

Mother-offspring conflict for water and its mitigation in the oviparous form of the bimodal lizard Zootoca vivipara

Andréaz Dupoué, Mahaut Victoire Sorlin, Murielle Richard, Jean-François Le Galliard, Olivier Lourdais, Jean Clobert, Fabien Aubret

To cite this version:

Andréaz Dupoué, Mahaut Victoire Sorlin, Murielle Richard, Jean-François Le Galliard, Olivier Lourdais, et al.. Mother-offspring conflict for water and its mitigation in the oviparous form of the bimodal lizard Zootoca vivipara. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 2020, 129 (4), pp.888-900. $10.1093/b$ iolinnean/blaa012. hal-02484541

HAL Id: hal-02484541 <https://hal.science/hal-02484541v1>

Submitted on 12 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

Abstract

tions across a 600 m altitudinal gradient to
(altitude, water access and temperature) n
les were significantly dehydrated after wa
is (gravid versus non-reproductive), relative
d treatment timing (embryonic developmen
i re Parent-offspring conflicts are widespread in nature given that resources are often limited. Recent evidence has shown that water can trigger such conflict during pregnancy in viviparous squamate species (lizards and snakes) and thus questions the role of water in the evolution of reproductive modes. Here, we examined the impact of water restriction during gravidity in the oviparous form of the bimodal common lizard (*Zootoca vivipara*), using the same protocol previously used in the viviparous form. Females were captured in early gravidity from six populations across a 600 m altitudinal gradient to investigate if environmental conditions (altitude, water access and temperature) may exacerbate responses to water restriction. Females were significantly dehydrated after water restriction, irrespective of their reproductive status (gravid *versus* non-reproductive), relative reproductive effort (relative clutch mass), and treatment timing (embryonic development stage). Female dehydration together with reproductive performance varied along altitude, likely due to long term acclimation or local adaptation. This moderate water-based intergenerational conflict in gravid females sharply contrasts to previous findings in the viviparous form, suggesting a potential implication in the reversion from viviparity to oviparity. Therefore, oviparity may constitute a water-saving reproductive mode which might help mitigate intensive temperature-driven population extinctions at low altitudes.

 Keywords. Altitude, mother-offspring conflicts, dehydration, ectotherm, parity mode,

reproduction

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$

Introduction

 Life history trade-offs, by shaping the allocation of resources within and between generations, are central to the evolution of reproductive strategies (Stearns, 1992; Harshman & Zera, 2007; Kölliker *et al.*, 2015). Intergenerational trade-offs refer to parental allocation strategies to the offspring and may lead to *parent-offspring conflicts* (POCs) when resources become scarce (Trivers, 1974). POCs have been used to model the evolution of parental care, optimal parental investment, life history strategies (Godfray, 1995; Haig, 2010; Kölliker *et al.*, 2015), and even the evolution of reproductive modes (Zeh & Zeh, 2000; Crespi & Semeniuk, 2004; Pollux *et al.*, 2014).

reproductive modes (*Len & Len, 2000*; C₁
nodes range from oviparity (egg-laying) to
v animal families and orders (e.g., birds or
ards and snakes) display exceptional diver
whole spectrum of reproductive modes fr
tal st In animals, parity modes range from oviparity (egg-laying) to viviparity (live-bearing) and are unimodal in many animal families and orders (e.g., birds or eutherian mammals). Yet, squamate reptiles (i.e., lizards and snakes) display exceptional diversity in reproductive strategies by covering the whole spectrum of reproductive modes from some species laying eggs in early developmental stages to others bearing embryos with complex placental structures (Blackburn, 2006; Van Dyke, Brandley, & Thompson, 2014). The different selective forces involved in the evolution of viviparity in squamates remain debated as shown by recent phylogenetic and comparative studies (Pyron & Burbrink, 2014; Blackburn, 2015; Shine, 2015). An accepted view is that viviparity evolved from oviparity more than 110 times independently (Blackburn, 2006) given the general selective advantage associated with extended maternal care (Shine, 2014). In ectotherms, it is often advantageous for the mother to control stable and optimal thermal conditions of development through behavioural means (Li *et al.*, 2009; Lorioux *et al.*, 2013b; Lorioux, Lisse, & Lourdais, 2013a; Foucart, Heulin, & Lourdais, 2018). However, prolonged egg retention may generate an arms race between maternal investment and embryonic resource acquisition [the 'Viviparity conflict hypothesis' (Crespi & Semeniuk, 2004)]. Such elevated costs of pregnancy may preclude the transition

 from oviparity to viviparity and explain intermediate stages of retention as observed in many oviparous squamates (Andrews, 2004; Blackburn, 2015). Additionally, pregnancy costs may explain reverse transitions from viviparity to oviparity as recently hypothesized (Recknagel, Kamenos, & Elmer, 2018; Gao *et al.*, 2019; Horreo, Suarez, & Fitze, 2020). That said, how water shapes the evolution of reproductive strategies remains an open question, and our objective was to determine whether a mother-offspring conflict for water would differ between reproductive modes.

or reptile species are lectifiotrophic, means
or to ovulation (Fig. 1) and embryos rely c
lopment (Blackburn & Stewart, 2011; Stev
embryos cannot manipulate energy allocat
reprives and manipulate energy. How
s during pregn The vast majority of reptile species are lecithotrophic, meaning that mothers invest nutrients into the yolk prior to ovulation (Fig. 1) and embryos rely on this store of nutrients 78 for their growth and development (Blackburn & Stewart, 2011; Stewart & Blackburn, 2015). In lecithotrophic species, embryos cannot manipulate energy allocation after ovulation, so there is a release from the mother-offspring conflict for energy. However, mothers must also control thermal conditions during pregnancy and supply a substantial amount of water to their developing embryos (Packard, 1991). Maternal water supply is essential for embryos to convert vitellus into embryonic tissues and, in addition, water demand of embryos increases with exponential somatic growth (Packard, 1991; Shine & Thompson, 2006; Lourdais *et al.*, 2015). Yet, in most squamate species from temperate regions, pregnancy occurs during summer to maximize opportunities for thermoregulation at a time when water is potentially scarce. Water restriction during pregnancy can therefore trigger intergenerational conflicts between mother and offspring in viviparous lizards and snakes (Dupoué *et al.*, 2015a, 2018a). Remarkably, water-based mother-offspring conflicts have been associated with higher offspring mortality in a viviparous lizard (Dupoué *et al.*, 2018a), suggesting that water limiting environments may challenge the benefits of prolonged egg retention.

 Species with bimodal reproduction (i.e., distinct reproductive modes between populations) offer the ideal opportunity to clarify the evolution of reproductive strategies. For

4 Biological Journal of the Linnean Society

Page 6 of 57

meonates of viviparous ones [see Table 1 in
the physiological constraints on female wa
othesized mother-offspring conflict for wa
due to relatively low hydric investment to
es to water restriction between gravid and
ravid female body size, Fig. S1) (Dupoué *et al.*, 2015a, 2018a). We also examined the consequences of water restriction on reproductive performance including oviposition date, RCM, incubation time, hatching success and hatching traits (offspring size and mass). Water restriction may (Dauphin-Villemant & Xavier, 1986; Brusch *et al.*, 2018) or may not (Dupoué *et al.*, 2015a, 2018a) impact reproductive traits such as clutch mass at laying (oviparous species) or litter mass at parturition (viviparous species). Furthermore, a competition for water between developing siblings may exist (Bonnet, Naulleau, & Shine, 2017). These intergenerational and intrauterine conflicts may explain why hatchlings of oviparous species are generally larger than neonates of viviparous ones [see Table 1 in (Bonnet *et al.*, 2017)]. Indeed after oviposition, the physiological constraints on female water balance are released (Fig. 1).We therefore hypothesized mother-offspring conflict for water to be modest in the oviparous common lizard due to relatively low hydric investment toward the eggs. We predicted similar responses to water restriction between gravid and non-reproductive females, and that dehydration of gravid females should also be uncorrelated with RCM or treatment timing due to lower water demand for reproduction. Females were captured in the Pyrenees Mountains from six natural populations, and we further examined the effect of population altitude, water availability (permanent access in peat-bogs *versus* periodic in underwood and dry meadows) and average thermal conditions. We hypothesized females to be locally adapted to environmental conditions along altitude, as seen in a previous comparative study of thermal preferences in the same geographic area (Trochet *et al.*, 2018). In response to water restriction, we expected gravid females from low altitudes (i.e., relatively hot and dry habitats), to exhibit stronger resistance to dehydration and greater reproductive performance than those from highlands. **Material and methods**

Study species, populations and husbandry

6 Biological Journal of the Linnean Society

144 The European common lizard, *Zootoca vivipara*, is a small (adult snout-vent length $SVL \sim 50$ - 75 mm), widespread lacertid typically found in cold humid peat bogs and heathland habitats from Western Europe to Scandinavia and Eastern Russia (Heulin *et al.*, 2000). Between the 147 15th and 31st of May 2018, we caught a total of 134 adult females (105 gravid and 29 non- reproductive) from 6 populations distributed throughout the Pyrenees mountain range (Table S1). The reproductive strategy of the Western oviparous form substantially changes with increasing SVL across altitude (Fig. S2), and earlier and multiple clutches as well as earlier age at maturation observed in warmer habitats at lowland elevations (< 300 m) compared to single reproductive event at higher altitudes (Heulin *et al.*, 1997). In our study, the altitudinal range (990-1580 m) was strong enough to examine altitudinal variation of reproductive performance (see below), while narrow enough to avoid strong differences in life history strategies.

at higher altitudes (Heulin *et al.*, 1997). In
strong enough to examine altitudinal variat
while narrow enough to avoid strong differ
tured by hand and then transferred to the la
ria (18 x 12 x 12 cm) with sterilized soi Females were captured by hand and then transferred to the laboratory where they were housed in individual terraria (18 x 12 x 12 cm) with sterilized soil, a shelter, and basking heat until parturition. Each individual was provided a 20-35°C thermal gradient for 6 hours per day (09:00-12:00 and 14:00-17:00) using a 25 W incandescent light bulb placed over one end of each terrarium. They had *ad libitum* access to water in a petri dish and we further provided water 3 times per day at 09:00, 13:00, and 17:00. We further provided them with 3 mealworms (*Tenebrio molitor*) every two days. Females were kept in these standard conditions until oviposition except during the water restriction experiment (see below experimental design).

 We characterized environmental conditions for each population, with altitude (Table S1), presence of permanent *versus* periodic water sources and air temperature, because these measures were likely to shape local adaptations in the regulation of the water balance as documented previously in the viviparous form (Dupoué *et al.*, 2017a). We recorded air

 temperature using three data loggers (iButtons, Maxim Integrated Products, Sunnyvale, CA, 170 USA, \pm 0.5°C) per population placed at locations where we found most lizards within vegetation at ground level and completely shaded to avoid the effect of radiation. Air temperature was recorded every hour, and we standardized the sampling period from the June 30th to July 25th 2018 to enable population comparisons (Dupoué *et al.*, 2017a). During this 174 sampling period, we extracted the average daily minimum and maximum temperatures (T_{\min}) 175 and T_{max} , respectively) to assess the thermal conditions of each population (Table S1).

Experimental design

orture, we randomly assigned females withit

Sollowing the exact same protocol we previ

eccies (Dupoué *et al.*, 2018a). In the water-

and reduced the misting frequency to once

eatment, lizards had permanent access to t A few days following capture, we randomly assigned females within each population to two experimental treatments following the exact same protocol we previously used on viviparous females from the same species (Dupoué *et al.*, 2018a). In the water-restricted treatment, we removed the water bowl and reduced the misting frequency to once per day occurring in the morning. In the control treatment, lizards had permanent access to the water bowl and were misted three times per day. The control treatment mimics conditions in which lizards find permanent access to water (e.g., peat bog, marsh). Instead, the water-restricted treatment reflects summer conditions in dry habitats where in the absence of precipitation, morning dew is the only source of drinking water. Water restriction lasted for 14 days and occurred on a range of treatment timing from 32 to 4 days before oviposition, a time when females were between mid-late vitellogenesis to early gravidity (Fig. 1). After the period of water restriction, all females returned to the control water conditions, having permanent access to water in a water bowl and being misted three times per day. We released non-reproductive females within 2 weeks following experiments after controlling their body mass (BM) trajectories and palpation to confirm their non-reproductive status. Gravid females were released within 3 days post-laying at their capture location.

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$

193 After oviposition, clutches (range = 1 to 9 eggs) were weighed $(\pm 1 \text{ mg})$ and placed in individual plastic cups on water-saturated vermiculite to maintain hydric conditions and 195 incubated at $T_{\text{set}} = 25^{\circ}\text{C}$ to optimise incubation time without risk of overheating for embryo development (Rodríguez-Díaz *et al.*, 2010; Foucart *et al.*, 2018). We used three incubators (Novital Covatutto Eco, Italy) and we randomly distributed the clutches in the three 198 incubators ($n = 35$ per incubator) that remained within a 1^oC range of observed temperatures 199 (mean \pm SD, incubator 1: $T_{obs} = 24.38 \pm 0.26$ °C; incubator 2: $T_{obs} = 24.05 \pm 0.39$ °C; incubator 3: $T_{\text{obs}} = 24.63 \pm 0.30^{\circ}$ C). Juveniles were released within 3 days post-hatching in their respective populations.

Female water balance

 $BM, \pm 1$ mg) every three days throughout the
restriction on short-term changes since BN
e *et al.*, 2012). However, for simplicity, w
- BM_{initial}) from the initiation to the end of
d absolute changes in plasma osmolalit 203 Females were weighed $(BM, \pm 1 \text{ mg})$ every three days throughout the water restriction period to assess effects of water restriction on short-term changes since BM is an indicator of hydration state (Lillywhite *et al.*, 2012). However, for simplicity, we only examined BM 206 changes (\triangle BM = BM_{final} - BM_{initial}) from the initiation to the end of the water restriction 207 period. We also measured absolute changes in plasma osmolality ($\Delta\Omega$ smo = Osmo_{final} -208 Osmo_{initia}), which is a rigorous measure of whole-body hydration in vertebrates (Peterson, 2002). For these assessments, females were bled at the onset and at the end of the water restriction period using a standard protocol (Meylan, Dufty, & Clobert, 2003). Blood samples 211 (ca. 40 µL whole blood) were collected from the post-orbital sinus and centrifuged for 5 min 212 at 11,000 rpm. Plasma was then separated from the blood cells and kept frozen at -30°C in 213 airtight tubes until analyses were performed. Plasma osmolality $(\pm 1 \text{ mOsm} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1})$ was determined using a vapour pressure osmometer (model 5500, Wescor, Logan, UT, USA) according to the protocol previously established in this species (Dupoué *et al.*, 2017a). Before 216 analyses, plasma was diluted $(1:1)$ in a physiological serum $(304 \text{ mOsm} \text{.kg}^{-1})$ so that plasma

217 osmolality could be determined from 10 μ L duplicates (intra-individual coefficient of variation: 1.17%).

Reproductive performance

mear relationship between clutch mass and
to determine how much females deviate fi
ze (Bonnet *et al.*, 2003). We used SVL as
-laying BM because i) SVL is fixed and le
, ii) SVL-adjustments might better explain
poué & Lou We examined the effects of water restriction on different reproductive traits. We checked females daily to compare oviposition date. For each female we subtracted the oviposition date from the last day in hydric treatment to calculate the treatment timing. As an index of reproductive effort, we estimated size-adjusted relative clutch mass (RCM) at oviposition 224 (i.e., residuals from the linear relationship between clutch mass and body size, CM - SVL: t_{103} 225 = 7.2, $p < 0.001$, Fig. S1) to determine how much females deviate from the reproductive effort predicted by their body size (Bonnet *et al.*, 2003). We used SVL as denominator in this linear regression instead of post-laying BM because i) SVL is fixed and less biased than post-laying BM (Bonnet *et al.*, 2003), ii) SVL-adjustments might better explain reproductive changes than BM-adjustments (Dupoué & Lourdais, 2014; Foucart *et al.*, 2014), and iii) because SVL 230 was a better predictor of female clutch mass ($r^2 = 0.34$) than post-laying BM ($r^2 = 0.21$). We calculated the incubation time as the day difference between hatching and oviposition dates. We determined hatching success as the number of live juveniles within the clutch against 233 stillborn and undeveloped eggs. All alive offspring were then counted, weighed (BM, \pm 1) 234 mg), measured (SVL, \pm 1 mm), and sexed by counting ventral scales on the medioventral lines (Lecomte, Clobert, & Massot, 1992).

 This method assumes a discriminant relationships between the number of ventral scales and phenotypic sex, based on sexual dimorphism in body size at birth, which has been recently shown to be independent from water restriction (Dupoué *et al.*, 2019). Given that *Zootoca vivipara* from oviparous populations differ in body size, shape, and life history from viviparous populations (Dupoué pers. obs.), we thus used the adult females sampled for this 241 study and males with obvious secondary sexual characters $(n = 79)$ captured only to count

 We used similar approaches to determine whether reproductive performance was correlated with treatment timing and whether it differed between hydric treatments, alone, in 290 addition to, or in interaction with environmental conditions (altitude, water access, and T_{min})

for *water restriction*
t, BM_{ini} was higher in gravid than non-graf
f treatment affiliation (control: 3.200 ± 0.0
= 0.632). Osmo_{ini} did not differ neither bet
or between treatment affiliation (control: 3
Dsm.kg⁻¹, t 291 and T_{max} treated separately; see all models in Table S5). In all models, population was set as a random factor. Oviposition date (Julian date), RCM and incubation time were analysed with linear mixed models, and hatching success was analysed with mixed-effect logistic regressions including a logit link and binomial error term (number of viable *versus* number of failed offspring). Offspring SVL and BM were analysed with similar linear mixed models, with offspring sex as an additive or interactive factor (see all models in Table S5) and random factors included both the mother identity and population to account for non-independence between siblings and within populations. **Results** *Physiological responses to water restriction* 301 At the onset of experiment, BM_{ini} was higher in gravid than non-gravid females ($t_{135.6} = -2.6$, $p = 0.010$), irrespective of treatment affiliation (control: 3.200 ± 0.087 , water restriction 3.192 \pm 0.085 g, t_{132.9} = -0.5, p = 0.632). Osmo_{ini} did not differ neither between reproductive statuses $(t_{135.0} = -0.5, p = 0.635)$ nor between treatment affiliation (control: 319.1 \pm 2.2, water 305 restriction 319.4 ± 2.7 mOsm.kg⁻¹, t_{129.4} = 0.2, p = 0.845). At the end of exposure to hydric treatment, changes in body mass (ΔBM) were 307 positively correlated with BM_{ini} ($t_{134.0} = 4.3$, $p < 0.001$) and lower in water restricted females 308 compared to controls $(t_{134.0} = -5.5, p \lt 0.001, Fig. 2A)$, irrespective of their SVL and reproductive state (Table S3). In gravid females, ΔBM was positively correlated with RCM $(t_{105.0} = 3.1, p = 0.003, Fig. 2B)$, and negatively correlated to treatment timing $(t_{105.0} = -2.1, p$ $311 = 0.041$, Fig. 2C), irrespective of hydric treatment (Table S4). At the same time, the changes

312 in plasma osmolality (\triangle Osmo) were negatively correlated with Osmo_{ini} (t_{133.2} = -8.3, p <

0.001) and significantly increased following water restriction compared to control conditions

314 $(t_{129.2} = 6.6, p < 0.001, Fig 2D)$ irrespective of their SVL and reproductive state (Table S3). In

315 gravid females, Δ Osmo was neither correlated with RCM ($t_{104.9}$ = -0.8, p = 0.410, Fig. 2E), 316 nor with treatment timing $(t_{105.0} = -0.1, p = 0.957, Fig 2F)$, in both hydric treatments (Table S4).

 Females from lower altitude populations exhibited higher sensitivity to water 319 restriction since they experienced a greater loss of BM ($t_{105.0} = 2.9$, p = 0.005, Fig. 3A) and 320 higher increases in plasma osmolality $(t_{105.0} = -3.2, p = 0.002, Fig. 3B)$ than those from highlands, while no significant altitudinal variation of hydration state occurred in control 322 females (both, $p > 0.800$, Fig 3A & 3B), irrespective of reproductive status (Table S3).

Effects of water restriction on reproductive output

Fig 3A & 3B), irrespective of reproductive

n on reproductive output

effect on reproductive performance, includ

outh June \pm 1 day, water-restricted mothers: 3

subsets (control mothers: 1.366 \pm 0.059 g, wate

0.0, Water restriction had no effect on reproductive performance, including oviposition date (mean 325 \pm SE, control mothers: 29th June \pm 1 day, water-restricted mothers: 30th June \pm 1 day, t_{100.3} = 326 0.8, p = 0.415), clutch mass (control mothers: 1.366 ± 0.059 g, water-restricted mothers: 327 1.370 \pm 0.065 g, t_{102.7} = 0.0, p = 0.967), RCM (control mothers: 0.005 \pm 0.044, water-328 restricted mothers: -0.005 ± 0.055 , $t_{102.6} = -0.1$, p = 0.891), incubation time (control mothers: 329 19.0 \pm 0.2 days, water-restricted mothers: 19.1 \pm 0.2 days, t_{73.0} = 0.7, p = 0.479), hatching 330 success (control mothers: $52.3 \pm 5.4\%$, water-restricted mothers: $59.3 \pm 5.8\%$, $z = 1.7$, $p =$ 331 0.097), nor on offspring SVL (control mothers: 20.07 ± 0.11 mm, water-restricted mothers: 332 20.19 \pm 0.10 mm, t_{72.5} = 0.5, p = 0.632) or BM (control mothers: 225.1 \pm 2.7 mg, water-333 restricted mothers: 229.2 ± 2.7 mg, $t_{69.6} = 0.5$, p = 0.615). Female SVL did not influence oviposition date, RCM, hatching success, and offspring SVL and BM (Table S5) but it was 335 negatively correlated with incubation time $(t_{78.0} = -2.1, p = 0.037)$.

Effects of environmental conditions on reproductive output

 Regarding the effects of environmental conditions, we found that altitude and treatment timing significantly explained variation in reproductive performance (Table S5). Gravid

Discussion

 Following a two-week water restriction period, both gravid and non-reproductive females responded the same way, suggesting no additional hydric cost of reproduction [*sensu* (Lourdais *et al.*, 2017)]. This was further confirmed by an absence of relationship between dehydration rate and both the relative reproductive effort and treatment timing. These results likely highlight low water requirements from embryos at early developmental stages, and contrast with recent findings in an oviparous snake, where water restriction applied during the entire gravidity period (three weeks) did affect females' hydration state and resulted in lower egg mass (Brusch *et al.*, 2018).

Example 1.1 The anti-

For all determinatively, altitude is a fixed enviror entries.

The absorber and the section of annual conditions, vent a better descriptor of annual conditions

les from lower altitudes faced higher Interestingly, we found that independently of reproductive state, female physiological responses to water restriction were shaped by altitude, while we expected habitat water access or temperature measures of a population to better reflect phenotypic variation in water balance regulation (Guillon *et al.*, 2014; Cox & Cox, 2015; Dupoué *et al.*, 2017a). We assessed microclimatic conditions within a restricted spatiotemporal window (i.e., sensor in shade under vegetation over 3 summer weeks), following previous methodology (Dupoué *et al.*, 2017a). Due to logistical constraints, we were unable to measure other ecologically relevant components of thermal (e.g., operative temperature or thermal heterogeneity) or hydric (e.g., deficit in water vapour pressure) environments as potential determinant of local constraints for a heliothermic ectotherm. Alternatively, altitude is a fixed environmental measure that integrates many factors from macro- to microclimatic conditions, vegetation type, snow cover and may therefore represent a better descriptor of annual conditions than punctual measures of temperatures. Here, females from lower altitudes faced higher dehydration rates probably because they were exposed to water restriction when embryos were more developed with higher water needs (Lourdais *et al.*, 2015). In addition, females at high altitudes may be locally adapted and less permeable to water loss due to body shape [e.g., lower surface-to- volume ratio, (Dupoué *et al.*, 2015b)], or more prompt to initiate water-saving strategies (e.g., lower thermal preferences), to limit evaporative water loss and dehydration risk (Köhler *et al.*, 2011; Rozen‐Rechels *et al.* in press). In support of this last hypothesis, we recently documented thermal preference negatively correlated with altitude in females from the exact same populations (Trochet *et al.*, 2018).

 Altitude also correlated with oviposition date (sooner at lower altitudes) and incubation duration (faster at higher altitudes), consistently with expectations and previous evidence on phenology variation along elevation gradients (Heulin *et al.*, 1997; Rodríguez- Díaz & Braña, 2012; Rutschmann *et al.*, 2016). RCM and hatching success increased with

For Properties of more severe water restriction (sure s with more frequent rain episodes. This degree of local adaptation with enhanced r e drier conditions (water restriction treatment) ditions (control treatment). Addit treatment timing irrespective of hydric treatment, thus suggesting that reproduction follows a "sooner is better" pattern as in another lizard species (Le Henanff, Meylan, & Lourdais, 2013). Once corrected by treatment timing, more unexpected was the interactive impact of water restriction and altitude on hatching success. In control conditions, hatching success slightly increased by 6% in high altitude populations whereas it increased by a strong 40% in lower altitude populations when females faced higher dehydration. Usually, dehydration during gravidity or incubation is associated with lower reproductive success (Packard, 1991) so that this result was surprising. Due to the orographic effects of altitude, females from low altitudes regularly face periods of more severe water restriction (summer droughts) compared to those from high altitudes with more frequent rain episodes. This contrast might have directly resulted in some degree of local adaptation with enhanced reproductive fitness for low altitude females in the drier conditions (water restriction treatment) and in high altitude females in the wetter conditions (control treatment). Additionally, greater hatching success in water-restricted females from low altitudes might have indirectly resulted from locally adapted thermoregulation. As stated before, females from low altitude populations have higher preferred body temperatures (Trochet *et al.*, 2018). In this species, small differences in thermoregulation during gravidity may strongly impact hatching success (Foucart *et al.*, 2018). Surviving offspring from higher altitudes had larger body size and body mass than those from lower altitudes. Overall, daughters were longer than sons as classically documented in this species (Lecomte *et al.*, 1992; Le Galliard *et al.*, 2006; Dupoué *et al.*, 2019), and sex differences in mass depended upon mother treatment. That is, daughters from control mothers had lower body mass than sons, while offspring from water-restricted mothers had similar mass. Altogether, our results therefore suggest multi-level trade-offs since females from low altitudes laid eggs sooner and despite prolonged egg incubation time in standard conditions, they had greater hatching success but produced smaller offspring.

 These trade-offs were relatively independent from punctual dehydration but they were rather shaped by long-term acclimation or local adaptation to altitude.

resent (in low abundance) at sea level and
s) that support its presence (Berroneau, 20
e showed here that water restriction early i
s on the oviparous form and this contrasts
oué *et al.*, 2018a). In fact, our results sugg In the Western viviparous lineages of the common lizard, population extinction risk is increasing at low altitudes where lizards experience higher temperatures (Massot, Clobert, & Ferrière, 2008; Sinervo *et al.*, 2010) and associated costs (Dupoué *et al.*, 2017b, 2018b). Viviparous species are generally predicted to be more vulnerable to climate change than oviparous ones (Sinervo *et al.*, 2010, 2018). In support of this hypothesis, the oviparous common lizard remains present (in low abundance) at sea level and only in humid landscapes (peat bogs, forest marshes) that support its presence (Berroneau, 2014). Although causal factors remain elusive, we showed here that water restriction early in gravidity had relatively low physiological impacts on the oviparous form and this contrasts with previous findings in the viviparous form (Dupoué *et al.*, 2018a). In fact, our results suggest that the oviparous form at low altitudes might even gain in fitness (hatching success) when exposed to water restriction. Future work is now critically needed to experimentally manipulate both temperature and water to measure the adaptive significance and long-term response in this bimodal species.

 To conclude, the evolution of reproductive strategies constitutes the core part of life- history theory (Stearns, 1992). Given their outstanding variability and flexibility in reproductive modes, squamates remain key models to investigate the causes and consequences of transitioning to viviparity (Blackburn, 2006; Laird, Thompson, & Whittington, 2019). Here, we repeated an experimental procedure in both forms of a reproductively bimodal species. Although some fitness consequences may also appear over time, the lack of changes in females physiology depending on reproductive effort suggest that they did not pay immediate water costs contrary to their viviparous relative (Dupoué *et al.*, 2018a). This implies that water demand associated with late pregnancy stages might represent

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$

ater constraints when studying the environ

uarte and Loïse Bessaguet for their help or

sing and helping during experiments. We

cêts', the 'Parc National des Pyrénées' and

ards. We thank Pr Daniel G. Blackburn and

rovi a barrier to prolonged egg retention when evolving in water limiting environments. Additionally, water constraints may also favour a reverse transition from viviparity to oviparity, if the hydric costs of reproduction become too high (Lourdais *et al.*, 2017; Dupoué *et al.*, in press). In support of this innovative hypothesis, phylogenomic analyses unravelled that the Western lineages populations sampled here likely originated from a viviparous ancestor and evolved back to an oviparous reproductive mode (Recknagel *et al.*, 2018; Horreo *et al.*, 2020). Hence, egg-laying might have evolved during the last Pleistocene and Holocene as an adaptation to high habitat aridity. Our study therefore calls future work to test this hypothesis and include water constraints when studying the environmental drivers of reproductive strategies. **Acknowledgments** We thank Pierre-Louis Duarte and Loïse Bessaguet for their help on the field and Pauline Blaimont for English revising and helping during experiments. We are also grateful to the 'Office Nationale des Forêts', the 'Parc National des Pyrénées' and the region Occitanie for allowing us to sample lizards. We thank Pr Daniel G. Blackburn and three anonymous reviewers for greatly improving the early drafts of this manuscript. **Competing interest** The authors declare no conflicts of interest. **Funding** This study received the financial and technical support from the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), and was funded by the Interreg Poctefa under the project 'Ectopyr' (EFA031/15 to FA), by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche under the 'Aquatherm' project (ANR-17-CE02-0013 to JFLG), and by the Region Nouvelle Aquitaine

JF, Josserand R, *et al.* 2018a. Water restrement of and delayed mother-offspring conflict in 76–686.

2014. Relative reproductive effort drives in 2014. Relative reproductive effort drives in 2014. Relative reproductive s (*Zootoca*) *vivipara*. *Archives d'Anatomie Microscopique et de Morphologie Experimentale* **50**: 309–328. **Dupoué A, Blaimont P, Rozen‐Rechels D,** *et al*. Water availability and temperature induce changes in oxidative status during pregnancy in a viviparous lizard. *Functional Ecology*. in press **Dupoué A, Brischoux F, Angelier F,** *et al.* **2015a**. Intergenerational trade-off for water may induce a mother-offspring conflict in favour of embryos in a viviparous snake. *Functional Ecology* **29**: 414–422. **Dupoué A, Le Galliard JF, Josserand R,** *et al.* **2018a**. Water restriction causes an intergenerational trade-off and delayed mother-offspring conflict in a viviparous lizard. *Functional Ecology* **32**: 676–686. **Dupoué A & Lourdais O**. **2014**. Relative reproductive effort drives metabolic changes and maternal emaciation during pregnancy in a viviparous snake. *Journal of Zoology* **293**: 49–56. **Dupoué A, Lourdais O, Meylan S,** *et al.* **2019**. Some like it dry: Water restriction overrides heterogametic sex determination in two reptiles. *Ecology and Evolution* **9**: 6524–6533. **Dupoué A, Rutschmann A, Le Galliard JF,** *et al.* **2017a**. Water availability and environmental temperature correlate with geographic variation in water balance in common lizards. *Oecologia* **185**: 561–571. **Dupoué A, Rutschmann A, Le Galliard JF,** *et al.* **2017b**. Shorter telomeres precede population extinction in wild lizards. *Scientific Reports* **7**: 16976. **Dupoué A, Rutschmann A, Le Galliard JF,** *et al.* **2018b**. Reduction of baseline corticosterone secretion correlates with climate warming and drying across wild lizard populations. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **87**: 1331–1341.

 Dupoué A, Stahlschmidt ZR, Michaud B, *et al.* **2015b**. Physiological state influences evaporative water loss and microclimate preference in the snake *Vipera aspis*. *Physiology & Behavior* **144**: 82–89. **Van Dyke JU, Brandley MC & Thompson MB**. **2014**. The evolution of viviparity: molecular and genomic data from squamate reptiles advance understanding of live birth in amniotes. *Reproduction* **147**: R15–R26. **Foucart T, Heulin B & Lourdais O**. **2017**. Clutch size influences embryonic stages at oviposition in a lizard with prolonged egg retention. *Amphibia Reptilia* **38**: 557–561. **Foucart T, Heulin B & Lourdais O**. **2018**. Small changes, big benefits: testing the

 significance of maternal thermoregulation in a lizard with extended egg retention. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **125**: 280–291.

th prolonged egg retention. *Amphibia Rept*

Lourdais O. 2018. Small changes, big ben

hermoregulation in a lizard with extended

ociety 125: 280–291.

DeNardo DF, et al. 2014. Influence of rep

uction: insight from the bi **Foucart T, Lourdais O, DeNardo DF,** *et al.* **2014**. Influence of reproductive mode on metabolic costs of reproduction: insight from the bimodal lizard *Zootoca vivipara*. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **217**: 4049–4056.

 Le Galliard JF, Massot M, Baron JP, *et al.* **2012**. Ecological effects of climate change on European reptiles. In: Brodie J, Post E, Doak D, eds. *Wildlife conservation in a changing climate*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 179–203.

 Le Galliard JF, Massot M, Landys MM, *et al.* **2006**. Ontogenic sources of variation in sexual size dimorphism in a viviparous lizard. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **19**: 690–704.

 Gao W, Sun YB, Zhou WW, *et al.* **2019**. Genomic and transcriptomic investigations of the evolutionary transition from oviparity to viviparity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **116**: 3646–3655.

Godfray HCJ. **1995**. Evolutionary theory of parent-offspring conflict. *Nature* **376**: 133–138.

 Guillon M, Guiller G, DeNardo DF, *et al.* **2014**. Microclimate preferences correlate with contrasted evaporative water loss in parapatric vipers at their contact zone. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **92**: 81–86.

 Haig D. **2010**. Transfers and transitions: parent-offspring conflict, genomic imprinting, and the evolution of human life history. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **107**: 1731–1735.

 Harshman LG & Zera AJ. **2007**. The cost of reproduction: the devil in the details. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **22**: 80–86.

80–86.

S & Lourdais O. 2013. The sooner the better trajectories in a temperate squamate (
 Linnean Society 108: 384–395.

P, Vogrin N, *et al.* 2000. Further evidence
 Lacerta (*Zootoca*) *vivipara* in the NW of t
 Le Henanff M, Meylan S & Lourdais O. **2013**. The sooner the better: reproductive phenology drives ontogenetic trajectories in a temperate squamate (*Podarcis muralis*). *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **108**: 384–395.

 Heulin B, Guillaume CP, Vogrin N, *et al.* **2000**. Further evidence of the existence of oviparous populations of *Lacerta* (*Zootoca*) *vivipara* in the NW of the Balkan Peninsula. *Comptes rendus de l'Académie des sciences. Série III, Sciences de la vie* **323**: 461–468.

 Heulin B, Osenegg-Leconte K & Michel D. **1997**. Demography of a bimodal reproductive species of lizard (*Lacerta vivipara*): survival and density characteristics of oviparous populations. *Herpetologica* **53**: 432–444.

 Horreo JL, Suarez T & Fitze PS. **2020**. Reversals in complex traits uncovered as reticulation events: Lessons from the evolution of parity-mode, chromosome morphology, and

maternal resource transfer. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part B: Molecular and*

Developmental Evolution **334**: 5–13.

 Köhler A, Sadowska J, Olszewska J, *et al.* **2011**. Staying warm or moist? Operative temperature and thermal preferences of common frogs (*Rana temporaria*) and effects on locomotion. *Herpetological Journal* **21**: 17–26.

 Kölliker M, Boos S, Wong JWY, *et al.* **2015**. Parent-offspring conflict and the genetic trade-offs shaping parental investment. *Nature Communications* **6**: 6850.

 Laird MK, Thompson MB & Whittington CM. **2019**. Facultative oviparity in a viviparous skink (*Saiphos equalis*). *Biology Letters* **15**: 20180827.

 Lecomte J, Clobert J & Massot M. **1992**. Sex identification in juveniles of *Lacerta vivipara*. *Amphibia-Reptilia* **13**: 21–25.

 Li H, Qu Y fu, Hu RB, *et al.* **2009**. Evolution of viviparity in cold-climate lizards: testing the maternal manipulation hypothesis. *Evolutionary Ecology* **23**: 777–790.

 Lillywhite HB, Brischoux F, Sheehy CM, *et al.* **2012**. Dehydration and drinking responses in a pelagic sea snake. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* **52**: 227–234.

 Lorenzon P, Clobert J, Oppliger A, *et al.* **1999**. Effect of water constraint on growth rate , activity and body temperature of yearling common lizard (*Lacerta vivipara*). *Oecologia* **118**: 423–430.

pothesis. *Evolutionary Ecology* 23: 777–7
 xx F, Sheehy CM, *et al.* **2012**. Dehydration

tegrative and Comparative Biology 52: 22

Oppliger A, *et al.* 1999. Effect of water co

ture of yearling common lizard (*Lacerta v* **Lorioux S, Lisse H & Lourdais O**. **2013a**. Dedicated mothers: predation risk and physical burden do not alter thermoregulatory behaviour of pregnant vipers. *Animal Behaviour* **86**: 401–408.

 Lorioux S, Vaugoyeau M, DeNardo DF, *et al.* **2013b**. Stage dependence of phenotypical and phenological maternal effects: insight into squamate reptile reproductive strategies. *The American naturalist* **182**: 223–233.

 Lourdais O, Dupoué A, Guillon M, *et al.* **2017**. Hydric 'costs' of reproduction: pregnancy increases evaporative water loss in the snake *Vipera aspis*. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* **90**: 663–672.

Lourdais O, Lorioux S, Dupoué A, *et al.* **2015**. Embryonic water uptake during pregnancy is

stage- and fecundity-dependent in the snake *Vipera aspis*. *Comparative Biochemistry and*

Clobert J. 2003. The effect of transderma
ticosterone levels in pregnant *Lacerta viv*
logy Part A: Molecular & Integrative Phys
physiological and ecological importance o
eming DC, Ferguson MWJ, eds. Egg incular
n birds an *Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology* **189**: 102–106. **Marquis O, Massot M & Le Galliard JF**. **2008**. Intergenerational effects of climate generate cohort variation in lizard reproductive performance. *Ecology* **89**: 2575–2583. **Massot M, Clobert J & Ferrière R**. **2008**. Climate warming, dispersal inhibition and extinction risk. *Global Change Biology* **14**: 461–469. **Mazerolle MJ**. **2017**. AICcmodavg: Model selection and multimodel inference based on (Q)AIC(c). **Meylan S, Dufty AM & Clobert J**. **2003**. The effect of transdermal corticosterone application on plasma corticosterone levels in pregnant *Lacerta vivipara* . *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology* **134**: 497–503. **Packard GC**. **1991**. The physiological and ecological importance of water to embryos of oviparous reptiles. In: Deeming DC, Ferguson MWJ, eds. *Egg incubation: its effect on embryonic development in birds and reptiles*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 213– 228. **Peterson CC**. **2002**. Temporal, population, and sexual variation in hematocrit of free-living desert tortoises: correlational tests of causal hypotheses. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **80**: 461–470. **Pollux BJA, Meredith RW, Springer MS,** *et al.* **2014**. The evolution of the placenta drives a shift in sexual selection in livebearing fish. *Nature* **513**: 233–236. **Pyron RA & Burbrink FT**. **2014**. Early origin of viviparity and multiple reversions to oviparity in squamate reptiles. *Ecology Letters* **17**: 13–21. **Recknagel H & Elmer KR**. **2019**. Differential reproductive investment in co-occurring

f viviparity. *Journal of Evolutionary Biolog*
 zález F, Ji X, et al. 2010. Effects of incuban

m oviparous lizard with prolonged egg rete

on of viviparity compatible? *Zoology* 113:
 ué A, Meylan S, et al. Acclimatio trade-offs with viviparity. *Oecologia* **190**: 85–98. **Recknagel H, Kamenos NA & Elmer KR**. **2018**. Common lizards break Dollo's law of irreversibility: Genome-wide phylogenomics support a single origin of viviparity and re- evolution of oviparity. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **127**: 579–588. **Rodríguez-Díaz T & Braña F**. **2012**. Altitudinal variation in egg retention and rates of embryonic development in oviparous *Zootoca vivipara* fits predictions from the cold-climate model on the evolution of viviparity. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **25**: 1877–1887. **Rodríguez-Díaz T, González F, Ji X,** *et al.* **2010**. Effects of incubation temperature on hatchling phenotypes in an oviparous lizard with prolonged egg retention: are the two main hypotheses on the evolution of viviparity compatible? *Zoology* **113**: 33–38. **Rozen ‐Rechels D, Dupoué A, Meylan S,** *et al.* Acclimation to water restriction implies different paces for behavioral and physiological responses in a lizard species. *Physiological and biochemical zoology*. in press

 Rutschmann A, Miles DB, Le Galliard JF, *et al.* **2016**. Climate and habitat interact to shape the thermal reaction norms of breeding phenology across lizard populations. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **85**: 457–466.

 Shine R. **2014**. Evolution of an evolutionary hypothesis: a history of changing ideas about the adaptive significance of viviparity in reptiles. *Journal of Herpetology* **48**: 147–161.

 Shine R. **2015**. The evolution of oviparity in squamate reptiles: An adaptationist perspective. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part B: Molecular and Developmental Evolution* **324**: 487– 492.

 Shine R & Thompson MB. **2006**. Did embryonic responses to incubation conditions drive the evolution of reproductive modes in squamate reptiles? *Herpetological Monographs* **20**:

159–171.

 Sinervo B, Mendez-de-la-Cruz F, Miles DB, *et al.* **2010**. Erosion of lizard diversity by climate change and altered thermal niches. *Science* **328**: 894–899.

Sinervo B, Miles DB, Wu Y, *et al.* **2018**. Climate change, thermal niches, extinction risk and

maternal-effect rescue of toad-headed lizards, *Phrynocephalus*, in thermal extremes of the

Arabian Peninsula to the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. *Integrative Zoology* **13**: 450–470.

Stearns SC. **1992**. *The evolution of life histories*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

n DG. 2015. Viviparity and placentation in

ctive Biology and Phylogeny of Snakes. En
 B, Guillaume CP, et al. 2006. Multiple co

o oviparity? The European common lizard

tion of parity. Biological Journal of the Li.
 Stewart JR & Blackburn DG. **2015**. Viviparity and placentation in lizards. In: Sever D, Aldridge R, eds. *Reproductive Biology and Phylogeny of Snakes*. Enfield, New Hampshire, USA, 119–181.

 Surget-Groba Y, Heulin B, Guillaume CP, *et al.* **2006**. Multiple origins of viviparity, or reversal from viviparity to oviparity? The European common lizard (*Zootoca vivipara*,

Lacertidae) and the evolution of parity. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **87**: 1–11.

 Thorpe RS & Baez M. **1993**. Geographic variation in scalation of the lizard *Gallotia stehlini* within the island of Gran Canaria. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **48**: 75–87.

Trivers RL. **1974**. Parent-offspring conflict. *American Zoologist* **14**: 249–264.

 Trochet A, Dupoué A, Souchet J, *et al.* **2018**. Variation of preferred body temperatures along an altitudinal gradient: A multi-species study. *Journal of Thermal Biology* **77**: 38–44.

 Zeh DW & Zeh JA. **2000**. Reproductive mode and speciation: the viviparity-driven conflict hypothesis. *BioEssays* **22**: 938–946.

Figure legends

 Figure 1. Representation of water restriction protocols in the oviparous form (this study) or the viviparous form (Dupoué *et al.*, 2018a) of the bimodal lizard *Zootoca vivipara*, over a standard reproductive cycle (Foucart *et al.*, 2014). In both reproductive modes, females were exposed in early June to the same experimental 14 day-period of water restriction. The associated range of treatment timing (oviparous: blue arrow; viviparous: orange arrow, scaled in the figure) covered mid-late vitellogenesis to early gravidity in oviparous females (32 to 4 days before oviposition), while water restriction occurred relatively later in the viviparous form (35 to 3 days before parturition). We hypothesized water constraints to be relatively low in oviparous females given that most of embryo water demand occurs during somatic growth (Lourdais *et al.*, 2015), once oviparous females have laid their eggs.

while water restriction occurred relatively
parturition). We hypothesized water const
en that most of embryo water demand occurred occurred over
according to their eggs.
Fernand School and their eggs.
Fernands (n = 134). **Figure 2.** Examination of physiological responses to water restriction in gravid and non-682 reproductive female oviparous common lizards ($n = 134$). In response to a two-week period of water restriction (filled circles), A) females experienced greater loss in body mass (ΔBM) compared to control conditions (open circles), independent of their reproductive state. In addition, B) ΔBM were positively correlated with relative clutch mass (RCM), and C) negatively correlated with treatment timing (number of days between the end of hydric treatment and oviposition). Although water-restricted females were more dehydrated (higher 688 plasma osmolality, ΔOsmo compared to controls, D) this was independent of their reproductive state, E) independent of their RCM, and F) independent of treatment timing. Significant differences between control and water-restricted females are symbolized: (***) p 691 < 0.001 .

 Figure 3. Relationships between physiological deviation of water balance in gravid females $(n = 105)$ and altitude of the population. After a two-week period of water restriction (filled circles, solid lines), females from the lower altitudes experienced greater dehydration, while no-relation occurred in control females (open circles). This is illustrated by A) higher loss of BM and B) increased plasma osmolality in water-restricted females from lower altitudes. Data 698 are represented by mean \pm SE of physiological responses within population.

between performance in water-restricted
dashed lines) females ($n = 105$) and their
itively correlated with altitude, while altitu
Treatment timing (number of days between
) negatively correlated with C) relative clu
hing **Figure 4.** Variation of reproductive performance in water-restricted (filled circles, solid lines) 701 and control (open circles, dashed lines) females ($n = 105$) and their offspring ($n = 343$). A) Oviposition date was positively correlated with altitude, while altitude negatively correlated with B) incubation time. Treatment timing (number of days between the end of hydric treatment and oviposition) negatively correlated with C) relative clutch mass (RCM) and D) hatching success. E) Hatching success was also negatively correlated with altitude in water- restricted females (solid line) but not in control ones (dashed line). In offspring that survived, F) female offspring SVL was longer than males, and G) sex differences in BM between 708 daughter and sons depended on mother treatment. Data are represented by mean \pm SE of reproductive outputs within population and significant relationships are symbolised by trend lines (predictions of final models). Significant effects of interaction terms between offspring 711 sex and mother treatments are symbolized: $\{n, s\}$ non-significant and $\{***\}$ p < 0.01.

Biological Journal of the Linnean Society

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{3}$ $\overline{4}$ $\overline{7}$

Mother-offspring conflict for water varies across altitude but is mitigated in the oviparous form of the bimodal lizard *Zootoca vivipara*

Andréaz Dupoué, Mahaut Sorlin, Murielle Richard, Jean-François Le Galliard, Olivier Lourdais, Jean Clobert, Fabien Aubret

Supplementary materials

Figure S1. Positive relationship between reproductive effort (clutch mass) and female body size (snout-vent length – SVL). We used the residuals of this linear relationship ($t_{104.0} = 7.3$, p 0.001 , $r^2 = 0.34$) to calculate relative clutch mass (RCM). There was no difference between water-restricted (filled circles) and control females (open circles), neither in raw clutch mass $(t_{102.6} = 0.0, p = 0.967)$, nor in size-adjusted clutch mass $(t_{102.6} = -0.1, p = 0.891)$.

Andréaz Dupoué, Mahaut Sorlin, Murielle Richard, Jean-François Le Galliard, Olivier Lourdais, Jean Clobert, Fabien Aubret

Figure S2. Positive relationship between female body size (snout-vent length – SVL) and altitude ($t_{6.4}$ = 3.1, p = 0.022). Females had similar SVL between the affiliation to waterrestriction (filled circles) or control conditions (open circles) $(t_{129.4} = -0.1, p = 0.908)$, irrespective of the altitude of the population of origin $(t_{129.3} = 0.1, p = 0.929)$.

Biological Journal of the Linnean Society

Mother-offspring conflict for water varies across altitude but is mitigated in the oviparous form of the bimodal lizard *Zootoca vivipara*

Andréaz Dupoué, Mahaut Sorlin, Murielle Richard, Jean-François Le Galliard, Olivier Lourdais, Jean Clobert, Fabien Aubret

Table S1. Localizations, altitude, climatic conditions and water access (permanent in peatbog type habitat, periodic in dry meadow) in the 6 natural populations of the oviparous form of common lizard (*Z. vivipara*) from the Pyrenees Mountain range. Table shows the mean \pm SE of environmental temperatures (minimal: T_{min} and maximal: T_{max}) recorded between June 30th and July 25th, 2018. See text for details.

Andréaz Dupoué, Mahaut Sorlin, Murielle Richard, Jean-François Le Galliard, Olivier Lourdais, Jean Clobert, Fabien Aubret

Table S2. Sex determination table using scale counting along medioventral (left and right side) as previously described (Lecomte et al., 1992). Left table reports the number of ventral scales in reproductive adults (147 females and 79 males) with obvious secondary sexual traits phenotypes. Right table illustrates that scale counting matched phenotypic sex with high determination success (96.5%).

Andréaz Dupoué, Mahaut Sorlin, Murielle Richard, Jean-François Le Galliard, Olivier Lourdais, Jean Clobert, Fabien Aubret

Table S3. AICc based model selection in all females (gravid and non-reproductive) comparing a null model (intercept only) to models testing relationships between female dehydration indexes (changes in body mass ΔBM and changes in plasma osmolality ΔOsmo) and reproductive status, hydric treatment and environmental conditions. Models included the initial physiological value (BM_{ini} or Osm_{ini}), SVL, altitude and temperature indexes (T_{min} and *T*max) treated as linear co-variates, while reproductive status (gravid *versus* non-reproductive) hydric treatment (control *versus* water restriction) and water access (permanent *versus* periodic) were treated as fixed factors. Population was set as a random factor in all models to account for intra-population variation and non-independence. Highlighted models (bolded characters) are those explaining the greatest variation in response variables.

Andréaz Dupoué, Mahaut Sorlin, Murielle Richard, Jean-François Le Galliard, Olivier Lourdais, Jean Clobert, Fabien Aubret

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{3}$ $\overline{4}$ 5 6 $\overline{7}$ 8 9

Mother-offspring conflict for water varies across altitude but is mitigated in the oviparous form of the bimodal lizard *Zootoca vivipara*

Andréaz Dupoué, Mahaut Sorlin, Murielle Richard, Jean-François Le Galliard, Olivier Lourdais, Jean Clobert, Fabien Aubret

Andréaz Dupoué, Mahaut Sorlin, Murielle Richard, Jean-François Le Galliard, Olivier Lourdais, Jean Clobert, Fabien Aubret

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{3}$ $\overline{4}$ 5 6 $\overline{7}$ 8 $\overline{9}$

Mother-offspring conflict for water varies across altitude but is mitigated in the oviparous form of the bimodal lizard *Zootoca vivipara*

Andréaz Dupoué, Mahaut Sorlin, Murielle Richard, Jean-François Le Galliard, Olivier Lourdais, Jean Clobert, Fabien Aubret

Andréaz Dupoué, Mahaut Sorlin, Murielle Richard, Jean-François Le Galliard, Olivier Lourdais, Jean Clobert, Fabien Aubret

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ 3 $\overline{4}$ 5 6 $\overline{7}$ 8 9

Mother-offspring conflict for water varies across altitude but is mitigated in the oviparous form of the bimodal lizard *Zootoca vivipara*

Andréaz Dupoué, Mahaut Sorlin, Murielle Richard, Jean-François Le Galliard, Olivier Lourdais, Jean Clobert, Fabien Aubret

Table S4. AICc based model selection in gravid female only comparing a null model (intercept only) to models testing relationships between female dehydration indexes (changes in body mass ΔBM and changes in plasma osmolality ΔOsmo) and environmental conditions. Models included the initial physiological value $(BM_{ini}$ or $Osmo_{ini}$), SVL, treatment timing (number of days between laying date and the end of water restriction), altitudes and temperature indexes $(T_{min}$ and T_{max}) treated as linear co-variates, while hydric treatment (control *versus* water restriction) and water access (permanent *versus* periodic) were treated as fixed factors. Population was set as a random factor in all models to account for intrapopulation variation and non-independence. Highlighted models (bolded characters) are those explaining the greatest variation in response variables.

Andréaz Dupoué, Mahaut Sorlin, Murielle Richard, Jean-François Le Galliard, Olivier Lourdais, Jean Clobert, Fabien Aubret

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{3}$ $\overline{4}$ 5 6 $\overline{7}$ 8 $\overline{9}$

Mother-offspring conflict for water varies across altitude but is mitigated in the oviparous form of the bimodal lizard *Zootoca vivipara*

Andréaz Dupoué, Mahaut Sorlin, Murielle Richard, Jean-François Le Galliard, Olivier Lourdais, Jean Clobert, Fabien Aubret

Andréaz Dupoué, Mahaut Sorlin, Murielle Richard, Jean-François Le Galliard, Olivier Lourdais, Jean Clobert, Fabien Aubret

÷,

 $rac{6}{\sqrt{\frac{3}{\frac{1026.90}{c}}}}$
3 1026.90
4 1029.04
4 1029.04

Andréaz Dupoué, Mahaut Sorlin, Murielle Richard, Jean-François Le Galliard, Olivier Lourdais, Jean Clobert, Fabien Aubret

Table S5. AICc based model selection comparing a null model (intercept only) to models testing relationships between female reproductive performance and environmental conditions. Models included the treatment timing (number of days between laying date and the end of water restriction), altitude and temperature indexes (T_{min} and T_{max}) treated as linear covariates, while and hydric treatment and water access were treated as fixed factors. Population was set as a random factor in all models to account for intra-population variation and nonindependence. In analyses on offspring traits (SVL and BM), models also included mother treatment timing as linear co-variate, the juvenile sexes as fixed factor and mother identity as additional random factor to account for sibling non-independence. Preliminary investigations showed that female SVL did not explain variation in reproductive traits except for incubation time so this parameter was discarded from analyses on laying date, RCM, hatching success and offspring morphology to simplify model averaging. Highlighted models (bolded characters) are those explaining the greatest variation in response variables.

Andréaz Dupoué, Mahaut Sorlin, Murielle Richard, Jean-François Le Galliard, Olivier Lourdais, Jean Clobert, Fabien Aubret

Mother-offspring conflict for water varies across altitude but is mitigated in the oviparous form of the bimodal lizard *Zootoca vivipara*

Andréaz Dupoué, Mahaut Sorlin, Murielle Richard, Jean-François Le Galliard, Olivier Lourdais, Jean Clobert, Fabien Aubret

Biological Journal of the Linnean Society

Mother-offspring conflict for water varies across altitude but is mitigated in the oviparous form of the bimodal lizard *Zootoca vivipara*

Andréaz Dupoué, Mahaut Sorlin, Murielle Richard, Jean-François Le Galliard, Olivier Lourdais, Jean Clobert, Fabien Aubret

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{3}$ $\overline{4}$ $\overline{7}$ $\overline{9}$

Mother-offspring conflict for water varies across altitude but is mitigated in the oviparous form of the bimodal lizard *Zootoca vivipara*

Andréaz Dupoué, Mahaut Sorlin, Murielle Richard, Jean-François Le Galliard, Olivier Lourdais, Jean Clobert, Fabien Aubret

Andréaz Dupoué, Mahaut Sorlin, Murielle Richard, Jean-François Le Galliard, Olivier Lourdais, Jean Clobert, Fabien Aubret

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{3}$ $\overline{4}$ $\overline{7}$ $\overline{9}$

Mother-offspring conflict for water varies across altitude but is mitigated in the oviparous form of the bimodal lizard *Zootoca vivipara*

Andréaz Dupoué, Mahaut Sorlin, Murielle Richard, Jean-François Le Galliard, Olivier Lourdais, Jean Clobert, Fabien Aubret

Andréaz Dupoué, Mahaut Sorlin, Murielle Richard, Jean-François Le Galliard, Olivier Lourdais, Jean Clobert, Fabien Aubret

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{3}$ $\overline{4}$

Mother-offspring conflict for water varies across altitude but is mitigated in the oviparous form of the bimodal lizard *Zootoca vivipara*

Andréaz Dupoué, Mahaut Sorlin, Murielle Richard, Jean-François Le Galliard, Olivier Lourdais, Jean Clobert, Fabien Aubret

