



HAL
open science

$\alpha \rightarrow \omega$ phase transformation induced in titanium during ion irradiations in the electronic slowing-down regime

H. Dammak, A. Barbu, A. Dunlop, D. Lesueur, N. Lorenzelli

► To cite this version:

H. Dammak, A. Barbu, A. Dunlop, D. Lesueur, N. Lorenzelli. $\alpha \rightarrow \omega$ phase transformation induced in titanium during ion irradiations in the electronic slowing-down regime. *Philosophical Magazine Letters*, 1993, 67 (4), pp.253-259. 10.1080/09500839308240937 . hal-02492931

HAL Id: hal-02492931

<https://hal.science/hal-02492931>

Submitted on 27 Feb 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

$\alpha \rightarrow \omega$ phase transformation induced in titanium
during ion irradiations* in the electronic slowing-down regime

H. DAMMAK, A. BARBU, A. DUNLOP, D. LESUEUR and N. LORENZELLI

Laboratoire des Solides Irradiés

C.E.A. / Ecole Polytechnique

F91128 Palaiseau Cedex (France)

Abstract

This letter shows that the displacive phase transformation from the h.c.p. α -phase to the hexagonal ω -phase can be triggered in titanium targets in an unusual way during swift heavy ion irradiations. This unexpected result is a consequence of the high level of energy deposition in electronic excitation. A model based on the Coulomb explosion mechanism can account for such a phase transformation.

I. Introduction

A new and unexpected mechanism of defect production has been evidenced recently in some metallic targets irradiated by swift heavy ions (Dunlop and Lesueur 1992) : such GeV ions mainly deposit their energy in the targets via electronic excitations. This so deposited energy is transferred to the lattice in such a way that defect creation becomes possible. The available experimental results do not allow to settle the question of the mechanism by which such energy conversion occurs. Two theoretical approaches have been proposed :

(i) the first one based on the thermal spike concept in which the energy carried out by the excited electrons returns to the lattice via efficient electron-phonon coupling leading to a local melting followed by a quench (Toulemonde *et al.* 1992),

(ii) the second one based on the Coulomb explosion concept in which the electrostatic potential energy of the ionized atoms along the projectile wake is converted into kinetic energy (Lesueur and Dunlop 1992). It has been shown that such collective and coherent motions of neighbouring atoms can generate a shock-wave.

Among the studied metals, titanium is that in which the most spectacular effects are found (Dunlop *et al.*, 1991, Dammak *et al.*, 1992).

For example, during an irradiation at 20 K with 4.5 GeV lead ions (corresponding to a linear rate of energy deposition in electronic excitation $(dE/dx)_e \approx 29 \text{ keV} \cdot \text{nm}^{-1}$) :

(i) the cross-section attributed to damage production by electronic excitation $\sigma_e \approx 6 \times 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^2$ is twenty time higher than the awaited cross-section relative to damage production by sole elastic collisions (Dunlop *et al.*, 1991, Dunlop *et al.*, 1992).

(ii) after an irradiation up to a fluence of $2.4 \times 10^{13} \text{ ions} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$, room temperature electron microscopy observations reveal the existence of alignments of small objects along the ion beam direction (Henry *et al.*, 1992). Such striated contrasts have never been observed in other metals irradiated in similar conditions.

In order to increase the linear rate of energy deposition in electronic excitation, titanium was irradiated with GeV uranium ions. The results presented here show unambiguously that a phase transformation takes

* Irradiations performed on the GANIL accelerator - Caen (FRANCE)

place : the sample evolves from the equilibrium h.c.p α -phase to another hexagonal phase, the so-called ω -phase. To our knowledge, this is the first time that a phase change is observed during irradiation of a *pure* metal.

This ω -phase is usually obtained from the α -phase of titanium when either a static or a dynamic pressure is applied as shown by the equilibrium phase diagram given on figure 1 (Sikka, Vohra and Chidambaram 1982). Moreover, the displacive $\alpha \rightleftharpoons \omega$ transformation is characterized by a wide hysteresis, which allows to keep the ω -phase in a metastable state at room temperature and atmospheric pressure.

The orientation relationships between the α and the ω -phases have been established by pure crystallographic considerations by Usikov (Usikov and Zilbershtein 1973) and consist in two different variants :

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} (001)_\alpha & \parallel & (011)_\omega \\ [100]_\alpha & \parallel & [\bar{2}\bar{1}1]_\omega \end{cases}$$

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} (001)_\alpha & \parallel & (2\bar{1}0)_\omega \\ [100]_\alpha & \parallel & [001]_\omega \end{cases}$$

Transmission electron microscopy observations have been performed after application of high hydrostatic pressure in titanium and zirconium targets (the pressure-temperature diagram of *Zr* is similar to that of *Ti*). In each experiment, either one or the other orientation relationship is observed :

- variant (1) has been observed in *Ti* and *Zr* by Usikov *et al.*, (1973)
- variant (2) has been observed in *Zr* by Rabinkin *et al.* (1981) and in *Ti* by Sargent and Conrad (1971).

II. Experimental

A pure 11 μm thick titanium ribbon was annealed in a good vacuum (3×10^{-4} Pa) at 820°C during 17 hours in a furnace containing titanium sponge. It was then slowly cooled down to room temperature. An X-ray diffraction pattern was registered on the virgin titanium.

The sample was then irradiated at 20 K with uranium ions : the average projectile energy and corresponding $(dE/dx)_e$ were respectively 2.2 GeV and 39 keV \cdot nm⁻¹. *In situ* electrical resistivity measurements were performed. In order to avoid sample heating during irradiation the ion flux was kept below 5×10^7 ions \cdot cm⁻² \cdot s⁻¹. After an irradiation fluence of 6×10^{12} ions \cdot cm⁻², the sample was heated up to room temperature.

X-ray diffraction patterns were performed. The sample was then electrochemically thinned under 17.5 volts by a double jet technique using a perchloric acid electrolyte at -30°C. The thinned specimen was then examined by transmission electron microscopy in a Philips CM30 microscope operating at 300 keV.

III. Results

The X-ray diffraction patterns performed at room temperature before and after irradiation are reported on figure 2. The diagram of the unirradiated sample exhibits only the characteristic lines of the h.c.p α -phase : the width of these peaks indicates a good crystallinity of the virgin sample. After irradiation the intensity of the α -phase peaks has dramatically decreased, whereas new peaks corresponding to the hexagonal ω -phase of titanium are observed. The determined lattice parameters ($a_\omega = 4.62 \pm 0.01$ Å and $c_\omega = 2.83 \pm 0.01$ Å) are coherent with those cited by Sikka *et al.*, (1982). The width of the peaks could be either related to a

small grain size in the irradiated sample (which would lead to an average grain size of 0.2 nm) or more surely to the existence of internal stresses due to the different densities of the α and ω -phases.

Typical electron diffraction patterns of the irradiated titanium are shown on figures 3(a1) and 3(a2). The analysis of these diffraction patterns reveals the coexistence of ω and α -phases and that orientation relationship (2) is obeyed.

Figure 3(b2) is a dark field image obtained using the $(010)_\alpha$ spot of figure 3(a2) : it shows that the remaining α -phase consists now of small regions of average size 10 nm. Figure 3(b1) is a dark field image obtained using the $(001)_\omega$ spot of figure 3(a1) : the morphology of the ω -phase platelets is very intricate.

IV. Discussion and conclusion

Let us first comment on the observations of lead irradiated titanium (Henry *et al.*, 1992) cited in the introduction in which 3 nm diameter platelets lying in the prismatic planes have been observed. These platelets were aligned along the ion beam direction and have been then interpreted as being small dislocation loops. The assumption of an $\alpha \rightarrow \omega$ phase transformation was rejected by using only the experimental observations of Usikov *et al.* (1973), *i.e* the sole orientation relationship (1). Taking into account the two possible orientation variants and the results presented here following uranium irradiations ($(dE/dx)_e \approx 39 \text{ keV} \cdot \text{nm}^{-1}$), the platelets observed after lead irradiations (Henry *et al.*, 1992) ($(dE/dx)_e \approx 29 \text{ keV} \cdot \text{nm}^{-1}$) might well be premonitory signs of the $\alpha \rightarrow \omega$ transformation.

The $\alpha \rightarrow \omega$ phase change which is clearly evidenced in the present letter by X-ray diffraction and electron microscopy observations influences also strongly the electrical resistivity measurements :

(i) at the end of the irradiation with uranium ions the observed increase $\Delta\rho_i$ of electrical resistivity ($\rho_{20K}^{\text{after irradiation}} - \rho_{20K}^{\text{before irradiation}}$) is of $70 \mu\Omega \cdot \text{cm}$ and is far from saturation. Such a high value cannot be related to the sole creation of lattice defects : indeed, after an irradiation with *MeV* electrons the *saturation* resistivity increase is only $24 \mu\Omega \cdot \text{cm}$. Unfortunately, the electrical resistivity of the ω -phase is known only at room temperature (Jayaraman *et al.*, 1963) ; this work suggests that at low temperature the presence of ω -particles in an α -matrix increases strongly the electrical resistivity of titanium.

(ii) after heating up to $\sim 250 \text{ K}$, only a small part of the damage is annealed : the electrical resistivity increase ($\rho_{20K}^{\text{annealed}} - \rho_{20K}^{\text{before irradiation}}$) is still 85% of $\Delta\rho_i$. This has to be compared to the results of similar thermal treatments following irradiations with electrons or light ions (Legrand *et al.*, 1992), for which the electrical resistivity is restored to its initial value $\rho_{20K}^{\text{before irradiation}}$. This observation is consistent with the metastability of the ω -phase at room temperature and atmospheric pressure (figure 1).

When $\omega - \text{Ti}$ was obtained :

(i) from the high temperature β -phase of titanium containing small amounts of *metallic impurities* (*Nb*, *V*, ...) by *quenching* (Silcock 1958, Sass 1972) : ω precipitates in a β -matrix are usually observed. Sometimes an additional α' phase is also present (Sikka *et al.*, 1982).

(ii) from the α -phase of *pure* titanium by applying an *hydrostatic pressure* (of about 100 kbar) (Usikov *et al.*, 1973, Rabinkin *et al.*, 1981) or by submitting the sample to a *shock-wave* (Sikka *et al.*, 1982) : ω precipitates in an α -matrix are observed.

In this paper, it is shown that the ω -phase can be obtained from α -titanium following irradiation with swift heavy ions when sufficiently high levels of energy deposition in electronic excitations are reached. This

phase change is now clearly obtained using GeV uranium ions corresponding to $(dE/dx)_e \approx 39 \text{ keV} \cdot \text{nm}^{-1}$, whereas it is at most underlying in the case of GeV lead ions corresponding to $(dE/dx)_e \approx 29 \text{ keV} \cdot \text{nm}^{-1}$.

An additional proof of the determining role of electronic excitation in inducing this phase change comes from room temperature electron microscopy observations (figure 4) performed after an irradiation up to a very low fluence ($2.5 \times 10^{11} \text{ ions} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$) in the same experimental conditions (2.2 GeV uranium ions ; irradiation temperature = 20K). Figure 4(b) shows alignments of damaged zones along the ion beam direction. The damage is strongly localized along the ion wake, *i.e* in the region in which energy is deposited only by electronic processes. The density of visible objects on figure 4(a) corresponds to the impinging ion fluence.

Let us now come back to the possible mechanisms by which the energy deposited in electronic excitation can cause a displacive phase transformation :

(i) in a thermal spike mechanism, the sample history could follow an almost vertical line quoted T.S. on figure 1. This could lead to an $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ transformation.

(ii) in a Coulomb explosion mechanism, a radial shock-wave is generated around each ion path, so that the sample history could follow an almost horizontal line quoted C.E. on figure 1. This would lead to an $\alpha \rightarrow \omega$ transformation. This phase transformation indeed occurs as shown in the present work. It has to be moreover emphasized that in such a mechanism, although only a small fraction of the energy deposited in electronic excitation is converted into atomic motion, the collective and coherent characters of the induced atomic movements (Lesueur *et al.*, 1992) fit well with displacive phase transformations.

The Coulomb explosion mechanism appears thus as being better adapted to account for the electronic excitation induced damage in metallic targets.

Acknowledgements : The authors greatly acknowledge the technical help provided during the irradiations by the CIRIL laboratory in Caen and thank particularly J. DURAL, F. LEVESQUE and J.M. RAMILLON.

Fig. 1

Equilibrium pressure-temperature phase diagram of titanium (after Sikka, Vohra and Chidambaram 1982).

Fig. 2

X-ray diffraction patterns (Co $K_{\alpha 1}$ wavelength) of a titanium sample registered at room temperature before and after irradiation with 2.2 GeV uranium ions up to a fluence of $6 \times 10^{12} \text{ ions} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$. Before irradiation, all the observed diffraction lines belong to the h.c.p. α -phase and are indexed in the lower part of the diagram. After irradiation, new peaks appear : they all correspond to the hexagonal ω -phase and are indexed in the upper part of the diagram.

Fig. 3

Fig 3(a) : Electron diffraction patterns of the uranium irradiated titanium :

(a1) : Zone axis $[001]_{\alpha}$ and $[100]_{\omega}$.

(a2) : Zone axis $[100]_{\alpha}$ and $[001]_{\omega}$. The general indices of the very weak spots is $(hk0)_{\omega}$ with $h - k \neq 3n$. The stars indicate that the spots result from double reflection.

Fig 3(b) : Corresponding dark field images obtained using

(b1) :the $(001)_{\omega}$ spot of (a1)

(b2) :the $(010)_{\alpha}$ spot of (a2)


The orientation is respected between each diffraction pattern and its corresponding image.


Fig. 4







Bright field image of a titanium sample irradiated in the same conditions, but up a fluence of $2.5 \times 10^{11} \text{ ions} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$:



(a) the ion beam direction is perpendicular to the image plane.

(b) the ion beam direction is tilted by about 10° from the normal to the image plane. The arrow indicates the projection of the ion beam direction.









- Dammak, H., Lesueur, D., Dunlop, A., Legrand, P. and Morillo, J., *Proceedings of the conference "Swift heavy ions in matter"* Bensheim (Germany), May 1992. To be published in *Radiation Effects and defects in Solids*.
- Dunlop, A. and Lesueur, D., 1992, *Materials Science Forum*, **97-99**, 553
- Dunlop, A., Legrand, P., Lesueur, D., Lorenzelli, N., Morillo, J., Barbu, A. and Bouffard, S., 1991, *Europhysics Lett.*, **15**, 765.
- Henry, J., Barbu, A., Leridon, B., Lesueur, D. and Dunlop, A., 1992, *Nuclear Instr. and Meth.* **B67**, 390.
- Jayaraman, A., Klement, W., Kennedy, Jr., and Kennedy, G.C., 1963, *Phys. Rev.*, **131**, 644.
- Legrand, P., Dunlop, A., Lesueur, D., Lorenzelli, N., Morillo, J. and Bouffard, S., 1992, *Materials Science Forum*, **97-99**, 587.
- Lesueur, D. and Dunlop, A., *Proceedings of the conference "Swift heavy ions in matter"* Bensheim (Germany), May 1992. To be published in *Radiation Effects and defects in Solids*.
- Rabinkin, A., Talianker, M. and Botstein, O., 1981, *Acta Metallurgica*, **29**, 691.
- Sargent, G. A. and Conrad, H., 1971, *Mater. Sci. Engn.*, **7**, 220.
- Sass, S.L., 1972, *Journal of the Less-Common Metals*, **28**, 157.
- Sikka, S.K., Vohra, Y.K. and Chidambaram, R., 1982, *Progress in Materials Science*, **27**, 245.
- Silcock, J.M., 1958, *Acta Metallurgica*, **6**, 481.
- Toulemonde, M., Paumier, E. and Dufour, C., *Proceedings of the conference "Swift heavy ions in matter"* Bensheim (Germany), May 1992. To be published in *Radiation Effects and defects in Solids*.
- Usikov, M.P. and Zilbershtein, V.A., 1973, *Phys. Stat. Sol.*, (a)**19**, 53