

# Trajectories of Unhealthy Behaviors in Midlife and Risk of Disability at Older Ages in the Whitehall II Cohort Study

Fanny Artaud, Séverine Sabia, Aline Dugravot, Mika Kivimaki, Archana Singh-Manoux, Alexis Elbaz

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Fanny Artaud, Séverine Sabia, Aline Dugravot, Mika Kivimaki, Archana Singh-Manoux, et al.. Trajectories of Unhealthy Behaviors in Midlife and Risk of Disability at Older Ages in the Whitehall II Cohort Study. Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences, 2016, 71 (11), pp.1500-1506. 10.1093/gerona/glw060. hal-02491121

# HAL Id: hal-02491121 https://hal.science/hal-02491121

Submitted on 25 Feb 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Trajectories of Unhealthy Behaviors in Midlife and Risk of Disability at Older Ages in the Whitehall II Cohort Study

Fanny Artaud<sup>1,2</sup>, Séverine Sabia<sup>1,3,4</sup>, Aline Dugravot<sup>1,4</sup>, Mika Kivimaki<sup>3</sup>, Archana Singh-Manoux<sup>1,3,4</sup>, Alexis Elbaz<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>INSERM, U1018, F-94807, Villejuif, France;

<sup>2</sup>University Versailles St-Quentin, UMRS-1018, F-78035, Versailles, France;

<sup>3</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, UCL, UK;

<sup>4</sup>University Paris-Sud, UMRS-1018, F-94807, Villejuif, France.

#### **Corresponding author:**

Fanny Artaud INSERM-U1018, F-94807-Villejuif, France. Phone: +33177747406. Fax: +33177747403.

E-mail: <u>fanny.artaud@inserm.fr</u>

Running page headline: Midlife health behaviors and disability

#### Abstract

**BACKGROUND**: Most of the evidence on the association between unhealthy behaviors and disability comes from studies in the elderly, where reverse causation and selection bias may distort associations; thus, studies based on midlife trajectories of health behaviors are needed. We examined the association of trajectories of four health behaviors (physical activity, fruit and vegetable consumption, smoking, alcohol), starting in midlife and over 20 years, with subsequent disability risk in early old age (range=54-84y) in the Whitehall II cohort study.

**METHODS**: Disability was assessed three times over three years. A hierarchical disability indicator was constructed; participants were considered disabled if they reported difficulties with mobility and instrumental activities of daily living or with mobility and instrumental and basic activities of daily living. Behavior trajectories were defined using group-based trajectory models. Multivariable generalized estimating equations (GEE) logistic models were used to examine their independent associations with disability.

**RESULTS**: Of 6,825 participants, 19.2% reported being disabled at least once. In mutually adjusted models, participants with persistent inactivity or declining physical activity, recent exor current-smokers, and persistent/recent abstainers or persistent heavy drinkers had a higher disability risk, while fruit and vegetable consumption was not associated with disability. Disability risk increased progressively with the number of unhealthy behaviors trajectories: the odds ratio of disability for 2-3 unhealthy trajectories was 2.69 (95% CI=2.26-3.19); these associations remained after adjustment for a wide range of covariates.

**CONCLUSIONS**: Unhealthy behavior trajectories in midlife are associated with greater disability risk later in life.

Keywords: ageing, cohort study, disability, epidemiology, health behaviors

Remaining disability-free at older ages is a major challenge in ageing societies where the absolute number of disabled persons is expected to increase dramatically due to population ageing, despite recent decline in age-standardized prevalence in European countries (1, 2). Disability is a major cause of reduced quality of life, hospitalization, institutionalization, and death (3, 4). The identification of potentially modifiable risk factors of disability may help develop preventive strategies and slow its progression (5, 6).

Previous research suggests that unhealthy behaviors at older ages (physical inactivity, poor diet, smoking, alcohol abstinence or consumption beyond recommended limits) have an adverse effect on disability (7, 8). Much of this evidence comes from studies in the elderly, where reverse causation (disability affecting health behaviors rather than vice versa) and selection bias (sickest subjects are most likely to drop from the study or not to be included in it) may distort associations. Disability at older ages develops progressively over many years, making it important to evaluate putative risk factors in midlife, before the cascade of events leading to disability starts. Studies with a long follow-up are therefore needed to identify trajectories of health behaviors, rather than one-off measures, associated with disability in order to inform preventive strategies (9, 10). Accordingly, our aims were to describe trajectories of four midlife health behaviors (physical activity, fruit and vegetable consumption, smoking, alcohol consumption) over 20y of follow-up, and their association with disability at older ages, using data from the Whitehall II cohort study.

#### **Methods**

#### **Study population**

The Whitehall II cohort study, established in 1985-88, is a longitudinal study of 10,308 British civil servants (11). All civil servants aged 35-55y in 20 London-based departments were invited to participate (participation rate, 73%). The baseline examination (wave 1, 1985-88) included a clinical examination and self-administered questionnaire. Subsequent phases alternated between postal questionnaires alone (waves 2 (1988-90), 4 (1995-96), 6 (2001), and 8 (2006)) or accompanied by a clinical examination (waves 3 (1991-93), 5 (1997-99), 7 (2002-04), 9 (2007-09) and 11 (2012-13)). Participants gave informed written consent. Research ethics approvals (University College London (UCL) ethics committee) were renewed at each contact; latest approved was by the Joint UCL/UCLH Committee on the Ethics of Human Research (Committee Alpha, 85/0938).

#### **Disability**

Three disability domains were assessed by questionnaire three times over 8y (2006-13): mobility, instrumental activities of daily living (IADL), basic activities of daily living (ADL). Mobility was assessed based on the ability to walk 1/2 mile and climb several flights of stairs. IADLs were assessed based on the ability to prepare hot meals, shop for groceries, make telephone calls, take medications, do work around the house/garden, and manage money. ADLs were assessed based on the ability to bath, dress, eat, get in/out of bed, and use the toilet independently. For each domain, we considered participants as disabled if they were not able to perform  $\geq$ 1 activities without help. We constructed a hierarchical disability indicator (12) that defines four levels of increasing disability by summing responses to the three dichotomized disability items in a hierarchy (0=fully independent; 1=dependent only for mobility; 2=dependent for mobility and IADLs but not ADLs; 3=dependent in all domains). Participants not fitting to the hierarchy (4.5%) were excluded. This approach takes three disability domains into account simultaneously and respects the natural history of disability. Few people were disabled in all domains, leading us to compare participants with moderate/severe (score=2/3) to no/light disability (score=0/1).

#### **Health behaviors**

Physical activity, fruit and vegetable consumption, smoking, and alcohol consumption were assessed through self-administered questionnaires five times during the first 20y of the follow-up (1985-2004), prior to the disability assessment.

**Smoking status** was assessed using questions on current/past cigarette smoking; participants were categorized at each wave as current, ex-, or never-smokers.

Alcohol consumption was assessed using questions on number of alcoholic drinks (measures of spirits, glasses of wine, pints of beer) in the past seven days, converted to alcohol units (1 unit=8g). We categorized alcohol consumption as no/occasional (not in the last week), moderate (women, 1–14 units/wk; men, 1–21 units/wk), heavy (women,  $\geq$  14 units/wk; men,  $\geq$  21 units/wk) (13).

At the first three assessments, participants were asked about frequency and duration of participation in mildly energetic (e.g., weeding, general housework, bicycle repair), moderately energetic (e.g., dancing, cycling, leisurely swimming), and vigorous (e.g., running, hard swimming, playing squash) **physical activity**. In 1997-99 and 2002-04, the questionnaire included 20 items on frequency and duration of participation in different physical activities (e.g., walking, cycling, sports) that allowed to compute hours/week of each level. We categorized these data into recommended level ( $\geq$ 2.5h/wk moderately energetic or  $\geq$ 1h/wk vigorous physical activity (14)), inactivity (<1h/wk moderately energetic and <1h/wk vigorous physical activity), and intermediate (all others) physical activity.

**Fruit and vegetable consumption** was assessed using the question "How often do you eat fresh fruit or vegetables?". Responses were on an 8-point scale. We classified participants as consuming fruit and vegetable at least twice, once, and less than once daily.

#### **Covariates**

As disability was assessed from 2006 onwards, we used sociodemographic covariates from 2006: sex, age, marital status (married/cohabiting, divorced/separated/widowed, single), socioeconomic status (in 2006 or before retirement for those retired) based on a 3-level civil service employment grade representing a comprehensive marker of socioeconomic circumstances (administrative, professional/executive, clerical/support) (15).

Additional covariates were considered as time-dependent variables by using data between baseline (1985-88) and the wave concurrent with the disability assessment. Thus, for disability assessed in 2006, we used data between 1985-88 and 2006; for disability assessed in 2007-09, we updated the data until then, and so on. For binary covariates, any report since 1985-88 placed the participant in the exposed category; for continuous covariates, the mean of all measures since 1985-88 defined exposure level. Body mass index (BMI=weight/height<sup>2</sup>, kg/m<sup>2</sup>) was categorized as  $\leq 24.9$  (normal), 25.0–29.9 (overweight), and  $\geq 30$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> (obese). Cognition was assessed using the mini-mental state examination (MMSE), with higher scores corresponding to better function. Depressive symptoms were derived from the 30-item General Health Questionnaire (GHQ), with scores≥4 corresponding to high depressive symptoms (16), or antidepressant drugs use. Bone fractures were self-reported. Chronic conditions included diabetes (doctor-diagnosed diabetes or fasting glucose ≥7.0 mmol/L or diabetes medication), anti-inflammatory drugs use for joint pain in the last 14 days, cancer (linkage to the National Health Service's cancer register), drugs use for osteoarthritis or rheumatic arthritis in the last 14 days, and Parkinson's disease. Cardiovascular disease and risk factors included stroke and coronary heart disease (17), hypertension (systolic blood pressure≥140 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure≥90 mm Hg or antihypertensive medication), and hypercholesterolemia (total cholesterol level≥7.25 mmol/l or lipid lowering drugs).

#### **Statistical analysis**

Health behavior trajectories over 20y were defined using group-based trajectory models, using data from all participants with at least one assessment over 5 waves (10,205-10,301 participants depending on the health behavior; on average, participants had 3.9 measures). This method allows individuals with similar trajectories to be grouped (18). We tested models including two to five trajectories. Two criteria were used to determine the optimal number of trajectories: Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) (lower absolute values correspond to better fit); posterior probabilities of group assignment (the likelihood that an individual belongs to a given trajectory; all trajectories should have a mean posterior probability≥0.70 and each trajectory contain≥5% of participants). This method was implemented with Proc TRAJ (SAS 9.3) (19).

We excluded participants who could not be assigned to at least one trajectory of health behaviors and those with no data on disability status or covariates. We described participants' characteristics as a function of disability status (disabled at least once between 2006-2013), and number of unhealthy behaviors trajectories.

Generalized estimating equations (GEE) logistic models were used to study the association between health behaviors trajectories and subsequent disability (moderate/severe *vs.* no/light disability) assessed three times. Models were adjusted for sociodemographic covariates (sex, age in 2006, marital status, socioeconomic status), continuous time in years since 2006, and the time×age interaction. Interactions between time and health behaviors trajectories were non-significant (p-values>0.13) and not retained. We first built a separate model for each health behavior (Model 1); based on these analyses, we defined unhealthy and healthy trajectories for each behavior and used these in further analyses. We then included all behaviors in a single multivariable model, either as categorical or binary variables (Model 2),

to estimate their mutually adjusted association with subsequent disability. A fully-adjusted model (Model 3) further included time-dependent covariates (BMI, MMSE, depressive symptoms, psychotropic drugs, bone fracture, chronic conditions, cardiovascular disease and risk factors).

We examined the association between disability and an unhealthy behavior trajectories score that represents the number of unhealthy behaviors trajectories (range, 0-3) independently associated with disability (model 3). As few participants had three unhealthy trajectories (3%), we combined them with those with two.

In sensitivity analyses, we examined each disability domain separately; as few participants were disabled for ADLs, they were combined with those disabled for IADLs (20). Because it has been reported that the association between health behaviors and disability are different in obese and non-obese persons (8), we conducted additional analyses stratified by obesity status in 2006. To take into account the ordinal nature of the disability indicator, we used multinomial GEE models, with the hierarchical score in four classes as the dependent variable. We also took death and dropout into account using an inversely probability-weighted GEE approach (21), and examined the impact of excluding participants with a single health behavior assessment.

Analyses were performed using SAS 9.3-9.4 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, North Carolina) and R3.0 (R-Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria); P-values are 2-sided and  $P \leq 0.05$  considered statistically significant.

#### **Results**

**Figure 1** shows health behaviors trajectories. Four trajectories were identified for physical activity: persistent inactivity (15.4%), intermediate then inactivity (35.7%), intermediate then recommended (22.5%), persistently recommended level (26.5%). Five trajectories of fruit and vegetable consumption were identified: persistent low (13.7%), low then intermediate (12.3%), persistent intermediate (48.0%), intermediate then high (15.7%), persistent high (10.3%). There were four trajectories for smoking: never (47.5%), long-term ex-smoker (quit smoking before 1985-88, 34.2%), recent ex-smoker (quit smoking during the follow-up, 6.5%), persistent smoker (11.8%). Five trajectories of alcohol consumption were identified: never (10.5%), moderate then none (7.8%), persistent moderate (63.0%), moderate then heavy (9.4%), persistent heavy (9.3%). Mean posterior probabilities were comprised between 0.73-0.74 for physical activity, 0.74-0.85 for fruit and vegetable, 0.90-0.99 for smoking, and 0.86-0.95 for alcohol.

Participants with at least one assessment of health behaviors and disability were eligible (N=7,431). Participants not assigned to at least one trajectory (n=7), with missing cognitive (n=485) or disability data (n=188) were excluded; analyses are based on 6,825 participants. Compared to them, those excluded (n=606, 8.2%) were older (64.9y *vs.* 63.9y, sex-adjusted-P<0.001), and more likely to be women (42.2% *vs.* 29.1%, age-adjusted-P<0.001) or from the lower socioeconomic group (27.4% *vs.* 10.9%, age/sex-adjusted-P<0.001). However, they had a similar frequency of disability (22.7% *vs.* 19.2%, age/sex-adjusted-P=0.33).

Supplementary Table 1 shows participants' characteristics; 1,310 participants (19.2%) were disabled at least once. They were older, less likely to be men, married or cohabiting, and from the lower socioeconomic group. They were also less active, consumed fewer fruit and vegetable, were more often persistent/recent ex-smokers, alcohol abstainers, or persistent heavy drinkers, and had a poorer health profile.

Analysis on health behaviors considered in separate models (**Table 1**, Model 1) showed that participants with persistent physical inactivity or intermediate then inactivity (unhealthy trajectories) had a higher disability risk than those with persistent recommended activity. Only participants with persistent low fruit and vegetable consumption (unhealthy trajectory) had a higher disability risk compared to participants with persistent high consumption. Persistent smokers and recent ex-smokers (unhealthy trajectories) had an increased disability risk compared to never smokers. Never, moderate then none, or persistent heavy drinkers (unhealthy trajectories) had a higher disability risk compared to persistent moderate drinkers. Interactions between sex or age and health behaviors were not statistically significant. Results for Model 2 (all health behaviors included) and Model 3 (additionally adjusted for covariates) were similar for physical activity and smoking, while the association with fruit and vegetable consumption disappeared and that with alcohol was slightly attenuated. Analyses of healthy/unhealthy trajectories show that physical inactivity had the strongest association and fruit and vegetable the weakest.

According to the unhealthy behaviors trajectories score, 38% of participants had no unhealthy trajectory, 42% had one, and 20% had two or three. Unhealthy trajectories were less frequent in older participants and men; higher education, being married or cohabiting, and a better health profile were associated with fewer unhealthy trajectories (Supplementary Table 2). Disability risk increased with the score (**Figure 2**); in all participants, the OR per unit increase was 1.64 (95% CI=1.50-1.79; P<0.001) (OR=1.47, 95% CI=1.34-1.62, P<0.001, after adjustment for time-dependent covariates). The relation between the score and disability was significantly modified by obesity (*P-interaction*=0.002); in non-obese persons, the OR per unit increase was 1.71 (95% CI=1.55-1.89; P<0.001) while it was 1.17 (95% CI=0.95-1.44; P=0.13) in obese persons. In model 3, all unhealthy behaviors, except fruit and vegetable consumption, were associated with disability in non-obese participants, while in obese participants none of them was associated.

All unhealthy trajectories were associated with mobility disability; there was no association with fruit and vegetable with ADL/IADL disability (Supplementary Table 3).

Analyses based on multinomial GEE (**Figure 3**) showed that for a given number of unhealthy behaviors, the strength of the association increased with increasing disability, and that for each level of disability, the strength of the association increased with the number of unhealthy trajectories.

Results using inversely probability-weighted GEE were similar to our main findings (Supplementary Table 4). Excluding participants with a single health behavior assessment (1%) did not change our conclusions.

#### Discussion

Unhealthy trajectories of physical activity, smoking, and alcohol consumption over midlife to early old age were independently associated with increased subsequent disability risk. Disability risk increased progressively with the number of unhealthy trajectories. There is already evidence showing unhealthy diet (22), smoking (23, 24), alcohol abstinence (25), physical inactivity (26, 27), or decreasing physical activity (28, 29) in midlife to increase disability risk at older ages. However, these studies did not take into account multiple behaviors simultaneously, potentially overestimating the effect of single health behaviors as unhealthy behaviors tend to cluster (30, 31). Fewer studies have considered multiple midlife behaviors, showing them to be associated with locomotor disability in men (32) and ADL disability (9, 33).

To the best of our knowledge, no study has examined the association of multiple health behaviors trajectories in relation to disability. One previous study examined changes in health behaviors and disability transitions simultaneously and found healthy behaviors to be associated with remaining functionally independent (34). However, as health behaviors and disability were assessed simultaneously, reverse causation may have led participants to change health behaviors due to the development of disability. In our study, health behaviors were assessed starting in midlife, before the first signs of disability, making it less prone to bias from reverse causation. Moreover, the period between midlife and early old age involves many work and family related transitions, such as transition from work to retirement, which may modify lifestyle (35). Studies based on a single assessment of health behaviors in old age may therefore suffer from exposure misclassification due to lifestyle changes induced by disease or other liferelated events.

In this study, four trajectories of physical activity were identified and participants with persistent inactivity or intermediate physical activity who reduced their activity over the follow-

12

up were at higher disability risk compared to participants with persistent recommended level. Participants with intermediate physical activity who increased their activity over the follow-up had a similar disability risk. Therefore, increasing one's physical activity in midlife or having persistently recommended activity may reduce disability risk in old age.

Four trajectories were identified for smoking; long term ex/never-smokers had lower disability risk. Risk in recent ex-smokers was lower than in current smokers but remained elevated compared to long term ex/never-smokers. We identified five trajectories for alcohol; compared to participants with persistent moderate consumption, those with moderate consumption who stopped drinking were at highest disability risk. It is possible that alcohol cessation is due to the occurrence of diseases causing disability. Alcohol abstainers over 20y of follow-up also had higher disability risk. Alcohol abstinence has been previously associated with disability (36, 37), which may partly be explained by inclusion of heavy drinkers who stopped drinking (38). Persistent heavy drinkers had a higher disability risk. Fruit and vegetable consumption was not associated with disability after adjusting for other health behaviors, in particular physical activity; the exceptions being associations with mobility disability. Adjustment for covariates attenuated the associations of unhealthy behaviors trajectories with disability, though not completely, with depressive symptoms, cardiovascular disease and their risks factors, and BMI playing an important role. Unhealthy behavior trajectories were associated with disability in non-obese participants but not in obese; therefore, obesity appears to blunt the association of health behaviors with disability.

Our findings need to be considered in light of some limitations. First, questionnaires used to assess health behaviors were imperfect. Diet is particularly difficult to measure, especially over a long follow-up; this could perhaps explain the absence of association with our dietary behavior indicator. Second, a small proportion of participants were excluded from the analyses (8%), but had a similar disability risk compared to those included; this is therefore unlikely to have affected our findings. Third, we may have underestimated the role of some

13

comorbidities, in particular stroke, because participants with severe stroke during the followup may have dropped out from the study. However, our conclusions remained unchanged in sensitivity analyses that took into account death and dropout.

This study's main strengths include its large size and length of follow-up with regular health behaviors assessments, allowing the definition of distinct trajectories. The main outcome is a hierarchical indicator of disability that combines information from three disability scales ordered in a hierarchy and describes the progression of disability (12).

Our findings have important public health implications, as behaviors are potentially modifiable and interventions aimed at promoting a healthy lifestyle in midlife may help to prevent subsequent disability. These findings may be useful for policy-makers informing the potential benefit of multibehavior interventions (39) in midlife compared to interventions at older ages (40, 41).

**Funding**: Work supported by US National Institutes of Health (R01AG013196; R01AG034454, R01HL036310), UK Medical Research Council (K013351), and Economic and Social Research Council (ES/ J023299).

Conflict of interest: none.

#### References

1. Donald IP, Foy C, Jagger C. Trends in disability prevalence over 10 years in older people living in Gloucestershire. Age Ageing. 2010;**39**:337-342.

2. Falk H, Johansson L, Ostling S, *et al.* Functional disability and ability 75-year-olds: a comparison of two Swedish cohorts born 30 years apart. Age Ageing. 2014;**43**:636-641.

3. Fried LP, Kronmal RA, Newman AB, *et al.* Risk factors for 5-year mortality in older adults: the Cardiovascular Health Study. JAMA. 1998;**279**:585-592.

4. Gaugler JE, Duval S, Anderson KA, Kane RL. Predicting nursing home admission in the U.S: a meta-analysis. BMC Geriatr. 2007;**7**:13.

5. Kim DH, Newman AB, Lipsitz LA. Prediction of severe, persistent activity-of-dailyliving disability in older adults. Am J Epidemiol. 2013;**178**:1085-1093.

6. Peres K, Verret C, Alioum A, Barberger-Gateau P. The disablement process: factors associated with progression of disability and recovery in French elderly people. Disabil Rehabil. 2005;**27**:263-276.

 Artaud F, Dugravot A, Sabia S, Singh-Manoux A, Tzourio C, Elbaz A. Unhealthy behaviours and disability in older adults: Three-City Dijon cohort study. BMJ. 2013;**347**:f4240.
 Koster A, Penninx BW, Newman AB, *et al.* Lifestyle factors and incident mobility

limitation in obese and non-obese older adults. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2007;**15**:3122-3132.

9. Vita AJ, Terry RB, Hubert HB, Fries JF. Aging, health risks, and cumulative disability.N Engl J Med. 1998;**338**:1035-1041.

10. Britton A, Shipley M, Singh-Manoux A, Marmot MG. Successful aging: the contribution of early-life and midlife risk factors. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2008;**56**:1098-1105.

11. Marmot M, Brunner E. Cohort Profile: the Whitehall II study. Int J Epidemiol. 2005;**34**:251-256.

16

12. Barberger-Gateau P, Rainville C, Letenneur L, Dartigues JF. A hierarchical model of domains of disablement in the elderly: a longitudinal approach. Disabil Rehabil. 2000;**22**:308-317.

 Andrews G, Jenkins R. Management of Mental Disorders (UK Edition). London:World Health Organization Collaborating Centre for Mental Health and Substance Abuse. 1999.

14. WHO. Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for Health. 2010.

15. Marmot MG, Smith GD, Stansfeld S, *et al.* Health inequalities among British civil servants: the Whitehall II study. Lancet. 1991;**337**:1387-1393.

16. Stansfeld SA, Head J, Marmot MG. Explaining social class differences in depression and well-being. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 1998;**33**:1-9.

17. Ferrie JE, Langenberg C, Shipley MJ, Marmot MG. Birth weight, components of height and coronary heart disease: evidence from the Whitehall II study. Int J Epidemiol. 2006;**35**:1532-1542.

18. Nagin D. Group-based modeling of development. 2005.

19. Jones BL, Nagin D, Roeder K. A SAS procedure based on mixture models for estimating developmental trajectories. Sociological Methods and Research. 2001;**29**:374-393.

20. Feart C, Peres K, Samieri C, Letenneur L, Dartigues JF, Barberger-Gateau P. Adherence to a Mediterranean diet and onset of disability in older persons. Eur J Epidemiol. 2011;**26**:747-756.

21. Seaman SR, White IR. Review of inverse probability weighting for dealing with missing data. Stat Methods Med Res. 2013;**22**:278-295.

22. Vercambre MN, Boutron-Ruault MC, Ritchie K, Clavel-Chapelon F, Berr C. Long-term association of food and nutrient intakes with cognitive and functional decline: a 13-year followup study of elderly French women. Br J Nutr. 2009;**102**:419-427. 23. Agahi N, Shaw BA. Smoking trajectories from midlife to old age and the development of non-life-threatening health problems: a 34-year prospective cohort study. Prev Med. 2013;**57**:107-112.

24. Van Oyen H, Berger N, Nusselder W, *et al.* The effect of smoking on the duration of life with and without disability, Belgium 1997-2011. BMC Public Health. 2014;**14**:723.

25. Hu Y, Pikhart H, Malyutina S, *et al.* Alcohol consumption and physical functioning among middle-aged and older adults in Central and Eastern Europe: results from the HAPIEE study. Age Ageing. 2015;**44**:84-89.

26. Hillsdon MM, Brunner EJ, Guralnik JM, Marmot MG. Prospective study of physical activity and physical function in early old age. Am J Prev Med. 2005;**28**:245-250.

27. Tikkanen P, Nykanen I, Lonnroos E, Sipila S, Sulkava R, Hartikainen S. Physical activity at age of 20-64 years and mobility and muscle strength in old age: a community-based study. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2012;**67**:905-910.

28. Gretebeck RJ, Ferraro KF, Black DR, Holland K, Gretebeck KA. Longitudinal change in physical activity and disability in adults. Am J Health Behav. 2012;**36**:385-394.

29. Williams ED, Eastwood SV, Tillin T, Hughes AD, Chaturvedi N. The effects of weight and physical activity change over 20 years on later-life objective and self-reported disability. Int J Epidemiol. 2014;**43**:856-865.

30. Poortinga W. The prevalence and clustering of four major lifestyle risk factors in an English adult population. Prev Med. 2007;**44**:124-128.

31. Pronk NP, Anderson LH, Crain AL, *et al.* Meeting recommendations for multiple healthy lifestyle factors. Prevalence, clustering, and predictors among adolescent, adult, and senior health plan members. Am J Prev Med. 2004;**27**:25-33.

32. Ebrahim S, Wannamethee SG, Whincup P, Walker M, Shaper AG. Locomotor disability in a cohort of British men: the impact of lifestyle and disease. Int J Epidemiol. 2000;**29**:478-486.

33. Wong E, Stevenson C, Backholer K, Woodward M, Shaw JE, Peeters A. Predicting the risk of physical disability in old age using modifiable mid-life risk factors. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2015;**69**:70-76.

34. Lee Y, Kim J, Back JH, Kim S, Ryu M. Changes in combined lifestyle risks and disability transition in older adults: Korean Longitudinal Study of Aging, 2006-2008. Prev Med. 2013;**56**:124-129.

35. Sjosten N, Kivimaki M, Singh-Manoux A, *et al.* Change in physical activity and weight in relation to retirement: the French GAZEL Cohort Study. BMJ Open. 2012;**2**:e000522.

36. Liao WC, Li CR, Lin YC, *et al.* Healthy behaviors and onset of functional disability in older adults: results of a national longitudinal study. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2011;**59**:200-206.

37. Maraldi C, Harris TB, Newman AB, *et al.* Moderate alcohol intake and risk of functional decline: the Health, Aging, and Body Composition study. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2009;**57**:1767-1775.

38. Rehm J, Irving H, Ye Y, Kerr WC, Bond J, Greenfield TK. Are lifetime abstainers the best control group in alcohol epidemiology? On the stability and validity of reported lifetime abstention. Am J Epidemiol. 2008;**168**:866-871.

39. Prochaska JJ, Spring B, Nigg CR. Multiple health behavior change research: an introduction and overview. Prev Med. 2008;**46**:181-188.

40. Pahor M, Guralnik JM, Ambrosius WT, *et al.* Effect of structured physical activity on prevention of major mobility disability in older adults: the LIFE study randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2014;**311**:2387-2396.

41. Kivipelto M, Solomon A, Ahtiluoto S, *et al.* The Finnish Geriatric Intervention Study to Prevent Cognitive Impairment and Disability (FINGER): study design and progress. Alzheimers Dement. 2013;**9**:657-665.

#### Figure 1. Health Behaviors Trajectories (1985-2004)

Trajectories (95% confidence intervals) for physical activity (n=10,205; 0-inactivity, 1-intermediate, 2-recommended), fruit and vegetable (n=10,301; 0-low, 1-intermediate, 2-high), smoking (n=10,295; 0-never, 1-ex-smoker, 2-current smoker), alcohol (n=10,291; 0-no/occasional, 1-moderate, 2-heavy).



# Figure 2. Odds Ratios (95% confidence intervals) of Disability (2006-2013) According to the Unhealthy Behaviors Trajectories Score (1985-2004)

Adjusted for sex, age, marital status, socioeconomic status, time, time×age (empty), and additionally adjusted for time-dependent BMI, MMSE, depressive symptoms, antidepressant drugs, diabetes, anti-inflammatory drugs for joint pain, cancer, osteoarthritis, Parkinson's disease, stroke, coronary heart disease, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia (full).



#### Figure 3. Association of the Unhealthy Behaviors Trajectories Score (1985-2004) with the

#### **Hierarchical Disability Indicator (2006-2013)**

Odds ratios (95% confidence intervals) computed using multinomial GEE models adjusted for sex, age, marital status, socioeconomic status, time, time×age.



	n disabled		Mod	el 1*			Mod	el 2†			Mod	el 3‡	
Trajectory	/N	OR	95% CI	Р	P§	OR	95% CI	Р	P§	OR	95% CI	Р	P§
Physical activity													
Persistent inactivity	253/804	2.38	1.92-2.94	< 0.001		2.23	1.80-2.77	< 0.001		1.78	1.41-2.24	< 0.001	
Intermediate then inactivity	513/2370	1.77	1.50-2.09	< 0.001		1.72	1.46-2.03	< 0.001		1.58	1.32-1.88	< 0.001	
Intermediate then recommended	263/1519	1.12	0.93-1.36	0.24		1.12	0.93-1.36	0.24		1.08	0.89-1.32	0.42	
Persistent recommended	281/2132	1.00	(Ref.)		< 0.001	1.00	(Ref.)		< 0.001	1.00	(Ref.)		< 0.001
Persistent inactivity, intermediate then													
inactivity vs. intermediate then		1.82	1.60-2.07	< 0.001		1.76	1.54-2.00	< 0.001		1.58	1.38-1.82	< 0.001	
recommended, persistent recommended													
Consumption of fruit and vegetable													
Persistent low	197/905	1.47	1.15-1.89	0.003		1.15	0.89-1.49	0.28		1.16	0.89-1.52	0.27	
Low then intermediate	150/759	1.23	0.94-1.59	0.13		1.03	0.79-1.35	0.80		1.13	0.86-1.49	0.37	
Persistent intermediate	617/3157	1.12	0.91-1.38	0.27		1.02	0.83-1.26	0.82		1.06	0.86-1.32	0.57	
Intermediate then high	200/1217	0.96	0.76-1.23	0.76		0.94	0.74-1.20	0.63		1.05	0.82-1.35	0.70	
Persistent high	146/787	1.00	(Ref.)		0.006	1.00	(Ref.)		0.59	1.00	(Ref.)		0.82
Persistent low vs. all other		1.35	1.13-1.61	0.001		1.17	0.98-1.41	0.09		1.11	0.92-1.35	0.27	
Smoking													
Never	606/3367	1.00	(Ref.)			1.00	(Ref.)			1.00	(Ref.)		
Long-term ex-smoker	443/2498	1.03	0.89-1.18	0.73		1.06	0.91-1.22	0.47		0.94	0.81-1.10	0.45	
Recent ex-smoker	94/390	1.46	1.13-1.88	0.004		1.46	1.13-1.90	0.004		1.25	0.94-1.66	0.13	
Persistent	167/570	1.93	1.57-2.37	< 0.001	< 0.001	1.80	1.46-2.23	< 0.001	< 0.001	1.73	1.39-2.16	< 0.001	< 0.001
Persistent or recent ex-smoker vs.		1 71	1 45 2 01	<0.001		1.63	1 28 1 02	<0.001		1 57	1 22 1 87	<0.001	
never or long-term ex-smoker		1./1	1.45-2.01	<0.001		1.05	1.30-1.92	<0.001		1.37	1.32-1.07	<0.001	

## Table 1. Health Behaviors Trajectories (1985-2004) and Subsequent Disability (2006-2013)

#### Follows.

	n disabled		Mod	el 1*			Mode	1 2†			Mode	el 3‡	
Trajectory	/N	OR	95% CI	Р	P§	OR	95% CI	Р	P§	OR	95% CI	Р	P§
Alcohol													
Never	139/531	1.29	1.04-1.60	0.02		1.25	1.00-1.55	0.05		1.06	0.84-1.35	0.60	
Moderate then none	148/464	1.61	1.30-2.01	< 0.001		1.53	1.23-1.90	< 0.001		1.39	1.09-1.76	0.007	
Persistent moderate	778/4517	1.00	(Ref.)			1.00	(Ref.)			1.00	(Ref.)		
Moderate then heavy	115/678	1.04	0.83-1.30	0.76		1.03	0.82-1.29	0.79		1.02	0.82-1.28	0.85	
Persistent heavy	130/635	1.36	1.10-1.68	0.004	< 0.001	1.24	1.00-1.54	0.05	0.002	1.13	0.90-1.43	0.29	0.13
Never, moderate then none, persistent													
heavy vs. persistent moderate, moderate then heavy		1.41	1.23-1.61	< 0.001		1.33	1.16-1.53	< 0.001		1.19	1.02-1.38	0.02	

\*Adjusted for sex, age, marital status, socioeconomic status, time, time×age.

<sup>†</sup>Model 1+other health behaviors trajectories.

‡Model 2+time-dependent BMI, MMSE, depressive symptoms, antidepressant drugs, diabetes, anti-inflammatory drugs for joint pain, cancer, osteoarthritis, Parkinson's disease, stroke, coronary heart disease, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia.
§Global test.

	Overall	Not disabled	Disabled at least once	P <sup>a</sup> (age- and sex- adjusted)
N (%)	6825	5515 (80.8)	1310 (19.2)	
Age in 2006 (years), mean (SD)	63.9 (6.0)	63.4 (5.8)	66.1 (6.1)	< 0.001
Men	4837 (70.9)	4068 (73.8)	769 (58.7)	< 0.001
Married, cohabiting	5114 (74.9)	4261 (77.3)	853 (65.1)	< 0.001
High Socioeconomic status	3117 (45.7)	2645 (48.0)	472 (36.0)	< 0.001
Trajectories of health behaviors (19	85-88 to 2002	-04)		
Physical activity				
Persistent inactivity	804 (11.8)	551 (10.0)	253 (19.3)	
Intermediate then inactivity	2370 (34.7)	1857 (33.7)	513 (39.2)	
Intermediate then recommended	1519 (22.3)	1256 (22.8)	263 (20.1)	
Persistent recommended	2132 (31.2)	1851 (33.6)	281 (21.5)	< 0.001
Consumption of fruit and vegetable				
Persistent low	905 (13.3)	708 (12.8)	197 (15.0)	
Low then intermediate	759 (11.1)	609 (11.0)	150 (11.5)	
Persistent intermediate	3157 (46.3)	2540 (46.1)	617 (47.1)	
Intermediate then high	1217 (17.8)	1017 (18.4)	200 (15.3)	
Persistent high	787 (11.5)	641 (11.6)	146 (11.1)	< 0.001
Smoking				
Never	3367 (49.3)	2761 (50.1)	606 (46.3)	
Long-term ex-smoker	2498 (36.6)	2055 (37.3)	443 (33.8)	
Recent ex-smoker	390 (5.7)	296 (5.4)	94 (7.2)	
Persistent	570 (8.4)	403 (7.3)	167 (12.7)	< 0.001
Alcohol				
Never	531 (7.8)	392 (7.1)	139 (10.6)	
Moderate then none	464 (6.8)	316 (5.7)	148 (11.3)	
Persistent moderate	4517 (66.2)	3739 (67.8)	778 (59.4)	
Moderate then heavy	678 (9.9)	563 (10.2)	115 (8.8)	
Persistent heavy	635 (9.3)	505 (9.2)	130 (9.9)	< 0.001

## Supplementary Table 1. Participants' Characteristics

#### **Supplementary Table 1 Follows**

		Not	Disabled at	P <sup>a</sup> (age-
	Overall	dicablad	loget on a	and sex-
		uisabieu	least once	adjusted)
Time-dependent covariates				
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ), mean (SD) <sup>b</sup>	26.0 (3.8)	25.6 (3.5)	27.4 (4.6)	< 0.001
MMSE score, mean (SD) <sup>b</sup>	28.4 (1.2)	28.4 (1.2)	28.0 (1.5)	< 0.001
Depressive symptoms <sup>c</sup>	2243 (32.9)	1634 (29.6)	609 (46.5)	< 0.001
Use of antidepressant drugs <sup>c</sup>	592 (8.7)	372 (6.7)	220 (16.8)	< 0.001
Bone fracture <sup>c</sup>	1472 (21.6)	1126 (20.4)	346 (26.4)	0.001
Diabetes <sup>c</sup>	929 (13.6)	650 (11.8)	279 (21.3)	< 0.001
Use of anti-inflammatory drugs <sup>c</sup>	1093 (16.0)	728 (13.2)	365 (27.9)	< 0.001
Cancer <sup>c</sup>	941 (13.8)	717 (13.0)	224 (17.1)	0.06
Osteoarthritis <sup>c</sup>	2787 (40.8)	1975 (35.8)	812 (62.0)	< 0.001
Parkinson's disease <sup>c</sup>	50 (0.7)	10 (0.2)	40 (3.1)	< 0.001
Stroke <sup>c</sup>	234 (3.4)	157 (2.8)	77 (5.9)	< 0.001
CHD <sup>c</sup>	1587 (23.3)	1085 (19.7)	502 (38.3)	< 0.001
Hypertension <sup>c</sup>	4252 (62.3)	3292 (59.7)	960 (73.3)	< 0.001
Hypercholesterolemia <sup>c</sup>	3689 (54.1)	2900 (52.6)	789 (60.2)	0.006
Hypercholesterolemia	3689 (54.1)	2900 (52.6)	/89 (60.2)	0.006

BMI: Body Mass Index; MMSE: Mini-Mental State Examination; SD: Standard Deviation; CHD: Coronary Heart Disease.

Values are numbers (%) unless stated otherwise.

<sup>a</sup> Analysis of covariance for continuous variables and Mantel-Haenszel chi-square test for categorical variables.

<sup>b</sup> Mean of all measures taken between 1985-88 and 2012-13.

<sup>c</sup> At least one report between 1985-88 and 2012-13.

	Number of	rajectories	P <sup>a</sup> (age-	
	0	1	2 or 3	and sex- adjusted)
N (%)	2597 (38.1)	2873 (42.1)	1355 (19.9)	
Age in 2006 (years), mean (SD)	64.2 (6.0)	63.6 (5.9)	63.7 (6.0)	< 0.001
Male sex	2109 (81.2)	1980 (68.9)	748 (55.2)	< 0.001
Marital status				
Married, cohabiting	2178 (83.9)	2100 (73.1)	836 (61.7)	
Divorced, separated, widowed	246 (9.5)	374 (13.0)	264 (19.5)	
Single	173 (6.7)	399 (13.9)	255 (18.8)	< 0.001
Socioeconomic status				
High (administrative)	1463 (56.3)	1282 (44.6)	372 (27.5)	
Intermediate (professional or executive)	1015 (39.1)	1283 (44.7)	667 (49.2)	
Low (clerical or support)	119 (4.6)	308 (10.7)	316 (23.3)	< 0.001
Trajectories of health behaviors (1985-88	8 to 2002-04)			
Physical activity				
Persistent inactivity	0 (0)	421 (14.7)	383 (28.3)	
Intermediate then inactivity	0 (0)	1518 (52.8)	852 (62.9)	
Intermediate then recommended	1078 (41.5)	386 (13.4)	55 (4.1)	
Persistent recommended	1519 (58.5)	548 (19.1)	65 (4.8)	< 0.001
Consumption of fruit and vegetable				
Persistent low	226 (8.7)	391 (13.6)	288 (21.3)	
Low then intermediate	252 (9.7)	314 (10.9)	193 (14.2)	
Persistent intermediate	1169 (45.0)	1378 (48.0)	610 (45.0)	
Intermediate then high	574 (22.1)	483 (16.8)	160 (11.8)	
Persistent high	376 (14.5)	307 (10.7)	104 (7.7)	< 0.001
Smoking				
Never	1407 (54.2)	1486 (51.7)	474 (35.0)	
Long-term ex-smoker	1190 (45.8)	1065 (37.1)	243 (17.9)	
Recent ex-smoker	0 (0)	156 (5.4)	234 (17.3)	
Persistent	0 (0)	166 (5.8)	404 (29.8)	< 0.001
Alcohol				
Never	0 (0)	179 (6.2)	352 (26.0)	
Moderate then none	0 (0)	151 (5.3)	313 (23.1)	
Persistent drinker	2259 (87.0)	1966 (68.4)	292 (21.5)	
Moderate then heavy	338 (13.0)	295 (10.3)	45 (3.3)	
Persistent heavy	0 (0)	282 (9.8)	353 (26.1)	< 0.001

Supplementary Table 2. Characteristics of the participants according to number of unhealthy behaviors trajectories

### **Supplementary Table 2 Follows**

	Number	oehaviors	P <sup>a</sup> (age-	
	0	1	2 or 3	adjusted)
Time-dependent covariates				
BMI $(m/kg^2)$ , mean $(SD)^b$	25.6 (3.4)	26.0 (3.8)	26.7 (4.4)	< 0.001
MMSE score, mean (SD) <sup>b</sup>	28.5 (1.1)	28.4 (1.3)	28.1 (1.4)	< 0.001
Depressive symptoms <sup>c</sup>	696 (26.8)	987 (34.4)	560 (41.3)	< 0.001
Use of antidepressant drugs <sup>c</sup>	156 (6.0)	277 (9.6)	159 (11.7)	< 0.001
Bone fracture <sup>c</sup>	540 (20.8)	635 (22.1)	297 (21.9)	0.17
Diabetes <sup>c</sup>	259 (10.0)	385 (13.4)	285 (21.0)	< 0.001
Use of anti-inflammatory drugs <sup>c</sup>	388 (14.9)	453 (15.8)	252 (18.6)	0.12
Cancer <sup>c</sup>	420 (16.2)	381 (13.3)	140 (10.3)	< 0.001
Osteoarthritis <sup>c</sup>	1025 (39.5)	1166 (40.6)	596 (44.0)	0.94
Parkinson's disease <sup>c</sup>	13 (0.5)	27 (0.9)	10 (0.7)	0.06
Stroke <sup>c</sup>	82 (3.2)	98 (3.4)	54 (4.0)	0.01
CHD <sup>c</sup>	532 (20.5)	634 (22.1)	421 (31.1)	< 0.001
Hypertension <sup>c</sup>	1567 (60.3)	1773 (61.7)	912 (67.3)	< 0.001
Hypercholesterolemia <sup>c</sup>	1337 (51.5)	1554 (54.1)	798 (58.9)	< 0.001

Values are numbers (%) unless stated otherwise.

<sup>a</sup> Analysis of covariance for continuous variables and Mantel-Haenszel chi-square test for categorical variables.

<sup>b</sup> Mean of all measures taken between 1985-88 and 2012-13.

<sup>c</sup> At least one report between 1985-88 and 2012-13.

	Model 1 <sup>a</sup>		Model 2 <sup>b</sup>		Model 3	2
Trajectory	OR (95% CI)	Р	OR (95% CI)	Р	OR (95% CI)	Р
<b>Mobility</b> <sup>d</sup>						
Physical activity						
Unhealthy vs. healthy <sup>e</sup>	1.59 (1.46, 1.74)	< 0.001	1.52 (1.39, 1.66)	< 0.001	1.40 (1.28, 1.54)	< 0.001
Consumption of fruit and	d vegetable					
Unhealthy vs. healthy <sup>e</sup>	1.73 (1.51, 1.97)	< 0.001	1.54 (1.34, 1.76)	< 0.001	1.52 (1.33, 1.74)	< 0.001
Smoking						
Unhealthy vs. healthy <sup>e</sup>	1.97 (1.74, 2.24)	< 0.001	1.85 (1.63, 2.10)	< 0.001	1.76 (1.55, 2.01)	< 0.001
Alcohol						
Unhealthy vs. healthy <sup>e</sup>	1.36 (1.22, 1.50)	< 0.001	1.28 (1.16, 1.42)	< 0.001	1.16 (1.04, 1.29)	0.006
Number of unhealthy be	haviors trajectori	esf				
0	1.00 (Ref.)				1.00 (Ref.)	
1	1.45 (1.32, 1.60)	< 0.001			1.37 (1.24, 1.51)	< 0.001
2 or 3	2.49 (2.19, 2.82)	< 0.001			2.08 (1.83, 2.37)	< 0.001
Trend (per one		0.001			1 40 (1 0 4 1 50)	0.001
unhealthy trajectory)	1.56 (1.47, 1.66)	<0.001			1.43 (1.34, 1.53)	<0.001
Number of unhealthy be	haviors trajectori	es <sup>g</sup>				
0	1.00 (Ref.)				1.00 (Ref.)	
1	1.51 (1.36, 1.68)	< 0.001			1.43 (1.29, 1.59)	< 0.001
2	2.25 (1.98, 2.55)	< 0.001			1.94 (1.70, 2.20)	< 0.001
3 or 4	3.67 (3.00, 4.48)	< 0.001			3.06 (2.49, 3.77)	< 0.001
Trend (per one		0.004				0.004
unhealthy trajectory)	1.52 (1.44, 1.60)	< 0.001			1.42 (1.35, 1.50)	<0.001
ADL/IADL <sup>h</sup>						
Physical activity						
Unhealthy vs. healthy <sup>e</sup>	1.58 (1.41, 1.76)	< 0.001	1.53 (1.37, 1.70)	< 0.001	1.37 (1.22, 1.54)	< 0.001
Consumption of fruit and	d vegetable					
Unhealthy vs. healthy <sup>e</sup>	1.30 (1.11, 1.51)	0.001	1.16 (0.99, 1.35)	0.07	1.10 (0.93, 1.29)	0.26
Smoking						
Unhealthy vs. healthy <sup>e</sup>	1.62 (1.41, 1.87)	< 0.001	1.56 (1.35, 1.79)	< 0.001	1.50 (1.29, 1.74)	< 0.001
Alcohol						
Unhealthy vs. healthy <sup>e</sup>	1.34 (1.19, 1.52)	< 0.001	1.29 (1.14, 1.45)	< 0.001	1.18 (1.04, 1.34)	0.01
Number of unhealthy be	haviors trajectori	es <sup>f</sup>				
0	1.00 (Ref.)				1.00 (Ref.)	
1	1.34 (1.18, 1.52)	< 0.001			1.21 (1.06, 1.38)	0.004
2 or 3	2.26 (1.96, 2.62)	< 0.001			1.88 (1.61, 2.19)	< 0.001
Trend (per one	1 50 (1 20 1 60)	<0.001			1.26(1.26, 1.40)	<u>-0 001</u>
unhealthy trajectory)	1.30 (1.39, 1.02)	<0.001			1.30 (1.20, 1.48)	<0.001

Supplementary Table 3. Associations of trajectories of health behaviors with mobility disability and disability in basic or instrumental activities of daily living.

<sup>a</sup> Adjusted for sex, age in 2006, marital status, socioeconomic status, time, time×age.

<sup>b</sup> Adjusted for sex, age in 2006, marital status, socioeconomic status, time, time×age, and other behaviors trajectories.

<sup>c</sup> Adjusted for sex, age in 2006, marital status, socioeconomic status, time, time×age, trajectories of other health behaviors, and time-dependent covariates (BMI, MMSE score, depressive symptoms, use of antidepressant drugs, diabetes, use of anti-inflammatory drugs for joint pain, cancer, osteoarthritis, Parkinson's disease, stroke, coronary heart disease, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia).

<sup>d</sup> Of 6,871 participants included in these analyses, 3,825 (55.7%) developed mobility disability at least once during the follow-up.

<sup>e</sup> Definitions of unhealthy and healthy trajectories are reported in Table 1.

<sup>f</sup> The number of unhealthy behaviors trajectories was computed without consumption of fruit and vegetable for comparability with main results.

<sup>g</sup> The number of unhealthy behaviors trajectories was computed with consumption of fruit and vegetable.

<sup>h</sup> Of 6,872 participants included in these analyses, 1,828 (26.6%) developed disability in ADL/IADL at least once during the follow-up.

	Non-weighted	GEE	Weighted GEE		
Trajectory	OR (95% CI)	Р	OR (95% CI)	Р	
Physical activity <sup>a</sup>					
Unhealthy vs. healthy <sup>b</sup>	1.78 (1.55, 2.05)	< 0.001	1.77 (1.53, 2.05)	< 0.001	
Consumption of fruit and vegetable <sup>a</sup>					
Unhealthy vs. healthy <sup>b</sup>	1.20 (0.99, 1.46)	0.07	1.16 (0.94, 1.42)	0.16	
Smoking <sup>a</sup>					
Unhealthy vs. healthy <sup>b</sup>	1.65 (1.38, 1.97)	< 0.001	1.63 (1.35, 1.97)	< 0.001	
Alcohol <sup>a</sup>					
Unhealthy vs. healthy <sup>b</sup>	1.33 (1.15, 1.55)	< 0.001	1.28 (1.10, 1.50)	0.002	
Number of unhealthy behaviors traject	ories <sup>c</sup>				
0	1.00 (Ref.)		1.00 (Ref.)		
1	1.55 (1.32, 1.83)	< 0.001	1.54 (1.30, 1.83)	< 0.001	
2-3	2.72 (2.26, 3.27)	< 0.001	2.59 (2.14, 3.14)	< 0.001	
Trend (per one unhealthy trajectory)	1.65 (1.50, 1.81)	< 0.001	1.61 (1.46, 1.78)	< 0.001	

Supplementary Table 4. Association of Trajectories of Health Behaviors (1985-2004) With Subsequent Disability (2006-2013) using inverse-probability weighted GEE (N=6142)

<sup>a</sup> Adjusted for continuous age in 2006, marital status, socioeconomic status, time, and other behaviors trajectories.

<sup>b</sup> Definitions of unhealthy and healthy trajectories as in Table 1.

<sup>c</sup> Adjusted for continuous age in 2006, marital status, socioeconomic status, and time.