g/d of glucose infusion; $AA_{CN} + E_{Glc}$: combination of AA mixture and glucose infusion. ²Probability values correspond to the effect of AA (without vs. with AA infusion), Glc (without vs. with glucose infusion) and $AA \times Glc$ interaction.

³SEM given for n = 4.

- ⁴TAA-N: Sum of Ala, Arg, Asn, Asp, Cys, Gln, Glu, Gly, His, Ile, Leu, Lys, Met, Phe, Pro, Ser, Thr, Trp, Tyr and Val.
- ⁵EAA-N: Sum of Arg, His, Ile, Leu, Lys, Met, Phe, Thr, Trp and Val.
- ⁶Group1 AA-N: Sum of His, Met, Phe and Tyr.
- ⁷HMP-N: Sum of His, Met, Phe, used in Table 12.
- ⁸Group2 AA-N: Sum of Ile, Leu, Lys and Val.
- ⁹BCAA-N: Sum of Ile, Leu and Val.
- ¹⁰NEAA-N: Sum of Ala, Asn, Asp, Cys, Gln, Glu, Gly, Pro, Ser and Tyr.

to per period

Comment citer ce document : Omphalius, C., Lemosquet, S., Ouellet, D., Banloul, L., Laplerre, H. (2020). Postruminal infusions of amino acids or glucose affect metabolisms of splanchnic, mammary, and other peripheral tissues and drive amino acid use in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science, 103 (3), 2233-2254. , DOI : 10.3168/ids.2019-17249

929	Table 8. Effects of AA and glucose infusions on	plasma flows ((L/h))
-----	---	----------------	-------	---

		Treat			P-value	s ²		
Tissue	Ctrl	AA _{CN}	E _{Glc}	$AA_{CN} + E_{Glc}$	SEM ³	AA	Glc	AA×Glc
Portal	1,103	1,112	1,154	1,182	90.5	0.53	0.06	0.73
Splanchnic	1,192	1,163	1,233	1,257	97.1	0.93	0.06	0.41
Mammary	593	561	669	753	57.4	0.44	< 0.01	0.10

¹Ctrl: water infusion; AA_{CN}: 695 g/d of AA mixture with casein profile infusion; E_{Glc} : 1,454 g/d of glucose infusion; AA_{CN} + E_{Glc} : combination of AA mixture and glucose infusion.

²Probability values correspond to the effect of AA (without vs. with AA infusion), Glc (without vs. with glucose infusion) and AA \times Glc interaction.

³SEM given for n = 4.

930

Version postprint

931	Table 9. Effects of AA ar	d glucose infusions on net	fluxes of portal drained-visce	ra (PDV),
-----	---------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------------	-----------

hepatic (HEP), splanchnic tissues (TSP), and mammary gland (MG) and milk output (Milk¹)

933	of individual AA and urea-N	(mmol/h)
-----	-----------------------------	---------	---

			Treat		P-values ³				
	-	Ctrl	AA _{CN}	E _{Glc}	$AA_{CN} + E_{Glc}$	SEM ⁴	AA	Glc	AA×Glc
EAA									
Arg	PDV	13.9	20.1	13.4	21.2	1.87	< 0.01	0.85	0.63
	HEP	-2.0	-8.5	1.4	-6.1	1.97	< 0.01	0.08	0.73
	TSP	11.9	11.7	15.1	15.1	2.36	0.94	0.16	0.96
	MG	-12.8	-14.3	-15.4	-17.7	1.67	0.10	0.02	0.73
	Milk	6.2	7.0	6.8	8.2	0.60	< 0.01	0.01	0.27
His	PDV	6.0	9.8	6.0	10.1	0.61	< 0.01	0.70	0.77
	HEP	-1.1	-4.5	-0.1	-2.9	0.76	< 0.01	0.02	0.52
	TSP	4.9	5.4	6.0	7.3	0.80	0.13	0.02	0.43
	MG	-5.7	-6.1	-6.1	-7.5	0.46	0.01	0.01	0.06
	Milk	5.5	6.2	6.1	7.3	0.53	< 0.01	0.01	0.27
Ile	PDV	18.3	24.0	17.3	27.2	2.11	< 0.01	0.52	0.26
	HEP	3.2	3.4	4.1	2.6	1.81	0.64	0.95	0.50
	TSP	21.4	27.4	21.4	29.9	2.73	0.02	0.64	0.64
	MG	-18.2	-22.5	-18.8	-24.0	1.83	< 0.01	0.21	0.58
	Milk	14.0	15.8	15.5	18.6	1.31	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.28
Leu	PDV	27.2	38.2	26.0	42.9	3.35	< 0.01	0.57	0.32
	HEP	4.4	4.4	5.3	3.8	2.45	0.69	0.95	0.69
	TSP	31.7	42.6	31.2	46.7	4.12	0.01	0.66	0.57
	MG	-27.1	-33.6	-27.4	-36.0	2.43	< 0.01	0.29	0.40
	Milk	22.9	26.0	25.3	30.5	2.09	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.28
Lys	PDV	22.9	33.7	21.0	34.4	2.40	< 0.01	0.78	0.55
	HEP	-0.3	-5.6	2.8	-3.1	1.98	< 0.01	0.06	0.84
	TSP	22.6	28.1	24.0	31.4	2.71	0.01	0.27	0.64
	MG	-21.3	-25.1	-20.6	-27.1	1.57	< 0.01	0.49	0.18
	Milk	17.4	19.7	19.2	23.1	1.59	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.28
Met	PDV	7.7	12.2	6.9	12.5	0.93	< 0.01	0.79	0.54
	HEP	-1.9	-5.9	-1.0	-4.3	0.81	< 0.01	0.02	0.36
	TSP	5.8	6.3	5.9	8.2	0.94	0.10	0.23	0.25
	MG	-5.7	-6.1	-6.2	-7.3	0.51	0.02	0.01	0.22
	Milk	6.0	6.7	6.6	7.9	0.57	< 0.01	0.01	0.27
Phe	PDV	16.1	30.5	14.6	31.4	2.01	< 0.01	0.87	0.55
	HEP	-7.3	-20.6	-5.3	-17.9	1.54	< 0.01	0.04	0.74
	TSP	8.8	10.0	9.5	13.5	1.72	0.12	0.18	0.38
	MG	-9.8	-11.4	-10.8	-14.3	1.02	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.09
	Milk	9.3	10.4	10.2	12.2	0.89	< 0.01	0.01	0.27
Thr	PDV	15.9	23.7	14.3	25.0	1.77	< 0.01	0.92	0.37
	HEP	-2.9	-9.4	-0.5	-6.5	1.49	< 0.01	0.04	0.83

47

	TSP	13.0	14.4	13.9	18.4	1.72	0.09	0.14	0.33
	MG	-12.0	-14.1	-13.7	-16.3	1.22	0.01	0.02	0.69
	Milk	11.1	12.5	12.2	14.7	1.03	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.28
Trp	PDV	3.5	4.6	2.6	4.9	0.56	0.01	0.50	0.23
	HEP	-1.7	-2.8	-0.8	-1.9	0.88	0.24	0.31	0.98
	TSP	1.8	1.8	1.8	3.0	0.78	0.40	0.46	0.43
	MG	-2.7	-3.2	-2.8	-3.8	0.38	0.06	0.34	0.45
	Milk	2.2	2.5	2.5	3.0	0.19	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.28
Val	PDV	17.0	21.8	16.5	26.1	2.02	< 0.01	0.26	0.16
	HEP	3.0	3.1	4.5	2.5	2.04	0.56	0.79	0.50
	TSP	20.0	25.0	20.8	28.5	2.52	0.03	0.38	0.57
	MG	-18.4	-22.7	-19.2	-24.1	1.87	< 0.01	0.23	0.76
	Milk	17.4	19.6	19.2	23.0	1.66	< 0.01	0.01	0.27
NEAA									
Ala	PDV	47.0	65.6	39.4	61.2	5.05	< 0.01	0.16	0.70
	HEP	-29.5	-56.4	-8.6	-33.8	5.60	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.75
	TSP	17.5	9.2	30.9	27.4	4.33	0.09	< 0.01	0.45
	MG	-11.5	-4.2	-18.7	-16.2	3.12	0.05	< 0.01	0.28
	Milk	11.4	12.9	12.6	15.2	1.03	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.28
Asn	PDV	15.1	23.1	13.2	21.1	2.87	0.06	0.42	1.00
	HEP	-7.5	-11.8	-4.4	-10.1	2.40	0.08	0.25	0.79
	TSP	7.0	11.2	8.0	11.0	1.20	0.06	0.74	0.70
	MG	-6.1	-6.3	-6.7	-7.6	1.97	0.70	0.55	0.87
	Milk	9.8	11.0	10.8	12.9	0.90	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.28
Asp	PDV	7.3	8.3	6.5	8.4	2.03	0.42	0.86	0.78
	HEP	-4.2	-4.6	-1.6	-4.3	1.81	0.18	0.23	0.32
	TSP	3.2	3.7	5.4	4.1	2.10	0.85	0.47	0.63
	MG	-5.1	-4.4	-3.2	-3.4	1.64	0.80	0.20	0.68
	Milk	7.8	8.9	8.7	10.4	0.66	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.29
Cit	PDV	4.9	7.6	7.9	6.1	1.38	0.72	0.55	0.08
	HEP	-2.5	1.6	0.9	0.4	2.37	0.35	0.56	0.23
	TSP	2.5	9.2	9.0	6.4	2.01	0.26	0.31	0.03
	MG	-0.6	1.0	1.0	-3.3	1.43	0.34	0.35	0.06
Cys	PDV	0.4	1.4	0.6	1.9	0.70	0.01	0.31	0.71
	HEP	-2.3	-3.1	-1.8	-2.9	0.42	0.03	0.42	0.73
	TSP	-1.9	-1.7	-1.2	-1.0	0.56	0.56	0.07	0.95
	MG	-1.4	-1.7	-1.2	-1.8	0.26	0.05	0.95	0.47
	Milk	1.8	2.1	2.0	2.4	0.12	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.36
Gln	PDV	-1.4	23.3	-10.9	15.8	2.37	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.68
	HEP	0.6	-30.2	7.3	-16.9	5.95	< 0.01	0.01	0.26
	TSP	-0.8	-6.9	-3.3	-1.1	5.08	0.46	0.53	0.13
	MG	-19.5	-20.0	-20.9	-22.3	2.10	0.57	0.26	0.81

Comment Schort Countent : (434) 964 4100 Omphalius, C., Lemosquet, S., Ouellet, D., Bahloul, L., Laplerie, H. (2020). Postruminal infusions of amino acids or glucose affect metabolisms of splanchnic, mammary, and other peripheral tissues and drive amino acid use in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science, 103 (3), 2233-2254. , DOI : 10.3168/ids.2019-17249

	Milk	19.6	22.1	21.6	25.9	1.90	< 0.01	0.01	0.27
Glu	PDV	3.7	4.5	2.0	4.6	1.53	0.05	0.30	0.25
	HEP	27.2	22.4	43.0	33.5	5.03	0.02	< 0.01	0.38
	TSP	30.8	26.9	45.0	38.1	4.16	0.05	< 0.01	0.55
	MG	-18.4	-16.0	-24.2	-22.1	1.72	0.19	0.01	0.94
	Milk	25.9	29.2	28.6	34.3	2.47	< 0.01	0.01	0.27
Gly	PDV	26.5	33.5	24.1	35.2	2.40	< 0.01	0.84	0.27
	HEP	-32.5	-39.5	-31.4	-45.0	2.53	< 0.01	0.26	0.10
	TSP	-6.0	-6.1	-7.2	-9.8	2.45	0.35	0.11	0.38
	MG	-4.0	-3.8	-2.4	-2.3	1.93	0.95	0.41	0.96
	Milk	7.5	8.4	8.2	9.9	0.71	< 0.01	0.01	0.27
Orn	PDV	6.9	8.5	4.0	9.5	1.56	0.04	0.54	0.21
	HEP	3.6	3.4	4.5	2.4	1.26	0.20	0.91	0.26
	TSP	10.5	11.9	8.1	11.9	2.18	0.24	0.57	0.58
	MG	-9.5	-11.5	-10.4	-10.8	1.65	0.37	0.93	0.53
Pro	PDV	11.9	30.0	9.9	31.3	1.89	< 0.01	0.86	0.34
	HEP	-3.4	-12.4	-2.1	-10.5	1.44	< 0.01	0.24	0.82
	TSP	8.5	17.6	7.8	20.8	1.79	< 0.01	0.44	0.25
	MG	-6.6	-10.7	-7.3	-13.6	1.53	< 0.01	0.02	0.09
	Milk	26.9	30.2	29.6	35.4	2.67	< 0.01	0.01	0.27
Ser	PDV	25.0	40.0	26.7	42.5	2.57	< 0.01	0.37	0.86
	HEP	-20.7	-33.8	-21.3	-33.5	2.12	< 0.01	0.92	0.79
	TSP	4.4	6.3	5.4	9.1	2.56	0.21	0.39	0.68
	MG	-8.7	-10.5	-11.6	-13.9	1.90	0.19	0.06	0.86
	Milk	18.8	21.1	20.7	24.8	1.83	< 0.01	0.01	0.27
Tyr	PDV	14.0	13.7	12.8	14.7	1.04	0.35	0.91	0.19
	HEP	-6.0	-6.1	-4.7	-5.6	0.98	0.44	0.19	0.56
	TSP	8.0	7.5	8.1	9.1	1.12	0.77	0.39	0.44
	MG	-9.1	-9.7	-10.0	-10.7	0.96	0.24	0.09	1.00
	Milk	9.6	10.8	10.5	12.6	0.93	< 0.01	0.01	0.27
Urea-N	PDV	-309	-497	-241	-440	71.0	< 0.01	0.29	0.92
	HEP	458	800	303	698	62.1	< 0.01	0.01	0.49
	TSP	149	304	75	259	58.0	0.01	0.30	0.79

¹The milk output associated with mammary uptake exclude BBP, as detailed in text. ²Ctrl: water infusion; AA_{CN} : 695 g/d of AA mixture with casein profile infusion; E_{Glc} : 1.454 g/d of glucose infusion; $AA_{CN} + E_{Glc}$: combination of AA mixture and glucose infusion. ³Probability values correspond to the effect of AA (without vs. with AA infusion), Glc (without vs. with glucose infusion) and AA × Glc interaction.

⁴SEM given for n = 4.

934

Version postprint

935 **Table 10.** Effects of AA and glucose infusions on fractional removal¹ of AA by the liver and

936 the mammary gland

937

Treatments ²							P-valu	ies ³
	Ctrl	AA_{CN}	E_{Glc}	$AA_{CN} + E_{Glc}$	SEM ⁴	Cas	Glc	$Cas \times Glc$
				Liver				
EAA								
Arg	0.02	0.08	-0.02	0.05	0.018	< 0.01	0.06	0.84
His	0.01	0.06	0.00	0.03	0.013	< 0.01	0.04	0.60
Lys	0.00	0.04	-0.03	0.02	0.017	0.01	0.07	0.64
Met	0.06	0.16	0.04	0.10	0.021	< 0.01	0.06	0.26
Phe	0.10	0.17	0.08	0.15	0.015	< 0.01	0.05	0.90
Thr	0.03	0.08	0.01	0.04	0.014	< 0.01	0.05	0.47
Trp	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.015	0.27	0.31	0.97
NEAA								
Ala	0.10	0.22	0.04	0.13	0.022	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.37
Asn	0.13	0.16	0.06	0.14	0.039	0.18	0.23	0.48
Asp	0.08	0.12	0.06	0.10	0.033	0.05	0.33	0.79
Cys	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.003	0.01	0.23	0.67
Gln	-0.01	0.10	-0.03	0.05	0.018	< 0.01	0.01	0.21
Gly	0.13	0.19	0.09	0.16	0.014	< 0.01	0.03	0.87
Pro	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.05	0.010	0.02	0.26	0.97
Ser	0.18	0.27	0.15	0.22	0.022	0.01	0.11	0.53
Tyr	0.09	0.10	0.07	0.09	0.015	0.15	0.21	0.89
HMP-N ⁵	0.04	0.10	0.02	0.07	0.002	< 0.01	0.03	0.36
			Mam	mary gland				
EAA								
Arg	0.29	0.31	0.38	0.31	0.034	0.24	0.11	0.10
His	0.21	0.19	0.27	0.17	0.039	0.05	0.58	0.21
Ile	0.30	0.32	0.45	0.40	0.038	0.45	< 0.01	0.16
Leu	0.41	0.34	0.61	0.46	0.042	0.01	< 0.01	0.25
Lys	0.57	0.52	0.66	0.53	0.052	< 0.01	0.06	0.11
Met	0.54	0.52	0.53	0.45	0.052	0.15	0.29	0.36
Phe	0.38	0.27	0.41	0.29	0.032	< 0.01	0.36	0.90
Thr	0.25	0.27	0.25	0.23	0.029	0.93	0.31	0.44
Trp	0.13	0.16	0.12	0.14	0.021	0.26	0.49	0.82
Val	0.19	0.16	0.25	0.21	0.022	0.06	< 0.01	0.50
NEAA								
Ala	0.10	0.04	0.17	0.13	0.026	0.04	< 0.01	0.53
Asn	0.32	0.26	0.25	0.29	0.065	0.77	0.71	0.48
Asp	0.21	0.21	0.18	0.15	0.030	0.49	0.03	0.32
Cys	0.020	0.027	0.015	0.021	0.004	0.05	0.09	0.88
Gln	0.16	0.17	0.14	0.12	0.016	0.85	0.03	0.38
Glu	0.63	0.60	0.70	0.65	0.015	0.03	< 0.01	0.54

50

Gly	0.04	0.05	0.01	0.02	0.016	0.57	0.13	0.81
Orn	0.67	0.45	1.42	0.47	0.420	0.15	0.33	0.36
Pro	0.17	0.12	0.18	0.14	0.026	0.01	0.32	0.65
Ser	0.23	0.27	0.21	0.22	0.055	0.40	0.29	0.66
Tyr	0.36	0.45	0.36	0.38	0.054	0.22	0.45	0.47
HMP-N ⁵	0.28	0.24	0.32	0.22	0.063	0.01	0.63	0.25
Group2 AA-N ⁶	0.36	0.32	0.48	0.39	0.037	0.02	< 0.01	0.32
NEAA-N ⁷	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.13	0.013	0.81	0.31	0.65

¹Fractional removal calculated as –(net flux across the tissue/total influx); values for AA released by the tissue or all treatments are not reported; a negative value indicates a release for that treatment.

²Ctrl: water infusion; AA_{CN}: 695 g/d of AA mixture with casein profile infusion; E_{Glc} : 1,454 g/d of glucose infusion; AA_{CN} + E_{Glc} : combination of AA mixture and glucose infusion. ³Probability values correspond to the effect of AA (without vs. with AA infusion); effect of Glc (without vs. with glucose infusion); and interaction between AA and Glc: AA × Glc. ⁴SEM given for n = 4.

ee perez

 5 HMP-N = sum of His, Met and Phe.

⁶Group2 AA-N: Sum of Ile, Leu, Lys and Val.

⁷NEAA-N: Sum of Ala, Asn, Asp, Cys, Gln, Glu, Gly, Pro, Ser and Tyr.

938

Comment citer, ce clocument : Omphalius, C., Lemosquet, S., Ouellet, D., Bahloui, L., Lapierre, H. (2020). Postruminal infusions of amino acids or glucose affect metabolisms of splanchnic, mammary, and other peripheral tissues and drive amino acid use in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science, 103 (3), 2233-2254. , DOL: 10.3168/ids.2019-17249

		Treater	nents ²				P-value	es ³
Item	Ctrl	AA _{CN}	E _{Glc}	$AA_{CN} + E_{Glc}$	SEM ⁴	AA	Glc	AA×Glc
Arg	2.09*	2.09*	2.25*	2.17*	0.178	0.78	0.40	0.77
His	1.05	0.99	1.01	1.04	0.041	0.61	0.75	0.14
Ile	1.29*	1.43*	1.20*	1.29*	0.044	0.02	0.02	0.61
Leu	1.18*	1.31*	1.08	1.18*	0.047	0.03	0.03	0.80
Lys	1.23*	1.29*	1.07	1.18*	0.041	0.03	< 0.01	0.52
Met	0.95	0.92*	0.95	0.92*	0.029	0.28	1.00	0.85
Phe	1.06	1.10*	1.06	1.17*	0.035	0.03	0.27	0.32
Thr	1.09*	1.13*	1.12*	1.11*	0.033	0.63	0.88	0.58
Trp	1.23†	1.27*	1.13	1.31*	0.129	0.39	0.82	0.59
Val	1.05	1.17*	0.99	1.05	0.048	0.08	0.07	0.49
Ala	0.97	0.27*	1.45†	1.05	0.201	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.31
Asn	0.65	0.58†	0.62	0.61†	0.139	0.72	0.97	0.86
Asp	0.65†	0.50*	0.37*	0.33*	0.202	0.53	0.14	0.71
Cys	0.78†	0.82†	0.61*	0.73*	0.108	0.43	0.20	0.67
Gln	1.00	0.91	0.99	0.88	0.079	0.10	0.70	0.86
Glu	0.72*	0.57*	0.88*	0.65*	0.074	0.02	0.13	0.60
Gly	0.55†	0.45*	0.27*	0.25*	0.254	0.80	0.34	0.88
Pro	0.24*	0.34*	0.24*	0.38*	0.033	< 0.01	0.24	0.19
Ser	0.49*	0.52*	0.58*	0.57*	0.113	0.85	0.24	0.80
Tyr	0.94	0.89*	0.96	0.85*	0.037	0.04	0.67	0.45
TAA-N ⁵	1.03	1.00	1.03	1.00	0.021	0.09	0.98	0.87
EAA-N ⁶	1.31*	1.36*	1.28*	1.31*	0.040	0.41	0.31	0.85
Group1 AA-N ⁷	0.98	0.95*	0.97†	0.98	0.019	0.32	0.97	0.23
HMP-N ⁸	1.03	1.01	1.01	1.06†	0.027	0.69	0.51	0.13
Group2 AA-N ⁹	1.20*	1.30*	1.09*	1.17*	0.035	0.03	0.01	0.87
BCAA-N ¹⁰	1.17*	1.30*	1.08*	1.17*	0.044	0.03	0.03	0.64
NEAA-N ¹¹	0.70*	0.60*	0.74*	0.65*	0.038	0.02	0.18	0.85
1701 111 4	• 4 1		4 1 1 1 T	1 · 1	1			

Table 11. Effects of AA and glucose infusions on the ratio of mammary uptake to milk
output¹ of AA

¹The milk output associated with mammary uptake exclude BBP, as detailed in text.

²Ctrl: water infusion; AA_{CN}: 695 g/d of AA mixture with casein profile infusion; E_{Glc} : 1.454 g/d of glucose infusion; AA_{CN} + E_{Glc} : combination of AA mixture and glucose infusion.

³Probability values correspond to the effect of AA (without vs. with AA infusion), Glc (without vs. with glucose infusion) and AA \times Glc interaction.

⁴SEM given for n = 4.

⁵TAA-N: Sum of Ala, Arg, Asn, Asp, Cys, Gln, Glu, Gly, His, Ile, Leu, Lys, Met, Phe, Pro, Ser, Thr, Trp, Tyr and Val.

⁶EAA-N: Sum of Arg, His, Ile, Leu, Lys, Met, Phe, Thr, Trp and Val.

⁷Group1 AA-N: Sum of His, Met, Phe, Trp and Tyr. ⁸HMP-N: Sum of His, Met, Phe, used in Table 12. ⁹Group2 AA-N: Sum of Ile, Leu, Lys and Val. ¹⁰BCAA-N: Sum of Ile, Leu and Val. ¹¹NEAA-N: Sum of Ala, Asn, Asp, Cys, Gln, Glu, Gly, Pro, Ser and Tyr. *Different from 1 at $P \le 0.05$ and † at $0.05 < P \le 0.10$.

941

Version postprint

942 **Table 12.** Effects of AA and glucose infusions on supply, net flux (NF) and utilization of

943 groups of AA by portal drained-viscera (PDV), hepatic (HEP), splanchnic tissues (TSP), and

944	mammary	gland (N	MG) ar	nd resulting	g estimated	catabolism (mmol N/h)
-----	---------	----------	--------	--------------	-------------	--------------	----------	---

)	<i>P</i> -values ²					
-	Ctrl	AA _{CN}	E _{Glc}	$AA_{CN} + E_{Glc}$	SEM ³	AA	Glc	AA×Glc
MP		011						
Supply	620	905	621	904	31.3	< 0.01	0.75	0.31
Endo Uri	99.7	99.7	101.3	99.7	-	-	-	-
MFP	107	107	107	107	4.9	0.28	0.82	0.47
Scurf	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	-	-	-	-
Milk	344	388	379	455	32.4	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.27
HMP-N ⁴								
Supply	49	88	49	88	2.3	< 0.01	0.55	0.32
Endo Uri	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
MFP	10	10	10	10	0.5	0.28	0.82	0.47
PDV _{catabolism} ⁵	-3	5	-1	3	5.0	0.18	0.99	0.60
PDV _{NF}	42	72	40	74	4.6	< 0.01	1.00	0.61
HEP _{NF}	-12*	-40*	-7	-30*	4.2	< 0.01	0.01	0.40
TSP _{NF}	29	32	33	44	4.7	0.08	0.05	0.28
Scurf	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	-	-	-	-
Peri _{catabolism} ⁶	-4	-4	-2	0	2.5	0.66	0.33	0.65
MG _{NF}	-33	-36	-35	-44	2.7	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.05
$MG_{catabolism}^{7}$	0	-1	-1	1	1.0	0.69	0.41	0.07
Milk	33	37	36	43	3.1	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.28
Group2 AA-N ⁸								
Supply	144	219	144	218	6.6	< 0.01	0.40	0.08
Endo Uri	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	-	-	-	-
MFP	26	26	26	26	1.2	0.28	0.82	0.47
PDV _{catabolism} ⁵	11	41*	17	27*	12.6	0.07	0.71	0.35
PDV _{NF}	108	152	102	165	11.6	< 0.01	0.73	0.36
HEP _{NF}	10	0	19†	3	9.0	0.06	0.33	0.59
TSP _{NF}	117	152	122	168	14.2	0.01	0.43	0.64
Scurf	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	-	-	-	-
Pericatabolism ⁶	10	22*	14	29*	12.9	0.21	0.61	0.91
MG _{NF}	-107	-129	-108	-138	9.1	< 0.01	0.28	0.33
$MG_{catabolism}^{7}$	15*	25*	6*	16*	2.8	< 0.01	0.01	0.89
Milk	92	104	101	122	8.5	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.27
NEAA-N ⁹								
Supply ¹⁰	359	498	359	497	19.3	< 0.01	0.70	0.74
Endo Uri ¹⁰	59	59	59	59	-	-	-	-
MFP ¹¹	50	50	50	50	2.4	0.28	0.82	0.47
PDV _{catabolism} ⁵	161*	155*	190*	178*	29.9	0.62	0.16	0.85
PDV _{NF}	148	293	115	269	20.7	< 0.01	0.14	0.79
HEPNE	-76*	-210*	-21	-155*	24.3	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.99
TSP _{NF}	72	82	95	114	22.0	0.45	0.17	0.82
Scurf ¹⁰	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.1	-	-	-	-
Pericatabolism ⁶	-39†	-24	-35	-25	20.5	0.54	0.94	0.90
MG _{NF}	-108	-104	-124	-137	10.2	0.49	< 0.01	0.22
$MG_{catabolism}^{7}$	-63*	-89*	-65*	-90*	12.2	< 0.01	0.88	0.94
Milk ¹⁰	172	194	189	227	16.2	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.27
								54

Comment citer i ce document : Omphalius, C., Lemosquet, S., Ouellet, D., Banloui, L., Laplerie, H. (2020). Postruminal infusions of amino acids or glucose affect metabolisms of splanchnic, mammary, and other peripheral tissues and drive amino acid use in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science, 103 (3), 2233-2254. , DOI : 10.3168/ids.2019-17249 ¹Ctrl: water infusion; AA_{CN}: 695 g/d of AA mixture with casein profile infusion; E_{Glc}: 1,454 g/d of glucose infusion; $AA_{CN} + E_{Glc}$: combination of AA mixture and glucose infusion.

²Probability values correspond to the effect of AA (without vs. with AA infusion); effect of Glc (without vs. with glucose infusion); and interaction between AA and Glc: $AA \times Glc$.

³SEM given for n = 4.

⁴HMP-N: Sum of His, Met and Phe.

⁵PDV_{catabolism}: catabolism across PDV.

⁶Peri_{catabolism}: catabolism across peripheral tissues other than mammary gland.

⁷MG_{catabolism}: catabolism across mammary gland.

⁸Group2 AA-N: Sum of Ile, Leu, Lys and Val.

⁹NEAA-N: Sum of Ala, Asn, Asp, Cys, Gln, Glu, Gly, Pro, Ser and Tyr.

¹⁰Obtained by difference between MP and EAA-N fractions.

d E. .05 and *Catabolisms different from 0 at $P \le 0.05$ and \dagger at $0.05 < P \le 0.10$.

945

946

Comment citer ce clocument : (434) 964 4100 Omphalius, C., Lemosquet, S., Ouellet, D., Bahloul, L., Laplerre, H. (2020). Postruminal infusions of amino acids or glucose affect metabolisms of splanchnic, mammary, and other peripheral tissues and drive amino acid use in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science, 103 (3), 2233-2254. DOI: 10.3168/ids.2019-1

New York St. Louis San Francisco Auckland Bogotá Hamburg Johannesburg London Madrid Mexico Montreal New Delhi Panama Paris São Paulo Singapore Sydney Tokyo Toronto

McGraw-Hill Book Company

James H. Torrie Late Emeritus Professor of Agronomy University of Wisconsin

Professor of Statistics North Carolina State University

Robert G. D. Stee) 964 4100

Second Edition

......

Recherches - Lenn

SEP

9

1983

Q

A Biometrical Approach

DF STATISTICS

PROCEDURES

......

LA BIBLIOTHEOUE

PRINCIPLES

AND

Page 56 of 63

var are neud a other factors i is of interest. ments consist that is, each c ment, all culti would be pla designed to o potassium fer sideration mit The term derived from s fertility where lizers were th
is of interest. ments consist that is, each c ment, all culti would be pla designed to c potassium fer sideration mit The term derived from s fertility where lizers were th
that is, each c ment, all culti would be pla designed to o potassium fer sideration mig The term derived from s fertility where lizers were th implying a pa
would be pla designed to co potassium fer sideration mig The term derived from s fertility where lizers were th implying a pa
designed to o potassium fer sideration mig The term derived from s fertility where lizers were th implying a pa
potassium fer sideration mig The term derived from s fertility where lizers were th implying a pa
The term derived from s fertility where lizers were th implying a pa
derived from s fertility where lizers were th implying a pa
fertility where lizers were th implying a pa
lizers were th implying a pa
implying a pa
CION ATP COMI
ment is called
factor and three
nevels which in
Thus, a fai
of all possible
design is impli
Factorial
arc or great va
new crop for v
known regardi
ment is indicat
pianung are se cultivar that di
some other da
cultivar. At oth
interaction bet
in response to levels of anothe
able, the best a
combinations c
Thus, we se
ing which thief
tion is wanted
over a wide rai

soybean cultivars. In a single-factor approach, all variables other than cultivar are held as uniform as possible, that is, one particular level of each of the other factors is chosen. Suppose that a second factor, distance between rows, s of interest. A two-factor experiment can be planned in which the treatnents consist of all combinations of cultivars and the chosen row spacings, hat is, each cultivar is present at all row spacings. In a single-factor experiment, all cultivars would be planted at only one row spacing or one cultivar would be planted for all row spacings. In soils, an experiment could be lesigned to compare all combinations of several rates of phosphorus and ootassium fertilizers. In an animal feeding experiment, factors under con-ideration might be amounts and kinds of protein supplement.

The term *level* refers to the several treatments within any factor. It is lerived from some of the earliest factorial experiments. These dealt with soil ertility where combinations of several amounts, or levels, of different fertiizers were the treatments. Today the word has a more general meaning, mplying a particular amount or state of a factor. Thus, if five cultivars of a trop are compared using three different management practices, the experinent is called a 5×3 factorial experiment with five levels of the cultivar actor and three levels of the management factor. The number of factors and evels which may be compared in a single experiment is limited only by tractical considerations.

Thus, a *factorial experiment* is one in which the set of treatments consists of all possible combinations of the levels of several factors. A treatment design is implied in the word "factorial."

Factorial experiments are used in practically all fields of research. They ure of great value in exploratory work where little is known concerning the pptimum levels of the factors, or even which ones are important. Consider a lew crop for which several promising cultivars are available but little is inown regarding a suitable date and rate of planting. A three-factor experinent is indicated. If the single-factor approach is used, one date and rate of planting are selected and a cultivar experiment is conducted. However, the ultivar that does best at the chosen date and rate may not be the best for oome other date and rate. Any other single factor must involve only one ultivar. At other times, the experimenter may be primarily interested in the iteraction between factors, that is, she may wish to know if the differences 1 response to the levels of one factor are similar or different at different wels of another factor or factors. Where considerable information is availble, the best approach may be to compare only a very limited number of ombinations of several factors at specific levels.

Thus, we see that the scope of an experiment, or the population concernig which inferences can be made, can often be increased by the use of a ctorial experiment. It is particularly important to do this where informaon is wanted on some factor for which recommendations are to be made ver a wide range of conditions.

ScholarOne support: (434) 964 4100

340 PRINCIPLES AND PROCEDURES OF STATISTICS: A BIOMETRICAL APPROACH

Page 58 of 63

and (15.2) are easily extended to 2" factorials. Main effects are seen to be computed on a per-unit basis. Equations (15.1)

treatments are factorially arranged; the hypothesis is that of no interaction between factors. fact, a hypothesis that is usually subjected to a test of significance when because of chance from level to level of the other factor or factors. This is, in Averaging implies that the differences, that is, the simple effects, vary only it is present at both levels for each level of factor B. This is hidden replication. as well as among blocks; thus factor A is replicated within every block since block or Latin square design, the variety of conditions exists within blocks other treatment means. For a factorial experiment in a randomized complete Main effects are averages over a variety of conditions just as are any

e relation is a symmetric one; that is, the interaction of A with B is the same as eithat of B with A. From Table 15.1 you will see that the difference between of the simple effects of A equals that for B in all three cases; it would be primpossible to construct a table otherwise. In our notation, the interaction of ΔA and B is defined in $AB = \frac{1}{2}[(a_2b_2 - a_1b_2) - (a_2b_1 - a_1b_1)]$ $= \frac{1}{2}[(a_2b_2 + a_1b_1) - (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)]$ (15.3) simple effects for a factor differ by more than can be attributed to chance, effects for B; here, they also equal the corresponding main effect. When differ. Under III, the simple effects for A are the same, as are the simple this differential response is termed an interaction of the two factors. The For the data under I and II, the simple effects for both kind and rate

$$AB = \frac{1}{2}[(a_2b_2 - a_1b_2) - (a_2b_1 - a_1b_1)]$$

= $\frac{1}{2}[(a_2b_2 + a_1b_1) - (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)]$ (15.3)

basis. For the data under I, The value $\frac{1}{2}$ is used so that interaction, like the main effects, is on a per-unit

$$B = \frac{1}{2}(8 - 2)$$
 in terms of simple effects of A
= $\frac{1}{2}(12 - 6)$ in terms of simple effects of B

 \mathbf{A}

။ ယ

For the data under II, we find

$$AB = \frac{1}{2}(26 - 36 - 32 + 30) = -6$$

and under III,

$$AB = \frac{1}{2}(38 - 36 - 32 + 30) = 0$$

higher and lower levels and of the treatments where only one is present at between the sums of the treatments where both A and B are present at the sums of the two diagonals of the 2×2 table, which is one-half the difference the higher level. This is always true of the 2^{z} factorial. Note that the interaction is also one-half the difference between the

Figure 15.1 Illustration of interaction.

effects only. departure of the simple effects from an additive law or model based on main whereas the main effect is 5. Interaction may be defined as a measure of the the same for each level of A. Under I, the simple effects for kind are 2 and 8 be the same for each level of B or, conversely, the failure of the B effect to be Interaction measures the failure of the A effect, or the response to A, to

may constitute an interaction. treatment mean for a column (or row), as one changes columns (or rows), row to row), constitute an interaction. Also, changes in the rank of any ment means in a column (or row), as one goes from column to column (or sufficiently large changes in the magnitudes of the differences between treatof the increase. In terms of treatment means presented in a two-way table, and a decrease in the presence of b_2 ; there has been a change in the direction the increase. Under II, the response to ${\mathcal A}$ is an increase in the presence of b_1 greater for b_2 than for b_1 , that is, there has been a change in the magnitude of Under I, Table 15.1, the response to A or the increase from a_1 to a_2 is

Figure 15.1 illustrates graphically what is meant by an interaction. The



$\frac{6}{9}$ The results of a factorial experiment lend themselves to a relativel	We these concerning them.	ion or in measuring main effects; something is gained in that we do measuring and in terms of other factors and in terms of the factors and in terms of the factors and in terms of the factors and the factors and the factors and the factors and the factors are as a second sec	xperiments, all units are devoted to measuring A , and in turn to B , and t B , and so on, when there are more factors; nothing is lost either in replice	nain effect or interaction. This is apparent from Eqs. (15.1) to (15.3). Thus prears that in a factorial experiment as opposed to several single-factor.	is squares for the nonreal B and AB with their 2 degrees of freedom. Calcul ion of the sum of squares for treatments is more often used as part of computational procedure than to supply the numerator of an F -test.	legrees of freedom for treatments, the sum of squares for the real A effer with its 1 degree of freedom may easily be lost when averaged with the sum	han can be attributed to chance; a significant response to A might well ost in an overall test of significance such as F. Thus in a 2^2 factorial with	then comparisons are planned comparisons. It is easy to visualize a situation where one factor, say B , does neither good nor harm in itself nor has a effect on A and hence contributes no more to the treatment comparison of some	ng differences among treatments is not significant; main effects and interactions	be if the entire experiment had been devoted to that factor. D In the analysis of a factorial experiment, it is correct to partitition to togatment degrees of freedom and sum of squares into the component arributable to main effect on the component into the	Bain effect, in a factorial experiment, is estimated as accurately as it wou	components due to the levels of the factors only. Where factors are indepedent, the factorial experiment saves considerable time and effort. This is	main effect, is appropriate and the best estimate of the common different The evidence is that there is no departure from a simple additive model w	are the same for all levels of the other factors, within chance variation measured by experimental error. The other factors of the theory of the same of the same factors is the same of t	If the interaction is nonsignificant, it is concluded that the factors un consideration act independently of each other, the simulation of the second	absence of interaction. The presence or absence of interaction tells us no ing about the presence or absence of main effects but does tell us someth about the homogeneity of simple effects. A significant interaction is one that is too large to be explained on basis of chance and the null hypothesis of no interaction. With a signific interaction, the factors are not independent of one another; the simple effect of a factor differ and the magnitude of any simple effect depends on the le of the other factor of the interaction term. Where factors interact, a sin- factor experiment will lead to disconnected and possibly mislead information.
	B =		Fac		MM					Tab trol		tions dieth	com	Wilk	L 15.3	simp g e e e e e e e e e s s anal inde e s s and inde factor factor
Totals	$(b_1) = \text{contro}$ estrogen $(b_2) = \text{treated}$	Level	tor	Tn	Y 66.39 96.8 Y ² 963.88 1,887.0 13.28 19.3	14.00 17.3 10.80 20.0	8.53 17.5 20.53 21.0 12.53 20.8	$a_1b_1 = a_1b_2 =$ control 1 treated	$a_1 = A.M.$	e 15.2 The influence of phospholipid in lam	F	are for morning and ylstilbestrol treatment	ned at random to eac	inson (15.17) reports t ime of bleeding, fac	The 2 × 2 Factoria	A le explanation becaus . If the factors are larg ysis of variance sum pendent, the data requ rimentation. Here the not in the factorial a red the complexity, a fi red the complexity, a fi
163.19	1 66.39 96.80	$(a_1) = \lambda.M.$	A = tin	catment totals	0 182.67 2 6,913.63 6 36.53	3 45.80 7 40.20	3 39.14 7 26.20 31.33	$\begin{array}{c} a_2 b_1 = \\ 1 \text{control } 2 \end{array}$	<i>a</i> ₂ =	of time of blee bs catment groups		l afternoon ti . The data ar	h of four trea	he results of a	l Experiment	on the variet e of the variet ely independe narize the da ire a detailed ifficulty lies in ifficulty lies in pproach to it act that might
321.73	182.67 139.06	$(a_2) = P.M.$	ne		139.06 3,912.17 27.81	25.06 29.33	32.00 23.80 28.87	$a_2b_2 =$ treated 2	= P.M.	ding and die		imes of bleed e shown in T	tment group	in experimen	t: An Exam	RIANCE III: FAC y and nature nt, the table (nt, well. Wh study with the the complex t. The factor well have be
484.92	249.06 235.86	Totals			484.92 13,676.70 24.25				Totals	thylstilbes-	Table 15.2.	ding with and without Table 15.2.	estrol (an estrogenic mbs. Five lambs were s; treatment combina- ling with and without 'able 15.2.		ıple	ctorrar experiments 343 e of treatment compari- of treatment means and len the factors are not he possibility of further c nature of the situation rial experiment has in- rial experiment has in-

DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF EXPERIMENTS in the Animal and Medical Sciences

JOHN L. GILL

VOLUME 1



John L. Gill is professor of biometry in the Department of Dairy Science at Michigan State University. He received the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in animal genetics and statistics at Iowa State University. Besides this book, he is author of many articles in the journals of his fields, and he has had long experience as a teacher of applied statistics and statistical consultant in the biological sciences.

© 1978 Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa 50010 All rights reserved

Composed and printed in the United States of America

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means—electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise—without the prior written permission of the publisher.

First edition, 1978 Second printing, 1981 Third printing, 1985 Fourth printing, 1987

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Gill, John L. Design and analysis of experiments in the animal and medical sciences.

Bibliography: v. 1, p. Includes index.
1. Zoology, Experimental – Statistical methods.
2. Medicine, Experimental – Statistical methods.
I. Title.
QH323.5.G549 591'.01'82 78-8516
ISBN 0-8138-0020-X

CRD and Analysis of Variance

2.4.1. Advantages and Disadvantages of Factorial Experiments

If the effects of 2 or more factors on an observable trait are not independent, i.e., if the factors interact synergistically or antagonistically, the existence and nature of the interaction cannot be determined unless the factors are studied in combination in the same experiment. Ignorance of interaction often results in too broad, unwarranted generalizations or inferences. Even if factors studied simultaneously do not interact, factorial experiments save time and resources because the main (mean) effect of each factor is estimated with as much precision as if the entire experiment were devoted to one factor.

However, factorial experiments involving a large number of treatment combinations require extensive resources. In some cases one may be able to obtain the most important information by sacrificing information on higher order interactions (among 3 or more factors) to formulate fractionally replicated designs. Higher order interactions usually are small in effect relative to the magnitude of main effects and first order interactions (between only 2 factors at a time) and often are difficult to interpret if they are not small. An occasional 3-way interaction is clearly very strong. For example, Thatcher and Tucker (1970) found that the DNA content of mammary glands of intensely suckled rats was increased by injection of growth hormone with prolactin but without cortisol-21-acetate; but DNA content was unchanged by injection of growth hormone with cortisol-21-acetate regardless of the presence or absence of injected prolactin. The interpretability of such higher order interactions usually depends on the existence of a theoretical body of knowledge, such as the feedback mechanisms of the endocrine system. Graphical aids may be helpful in interpretation (see Sec. 2.4.5).

Large factorial experiments may lead to increased standard error per experimental unit because the large number of units required may be rather heterogeneous in ways affecting the primary trait. Of course this problem may be partially overcome by forming blocks; or if heterogeneity of units within complete blocks (as many units as treatments) still presents a problem, by sacrificing information on one or more higher order interactions as a basis for constructing incomplete blocks (fewer units than treatments).

2.4.2. Fixed, Mixed, and Random Models; Formulation of Sums and Squares and Expected Mean Squares

The Australian statistician, E. J. Williams, has written that "data collection is meaningful only in relation to some model, even if only a rudimentary one, of the characteristics of the population being studied. The mathematical model of any phenomenon makes a contribution only if it leads to conclusions that can be checked against observational or experimental evidence. What is important in a mathematical model is not its validity (which is illusory), but its capacity to generalize to different physical situations, its ability to predict observable consequences, and its aid to the imagination in the formulation of new concepts."

A factor is said to have *fixed effects* if the levels of treatment or classes of interest are selected deliberately by the experimenter and the primary objective of the analysis is estimation and comparison of means of those levels or classes. In designed experiments most factors are considered fixed.

A factor may be said to have *random effects* if the classes of interest are chosen randomly from some larger set (e.g., as in sampling only certain groups from large collections of similar classes in survey or field work) or if the classes observed may be considered representative of a larger set for

Journal of Dairy Science

Chapter 2

222

teract, and the combination means (\overline{y}_{ij}) rather than the main effect means $(\overline{y}_{i..} \text{ and } \overline{y}_{.j.})$ are the critical quantities. For factors with more than 2 levels, more specific tests may be desired. Orthogonal contrasts for one factor, say A, may be calculated separately within each level of the other factor, B, using totals of observations for appropriate combinations. Such contrasts represent orthogonal partition of $ss_A + ss_{AB}$. Contrasts for B within each level of A, i.e., partition of $ss_B + ss_{AB}$, are not independent of the first group because ss_{AB} is involved in both groups. Alternatively one may devise orthogonal contrasts among the set of treatment combination means.

Nonorthogonal designed contrasts among treatment combination means and contrasts contrived a posteriori are tested by Bonferroni t statistics and Scheffé's procedure, respectively. In the latter procedure, the t of (2.67) is the number of treatment combinations (i.e., t = ab).

For quantitative factors with 3 or more levels, one may partition the degrees of freedom for interaction by using orthogonal polynomials. For example, if factors A and B each have 3 quantitative levels so linear (L) and quadratic (Q) terms may be examined, the 4 df for a significant AB interaction may be partitioned into contrasts for components $A_L^B_L$, $A_L^B_Q$, $A_Q^B_L$, and $A_Q^B_Q$,

each with 1 df. Coefficients for the 9 treatment combination totals for each contrast may be determined by finding the products of the arrays of coefficients (App. A.7) for the polynomial effects combined. For example, the coefficients for totals $y_{1..}, y_{2..}, y_{3..}$ for the linear effect of A are -1, 0, +1,

respectively, and those for $y_{1.}, y_{2.}, y_{3.}$ for a second degree curve for B are +1, -2, +1, respectively. The 9 products of coefficients (-1, +2, -1, 0,

0, 0, +1, -2, +1) are the proper coefficients for the treatment combination totals $(y_{11}, y_{12}, y_{13}, y_{21}, y_{22}, y_{23}, y_{31}, y_{32}, y_{33})$ in the contrast for interaction component $A_L^B Q$. A significant $A_L^B Q$ component could occur if

consecutive unit increments of A produce equal increments of response within any level of B, but the increments of response to consecutive unit increments of B diminish at differing rates for the different levels of A (Fig. 2.3). A more sophisticated approach for interacting quantitative factors involves the design and analysis of response surfaces (Chap. 9).

The use of all possible pair-wise comparisons among means of treatment combinations cannot be recommended because the only unconfounded (unambiguous) comparisons are those made among the means sharing the same level of A or the same level of B (Cicchetti 1969).

If the hypothesis $H:(\alpha\beta)_{ij}=0$ (for all i and j) is accepted, one may as-

sume that if interaction exists at all, it may be negligible or small relative to the main effects. Also, tests concerning general and specific differences among the means for levels of each factor may be performed independently of the other factor. Mead et al. (1975) have shown that one should not pool an "insignificant" interaction with error to test main effects unless the degrees of freedom for error are few and less than the degrees of freedom for interaction (an uncommon situation). Note from Table 2.15 that if effects of A and Bboth are fixed, ms_E is an appropriate denominator of f statistics to test $H:a_f$ = 0 (for all i) and $H:\beta_j = 0$ (for all j). However, if only one factor has fixed effects, say A, a test of $H:\alpha_i = 0$ (for all *i*) will involve ms_{AB} in the denominator of the test statistic. Conversely, if both A and B have random