



## Implementing a hybrid bi-directional communication between neuronal assemblies

Stefano Buccelli, Ilaria Colombi, Yannick Bornat, Valentina Pasquale, Laura Martines, Francesco Difato, Alverna Alberto, Jacopo Tessadori, M. Tedesco, Paolo Massobrio, et al.

### ► To cite this version:

Stefano Buccelli, Ilaria Colombi, Yannick Bornat, Valentina Pasquale, Laura Martines, et al.. Implementing a hybrid bi-directional communication between neuronal assemblies. 40th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC 2018), Jul 2018, Honolulu, HI, United States. hal-02484016

**HAL Id: hal-02484016**

**<https://hal.science/hal-02484016>**

Submitted on 19 Feb 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Implementing a hybrid bi-directional communication between neuronal assemblies

Stefano Buccelli, *member EMBS*, Ilaria Colombi, *member EMBS*, Yannick Bornat, Valentina Pasquale, *member EMBS*, Laura Martines, Francesco Difato, Alberto Aversa, Jacopo Tessadori, Mariateresa Tedesco, Paolo Massobrio, *member IEEE*, Timothée Levi, *member IEEE*, and Michela Chiappalone, *member EMBS*

**Abstract** — Bioelectronic medicine aims at developing devices capable to deliver an electric therapy by stimulating the target organ in a controlled way, possibly with less side effects than standard pharmaceutical therapy. Neural stimulators and implantable systems exploit the bioelectronic technology to reduce neurological impairments. Here we present the prototype of a bi-directional brain prosthesis capable to restore the communication between damaged *in vitro* neuronal networks.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The consequences of brain lesions represent a major economic and healthcare priority worldwide. One of the main challenges is to enhance the recovery of motor and cognitive functions following such insults. Sometimes pharmacological treatments and physical rehabilitation are not enough to regain a fully independent life [1]. Recent technological improvements in the field of neural interfaces are opening new frontiers to meet the growing demand for alternative solutions [2]. *In vitro* studies can provide an easy and low-risk model to explore promising intervention strategies.

Here we realized an *in vitro* platform for next generation brain-prostheses aimed at artificially link two previously connected neuronal assemblies.

## II. METHODS

The experimental set-up consisted of a biological element and an artificial system (i.e. the neuroprosthetic device). We

used dissociated cortical rat neurons plated over a 60-channel Micro-Electrode Array (MEA) characterized by two interconnected networks (i.e. bimodular), exhibiting spiking and network bursting (NB) activity. During experiments, we performed a laser ablation to cut the axonal connections between the two neuronal modules to mimic a focal lesion.

The neuroprosthetic device consisted of a neuromorphic board based on Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA) and was able to perform real-time spike/NB detection. Upon detection, an activity dependent stimulation paradigm was applied from one module to the other and vice versa (Bi-directional Bridging, BB). When an artificial Spiking Neural Network was configured in the FPGA, we were able to implement a Hybrid Bidirectional Bridging (HBB) experimental paradigm, where the SNN was replacing one of the two modules of the biological network.

## III. RESULTS

We first characterized the effect of a focal lesion on a bimodular network. After a few hours of recording, the firing of the network reached pre-lesion values thus proving the viability of the network. The correlation between the modules collapsed to zero proving both an anatomical and functional disconnection. We then implemented two neuroprosthetic strategies: BB and HBB. Through the BB strategy, we were able to partially recover the cross correlation features without imposing any preferred direction. The HBB protocol was performed when we aimed at replacing one entire module by means of a SNN. We used NB events to control the stimulation and also in this configuration, we obtained a partial recovery of the cross correlation without affecting the firing of the survived module.

## IV. CONCLUSIONS

Our neuroprosthetic device is able to artificially restore the bi-directional interaction between two previously connected neural assemblies.. The developed stimulation strategies can be seen as a starting point towards a new rehabilitation paradigm that can act directly at the CNS level.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Soekadar, S. R., N. Birbaumer, M. W. Slutzky, and L. G. Cohen, *Brain-machine interfaces in neurorehabilitation of stroke*. *Neurobiol Dis*, 2015, **83**: p. 172-9.
- [2] Guggenmos, D. J., M. Azin, S. Barbay, J. D. Mahnken, C. Dunham, P. Mohseni, and R. J. Nudo, *Restoration of function after brain damage using a neural prosthesis*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 2013, **110**(52): p. 21177-82.

\*Research supported by European Commission, ICT-FET FP7/2007-2013, FET Young Explorers, grant agreement N° 284772 BRAIN BOW ([www.brainbowproject.eu](http://www.brainbowproject.eu)).

S. B. is with Rehab Technologies IIT-INAIL joint Lab, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, Genova, 16163, Italy (e-mail: [stefano.buccelli@iit.it](mailto:stefano.buccelli@iit.it)); I. C. is with Rehab Technologies IIT-INAIL joint Lab, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, Genova, 16163, Italy (e-mail: [ilaria.colombi@iit.it](mailto:ilaria.colombi@iit.it)); Y. B. is with IMS Lab, University of Bordeaux, Bordeaux, 33400, France (e-mail: [yannick.bornat@ims-bordeaux.fr](mailto:yannick.bornat@ims-bordeaux.fr)); V. P. is with NBT, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, Genova, 16163, Italy (e-mail: [valentina.pasquale@iit.it](mailto:valentina.pasquale@iit.it)); L. M. is with the NBT, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, Genova, 16163, Italy (e-mail: [martines.laura@gmail.com](mailto:martines.laura@gmail.com)); F. D. is with NBT, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, Genova, 16163, Italy (e-mail: [francesco.difato@iit.it](mailto:francesco.difato@iit.it)); A. A. is with Rehab Technologies IIT-INAIL joint Lab, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, Genova, 16163, Italy (e-mail: [alberto.aversa@iit.it](mailto:alberto.aversa@iit.it)); J. T. is with ADVR, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, Genova, 16163, Italy (e-mail: [jacopo.tessadori@iit.it](mailto:jacopo.tessadori@iit.it)); M. T. is with DIBRIS, University of Genova, 16145, (email: [brunella.tedesco@unige.it](mailto:brunella.tedesco@unige.it)); P. M. is with DIBRIS, University of Genova, 16145, Italy (email: [paolo.massobrio@unige.it](mailto:paolo.massobrio@unige.it)); T. L. is with IMS Lab, University of Bordeaux, 33400, France (e-mail: [timothee.levi@u-bordeaux.fr](mailto:timothee.levi@u-bordeaux.fr)); M. C. is with Rehab Technologies IIT-INAIL joint Lab, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, Genova, 16163, Italy (corresponding author, phone: +39 010 71781; fax: +39 010 71781 230; e-mail: [michela.chiappalone@iit.it](mailto:michela.chiappalone@iit.it)).