

Phonocentrism in Greece: Side Effects of Two Centuries of Diglossia

Yannis Haralambous

▶ To cite this version:

Yannis Haralambous. Phonocentrism in Greece: Side Effects of Two Centuries of Diglossia. 12th International AWLL Workshop, Mar 2019, Cambridge, United Kingdom. , 2019. hal-02480230

HAL Id: hal-02480230 https://hal.science/hal-02480230v1

Submitted on 15 Feb 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

12th International AWLL Workshop - Cambridge - 25-28 March 2019



Phonocentrism in Greece: Side Effects of Two Centuries of Diglossia

Yannis Haralambous

yannis.haralambous@imt-atlantique.fr

IMT Atlantique & CNRS UMR 6285 LabSTICC, Brest, France



Definitions, phonocentrism, γ-/φ-linguistics

According to Anis [1], phonocentrism is the linguistic ideology claiming that written language is subordinated to speech, and autonomism is the ideology where written and spoken language are considered as equally important interacting systems.

In the following we will prefix by a "γ-" (resp. "φ-") linguistic sub-disciplines applied to written (resp. spoken) language, e.g., γ-emics (resp. φ-ology), γ-morphology (resp. φ-morphology), etc., and more generally γ-linguistics (resp. φ-linguistics) [2].

Greek y-syllable length, y-aspiration

In ancient Greek, short ϕ -vowels had one mora, and long ϕ -vowels two morae. In modern Greek, all ϕ -vowels have equal length. But γ -vowels still carry length information ($\langle \eta \rangle$, $\langle \omega \rangle$ are long, $\langle \varepsilon \rangle$, $\langle o \rangle$ are short). γ -syllables have γ -syllable length depending on γ-vowel, position, POS, morphology.

In many dialects of ancient Greek, initial ϕ -vowels could be ϕ -aspirated. Currently ϕ -aspiration has vanished, but γ -aspiration remains, through the rough breathing γ-eme.

The Greek Language Issue

In the 19th century, Greece has witnessed an increasing gap between the "purified" idiom (καθαρεύουσα) used by the State and taught in schools, and the "demotic" (δημοτική) which was the natural evolution of byzantine Greek. [4]

In the early 20th century, linguists amalgamated written language w/purified language and speech w/demotic language. They adopted Saussure's phonocentric ideology as an

additional argument in favor of demotic. In 1941, Triantafyllidis standardized the grammar of demotic [6], and in 1982 γ-accentuation and γ-aspiration were abandoned by law.

Greek y-morphology

γ-double articulation refers to the two-fold structure of writing that consists of elementary meaningful units (y-morphemes), in turn built of distinctive γ -elements (γ -emes).

Thanks to iotacism, γ-syllable length, and γ-accentuation, **y-morphology** of Greek is more elaborate than ϕ -morphology. E.g., $<\sigma \dot{\omega}$ ζεται> $\neq <\sigma \dot{\omega}$ ζετε>, $<\Theta \epsilon \ddot{\omega}> \neq <\Theta \epsilon \dot{o}>$, $<\ddot{o}\rho o\varsigma> \neq <\ddot{o}\rho o\varsigma>$.

y-aspiration/y-accentuation

γ-aspiration enhanced access to semantics by etymological disambiguation: $<\frac{\dot{\epsilon}}{\nu}\iota\kappa\dot{\delta}\varsigma>$ vs. $<\frac{\ddot{\epsilon}}{\nu}\iota\nu\delta,$ $<\frac{\ddot{\epsilon}}{\kappa}\iota\delta,$ vs. < εκτακτος>, < ομόνοια> vs. < ομίχλη>.

γ-accentuation used two dipoles:

- I. acute/grave vs. circumflex, which indicated γ -syllable length: $\langle \pi \dot{\eta} \delta \alpha \rangle$ vs. $<\mu \tilde{\eta} \lambda \alpha>$, $<\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\delta} \pi o \tilde{\iota} \alpha>$ vs. $<\dot{\eta} \dot{\delta} \pi o \dot{\iota} \alpha>$, and fulfilled a redundant morphological function: $\langle \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \tilde{\omega} \rangle$ vs. $\langle E \rho \alpha \tau \tilde{\omega} \rangle$, $\langle \tau o \tilde{v} \rangle$ ποιητ $\tilde{\eta}$ > vs. <τον ποιητ $\dot{\eta}$ >, where redundancy enhanced the reading pro-
- 2. acute vs. grave, which had a redundant syntactic function: $\langle \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \theta \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \rangle$ vs. $\langle \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \rangle$ $\dot{\eta}$ θικ $\dot{\eta}$ του>, and a redundant discursive function: <γιατί τὸ εἶπε;> (question) <γιατ<mark>ι</mark> τὸ πίστευε> (fact).


Current practice is to use a single φ-stress accent: <ενικός>, <έντονος>, μίχλη>, <πηδα>, <μηλα>, <τα οποία>,<η οποία>, <ερωτ $\dot{\omega}>$, <Ερατ $\dot{\omega}>$, <του ποιητή >, <τον ποιητή >, <η ηθική >, <η ηθική του>, <γιατί το είπε>, <γιατί το πίστευε>.

Transcription

In the 19th and early 20th century, transcription of foreign words took the following into account:

- I. γ-syllable length: <Κ<mark>αί</mark>μπριτζ> (Cambridge), <Βερλ<mark>αί</mark>ν> (Verlaine), $<\Gamma \kappa \alpha \tilde{\iota} \tau \epsilon > (Goethe), < T \sigma \tilde{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \rho > (Chau$ cer), $\langle M\tilde{\eta}\lambda\epsilon \rangle$ (Miele);
- 2. foreign γ-morphology: <βόλλε<mark>ΰ</mark>> (volley), <τραῖνο> (train), <μοτοσυκλέττα> (motocyclette);
- 3. γ-aspiration: < "Αμλετ> (Hamlet), < Έρρίκος > (Henri), < Άμβοῦργο > (Hamburg).

Cavafy's proposal of writing <'Yόρκη> (York) with a smooth breathing [3] was thoroughly y-autonomistic.



Current practice denies y-properties and relies solely on foreign φ-etics: <Κέμπριτζ> (Cambridge), <Βερ- $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \nu > \text{(Verlaine)}, \langle \Gamma \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rangle \text{(Goethe)},$ <Τσόσερ> (Chaucer), <Μίλε> (Miele); $<\beta \acute{o}\lambda \epsilon \ddot{\iota}>$ (volley), $<\tau \rho \acute{\epsilon} \nu o>$ (train), <μοτοσικλέτα> (motocyclette); < Άμλετ> (Hamlet), < Ερρίκος> (Henri), < Αμβούργο> (Hamburg).

Hyphenation

Before Triantaf. [6], hyphenation was I. γ-morphological: <συν-άδελφος>,

- $<\pi\alpha\rho-\dot{\epsilon}\mu\beta\alpha\sigma\eta>,<\dot{\epsilon}\xi-\dot{\epsilon}\chi\omega>,<\ddot{\alpha}\nu-\iota\sigma\sigma\varsigma>;$
- 2. based on foreign morphology for transcribed words: <Φόλκ<mark>σ-β</mark>αγκεν> (Volkswagen), <Χίλντε σ-χαϊμ> (Hildesheim), <"Αουγκ<mark>σ-μπ</mark>ουργκ> (Augsburg);
- 3. sensitive to prenasalisation: $\langle \dot{\alpha} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{\tau} \dot{\iota} \rangle$ but $\langle \dot{\alpha} - v \tau i \sigma \rangle$, $\langle \dot{\epsilon} \mu - \pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \varsigma \rangle$ but $\langle \mu \pi \alpha - \nu \tau i \sigma \rangle$ $\mu\pi$ άς>, <κον-τός> and <κον-τέσσα> but $\langle v\tau\alpha-v\tau\dot{\alpha}\rangle$.

Obeying [6] rules, current hyphenation is **mechanistic**:

- I. $<\sigma \upsilon \nu \alpha \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \circ \varsigma >$, $<\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \mu \beta \alpha \sigma \eta >$, $<\dot{\epsilon} \delta \omega = 0$ $\xi \dot{\epsilon} \chi \omega >$, $\langle \ddot{\alpha} - \nu \iota \sigma \circ \varsigma >$;
- 2. <Φόλ-κσβαγκεν> (Volkswagen), <Χίλντε-σχαϊμ> (Hildesheim), «Ἄουγκσμπουργκ> (Augsburg);
- $3. < \dot{\alpha} v \tau \dot{\iota} > = < \dot{\alpha} v \tau \dot{\iota} > = < \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho \dot{\sigma} > =$ $<\mu\pi\alpha-\mu\pi\dot{\alpha}\varsigma>$, $<\kappa\sigma-\nu\tau\dot{\sigma}\varsigma>=<\kappa\sigma-\nu\tau\dot{\epsilon}\sigma \sigma \alpha > = \langle \nu \tau \alpha - \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \rangle$.

According to [5], mechanistic hyphenation is partly responsible for decreasing prenasalisation: γ -* influences φ -*.

Conclusion

In their efforts to solve the Greek Language Issue, Greek linguists adhered to a phonocentric ideology whose side effects are the current practices of ϕ -stress-based accentuation, ϕ -etically-based transcription of foreign words, and mechanistic hyphenation.

References

- [1] Anis, Jacques: L'écriture, théories et descriptions. Paris: CNRS Éditions, 1988.
- [2] Haralambous, Yannis: A roadmap to graphemics. To appear in *Proceedings* of the /grafematik/ 2018 Conference, Brest: Fluxus Editions, 2019.
- [3] Haralambous, Yannis: Ὁδηγὸς γραφῆς γιὰ τὴν ἑλληνική γλώσσα. Athens: Έκδόσεις Ἄγρα, 2019.
- [4] Mackridge, Peter: Language and National Identity in Greece, 1766–1976. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009.
- [5] Moschonas, Spyros: Ἰδεολογία καὶ Γλώσσα. Athens: Πατάκης, 2005.
- [6] Triantafyllidis, Manolis: Νεοελληνική Γραμματική τῆς Δημοτικῆς. Athens: OE Σ B, 1941.