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Abstract

A facile surface-amide coupling method was examined to attach dye and catalyst molecules to silatrane-decorated NiO electrodes. Using this method, electrodes with a push-pull dye were assembled, and characterized by photoelectrochemistry and transient absorption spectroscopy. The dye-sensitized electrodes exhibited hole injection into NiO and good photoelectrochemical stability in water, highlighting the stability of the silatrane anchoring group and the amide linkage. The amide coupling protocol was further applied to electrodes that contain additional proton reduction nickel catalysts for use in photocathode architectures. Evidence for catalyst reduction was observed during photoelectrochemical measurements and via fs-transient absorption spectroscopy demonstrating the possibility for application in photocathodes.
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Introduction

Due to the continued large-scale use of fossil fuels, global warming and climate change have become a concern.\textsuperscript{1} To mitigate the worst effects of climate change, alternative energy sources must be employed to generate clean, renewable fuels. Solar energy is a key alternative energy source due to the high solar flux hitting the earth’s surface (1.2 x 10\textsuperscript{5} TW), which exceeds the world’s current energy demands by ~10,000 times.\textsuperscript{2-5} To use solar energy to drive renewable fuel synthesis, natural photosynthesis can give inspiration.\textsuperscript{6}

To mimic photosynthetic processes, water-splitting dye sensitized photoelectrochemical cells (WS-DSPECs) can be assembled to perform “artificial photosynthesis” by using solar energy to drive renewable fuel synthesis.\textsuperscript{3, 7-10} WS-DSPECs are composed of a photoanode, which performs photocatalytic water oxidation, and a photocathode, which performs photocatalytic fuel-forming reactions, such as proton reduction to form H\textsubscript{2}. H\textsubscript{2} is an energy dense fuel, having a chemical energy per mass of 142 MJkg\textsuperscript{-1}, around three times larger than liquid hydrocarbon fuels.\textsuperscript{11}

In WS-DSPECs, the photocathode usually consists of a NiO-based electrode coated with surface-bound dye molecules to absorb the light and perform charge separation, while surface-bound catalyst molecules perform catalysis and generate H\textsubscript{2}. To operate, the photocathode is illuminated which causes the surface-bound dye molecules to reach their excited state. The excited dye then injects a hole into the NiO valence band, thereby reducing the dye. The reduced dye can then transfer an electron to a neighboring dye molecule or to a proton reduction catalyst on the NiO surface. Once the catalyst gains two reducing equivalents, as well as two protons from solution, proton reduction can occur to form H\textsubscript{2} gas.\textsuperscript{12-15} A major limitation to the overall efficiency of WS-DSPECs is that all of these processes necessary to perform photocatalytic proton reduction must occur before unproductive charge recombination happens.\textsuperscript{3, 8, 12, 16}

Significant work has been done to optimize photoanodes in WS-DSPECs (the best of which now reach relatively high photocurrents and efficiencies).\textsuperscript{3, 8, 9} Conversely, the photocathode component of WS-DSPECs has not been explored or optimized to the same extent; consequently, the overall performance of tandem WS-
DSPECs to-date are still poor. The suboptimal photocathode performance could originate from many factors, including the NiO electrode, which exhibits slow hole mobility and large losses in efficiency due to charge recombination between holes in the NiO and reduced dye or catalyst molecules. These issues make developing an efficient photocathode quite difficult. Thus, is it crucial that fundamental studies are performed to gain understanding of the processes occurring in photocathodes if performance is to be rationally improved.

To increase photocathode performance, many photocathode designs have focused on changing the electrode assembly. Methods for assembly include using layer-by-layer strategies, adding hydrophobic layers, codeposition methods, covalently linking the dye and catalyst, using electron shuttling layers, using supramolecular strategies, changing the semiconductor material, and using atomic layer deposition. While these are all very important studies, the stability of the anchoring group in photocathode architectures has received rather little attention. To the best of our knowledge, only one study has focused on changing the molecules’ anchoring group to improve performance. This is surprising, because it is a common strategy in photoanode assembly methods. In photocathodes, stability can be a major issue – if the molecular components do not remain bound on the electrode, then the photocathodes will not function efficiently.  

While carboxylic acids and phosphonic acids are known to have limited stability on metal oxide electrodes under aqueous conditions, they are still the most common anchors used in these systems. Conversely, hydroxamic acid and silatrane surface anchors are known to have excellent stability on metal oxide surfaces across a wide pH range, yet only one study to our knowledge has used hydroxamic acid anchors on NiO-based photocathodes – and none have used silatranes.

A silatrane is a pentacoordinate caged silicon atom, such as Sil shown in Figure 1, and is known to bind to metal oxide surfaces (MOx: TiO2, SnO2, ITO, Al2O3) via the formation of surface Si-O-M (M = metal on surface) bonds with concomitant release of triethanolamine. To bind silatranes to metal oxide electrodes, the electrodes are typically soaked in a silatrane-containing sensitization solution at 70 °C for 3-24 hours. Recently, a new surface preacidification method has been found to bind the silatrane at room temperature with similar loadings to the 70 °C sensitization
process.\textsuperscript{64} Once bound, silatranes remain attached to metal oxide electrodes from pH 2-11, giving excellent aqueous, electrochemical, and photoelectrochemical stability; thus making them excellent options as anchors also in photocathodes.\textsuperscript{56, 57, 63, 64, 68}

Since producing photocathodes with high efficiencies is challenging, developing a facile method that would allow for the fast screening of different functional units would be highly desirable. An option is to take advantage of organic chemical reactions and perform them on surfaces, such as the use of surface amide couplings. While amide couplings on surfaces are quite popular in other areas of surface chemistry,\textsuperscript{69-73} thus far, we are not aware of any studies using this method to prepare photocathodes. This method is quite convenient because many dye and catalyst molecules already contain carboxylic acid functional groups, which can be utilized in amide couplings, avoiding tedious organic syntheses of complex dye or catalyst molecules. Instead, surface amide couplings have the potential for facile screening of different electrode assemblies by altering the nature of the carboxylic acid decorated dye or catalyst, the amino-derivated electrode surface, or vice versa. Screening amide coupled combinations of carboxylic acid functionalized dye or catalysts with amino-functionalized molecules that are commercially available or already present in research groups would be a fast and easy strategy to find optimal photocathode combinations. Thus, here we report a method to assemble photocathodes using surface amide couplings with silatrane-anchored molecules. We then use this method to assemble model photocathodes containing a catalyst molecule, and investigate their photoelectrochemical behavior and charge transfer dynamics, to show the applicability of the method. We expect that the protocols presented here will be of general use in the photocathode field.

**Results & Discussion:**

Recently, we developed a new push-pull dye, PB6 (Figure 1), which has been studied on NiO electrodes using new core-shell assemblies.\textsuperscript{52, 74, 75} Upon excitation, PB6\textsuperscript{*} (1.03 V vs. NHE for PB6*/PB6)\textsuperscript{74} exhibits ultrafast hole injection (<200 fs) into the NiO valence band (0.5 V vs. NHE)\textsuperscript{76}; the resulting generated reduced state (-0.93 V vs. NHE) of PB6 is capable of reducing a wide range of proton reduction catalysts, making it a suitable choice as a photocathode dye molecule.\textsuperscript{74, 75} PB6 also exhibits a strong absorption band at 530 nm making it facile to characterize by UV-Vis
spectroscopy and so monitor its surface binding to NiO. Thus, we sought out to investigate the surface-amide coupling method using PB6.

To prepare the electrodes, NiO electrodes were first sensitized with 3-aminopropylsilatrane, Sil, (Figure 1) via a newly reported preacidification method allowing the silatrane to bind to the NiO at room temperature overnight. Briefly, NiO electrodes were soaked in 0.1 M phosphate buffer at pH 2 for one hour at room temperature. The pre-acidified electrodes were then air-dried (without rinsing), soaked in an 8 mM Sil solution in acetonitrile overnight at room temperature, and rinsed with acetonitrile three times, affording the NiO-Sil electrodes. With amine-derivatized electrodes in hand, we next performed the surface-amide coupling by soaking NiO-Sil in the presence of 0.2 mM PB6 and 2 mM 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC), used as the amide coupling agent in a 1:1 dichloromethane:methanol solution, affording NiO-Sil-PB6 electrodes (Scheme 1). A 2 mM concentration of EDC concentration provided the highest PB6 loadings by UV-Vis spectroscopy (Figure S2), and was used for all subsequent electrode sensitizations. It should be noted that the concentration and choice of the amide-coupling agent must be screened to optimize molecule loadings.

Figure 1. Structures of Sil and PB6 used to assemble the amide coupled electrodes.
Scheme 1. Amide coupling assembly method of NiO-Sil-PB6 electrodes. Only one of the carboxylic acid groups on PB6 is depicted here for simplicity.

Spectroscopic Characterization.

The electrodes were characterized by several spectroscopic methods at each stage of the assembly process. Since Sil has only UV absorption features, UV-Vis spectroscopy could not be used to characterize the electrodes after the first assembly step (NiO-Sil) due to the scattering of the NiO film below 400 nm (Figure S6). Instead, evidence for Sil binding to NiO was obtained by comparing the ATR-FTIR spectra of the Sil powder to the NiO-Sil electrodes, which show similar features (Figure S9). Sil exhibits diagnostic signatures of the silatrane cage: a sharp peak at 1175 cm\(^{-1}\) for \(\nu(C-O)\) and 1452 cm\(^{-1}\) for \(\delta(CH_2)\), which disappear upon surface binding, indicating silatrane deprotection. Furthermore, a broad peak around 1070 cm\(^{-1}\) appears, suggesting the formation of Si-O-Si cross links and Si-O surface bonds (Figure S9).\(^{68, 77, 78}\) ICP-OES measurements also detected Si on the digested electrode surfaces above background Si signals, confirming the presence of Si on the NiO (Table S2).

Next, we characterized the subsequent amide coupling of PB6 on NiO-Sil by UV-Vis spectroscopy. When NiO-Sil was exposed to both PB6 and EDC, a strong absorption at 530 nm appeared, supporting successful attachment of PB6 to the electrode with a surface coverage equivalent to \(~40\) nmol cm\(^{-2}\) of PB6 (Figure 2). In addition, the absorption features of NiO-Sil-PB6 were similar to separately
prepared NiO-PB6 electrodes lacking Sil, suggesting the PB6 structure was retained upon binding to NiO-Sil (Figure 2).

To gain further evidence for the amide coupling, several control experiments were performed to test if the observed PB6 was bound via amide bonds or physisorbed to the surface directly. First, we tested for the necessity of the formation of an amide bond. To do this, we made a preacidified NiO electrode without Sil, soaked the electrode in a solution containing both PB6 and EDC overnight, and monitored the absorption of the electrode by UV-Vis spectroscopy. As expected, no significant binding of PB6 to the electrodes was seen, supporting that physisorption of PB6 does not occur and the amine is essential for binding the dye molecule to the surface (Figure S3). To further confirm amide coupling, we also tested if EDC was needed by exposing NiO-Sil electrodes in a PB6 solution overnight without EDC present. We observed a minute peak in the UV-Vis spectrum at 530 nm suggesting some PB6 had bound to the surface, likely filling in any open binding sites on the electrode not already taken by Sil (Figure S3). Since we had more significant loading in the presence of EDC, it suggests that an amide coupling is the immobilization mechanism for PB6 loading.

To further confirm that the core PB6 structure was unchanged upon electrode attachment, ATR-FTIR spectroscopic measurements were performed. Comparison of the ATR-FTIR spectra of NiO-Sil-PB6 with PB6 powder samples revealed excellent overlap, further supporting retention of the original PB6 structure on the electrode (Figure 2). When NiO-Sil-PB6 was compared to NiO-PB6, we observed an extra broad peak at ~1070 cm\(^{-1}\), also observed in NiO-Sil electrodes in Figure S9, suggesting the presence of the silatrane on the NiO-Sil-PB6 electrode (Figure 2). This also confirms that the silatrane remains surface-bound during the amide coupling procedure.
Figure 2. UV-Vis (A) and ATR-FTIR (B) spectra of NiO-Sil-PB6 electrodes (blue) and NiO-PB6 electrodes (pink). PB6 powder ATR-FTIR is shown in grey in panel (B). These data show that PB6 is on the electrode and that it’s structure is retained.

**Photoelectrochemical Measurements.**

After confirming that electrodes could be prepared using surface amide couplings, photoelectrochemical experiments on the NiO-Sil-PB6 electrodes were performed. Specifically, we probed if the electrodes could generate photocurrent under illumination with an applied bias, which is necessary if they are to be applied into photocathodes. Indeed, an increase in reductive photocurrent in the linear sweep voltammogram (LSV) was observed upon illuminating the NiO-Sil-PB6 electrodes in 0.1 M MES buffer at pH 5, suggesting that PB6 reaches its excited state and injects a hole into the NiO valence band (Figure 3). One should also keep in mind that photocurrent could also result from the formation of Ni(0) species via reduction by PB6. Since the NiO-Sil-PB6 electrodes could produce photocurrent, we then wanted to demonstrate that the PB6− generated after hole injection could transfer an electron to an acceptor molecule. To test this, NiO-Sil-PB6 electrodes were examined in the presence of an irreversible electron acceptor, chloropentaaminecobalt(III) chloride. When LSV was performed in the presence of the acceptor molecule, a significant increase in photocurrent was observed (almost -300 µA cm⁻²), strongly suggesting that PB6− is capable of transferring an electron to the acceptor molecule (Figure 3). This finding supports that the NiO-Sil-PB6
electrodes could be used in combination with surface-bound catalyst molecules for hydrogen production with high photocurrents in optimized solar fuel systems.

Figure 3. NiO-Sil-PB6 electrodes with (pink) and without (grey) a 10 mM chloropentaaminecobalt chloride electron acceptor (Co IEA) in 0.1 M MES buffer at pH 5 with a scan rate of 5 mV/s. LSV were scanned from positive to negative potentials with the light chopped every 50 mV. Samples were illuminated using a white LED with 100 mW cm$^{-2}$.

Ultrafast Electron Transfer Dynamics.

Since the photoelectrochemical experiments showed promise for the NiO-Sil-PB6 electrodes, we wanted to further understand the charge transfer dynamics on the electrodes. To monitor the electron transfer dynamics, femtosecond transient absorption spectroscopy (fsTAS) was performed on the films in air. NiO-Sil-PB6 was excited at 560 nm, resulting in a ground state bleach around 530 nm and an induced absorption at >620 nm (Figure 4, S14), both of which had been previously observed with NiO-PB6 electrodes.$^{74}$ Similar to the NiO-PB6 films studied previously, we also observed an isosbestic point at ca. 625 nm at ca. 0.6 ps after excitation which blueshifts rapidly with time.$^{74}$ A global fit to the NiO-Sil-PB6 data to a sum of three exponentials with a minor offset, $\tau_{\text{infinite}}$, resulted in the following lifetimes: $\tau_1 = 0.53$ ps, $\tau_2 = 16$ ps and $\tau_3 = 3800$ ps. A kinetic trace at 620 nm (Figure 4) shows clearly an instrument-limited bleach, followed by a $\tau_1 = 0.53$ ps rise to a positive
signal and finally a decay to baseline in ca. 1 ns (represented by $\tau_2$ and $\tau_3$). This shows clearly the presence of at least one intermediate in the photoreaction, consistent with formation of a charge separated state, $\text{PB6}^-$ and $\text{NiO}^{(+)}$, where the former species has shown an absorption around 620 nm. In addition, this is reflected in the decay associated spectra from the global fit, where the $\tau_1$ component matches the transient spectrum of excited $\text{PB6}^*$ while the $\tau_2$ and $\tau_3$ components are similar to the $\text{PB6}^-$ radical-ground state difference spectrum (Figure S19-S20). The first component ($\tau_1$) is attributed to hole injection from excited $\text{PB6}^*$ to NiO, forming the $\text{PB6}^-$ radical anion in analogy to previous studies. Stimulated emission from $\text{PB6}^*$ gives a negative signal, that is replaced by the positive absorption of $\text{PB6}^-$ at 620 nm (Figure 4). The slower components ($\tau_2$ and $\tau_3$) are attributed to charge recombination between $\text{PB6}^-$ and NiO holes, as has been previously observed for NiO-$\text{PB6}$ films. Note that the kinetics on dye-semiconductor interfaces is in general heterogeneous. Therefore, injection and recombination on NiO often occurs on overlapping time scales, and the same process may be represented by more than one time constant. Consequently, the time constant values reported here should not be taken as precise measures of the lifetime of a species or process. The $\text{PB6}^-$ band at 620 nm is less pronounced in this data than in ref. 74; we tentatively explain this change by use of a different NiO preparation here (see experimental section in SI) which leads to more overlap between the slow components of excited state hole transfer and the rapid components of recombination in the present cases.
Figure 4. (A) Transient absorption spectra of NiO-Sil-PB6 excited at 560 nm scattering of the pump light occurs between 550-570 nm. Traces at several time points are depicted in units of ps. (B) kinetic traces at 650 nm comparing NiO-PB6 (black) and NiO-Sil-PB6 (pink). (C) kinetic traces at 620 nm comparing NiO-PB6 (black) and NiO-Sil-PB6 (pink).

Since we used a different NiO preparation than our prior work, we also performed fsTAS on NiO-PB6 electrodes to more reliably compare them to the NiO-
Sil-PB6 electrodes using the same preparation method for NiO. By direct comparison of the traces at 650 nm in Figure 4 it is clear that the silatrane anchor in NiO-Sil-PB6 mediates interfacial electron transfer at least as well as the carboxylic anchor in NiO-PB6, possibly even giving a somewhat faster hole injection, while the charge recombination rate constant is similar with the two anchors. The trace at 620 nm displays very similar behavior for the two dyes, showing that the rate and yield of PB6\(^{-}\) formation is essentially the same (Figure 4).

Kinetic traces at 650 nm show ultrafast hole injection for NiO-Sil-PB6 electrodes with the silatrane anchor, which has a similar decay to NiO-PB6 without a silatrane present (Figure 4). Since Sil has a propyl group, theoretically placing PB6 further from the NiO electrode, a slower hole injection relative to the NiO-PB6 sample would have been expected. The fast decay observed with NiO-Sil-PB6 can be explained by the flexibility of the propyl group of Sil; this flexibility could move PB6 closer to the NiO surface, thus allowing for ultrafast hole injection into the NiO and also increase recombination pathways between PB6\(^{-}\) and NiO(h\(^{+}\)).

**Electrode Stability.**

To probe the stability of the silatrane-anchored electrodes we monitored the changes on the NiO-Sil-PB6 electrodes before and after photoelectrolysis at 0.1 V vs. NHE for one hour in 0.1 M MES buffer at pH 5 without the chloropentaaminecobalt chloride electron acceptor. The UV-Vis spectra were unchanged after photoelectrolysis (Figure S8), suggesting that the PB6 structure was retained on the electrode. In addition, no leaching of PB6 into the electrolyte was observed (Figure S8), supporting a stable binding of PB6-Sil to the electrode. ATR-FTIR spectra post electrolysis also remained unchanged (Figure S9), showing that the silatrane had remained surface bound and that the PB6 structure had not significantly changed. Furthermore, ICP-OES measurements showed that a majority of the silatrane had been retained on the electrode post photoelectrolysis, further confirming the good stability of this anchoring group on NiO (Figure S1). In addition, it should be noted that the stability of NiO-Sil-PB6 electrodes was similar to that of the NiO-PB6 electrodes (Figure S8, S9, and S11), which both exhibited excellent stability. There has been some debate regarding the stability of carboxylic acid anchors on NiO.\(^{12}\) The anchor has a less predictable stability than on other metal oxide surfaces (TiO\(_2\), SnO\(_2\), ITO), which tend to retain carboxylic acids anchored
molecules only (?) at pH<4. However, the binding of carboxylic acid anchors on NiO does not always follow this trend and is sometimes stable at pH>4 (?), which could partially explain the stability of NiO-PB6.

Electrodes with Catalyst

Since NiO-Sil-PB6 showed promise photoelectrochemically, exhibited electron injection into NiO, and had good stability, we then assembled electrodes by amide coupling a carboxylic acid-derivatized Ni catalyst, NiCyGly (Figure 5), to show the applicability of the new assembly method in photocathodes. NiCyGly has previously been shown to have excellent activity for proton reduction catalysis in water over a wide pH range, \(^{81-85}\) having an onset potential for proton reduction catalysis at -0.808 V vs. FeCp\(^{2+}/0\) at pH 5 under aqueous conditions.\(^{82}\)

![Diagram of NiCyGly catalyst.](image)

Figure 5. NiCyGly catalyst.

The catalyst-incorporated electrodes were prepared via a co-immobilization method, where NiO-Sil electrodes were soaked in a solution containing both PB6 and NiCyGly in either 2:1 or 1:1 PB6:NiCyGly ratio in the presence of EDC (experimental details in SI). The UV-Vis spectra of the sensitized films show a slight change in surface PB6:NiCyGly ratio as the PB6:NiCyGly ratio is decreased (Figure S7), as seen by the decrease in PB6 absorbance at 530 nm, suggesting the ratios on the surface had been changed. NiCyGly has peaks in the visible region of the spectrum (~306, 535 nm), but are masked due to the high extinction coefficient of the PB6 dye (Figure S4) and scattering of NiO below 400 nm (Figure S5). Even the NiO-Sil-NiCyGly electrodes without PB6 do not show any UV-Vis absorption features from NiCyGly, which was expected due to the thick, highly absorbing NiO film (Figure S5). However, the decrease in PB6 absorbance in the photocathodes’ UV-
Vis spectra with changing PB6:Ni ratio (Figure S7) indirectly suggests that NiCyGly can bind to the NiO electrode and occupy surface sites that were once occupied by PB6 in the dye only electrodes, so lowering the overall PB6 absorbance.

To verify that NiCyGly could bind to NiO-Sil, we used the depletion method to screen for NiCyGly-Sil binding. This was done by monitoring a NiCyGly sensitization solution before and after soaking a NiO-Sil electrode overnight in the presence of EDC; a decrease in solution UV-Vis absorption was observed supporting that some of the NiCyGly in solution had bound to the NiO-Sil electrode surface (Figure S5). In addition, the ATR-FTIR spectra of the NiO-Sil-NiCyGly show the presence of the silatrane (\(\sim 1070 \text{ cm}^{-1}\)), indicating that Sil is retained on the surface. Taken together with the depletion method results, this suggests that NiCyGly is likely amide coupled to Sil. Finally, actual surface PB6:NiCyGly ratios were estimated (SI for details) and suggested that samples prepared with a 2:1 sensitization solution resulted in electrodes with a 3:1 surface ratio (labeled as NiO-Sil-PB6-NiCyGly-(3:1)), and those prepared with a 1:1 sensitization solution resulted in a 2:1 surface ratio (labeled as NiO-Sil-PB6-NiCyGly-(2:1)).

Since NiO-Sil-PB6 showed promising photocurrents in the presence of an irreversible electron acceptor, we performed photoelectrochemical measurements on the catalyst-containing photocathodes in 0.1 M MES buffer at pH 5 to test their response to light. With both linear sweep voltammetric (LSV) and chronoamperometric (CA) measurements a higher photocurrent was seen when the catalyst was present on the electrode, suggesting catalyst reduction by PB6• (Figure 6, S12). In addition, LSVs were also collected of several control samples (NiO, NiO-acidified, NiO-Sil, and NiO-Sil-NiCyGly). None showed a response to light, suggesting that neither the NiO surface, NiO-acidified surface, Sil, or NiCyGly alone was responsible for the photocurrent in the fully assembled electrodes (Figure S13), but that it was generated through the photoexcitation of PB6. We will focus on the CA results here, as they showed a more reliable steady-state photocurrent than the LSV results (see SI).

Chronoamperometry (CA) was performed on the photocathodes using a 0.1 V vs. NHE bias while under illumination (Figure 6). All samples with NiCyGly show an increase in photocurrent compared to the NiO-Sil-PB6 sample, suggesting catalyst reduction by PB6• was occurring, while the current from the sample without
The catalyst, NiO-Sil-PB6, is essentially negligible under steady-state conditions. Moreover, higher absolute photocurrent density ($|J|$) was observed with the 2:1 ratio ($|J|_{20\text{min}} \sim 12 \mu \text{A cm}^{-2}$) compared to the 3:1 ratio ($|J|_{20\text{min}} \sim 2 \mu \text{A cm}^{-2}$) under steady-state conditions suggesting this was the better surface ratio. This trend in photocurrent was reproducible when the CAs were repeated. All samples show a relatively stable photocurrent with only a ~20% decrease in photocurrent over one hour of illumination. Furthermore, we were not able to detect any NiCyGly desorption into the MES buffer after photoelectrolysis, suggesting the catalyst was retained on the electrode surface (Figure S8). In fact, ICP-EOS measurements showed that a majority of the silatrane had been retained on the electrode post photoelectrolysis, highlighting the excellent stability of the anchoring group on NiO even with a catalyst present (Figure S1).

![Figure 6](image)

Figure 6. CA of photocathodes containing NiCyGly catalysts: NiO-Sil-PB6-NiCyGly-(3:1) (blue), NiO-Sil-PB6-NiCyGly-(2:1) (pink). NiO-Sil-PB6 sample is shown in black for reference. A bias of 0.1 V vs. NHE was applied during these measurements in 0.1 M MES at pH 5. Samples were illuminated (100 mW cm$^{-2}$, white LED) for one hour as indicated by the arrows in chronoamperogram.

Since the photoelectrochemical results suggested possible catalyst reduction by PB6$, we turned to fs-transient absorption spectroscopy to look for further evidence of catalyst reduction. When a catalyst is present on the electrode, we would expect that electron transfer from the reduced dye to a catalyst on the surface would shorten the $\tau_2$ and $\tau_3$ lifetimes representing disappearance of the
generated \textbf{PB6}−. Indeed, global analysis results show a decrease in \( \tau_3 \) from 3810 ps for NiO-Sil-PB6 to 1460 ps for NiO-Sil-PB6-NiCyGly-(3:1) and to 2610 ps for NiO-Sil-PB6-NiCyGly-(2:1) (Table S5). Direct comparison of the lifetimes in multiexponential fits has great uncertainties as different kinetic traces could overlap and effect each other's time constants. However, in this case, the \( \tau_2 \) component is more than 100 times faster than \( \tau_3 \) and \( \tau_{\infty} \) only has a minor amplitude (Figure S19). Therefore, we believe that the difference between the \( \tau_3 \) component in the samples is significant and indicates electron transfer from \textbf{PB6}− to the catalyst. In addition, we would have expected \( \tau_2 \) to be shortened upon addition of catalyst. However, the \( \tau_2 \) components are quite similar between the samples. To explain this similarity, it is important to recall that the \textbf{PB6}− signal observed on our samples is less distinct than our prior work.74 Because of this weakened signal, we propose that a large fraction of the excited state decay is included in the \( \tau_2 \) component, which are not affected by the addition of the catalyst, thus explaining the similarity in \( \tau_2 \) between the samples. Furthermore, the traces at 620 nm show that the maximum signal is much smaller in the presence of catalyst (Figure 7), and the differences in amplitude are much larger than the differences in dye loadings. This would suggest that at least a part of the reduced dye molecules transfers an electron to the catalyst on a time scale of just a few ps. This is similar to what was found in a system with the Coumarin 343 dye and a biomimetic Fe-Fe catalyst on NiO.23, 86 It should be noted that excited and reduced \textbf{PB6} give similar ground state bleaches, and both species absorb at 650 nm. This is reflected by the fact that the decay of the 650 nm absorption and the 530 nm bleach nearly mirror each other (Figure S17). Moreover, electron transfer to the catalyst is expected to show a broad distribution of kinetics, and is the case for hole injection and charge recombination.
Figure 7. fsTAS traces at 620 nm for NiO-Sil-PB6 (black), NiO-Sil-PB6-NiCyGly-(3:1) (pink) and NiO-Sil-PB6-NiCyGly-(2:1) (blue).

To summarize, we see ultrafast injection and indications of electron transfer to the catalyst on the sub-ns timescale. The small signals of PB6' compared to PB6' and the competing kinetics of charge recombination and electron transfer likely contribute to the observed less than 100% quantum yield for electron transfer to the catalyst. This is consistent with the low photocurrents (µAs) we observed in the photoelectrochemical investigation with catalyst present, which are considered less than ideal compared to the photocurrents observed from photoanodes (approaching mA).\(^3\) Furthermore, the electrodes were also screened for photocatalytic proton reduction, but did not produce any detectable H\(_2\) (SI for details). For catalysis to occur, an additional two protons and one electron must be added, resulting in more opportunities for charge recombination, further explaining the low photocurrents and lack of H\(_2\).

Conclusions

Here we reported a surface amide coupling method for photocathode assembly. This method is advantageous since it avoids tedious organic syntheses and also provides a facile screening method for different photocathode architectures. By varying the carboxylic acid and amine-derivatized molecules used to assemble
the electrodes via amide couplings, a wide variety of photocathode architectures can be assembled and tested. In addition, to the best of our knowledge, we have reported the first NiO-based electrodes that contain silatrane-anchored molecules, which additionally showed good photoelectrochemical stability. Finally, we showed the applicability of the surface amide coupling method by incorporating a nickel catalyst on the photocathodes, which showed evidence for catalyst reduction by photoelectrochemical and fsTAS measurements. Clearly, there is room for improvement with these electrodes, and we are currently working towards this goal by using the surface-amide coupling method to assemble photocathodes with higher photocurrents and efficiencies.
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